# **BIOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF**

# DAHLIA MOSAIC CAULIMOVIRUS

By

### VIHANGA PAHALAWATTA

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

### **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

### WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY Department of Plant Pathology

#### AUGUST 2007

© Copyright by VIHANGA PAHALAWATTA, 2007 All Rights Reserved To the Faculty of Washington State University:

The members of the Committee appointed to examine the dissertation of VIHANGA

PAHALAWATTA find it satisfactory and recommend that it be accepted.

Chair

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my major advisor, Dr. Hanu Pappu, for the tremendous support, guidance, encouragement and most of all the numerous opportunities that he made available to me during the time I spent working with him. Dr. Pappu has been an exceptional mentor who has been a constant source of inspiration to me. I would also like to thank Dr. Patricia Okubara, Dr. Ken Eastwell and Dr. Gary Chastagner for their advice, guidance and helpful discussions throughout my tenure.

I wish to extend my gratitude to Keri Druffel, who taught me numerous techniques in the laboratory and for all the work she did that made my work so much easier. A special thanks to Robert Brueggeman for technical assistance.

I am also grateful to the faculty and staff of the Department of Plant Pathology for all the help and support during my graduate studies at Washington State University. A special thank you to Dr. Tim Murray, for arranging departmental financial support and for giving me the opportunity to serve as a teaching assistant. I would also like to thank the Samuel and Patricia Smith Endowment for Dahlia Virus Research, created by the American Dahlia Society for the financial support for this study.

Thank you to all my friends in Pullman for their friendship and support. I am very much indebted to my parents for their constant support, love and encouragement. This achievement would not have been possible without their assistance, especially after the birth of my son. Last but not least a heartfelt thank you to my husband Shantanu for his love, encouragement and patience, throughout my graduate program. Finally, to my son Adheesh for putting a smile on my face even on the toughest day.

## **BIOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF DAHLIA MOSAIC CAULIMOVIRUS**

## ABSTRACT

By Vihanga Pahalawatta, Ph.D. Washington State University August 2007

Chair: Hanu R. Pappu

Dahlia is an economically important ornamental crop in the USA and several countries around the world. Among the viral diseases that affect dahlia, *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV), is considered to be the most widespread and to have the greatest impact on flower production. Molecular characterization of DMV showed the association of two distinct caulimoviruses (DMV-D10, DMV-Portland) and a D10-like sequence variant (DMV-Holland) with the disease. The genome structure and organization of DMV-D10 was determined. The double stranded DNA genome shared many features with the members of the genus *Caulimovirus*. However, sequence identity of various open reading frames of DMV-D10 with known caulimoviruses ranged from 32-70% at amino acid level suggesting that D10 is a distinct caulimovirus. Moreover, the aphid transmission factor was absent and the coat protein was smaller than that found in other *Caulimovirus* species. Phylogenetic analysis using amino acid sequences from the different protein coding regions indicated that DMV-D10 is closely related to DMV-Portland and *Figwort mosaic virus*.

A PCR-based assay was used to determine the relative incidence of DMV-D10, DMV-Portland and DMV-Holland in dahlia samples from the USA and the Netherlands. Results indicated that DMV-D10 was present in 87.4% of samples from the USA and 97.6% of the samples from the Netherlands. Furthermore, 97.6% of samples from the Netherlands were positive for DMV-Holland while only 31.9% of the samples from the USA were positive. The presence of DMV-Portland in samples from both the USA and the Netherlands was comparatively less. The presence of all three DMV sequences in 36.6% of the dahlia samples from the Netherlands and 6.7% of the dahlia samples from the USA highlighted the importance of testing for all three sequences for DMV diagnostics. The distribution of DMV in the dahlia seed was determined by PCR. The viral genome was detected in cotyledons. Seedlings from grow-out tests were also positive for DMV-D10 indicating that the virus is seed transmitted. The virus was also detected in the pollen collected from infected plants.

Viruses in certain genera in family *Caulimoviridae* were shown to integrate their genomic sequences into their host genomes and exist as endogenous pararetroviral sequences. However, members of the genus *Caulimovirus* remained to be an exception and are believed to exist only as episomal elements during virus infection cycle. Using DMV-D10 specific probes, Southern blot hybridization of total plant DNA from dahlia seedlings showed the presence of viral DNA in the host DNA whereas, viral DNA was not detected in other known hosts of DMV. This is the first report of natural integration of a caulimovirus genome into its host and existence of a caulimovirus species as an endogenous pararetroviral sequence in a host plant. Epidemiological and molecular properties of DMV-D10 would facilitate devising management options for reducing the impact of the virus.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
DEDICATION	xi
BIOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF DAHLI	A MOSAIC
CAULIMOVIRUS	
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
REFERENCES	8
GENOME STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION AND INTERVIRAL HOMO NOVEL AND DISTINCT SPECIES OF GENUS <i>CAULIMOVIRUS</i> ASSOC DAHLIA MOSAIC	DLOGIES OF A CIATED WITH 11
ABSTRACT	
INTRODUCTION	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
RESULTS	
DISCUSSION	40
REFERENCES	43
INCIDENCE AND RELATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF DISTINCT CAULIN (GENUS CAULIMOVIRUS, FAMILY CAULIMOVIRIDAE) ASSOCIATED DAHLIA MOSAIC IN DAHLIA PINNATA	AOVIRUSES D WITH 49
ABSTRACT	49
INTRODUCTION	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	
RESULTS	57
DISCUSSION	
REFERENCES	62
SEED TRANSMISSION OF DAHLIA MOSAIC VIRUS IN DAHLIA PIN	NATA 64
ABSTRACT	64
INTRODUCTION	64
MATERIALS AND METHODS	66
RESULTS	68
DISCUSSION	73
REFERENCES	76

PARARETROVIRAL SEQUENCE OF A NEW AND DISTINCT (	CAULIMOVIRUS 78
ABSTRACT	78
INTRODUCTION	79
MATERIALS AND METHODS	81
RESULTS	85
DISCUSSION	89
REFERENCES	91
GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	

# LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER ONE
<b>Table 1.</b> A description of <i>Dahlia mosaic virus</i> sequences available in GenBank
CHAPTER TWO
<b>Table 1.</b> Primers used to clone and sequence Dahlia mosaic virus- D10.       18
Table 2. Percentage identity and percentage similarity of Dahlia mosaic virus –D10 open
reading frames (ORFs) to other caulimoviruses
CHAPTER THREE
<b>Table 1.</b> Primers used for the detection of <i>Dahlia mosaic virus</i> (DMV)-D10, DMV-Portland
and DMV-Holland in <i>Dahlia pinnata</i> 54
Table 2. Percentage of dahlia samples positive for caulimoviruses associated with dahlia
mosaic
CHAPTER FOUR
Table 1. Dahlia mosaic virus (DMV) specific primer pairs used for DMV detection by
polymerase chain reaction
<b>Table 2.</b> Detection of <i>Dahlia mosaic virus</i> by polymerase chain reaction in seeds and
seedlings from three different commercial seed sources

# LIST OF FIGURES

### CHAPTER ONE

Figure 1.	Genome organization of viruses belonging to genera in family Caulimoviridae 6
CHAPTE	R TWO
Figure 1.	The locations of the clones used in the sequencing of the entire genome of Dahlia
mosaic vir	us-D10
Figure 2.	Dahlia mosaic virus genome organization
Figure 3.	Genome organization of Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV), Dahlia mosaic virus
DMV-Port	land and DMV-D10
Figure 4.	Complete nucleotide sequence of <i>Dahlia mosaic virus</i> – D10 showing the locations
of the oper	n reading frames ORF I, III – VII
Figure 5.	Phylograms drawn from Clustal W alignments of the different ORFs of selected
members o	of the family <i>Caulimoviridae</i>
Figure 6.	Alignment of C-terminal domains of Dahlia mosaic virus DMV ORF III with
Cauliflowe	er mosaic virus CaMV ORF III proline rich motif
Figure 7.	Alignment of N-terminal domains of ORF III of caulimoviruses
Figure 8.	The TATA box in DMV-D10 and selected caulimoviruses
Figure 9.	Stem- loop structures that are formed by the leader sequences of the RNA 39
CHAPTE	R THREE

Figure 1. N	Nucleotide	sequence alignm	ent of the rev	erse transc	riptase gene o	of DMV-D10,	
DMV-Portla	and and DN	AV-Holland					. 56

### **CHAPTER FOUR**

Figure 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products from DNA extracts of dahlia seed
coats and cotyledons amplified with Dahlia mosaic virus specific primer pairs
Figure 2. Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products from DNA extracts of 9 to10-day-old
dahlia seedlings germinated in covered Petri dishes and tested with Dahlia mosaic virus
specific primer pairs71
Figure 3. Seedlings positive for <i>Dahlia mosaic virus</i> (DMV) by PCR
Figure 4. Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products, from DNA extracts of pollen
collected from virus-infected dahlias, amplified with Dahlia mosaic virus specific primer pair
ORF6-START/ORF6-END1
CHAPTER FIVE
Figure 1. Southern blot hybridization

Figure 2. Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products from DNA extracts of dahlia plant	
components	88

# DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my parents, who have supported me unconditionally and inspired me to reach my potential.

## **CHAPTER ONE**

## BIOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF DAHLIA MOSAIC CAULIMOVIRUS

### **GENERAL INTRODUCTION**

Plants in genus Dahlia Cav. belong to family Asteraceae. At present, 35 species belonging to the genus Dahlia have been identified with over 20,000 cultivars. Most Dahlia species are indigenous to the highlands of Mexico. The exceptions are D. australis found in southwestern Guatemala, D. coccinea and D. imperialis which occur in parts of Central America and Northern South America (Saar et al., 2003). Dahlia plants were first discovered in the 16<sup>th</sup> century by botanists who accompanied the Spanish Conquistadores to Mexico. The Aztec Indians in Mexico are reported to have used the plant for food and medicinal purposes. The Aztecs referred to dahlia by the name 'Acocotli', however very little is known about the plant prior to the Aztecs. The first dahlia samples were brought to the Royal Botanical Gardens in Madrid, Spain in 1789 from Mexico. Abbé Cavanilles, the curator at the time flowered them successfully and coined the name 'Dahlia' in honor of the Swedish botanist Andreas Dahl (1751-1789) (Damp, 1981). During the early 1800's dahlias spread throughout Europe. According to Sorenson (1969), the exact origin of the present day garden dahlia, D. variabilis hort. non (Willd.) Desf., is unclear although it is widely accepted that it is a hybrid between D. pinnata (Cav.) and *D. coccinea*. Observation of chromosomes of *D. variabilis* showed 2n=64 (Gatt et al., 1998). Plant breeders have been actively breeding dahlias for many years resulting in thousands

of cultivars. At present, dahlia is an important bulb crop used as bedding plants, garden specimen plants and cut flowers, especially in the US, Australia, Canada, Europe, Japan and New Zealand (Albouy, 1995; Armitage,1993). Propagation of dahlias is mainly through tuberous rooted divisions, stem cuttings, or seed (Dole and Wilkins, 1999).

In recent years, floral and other ornamental crops have achieved the fastest sales growth among the various crops grown in the US. In 2003, ornamental crops ranked fifth among the top eight agricultural sectors that gross at least \$13 billion in annual cash receipts, second only to corn and vegetables among crops (Jerardo, 2004). According to USDA statistics in 2005, sales of ornamental crops reached a value of \$16.2 billion. In 2006, ornamental crop sales were projected to reach \$16.5 billion with \$100 million from floriculture crops (Jerardo, 2006). Imports of cut flowers and nursery stock to the US were valued at \$1.4 billion in 2005. Export of these floriculture products from the US was estimated to be approximately one fourth of the value of imports although there has been a steady rise especially to Canada, Europe and Asia (Geisler, 2006). At the outset, trade in ornamental crops did not pose a serious threat of distributing plant diseases, especially viruses, mainly because most of the germplasm was traded as seed. However with recent advances in storing, handling and transport facilities, the trade of vegetatively propagated clonal material is increasing, along with the risk of dissemination of viral and other graft-transmissible agents. Infected cut flower crops have been implicated in transporting some of the first viruses to be spread across national and international borders (Lawson and Hsu, 2006). Virus diseases in general impede plant growth and damage some or all of the plant organs which results in a reduction of the aesthetic quality and subsequently the marketability. Quarantine regulations and certification programs are in place to prevent the

movement of plant pathogens. However in cases of asymptomatic infections and instances where adequate detection methods are not available, application of these regulations and certification programs is unfeasible. Among the diseases affecting dahlias, viral pathogens play a significant role primarily due to vegetative propagation of infected plant material.

Major viruses affecting dahlia crops include *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV), *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV), *Tobacco streak virus* (TSV), and *Tomato spotted wilt virus* (TWSV). When considering the prevalence and the economic impact of the viruses, DMV is considered to be the most important viral pathogen of dahlias (Brunt, 1971; Albouy, 1995). *Dahlia mosaic virus* was first reported in *Dahlia pinnata* from Germany in 1928 (Brunt, 1971). The disease is considered to be geographically widespread and ubiquitous in dahlia growing areas although the natural host range is limited to *Dahlia spp*. The most characteristic symptoms of the disease are mosaic and vein-banding accompanied by stunting and leaf distortion. Symptoms vary depending on the cultivar infected whereas cultivars with few or no symptoms are considered to be important reservoirs of infection. The economic impact of the disease is due to its negative effect on the quality and quantity of flowers produced by diseased plants.

*Dahlia mosaic virus* is a member of family *Caulimoviridae*. Family *Caulimoviridae* encompasses all plant viruses that consist of a double stranded DNA (dsDNA) genome. The viruses are monopartite with bacilliform or isometric particles. The defining feature of family *Caulimoviridae* is the presence of a reverse transcription stage in their replication cycle. The following steps have been identified in the caulimovirus replication cycle following the entry of the virus into the host cell using *Cauliflower mosaic virus* as a model. (i) Nuclear localization signal mediated transport of virus particles to the nuclear pore. (ii) Uncoating of viral DNA and

import into the nucleus. (iii) Association of DNA with histories to form minichromosomes. (iv) Transcription of the viral DNA by cellular DNA dependant RNA polymerase II to produce two RNA intermediates (19S RNA and 35S RNA). (v) Reverse transcription of RNA intermediates to produce dsDNA (Haas et al., 2002). Thus viruses in family Caulimoviridae are not true dsDNA viruses due to the requirement of RNA intermediates for their replication and are referred to as pararetroviruses. Pararetroviruses differ from true retroviruses in two main features. First, retroviruses have a genome composed of RNA while pararetroviruses have a DNA genome. Second, in retroviruses the DNA resulting from the reverse transcription of the RNA genome is integrated into the host DNA with the use of an integrase enzyme, whereas in pararetroviruses integration is not an essential part of the replication cycle. In pararetroviruses, the reverse transcribed DNA behave as free chromosomes in the host cell (Hull and Covey, 1996). Viruses in family *Caulimoviridae* are classified in six genera; genus *Badnavirus* (type member Commelina yellow mottle virus), genus Caulimovirus (type member Cauliflower mosaic virus), genus Cavemovirus (type member Cassava vein mosaic virus), genus Petuvirus (type member Petunia vein clearing virus), genus Soymovirus (type member Soybean chlorotic mottle virus), genus Tungrovirus (type member (Rice tungro bacilliform virus). Figure 1 represents the genome organization of the type members of the six virus genera listed above (Glasheen et al., 2002). Dahlia mosaic virus has been classified under genus Caulimovirus based on its genome structure and organization. It is composed of isometric virion particles 48-50 nm in diameter. There is a single report on the physical map of the DMV genome (Richins and Shepherd, 1983). Partial nucleotide sequences, representing various regions of the DMV genome that have been submitted to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database is listed in Table 1. Previous studies on DMV transmission have resulted in identifying 16 aphid species, including Aphis fabae, Myzus persicae and Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Brierley and Smith, 1950), as vectors transmitting the virus in a non-persistent manner. A virus encoded aphid transmission protein is reported to be necessary for viral transmission (Albouy, 1995). DMV was also shown to be transmitted by mechanical inoculation (Brierley and Smith, 1950; Brierley, 1951; Brunt, 1971). Verbesina encelioides, Ageratum conyzoides, Zinnia elegan, Amarantus caudatus and Chenopodium capitatum are plant host species susceptible to DMV although the natural host range of the virus is limited to Dahlia spp. Furthermore, V. encelioides and Z. elegans have been reported to be suitable maintenance and propagation hosts for DMV (Brierley and Smith, 1950; Brierley, 1951; Brunt, 1971). Dahlia mosaic virus infected plants are also reported to have viral inclusion bodies in the cells (Robb, 1963). Overall there is very limited information on the molecular and biological properties of the virus. This lack of information is a major impediment in the development of control options. The main goal of our study was to understand the important biological and molecular biological properties of DMV. Our specific objectives were to characterize the virus(es) associated with dahlia mosaic disease, determine the genome structure and organization of the virus(es) and to develop suitable diagnostic assays that would assist in rapid detection of the virus as well as help in developing suitable control options.

