

Received December 23, 2022; accepted September 26, 2023; released online November 4, 2023

Original Article

Association between awareness of limiting food intake and all-cause mortality: A cohort study in Japan

Daisaku Nishimoto^{1,2}, Rie Ibusuki³, Ippei Shimoshikiryo^{1,4}, Kenichi Shibuya⁵, Shiroh Tanoue^{1*}, Chihaya Koriyama¹, Toshiro Takezaki⁶, Isao Oze⁷, Hidemi Ito^{8,9}, Asahi Hishida¹⁰, Takashi Tamura¹⁰, Yasufumi Kato¹⁰, Yudai Tamada¹⁰, Yuichiro Nishida¹¹, Chisato Shimano¹², Sadao Suzuki¹³, Takeshi Nishiyama¹³, Etsuko Ozaki¹⁴, Satomi Tomida^{14,15}, Kiyonori Kuriki¹⁶, Naoko Miyagawa^{17,18}, Keiko Kondo¹⁹, Kokichi Arisawa²⁰, Takeshi Watanabe²⁰, Hiroaki Ikezaki^{21,22}, Jun Otonari²³, Kenji Wakai¹⁰, Keitaro Matsuo^{7,24}, for the Japan Multi-Institutional Collaborative Cohort (J-MICC)

Study Group

¹Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Kagoshima University

Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima, Japan

²School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima,

Japan

³Department of Community-Based Medicine, Kagoshima University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima, Japan

⁴Environmental Epidemiology Section, Health and Environmental Risk Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan

⁵Kagoshima Prefectural Oshima Hospital, Amami, Japan

⁶Community Medicine Support Center, Kagoshima University Hospital, Kagoshima, Japan

⁷Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Aichi Cancer Center, Nagoya, Japan

⁸Division of Cancer Information and Control, Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya, Japan

⁹Division of Descriptive Cancer Epidemiology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

¹⁰Department of Preventive Medicine, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

¹¹Department of Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Saga University, Saga, Japan

¹²Department of Pharmacy, Saga University Hospital, Saga, Japan

¹³Department of Public Health, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Nagoya, Japan

¹⁴Department of Epidemiology for Community Health and Medicine, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

¹⁵Department of Endocrine and Breast Surgery, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan

¹⁶Laboratory of Public Health, Division of Nutritional Sciences, School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University of Shizuoka, Shizuoka, Japan

¹⁷Department of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁸Department of Public Health, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Japan

¹⁹NCD Epidemiology Research Center, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Japan

²⁰Department of Preventive Medicine, Tokushima University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Tokushima, Japan

²¹Department of General Internal Medicine, Kyushu University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

²²Department of Comprehensive General Internal Medicine, Kyushu University Faculty of Medical Sciences, Fukuoka, Japan

²³Department of Psychosomatic Medicine, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

²⁴Department of Cancer Epidemiology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

Address for correspondence: Shiroh Tanoue, MD, PhD, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Kagoshima University Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, 8-35-1, Sakuragaoka, Kagoshima, 890-8544, Japan (e-mail: tanoue@m.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp).

Short title: Unhealthy food intake restriction awareness and mortality

Number of Tables: 5; Figures: 0; Supplementary Tables: 3; Figures: 3

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Background:** Improving diets requires an awareness of the need to limit foods for which
3 excessive consumption is a health problem. Since there are limited reports on the link
4 between this awareness and mortality risk, we examined the association between
5 awareness of limiting food intake (energy, fat, and sweets) and all-cause mortality in a
6 Japanese cohort study.

7 **Methods:** Participants comprised 58,772 residents (27,294 men; 31,478 women) aged
8 35–69 years who completed baseline surveys of the Japan Multi-Institutional
9 Collaborative Cohort Study from 2004 to 2014. Hazard ratios (HRs) for all-cause
10 mortality and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by sex using a Cox
11 proportional hazard model, with adjustment for related factors. Mediation analysis with
12 fat intake as a mediator was also conducted.

13 **Results:** The mean follow-up period was 11 years and 2,516 people died. Estimated
14 energy and fat intakes according to the Food Frequency Questionnaire were lower in those
15 with awareness of limiting food intake than in those without this awareness. Women with
16 awareness of limiting fat intake showed a significant decrease in mortality risk (HR=0.73;
17 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.94). Mediation analysis revealed that this association was due to the
18 direct effect of the awareness of limiting fat intake and that the total effect was not

19 mediated by actual fat intake. Awareness of limiting energy or sweets intake was not
20 related to mortality risk reduction.

21 **Conclusion:** Awareness of limiting food intake had a limited effect on reducing all-cause
22 mortality risk.

23 **Keywords:** awareness of limiting food intake, all-cause mortality, cohort study

24

Accepted Version

25 INTRODUCTION

26 In 2017, an estimated 11 million people died worldwide due to noncommunicable
27 diseases; 29% of these deaths were due to diet, in which, fat and sugar-containing
28 beverage unbalanced intake played a major role.¹ Furthermore, overeating is one of the
29 causes of noncommunicable diseases, and an excessive intake of energy, fat, and sweets
30 is associated with mortality risk.²⁻⁴ Therefore, the prevention of overeating and relevant
31 dietary behavior changes are important.

32 Awareness is the first stage of behavioral changes. Prochaska et al. proposed a
33 behavioral change stage model, wherein awareness transforms into behaviors
34 (Transtheoretical Model), with five stages in the health transformation process:
35 precontemplation, contemplation, preparation, action, and maintenance.⁵ The
36 Transtheoretical Model has been used as a framework in interventions for smoking
37 cessation,^{6,7} as well as diet^{8,9} and exercise.^{10,11} Furthermore, numerous efforts have
38 focused on increasing awareness of limiting foods for which overconsumption is a health
39 problem. For example, in the United States, calorie labeling has been stipulated by law
40 since 2018, with an estimated savings of \$260 million over a 6-year period (from 2018 to
41 2023) compared to conventional medical expenses.¹² Furthermore, a meta-analysis
42 reported energy and fat intake as negatively associated with calorie and nutrient content

43 labeling.¹³ Similar labeling has also resulted in a reduction in the purchase of sugar-
44 containing beverages,¹⁴ and, in some subgroups, has resulted in reduced energy intake,
45 medical costs, and body weight.¹⁵ Awareness of food intake restrictions may help prevent
46 overeating.

