



# Do people who highly value happiness tend to ruminate?

Shigeyuki Takai<sup>1</sup> · Akira Hasegawa<sup>2</sup> · Jun Shigematsu<sup>3</sup> · Tetsuya Yamamoto<sup>4</sup>

Accepted: 5 December 2022  
© The Author(s) 2022

## Abstract

Previous studies have suggested that an extremely strong desire for happiness might ironically reduce a person's well-being, particularly among Western people. According to the goal progress theory and the theory of valuing happiness, rumination might explain the relationship between valuing happiness and well-being. Based on these theoretical rationales, this study examined the following hypotheses: (1) valuing happiness is significantly associated with rumination, (2) people who experience low life stress have a stronger association between valuing happiness and rumination, and (3) people with more interdependent self-construal have a weaker association between valuing happiness and rumination. University students in Japan participated in a cross-sectional study ( $N=350$ ; Study 1) and a 4-weeks longitudinal study ( $N=329$ ; Study 2). They responded to a packet of questionnaires assessing valuing happiness, trait rumination, depressive symptoms, negative events, and interdependent self-construal. Consistent with our hypothesis, valuing happiness was concurrently and longitudinally associated with increased rumination after controlling for depressive symptoms. However, negative events did not moderate the association between valuing happiness and rumination. Furthermore, Study 1, but not Study 2, indicated that the association between valuing happiness and rumination was stronger among students with highly interdependent self-construal than those with less interdependent self-construal. The preset findings indicated that valuing happiness might be a factor that perpetuates rumination. More sophisticated evidence on the influence of valuing happiness on rumination can lead to effective psychotherapies for decreasing rumination and depression.

**Keywords** Valuing happiness · Rumination · Depression · Stress · Culture · Self-construal

---

✉ Akira Hasegawa  
ahasegawa@iuhw.ac.jp  
Shigeyuki Takai  
takai.shigeyuki090101@gmail.com  
Jun Shigematsu  
shigejun@hmt.u-toyama.ac.jp  
Tetsuya Yamamoto  
t.yamamoto@tokushima-u.ac.jp

<sup>1</sup> Fukude West Hospital, 22 Isshiki,  
437-1216 Iwata-shi, Shizuoka, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, International University of  
Health and Welfare, 4-1-26 Akasaka, Minato-ku,  
107-8402 Tokyo, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Humanities, University of Toyama,  
3190, 930-0555 Gofuku, Toyama, Japan

<sup>4</sup> Graduate School of Technology, Industrial and Social  
Sciences, Tokushima University, 1-1, Minamijosanjima-cho,  
770-8502 Tokushima, Japan

The response styles theory (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991), which proposes that the style of responding to depressed moods influences the course of depression, is one influential theory explaining the exacerbation and duration of depression. Previous studies have shown that rumination, among response styles indicated in this theory, is consistently related to depression. Rumination is defined as “behaviors and thoughts that focus one’s attention on one’s depressive symptoms and on the implications of these symptoms” (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991, p. 569) and a form of repetitive negative thinking (Ehring & Watkins, 2008). Numerous longitudinal and experimental studies have indicated that rumination is a robust predictor of depressive symptoms and depressive disorders (for review, Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008). Studies have also suggested that rumination leads to harmful consequences such as increased negative thinking, interference with effective social problem solving and active instrumental behaviors, and decreased sensitivity to changing contingencies and contexts (for review, Watkins & Roberts, 2020).

Many theories explain the onset and persistence of rumination. One such theory is the goal progress theory (Martin et al., 2004), which assumes that a person who cannot attain goals tends to ruminate about the goals yet to be achieved. An experience-sampling study based on this theory demonstrated that low goal success and high goal importance were positively associated with concurrent state rumination (Moberly & Watkins, 2010). In addition, experimental studies have shown that cueing personal goal discrepancies caused more goal-focused rumination than cueing resolve goals (Roberts et al., 2013, 2020). Furthermore, frequent exposure to adverse events that prevent a person from attaining goals has prospective associations with the increase in rumination (Hamilton et al., 2015; Michl et al., 2013), although a nonsignificant association between these variables has also been demonstrated (Hasegawa et al., 2022).