Genus Badnavirus				
Commelina yellow mottle virus (ComYMY	V)			
I II II	Ι			
Genus <i>Caulimovirus</i>				
Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV)				
VII I II III IV		V	V	I
Genus <i>Cavemovirus</i>				
Cassava vein mosaic virus (CsVMV)				
Ι	II	III	IV	V
Genus <i>Petuvirus</i>				
Petunia vein clearing virus (PVCV)				
I		II		
Genus <i>Sovmovirus</i>				
Sovhean chlorotic mottle virus (SbCMV)				
VII I A B C	IV	V		VI
	1	<b>`</b>		
Cenus Tungrovirus	ŀ			
Rice tungro bacilliform virus (RTRV)				
I II	Ш		т	V
	111		1	•

Figure 1. Genome organization of viruses belonging to genera in family Caulimoviridae. Coding regions with the same pattern are considered homologs. Roman numerals and capital letters represent the open reading frames (ORFs). Protein of unknown function; CORF III ComYMV and RTBV coat protein, aspartic protease, reverse transcriptase and ribonuclease H; Coat Cell to cell movement protein; Coat Aphid transmission factor; Coat protein; Coat Protein;

Sequence definition	GenBank accession number	Sequence length (bn)	Authors	Date submitted
Full length transcript promoter; and inclusion body matrix protein gene, partial cds	EF513491	442	Kulvev, B. Chemeris, A.	04/10/2007
Inclusion body matrix protein gene partial cds; and intergenic spacer, partial sequence	EF463101	678	Kulvev, B. Chemeris, A.	04/03/2007
Isolate D2 putative capsid protein gene partial cds	EF203678	1300	Pham, K. T. K. Van Doorn, J. Derks, A. F. L. M.	02/03/2007
Isolate D1 putative capsid protein gene, partial cds	EF203677	1068	Pham, K. T. K. Van Doorn, J. Derks, A. F. L. M.	02/03/2007
Isolate 2 truncated reverse transcriptase gene, partial cds	EF203676	1082	Pham, K. T. K. Van Doorn, J. Derks, A. F. L. M.	02/03/2007
Isolate 1 reverse transcriptase gene, partial cds	EF203675	966	Pham, K. T. K. Van Doorn, J. Derks, A. F. L. M.	02/03/2007
Putative capsid protein gene, partial cds	AY971810	323	Buganov, Y. V.	03/16/2006
Partial ORF4 for putative capsid protein and partial ORF5 for putative reverse transcriptase	AJ515906	1171	Nicolaisen, M.	04/15/2005
Inclusion body matrix protein (VI) gene, complete cds	AY309480	1515	Maiti, I. B. Pattanaik, S.	06/30/2003
Polyprotein (V) gene, complete cds	AY309479	1830	Maiti, I. B. Pattanaik, S.	06/30/2003
Putative capsid protein gene, complete cds	AY291588	1476	Maiti, I. B. Pattanaik, S.	06/30/2003
Putative DNA-binding protein/minor viral capsid protein gene, complete cds	AY291587	363	Maiti, I. B. Pattanaik, S.	06/30/2003
Putative aphid transmission protein gene, complete cds	AY291586	504	Maiti, I. B. Pattanaik, S.	06/01/2003
Putative movement protein gene, complete cds	AY291585	966	Maiti, I. B. Pattanaik, S.	06/01/2003

# Table 1. A description of *Dahlia mosaic virus* sequences available in GenBank

#### REFERENCES

- Albouy, J. 1995. Dahlia. Pages 265-273 in: Virus and virus-like diseases of bulb and flower crops. G. Lobenstein, R. H. Lawson, A. A. Brunt, A. A. eds. John Wiley and Sons. Chichester, New York.
- Armitage, A. M. 1993. Dahlia hybrids. Pages 268-271 in: Specialty Cut Flowers. The production of annuals, perennials, bulbs, and woody plants for fresh and dried cut flowers. Varsity Press/Timber Press, Portland, Oregon.
- Brierley, P. 1951. Value of index plants for detecting dahlia viruses. Plant Dis. Rep. 35: 405-407.
- Brierley, P., Smith, F. F. 1950. Some vectors, hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Plant Dis. Rep. 34: 363-370.
- Brunt, A. A. 1971. Some hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Anal. App. Biol. 67: 357-368.
- Damp, P. 1981. Growing dahlias. Croom Helm Ltd, London.
- Dole, J. M., Wilkins, H. F. 1999. Dahlia. Pages 287-292 in: Floriculture: principles and species. Prentice-Hall Inc, New Jersey.
- Gatt, M., Ding, H. Hammett, K. Murray, B. 1998. Polyploidy and evolution in wild and cultivated dahlia species. Anal. Botany 81: 647-656.
- Geisler, M. 2006. Agricultural marketing resource center. Floriculture profile. http://www.agmrc.org/agmrc/commodity/specialitycrops/floriculture/floricultureprofile.h tm. Accessed online April, 2007.
- Glasheen, B. M., Polashock, J. J., Lawrence, D. M., Gillett, J. M., Ramsdell, D. C., Vorsa, N., Hillman, B. I. 2002. Cloning, sequencing, and promoter identification of *Blueberry red*

*ringspot virus*, a member of the family *Caulimoviridae* with similarities to the "Soybean chlorotic mottle-like" genus. Arch. Virol. 147: 2169-2186.

- Haas, M., Bureau, M., Geldreich, A., Yot, P., Keller, M. 2002. *Cauliflower mosaic virus*: still in the news. Mol. Plant Pathol. 3(6): 419-429.
- Hull, R., Covey, S. N. 1996. Retroelements: Propagation and adaptation. Virus genes 11: 105-118.
- Jerardo, A. 2006. Floriculture and nursery crops outlook. Electronic outlook report from the economic research service. http://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/flo/2006/09Sep/FLO05.pdf. Accessed online

April, 2007.

Jerardo, A. 2004. Amber waves. The economics of food, farming, natural resources, and rural America.

http://www.ers.usda.gov/amberwaves/February04/Findings/VolumeProduction.htm. Accessed online April, 2007.

- Lawson, R., Hsu, H. T. 2006. Quarantine viruses, viroids and phytoplasmas that affect the movement of ornamental plants. Acta Hort. 722: 17-30.
- Richins, R. D., Shepherd, R. J. 1983. Physical maps of the genomes of *Dahlia mosaic virus* and *Mirabilis mosaic virus* - two members of the Caulimovirus group. Virology 124: 208-214.
- Robb, S. M. 1963. Inclusion bodies in dahlia infected with *Dahlia mosaic virus*. Nature 200: 1339-1340.
- Saar, D. E., Polans, N. O., Sørensen, P. D. 2003. A phylogenetic analysis of the genus Dahlia

(*Asteraceae*) based on internal and external transcribed spacer regions of nuclear ribosomal DNA. Systematic botany 28(3): 627-639.

Sørensen, P. D. 1969. Revision of the genus *Dahlia* (Compositae, Heliantheae-Coreopsidinae). Rhodora 71: 309-365.

## **CHAPTER TWO**

# GENOME STRUCTURE, ORGANIZATION AND INTERVIRAL HOMOLOGIES OF A NOVEL AND DISTINCT SPECIES OF GENUS CAULIMOVIRUS ASSOCIATED WITH DAHLIA MOSAIC

#### ABSTRACT

The genome structure and organization of a new and distinct caulimovirus that is widespread in dahlia (*Dahlia pinnata*) was determined. The double stranded DNA genome was ca. 7.0 kb in size and shared many of the features of the members of the genus *Caulimovirus* such as the presence of genes potentially coding for the movement protein, the inclusion body protein, the reverse transcriptase, and an intergenic region consisting of a potential 35S promoter. However, the virus differed from known caulimoviruses in that the aphid transmission factor was absent and the coat protein was smaller than its counterpart in known *Caulimovirus* species. Sequence homology at the amino acid level with known caulimoviruses was low and ranged from 32-70%. The absence of an aphid transmission factor and the highly divergent nature of the genomic sequence are characteristics of this newly characterized caulimovirus that is widely associated with dahlia mosaic disease.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Dahlia mosaic caulimovirus (DMV) is an important viral pathogen of dahlia (Dahlia

*pinnata*) in the US and several parts of the world. First reported from Germany in 1928, the virus is considered to be one of the most important disease constraints affecting dahlas. DMV is a member of the family *Caulimoviridae*, genus *Caulimovirus* with a circular double stranded-DNA genome of approximately 7 kb. The symptomatology, propagative hosts and the role of various aphid species in virus transmission were reported (Albouy, 1992; Brierley & Smith, 1950, Brunt, 1971). The most characteristic symptoms of the disease include mosaic and vein-banding accompanied by stunting and leaf distortion. Symptoms vary depending on the cultivar, and cultivars with few or no symptoms are common. The economic impact of the disease is due to its negative affect on the quality and quantity of flowers produced by diseased plants.

The physical map of a caulimovirus (DMV-Portland) genome associated with dahlia mosaic was reported (Richins & Shepherd, 1983), and its genomic sequence representing six open reading frames is available in GenBank (GenBank accessions AY309480, AY309479, AY291588, AY291587, AY291586, and AY291585). However, our surveys of dahlias to determine the incidence of DMV revealed the overwhelming presence of another caulimovirus, designated DMV-D10 that was found to be distinct from DMV-Portland (Pahalawatta *et al.*, 2005; Pahalawatta *et al.*, 2007a; Pahalawatta *et al.*, 2007b; Pappu *et al.*, 2005). A partial sequence representing a D10-like sequence variant, DMV-Holland (Nicolaisen, 2003) has also been reported. To better understand the identity and taxonomic position of the caulimoviruses associated with dahlia mosaic, the genome structure and organization of DMV-D10 genome was deciphered and was compared to DMV-Portland and other members of the genus *Caulimovirus*.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**DMV** isolates and DNA extraction. D10-infected dahlia plants were collected from Dahlia Trial Garden, Tacoma, WA. Total genomic DNA was extracted from infected dahlia leaves following a modified Dellaporta method (Presting *et al.*, 1995). Approximately 0.1g (or a quarter-sized leaf piece) was ground in liquid N<sub>2</sub> followed by the addition of 1ml extraction buffer (100mM Tris, pH 8.0, 50mM EDTA, 500mM NaCl, and 10mM β-mercaptoethanol ) and 140ul 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate. Samples were mixed by inversion and incubated at 65°C for 10 minutes followed by addition of 250ul 8M potassium acetate. Samples were incubated on ice for 5 minutes, spun at maximum speed in the Eppendorf microfuge for 5 minutes and 1ml of the aqueous phase pulled off and added to tubes containing 0.6ml isopropanol. Tubes were inverted to mix and placed on ice for 3 minutes. Samples were spun for 5 minutes at maximum speed in the microfuge. Pellets were rinsed with 1ml 70% ethanol, spun briefly and the ethanol decanted. Pellets were air-dried followed by resuspension in 100ul sterile double distilled water. Ten microliters DNA was diluted 1:5 by addition of 40ul distilled water.

**Cloning and sequencing.** Initial cloning of the D10 genome was accomplished by using primers V4739 (5'-TGTCCACAAGGTCACTA-3') and C5400 (5'-TKTCRTTRCTRTGGTAATT-3') derived from the conserved regions of the reverse transcriptase gene of caulimoviruses. A 670 bp fragment was amplified, cloned and sequenced. The sequence was utilized to design primers and obtain additional clones of the remaining genomic DNA.

The PCR reaction included a final volume of 2µl 10X PCR (Promega, Madison, WI)

buffer and a final concentration of 0.15mM dNTP's, 2mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.6µM of each primer in a total reaction volume of 20µl. PCR amplification was performed in a DNA thermocycler (BioRad, Hercules, CA) programmed for 3 min at 94°C for initial denaturation and 50 cycles each consisting of 30 sec at 94°C, 20 sec at  $T_m$ -5°C and 1 min extension per 1000 bp product at 72°C, followed by a final extension for 7 min at 72°C. Each PCR reaction included a DNA sample from a DMV-infected plant and a water control. The PCR products were analyzed by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis in 1X TAE buffer. PCR products of expected size were cloned using pGEM-T (Promega, Madison, WI) or TOPO TA (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) cloning kits according to manufacturers' instructions. Some PCR products were sequenced directly after purification using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The ca. 7 kb DMV-D10 genomic DNA was cloned in 6 cloning steps (Figure 1). In each step two specific primers were utilized for PCR amplification (Table 1). Recombinant plasmids were isolated (Sambrook et al., 1989) and were sequenced using M13 forward and reverse primers, whereas PCR products were sequenced using the specific primer pairs that were initially used to obtain the PCR product. A minimum of two clones were sequenced from each cloned region to verify sequence data. Nucleotide sequences were determined using the ABI Prism Sequencing System at the Molecular Biology Core Laboratory of the Washington State University, Pullman, WA.

Sequence analysis. Nucleotide sequences and their putative translation products were compared to other caulimovirus sequences available in GenBank (Benson *et al.*, 2005) using BLASTN and BLASTX (Altschul *et al.*, 1997). Pairwise alignment of amino acid sequences to determine sequence identity and similarity were carried out using the Needleman-Wunsch Global

Alignment in EMBOSS (Needleman & Wunsch, 1970). DNA fragments were assembled into contigs and the contigs were assembled to give complete genome sequence using ContigExpress (Vector NTI Suite 9.0.0, Informax Inc, Bethesda, MD). Amino acid sequence alignments and phylogenetic analysis of each DMV open reading frame (ORF) with ORFs of other caulimoviruses was done using CLUSTALW version 1.83 (Thompson *et al.*, 1994) and MEGA3 (Kumar *et al.*, 2004). The RNA secondary structure with the DMV-D10 leader sequence was predicted using MFold (Zuker *et al.*, 1999).

**Table 1.** List of names and GenBank accession numbers of the viruses used for multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic comparison of DMV-D10 amino acid sequences with amino acid sequences of other caulimoviruses. CaMV- *Cauliflower mosaic virus*; CERV-*Carnation etched ring virus*; FMV- *Figwort mosaic virus*; HLV- *Horseradish latent virus*; MMV- *Mirabilis mosaic virus*; SVBV-*Strawberry vein banding virus*; BRRV- *Blueberry red ringspot virus*; CmYLCV- *Commelina yellow leaf curling virus*; PCSV- *Peanut chlorotic streak virus*; SbCMV-*Soybean chlorotic mottle virus*; NA- Not available.

Viral Protein (Open reading frame)							
Virus	Movement	Aphid transmission	<b>DNA-binding</b>	Capsid	Polyprotein	Inclusion body	
V II US	<b>(I</b> )	( <b>II</b> )	(III)	( <b>IV</b> )	(V)	(VI)	
DMV-Portland	AAP44107	AAP44108	AAP44109	AAP44110	AAP75615	AAP75616	
CaMV	P03546	P03549	P03552	P03543	P03555	P03558	
CERV	P05396	P05397	P05398	P05399	P05400	P05401	
FMV	P09520	P09521	P09522	P09519	P09523	P09524	
HLV	AAW56085	AAW56086	AAW56087	AAW56088	AAW56089	AAW56090	
MMV	NP_659393	NP_695394	NP_695395	NP_695396	NP_695397	NP_695398	
SVBV	CAA65972	CAA65973	CAA65974	CAA65969	CAA65970	CAA65971	
BRRV	NP_395472			NP_395468	NP_395469	NP_395470	
CmYLCV	NP_861411	NP_861407		NP_861409	NP_861410	NP_861547	
PCSV	NP_042515			NP_042512	NP_042513	NP_042514	
SbCMV	P15631			P15627	P15629	P15628	

Figure 1. The locations of the clones used in the sequencing of the entire genome of Dahlia mosaic virus-D10. Letters A to F represent clones. The length of each clone is indicated in base pairs (bp). The hatched box represents a single clone.



**Table 1.** Primers used to clone and sequence *Dahlia mosaic virus*- D10. The location of eachclone is indicated in Fig. 1.

Clone	Primer	Primer sequence (5'-3')	$T_m (^{\circ}C)$
A	ORF1- START ORF1- END1	ATG GAT CGT AAA GAT T CTG TTT TTC TGT GTT TCT ACT GG	55
В	ORF1-START D5 1396-360F	ATG GAT CGT AAA GAT T CCG GTT TTA TAA CTT CTT GG	55
C	D5 1337-602F D10 1322-532F	GAG GAT CTA TGG GAA AAC AC ATT ATG GCT GTC TCC TTT GG	64
D	D10 1321-457F D10 CORF6	TTT GAA AAC CCT ATT GAC CC CTC TGT GTT CTC CAT CGA AG	65
E	V6100 DAPROR	TCC GTT GAT GGC TGA CAG TT AGG TAG GGG TTT ACT CAC ACA TT	70
F	DAPROF ORF1-END1	AAT GTG TGA GTA AAC CCC TAC CT CTG TTT TTC TGT GTT TCT ACT GG	67

#### RESULTS

**Genome structure.** The D10 genomic sequence consisted of 7046 base pairs (bps) with five complete ORFs and one partial ORF that corresponded to those present in known caulimoviruses. The size of the DMV-D10 genome was comparable to other known caulimoviruses which range from 7.2 kb to 8.0 kb. The A+T composition of the genome was 66.4%, slightly higher than that of other known caulimoviruses for which the A+T composition ranges from 60.0% to 64.6%. Percentage similarity of DMV-D10 proteins to homologous proteins in other caulimoviruses ranged from 25%-74% (Table 2). Figure 2 is a graphical representation of the DMV D10 genome that shows the approximate location of each ORF discussed below. There are several discernable differences between the genome organization of DMV-D10, DMV-Portland and *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV) (Fig. 3). The complete nucleotide sequence of DMV-D10 and the amino acid translation is given in Figure 4. The DMV-D10 sequence is numbered beginning with the 5' end of the probable tRNAmet primer binding site (5' TGGTATCAGAGCCTTG3') that is predicted to be the primer for negative strand DNA synthesis (Richins *et al.*, 1987; Glasheen *et al.*, 2002).

**Coding regions.** ORF I of DMV-D10 consisted of 990 bps encoding the movement protein (MP). A putative transport domain (GNLAYGKFMFTVY; amino acids 173-185), identified in other caulimoviruses to be important for virus cell-to-cell movement, was present within this region (Glasheen *et al.*, 2002; Hasegawa *et al.*, 1989). Phylogenetic analysis showed that the MP of DMV-D10 was closest to that of *Figwort mosaic virus* (FMV), whereas the MP of DMV-Portland clustered with that of *Mirabilis mosaic virus* (MMV) (Fig. 5a).