47 Awareness of limiting food intake will mediate food intake and be associated with
48 death as an independent factor, similar to noncommunicable diseases, exercise,¹⁶ and
49 smoking.¹⁷ Although studies on the association between awareness of limiting foods for
50 which overconsumption is a health problem and dietary behaviors have been reported,
51 studies on the association between such awareness and the risk of death, as well as on
52 factors that mediate this causation, is limited. Therefore, we evaluated the association
53 between awareness of limiting intake of energy, fat, and sweets and all-cause mortality in
54 a Japanese cohort. Our hypothesis is that individuals with awareness of limiting food
55 intake (energy, fat, and sweets) are less likely to overeat and consequently have lower
56 mortality.

57

58 **METHODS**

59 **Participants**

60 This study used data from the Japan Multi-Institutional Collaborative Cohort (J-MICC)
61 Study. Details of the J-MICC study are available elsewhere.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Briefly, the J-MICC
62 study is a molecular epidemiological study aimed at preventing lifestyle-related diseases
63 in Japanese people. In this study, residents in the community, health checkup examinees,
64 and first-visit patients at a cancer hospital were recruited. Baseline surveys were
65 conducted from 2004 to 2014, and were completed by 92,525 Japanese adults aged 35–
66 69 years (dataset 20220809). The target regions were Chiba, Shizuoka, Aichi, Mie, Shiga,
67 Kyoto, Tokushima, Fukuoka, Saga, Nagasaki, Kagoshima, and Okinawa. Those who
68 submitted written informed consent were selected as research participants.

69 Of the 92,525 participants in the J-MICC Study, 59,682 had available data on
70 awareness of limiting intake of energy, fat, and sweets, food intake, blood pressure, serum
71 lipid levels, fasting blood glucose or HbA1c levels, and history of treatment for
72 hypertension, dyslipidemia, or diabetes. As result, all participants from Chiba were
73 excluded. We further excluded those with no follow-up data (N=38), those who died
74 within 1 year of follow-up (N=76), and those with daily energy intake <1,000 kcal or
75 >4,000 kcal (N=796). Finally, 58,772 people (27,294 men and 31,478 women) were
76 included in the analysis.

77 This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study
78 protocol was approved by the ethics review board of all institutions and universities
79 participating in the J-MICC Study.

80

81 **Medical examination data**

82 We collected information on the results of medical examinations and complete-health
83 checkups. In regions without linked medical examinations, medical examination items
84 were measured independently. Medical examination items included height, weight, body
85 mass index (BMI), systolic and diastolic blood pressures, serum levels of triglyceride and
86 high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, fasting blood glucose level, HbA1c level, and other
87 blood/biochemical test results.

88 Dyslipidemia was defined as a triglyceride level ≥ 150 mmHg/dL, high-density
89 lipoprotein cholesterol level < 40 mg/dL, or the use of dyslipidemia medication.

90 Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg, diastolic blood
91 pressure ≥ 85 mmHg, or the use of antihypertensive medication. Glucose intolerance was

92 defined as a fasting blood glucose level ≥ 100 mg/dL, HbA1c level $\geq 5.6\%$, or the use of
93 anti-diabetic medication. Obesity was defined as BMI ≥ 25 kg/m².²¹

94

95 **Questionnaire surveys**

96 Baseline surveys included a common questionnaire that collected information on sleep,
97 exercise, alcohol drinking habits, smoking habits, psychological stress, use of
98 medications and supplements, dietary habits (including food intake), and medical
99 histories including those of family members (and a reproductive history in women).

100 To assess awareness of limiting food intake, participants were asked whether they avoid
101 consumption of energy, fat, or sweets, with “yes” or “no” as responses. Those who
102 answered “yes” were deemed to have awareness, indicating the subjective recognition for
103 the restriction of food intake, rather than actual food restriction.

104 Furthermore, those who indicated that they have a habit of drinking alcoholic beverages
105 at least once a month were regarded as “current drinkers,” and those who indicated that
106 they were currently smoking were regarded as “current smokers.” The amount of habitual
107 exercise was estimated by a method similar to the International Physical Activity
108 Questionnaire (IPAQ).²² Habitual exercise was classified into three categories and
109 assigned an exercise intensity as follows: "walking", 3.3 METs; "moderate activity", 4.0
110 METs; and "vigorous activity", 8.0 METs. Metabolic equivalent of task values were
111 calculated by multiplying the assigned intensity by the frequency and duration of each
112 category. Additionally, daily activities were quantified by multiplying the duration of

113 "force work," "walking," "standing," and "sitting" with respective activity intensity values,
114 4.5, 3.0, 2.0, and 1.5 METs.²³ The participants were divided into tertiles according the
115 distribution of habitual exercise and daily activity.

116

117 **Energy and nutrient intake**

118 Daily intake of energy (kcal) and fat (gram) was estimated using the Food Frequency
119 Questionnaire (FFQ). Briefly, information on the dietary habits of the past year was
120 collected, including the frequency of intake of 47 staples, foods, and beverages, and the
121 amount of staple foods consumed for breakfast, lunch, and dinner. Estimated values for
122 energy and fat intake on the FFQ have been validated by weighted diet records,²⁴⁻²⁶
123 Validity indices for energy estimates in males and females were reported as 0.40 and 0.44,
124 respectively, and those for fat were reported as 0.62 and 0.48, respectively.²⁴⁻²⁶ For sweet
125 foods, only frequency information was collected by the FFQ; accordingly, sugar intake
126 could not be evaluated as a nutrient. Therefore, in the current study, sweet food was
127 defined as cake and Japanese cake. The frequency of intake of cake and Japanese cake,
128 beef and pork, green and yellow vegetables, and fruits were calculated as weekly averages,
129 based on an 8-point scale (almost never eat, 1-3 times per month, 1-2 times per week, 3-
130 4 times per week, 5-6 times per week, once per day, twice per day, and ≥ 3 times per day).

131 Tertiles were created for each intake of beef and pork, green and yellow vegetables, and
132 fruits for men and women and were used for statistical analysis.

133

134 **Follow-up and mortality data**

135 Participants were followed up from the start of baseline survey, and the final year of the
136 follow-up varied from the end of 2017 to the end of 2020, depending on the study area.