According to the goal progress theory, people who overvalue happiness are prone to ruminate. Mauss et al. (2011) proposed a theory explaining the paradoxical effect of valuing happiness on decreased well-being. They suggested that people who highly value happiness tend to increase the standards of happiness they want to experience. As a result, they tend to be disappointed about their affective state, leading to decreased happiness. In addition, Mauss et al. (2011) proposed that because people attribute their unhappiness to their circumstances in adverse situations, even people who highly value happiness are not disappointed about their unhappiness when facing adverse conditions. In contrast, people that highly value happiness tend to be disappointed about their affective state in positive situations because they have reasons to feel happy.

Consistent with Mauss and colleagues' theory, Mauss et al. (2011) showed that women who highly value happiness experience less well-being than those who value happiness less when facing low life stress, whereas this difference was not significant when facing high life stress. Mauss et al. (2011) also showed that women induced to value happiness experienced fewer positive emotions than women who underwent a task unrelated to valuing happiness when a happy mood was induced. On the other hand, such differences in positive emotions were not observed when a sad mood was induced. Subsequent studies conducted in Western countries have demonstrated that valuing happiness is related to increased loneliness (Mauss et al., 2012), depressive symptoms, and major depressive disorder (Bardeen & Fergus, 2020; Catalino et al., 2014; Fergus & Bardeen, 2016; Ford et al., 2014, 2015b; Gentzler et al., 2019; Kahriz et al., 2020), and increased risk and diagnosis of bipolar disorder (Ford et al., 2015b).

The goal progress theory and the theory of valuing happiness suggest that people who highly value happiness tend to experience a discrepancy between the ideal degree of

happiness and actual happiness, leading to rumination about their disappointing affective state. In addition, the theory of valuing happiness suggests a stronger association between valuing happiness and rumination in people experiencing low than high life stress because the former cannot attribute their unhappiness to their adverse circumstances. Therefore, increased disappointment about the affective state by people that highly value happiness combined with low life stress might result in more rumination.

The above predictions are based on findings in Western countries. However, we might find different relationships between these variables in East Asian countries. Markus and Kitayama (1991) theorized that Westerners regard themselves as independent of others, whereas Asians regard themselves as interdependent within significant social units. Studies comparing Americans and Europeans with Japanese using questionnaires and behavioral measures assessing implicit attitudes, dispositional attribution, focused attention, and experience of disengaging emotions and personal forms of happiness have supported Markus and Kitayama's theory (Kitayama et al., 2009; Na et al., 2020; Park et al., 2016). In addition, a recent study has suggested that cultural differences in self-construal have a neural basis (e.g., Yu et al., 2021).

Based on the theory by Markus and Kitayama (1991), Ford et al. (2015a) examined if valuing happiness, which was associated with decreased well-being among American undergraduate students, was associated with *increased* well-being among East Asian students (i.e., Japan and Taiwan). They suggested that people in collectivistic East Asian cultures define happiness as a positive feeling contingent on social engagement. Therefore, people in these countries who value happiness are likely to engage in social relationships such as prosocial behaviors and sharing intimate time with others. Such social engagement, in turn, can promote well-being. Therefore, valuing happiness might be associated with increased well-being among undergraduates in East Asia than in the US. Ford et al. (2015a) showed cross-cultural findings that supported their hypotheses. In addition, in line with the proposal by Ford et al. (2015a), valuing happiness was *positively* associated with specific variables related to well-being in Chinese people (Wong et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020) and Filipino adolescents (Datu et al., 2021).

These findings suggest that even people who highly value happiness and have a collectivistic view of the self might not frequently ruminate because they tend to engage in social relationships. Therefore, an interdependent self-construal, which is an individual difference in the collectivistic view of the self, might moderate the association between valuing happiness and rumination.

Despite theoretical rationales supporting the association between valuing happiness and rumination described above, previous studies have not examined this relationship. Therefore, a cross-sectional (Study 1) and a longitudinal study (Study 2) were conducted with university students in Japan to examine the association between valuing happiness and rumination.