Genus Caulimovirus	ORF I	ORF III	ORF IV	ORF V	ORF VI
Dahlia mosaia virus DMV Portland	37.0	35.2	6.9	54.4	29.3
Danita mosaic virus DMV-Portiand	(53.0)	(50.8)	(11.6)	(64.8)	(40.1)
Cauliflower mosaic virus	41.7	25.9	7.5	58.3	18.6
Caulylower mosaic virus	(57.1)	(47.4)	(11.4)	(71.2)	(28.4)
Carnation etched ring virus	36.0	27.7	8.5	60.3	19.1
Carnation elchea ring virus	(49.5)	(33.0)	(11.3)	(71.5)	(31.8)
Figurert mosgic virus	39.4	32.8	7.2	70.1	26.6
Figwort mosaic virus	(53.4)	(50.4)	(11.6)	(79.2)	(36.3)
	39.3	35.9	7.3	56.2	19.1
norseraaisn talent virus	(54.6)	(45.0)	(10.5)	(68.0)	(29.0)
Mirabilis mosaia virus	35.7	31.3	6.5	62.7	26.0
Miraduis mosaic virus	(51.7)	(45.5)	(12.7)	(73.0)	(37.3)
Strawbarry yoin banding yirus	24.8	21.6	6.2	46.3	18.2
Strawberry vein bunding virus	(41.6)	(32.1)	(11.9)	(58.8)	(29.6)
Shanoony von bunung vins	(41.6)	(32.1)	(11.9)	(58.8)	(29.6)

**Table 2.** Percentage identity and percentage similarity of *Dahlia mosaic virus* –D10 open

 reading frames (ORFs) to other caulimoviruses. Percentage similarities are listed within brackets.



**Figure 2.** *Dahlia mosaic virus* genome organization. The double-lined closed circle represents the viral genome. Lines with arrows represent the various open reading frames. Figure not drawn to scale.



Figure 3. Genome organization of *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV), *Dahlia mosaic virus*DMV-Portland and DMV-D10. Coding regions with same patterns are considered homologs.
Roman numerals signify open reading frames. Protein of unknown function; IIII Movement
protein; IIII Aphid transmission factor; IIII Nucleic acid binding protein; IIII Coat protein; IIII
polyprotein; IIII Inclusion body protein.

TGGTATCAGAGCCTTGTGCTCAAAATTTTAAAAAATAAAAGTTTGGTTTATTCTTGAAAA DMV-D10 60 ORF VII GIRALCSKF\* DMV-D10 120 ORF I M D R K D L DMV-D10 TATTTAATATAAATAAAGATGATTCGGAAGAATCTTTCGATCAGGAAACCACTGAAGTAA 180 ORF I F N I N K D D S E E S F D O E T T E V I 010–VMD TAAACTTTAGTGAAACAAACAAAGGATTTGTATCAGACTACATGATTAATTCTGATTATT 240 ORF I N F S E T N K G F V S D Y M I N S D Y L DMV-D10 TAGAACAAATCATGAAGCTTAAGCTAAAGCTTGATACAAAACAGGTTTTTAATCAACCTA 300 ORF I E Q I M K L K L K L D T K Q V F N Q P S DMV-D10 GTAATATACAGAGATTAGTTTCAAAAGCTTTCTCTAGAAAAAATAATATCTTTTATTGCT 360 ORF I N I Q R L V S K A F S R K N N I F Y C F DMV-D10 TTAATACTGAAGAATTGTCAGTAGATATAAAAGATACTACAGGTGAATTGTATTTACCAC 420 ORF I N T E E L S V D I K D T T G E L Y L P L TTCTAACAAAAGGAGAAATAGCCAGAAGACTTCTGACTATTAAACCAGAATTAAGAAAAA DMV-D10 480 LTKGEIARRLLTIKPELRKT ORF T CCATGAATATGGTGCACATCGGAGCAGTAAAAATCCTTCTGAAGGCACAGTTCAGAGATG DMV-D10 540 ORF I M N M V H I G A V K I L L K A O F R D G GAATTAACTTCCCGATAAAAATGGCTTTAGTTGATAACAGAATTATCAACAGGCAAGACG 600 DMV-D10 I N F P I K M A L V D N R I I N R Q D A ORF I CTCTACTCGGAGCAGTTCAAGGAAATTTAGCATACGGTAAATTTATGTTTACTGTTTATC DMV - D10660 ORF I L L G A V Q G N L A Y G K F **M** F T V Y P DMV-D10 CTAAATTTGCATTACATCGAGATTCAAAAGATTTCGATAAAACCTTAAGTTTCATACATC 720 K F A L H R D S K D F D K T L S F I H Q ORF I DMV-D10 780 ORF I C E R T D L M E P G N K V F T I N Y L I DMV-D10 TTTCGTATGCTTTGACAAATAGTACTCATTCAATTGAGTATAAAGAAAAGGAGAGTATAA 840 ORF I S Y A L T N S T H S I E Y K E K E S I T DMV-D10 900 ORF I L D D V F S E I G T V E G S K F A E P S DMV-D10 960 ORF I O I O E N W A I D I A R E K O T L G F O AACCTAGAAATAGTTTTACAGGAATCCCCTTACAAATAGGCGAGTCCAGTAGAAACACAG 1020 DMV-D10 ORF I P R N S F T G I P L Q I G E S S R N T E DMV-D10 AAAAACAACTTATTCGGTCTATGTCCGAAAAAGTAGACATGTATGGAGAAATCCTTAGAG 1080

ORF I	K Q L I R S M S E K V D M Y G E I L R E	
DMV-D10 ORF I	AATTGATTGGGAAATGACTTCGTCACAACCAATTGTTATGGCCTTAGCACGCCTAAATAG L I G K *	1140
DMV-D10	TAAAATCACTTATGAAGAATTAAGTATTGAACTTAACCTCATAAGGATAGAAGAAATAGA	1200
DMV-D10	AGAAAATTTTATGTTAAGTCGTAAAATTATATTCTTAAAAACTAGTTCTCTGAATTCTAA	1260
DMV-D10	ATTAGAAATCAGTAGGCAAAGAATTAAGGACCTCAAAGCTAGGAAAGTCTTAATTTCCAC	1320
DMV-D10 ORF III	AGC <b>ATG</b> AGTCTCTTAAAGCTAGAAGAATTAATTAATAAGTTAATTCTAAAATTAGACAGT I MSLLKLEELINKLILKLDS	1380
DMV-D10 ORF III	TTAGTGTCTAACGAAAACCTAGAGGTTATAGCAGCAAAAATTATCAAGGAAGTCTTAGAC L V S N E N L E V I A A K I I K E V L D	1440
DMV-D10 ORF III	GAAATTGATAAGCTTAGCAAGCAACCTTTAGAAACCGAGAAGTCGGAAACCGAGAAATCG E I D K L S K Q P L E T E K S E T E K S	1500
DMV-D10 ORF III DMV-D10	GAAGCCAAAAATGAGAAAGAAGTTTTCAAAAATACTCTTTTCCAAACTTCAATGTAGGT E A K N E K R S F Q K Y S F P N F N V G AACCCTTCATTAGGAAGTTCAAAAAGTCCAAATGCTTTAACATGGCCTTTTGGATCAACC	1560 1620
ORF III	N P S L G S S K S P N A L T W P F G S T	
DMV-D10 ORF III	TCAAATGACTAATAGACGTCAAATGAGAATCCTTCTTAGGAAAATTAATT	1680
DMV-D10	AATGAATTATTGGTATTTGCAGATCAATAATCAAAGAAGAATCCAACTCCTCAGCGATTG	1740
DMV-D10	GAGCATTCACCCAGGTGATAAACAGTAAGTGAGCTAACTTATTTAGCAGCTCGCAGGTAC	1800
DMV-D10	AAACTCGAAAGAGAAATACAAGATCTTGAAGACCAAAAGGTAATATTATCTTGTGCATAA	1860
DMV-D10 ORF IV/V	AATGAGTTCTATCTTAGACAGATTAGACAAAATCTTTTTTGATCTCTTGGAAATCCGTCC $\mathbb{I}$ <b>M</b> S S I L D R L D K I F F D L L E I R P	1920
DMV-D10 ORF IV/V	AATTGAACAAATAAAAGAACTCGTTCAACTCATGTCCTTAACAGAACAAGAGTGCGAACA I E Q I K E L V Q L <b>M S</b> L T E Q E C E Q	1980
DMV-D10 ORF IV/V	ACAATTTTCTGAAAAAAAGATTTGAGAAATAAATATCTTTCCGATAAATATTTTTCTGA 2 Q F S E K K D L R N K Y L S D K Y F S E	2040
DMV-D10 ORF IV/V	AGAAAAATTTTTAGAAAAATAAAGAAAAGGTTTTTAAAAATAAACAAAC	2100
DMV-D10 ORF IV/V	AGAAAACTCTTTAAAAGAAAAACACTATGCCAACGAATGTCCTAACAGACAACAGTCTCT 2 E N S L K E K H Y A N E C P N R Q Q S L	2160
DMV-D10 ORF IV/V	GAAAAAGGTAAAATTAATTTCTGAGGTAGAAACGAAAGGATATTATCCTACAGAAAATAT 2 K K V K L I S E V E T K G Y Y P T E N I	2220
DMV-D10 ORF IV/V	TTTTAATGATTATATGCAGGTATATTCATTAAAAGAACAGGAATCTTTGACTAATTCTGA 2 F N D Y M Q V Y S L K E Q E S L T N S D	2280

DMV-D10 ORF IV/V S C S D S F S S Q K F N L R E T I D N D TGAACAAGTTCATTGTTGTCAAAGCGAATTAGTTTATTCAAATATAACTAATCCAAACTC 2400 DMV-D10 ORFIV/V E O V H C C O S E L V Y S N I T N P N S DMV-D10 GATTTATATCAAAGGGAAAATATTTCTTAAAGGATATAAAACAATAACTCTACATTGCTA 2460 ORF IV/V IYIKGKIFLKGYKTITLHCY DMV-D10 TGTAGATACAGGAGCAAGCCTTTGTCTTGCAAGTAAACACGTTATTCCTGAGGATCTATG 2520 ORF IV/V V D T G A S L C L A S K H V I P E D L W DMV-D10 GGAAAACACTCCCCAAAGATATAAAAGTAACAATTGCAAATCAGGAAACAATAAAATTAGA 2580 ORFIV/V E N T P K D I K V T I A N Q E T I K L D DMV-D10 CAAGGTTTGTAGAAATCTTATTGTCTATTTTTCAGGGGAACCATTTCCTATTCCCACTAT 2640 ORF IV/V K V C R N L I V Y F S G E P F P I P T I DMV-D10 CTACCAACAAGAAAGTGGTATAGATTTACTCCTTGGAAATAACTTTTGTCAATTATATGG 2700 ORF IV/V Y O O E S G I D L L L G N N F C O L Y G DMV-D10 GCCATTCACCCAATGGATTGACAGAATATCTTTTCATCTTAATCAAGAAATGATTTTGAT 2760 P F T Q W I D R I S F H L N Q E M I L I ORF IV/V DMV-D10 CAAAAAGATAACAAAAGCTTTTCAAATTGGAAAAACTGGTTTTCTTGAATCCATGAAAAA 2820 ORFIV/V K K I T K A F Q I G K T G F L E S M K K AGATTCAAAAAACTAAACAAATTCCGGGTACCAATATAACCCCAAGAAGTTATAAAACCGGA 2880 DMV-D10 ORF IV/V D S K T K Q I P G T N I T Q E V I K P E DMV - D10AAGATTTTTTCTTGAAAATACAGAGATATCAAAAAATTGAAGATCTACTTGAAAAAAGTATG 2940 ORF IV/V R F F L E I Q R Y Q K I E D L L E K V C TTTTGAAAACCCTATTGACCCAGAAAAGTCCAAATACTGGATGAATGCCTCAATAGAATT 3000 DMV-D10 F E N P I D P E K S K Y W M N A S I E L ORF IV/V AATAGATCCCAAAACCGTGGTTAGGGTAAAACCCATGAAATATAGTCCACAGGATCGCGA 3060 DMV-D10 ORF IV/V I D P K T V V R V K P M K Y S P Q D R E DMV-D10 ORF IV/V E F G K Q I K E L L D L K L I I P S K S DMV-D10 TCCTCACATGTCTCCAGCTTTTCTTGTAGAAAACGAAGCAGAAAAAAGGAGAGAAAAAA 3180 ORF IV/V P H M S P A F L V E N E A E K R R G K K GCGCAGGGTTGTAAATTATAAGGCAATTAATGCAGCTACCAAAGGAGACAGCCATAATCT 3240 DMV-D10 R R V V N Y K A I N A A T K G D S H N L ORF TV/V DMV-D10 TCCTTGTATGCAGGAATTATTAACCCTCTTAAGAGGAAAAACCATTTTTTCTACTTTCGA 3300 P C M O E L L T L L R G K T I F S T F D ORF IV/V DMV-D10 CTGCAAGAGTGGTTTTTGGCAGGTATTGCTAAATGAAGAATCTCAGTTATTAACTGCGTT 3360 ORF IV/V C K S G F W Q V L L N E E S Q L L T A F
DMV-D10 CACATGTCCAGACGGTCATTACCAATGGAGGGTAGTCCCTTTTGGATTAAAACAGGCACC 3420 ORF IV/V T C P D G H Y Q W R V V P F G L K Q A P DMV-D10 AAGCATATTTCAACGACATATGCCAAAATGCCCTAAGAGGATTAGAAAATTATTGTACCGT 3480 ORFIV/V SIFORHMONALRGLENYCTV DMV-D10 CTACGTTGACGATATCATTGTTTTCTCAGACTCTGAAGAAAAGCATTATTTTCATGTTTT 3540 ORF IV/V Y V D D I I V F S D S E E K H Y F H V L DMV-D10 ORFIV/V SALKTIEKYGIILSKKKANL DMV-D10 TTTTAAAACAAAGATAAACTTTTTAGGTCTTGAAATAGACCAAGGGACTCATTGTCCACA 3660 ORFIV/V F K T K I N F L G L E I D Q G T H C P Q DMV-D10 AAAACATATCTTGGAAAAATTTACATAAATTTCCAGATACTTTAGAAGATAAAAAACATCT 3720 ORF IV/V K H I L E N L H K F P D T L E D K K H L TCAAAGATTTTTAGGTGTTCTAACCTATGCAGAAAGCTACATTCCCAAGCTTGCGGAGCT 3780 DMV-D10 ORFIV/V O R F L G V L T Y A E S Y I P K L A E L DMV-D10 CAGGAAACCCTTACAGGTAAAAACTAAAAAAGGATTACGTATGGGAATGGAAACAATCCGA 3840 R K P L Q V K L K K D Y V W E W K Q S D ORF IV/V TACGAACTATATTAAAAAGATAAAGAAAAATCTAACGAGTTTTCCAAAAACTTTATCTTCC 3900 DMV-D10 ORFIV/V T N Y I K K I K K N L T S F P K L Y L P AAAAGAAAAAGAATTTCTTATTATAGAAACAGATGCTTCCAACGATTTTTGGGGGAGGCGT 3960  $0 1 \sigma = V M \sigma$ ORF IV/V K E K E F L I I E T D A S N D F W G G V TCTAAAGGCAAAAACTGCTGACAAAGAAGAAGAAGTATGCCGATACACTTCTGGTAGTTTTAA 4020 DMV-D10 L K A K T A D K E E V C R Y T S G S F K ORF IV/V AACAGCCGAAAGGAATTACCACAGTAATGAAAAAGAATTACTTGCGGTAAAAAAACACTAT 4080 DMV-D10 ORF IV/V T A E R N Y H S N E K E L L A V K N T I TTCCAAATTCTCCATTTATCTTACTCCGGTAAAATTCTTGGTTAGAACGGATAATAGAAA 4140 DMV-D10 ORFIV/V S K F S I Y L T P V K F L V R T D N R N DMV-D10 ORFIV/V F T Y F L K T K I S G D N K O G R L V R DMV-D10 ATGGCAAATGTGGTTCTCCAGATACTCTTTTGATATTGAGCATCTTGAAGGATCAAAAAA 4260 ORF IV/V W O M W F S R Y S F D I E H L E G S K N TGTGTTGGCTGACTGCCTACCACGCGACTTTCAAAATTAAAAAATTTTCTCTTCAGTTTA 4320 DMV-D10 VLADCLPRDFQN\* ORF TV/V TCTCTTTCTTTCAAAAAACATTTTTTTTTTTAAGAAATAAGAGTTATGGAAGAAATTAAGGCG 4380 DMV-D10 ORF VI ΜΕΕΙΚΑ DMV-D10 TTACGCCTAAAGGAAAAAATTCTTTTAATAGAATTAAAAGCTATTCAGCAAAAAATAGCA 4440 ORFVI L R L K E K I L L I E L K A I Q Q K I A

DMV-D10 CTCTACGACGAAAGTCTAGAAACAACTGTCAATTCAGTACAGGAAACCGCGAGGTTACAA 4500 ORF VI L Y D E S L E T T V N S V Q E T A R L Q DMV-D10 ACTGAATCTATTCCTTTACAAACGGCTAAAGGTAAAGAAATATCAAGCCCGTTGATCCCT 4560 ORF VI T E S I P L Q T A K G K E I S S P L I P DMV-D10 GATGCTTTGGGAAAAAGCATGAAAAGGTCAAATGATGAACCACAATCATCATCTACCCCT 4620 ORF VI D A L G K S M K R S N D E P Q S S S T P DMV - D10GATGCTTTGGGAAAAAGCATGAAATGGTCAAATGATGAACCACAATCATCATCTAGTCCG 4680 ORF VI D A L G K S M K W S N D E P Q S S S P DMV-D10 GTTGCAAACGGCTCCGGTAAAGACTCATCAAATCCGTTGATGGCTGACAGTTTGCCAAAA 4740 ORF VI V A N G S G K D S S N P L M A D S L P K DMV-D10 ACTGATAAGGTCGTTCTTAGACCAACTTATTCTCAAATCATGCAGGAACCCATGAAATCT 4800 ORF VI T D K V V L R P T Y S Q I M Q E P M K S AAATCAAGATTTTATGTGATCTTCGATGGAGAACACAGAGGCATTTATGAAGACTGGTCC 4860 DMV-D10 ORF VI K S R F Y V I F D G E H R G I Y E D W S DMV-D10 ATAGTCTCCAGATATGTCACAGGAACGTCTTGTCCGTTCAAGAAATTTGGGTCCTTGTTA 4920 I V S R Y V T G T S C P F K K F G S L L ORF VI DMV-D10 CAAGCCCAACAGGAAGCTACTCGGTACTCAGCAGAGTTCGGGAAAAAAGAAATACCACTT 4980 ORF VI O A O O E A T R Y S A E F G K K E I P L 010\_WV ORF VI R I T V F S E P L K P K K K E R M V E F DMV - D10AAAAAAGAAAAAGACTTCTTAAAAAATATTAAAGAAGCTAAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGAAGATAAT 5100 K K E K D F L K N I K E A K E E E D N ORF VI GTTATTATCTCTTTAGACGAATTCAGACTAGTTTGGTCAAAAGCCAGATTATTAAGTCAT 5160 DMV-D10 ORF VI V I I S L D E F R L V W S K A R L L S H CTCGACTTTGAGTCTGAACACATATACATAGAAGATAAAGCTACTAAAAGTTTAATTATC 5220 DMV-D10 L D F E S E H I Y I E D K A T K S L I I ORF VI TTTTGTCCAGGTGCAAGTCCGGAATTAGTTTCTCTGGCATTTTATGTTGGTTTAACTAAA 5280 DMV-D10 ORF VI F C P G A S P E L V S L A F Y V G L T K DMV-D10 TACATATATCCTTCTGCTAATTTACTTGAAATTAGTCTGTTATCCGAAGGAATGAAGAAA 5340 ORF VI Y I Y P S A N L L E I S L L S E G M K K GCTATTAAAAACTTTCGAAGAAAGATTGCTGATGCTAGAGATGCAAATATTTTCATTAAA 5400 DMV-D10 A I K N F R R K I A D A R D A N I F I K ORF VI DMV-D10 TGCAACTCTACCAGATTGGTACCAAGGAAGATCATTTCCAAGCTACCACCATTTG 5460 ORF VI C N S T L P D W Y Q G R S F P S Y H H L DMV-D10 GAGATTGGCATCGCCAAAACAAGAACAGTCGAACCGTCCAAGGTAATGGCTGAAAAATAT 5520 ORF VI E I G I A K T R T V E P S K V M A E K Y