137 Participants who moved out of study regions were censored. The duration of follow-up

138 was calculated as the time from the date of the participant's baseline survey to their death,

139 move out of study regions, or end of the follow-up, whichever came first. During an

140 average follow-up of 11 years (range: 0–15.9 years), 2,516 people died, and 3,154 people

141 moved out of study regions. The information on death was confirmed by death certificates

142 at the applicable health center, with the permission of the Japanese Ministry of Health,

143 Labor and Welfare.

144

145 **Statistical analysis**

146 The associations between awareness of limiting food intake and nutritional intake

147 estimated by the FFQ were determined according to sex using multivariable regression

148 analyses. Age, BMI, region, smoking and alcohol drinking habits, years of education,

149 daily activity, and habitual exercise were used as covariates. In the analyses for the
150 association with fat intake, the effect of estimated energy intake was additionally adjusted.

151 The distributions of age, BMI, and awareness of limiting food intake, but excluding
152 that used as a dependent variable, were compared by awareness of limiting food intake
153 using logistic regression models, and age was always included in the model (eTables).

154 Cox proportional hazards modeling was used to evaluate the association between
155 awareness of limiting food intake and mortality one year after the baseline survey; the
156 hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated by sex. To infer
157 causal relationships, we selected the covariates for the multivariate analysis based on
158 lifestyle-related factors pertaining to metabolic syndrome diagnostic criteria and factors
159 that would affect the association between awareness of limiting food intake and all-cause
160 mortality, and these covariates were evaluated through drawing Direct Acyclic Graphs
161 (DAG) (DAGitty3.0, <http://www.dagitty.net/>), and confirmed the effect by adjustment
162 (total effect) for causal effect identification. The following factors were applied in the
163 DAG: age (35-49, 50-59, and 60-69 years), BMI (<18.5, 18.5-24.9, and ≥ 25.0), 11 study
164 regions, smoking status (current, past, and never), alcohol drinking habit (current, past,
165 and never), years of education (<16 and ≥ 16 years), daily activity (tertile), habitual
166 exercise (tertile), beef and pork intake (tertile), green and yellow vegetable intake (tertile),

167 fruit intake (tertile), awareness of energy intake, awareness of limiting fat intake,
168 awareness of limiting sweets intake, energy intake (continuous variable), fat intake
169 (continuous variable), sweets intake (the more frequent intake value of either cake or
170 Japanese cake), and the presence of dyslipidemia, hypertension, and glucose intolerance.
171 For statistical models, we used variables that did not have a biasing path in the DAG
172 (eFigure 1, 2, and 3).

173 The main causes of death in the study population were cancer and cerebrovascular
174 disease, and metabolic syndrome is an important high-risk condition for these diseases.
175 In general, individuals with metabolic syndrome are likely to have greater awareness of
176 limiting food intake because of the need to manage these underlying diseases. To exclude
177 the effects of causal reversals, subclass analyses were performed with stratification by
178 referring to diagnostic criteria for metabolic syndrome: central obesity, dyslipidemia,
179 hypertension, and hyperglycemia. Awareness of limiting energy intake was stratified by
180 BMI, fat intake was stratified by dyslipidemia and BMI, and sweets intake was stratified
181 by glucose intolerance and BMI.

182 In addition, we conducted a mediation analysis using the four-way effect
183 decomposition to evaluate the association between fat intake, as a mediator of awareness
184 of limiting fat intake, and all-cause mortality. This analysis can estimate the four-way

185 decomposition of controlled direct effect, reference interaction (only interaction),
186 mediated interaction, and pure indirect effect (only mediation). The exposure was
187 awareness of limiting fat intake, and the mediator was fat intake (continuous variable).
188 The average value of fat intake without awareness of limiting fat intake was set as a
189 counterfactual mediator. We used a linear regression model to analyze the association
190 with the mediator.²⁷ We represented the sum of the effects of controlled direct effect and
191 reference interaction as direct effect, and the sum of the effects of mediated interaction
192 and pure indirect effect as indirect effect.

193 All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software version 17 (Stata Corp,
194 College Station, TX). The statistical significance level was set at 5%.

195

196 **RESULTS**

197 Sex differences for each variable were evaluated by χ^2 -test for categorical variables and
198 t-test for continuous variables, and the proportion of participants in the age group of 60-
199 69 years was the highest for both men and women (Table 1). The prevalence of current
200 smoker, current alcohol drinker, obesity, hypertension, impaired glucose tolerance, and
201 dyslipidemia were higher in men than in women. In addition, women tended to show
202 higher prevalence in the awareness of limiting each food intake; there were statistically

203 significance in these differences between men and women, except for awareness of
204 limiting sweets intake.

205 The distributions of age, BMI, and awareness of limiting intake of fat, and sweets, were
206 statistically different between groups with and without awareness of limiting energy
207 intake (eTable 1). For the comparison between groups by the awareness of limiting fat
208 intake, the distributions of all variables were significantly different (eTable 2). Similar
209 analyses were conducted for awareness of limiting sweets intake. All variables shown in
210 the Tables were significantly related to awareness (eTable 3).

211 For both men and women, study participants with awareness of limiting energy intake
212 consumed lower FFQ-based estimated energy intake than those without this awareness;
213 similarly, those with awareness of limiting fat intake showed lower fat intake than those
214 without this awareness (Table 2). Furthermore, both men and women with awareness of
215 limiting sweets intake consumed less energy and fat than those without this awareness,
216 except for fat intake in women.

217 We checked the biasing paths that affect the causal path between awareness of limiting
218 food intake and all-cause mortality using DAGs and included the factors related to the
219 biasing paths as covariates in the statistical model. In men, awareness of limiting energy
220 intake was associated with a decreased mortality risk (HR=0.79; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.88) in

221 Model 1 (adjusted for age only); in the subclass analysis by BMI, this result was
222 significant for BMI <18.5 kg/m² and BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m². However, these associations
223 disappeared in Model 2 (adjusted for lifestyle-related confounding factors, awareness of
224 limiting intake of fat and sweets) (Table 3). In women, on the other hand, awareness of
225 limiting energy intake was associated with an increased mortality risk (HR=1.39; 95% CI,
226 1.06 to 1.81) in Model 2; in the subclass analysis, this association was stronger in those
227 with BMI ≥25.0 kg/m² (HR=1.93; 95% CI, 1.13 to 3.27).