The main purpose of this study was to examine the following hypotheses. We predicted that valuing happiness was significantly correlated with rumination because people who value happiness highly tend to experience a discrepancy between their ideal happiness and actual happiness, which would lead to ruminating about their disappointing affective condition. In addition, the increased disappointment about the affective state in people that highly value happiness and experience low life stress would lead to rumination because people in less adverse situations cannot attribute their unhappiness to their circumstances. Therefore, it was hypothesized that the fewer negative events students had experienced, the stronger the association between valuing happiness and rumination was. Furthermore, people that highly value happiness and have a more interdependent self-construal would not frequently ruminate because they may engage in social relationships. Therefore, this study examined the hypothesis that the more interpersonal a person's self-construal was, the weaker the association between valuing happiness and rumination was. This study also examined these associations after controlling for the influence of depressive symptoms because studies have examined whether depressive symptoms confounded the association between rumination and other factors (see Zetsche et al., 2018).

## Study 1

Study 1, including the sample of non-selected university students in Japan, examined the concurrent association between valuing happiness and rumination and whether negative events and interpersonal self-construal moderated this association. This study also examined whether these relationships were significant even after controlling for depressive symptoms. Based on the theoretical rationales described in the Introduction, this study hypothesized that valuing happiness was significantly correlated with rumination, and this association is significant after controlling for depressive symptoms (Hypothesis 1). In addition, it was hypothesized that the fewer negative events the students had experienced, the stronger the association between valuing happiness and rumination was (Hypothesis 2). Furthermore, this study examined the hypothesis that the more interpersonal self-construal the students had, the weaker the

association between valuing happiness and rumination was (Hypothesis 3).

Study 1 also explored the association between valuing happiness and brooding, the maladaptive aspect of rumination, and reflection, the adaptive or the less maladaptive aspect of rumination, in addition to the analyses predicting trait rumination in general. Brooding is the “passive comparison of one's current situation with some unachieved standard,” and reflection is the “purposeful turning inward to engage in cognitive problem solving to alleviate one's depressive symptoms” (Treyner et al., 2003, p. 256). Brooding and reflection are distinct subcomponents of rumination because brooding has a stronger association with depressive symptoms than reflection (e.g., Pearson et al., 2010; Schoofs et al., 2010; Treyner et al., 2003). Therefore, this study examined whether the associations with valuing happiness differed between brooding and reflection.

## Method

### Participants

Undergraduate students at Tokai Gakuin University and Hiroshima University in Japan ( $N=390$ ) participated in this study. Participants at Tokai Gakuin University were recruited in their classes. Students that agreed to participate in the study answered the questionnaires in the classrooms. Participants at Hiroshima University were recruited using snowball sampling. They responded to the questionnaires at a suitable time and place. Data of participants with missing values in any questionnaire, or inappropriate responses such as identical responses, were excluded from the analysis. The final sample comprised 350 students (203 men, 146 women, and 1 with unreported gender; mean age = 19.53 years,  $SD=1.42$ ; age range 18 to 34 years). All participants were Japanese except one Chinese and one German. Among the participants, 213 were first-year students, 63 second-year students, 68 third-year students, 3 fourth-year students, and 3 participants who did not report their year.

### Measures

**Valuing Happiness Scale** (Mauss et al., 2011). This scale assesses individual differences in valuing happiness. The scale comprises seven items (e.g., “Feeling happy is extremely important to me.”). The responses to the scale are made on a 7-point rating scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). The Japanese translation of the scale by Ford et al. (2015a) was used. The total score on the Valuing Happiness Scale was calculated. The scale has acceptable internal consistency ( $\alpha=0.78$ ).