DMV-D10	GAAGACCCTGAAAATTGGGTGGAAATAAGGTCAAGAGGATTCATGAAGATTCTCAACAAC	5580
ORF VI	E D P E N W V E I R S R G F <b>M</b> K I L N N	
DMV-D10	CTACAAAAAATAACAGCTGGAAGCTTTGTTAAAGTCAATTATTGCAGCACCAACTGCATA	5640
ORF VI	L Q K I T A G S F V K V N Y C S T N C I	
DMV-D10	ATTACCGGCCACAGTGGATCTCCTATTCCCAAGCACGAAAAGGAGTTACTGACAATAATG	5700
ORF VI	I T G H S G S P I P K H E K E L L T I M	
10–10	САССССССА А ТТСТСА А ТСАСА САСА А СТТСССССТА ССА СА А А А	5760
ORF VI	E R R I L L N E T E V G P T T K E N L C	5700
10–10	СААААСТТССАСАСААТААТТСААСАТСАСТСАСТСАСТ	5820
ORF VI	Q K L Q R I I E D H Q C A H C K A S S T	5020
DMV-D10	GAAGAAACGTCTCTATCCAAAGACTGCTTTATGGATGAAGACAAATGAAAAGAGAACGTT	5880
ORF' VI	EETSLSKDCFMDEDK*	
DMV-D10	CAAAAATAACGGCGTCTACTTTTCAAAAAGAAGATAGAAGACAGCTCACCCTTCACATGG	5940
DMV-D10	GTATGTCGACTAAATACGTCAGGGATGACGAATTAGTAAGGGCTGTTATGGTCTTTTGTA	6000
DMV-D10	TAGATCGACTCCTAGTATATAAAGGAGTTAGATTTTCAATTTTAGATCATCGATCATCTA	6060
DMV-D10	GCCTAGAAAATACACTAGAAAAAAAAAAACCTCCCTCTCTTGTAAACACACAAAATGTGTGA	6120
DMV-D10	GTAAACCCCTACCTTAAGGGGAGATATGTAAATTATCAAGAAGTGCTCCTCTTCGGAGGA	6180
DMV-D10	GAACAGTTATAATAAAATATTTCCTTTTTGTCTACCTCCTTCTATTCACTACCGTAGACG	6240
DMV-D10	TTAAACCTCCTTCATATGTCTACCCCCCTCTTCACTAACGCAAAAGTTTAATCTTCTTGTC	6300
DMV-D10	TACCCCCTCTTCACTAACGCAAACGTTGTTCTGTAAAACCTGGAGCCCTGGAGCCGTCAC	6360
DMV-D10	GGAGTACCGCTTTGGCAGGTGGCCGTTTAAGGGAAACGAGTGACTAGGTTGGGTTGGTCC	6420
DMV-D10	CTTGCAGAACAGATAACGAATGCACAGCTTGATAGAGGACGATGAAGATTTTTGCACAAG	6480
DMV-D10	ATTGATAGAGGACGACGAAGGTAACACGACGGATGCACAGACTGATAAAGGACGACAATG	6540
DMV-D10	GTATTTTATTTAAATACTGTTAAAACACTTCAGGAGATTTACAGATTCTCTCCTTAAGAG	6600
DMV-D10	TTAAAAAACTCATAAGTTTGTGAACAAACAAGAAATTAAAAGGTTATTTTTATAATCTAG	6660
DMV-D10	AAATGACGATCAAAAGTAGATTATTCTACTTAAAAAATGATTTTTAAAATCATAGAAATT	6720
DMV-D10	CAAACGATTTTTATCGTTTACATGATTCATAAGAATCAAAAAAACATGGTTGTTTTGA	6780
		6910
0 דע – אואַט	ACCATTAAAAACAATGATTTTTTATAATCAATAAATIGATTTTCATAATCAATTAAGAG	0040
DMV-D10 ORF VII	ATTTTATAATCTAAAGTATGACTATCCATACTTTACAACCCCTGCAAAGGGAAAAGTCTA MT I HT L Q P L Q R E K S N	6900

DMV-D10ACCGTAAAGGTTTGATAACCCGTACTCCGGGATTAAAGAGTCAAGATTATAATTTTATTA 6920ORF VIIR K G L I T R T P G L K S Q D Y N F I KDMV-D10AGTTAAAATTATTCCTGGACCACAAAATAAAAATGATTTTACAATCTGAAAAAGAGATTT 7020ORF VIIL K L F L D H K I K M I L Q S E K E I FDMV-D10TTATAGTCTCAGACCTCATCCGCAAT 7046ORF VIII V S D L I R N

**Figure 4.** Complete nucleotide sequence of *Dahlia mosaic virus* – D10 showing the locations of the open reading frames ORF I, III – VII. The numbers refer to the nucleotide positions.

a) ORF I



b) ORF III



c) ORF IV



d) ORF V



31



**Figure 5.** Phylograms drawn from Clustal W alignments of the different ORFs of selected members of the family *Caulimoviridae*. BRRV-*Blueberry red ringspot virus*, CaMV-*Cauliflower mosaic virus*, CERV-*Carnation etched ring virus*, CYLV- *Cestrum yellow leaf curling virus*, ComYMV- *Commelina yellow mottle virus*, DMV-*Dahlia mosaic virus*, FMV-*Figwort mosaic virus*, HLV- *Horseradish latent virus*, MMV- *Mirabilis mosaic virus*, PCSV-*Peanut chlorotic streak virus*, SbCMV-*Soybean chlorotic streak virus*, SVBV-*Strawberry vein banding virus*. Bootstrap values are indicated at branching points in the phylogram as a percentage of 1000 iterations.

The ORF II of caulimoviruses encodes an aphid transmission factor (ATF). A

homologous ORF was not identified in DMV-D10. A smaller ORF found in the DMV-D10 genome did not show any significant homology with those available in GenBank. Previous studies on caulimovirus ATF have identified amino acid sequence motif IXG, X being any amino acid, to be necessary for the interaction between the ATF and virus particles for aphid transmission (Schmidt *et al.*, 1994). The IXG motif is present in the ATF of DMV-Portland, CaMV and *Carnation etched ring virus* (CERV) but absent in DMV-D10 ORF II.

ORF III of DMV-D10 potentially encodes a DNA binding protein (DNAb). The DNAb has a C-terminal proline rich domain that is considered to be necessary for its non-sequence-specific nucleic acid-binding activity (Fig. 6) (Jacquot *et al.*, 1998; Mougeot *et al.*, 1993). This ORF contains the coiled coil structure that is conserved among caulimoviruses at the N-terminus and was shown in other caulimoviruses to assemble as a tetramer to form a functional protein *in planta* (Stavolone *et al.*, 2001). Amino acid sequence alignment of the N terminal domains with the predicted coiled coil region of DMV-D10 and other caulimoviruses is shown in Figure 7. Phylogenetic analysis of the DNAb of DMV-D10 DNAb formed a cluster with those of DMV-Portland, MMV and FMV (Fig 5b).

ORFs IV and V of other caulimoviruses occur as a single ORF in DMV-D10 due to the absence of a stop codon. The ORF IV of DMV-D10 consists of 100 aa representing a truncated viral coat protein (CP). DMV-D10 does not have a conserved motif identified in other caulimovirus CPs which include a RNA-binding domain that is consistent with a cysteine motif or "zinc finger" ( $CX_2CX_4HX_4C$ ) (Hasegawa *et al.*, 1989). Only part of this conserved motif ( $HX_4C$ ) could be seen in the DMV-D10 putative ORF IV as HYANECP (aa 88-94). Furthermore, DMV-D10 CP also lacked a lysine rich core upstream of the cysteine motif that

was found in other caulimoviruses.

DMV-D10	Ρ	Ν	F	Ν	V	G	W	Ρ	S	L	G	S	S	K	S	Ρ	Ν	Α	L	Т	W	Ρ
DMV-Portland	Ρ	Ν	W	Ν	V	G	Ν	A	S	L	G	S	S	G	Ν	Ρ	D	A	L	K	W	Ρ
CaMV	Ρ	K	A	L	Т	-	W	Ρ	F	K	A	-	-	-	-	Ρ	-	A	-	G	W	Ρ

**Figure 6.** Alignment of C-terminal domains of *Dahlia mosaic virus* DMV ORF III with *Cauliflower mosaic virus* CaMV ORF III proline rich motif. The C-terminal domain contains serine, proline and basic residues, characteristic of the proline-rich DNA binding proteins. Identical residues are blocked.



**Figure 7.** Alignment of N-terminal domains of ORF III of caulimoviruses. The seven positions of the heptad repeat are labeled a through g above the alignment. Positions a and d bold consist primarily of hydrophobic amino acids that form the helix interface, while the others are polar

residues.

Percentage amino acid sequence identity of DMV- D10 CP with those of other caulimovirus CPs was low (5.8-7.7%) and the DMV-D10 formed a clade with that of DMV-Portland (Fig 5c).

ORF V encodes a polyprotein containing all the motifs conserved in caulimovirus replicases. These motifs include aspartic protease, reverse transcriptase (RT) and ribonuclease H (RNase H). The amino acid sequence YVDTGASLC (aa 200-208) in DMV-D10 was similar to the putative protease domain reported in other caulimoviruses (Glasheen *et al.*, 2002; Hasegawa *et al.*, 1989; Torruella *et al.*, 1989). A conserved amino acid sequence representing the RT domain of caulimoviruses was present in DMV-D10 as YVDDIIVF (aa 541-548) (Glasheen *et al.*, 2002; Hasegawa *et al.*, 1989). Amino acid sequences IIETDASNDFWG (aa 687-698), EEVCRYTSGSFK (aa 709-720) and EHLEGSKNVLADCL (aa 793-806) in DMV-D10 are homologous to the RNase H motifs reported in other caulimoviruses (Torruella *et al.*, 1989). The amino acid sequence identity of DMV-D10 with other caulimovirus polyproteins ranged from 40% to 70%. The cladogram based on the protein sequences showed clustering of DMV-D10 with FMV while DMV-Portland formed a cluster with MMV (Fig. 5d).

The ORF-VI of DMV-D10 encodes the viral inclusion body protein (IB). Cluster relationships among the IBs of caulimoviruses showed clustering of DMV-Portland and MMV while DMV-D10 did not form a single cluster with any of the representative caulimoviruses (Figure 5e). An amino acid sequence motif, GLTKYIY, that is well conserved in other caulimoviruses was also seen in DMV-D10 (aa 303-309) (Glasheen *et al.*, 2002; Hasegawa *et al.*, 1989).

The ORF-VII of DMV-D10 encodes a 73 aa protein following a 990 nucleotide

intergenic region between ORF VI and ORF VII. A similar ORF is present in all known caulimoviruses but functional proteins have not been found in infected plants (Wurch *et al.*, 1990). Furthermore, sequence comparison of this region of DMV-D10 with the corresponding region of known caulimoviruses did not show any significant homologies.

The large intergenic region between ORFs VI and VII of DMV-D10 contains a putative 35S promoter homolog. Sequence homology between the intergenic regions of DMV-D10 and other caulimoviruses is shown in Fig 8. The TATA box as well as the conserved residues, TC, around the TATA box were identified (Fig. 8). The TGACG motif, present upstream of histone genes and the CaMV 35S promoter (Fang *et al.*, 1989) was also detected in DMV-D10. However, a CAAT box that was found upstream of the TATA box in the 35S promoter of CaMV was not found in the DMV-D10 sequence. Futhermore, the CaMV 35S RNA leader sequence is reported to form a large stem-loop structure (Fig. 9a) (Fütterer *et al.*, 1988; Hemmings-Mieszczak, 1997). Formation of a similar stem-loop structure was detected in the DMV-D10 intergenic region homologous to the CaMV 35S RNA leader sequence (Fig. 9b).

DMV-D10	ТС	G	A	С	-		·Τ	С	С٦	Г-	A	G	ТΑ	, T	A	Т	ΑΑ	A G	G	AG	Τ
CaMV	ΑA	G	A	С	С	С-	ΓТ	С	۲C	Г-	-	С	ТΑ	Т	A	Т	ΑA	- G	G	A A	G
FMV	ΑA	G	A	A	Т		гс	С	۲С	Г-	-	С	ТА	Т	A	Т	ΑA		G	AA	G
CERV	А	G	A	Т	С	C -	гс	С	۲С	ΓG	G G	С	ТΑ	Т	A	Т	ΑA	A G	G	G A	G
					_																

Figure 8. The TATA box in DMV-D10 and selected caulimoviruses.



Ribosome Shunt

a)

7487



b)

Figure 9. Stem- loop structures that are formed by the leader sequences of the RNA. a) Stem-loop within the leader sequence of the *Cauliflower mosaic virus* 35S RNA (Shabibi *et al.*, 2006).
b) Predicted stem-loop within the leader sequence of the *Dahlia mosaic virus* – D10 large RNA.
BL- bulge loop.

#### DISCUSSION

The genome organization of DMV-D10 suggested that it belongs to the genus *Caulimovirus.* Sequence comparisons and phylogenetic analyses revealed that it is a distinct species within the genus Caulimovirus. DMV-D10 was found to be the most prevalent DMV sequence in dahlias in the US (Pahalawatta et al., 2007b; Pappu et al., 2005). While the overall genome organization of DMV-D10 conformed to that of a species in genus *Caulimovirus*, major differences are the smaller CP and the absence of the gene coding for the ATF. Despite extensive sequencing of numerous DMV-D10 isolates from several parts of the country, the gene coding for the ATF could not be found in the genome. Absence of the gene coding for the ATF could be explained by the fact that DMV-D10 is seed transmitted at a very high frequency (Pahalawatta et al., 2007a). Moreover, dahlia is primarily vegetatively propagated and the loss of ATF may be an indication of the influence of cropping procedures on the evolution and selection of DMV. A similar loss of aphid transmission after consecutive mechanical inoculations was reported in Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) (Ng & Perry, 1999). A study by Leclerc et al. (2001) showed that the C-terminal half of the CaMV CP is important for virus transmission through its interaction with the C-terminal of the ATF. Thus the importance of the C-terminal half of the CP is in the context of the involvement of the ATF in aphid transmission. In contrast, the N-terminus of the CP was reported to be critical for viral infection in CaMV (Leclerc et al., 1999). Further studies need to be carried out to determine whether this is a possible explanation for the presence of only the amino terminal part of the CP in DMV-D10. Whether this unique organization is a result of viral evolution brought about by continuous vegetative propagation of dahlias is of particular interest.

Studies on CaMV suggest that nucleic acid binding activity is the major function of the ORF III protein product and that it is related to aphid transmission via its interaction with ATF (Leh *et al.*, 1999). However, other reports have shown that the ORF III protein product is essential for infectivity within the plant host (Jacquot *et al.*, 1999). Therefore, it is possible that in DMV-D10, where ATF appears to be absent, the ORF III product potentially plays a role in virus infectivity in dahlia.

In CaMV, the 35S RNA that is formed when the host RNA polymerase II initiates transcription, functions as the replication intermediate that serves as the template for reverse transcription (Pfeiffer & Hohn, 1983). The approximately 604 bp leader sequence contains nearly 9 short open reading frames (sORFs) that range in size from 9-102 bp (Shababi et al., 2006). These sORFs in the CaMV 35S RNA are considered to be a hindrance to translation in the plant host. Eukaryotic ribosomes generally initiate translation at the first AUG at the 5' end of the transcript that is in a favorable context (Kozak, 1999). Reinitiation of transcription of a second cistron on the same transcript by the eukaryotic ribosome does not occur. In the CaMV 35S RNA leader sequence the sORFs are bypassed by the formation of a large stem-loop structure. This translation strategy of CaMV is referred to as the 'ribosomal shunt' (Ryabova et al., 2002). The stem-loop structure formed by the 35S RNA leader sequence functions by bringing together the shunt donor and acceptor, thereby facilitating the ribosome to bypass the central region of the leader sequence and reach the start codon of ORF VII. Formation of a similar stem-loop structure in the DMV-D10 intergenic region is an indication that the ribosomal shunt mechanism of translation is utilized by the virus.

DMV-D10 appears to be closely related to DMV-Portland and FMV when considering

the phylogenetic relationships based on sequence relationships of ORFs I, IV and V. Overall, the complete molecular characterization of the DMV-D10 genome provides evidence of the existence of at least two distinct caulimoviruses that are associated with dahlia mosaic and highlights the need for developing management options for these viruses.