228 Although awareness of limiting fat intake was negatively associated with male
229 mortality risk (HR=0.79; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.88), this significant association disappeared
230 in Model 2 (adjusted for lifestyle-related confounding factors; awareness of limiting
231 intake of energy and sweets; and the presence of dyslipidemia or hypertension) (Table 4).
232 Similar tendencies were observed regardless of the presence of dyslipidemia, presence of
233 dyslipidemia without medication, and BMI of 18.5-24.9 kg/m². In women, awareness of
234 limiting fat intake was significantly associated with a decreased mortality risk even after
235 adjusting for all confounding variables (HR=0.73; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.94) (Model 2).

236 In the mediation analysis for women, the coefficients (Coef.) for direct and total effects
237 of awareness of limiting fat intake on all-cause mortality were significant, at -0.27 (95%
238 CI, -0.47 to -0.08) and -0.27 (95% CI, -0.46 to -0.07), respectively, after adjusting the

239 effects of covariates used in Table 4. In contrast, the indirect effect was not statistically
240 significant (Coef.=0.008; 95% CI, -0.001 to 0.016).

241 Awareness of limiting sweets intake was significantly associated with a decreased
242 mortality risk among men (Model 1 in Table 5). In the subclass analysis of Model 1
243 among men, similar negative associations were observed in those without glucose
244 intolerance and in those with glucose intolerance without medication. However, again,
245 this association disappeared after adjusting for the effects of potential confounding factors
246 (Model 2 in Table 5). Similar results were observed among women without glucose
247 intolerance and those with a BMI of 18.5-24.9 kg/m². In men with glucose intolerance,
248 awareness of limiting sweet intake was marginally related to the increase of all-cause
249 mortality in Model 2 (HR=1.29; 95% CI, 0.99 to 1.69).

250

251 **DISCUSSION**

252 This study evaluated the association between awareness of limiting food intake and all-
253 cause mortality in the general Japanese population. Significant negative associations
254 between awareness of limiting fat intake and mortality were observed in the women.
255 Mediation analysis revealed that this association was not mediated by actual fat intake.

256 On the other hand, awareness of limiting energy intake was associated with an increased
257 mortality risk in women, and this association was stronger in those with BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m².

258 Response to the questionnaire regarding awareness of limiting food intake was
259 subjective in nature; as such, positive responses were not necessarily accompanied by
260 actual restrictions in dietary behavior. Therefore, we conducted a mediation analysis to
261 determine whether awareness of limiting fat intake led to lower mortality via actual fat
262 intake reduction. The results of the mediation analysis showed that awareness of limiting
263 fat intake, rather than actual reduction in fat intake, was significantly associated with
264 lower all-cause mortality, especially among women. These results suggest that
265 individuals with higher dietary awareness may have higher overall health awareness and
266 healthier behaviors beyond dietary behaviors, and that this may be associated with lower
267 all-cause mortality. This trend was more pronounced among women.

268 Health consciousness and related-behaviors are not always in accordance. For example,
269 it has been reported that the self-reported consumption of alcohol is underestimated.²⁸

270 Furthermore, self-reported smoking rates tend to be underestimated, based on a literature
271 review.²⁹ In contrast, the amount of exercise is reported as overestimated.³⁰ Further, self-
272 reported food intake does not necessarily match the actual intake.³¹ The behavioral change
273 stage model has five stages; precontemplation, contemplation, preparation, action, and

274 maintenance; the stage with healthy awareness but without healthy behavior corresponds
275 to a period of contemplation or preparation this model.⁵ As detailed in the introduction,
276 campaigns in various countries have targeted awareness to promote healthy behavioral
277 changes. Although studies suggest the success of these campaigns in increasing
278 awareness and improving behavior, to the best of our knowledge, no study has evaluated
279 the association between awareness of limiting food intake and mortality risk.

280

281 **Energy intake**

282 In the present study, the estimated energy intake by FFQ was lower in those with
283 awareness of limiting energy intake than in those without this awareness. However, in
284 Model 2, women with awareness of limiting energy intake showed an increased mortality
285 risk (HR=1.39; 95% CI, 1.06 to 1.81), especially in those with BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m²
286 (HR=1.93; 95% CI, 1.13 to 3.27). These inconsistent results might be due to a causal
287 reversal phenomenon, in which participants with background risk factors for excessive
288 energy intake (e.g., high BMI) at the time of the baseline survey had energy intake
289 restriction awareness, resulting in the observed increased mortality risk. To confirm this
290 possibility, we re-conducted the same analyses after excluding the participants with either
291 hypertension, dyslipidemia, or hyperglycemia at baseline surveys, and the results were

292 almost same, except that the estimate of HR for obese women with BMI ≥ 25.0 kg/m² was
293 much higher, at 4.37 (95% CI, 1.06 to 18.03). Detailed analysis including data by cause
294 of death is needed in the future.

295

296 **Fat intake**

297 Fat intake has been reported to have a linear positive or U-shaped association with
298 mortality.^{3,32} Regarding the association between awareness and behavior pertaining to fat
299 intake, a previous study reported that subjective and objective assessments of fat intake
300 did not match in both evaluated samples, reflecting the general population in the
301 Netherlands and adults in the United States.³³ In addition, it has been reported that fat
302 intake, as well as energy intake, is reduced by food labeling.¹³

303 In the present study, the estimated fat intake by the FFQ was lower in those with
304 awareness of limiting fat intake than in those without this awareness. Although no
305 significant association was found between awareness of limiting fat intake and all-cause
306 mortality in men (Model 2), a significant negative association was observed among
307 women (HR=0.73; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.94 in Model 2). Moreover, a similar negative
308 association was observed in women with obesity (HR=0.62; 95% CI, 0.37 to 1.05 in
309 Model 2). The mediation analysis revealed that these associations were not significantly

310 mediated by actual fat intake, while significant negative associations were found for the
311 direct and total effects for awareness of limiting fat intake on mortality risk.

312 Although a significant bias could occur if those with awareness of limiting food intake
313 responded to the FFQ more conservatively than their actual intake, the results of the
314 mediation analysis indicate that the effect via fat intake obtained from the FFQ was not
315 significant. In other words, even if participants indicated a lower fat intake on the FFQ
316 than their actual fat intake, other mechanisms might be responsible for the decline in all-
317 cause mortality.