**Ruminative Responses Scale (RRS;** Nolen-Hoeksema & Morrow, 1991). The RRS is designed to assess rumination. The respondents rate the 22 items of this scale using a 4-point scale ranging between 1 (*almost never*) and 4 (*almost always*). Treynor et al. (2003) suggested that depressive symptoms confound 12 RRS items. They conducted an exploratory factor analysis on the remaining ten items and extracted two factors, which they named brooding (e.g., “Thinking ‘Why do I have problems other people don’t have?’”), and reflection (e.g., “Analyze recent events to try and understand why you are depressed”). Brooding and reflection subscales have the advantage of comprising items seemingly unconfounded by depressive symptoms. However, they are limited by relatively weak internal consistency, which might weaken their power to predict depressive symptoms (see Hasegawa et al., 2018). In contrast, the total RRS score, which sums up the scores of all 22 items, has good internal consistency. The total RRS score has been used in previous studies, including recent intervention studies (e.g., Hoorelbeke et al., 2021; Hvenegaard et al., 2020; McIndoo et al., 2016) and longitudinal studies (e.g., de Klerk-Sluis et al., 2022; Ronold et al., 2020; Wolitzky-Taylor et al., 2021). The current study analyzed both brooding and reflection subscale scores and the total RRS score because these scores have been used in many previous studies.

Previous studies have reported the good psychometric properties of the RRS (Schoofs et al., 2010; Treynor et al., 2003). We used the Japanese version of the RRS (Hasegawa, 2013). Brooding and reflection subscale scores and the total RRS score were calculated. The alpha coefficients of the scale were 0.87, 0.77, and 0.95, respectively, for the brooding and reflection subscales and the overall RRS in this sample.

**Beck Depression Inventory-Second Edition** (Beck et al., 1996). This scale is a well-validated self-report measure of depressive symptoms experienced in the past two weeks. The respondents answered 21 items using a scale anchored between 0 and 3. We used the Japanese version of the scale (Kojima & Furukawa, 2003). The total score on this scale was calculated. The internal consistency of this scale was good in our sample ( $\alpha = 0.93$ ).

**Scale of Life Events in Interpersonal and Achievement Domains** (Takahira, 1998). This scale assesses negative and positive events that university students tend to experience. This scale comprises 30 negative event items (e.g., “I quarreled with a family member, friend, or romantic partner,” and “There were many tasks such as reports that should be come to grips with”) and 30 positive event items (e.g., “I have more friends to enjoy with,” and “I got good grades in my exams or reports”). Participants respond to the 60 items by indicating the frequency of encountering each

event during the last three months. Participants respond to the scale using a 2-point scale anchored between 0 (*no*) and 1 (*yes*). The negative events subscale and the positive events subscale scores were calculated. The scale demonstrated good internal consistencies for negative ( $\alpha = 0.91$ ) and positive events ( $\alpha = 0.89$ ) subscales in this study.

**Independent and Interdependent Self-Construal Scale** (Takata et al., 1996). This scale assesses individual differences in interdependent and independent self-construal. The scale comprises ten items assessing the interdependent self-construal (e.g., “I’m concerned about what other people think of me” and “It is important to stay in harmony with my peers”) and ten items assessing the independent self-construal (e.g., “I act as I believe even if the people around me have different ideas than I do” and “I always have my own opinion”).<sup>1</sup> The two subscales demonstrated adequate internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and good construct validity in a study examining the correlations of interdependent and independent self-construal subscale scores with other related variables (Takata et al., 1996). In addition, consistent with the theory by Markus and Kitayama (1991), Japanese university students scored higher on the interdependent self-construal subscale and lower on the independent self-construal subscale than Australian and Canadian university students (Takata, 1999). The respondents answered the 20 items using a scale ranging from 1 (*not at all true of me*) to 7 (*extremely true of me*). Adequate internal consistency was demonstrated for the interdependent self-construal ( $\alpha = 0.85$ ) and the independent self-construal subscales ( $\alpha = 0.81$ ).

## Procedure

Study 1 was conducted from January to March 2021. COVID-19 spread in Japan after February 2020. Depending on its spread, the classes in Tokai Gakuin University were conducted online and face-to-face at different times. All classes in this university were conducted face-to-face in January 2021, when the survey was conducted at this university. In contrast, only seminars and practical training were conducted face-to-face at Hiroshima University, whereas other classes were held online until March 2021.