# REFERENCES

- Albouy, J., Lemattre, M., Wang, W. C., Mevel, A. 1992. Production of pathogen tested dahlia in France. Acta Hort. 325: 781-784.
- Altschul, F., Thomas L. M., Alejandro A. S., Jinghui, Z., Zheng, Z., M., W., Lipman, D. J. 1997
  . Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 3389-3402.
- Benson, D. A., Karsch-Mizrach, I., Lipman, D. J., Ostell, J., Wheeler, D. L. 2005. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 33: D34-38.
- Brierley, P., Smith, F. F. 1950. Some vectors, hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Plant Dis. Rep. 34: 363-370.
- Brunt, A. A. 1971 . Some hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Annal App. Biol. 67: 357-368.
- Fang, R. X., Nagy, F., Sivasubramaniam, S., Chua, N. H. 1989. Multiple cis regulatory elements for maximal expression of the Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter in transgenic plants. Plant Cell 1: 141-150.
- Fütterer, J., Gordon, K., Bonneville, J. M., Sanfaçon, H., Pisan, B., Penswick, J. R., Hohn, T.
  1988. The leading sequence of *Cauliflower mosaic virus* large RNA can be folded into a large stem-loop structure. Nucleic Acids Res. 16:8377-8390.
- Glasheen, B. M., Polashock, J. J., Lawrence, D. M., Gillet, J. M., Ramsdell, D. C., Vorsa, N.,

Hillman, B. I. 2002. Cloning, sequencing, and promoter identification of Blueberry red ringspot virus, a member of the family Caulimoviridae with similarities to the "Soybean chlorotic mottle-like" genus. Arch Virol. 147: 2169-2186.

- Hasegawa, A., Verver, J., Shimada, A., Saito, M., Goldbach, R., Van Kammen, A., Miki, K., Kameya-Iwaki, Hibi, T. 1989. The complete sequence of soybean chlorotic mottle virus DNA and the identification of a novel promoter. Nucleic Acids Res 17: 9993-10013.
- Hemmings-Mieszczak, M., Steger, G., Hohn, T. 1997. Alternative structures of the *Cauliflower mosaic virus* 35S RNA leader: implications for viral expression and replication. J. Mol. Biol. 267: 1075-1088.
- Jacquot, E., Geldreich, A., Keller, M., Yot, P. 1998. Mapping regions of the *Cauliflower mosaic virus* ORF III product required for infectivity. Virology 242: 395-402.
- Kozak, M. 1999. Initiation of translation in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Gene 234: 187-208.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K., Nei, M. 2004. MEGA3: Integrated software for molecular evolutionary genetics analysis and sequence alignment. Brief Bioinform. 5: 150-163.
- Leclerc, D., Chapdelaine, Y., Hohn, T. 1999. Nuclear targeting of the cauliflower mosaic virus coat protein. J. Virol. 73: 553-560.
- Leclerc, D., Stavolone, L., Meier, E., Guerra-Peraza, O., Herzog, E., Hohn, T. 2001. The product of ORF III in cauliflower mosaic virus interacts with the viral coat protein

through its C-terminal proline rich domain. Virus Genes 22: 159-165.

- Leh, V., Jacquot, E., Geldrich, A., Hermann, T., Leclerc, D., Ceruttio, M., Yot, P., Keller, M., Blanc, S. 1999 . Aphid transmission of Cauliflower mosaic virus requires the viral PIII protein. EMBO 18: 7077-7085.
- Mougeot, J., Guidasci, T., Wurch, T., Lebeurier, G., Mesnard, J. 1993. Identification of Cterminal amino acid residues of Cauliflower mosaic virus open reading frame III protein responsible for its DNA binding activity. PNAS 90: 1470-1473.
- Needleman, S. B., Wunsch, C. D. 1970. A general method applicable to the search for similarities in the amino acid sequences of two proteins. J. Mol. Biol. 48: 443-453.
- Ng, J., Perry, K. L. 1999. Stability of the aphid transmission phenotype of Cucumber mosaic virus. Plant Pathol. 48: 388-394.
- Nicolaisen, M. 2003. Partial molecular characterization of Dahlia mosaic virus and its detection by PCR. Plant Dis. Rep. 87: 945-948.
- Pahalawatta, V., Druffel, K., Pappu, H. R. 2005. Molecular characterization of Dahlia mosaic virus genome. Phytopathol. 95: S79.
- Pahalawatta, V., Druffel, K., Pappu, H. R. 2007a . Seed Transmission of Dahlia mosaic virus in Dahlia pinnata. Plant Dis. 91: 88-91.
- Pahalawatta, V., Miglino, R., Druffel, K. L., Jodlowska, A., van Schadewijk, A. R., Pappu, H.R. 2007b. Incidence and relative distribution of distinct Caulimoviruses Genus

*Caulimovirus*, Family *Caulimoviridae* Associated with Dahlia Mosaic in *Dahlia pinnata*. Plant Dis: In press .

- Pappu, H. R., Wyatt, S. D., Druffel, K. L. 2005. DMV: Molecular detection and distribution in dahlia in the US. HortScience 40: 697-699.
- Pfeiffer, P., Hohn, T. 1983. Involvement of reverse transcription in the replication of *Cauliflower mosaic virus*: a detailed model and test of some aspects. Cell 33:781-789.
- Presting, G. G., Smith, O. P., Brown, C. R. 1995. Resistance to potato leaf roll virus in potato plants transformed with the coat protein gene or with vector control constructs.Phytopathol 85: 436-442.
- Ryabova, L. M., Pooggin, M. M., Hohn, T. 2002. Viral strategies of translation initiation: ribosomal shunt and reinitiation. Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol. 72: 1-39.
- Richins, R. D., Scholthof, H. B., Shepherd, R. J. 1987. Sequence of figwort mosaic virus DNA (caulimovirus group). Nucleic Acids Res. 15: 8451-8466.
- Richins, R. D., Shepherd, R. J. 1983. Physical maps of the genomes of Dahlia mosaic virus and Mirabilis mosaic virus - two members of the Caulimovirus group. Virology 124: 208-214.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., Maniatis, T. 1989. Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Schmidt, I., Blanc, S., Esperandieu, P., Kuhl, G., Devauchelle, G., Louis, C., Cerutti, M. 1994.

Interaction between the aphid transmission factor and virus particles is a part of the molecular mechanisms of cauliflower mosaic virus aphid transmission. PNAS 91: 8885-8889.

- Shababi, M., Bourque, J., Palanichelvam, K., Cole, A., Xu, D., Wan, X. F., Schoelz, J. 2006. The ribosomal shunt translation strategy of *Cauliflower mosaic virus* has evolved from ancient long terminal repeats. J. Virol. 80: 3811-3822.
- Stavolone, L., Herzog, E., Leclerc, D., Hohn, T. 2001. Tetramerization is a conserved feature of the virion-associated protein in plant pararetroviruses. J. Virol. 75: 7739-7743.
- Stavolone, L., Ragozzino, A., Hohn, T. 2003. Characterization of *Cestrum yellow leaf curling virus*: a new member of the family *Caulimoviridae*. J. Gen. Virol. 84: 3459-3464.
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., Gibson, T. J. 1994. CLUSTAL W:Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positions-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acid Res 22: 4673-4680.
- Torruella, M., Gordon, K., Hohn, T. 1989. Cauliflower mosaic virus produces and aspartic proteinase to cleave its polyproteins. EMBO 8: 2819-2825.
- Wurch, T., Kirchherr, D., Mesnard, J., Lebeurier, G. 1990. The Cauliflower mosaic virus open reading frame VII product can be expressed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae but is not detected in infected plants. J. Virol. 64: 2594-2598.
- Zuker, M., Mathews, D. H., Turner, D. H. 1999. Algorithms and Thermodynamics for RNA Secondary Structure Prediction: A Practical Guide in RNA Biochemistry and

Biotechnology, J. Barciszewski and B.F.C. Clark, eds., NATO ASI Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

# **CHAPTER THREE**

# INCIDENCE AND RELATIVE DISTRIBUTION OF DISTINCT CAULIMOVIRUSES (GENUS CAULIMOVIRUS, FAMILY CAULIMOVIRIDAE) ASSOCIATED WITH DAHLIA MOSAIC IN DAHLIA PINNATA

# ABSTRACT

Dahlia mosaic, caused by *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV), is one of the most important viral diseases of dahlia. Molecular characterization of DMV showed the association of two distinct caulimoviruses (DMV-D10, DMV-Portland) and a D10-like sequence variant (DMV-Holland) with the disease. Using primers specific to these two viruses and the sequence variant, a polymerase chain reaction-based assay was used to determine their relative incidence in several dahlia samples from the USA and the Netherlands. Testing was done on samples collected in 2005 and 2006 in the USA and in 2006 in the Netherlands. Results indicated the predominance of DMV-D10 over DMV-Portland and DMV-Holland in both USA and the Netherlands. Using conserved regions of the viral genome, primers were designed and used to detect all three sequences. Results suggested that DMV-D10 is predominantly associated with dahlia mosaic but diagnostics should also include testing for DMV-Portland and DMV-Holland.

#### INTRODUCTION

Dahlia mosaic virus (DMV) of genus Caulimovirus and Family Caulimoviridae is one of the most important viruses of dahlia (Dahlia pinnata) in USA (Pappu et al., 2005) and several parts of the world (Albouy, 1995; Brunt, 1971). Symptoms caused by DMV include mosaic, chlorotic vein banding and leaf malformation (Pappu & Wyatt, 2003). DMV is geographically widespread but the natural host range is limited only to Dahlia spp. A survey by Pappu et al. (2005) using a PCR-based detection assay of dahlias collected from several states in USA showed a very high incidence of DMV in dahlias. Accurate and reliable detection of the virus is required for developing effective virus elimination programs. Based on the partial molecular characterization of the DMV genome, PCR-based detection of DMV was reported (Bogunov, 2006; Nicolaisen, 2003; Pappu et al., 2005).

As part of an ongoing study to determine the sequence variability of the DMV genome, we identified a distinct caulimovirus in dahlia, referred to as DMV-D10 (Pahalawatta *et al.*, 2005) which was found to be distinct from another caulimovirus (DMV-Portland) (Richins & Shepherd, 1983). Partial genomic sequence representing the viral coat protein and reverse transcriptase genes of another isolate, referred to as DMV-Holland, has been reported (Nicolaisen, 2003). While our previous survey for the incidence of DMV-D10 showed its widespread occurrence in USA (Pappu *et al.*, 2005), no information is available on the incidence of DMV-Holland in Europe or in the USA. Due to the continuous movement of dahlia planting material within USA and between USA and Europe, the relative incidence of these viruses should be ascertained to devise effective and comprehensive detection and virus elimination strategies. In this study,

using primers specific to DMV-D10, DMV-Portland, and DMV-Holland, the relative distribution was determined in samples collected from several states in USA and from the Netherlands.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Source of dahlia samples.** One hundred and nineteen dahlia plant samples collected from commercial nurseries in California, Georgia, Montana, New Mexico, Oklahoma and dahlia varietal trial gardens in Maryland and Washington State in USA during 2005 and 2006 were used in the study. In addition, 41 samples from field-grown dahlia plants from a dahlia varietal trial garden in Lisse, the Netherlands collected in 2006 were also used. Samples were collected at random irrespective of the presence of symptoms suggestive of virus infection.

**Total nucleic acid purification.** Total nucleic acid was purified according to a modified Dellaporta procedure (Pappu *et al.*, 2005; Presting *et al.*, 1995). Approximately 0.1g (or a quarter-sized leaf piece) was used for DNA extraction.

**Primers used in PCR.** Several primer pairs encompassing different regions of the viral genomes were designed for the specific detection of the caulimoviruses associated with the disease. One set consisting of three primer pairs, designated DMV-D10, was designed for the specific detection of DMV-D10 (Table 1). A second set, designated DMV-Portland, consisting of six primer pairs (Richins & Shepherd, 1983) and a third set, designated DMV-Holland (Nicolaisen, 2003), were designed based on the sequences available in GenBank for the specific detection of DMV-Portland and DMV-Holland, respectively (Table 1). Two DMV-D10, three

DMV-Portland and two DMV-Holland primer pairs were used for exclusive detection of the two viruses and the sequence variant respectively, in the dahlia samples. A primer pair, Den518-F/Den1156C, that could detect DMV-D10, -Holland and -Portland was designed based on the conserved region of the 1171 bp sequence representing partial open reading frames of coat protein and the reverse transcriptase genes (Figure 1).

**PCR amplification.** Two microliters of 1:5 diluted total nucleic acid from above was used in each PCR reaction containing  $2\mu$ l 10X PCR buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 1.2 $\mu$ l 2.5mM dNTP's, 0.8 $\mu$ l 50mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.6 $\mu$ l 20 $\mu$ M primer 1, 0.6 $\mu$ l 20 $\mu$ M primer 2, 13.6 $\mu$ l ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and 0.2 $\mu$ l Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen). PCR amplification was performed in a DNA thermal cycler (BioRad, Hercules, CA) programmed for 3 min at 94°C for initial denaturation and 50 cycles each consisting of a denaturation step at 94°C for 30 sec, the required annealing temperature based on the primer pair used for 20 sec and an extension step at 72°C determined based on the size of the amplicon to be synthesized at the rate of 1000 bp of PCR per minute followed by a final extension for 7 min at 72°C. PCR reactions (7.5 $\mu$ l) were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis.

**Cloning and sequencing.** PCR products of expected size were cloned using pGEM-T (Promega, Madison, WI) or TOPO TA (Invitrogen) cloning kits. Some PCR products were sequenced directly after purification using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Nucleotide sequences were determined using the ABI Prism sequencing system at the Molecular Biology Core Laboratory of the Washington State University, Pullman, WA. Recombinant

plasmids were sequenced using M13 forward and reverse primers, whereas PCR products were sequenced using the specific primer pairs that were initially used to obtain the PCR product.

GenBank	Primer pair	Sequence (5'-3')	T <sub>m</sub>	Expected
Accession			$(^{o}C)$	size (bp)
	DMV-D10			
	ORF1-START	ATGGATCGTAAAGATT	55	
	ORF1-END1	CTGTTTTTCTGTGTTTTCTACTGG	33	900
	ORF4CP1-1431F <sup>a</sup>	TGCATAAAATGAGTTCTATC		
	ORF4CPI-1926C	TGAACTTGTTCATCATTATC	63	480
	ORF6-START	ATGGAAGAAATTAAGGCGT		
	ORF6-END1	TTGTCTTCATCCATAAAGCAG	65	1280
	DMV-Portland			
	KORF1-F	ATG AAT ATC TTA GAA AGG AA		
AY291585	KORF1-R	CTT AAT CCT TAA GTT ATC AA	55	966
A 3/20150C	Kapht-F	ATG AGT AAT GCT TCA GCA A	61	504
AY 291586	Kapht-R	TGA CCA TGG CTT CTA ACT GT	61	504
AV201507	KDNAb-F	TGA CCA CCA TCA AAG ACT TA	64	262
A129138/	KDNAb-R	TCA TAC TGG AGG CCA TTT TA	04	303
AV201588	Kcp-F	ATG GCC TCC AGT ATG AAA G	58	1476
A1271300	Kcp-R	TTA TTC TGT TCC TGA TGA TT	30	1470
	Kpoly-F	ATG TTG TCA CAA CAG ATG AT		
AY309479	Kpoly-R		55	1830
		ATT CTT TTT CAT TAC TAT GG		
	KIB-F	ATG GAG GAA GAA TTA AAA GC		
AY309480	KIB-R		56	1515
		TTA TAT AGG CAA GTC TTC AG		
	DMV-Holland			
A 1515906	DenORF4-F	TCCAAGCAGACAGACAAACC	61	
A3515700	DenORF4-R1	ATTGCATTTCATTTAGAACA	01	600
	DenORF4-F1	CAGCAAGAAACAGGAATTGA		
AJ515906	DenORF4-R	TTTAGCAGCTTCGACTGTAAA	65	600

**Table 1.** Primers used for the detection of *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV)-D10, DMV-Portland andDMV-Holland in *Dahlia pinnata*.