318

319 **Sweet food intake**

320 In previous studies, excessive intake of added sugar³⁴ and total sugar were associated with
321 increased mortality risk.⁴ In contrast, another study reported no significant association
322 between eating patterns for sweet foods and mortality.³⁵

323 The current study did not find a significant association between awareness of limiting
324 sweets intake and a decrease in all-cause mortality risk. There are two potential
325 explanations for this result. Firstly, it may be due to the infrequency of eating sweet foods
326 relative to the energy and fat intake in the daily diet; as a result, the intake of sweet foods
327 may have less impact on mortality. In fact, the percentage of those who consumed cakes

328 and Japanese cake daily was quite small in the current study, comprising 0.2% of those
329 with awareness of limiting sweet foods and 0.1% of those without this awareness.
330 Secondly, we only had information on the frequency of sweet food intake, disallowing a
331 detailed quantitative assessment and mediation analysis. Since a lot of sugar is consumed
332 from foods other than cakes, such as sweets, breads, and soft drinks, future studies should
333 take this consumption into account as well.

334 Only men with glucose intolerance showed a marginally significant positive
335 association between awareness of limiting sweets intake and all-cause mortality in Model
336 2 (HR=1.29; 95% CI, 0.99 to 1.69). This trend was enhanced among the participants with
337 medication. Although a more detailed analysis is needed, these results suggest that there
338 may be residual effects of causal reversal in the relationship between awareness of
339 limiting sweet foods and all-cause mortality risk in men with impaired glucose tolerance.

340

341 **Strengths and limitations**

342 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine associations between
343 awareness of limiting food intake and the risk of mortality in a relatively large number of
344 participants from the general population.

345 However, as a limitation of the present study, although this was a prospective study,
346 the age at baseline was 35–69 years. Some participants already had a condition requiring
347 dietary restrictions at baseline, which may have contaminated the results (e.g. resulting in
348 causal reversal). Therefore, we performed subclass analyses, excluding populations with
349 underlying diseases requiring dietary restrictions. Furthermore, we adjusted for
350 confounding factors using information on a wide range of lifestyle factors and medical
351 examination results; however, the effects of host factors and unspecified confounding
352 factors are unknown. Further, the results did not change even when categories were
353 further divided. In addition, the present study targeted participants who underwent
354 medical examinations and voluntarily responded to mailed fliers. Accordingly, the
355 proportion of participants with high health consciousness may be higher than that in the
356 general population, and the results may be slightly overestimated.

357 Awareness of limiting food intake might be influenced by a history of disease and
358 other factors. Subjective stress was considered a potential confounding factor, but
359 adjusting for simple subjective stress status at baseline (having experienced strong stress
360 in the past year or not) did not affect the main results. We attempted to distinguish the
361 effects of underlying health conditions from those of awareness by subclass analyses;
362 however, we could not adjust for the effects of other unknown factors. Moreover, some

363 participants may have been dieting, which is a potential confounding factor, but this
364 information was not available.

365 In this study, actual fat intake was used as the most likely mediator in the mediation
366 analysis of the awareness of limiting fat intake. However, since the study design was a
367 cross-sectional study and the temporal order of causes and mediators was not ensured, it
368 may not have been sufficiently assessed as a mediator, which is one of the limitations of
369 this study.

370 Sugar intake was not evaluated as a nutrient since only frequency information for cake
371 and Japanese cake was collected by the FFQ used in this study. Lastly, we could not
372 consider salt intake in this study because of the low validity of salt intake by FFQ.

373

374 **CONCLUSIONS**

375 This study examined the association between awareness of limiting food intake and all-
376 cause mortality in the Japanese general population. Awareness of limiting fat intake was
377 associated with lower risk of all-cause mortality only in women, and this association was
378 not mediated by actual fat intake. On the other hand, awareness of limiting intake of
379 energy and sweets did not reduce the risk of all-cause mortality. These results suggest
380 that awareness of limiting food intake has a limited effect on all-cause mortality risk, and

381 this relationship may reflect not only dietary habits, but also other behavioral changes and
382 overall health awareness.

383

384 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

385 We, the authors, would like to thank Dr. Nobuyuki Hamajima and Dr. Hideo Tanaka for
386 starting and managing the J-MICC Study as its principal investigators. We are also
387 grateful to the research participants and members of the J-MICC Study Group.

388 Funding: This study was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research for
389 Priority Areas of Cancer (No. 17015018) and Innovative Areas (No. 221S0001), and by
390 a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant (No. 16H06277
391 and 22H04923 [CoBiA]) from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports,
392 Science, and Technology. The sponsors did not play a role in the design of the study; in
393 the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the
394 decision to submit the article for publication.

395 Conflicts of Interests: The authors declare they have no conflict of interest with respect
396 to this research study and paper.

397 Data Availability: The data used in this study cannot be made publicly available due
398 to ethical restrictions. However, it is available by reasonable request to J-MICC Central
399 Office.

400 Authors' contributions: DN and TT designed the research; DN analyzed data and wrote
401 the manuscript; RI, IS, KS, ST, CK, IO, HI and TT specifically revised the manuscript;
402 AH, TT, YK, YT, YN, CS, SS, TN, EO, ST, KK, NM, KK, KA, TW, HI, JO and KW
403 conducted an investigation; KM administrated the J-MICC Study. All authors reviewed
404 and approved the final manuscript to be published.

405

REFERENCES

1. GBD 2017 Diet Collaborators. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*. 2019;393:1958-1972.
2. Nagai M, Ohkubo T, Miura K, et al. Association of total energy intake with 29-year mortality in the Japanese: NIPPON DATA80. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2016;23:339-354.
3. Kang M, Park SY, Boushey CJ, et al. Does incorporating gender differences into quantifying a food frequency questionnaire influence the association of total energy intake with all-cause and cause-specific mortality? *Nutrients*. 2020;12:2914.
4. Anderson JJ, Gray SR, Welsh P, et al. The associations of sugar-sweetened, artificially sweetened and naturally sweet juices with all-cause mortality in 198,285 UK Biobank participants: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Med*. 2020;18:97.
5. Prochaska JO, Velicer WF. The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. *Am J Health Promot*. 1997;12:38-48.