We explained the study to the students before they participated. Only students who agreed to participate in this study answered the questionnaires. The Ethics Committee

<sup>1</sup> Takata et al. (1996) suggested that interdependent self-construal and the independent self-construal subscales can be further subdivided into two subscales. Specifically, the former can be subdivided into the evaluation apprehension subscale and the dependency subscale, and the latter can be subdivided into the dogmatism subscale and the individuality subscale. However, this study calculated and analyzed the interdependent self-construal subscale and independent self-construal subscale scores for brevity.

**Table 1** Descriptive statistics of the measures used in Study 1

	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Range	Skewness	Kurtosis
Valuing happiness	342	30.79	7.22	7–49	–0.35	1.17
Brooding	345	10.39	4.06	5–20	0.39	–0.78
Reflection	345	8.88	3.46	5–20	0.83	0.11
Rumination total	344	43.49	15.41	22–86	0.45	–0.51
Depressive symptoms	333	12.81	10.81	0–63	1.07	1.17
Negative events	332	11.24	7.14	0–30	0.69	–0.10
Positive events	338	15.03	7.04	0–30	0.18	–0.61
Interdependent self-construal	341	47.98	9.19	14–69	–0.47	0.43
Independent self-construal	340	41.72	8.50	11–67	–0.24	1.11

**Table 2** Correlations between variables in Study 1

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Valuing happiness								
2. Brooding	0.24							
3. Reflection	0.25	0.67						
4. Rumination total	0.26	0.94	0.82					
5. Depressive symptoms	0.06	0.59	0.40	0.62				
6. Negative events	0.21	0.28	0.35	0.33	0.39			
7. Positive events	0.28	–0.02	0.18	0.04	–0.08	0.56		
8. Interdependent self-construal	0.39	0.40	0.22	0.38	0.11	0.03	0.02	
9. Independent self-construal	0.16	–0.16	0.06	–0.10	–0.28	–0.04	0.19	0.06

Note: Absolute correlations of 0.11 or greater are significant at  $p < .05$ .

of Tokai Gakuin University approved the studies described in this article.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were identified, and the missing completely at random (MCAR) test was conducted using SPSS ver. 23 (IBM Corporation). Other analyses were conducted using Mplus 8.3 (Muthén & Muthén, 1998–2017). Pearson's correlations among all variables were calculated. Multiple regression analyses with the maximum likelihood estimation method were conducted to examine the interaction of valuing happiness with negative events or interdependent self-construal on rumination. In addition, regression analyses were conducted with depressive symptoms as a covariate. Study 1 also conducted correlation analyses and multiple regression analyses using positive events and independent self-construal. However, these analyses were exploratory, and we have not further discussed their findings.

Little's MCAR test (1988) using age and gender information and all the scale scores in the final sample yielded a nonsignificant chi-square value ( $\chi^2(157) = 163.00, p = .355$ ), suggesting the values were missing at random. Missing data were handled with multiple imputations using Bayesian analysis when conducting correlation and path analyses. Imputation was conducted using age, gender, and all items of the scales described in the [Measures](#) section, and 20 data sets were generated and used for analyses.

### Results

Table 1 displays descriptive statistics of each measure, and Table 2 shows the correlations between each measure. Valuing happiness had significant positive correlations with brooding, reflection, the total RRS score, negative and positive events, and interdependent and independent self-construal. In addition, the relationships of valuing happiness with brooding, reflection, and total RRS score remained significant even after controlling for the influences of depressive symptoms ( $prs = 0.26, 0.25, \text{ and } 0.29$ , respectively, all  $ps < 0.001$ ).

Separate multiple regression analyses were conducted to examine the main effect of valuing happiness and one of the hypothesized moderators (negative events or interdependent self-construal) and the interaction of valuing happiness with either moderator on the total RRS score. As shown in Table 3, the analysis with negative events as a moderator showed that valuing happiness and negative events had significant positive associations with rumination. However, the interaction between these two variables was not significant. The main effect of valuing happiness remained significant after controlling for depressive symptoms, whereas the significant association between negative events and rumination disappeared.