	$\rightarrow$	
D10 Portland Holland	GTATAGATTTACTCCTTGGAAATAAGTTTTTGTCAATTATATGGGCCATTCACCCAATGGA GAATAGATATCCTTTTAGGAAATAATTTCTGCCAACTATATAATCCATTCATACAATGGG GAATTGACATCCTCATAGGAAATAATTTCTGTAGACTCTACAAACCCTTTCATTCA	1977 408 572
D10 Portland Holland	TTGACAGAATATCTTTTCATCTTAATCAAGAAATGATTTTGATCAAAAAGATAA TTGACCGGATTGCTTTTCACAAAAATGAAGAGATAATTCTTGTACCTAAAGTAC AAGATAGAATTGCATTTCATTT	2031 462 632
D10 Portland Holland	CAAAAGCTTTTCAAATTGGAAAAACTGGTTTTCTTGAATCCATGAAAAAAGATTCAAAAA GAAAAGCCATGAGAAAAGGATATGAAGGTTTCCTTAAAACCATGGAAAGAGATTCAAAAT GAAAAGCAATAAAAAGAGGTTATCAAGGATTCCTTGAAACCATGAAGAAGAGTCAAACA ****** * * ** ** ** ** ** ** *** ** ****	2091 522 692
D10 Portland Holland	CTAAACAAATTCCGGGTACCAATATAACCCAAGAAGTTATAAAACCCGGAAAGATTTTT CTCAGCCTACCCCAGGCACCAACATTACCCCAAGAGGTAATTGATGAAGAAAACAACTTCA CTCAACCACTGCCAGGTACGAACATCACCAAAGAAGCAGTAGAAGATGAAAGCAACTTCA ** * * ** ** ** ** ** ** *** *** * * * *	2149 582 752
D10 Portland Holland	TC-TTGAAATACAGAGATATCAAAAAATTGAAGATCTACTTGAAAAAGTATGTTTTGAAA TCATTGATATCTCCAGATATCAAGAGATTCAAGAACTACTTAAGAGAGTATGTTCAGAAA TCATAGATATCTCAAGATATCAAGAGATTCAAAACTTACTT	2208 642 812
D10 Portland Holland	ACCCTATTGACCCAGAAAAGTCCAAATACTGGATGAATGCCTCAATAGAATTAATAGATC ATCCTATTGATCCTCAGAAGTCTAAAGGATGGATGGATGG	2268 702 872
D10 Portland Holland	CCAAAACCGTGGTTAGGGTAAAACCCATGAAATATAGTCCACAGGATCGCGAAGAATTTG CAAAGTCAGTAGTTAAGGTGAAACCAATGGTTTATTCACCACAGGATAGAAAGGAATTCG CAAAATCAGTAGTCAGAGTCAAACCTATGGTTTACTCACCAGAAGACAGAAAAGAGTYTG * ** * ** ** * * * ** ***** *** *** **	2328 762 932
D10 Portland Holland	GTAAGCAAATCAAAGAATTACTTGATTTAAAATTAATTATTCCAAGTAAATCTCCTCACA AAATCCAAATTAAGGAACTCCTTAATCTTAAGGTAATAATTCCAAGTAAATCCCAACACA AGATTCAAATTAAGGAACTCCTTGACCTAAAAGTCATAGAACCCCAGCRAATCTCAACACA * ***** ** *** *** * *** * *** * *** *** *** ****	2388 822 992
D10 Portland Holland	TGTCTCCAGCTTTTCTTGTAGAAAACGAAGCAGAAAAAAGGAGGAGAAAAAAGCGCAGGG TGTCCCCTGCTTTTCTCGTTGAAAAGAAGCAGAAAAAGGACGTGGCAAGAAAAGAATGG TGYCACCCGCCTTTTTGGTTGAAAAGGAAGCAGAAAAAGAAGAAGAAGAAAAAGAAAAG ** * ** ** ** *** * ** *** ***** ***** ****	2448 882 1052
D10 Portland Holland	TTGTAAATTATAAGGCAATTAATGCAGCTACCAAAGGAGACAGCCATAATCTTCCTTGTA TTGTTAACTATAAAAAGTTAAATGAAGTCACCATTGGTGATTCTCACAACCTTCCTAACA CGTTAACTACAAAAAGTTAAACGAAGTCACCATTGGTGATTCTCACAACCTTCCCAACA * ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	2508 942 1112
D10 Portland Holland	TGCAGGAATTATTAACCCTCTTAAGAGGAAAAACCATTTTTCTACTTTCGACTGCAAGA TGCAAGAGTTAATTACTCTTCTTAGAGGAAAAATCTATCT	2568 1002 1171

**Figure 1.** Nucleotide sequence alignment of the reverse transcriptase gene of DMV-D10 (unpublished), DMV-Portland (Genbank accession AY309479) and DMV-Holland (Genbank accession AJ515906) using CLUSTAL W (Thompson *et al.*, 1994). \* - identical nucleotides. Boxed regions denote the sequence used to design degenerate primer Den518-F<sup>a</sup> and Den1156C<sup>b</sup>. Degenerate primer sequences are given in bold.

**Sequence analysis**. Sequences were compiled using PC-based AlignX (Vector NTI Suite 9, Informax Inc, Bethesda, MD) or SeqAid (Rhoads & Roufa, 1985) software. Multiple alignments were generated using CLUSTAL W (Thompson *et al.*, 1994). Sequences of DMV available in GenBank were used for comparisons. Primers were designed by using Primer Designer version 2.0 (Scientific and Educational Software, Cary, NC).

# RESULTS

Each of the primer pairs used produced a specific amplicon of expected size. Amplicons resulting from using each of the primer pairs were sequenced to verify their identity. A majority of the dahlia leaf samples collected in USA (87.4%) and the Netherlands (97.6%) produced amplicons of expected size with the DMV-D10 primers. Results of PCR using the DMV-Portland specific primers showed fewer positives, with 21.8% of the USA samples and 53.6% of the samples from the Netherlands producing amplicons of expected size. In contrast, 97.6% of the samples from the Netherlands produced amplicons of expected size with DMV-Holland primers, whereas only 31.9% of the USA samples tested positive (Table 2). Only 6.7% of the samples from USA tested positive for all three viruses, whereas 36.6% of samples tested from the Netherlands were positive for all three. All samples positive with DMV-Portland were also positive for either DMV-D10 or DMV-Holland. The percentage of USA samples positive for both DMV-D10 and DMV-Holland. A similar trend was seen in the samples from the Netherlands, where 41.6% of the samples were positive for both DMV-D10 and DMV-Holland.

while none of the samples were positive for only DMV-D10 and DMV-Portland primers. These results indicate that the most prevalent DMV sequences in dahlia in both USA and the Netherlands were those amplified by DMV-D10 primers.

Primers based on the conserved region among DMV-D10, DMV-Portland and DMV-Holland (Figure 1) were capable of amplifying all three viral sequences associated with dahlia mosaic (Figure 2).

# DISCUSSION

Overall, the data indicate that there is a higher incidence of DMV-D10 in USA, whereas in the Netherlands both DMV-D10 and DMV-Holland appear to be prevalent. It is not surprising that more samples from the Netherlands were positive for DMV-Holland since the sequence from which our primers were derived was obtained from a Dutch isolate (Nicolaisen, 2003). These results also highlight the importance of testing dahlia planting material for viruses associated with dahlia mosaic prior to export to other countries in the light of our findings that DMV-D10, DMV-Holland and DMV-Portland sequences seem to be present at a different frequency in dahlias from USA than in the dahlia samples in the Netherlands.

Primer set	USA.	Netherlands
DMV-D10	87.4	97.6
DMV-Portland	21.8	53.6
DMV-Holland	31.9	97.6
DMV-D10+DMV-Portland	21.0	43.9
DMV-D10+DMV-Holland	28.6	97.6
DMV-Portland+DMV-	6.7	17.1
Holland		
DMV-D10+DMV-Portland	6.7	36.6
+ DMV-Holland		
Negative	4.2	0

**Table 2.** Percentage of dahlia samples positive for caulimoviruses associated with dahlia mosaic.



**Figure 2.** Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products from DNA extracts of three dahlia samples amplified with primers specific to *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV)-D10 (D), DMV-Portland (P), DMV-Holland (H) and degenerate (Dg) primers that could amplify all three caulimoviruses associated with dahlia mosaic. L - 1 kb ladder.

Pairwise comparisons of amino acid sequences representing each open reading frame of DMV-D10 (Pahalawatta *et al.*, 2005) and DMV-Portland (Genbank accessions AY309480, AY309479, AY291588, AY291587, AY291586, AY291585) showed that the sequence identity between DMV-D10 and DMV-Portland ranged from 47% to 73%. This divergence suggests that there are at least two distinct caulimoviruses associated with dahlia mosaic. The third primer pair representing DMV-Holland amplifies a part of the coat protein gene and the reverse transcriptase gene (Nicolaisen, 2003). The ca. 1.2 kb sequence of DMV-Holland has 59.1% sequence identity with the corresponding sequence in DMV-D10 and 41.2% identity with that of DMV-Portland. Thus, it appears that there are at least two and quite possibly three distinct caulimoviruses associated with dahlia mosaic. However, complete genome characterization of DMV-Holland is needed to establish whether DMV-Holland is a distinct caulimoviruses in a given dahlia plant is common. However, at present, there is no successful infectivity assay to correlate mosaic symptoms to a particular virus/sequence variant in dahlias.

DMV infection often remains latent and as a result many infected but asymptomatic plants and propagating material are distributed which might have contributed to its widespread distribution in the USA (Pappu *et al.*, 2005). At present, PCR-based detection assay is the most reliable method of detecting DMV in dahlias. Considering the diversity in caulimoviruses associated with dahlia mosaic disease and the prevalence of mixed infections, use of primers specific to a single virus is not adequate for disease diagnosis as it may lead to false negatives for other caulimoviruses that may be present.
#### REFERENCES

- Albouy, J. 1995. Dahlia. 265-273 in: Virus and virus-like diseases of bulb and flower crops. G.Lobenstein, R. H. Lawson, and A. A. Brunt. eds. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, New York.
- Bogunov, Y. V. 2006. Identification of *Dahlia mosaic virus* with molecular biological methods.Mol. Biol. 40: 184-185.
- Brunt, A. A. 1971. Some hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Annal. Appl. Biol. 67: 357-368.
- Nicolaisen, M. 2003. Partial molecular characterization of *Dahlia mosaic virus* and its detection by PCR. Plant Dis. 87: 945-948.
- Pahalawatta, V., Druffel, K., Pappu, H. R. 2005. Molecular characterization of *Dahlia mosaic virus* genome. Phytopathol. 95: S79.
- Pappu, H. R., Wyatt, S. D., Druffel, K. L. 2005. DMV: Molecular detection and distribution in dahlia in the US. HortScience 40: 697-699.
- Pappu, H. R., Wyatt, S. D. 2003. Dahlia mosaic virus. A description of symptoms on dahlia. APSnet Image of the Week. Online/2003/IW000032.asp.
- Presting, G. G., Smith, O. P., Brown, C. R. 1995. Resistance to potato leaf roll virus in potato plants transformed with the coat protein gene or with vector control constructs.Phytopathol. 85: 436-442.

- Rhoads, D. D., Roufa, D. S. 1985. Emetine resistance of Chinese hamster cells: structure of wild type and mutant ribosomal protein S14 messenger RNA species. Mol. Cell. Biol. 5:1655-1659.
- Richins, R. D., Shepherd, R. J. 1983. Physical maps of the genome of *Dahlia mosaic virus* and *Mirabilis mosaic virus* – two members of the Caulimovirus group. Virology 124: 208-214.
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., Gibson, T. J. 1994. CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positions-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22: 4673-4680.

## **CHAPTER FOUR**

## SEED TRANSMISSION OF DAHLIA MOSAIC VIRUS IN DAHLIA PINNATA

#### ABSTRACT

Dahlia is an economically important ornamental crop in the USA and several other countries in the world. Among the viral diseases that affect dahlia, *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV) is considered to be the most widespread and to have the greatest impact on flower production. Using grow-out tests followed by PCR-based testing of the seedlings, dahlia seed obtained from three different sources were shown to contain DMV. Additionally, the distribution of DMV in various parts of the dahlia seed was determined by PCR. Grow-out tests revealed a high rate of seed transmission. DMV was detected in cotyledons. The virus was also detected in pollen collected from infected plants. In addition to vegetative propagation, seed-borne infection could be contributing to the spread of DMV in dahlia. Use of virus-free seed and vegetative material would result in reduced incidence of the disease.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Dahlia mosaic virus (DMV), a member of the genus Caulimovirus, family Caulimoviridae is an economically important viral pathogen of dahlias. First reported in Dahlia pinnata from Germany, the disease is prevalent in Dahlia spp. and has become one of the most dominant viruses in the floriculture/ornamental industry (Brunt, 1971; Pappu *et al.*, 2005). The disease is characterized by symptoms of mosaic, chlorotic vein banding, and leaf malformations but symptoms vary depending on dahlia cultivar (Pappu & Wyatt, 2003). Dahlia cultivars with few or no symptoms are considered to be important reservoirs of virus. DMV is geographically widespread but the natural host range is limited to only Dahlia spp (Albouy, 1995). Previous studies on DMV transmission have resulted in identification of 16 aphid species, including Aphis fabae, Myzus persicae and Macrosiphum euphorbiae (Brierley & Smith, 1950), as vectors transmitting the virus in a non-persistent manner. A virus-encoded aphid transmission protein is reported to be necessary for viral transmission (Albouy, 1995). DMV was also shown to be transmitted by mechanical inoculation (Brierley & Smith, 1950; Brunt, 1971). Previous studies on DMV report that the virus is not transmitted by seed (Brierley & Smith, 1950; Brunt, 1971). However, there have been no studies to confirm this definitively. Partial molecular characterization of the DMV genome has been reported (GenBank accessions AY309480, AY309479, AY291588, AY291587, AY291586, AY291585) (Nicolaisen, 2003; Pahalawatta et al., 2005) and detection of DMV based on PCR and real-time PCR is now possible (Bogunov, 2005; Nicolaisen, 2003; Pappu et al., 2005).

A survey by Pappu *et al.* (2005), using a PCR-based detection assay, of dahlias collected from several states in the USA, revealed a very high incidence of DMV in dahlias. Subsequently, numerous dahlia plants and seeds from different locations within the USA were tested with the PCR-based detection assay. The majority of plants and seeds tested positive for the presence of DMV, suggesting the occurrence of seed-borne viral infection. However, there have been no systematic studies to unequivocally demonstrate seed-borne viral infection or the potential of the virus to be seed transmitted. The objective of this study was to investigate seed transmission of DMV in dahlia, including whether DMV could be pollen-borne, under conditions that eliminate the possibility of introduction of the virus by aphids.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Seed sources.** Three commercially available seed sources of *Dahlia* spp. from Kentucky, Tennessee and Colorado were used in this study. A total of twenty seeds from each seed source were used. Ten seeds were used for virus detection in seed coat and cotyledon and the other ten seeds were used for grow-out tests and testing for the virus in the resulting seedlings. In addition, five seeds each from two of the seed sources were grown in an insect-proof greenhouse. Plants were analyzed by PCR and inspected visually for development of DMV symptoms.

**DNA extractions.** Ten seeds from each source were soaked in distilled water for 2 to 4 hrs until the seed coat softened. Seeds were then blotted dry and the seed coats were separated from the cotyledons using forceps. Total nucleic acid was extracted from the separated seed coats and cotyledons using the modified Dellaporta method (Pappu *et al.*, 2005; Presting *et al.*, 1995). In addition to the Dellaporta method, DNA was also extracted from the seed coats using the Qiagen DNeasy plant kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The remaining ten seeds from each seed source were allowed to germinate on moistened filter paper in covered Petri dishes (100x15 mm) to avoid exposure to insect vectors. After 9 to 10 days when the first true leaves started to emerge, total DNA was extracted from the seedlings as described above. Four weeks after planting, a single leaf from each plant grown in the greenhouse was also used for total DNA extraction.

**Detection of DMV by PCR.** DMV isolate D10, originally detected in an infected dahlia plant, was used to clone and sequence the viral genome. Based on the sequence information (Pahalawatta et al., 2005), primer pairs were designed for specific detection of DMV in dahlias. Three primer pairs representing three open reading frames (ORFs) of the DMV genome were used to detect the virus in the seed, seedling and leaf samples (Table 1). Six additional primer pairs designed based on DMV sequences available in the GenBank (Accessions AY309480, AY309479, AY291588, AY291587, AY291586, AY291585) were also used. The PCR reaction included a final volume of 2µl 10X PCR buffer (Promega, Madison, WI) and a final concentration of 0.15mM dNTP's, 2mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.6µM of each primer in a total reaction volume of 20µl. PCR amplification was performed in a DNA thermal cycler (BioRad, Hercules, CA) programmed for 3 min at 94°C for initial denaturation and 50 cycles each consisting of 30 sec at 94°C, 20 sec at annealing temperature and 1 min extension per 1000 bp product at 72°C, followed by a final extension for 7 min at 72°C. Each PCR reaction included a DNA sample from a DMV-infected plant and a water control. The PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis in 1X TAE buffer. PCR products representing each ORF were cloned and sequenced to verify the identity of amplified fragments.

Primer pair	Sequence (5'-3')	$T_m$ (°C)	Expected size (bp)
ORF1-START	ATGGATCGTAAAGATT	55	900
ORF1-END1	CTGTTTTTCTGTGTTTTCTACTGG	55	
ORF4CP1-1431F	TGCATAAAATGAGTTCTATC	- 0	100
ORF4CPI-1926C	TGAACTTGTTCATCATTATC	63	480
ORF6-START	ATGGAAGAAATTAAGGCGT		
ORF6-END1	TTGTCTTCATCCATAAAGCAG	65	1280

**Table 1.** Dahlia mosaic virus (DMV) specific primer pairs used for DMV-D10 detection by

 polymerase chain reaction

#### RESULTS

DMV was detected in the cotyledons of all seeds analyzed with the three primer pairs specific for DMV-D10. The PCR reaction with primer pair ORF1-START/ORF1-END1, representing the first ORF, produced an amplicon of 900 bp. Similarly, the primer pair ORF4CP1-1431F/ORF4CP1-1926C, representing ORF4, produced an amplicon of 480 bp, and the primer pair ORF6-START/ORF6-END1, representing ORF6, produced an amplicon of 1280 bp. All amplicons produced were within the expected size range for DMV. When the Dellaporta method was used for DNA extraction the virus could not be detected in the seed coat except in two cases where seed coats from two seeds were positive for DMV using the primers derived from ORF 4 of DMV-D10(Table 2 and Fig. 1). However when the DNA extracts from the seed coat, using the Qiagen DNeasy kit, were used in the PCR reactions using the same primer pairs the virus was detected. All seedlings obtained from seeds germinated on filter paper also tested positive for the presence of the virus with each of the three primer pairs (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Similarly, DNA extracts from 4- week-old leaf samples, taken from the plants grown in the

greenhouse, were also positive for DMV when tested by PCR although the plants were asymptomatic (Fig. 3a). All of these plants developed mosaic symptoms, to varying degrees, 5 to 7 weeks after planting (Fig. 3b). The six primer pairs designed based on DMV sequences available in the GenBank failed to produce any amplicons.

**Table 2.** Detection of *Dahlia mosaic virus*-D10 by polymerase chain reaction in seeds and seedlings from three different commercial seed sources. DNA was extracted using the modified Dellaporta method (Pappu *et al.*, 2005; Presting *et al.*, 1995).

Dahlia seed source	PCR primer pair	No. positive for DMV/ No. tested			
		Seed coat	Cotyledon	Seedlings <sup>a</sup>	
Tennessee	ORF1-START	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF1-END1	0/10	10/10		
	ORF4CP1-1431F	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF4CP1-1926C	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF6-START	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF6-END1	0/10	10/10		
Kentucky	ORF1-START	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF1-END1	0/10	10/10		
	ORF4CP1-1431F	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF4CP1-1926C	0/10	10/10		
	ORF6-START	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF6-END1	0/10	10/10		
	ORF1-START	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF1-END1	0/10	10/10		
Colorado	ORF4CP1-1431F	1/10	10/10	10/10	
Colorado	ORF4CP1-1926C	1/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF6-START	0/10	10/10	10/10	
	ORF6-END1	0/10	10/10		

<sup>a</sup> Seedlings were tested when 9 to 10-days-old and asymptomatic.