6. Rios LE, Herval ÁM, Ferreira RC, Freire M. Prevalences of stages of change for smoking cessation in adolescents and associated factors: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Adolesc Health*. 2019;64:149-157.
7. Robinson LM, Vail SR. An integrative review of adolescent smoking cessation using the Transtheoretical Model of Change. *J Pediatr Health Care*. 2012;26:336-345.
8. Greene GW, Redding CA, Prochaska JO, et al. Baseline transtheoretical and dietary behavioral predictors of dietary fat moderation over 12 and 24 months. *Eat Behav*. 2013;14:255-262.
9. Takeshima T, Okayama M, Harada M, Ae R, Kajii E. Effects of disclosing hypothetical genetic test results for salt sensitivity on salt restriction behavior. *Int J Gen Med*. 2013;6:361-368.
10. Marshall SJ, Biddle SJ. The transtheoretical model of behavior change: a meta-analysis of applications to physical activity and exercise. *Ann Behav Med*. 2001;23:229-246.
11. Lipschitz JM, Yusuf M, Paiva A, et al. Transtheoretical principles and processes for adopting physical activity: a longitudinal 24-month comparison of

- maintainers, relapsers, and nonchangers. *J Sport Exerc Psychol*. 2015;37:592-606.
12. Liu J, Mozaffarian D, Sy S, et al. Health and economic impacts of the National Menu Calorie Labeling Law in the United States: a microsimulation study. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2020;13:e006313.
 13. Shangquan S, Afshin A, Shulkin M, et al. A meta-analysis of food labeling effects on consumer diet behaviors and industry practices. *Am J Prev Med*. 2019;56:300-314.
 14. von Philipsborn P, Stratil JM, Burns J, et al. Environmental interventions to reduce the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages and their effects on health. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2019;6:CD012292.
 15. An R, Zheng J, Xiang X. Projecting the influence of sugar-sweetened beverage warning labels and restaurant menu labeling regulations on energy intake, weight status, and health care expenditures in US adults: a microsimulation. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2022;122:334-344.
 16. Takatsuji Y, Ishiguro A, Asayama K, et al. Exercise Habits Are Associated with Improved Long-Term Mortality Risks in the Nationwide General Japanese

- Population: A 20-Year Follow-Up of the NIPPON DATA90 Study. *The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine*. 2020;252:253-62.
17. Sakata R, McGale P, Grant EJ, Ozasa K, Peto R, Darby SC. Impact of smoking on mortality and life expectancy in Japanese smokers: a prospective cohort study. *Bmj*. 2012;345:e7093.
 18. Hamajima N; J-MICC Study Group. The Japan Multi-Institutional Collaborative Cohort Study (J-MICC Study) to detect gene-environment interactions for cancer. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2007;8:317-323.
 19. Wakai K, Hamajima N, Okada R, et al. Profile of participants and genotype distributions of 108 polymorphisms in a cross-sectional study of associations of genotypes with lifestyle and clinical factors: a project in the Japan Multi-Institutional Collaborative Cohort (J-MICC) Study. *J Epidemiol*. 2011;21:223-235.
 20. Takeuchi K, Naito M, Kawai S, et al. Study profile of the Japan Multi-institutional Collaborative Cohort (J-MICC) Study. *J Epidemiol*. 2021;31:660-668.
 21. Yamagishi K, Iso H. The criteria for metabolic syndrome and the national health screening and education system in Japan. *Epidemiol Health*. 2017;39:e2017003.

22. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35:1381-1395.
23. American College of Sports Medicine. *ACSM'S Metabolic Calculations Handbook.* Lippencott Williams & Wilkins; 2006.
24. Tokudome S, Goto C, Imaeda N, Tokudome Y, Ikeda M, Maki S. Development of a data-based short food frequency questionnaire for assessing nutrient intake by middle-aged Japanese. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2004;5:40-43.
25. Tokudome Y, Goto C, Imaeda N, et al. Relative validity of a short food frequency questionnaire for assessing nutrient intake versus three-day weighed diet records in middle-aged Japanese. *J Epidemiol.* 2005;15:135-145.
26. Imaeda N, Goto C, Sasakabe T, et al. Reproducibility and validity of food group intake in a short food frequency questionnaire for the middle-aged Japanese population. *Environ Health Prev Med.* 2021;26:28.
27. Discacciati A, Bellavia A, Lee JJ, Mazumdar M, Valeri L. Med4way: a Stata command to investigate mediating and interactive mechanisms using the four-way effect decomposition. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2018.

28. Stockwell T, Zhao J, Greenfield T, Li J, Livingston M, Meng Y. Estimating under- and over-reporting of drinking in national surveys of alcohol consumption: identification of consistent biases across four English-speaking countries. *Addiction*. 2016;111:1203-1213.
29. Connor Gorber S, Schofield-Hurwitz S, Hardt J, Levasseur G, Tremblay M. The accuracy of self-reported smoking: a systematic review of the relationship between self-reported and cotinine-assessed smoking status. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2009;11:12-24.
30. Ronda G, Van Assema P, Brug J. Stages of change, psychological factors and awareness of physical activity levels in The Netherlands. *Health Promot Int*. 2001;16:305-314.
31. Macdiarmid J, Blundell J. Assessing dietary intake: Who, what and why of under-reporting. *Nutr Res Rev*. 1998;11:231-253.
32. Kwon YJ, Lee HS, Park JY, Lee JW. Associating intake proportion of carbohydrate, fat, and protein with all-cause mortality in Korean adults. *Nutrients*. 2020;12:3208.

33. Glanz K, Brug J, van Assema P. Are awareness of dietary fat intake and actual fat consumption associated?-a Dutch-American comparison. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 1997;51:542-547.
34. Ramne S, Alves Dias J, González-Padilla E, et al. Association between added sugar intake and mortality is nonlinear and dependent on sugar source in 2 Swedish population-based prospective cohorts. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2019;109:411-423.
35. Zupo R, Sardone R, Donghia R, et al. Traditional Dietary Patterns and Risk of Mortality in a Longitudinal Cohort of the Salus in Apulia Study. *Nutrients.* 2020;12.

406 **Figure legends**

407 **eFigure 1**

408 White: adjusted variable; pink: ancestor of exposure and outcome; light gray: unobserved
409 (latent); green with a black mark inside: exposure; blue with a black mark inside:
410 outcome; blue: ancestor of outcome; green arrow: causal path.

411

412 **eFigure 2**

413 White: adjusted variable; pink: ancestor of exposure and outcome; light gray: unobserved
414 (latent); green with a black mark inside: exposure; blue with a black mark inside:
415 outcome; blue: ancestor of outcome; green arrow: causal path.