The analysis with interdependent self-construal as a moderator showed that the main effects of valuing happiness and interdependent self-construal and the interaction of these

**Table 3** Results of multiple regression analyses with rumination as a dependent variable in Study 1

	No covariate					Depressive symptoms as a covariate					
	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	$\beta$	95%CI	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	$\beta$	95%CI	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	
Analysis 1: Negative events as a moderator						0.15					0.44
Valuing happiness	0.43	0.11	0.20***	[0.11, 0.30]		0.46	0.09	0.22***	[0.14, 0.30]		
Negative events	0.61	0.11	0.28***	[0.19, 0.38]		0.12	0.10	0.06	[-0.03, 0.15]		
Valuing happiness $\times$ Negative events	0.02	0.01	0.06	[-0.04, 0.16]		0.01	0.01	0.03	[-0.05, 0.11]		
Depressive symptoms						0.83	0.06	0.58***	[0.51, 0.65]		
Analysis 2: Interdependent self-construal as a moderator						0.18					0.50
Valuing happiness	0.27	0.11	0.13*	[0.03, 0.23]		0.26	0.09	0.12**	[0.04, 0.20]		
Interdependent self-construal	0.55	0.09	0.33***	[0.24, 0.43]		0.45	0.07	0.28***	[0.19, 0.36]		
Valuing happiness $\times$ Interdependent self-construal	0.03	0.01	0.15**	[0.05, 0.24]		0.02	0.01	0.10**	[0.03, 0.18]		
Depressive symptoms						0.82	0.06	0.57***	[0.51, 0.64]		
Analysis 3: Positive events as a moderator						0.07					0.43
Valuing happiness	0.59	0.11	0.28***	[0.18, 0.38]		0.48	0.09	0.23***	[0.15, 0.31]		
Positive events	-0.09	0.12	-0.04	[-0.15, 0.07]		0.05	0.09	0.02	[-0.06, 0.11]		
Valuing happiness $\times$ Positive events	0.01	0.02	0.04	[-0.06, 0.14]		0.01	0.01	0.05	[-0.04, 0.13]		
Depressive symptoms						0.87	0.06	0.61***	[0.54, 0.67]		
Analysis 4: Independent self-construal as a moderator						0.09					0.43
Valuing happiness	0.61	0.11	0.29***	[0.19, 0.39]		0.47	0.09	0.22***	[0.14, 0.30]		
Independent self-construal	-0.26	0.09	-0.15**	[-0.25, -0.04]		0.06	0.08	0.04	[-0.05, 0.12]		
Valuing happiness $\times$ Independent self-construal	-0.00	0.01	-0.01	[-0.12, 0.09]		-0.00	0.01	-0.02	[-0.10, 0.06]		
Depressive symptoms						0.88	0.06	0.61***	[0.54, 0.68]		

Note: Numbers in parentheses indicate 95% confidence intervals. \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

variables on rumination were significant (see Table 3). Simple slope analysis indicated that valuing happiness was not significantly associated with rumination ( $\beta = 0.00$ ,  $p = .962$ ) in participants with a less interdependent self-construal (i.e.,  $M - 1SD$ ). However, valuing happiness had a significant positive association with rumination ( $\beta = 0.25$ ,  $p < .001$ ) in participants with a high interdependent self-construal (i.e.,  $M + 1SD$ ). Moreover, all the main effects and the interaction were significant after controlling for depressive symptoms.

We also conducted regression analyses with positive events and independent self-construal as moderators (see Table 3). The analysis with positive events as a moderator showed that only valuing happiness had a significant positive association with rumination. Similar results were obtained after controlling for depressive symptoms. Furthermore, the analysis with independent self-construal as a moderator showed that valuing happiness had a significant positive association with rumination and independent self-construal had a significant negative association with rumination. However, the significant association between independent self-construal and rumination disappeared after controlling for depressive symptoms.

Finally, we conducted a supplementary analysis with brooding or reflection as the dependent variables. Results of the analysis using brooding were nearly identical to those using the total RRS score (see Table S1). The results of the

analysis using reflection were slightly different from those using the total RRS score in terms of the significant association between negative events and reflection when depressive symptoms were a covariate. Nevertheless, the results associated with the hypotheses were very similar to those using the total RRS score (see Table S2).