**Figure 1.** Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products from DNA extracts of dahlia seed coats and cotyledons amplified with *Dahlia mosaic virus* specific primer pairs. DNA was extracted using the modified Dellaporta method (Pappu *et al.*, 2005; Presting *et al.*, 1995). Representative sample of PCR products for DNA extracts of dahlia seeds amplified with ORF1-START/ORF1-END1 (ORF1), ORF4CP1-1431F/ORF4CP1-1926C (ORF4) and ORF6-START/ORF6-END1 (ORF6). sc- seed coat, c – cotyledon, + - positive control, - negative control, L- 1 kb DNA ladder.



**Figure 2.** Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products from DNA extracts of 9 to10-day-old dahlia seedlings germinated in covered Petri dishes and tested with *Dahlia mosaic virus* specific primer pairs. ORF1 = ORF1-START/ORF1-END1, ORF4 = ORF4CP1-1431F/ORF4CP1-1926C, ORF6 = ORF6-START/ORF6-END1, + - positive control, - negative control, L- 1 kb DNA ladder.



A)

B)

**Figure 3.** Seedlings positive for *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV) by PCR. A) Asymptomatic four week-old DMV-infected dahlia seedling grown from seed under aphid-free conditions; B) DMV-infected seedling displaying symptoms (mosaic and chlorosis) at 5 to 7 weeks after planting. The seedling was grown from seed under aphid-free conditions.



**Figure 4.** Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products, from DNA extracts of pollen collected from virus-infected dahlias, amplified with *Dahlia mosaic virus* specific primer pair ORF6-START/ORF6-END1. + - positive control, - negative control, L- 1 kb DNA ladder.

### DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that DMV is seed-transmitted in dahlia. All the seeds analyzed using the PCR-based assay tested positive for DMV demonstrating the seed-borne nature of the virus. Generally, whole seed viral assays are considered unsuitable in estimating the rate of seed transmission because viral presence in the seed coat has no correlation to virus transmission from seeds to seedlings (Gillaspie *et al.*, 1993; Maury *et al.*, 1987). In our study dahlia seed coats and cotyledons were separated and individually tested for DMV. When the Dellaporta method was used for DNA extraction, the results showed that the viral infection is associated primarily with the cotyledons and rarely with the seed coat. However when the Qiagen DNeasy kit was used for DNA extraction viral infection was detected in the seed coat as well. It is highly probable that the contradictory results are due to the purity of the DNA extracts obtained using the two different techniques. It is possible that the seed coat contained inhibitors to PCR that were remove by the DNeasy spin column. Due to the small size of the dahlia seeds it was not possible to separate the embryo axis from cotyledon. Seed transmission was confirmed by detecting DMV in very young seedlings (9 to 10-day-old) that were germinated in covered Petri dishes which excluded the possibility of virus transmission by aphid. We also found that DMV could be amplified in total DNA extracts of pollen collected from dahlia flowers of infected plants (Fig. 4). Furthermore, viruses transmitted through seed are reported to have certain common characteristics (Johansen et al., 1994) such as mechanical transmissibility, narrow host range among annual plants and vector transmission in a non-persistent manner which are also exhibited by DMV. Another caulimovirus, *Cauliflower mosaic virus*, has been reported to be seed-borne due to the occurrence of the virus in the seed testa (Tomlinson & Walker, 1973) although no subsequent transmission to seedlings was recorded. The frequency of seed transmission for plant viruses varies from 0 to 100% and rarely exceeds 50% for most viruses (Mink, 1993). It was reported that plant pararetrovirus DNA might integrate into host chromosomes (Jakowitsch et al., 1999; Schoelz et al., 2005). Research is in progress to determine if the high frequency of detection of DMV sequences in dahlia could be due to such an event.

The high rate of seed transmission of DMV combined with delayed symptom development, both observed in this study, may be partly responsible for the widespread occurrence of the virus in the US (Pappu *et al.*, 2005). Four-week-old dahlia plants tested positive for DMV but symptoms were not evident until 1 to 3 weeks later. This demonstrates the

need for testing young plants for DMV since asymptomatic infections contribute to inadvertent distribution of virus-infected material and its subsequent propagation.

The six primer pairs designed from the DMV sequences available in GenBank did not produce any amplicons. This could be due to the limited sequence homology between the D10 isolate used in this study and those available in GenBank. Also, amino acid sequence similarity between D10 and those available in GenBank ranged from 49% to 73% for the three ORFs represented by the amplicons obtained using D10-specific primers. Preliminary sequence data obtained in our laboratory and reports (Nicolaisen, 2003) suggest that there are at least three distinct populations potentially associated with dahlia mosaic. Therefore it is highly probable that the DMV sequences in GenBank are not represented in the DMV isolates used in this study.

One of the biggest setbacks to detecting DMV and eliminating diseased plants is the propagation of infected plants and continued production of plants with asymptomatic infections. The results of this study demonstrate the potential role of seed transmission in the spread of DMV. While the majority of the dahlia propagation is through tubers, control options should include use of virus-free seed to reduce the impact of DMV.

#### REFERENCES

- Bogunov, Y. V. 2006. Identification of *Dahlia mosaic virus* with molecular biological methods.Mol. Biol. 40:184-185.
- Brierley, P., Smith, F. F. 1950. Some vectors, hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Plant Dis. Rep. 34:363-370.
- Brunt, A. A. 1971. Some hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Anal. App. Biol. 67:357-368.
- Gillaspie, J. A. G., Hopkins, M. S., Pinnow, D. L. 1993. Relationship of cowpea seed-part infection and seed transmission of blackeye cowpea mosaic potyvirus in cowpea. Plant Dis. 77:875-877.
- Jakowitsch, J., Mette, M. F., van der Winden, J., Matzke, M. A., Matzke, A. J. M. 1999. Integrated pararetroviral sequences define a unique class of dispersed repetitive DNA in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96:13241-13246.
- Johansen, E., Edwards, M. C., Hampton, R. O. 1994. Seed transmission of viruses: Current perspectives. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 32:363-386.
- Lobenstein, G., Lawson, R. H., Brunt, A. A. 1995. Viruses and virus-like diseases of bulb and flower crops. Pages 266-267. John Wiley and Sons. Chichester, NY.
- Maury, Y., Bossennec, J. M., Boudazin, G., Hampton, R., Pietersen, G., Maguire, J. 1987.
   Factors influencing ELISA evaluation of transmission of pea seed-borne mosaic virus in infected pea seed: seed-group size and seed decortication. Agronomie 7:225-230.
- Mink, G. I. 1993. Pollen- and seed-transmitted viruses and viroids. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 31:375-402.

- Nicolaisen, M. 2003. Partial molecular characterization of *Dahlia mosaic virus* and its detection by PCR. Plant Dis. 87:945-948.
- Pahalawatta, V., Druffel, K., Pappu, H. R. 2005. Molecular characterization of *Dahlia mosaic virus* genome. Phytopathology 95:S79.
- Pappu, H. R., Wyatt, S. D. 2003. Dahlia mosaic virus. A description of symptoms on dahlia. APSnet Image of the Week. Online/2003/IW000032.asp.
- Pappu, H. R., Wyatt, S. D., Druffel, K. L. 2005. DMV: Molecular detection and distribution in dahlia in the US. HortScience 40:697-699.
- Presting, G. G., Smith, O. P., Brown, C. R. 1995. Resistance to potato leaf roll virus in potato plants transformed with the coat protein gene or with vector control constructs. Phytopathology 85:436-442.
- Schoelz, J. E., Wiggins, B. E., Balaji, B., Palukaitis, P. F., Squires, J. 2005. Integration of *Cauliflower mosaic virus* into the genome of *Arabidopsis thaliana* through a mechanism involving homologous recombination. XIII<sup>th</sup> Intl. Cong. of Virology. July 23-28. San Francisco, California.
- Tomlinson, J. A., Walker, V. M. 1973. Further studies on seed-transmission in the ecology of some aphid-transmitted viruses. Ann. App. Biol. 73:292-298.

## **CHAPTER FIVE**

# HITCHHIKING BY A CAULIMOVIRUS: AN ENDOGENOUS PLANT PARARETROVIRAL SEQUENCE OF A NEW AND DISTINCT CAULIMOVIRUS

#### ABSTRACT

Family *Caulimoviridae* consists of several genera of plant viruses that share a unique genome structure, organization and replication strategy among known plant viruses. Considered as plant pararetroviruses, these viruses exist as RNA in their hosts but code for a reverse transcriptase to synthesize and package dsDNA in progeny virions. Viruses in certain genera such as *Badnavirus, Cavemovirus, Petuvirus* in this family were shown to integrate their genomic sequences into their host genomes and exist as endogenous pararetroviral sequences. However, members of the genus *Caulimovirus* remained to be an exception and are believed to exist only as episomal elements during the virus infection cycle. We present evidence that the DNA genome of a new and distinct species in the genus *Caulimovirus* is integrated into its host genome, dahlia (*Dahlia pinnata*). Using cloned genes of the virus as probes, Southern blot hybridization of total plant DNA from dahlia seedlings showed the presence of viral DNA in the host DNA whereas, viral DNA was not detected in other known hosts of DMV. This is the first report of natural integration of a caulimovirus genome into its host and existence of a caulimovirus species as an endogenous pararetroviral sequence in a host plant.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Integration of viral DNA into bacterial and animal host chromosomes is a common occurrence. In contrast, there are very few reported cases of integration of viral sequences in the plant genome. The integrated viral sequences that have been described so far were all derived from viruses with DNA genomes or from viruses that have a DNA phase in their replication cycle. These include the single-stranded DNA geminivirus (Ashby et al., 1997; Bejarano et al., 1996) and the double- stranded DNA caulimoviruses (Harper et al., 2002). The family Caulimoviridae, consisting of six genera, contains plant viruses that replicate by reverse transcription. Four viruses representing three of the genera belonging to family *Caulimoviridae* are reported to have integrants in the host plant genome. These include Banana streak virus (BSV) in Musa spp. (Ndowora et al., 1999; Harper et al., 1999), Petunia vein clearing virus (PVCV) in Petunia spp. (Harper et al., 2003; Richert-Pöggeler et al., 2003; Richert-Pöggeler & Shepherd 1997), Tobacco vein clearing virus (TVCV) in Nicotiana spp. (Gregor et al., 2004; Jakowitsch et al., 1999; Lockhart et al., 2000) and Rice tungro bacilliform virus (RTBV) in Oryza spp. (Kunnii et al., 2004) genomes. Although integration events were observed for these viral sequences, the viruses involved do not encode an integrase function. The integration of viral sequences is hypothesized to have occurred by illegitimate recombination (Jakowitsch et al., 1999; Kunnii et al., 2004; Lockhart et al., 2000).

Of the six genera in the family *Caulimoviridae*, genus *Caulimovirus* has seven viruses that include the most extensively studied *Cauliflower mosaic virus*. There are no reports of integrated viral sequences representing any of the viruses in the genus *Caulimovirus*. We present

evidence that the DNA genome of a new and distinct species in the genus *Caulimovirus* is integrated into its host genome, dahlia (Dahlia pinnata). Dahlia mosaic caulimovirus (DMV) is an important viral pathogen of dahlia (Dahlia pinnata) in the US and several parts of the world. DMV is a member of the family Caulimoviridae, genus Caulimovirus with a circular double stranded-DNA genome of approximately 7 kb. The physical map of a caulimovirus (DMV-Portland) genome associated with dahlia mosaic was reported (Richins & Shepherd 1983), and its genomic sequence representing six open reading frames is available in GenBank. DMV-Portland appears to be a typical species of genus *Caulimovirus* based on its genome organization. Studies on the incidence of DMV-Portland in dahlia samples from the US and Europe revealed 21% and 53% of the respective samples tested to be infected (Pahalawatta et al., 2007b). The symptomatology, propagative hosts and the role of various aphid species in DMV-Portland transmission have been reported (Albouy, et al., 1992; Brierley & Smith; 1950; Brunt, 1971). However, our surveys of dahlias to determine the incidence of DMV revealed the overwhelming presence of another caulimovirus, designated DMV-D10 that was found to be distinct from DMV-Portland (Pahalawatta et al., 2007b; Pahalawatta et al., 2005; Pappu et al., 2005) . A partial sequence representing a D10 like sequence variant, DMV-Holland (Nicolaisen, 2003) has also been reported.

The most characteristic symptoms of the disease include mosaic and vein-banding accompanied by stunting and leaf distortion. Symptoms vary depending on the cultivar, and cultivars with few or no symptoms are common. A survey of dahlia leaf samples, using a PCRbased assay, collected from different dahlia cultivars from the US, the Netherlands, Czech Republic and New Zealand detected the presence of DMV-D10 specific sequences in almost 100% of the samples tested irrespective of symptom expression (Pahalawatta *et al.*, 2007b). Further tests revealed the presence of DMV-D10 sequences in all plant parts tested including leaves, roots, seeds, flower petals and pollen. We also detected the presence of DMV-D10 sequences in tissue culture-derived dahlia plants. Our studies have also shown that DMV-D10 is transmitted through seed to 100% of the progeny plants (Pahalawatta *et al.*, 2007a). Studies on the genome structure and organization of DMV-D10 indicated that the virus differs from known caulimoviruses in that the aphid transmission factor was absent and only a partial coat protein was present. Results of these initial studies indicated potential integration of DMV-D10 viral sequences into the plant host genome.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant material and DNA extractions:** Total genomic DNA was extracted from dahlia seedlings, germinated in covered Petri dishes to avoid exposure to the aphid vector, which has been previously reported to transmit the virus in a non- persistent manner. To determine the presence of the virus in dahlia seeds, seeds were soaked in water and the seed coat was separated from the cotyledons. The separated seed coats and cotyledons were used for DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was also extracted from dahlia roots. In addition, total genomic DNA was extracted from leaves of *Zinnia elegans*, *Verbesina encelioides* and potato plants. Total genomic DNA extractions were made using the DNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen Inc, Valencia, CA) according to manufacturer's instructions. DNA was quantified using the fluorescent DNA quantitation kit with Hoechst 33258 dye (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Fluorescence readings were taken on a Spectra Max M2 fluorometer (Molecular Devices Corp., Sunnyvale, CA). Dahlia

seedling DNA extracts were digested overnight at 37°C with EcoRI.

#### Southern blot hybridization

**Probe preparation:** DMV-D10 specific primer pairs V416 (5'-TTA CCA CTT CTA ACA AAA GG- 3')/ C1070 (5'- TCC ATA CAT GTC TAC TTT TTC GG -3'); ORF1-START (5'- ATG GAT CGT TAA AGA TT -3')/ ORF1-END1 (CTG TTT TTC TGT GTT TCT ACT GG - 3') and ORF4 CP1-1431F (5'- TGC ATA AAA TGA GTT CTA TC -3')/ ORF4 CP1-1926C (5'- TGA ACT TGT TCA TCA TTA TC -3') were used in PCR amplification using DNA extracts from DMV-D10 infected dahlia plants as template. The PCR reaction conditions were same as described in previous chapters. The PCR products were purified using the Qiaquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen Inc, Valencia, CA). Purified PCR products (25ng) were <sup>32</sup> P labeled using the Prime-a-gene® labeling kit (Promega, Madison, WI).

**Spin column purification of the labeled probe:** The bottom of a 1.0 ml tuberculin syringe was plugged with siliconized glass wool. Syringe was loaded with Sephadex G-50-300 equilibriated with STE buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA pH 8.0). Syringe was set into a 15 ml conical tube and centrifuged at 1600 x g (Beckmann Coulter centrifuge; Ti 70.1 rotor) for 4 min. Eluent was collected in a de-capped Eppendorf tube. Sephadex was added to the column up to 0.9 ml, topped twice with 0.1 ml STE and centrifuged at 1600 x g for 4 min. Labeled DNA in STE (0.1 ml) was added to the column and centrifuged again at 1600 x g for 4 min. Purified labeled DNA was collected in an Eppendorf tube. One microliter of unspun

labeled probe solution was pipette into  $9\mu$ l of water and the radioactivity recorded. Similarly,  $1\mu$ l of spun eluent , which contains only labeled DNA, was added to  $9\mu$ l water and the radioactivity recorded again. The two values were used to determine the incorporation percentage of the radioactivity.

**Gel electrophoresis:** DNA extracts (10-20µg) were loaded on 0.8% agarose gels. Electrophoresis was performed using 1X TAE buffer running at 20V overnight. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed. After photographing each gel was washed in 0.25N HCl for 15 min to depurinate the DNA to allow for the transfer of high molecular weight DNA fragments. Next, the gels were washed in deionized water followed by solution T (0.4M NaOH containing 0.6M NaCl) on a shaking platform for 15 min.

**Alkaline Southern transfer:** A 30x15 cm glass dish with a 15x15 cm glass plate supported in the center was used to set up the transfer apparatus. The glass dish was filled with 1L solution T. A Whatman 3MM filter paper (30x15 cm) was used as a wick. The filter paper was soaked in solution T and draped over the glass plate with the two edges dipped in solution T. Six pieces of Whatman 3MM filter paper and one piece of Hybond N+ membrane (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp, Piscataway, NJ) were cut to the size of the gel. Three pieces of filter paper were soaked in solution T and placed on the glass plate. The agarose gel was placed on the filter papers, wells facing down. The Hybond N+ membrane was soaked in solution T and placed on the glass plate. The agarose gel was placed on the filter papers, wells facing down. The Hybond N+ membrane was soaked in solution T and placed on the glass plate filter papers were soaked in solution T and placed on the glass plate soaked in solution T and placed on the size of the gel to the soaked in solution T and placed on the glass plate.

layer of filter paper. A 12 cm wad of paper towels was placed on the filter papers and a 250g weight was kept on the paper towels. Plastic wrap was used to cover the entire area of the glass dish leaving only the surface with the gel exposed. DNA was transferred overnight onto the membrane. The next day the transfer apparatus was disassembled and the membrane air dried on filter paper for 2 h at  $37^{\circ}$ C.