416

417 **eFigure 3**

418 White: adjusted variable; pink: ancestor of exposure and outcome; light gray: unobserved
419 (latent); green with a black mark inside: exposure; blue with a black mark inside:
420 outcome; blue: ancestor of outcome; green arrow: causal path.

421

422

Accepted Version

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population according to sex

	Men N=27,294		Women N=31,478		<i>p</i>
	<i>N (%)</i>				
Age (years)					
35-49	6,383	(23.4)	8,057	(25.6)	
50-59	8,993	(33.0)	11,031	(35.0)	<0.001 ^a
60-69	11,918	(43.7)	12,390	(39.4)	
Years of education (≥16 years)	7,644	(36.1)	2,486	(10.9)	<0.001 ^a
Current smoker	8,201	(30.1)	2,032	(6.5)	<0.001 ^a
Current alcohol drinker	20,897	(76.6)	11,266	(35.8)	<0.001 ^a
Obese (BMI ≥25.0 kg/m ²)	8,236	(30.2)	5,922	(18.8)	<0.001 ^a
Daily activity (≥15.0 METs·h/day)	8,637	(31.7)	9,506	(30.3)	<0.001 ^a
Habitual exercise (≥2.19 METs·h/day)	8,126	(30.7)	8,136	(27.0)	<0.001 ^a
Hypertension	16,577	(60.7)	14,684	(46.7)	<0.001 ^a
Glucose intolerance	8,299	(30.4)	6,617	(21.0)	<0.001 ^a
Dyslipidemia	11,555	(42.3)	8,638	(27.4)	<0.001 ^a
Food intake					
Beef and pork (≥3 times/week)	7,709	(28.3)	13,318	(42.4)	<0.001 ^a
Green & yellow vegetable (≥3 times/week)	11,553	(42.4)	17,873	(56.9)	<0.001 ^a
Fruits (≥3 times/week)	6,763	(24.8)	13,910	(44.2)	<0.001 ^a
Cake (≥1 time/week)	4,939	(18.3)	8,506	(27.3)	<0.001 ^a
Japanese cake (≥1 times/week)	7,447	(27.3)	15,204	(48.3)	<0.001 ^a
Awareness of limiting food intake					
Energy	9,219	(33.8)	12,379	(39.3)	<0.001 ^a
Fat	10,306	(37.8)	14,485	(46.0)	<0.001 ^a
Sweets	9,176	(33.6)	10,758	(34.2)	0.155 ^a
Three awareness (Yes) responses	6,567	(24.1)	8,679	(27.6)	<0.001 ^a
One to three awareness (Yes) responses	12,775	(46.8)	16,438	(52.2)	<0.001 ^a
	<i>Mean (SD)</i>				
Nutritional intake					
Energy (kcal/day)	1939.0	(356.1)	1553.7	(230.6)	<0.001 ^b
Fat (gram/day)	42.6	(11.0)	44.8	(10.8)	<0.001 ^b

BMI, body mass index; MET, metabolic equivalent of task

^aP values obtained by χ^2 test.

^bP values obtained by t-test.

Table 2. Estimated daily intake by FFQ at baseline surveys according to awareness of limiting food intake

	Mean of estimated intake (95% CI)								
	Awareness of limiting energy intake			Awareness of limiting fat intake			Awareness of limiting sweets intake		
	No	Yes	<i>P</i>	No	Yes	<i>P</i>	No	Yes	<i>P</i>
Men									
Energy intake (kcal)	1959.4 (1954.1-1964.8)	1899.0 (1892.2-1905.8)	<0.001 ^a	1955.2 (1949.7-1960.7)	1912.3 (1905.8-1918.8)	<0.001 ^a	1951.1 (1945.9-1956.4)	1915.1 (1908.1-1922.0)	<0.001 ^a
Fat intake (gram)	42.7 (42.6-42.9)	42.3 (42.1-42.5)	0.791 ^b	42.9 (42.8-43.1)	42.0 (41.8-42.2)	<0.001 ^b	42.9 (42.7-43.1)	42.0 (41.7-42.2)	0.001 ^b
Women									
Energy intake (kcal)	1568.9 (1565.5-1572.2)	1530.3 (1526.4-1534.3)	<0.001 ^a	1565.7 (1562.2-1569.3)	1539.6 (1536.0-1543.2)	<0.001 ^a	1564.2 (1561.0-1567.4)	1533.5 (1529.3-1537.6)	<0.001 ^a
Fat intake (gram)	44.9 (44.8-45.1)	44.6 (44.4-44.8)	0.025 ^b	45.1 (45.0-45.3)	44.4 (44.2-44.5)	<0.001 ^b	45.1 (44.9-45.2)	44.3 (44.1-44.5)	0.196 ^b

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval

^aAdjusted for age, BMI, region, smoking habit, alcohol drinking habit, years of education, daily activity, habitual exercise.

^bAdjusted for age, BMI, region, smoking habit, alcohol drinking habit, years of education, daily activity, habitual exercise, energy intake.

Table 3. Association between awareness of limiting energy intake and all-cause mortality

	Events (n)	Person- years	Hazard ratio (95% CI) *	
			Model 1	Model 2
Men				
Awareness (No)	1,243	196,503	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	444	93,725	0.79 (0.71-0.88)	0.89 (0.74-1.07)
BMI (kg/m²)				
<18.5				
Awareness (No)	91	6,374	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	8	1,157	0.47 (0.23-0.97)	0.51 (0.16-1.66)
18.5-24.9				
Awareness (No)	808	133,360	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	281	61,249	0.79 (0.69-0.90)	0.84 (0.67-1.06)
≥25.0				
Awareness (No)	344	56,770	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	155	31,319	0.89 (0.73-1.08)	0.99 (0.72-1.38)
Women				
Awareness (No)	557	218,022	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	272	126,458	0.95 (0.82-1.10)	1.39 (1.06-1.81)
BMI (kg/m²)				
<18.5				
Awareness (No)	42	19,802	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	11	7,716	0.77 (0.39-1.52)	0.78 (0.25-2.42)
18.5-24.9				
Awareness (No)	382	157,992	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	172	92,648	0.87 (0.72-1.04)	1.30 (0.94-1.80)
≥25.0				
Awareness (No)	133	40,228	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	89	26,095	1.16 (0.88-1.53)	1.93 (1.13-3.27)

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio

*Hazard ratio due to the awareness of limiting energy intake

Model 1: Adjusted for age.