## Discussion

Valuing happiness was positively correlated with rumination assessed by the total RRS score, which supported Hypothesis 1. In addition, this correlation was significant even after controlling for depressive symptoms. These results can be interpreted based on the goal progress theory (Martin et al., 2004) and the theory of valuing happiness (Mauss et al., 2011) as people who highly value happiness can experience a discrepancy between their ideal degree of happiness and actual happiness and frequently ruminate about their disappointing affective state.

However, negative events did not moderate the association between valuing happiness and rumination, which did not support Hypothesis 2, that people who experience fewer negative events have a stronger association between valuing happiness and rumination. Nevertheless, the main effect of negative events on rumination was significant, although it

disappeared after controlling for depressive symptoms. The positive relationship between negative events and rumination is in line with the goal progress theory (Martin et al., 2004), suggesting that experiencing adverse events preventing a person from attaining goals can lead to rumination about discrepancies between goals and actual states. Therefore, the goal progress theory might better explain the influence of negative events on rumination than the theory of valuing happiness.

Moreover, there was a significant moderating effect of interdependent self-construal on the association between valuing happiness and rumination. However, interdependent self-construal *strengthened* this association, which was inconsistent with Hypothesis 3, which predicted that interdependent self-construal would *weaken* this association. The item content of the scale used in Study 1 might explain the opposite result of Hypothesis 3. Many items in the interdependent self-construal subscale reflect concerns about evaluation by others, such as “I’m concerned about what other people think of me” (see Footnote 1). Therefore, people who score high on the Valuing Happiness Scale and the interdependent self-construal subscale might want to avoid negative evaluations from others to be happy. Researchers have suggested that the avoidance goal might hinder progress towards goal achievement because these goals provide little concrete guidance on the steps necessary for goal achievement, making it difficult to achieve goals (Watkins & Roberts, 2020). Indeed, Thomsen et al. (2011) showed that trait rumination was positively correlated with avoidance goals.

Finally, the results using the brooding and reflection subscale scores as measures of rumination were approximately similar to those using the total RRS score. Valuing happiness was positively correlated with brooding and reflection subcomponents of rumination, and the interaction between valuing happiness and negative events on these two subcomponents was nonsignificant. Moreover, the interaction between valuing happiness and interdependent self-construal was significant (but the results of simple slope analysis did not support Hypothesis 3). Many studies have suggested that brooding is a maladaptive aspect of rumination that exacerbates depressive symptoms (for reviews, Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008; Watkins & Roberts, 2020), and reflection is an adaptive or less maladaptive aspect of rumination that is related to effective problem solving (Burwell & Shirk, 2007; Hasegawa et al., 2016). These findings suggest that the content of goals leading to brooding and reflection might differ. However, Study 1 indicated that valuing happiness might be a common factor leading to both subcomponents of rumination.

## Study 2

Study 1 indicated that people who value happiness to an extreme degree tend to ruminate frequently. However, Study 1, which was cross-sectional, could not determine the causality between valuing happiness and rumination. Therefore, a longitudinal study was conducted to examine the causality among variables.

We examined the following hypotheses in Study 2 based on the identical theoretical rationale as in Study 1. At first, valuing happiness at baseline is significantly associated with increased rumination assessed four weeks later after controlling for baseline rumination, which is significant even after controlling for baseline depressive symptoms (Hypothesis 1). In addition, students experiencing fewer negative events during the follow-up period show a stronger association between valuing happiness at baseline and subsequent rumination (Hypothesis 2). Furthermore, the association between valuing happiness at baseline and subsequent rumination is weak in students with a more interpersonal self-construal (Hypothesis 3). Similar to Study 1, Study 2 also analyzed the data after replacing rumination in general with brooding and reflection.