**Prehybridization:** Membrane was soaked in 500ml 2X SSC [100ml 20X SSC (3M NaCl, 0.3M sodium citrate, pH 7.0) in 900ml ddH<sub>2</sub>O] 2X for 1 min and the excess moisture blotted off with filter paper. Next the membrane was soaked in 30ml prehybridization solution (18ml deionized water, 6ml 5X HSB, 3ml Denhardt's III, 3ml freshly boiled salmon sperm DNA 5mg/ml). 5X HSB was an aqueous solution of 3M NaCl, 100mM PIPES (1,4-Piperazinediethanesulfonic acid), 25mM Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA with a pH of 6.8 adjusted with 4M NaOH. Denhardt's III was an aqueous solution of 2% bovine serum albumen fraction V, 2% Ficoll-400, 2% Polyvinyl pyrrolidone, 10% SDS and 1% Na<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. 10H<sub>2</sub>O. Prehybridization was done overnight in an orbital shaker (60 rpm) at  $65^{\circ}$ C.

#### **Hybridization:**

The labeled probe was added into a 50ml polypropylene tube containing 8.5ml deionized water, 3.0ml 5X HSB, and 1.5ml Denhardt's III solution. Contents were prewarmed to  $65^{\circ}$ C. The tube was placed in a boiling water bath for 7 min to denature. The membrane was removed from the prehybridization solution allowed to drip and placed in the hybridization solution and allowed to shake (60 rpm) overnight at  $65^{\circ}$ C.

**Stringency washes:** Membrane was washed for 1 min in rinse solution A (2X SSC, 1% SDS). The solution was poured out, replaced and shaken at 75 rpm on an orbital shaker for 30 min at 65°C. Next, rinse solution A was replaced with rinse solution B (1X SSC, 1% SDS) and shaken at 75 rpm for 30 min at 65°C. Finally, rinse solution B was replaced with rinse solution C (0.2X SSC, 1% SDS) and shaken at 75 rpm for 30 min at 65°C. Excess moisture on the membrane was blotted with filter paper and the membrane was wrapped in saran wrap.

**Autoradiography:** The wrapped membrane was placed in a cassette with photographic paper (Kodak <sup>TM</sup> X-Omat AR, 20X25 cm) and an intensifying screen. The cassette was closed firmly and kept at -70°C for 4-7 days depending on the level of radioactivity prior to developing the film.

#### RESULTS

Hybridization was observed with both undigested and restriction endonuclease-digested dahlia genomic DNA extracted from seedlings, seed coat as well as roots using DMV-D10 specific probes (Fig. 1a & b). The hybridization signal for DNA extracts from dahlia seed coat was very weak, possibly due to the difficulty of obtaining quality genomic DNA. However, agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products amplified using DMV-D10 specific primer pairs and dahlia seed coat extracts as template indicated the presence of DMV-D10 sequences in dahlia seed coats (Fig. 2). None of the hybridization signals were seen to occur at ~7 kb, which is expected for genomic DNA of DMV-D10. In contrast, all of the hybridization signals from

the undigested DNA occurred at very high molecular weights which is expected for dahlia genomic DNA (Fig. 1a & b). No hybridization was detected with genomic DNA samples from hosts susceptible to DMV or from unrelated plant taxa (Fig. 1c).



**Figure 1.** Southern blot hybridization using (a) probe representing open reading frame I (ORF I) of *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV)- D10. Total genomic DNA extracts from dahlia seedlings UD – undigested; RD – digested with EcoRI (b) probe representing ORF IV of DMV-D10. Total genomic DNA extracts from DS- dahlia seedlings; SC – seed coat; R – root; P – potato; C – control (PCR product used to produce the labeled probe). (c) probe representing open reading frame I (ORF I) of *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV)– D10. Total genomic DNA extracts from DS-dahlia seedlings; V – Verbesina; Z – Zinnia.



**Figure 2.** Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products from DNA extracts of dahlia plant components. PCR reaction was carried out using primer pairs representing open reading frame (ORF) I of *Dahlia mosaic virus* (DMV)- D10. MD – mature leaves; DS - seedlings; SC – seed coat; c – cotyledons; R – roots; L – 1Kb ladder; + - positive control; - negative control.

### DISCUSSION

Several lines of evidence including the presence of D10 sequences in nearly 100% of the samples tested from several parts of the world, 100% seed and pollen transmission, combined with the Southern analysis support the conclusion that this caulimovirus exists as an endogenous pararetrovirus sequence. It is important to differentiate between endogenous and episomal viral sequences since the latter results in symptom expression whereas the endogenous viral sequences may or may not be capable of causing infection and symptom expression. It remains to be seen if D10 exists, in addition to as an endogenous pararetroviral sequence, as an episomal element in infected plants. In our studies on the genome structure and organization of DMV-D10, we were unable to detect the presence of a gene that is homologous to the aphid transmission factor that is coded by all known species in the genus Caulimovirus. Furthermore, the D10 genome coded for a partial coat protein. Therefore, it is possible that DMV-D10 exists primarily as an endogenous sequence. However, dahlia plants positive only for DMV-D10 sequences exhibited a range of disease symptoms ranging from mild to severe mosaic. Therefore it is highly probable that DMV-D10 may exist as infectious episomal genomes. Studies on other integrated viruses have shown that the expression of episomal infections and the degree of severity of symptoms is correlated with environmental conditions such as day length and temperature (Harper et al., 2002). Similar environmental factors may play a role in the expression of infectious episomal DMV-D10 genomes.

Studies on *Musa*, and *Oryza* genomes have resulted in the identification of the junctions of virus sequence integration (Ndowora *et al.*, 1999; Kunnii *et al.*, 2004) due to the availability

of genomic libraries for these plant hosts. However similar resources are not yet available for the dahlia genome. Clonal propagation of vegetative tissues, stem cuttings and bulbs have been considered to be the primary reason for the spread of viruses in dahlias. The most effective means for managing viruses in vegetatively propagated plants is through testing and elimination of virus infected material and use of virus-free stock for propagation. Meristem tip culture was used to eliminate viruses from infected stock. However, in instances where the viral genome is integrated into the host genome, a similar approach is not feasible. Under these circumstances, knowledge of the environmental conditions that trigger symptom development will play an essential role in developing virus management strategies.

#### REFERENCES

- Albouy, J., Lemattre, M., Wang, W. C., Mevel, A. 1992. Production of pathogen tested dahlia in France. Acta Hort. 325: 781-784.
- Ashby, M. K., Warry, A., Bejarano, E. R., Khashoggi, A., Burrell, M., Lichtenstein, C. 1997.Analysis of multiple copies of geminiviral DNA in the genome of four closely relatedNicotiana species suggest a unique integration event. Plant Mol. Biol. 35: 313-321.
- Bejarano, E. R., Khashoggi, A., Witty, M., Lichtenstein, C. 1996. Integration of multiple repeats of geminiviral DNA into the nuclear genome of tobacco during evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 93: 759-764.
- Brierley, P., Smith, F. F. 1950. Some vectors, hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Plant Dis. Rep. 34: 363-370.
- Brunt, A. A. 1971. Some hosts and properties of dahlia mosaic virus. Anal. App. Biol. 67: 357-368.
- Gregor, W., Mette, M. F., Staginnus, C., Matzke, M. A., Matzke, A. J. M. 2004. A distinct endogenous pararetrovirus family in Nicotiana tomentosiformis, a diploid progenitor of polyploid tobacco. Plant Physiol. 134: 1191-1199.
- Harper, G., Hull, R., Lockhart, B., Olszewski, N. 2002. Viral sequences integrated into plant genomes. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 40: 119-136.
- Harper, G., Osuji, J. O., Heslop-Harrison J. S., Hull, R. 1999. Integration of banana streak badnavirus into the *Musa* genome: molecular and cytogenetic evidence. Virology 255:207-213.

- Harper, G., Richert-Pöggeler, K. R., Hohn, T., Hull, R. 2003. Detection of petunia vein-clearing virus: model for the detection of DNA viruses in plants with homologous endogenous pararetrovirus sequences. J. Virol. Methods 107:177-184.
- Jakowitsch, J., Mette, M. F., van der Winden, J., Matzke, M. A., Matske, A. J. M. 1999. Integrated pararetroviral sequences define a unique class of dispersed repetitive DNA in plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 13241-13246.
- Kunii, M., Kanda, M., Nagano, H., Uyeda, I., Kishima, Y., Sano, Y. 2004. Reconstruction of putative DNA virus from endogenous rice tungro bacilliform virus-like sequences in the rice genome:implications for integration and evolution. BMC Genomics 5:80.
- Lockhart, B. E., Menke, J., Dahal, G., Olszewski, N. E. 2000. Characterization and genomic analysis of tobacco vein clearing virus, a plant pararetrovirus that is transmitted vertically and related to sequences integrated in the host genome. J. Gen. Virol. 81:1579-1585.
- Mette, M. F., Kanno, T., Aufsatz, W., Jakowitsch, J., van der Winden, J., Matzke, M. A., Matzke, A. J. M. 2002. Endogenous viral sequences and their potential contribution to heritable virus resistance in plants. EMBO J. 21: 461-469.
- Ndowora, T., Dahal, G., LaFleur, D., Harper, G., Hull, R., Olszewski, N. E., Lockhart, B. 1999. Evidence that badnavirus infection in *Musa* can originate from integrated pararetroviral sequences. Virology 255: 214-220.
- Nicolaisen, M. 2003. Partial molecular characterization of *Dahlia mosaic virus* and its detection by PCR. Plant Dis. 87:945-948.
- Pahalawatta, V., Druffel, K., Pappu, H. R. 2007a. Seed Transmission of Dahlia mosaic virus in Dahlia pinnata. Plant Dis. 91: 88-91.

- Pahalawatta, V., Druffel, K., Pappu, H. R. 2005. Molecular characterization of *Dahlia mosaic virus* genome. Phytopathology 95:S79.
- Pahalawatta, V., Miglino, R., Druffel, K. L., Jodlowska, A., van Schadewijk, A. R., Pappu, H.
  R. 2007b. Incidence and relative distribution of distinct Caulimoviruses Genus *Caulimovirus*, Family *Caulimoviridae* Associated with Dahlia Mosaic in *Dahlia pinnata*.
  Plant Dis: In press.
- Pappu, H. R., Wyatt, S. D., Druffel, K. L. 2005. DMV: Molecular detection and distribution in dahlia in the US. HortScience 40:697-699.
- Richert-Pöggeler, K. R., Noreen, F., Schwarzacher, T., Harper, G., Hohn, T. 2003. Induction of infectious petunia vein clearing (pararetro) virus from endogenous provirus in petunia. EMBO J. 22: 4836-4845.
- Richert-Pöggeler, K. R., Shepherd, R. J. 1997. Petunia vein clearing virus: a plant pararetrovirus with core sequences for an integrase function. Virology 236: 137-146.
- Richins, R. D., Shepherd, R. J. 1983. Physical maps of the genome of *Dahlia mosaic virus* and *Mirabilis mosaic virus* two members of the Caulimovirus group. Virology 124: 208-214.

## **CHAPTER FIVE**

## **GENERAL CONCLUSIONS**

Dahlia mosaic virus (DMV) has been reported to be one of the most widespread viruses affecting dahlias. The disease caused by the virus on dahlias is characterized by symptoms of mosaic and vein banding accompanied by stunted plant growth, affects the flower quality and hence the market value. Previous research on *Dahlia mosaic virus* has resulted in identifying partial genomic sequence, referred to here as DMV- Portland and DMV-Holland, with little sequence homology. DMV-Portland has also been determined to be transmitted mechanically as well as by aphids. Several plant species including *Zinnia elegans* and *Verbesina encelioides* have been reported to be suitable propagation hosts although *Dahlia* species are the natural hosts for the virus. Furthermore, the sequence of DMV-Portland closely resembles the genome organization of *Cauliflower mosaic virus* (CaMV), in containing 7 open reading frames (ORFs) coding for proteins with similar functions. Subsequent research on the disease revealed the presence of another viral sequence referred to as DMV-D10.

In this study, the complete sequence of DMV-D10 was determined and used to elucidate the genome structure and organization of the virus. The circular double-stranded DNA genome (7046 bp) of DMV-D10 had a genome organization very similar to that of DMV- Portland and CaMV. However, the genome of DMV-D10 differed from the above two viruses in the absence of an aphid transmission factor (ATF) and a complete coat protein. It is possible that the loss of an ATF is an indication of evolutionary adaptation of the virus due to continuous vegetative propagation. On the contrary it is possible that eventhough the DMV-D10 amino acid sequence

does not show any homology to ATFs in other caulimoviruses, that it plays a role in aphid transmission. Complementation studies involving the ATF of DMV-Portland and ORF II of DMV-D10 will be useful in determining whether ORF II of DMV-D10 has a functional role in aphid transmission. It is also possible to use aphids to determine the transmission characteristics of DMV-D10. The partial coat protein in DMV-D10 is unique due to the absence of a zinc finger motif (C- $X_2$ -C- $X_4$ -H- $X_4$ -C). This motif is a well-conserved sequence among retroviruses. It is also present in pararetrovirus coat proteins and has been reported to be essential for replication. It is hypothesized that CaMV utilizes the zinc finger domain to mediate encapsidation of the pregenomic RNA leader prior to reverse transcription. Studies have shown that the zinc finger motif is absent from mature CaMV particles and thus to have no function after reverse transcription and assembly. Lack of the zinc finger domain in DMV-D10 maybe an indication of the presence of an alternate method of virion assembly or the absence of an encapsidated virus. Phylogenetic analysis comparing the different ORFs of viruses in Family Caulimoviridae revealed that DMV-D10 is grouped with viruses belonging to Genus Caulimovirus and is closely related to DMV-Portland and Figwort mosaic virus (FMV). According to the 8th report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), one of the species demarcation criteria in Genus *Caulimovirus* is differences in polymerase (reverse transcriptase + ribonuclease H) nucleotide sequences of more than 20%. The percentage identity of the DMV-D10 sequence with that of DMV-Portland and FMV was 54.4% and 70.1% respectively. Thus we can categorically state that DMV-D10 is a new virus species in the Genus Caulimovirus affecting dahlias.

Our studies revealed the high incidence of mixed infections in dahlia plants. However

potential interactions between DMV-D10, DMV-Portland and DMV-Holland that may affect symptom expression in dahlia plants were not examined. Furthermore the correlation between dahlia varieties and symptom expression also needs to be considered under conditions of single and multiple infections to reveal possible synergistic interactions between the three viruses.

The ubiquitous nature of DMV-D10 in samples from different states in the US as well as from the Netherlands, Czech Republic and New Zealand and the presence of DMV-D10 sequences in dahlia leaves, flower petals, pollen and seed instigated the study to determine whether DMV-D10 exists as an endogenous pararetrovirus. Southern blot hybridization studies revealed the presence of DMV-D10 sequences in total genomic DNA extracts from dahlia seedlings but not in other hosts reported to be susceptible to the virus. Endogenous virus sequences have been reported for other pararetroviruses including Banana streak virus, Petunia vein clearing virus, Tobacco vein clearing virus and Rice tungro bacilliform virus. However this study is the first instance in which a virus belonging to Genus *Caulimovirus* has been reported to be introgressed into the host genome. At present, PCR- based assays are considered to be the most reliable method of testing for the viruses associated with dahlia mosaic. However, in the presence of endogenous viral sequences, PCR based on total genomic DNA templates will result in the detection of integrated sequences as well as episomal virus particles. It is important to be able to distinguish between episomal virus and endogenous viral sequences especially in plant material that is used for breeding purposes. Purification of virus particles from the total plant genomic DNA extract prior to PCR can be used to determine the presence/absence of episomal virus particles. Furthermore, multiplex PCR using both virus specific and dahlia specific primer pairs will help in determining the purity of the virus extract as well as the presence/absence of virus particles in the plant. Another technique may be to employ Southern blot hybridization using virus specific probes. However, the challenge is to design a convenient technique that is capable of making the distinction between endogenous and episomal virus sequences, that can be implemented in commercial settings. Multiple attempts to mechanically inoculate dahlia, zinnia and verbesina plants using DMV-D10 proved unsuccessful. This suggests that the virus is most probably present in the plant as endogenous sequences instead of episomal infections. This phenomenon is also a possible explanation for the absence of an aphid transmission factor as well as the zinc finger motif since the functions of the protein products in virus transmission and encapsidation will be redundant. However, dahlia plants positive only for DMV-D10 sequences exhibited a range of disease symptoms ranging from mild to severe mosaic. Therefore it is highly probable that DMV-D10 also exists as infectious episomal genomes. Aphid transmission tests as well as graft transmission studies will assist in determining the presence of episomal virus particles. In this study we used primers in PCR that could potentially amplify the 7 kb DMV-D10 sequence to test for episomal virus without any success. However the presence/absence of episomal virus genomes cannot be determined on the basis of the PCR results alone due to limitations in Taq polymerase, purity of template DNA and other unknown inhibitory factors.

At present, information on the dahlia genome is very limited. Unlike in other host integrated viruses, where the site/s of virus integration into host genome have been detected due to the availability of plant host genomic libraries, the site/s of DMV-D10 integration in dahlia genomes is not known. Cytological studies using DMV-D10 specific fluorescent probes hybridized to dahlia chromosomes can be utilized to determine the dahlia chromosome/s in
which DMV-D10 sequences are integrated. It may be possible to isolate the exact position of DMV-D10 sequence integration by using a DMV-D10 specific primer coupled with a random hexamer in PCR by screening the resulting amplicons for the presence of plant sequences. It would also be of interest to determine when such an integration event occurred during the evolution of dahlia species and DMV. Molecular genetic studies on wild dahlia species may provide important clues to these questions.

Clonal propagation of vegetative tissues, stem cuttings and bulbs in the case of dahlia, has been considered to be the primary reason for the spread of viruses in dahlias. Meristem tip culture has been used to eliminate disease from propagation stock that is infected. However in the event that DMV-D10 is integrated into the host genome a similar approach is not feasible. Testing for viral sequences and the use of virus-free stock would be the most effective methods of limiting the spread of DMV-D10. However, control of DMV-Portland and DMV-Holland may also depend on control of the aphid population where applicable. It has been observed that many of the infected dahlia plants are asymptomatic. One approach that maybe used is to determine whether specific environmental conditions trigger the appearance of symptoms. A similar phenomenon has been observed in other host integrated viruses where certain stress conditions have resulted in the appearance of symptoms. Knowledge of the environmental conditions that trigger symptom development may be useful in maintaining flower quality.