Model 2: Adjusted for age; BMI; region; smoking habit; alcohol drinking habit; years of education; daily activity; habitual exercise; meat, green vegetable, and fruit intake; awareness of limiting fat and sweet food intake.

Table 4. Association between awareness of limiting fat intake and all-cause mortality

	Events (n)	Person- years	Hazard ratio (95% CI) *	
			Model 1	Model 2
Men				
Awareness (No)	1,166	184,743	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	521	105,485	0.79 (0.72-0.88)	0.95 (0.79-1.14)
Dyslipidemia				
No				
Awareness (No)	617	107,148	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	243	58,510	0.72 (0.62-0.84)	0.93 (0.72-1.20)
Yes				
Awareness (No)	549	77,595	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	278	46,974	0.86 (0.74-0.99)	0.99 (0.76-1.28)
Among participants with dyslipidemia				
Medication (No)				
Awareness (No)	452	65,362	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	182	33,187	0.83 (0.70-0.99)	1.00 (0.74-1.35)
Medication (Yes)				
Awareness (No)	97	12,234	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	96	13,788	0.97 (0.73-1.29)	0.85 (0.48-1.48)
BMI (kg/m²)				
<18.5				
Awareness (No)	85	5,888	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	14	1,643	0.60 (0.34-1.06)	1.04 (0.41-2.68)
18.5-24.9				
Awareness (No)	756	124,990	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	333	69,619	0.80 (0.70-0.91)	0.97 (0.77-1.21)
≥25.0				
Awareness (No)	325	53,866	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	174	34,223	0.87 (0.72-1.04)	0.92 (0.66-1.28)
Women				
Awareness (No)	531	194,956	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	298	149,524	0.80 (0.69-0.92)	0.73 (0.55-0.94)
Dyslipidemia				
No				

Awareness (No)	351	142,417	1.00		1.00
Awareness (Yes)	189	104,805	0.79	(0.66-0.95)	0.74 (0.54-1.03)
Yes					
Awareness (No)	180	52,539	1.00		1.00
Awareness (Yes)	109	44,719	0.80	(0.63-1.02)	0.69 (0.42-1.12)
Among participants with dyslipidemia					
Medication (No)					
Awareness (No)	102	35,359	1.00		1.00
Awareness (Yes)	55	23,088	0.90	(0.64-1.25)	0.62 (0.32-1.18)
Medication (Yes)					
Awareness (No)	78	17,181	1.00		1.00
Awareness (Yes)	54	21,631	0.69	(0.48-0.99)	0.60 (0.27-1.30)
BMI (kg/m ²)					
<18.5					
Awareness (No)	38	17,435	1.00		1.00
Awareness (Yes)	15	10,082	0.77	(0.42-1.42)	0.73 (0.27-1.96)
18.5-24.9					
Awareness (No)	359	141,284	1.00		1.00
Awareness (Yes)	195	109,356	0.76	(0.64-0.91)	0.76 (0.55-1.05)
≥25.0					
Awareness (No)	134	36,237	1.00		1.00
Awareness (Yes)	88	30,086	0.88	(0.67-1.15)	0.62 (0.37-1.05)

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio

*Hazard ratio due to the awareness of limiting fat intake

Model 1: Adjusted for age.

Model 2: Adjusted for age; BMI; region; smoking habit; alcohol drinking habit; years of education; daily activity; habitual exercise; meat, green vegetable, and fruit intake; awareness of limiting energy and sweet food intake; dyslipidemia and hypertension.

Table 5. Association between awareness of limiting sweets intake and all-cause mortality

	Events (n)	Person- years	Hazard ratio (95% CI) *	
			Model 1	Model 2
Men				
Awareness (No)	1,201	196,493	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	486	93,735	0.87 (0.78-0.97)	1.10 (0.92-1.31)
Glucose intolerance				
No				
Awareness (No)	785	144,475	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	219	55,993	0.76 (0.65-0.88)	0.96 (0.75-1.22)
Yes				
Awareness (No)	416	52,018	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	267	37,742	0.93 (0.80-1.09)	1.29 (0.99-1.69)
Among participants with glucose intolerance				
Medication (No)				
Awareness (No)	317	45,229	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	154	27,911	0.82 (0.68-1.00)	1.12 (0.82-1.54)
Medication (Yes)				
Awareness (No)	99	6,789	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	113	9,831	0.91 (0.69-1.20)	1.43 (0.81-2.52)
BMI (kg/m²)				
<18.5				
Awareness (No)	87	6,178	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	12	1,352	0.56 (0.31-1.04)	1.15 (0.45-2.90)
18.5-24.9				
Awareness (No)	777	134,007	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	312	60,602	0.90 (0.78-1.02)	1.18 (0.95-1.47)
≥25.0				
Awareness (No)	337	56,307	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	162	31,782	0.90 (0.75-1.09)	0.99 (0.72-1.37)
Women				
Awareness (No)	585	233,237	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	244	111,243	0.90 (0.78-1.05)	0.94 (0.73-1.21)
Glucose intolerance				
No				

Awareness (No)	426	185,536	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	137	81,850	0.76 (0.63-0.93)	0.85 (0.62-1.16)
Yes				
Awareness (No)	159	47,702	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	107	29,393	1.16 (0.90-1.49)	1.12 (0.72-1.73)
Among participants with glucose intolerance				
Medication (No)				
Awareness (No)	133	44,461	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	77	24,560	1.10 (0.83-1.47)	1.00 (0.62-1.60)
Medication (Yes)				
Awareness (No)	26	3,241	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	30	4,833	0.93 (0.54-1.60)	1.31 (0.43-4.06)
BMI (kg/m ²)				
<18.5				
Awareness (No)	41	20,917	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	12	6,600	1.00 (0.52-1.92)	1.61 (0.52-4.98)
18.5-24.9				
Awareness (No)	405	170,751	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	149	79,889	0.80 (0.67-0.97)	0.84 (0.62-1.15)
≥25.0				
Awareness (No)	139	41,569	1.00	1.00
Awareness (Yes)	83	24,754	1.08 (0.82-1.43)	1.09 (0.67-1.79)

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio

*Hazard ratio due to the awareness of limiting sweets intake

Model 1: Adjusted for age.

Model 2: Adjusted for age; BMI; region; smoking habit; alcohol drinking habit; years of education; daily activity; habitual exercise; meat, green vegetable, and fruit intake; awareness of limiting energy and fat intake; and glucose intolerance.