## Method

### Participants

Undergraduate and graduate students at Tokai Gakuin University, Tokushima University, and the University of Toyama in Japan participated in this study. Participants at Tokai Gakuin University were recruited in their classes, and those at the other universities were recruited with snowball sampling. Participants ( $n=456$ ) first responded to questionnaires in May and June of 2021 (Time 1). Students at Tokai Gakuin University who agreed to participate responded to the questionnaires in the classroom. The participants at the other universities responded to the questionnaires at a time and place suitable to them.

The participants ( $n=475$ ) took part in the second survey four weeks later (Time 2). Participants at Tokai Gakuin University responded in the same classes precisely 28 days after Time 1. The participants at Tokushima University and the University of Toyama were asked to return the questionnaires 28 days after Time 1. However, some participants at Tokushima University and all the participants at the University of Toyama failed to return the questionnaires in exactly 28 days.

We excluded the data of participants who did not participate at both time points, participants with missing data in any of the questionnaires, inappropriate responses such as

identical responses, and those who did not return the questionnaires precisely 28 days after Time 1. The final sample comprised 329 students (188 men, 140 women, and 1 with unreported gender). The mean age of the final sample at Time 1 was 19.37 years ( $SD=3.24$ ), and the age range was 18 to 50 years. All the participants were Japanese. Among the participants, 152 were first-year students, 116 second-year students, 28 third-year students, 27 fourth-year students, 1 first-year master's student, and 5 second-year master's students.

## Measures

**Valuing Happiness Scale, Ruminative Responses Scale, and Beck Depression Inventory-Second Edition.** The identical scales as in Study 1 were used to assess valuing happiness, trait rumination, and depressive symptoms in Study 2. The alpha coefficients of the scales at Time 1 were 0.79 for the Valuing Happiness Scale, 0.83, 0.79, and 0.94 for the brooding and reflection subscales and the overall RRS, and 0.93 for the Beck Depression Inventory-Second Edition, respectively. The alpha coefficients of the scales at Time 2 were 0.88, 0.81, and 0.96 for the brooding and reflection subscales, and the overall RRS, respectively.

**Scale of Life Events in Interpersonal and Achievement Domains and Independent and Interdependent Self-Conceptual Scale.** These scales were also identical to those used in Study 1. However, only the negative events subscale of the former and the interdependent self-construal subscale of the latter scales were used in Study 2 to reduce the participants' burden. In Study 2, participants respond to the negative events items by indicating each events' experiences during the last four weeks. Alpha coefficients of the interdependent self-construal subscale at Time 1 were 0.87, and that of the negative events subscale at Time 2 was 0.92.

## Procedure

Study 2 was conducted from March to July 2021. All classes in Tokai Gakuin University were conducted online during the two weeks of the follow-up period due to the spread of COVID-19, and were conducted face-to-face during the rest of the period. On the other hand, only seminars and practical training were conducted face-to-face at Tokushima University and the University of Toyama, whereas other classes were conducted online during the period of this study.

Similar to Study 1, only students who agreed to participate responded to the questionnaires. Participants wrote their birthdays and the last four digits of their mobile phone numbers in the spaces provided in the questionnaire packet. These numbers were used to match the survey data of the two time points.

## Statistical analysis

We conducted similar analyses in Study 2 as in Study 1. We have described only the results of variables used in the multiple regression analyses. The MCAR test was conducted using information about the age, gender, and all the scale scores at both time points. The final sample yielded a non-significant chi-square value ( $\chi^2(253)=284.86, p=.082$ ), suggesting values were missing at random. The missing data were handled with multiple imputations using the identical procedures as Study 1. Imputation was conducted using age, gender, and all items of the scales described in the [Measures](#) section at both time points.<sup>2</sup>

## Results

Table 4 shows descriptive statistics, and Table 5 shows the correlations between measures. A multiple regression analysis was conducted to examine whether valuing happiness at baseline was associated with an increase in the total RRS score assessed four weeks later. As shown in Table 6, valuing happiness had a positive but nonsignificant association with subsequent rumination after controlling for baseline rumination. Moreover, valuing happiness at the baseline had a significant positive association with subsequent rumination after controlling for baseline depressive symptoms and rumination.

Separate regression analyses were also conducted to examine the interaction of valuing happiness at baseline with either moderator (i.e., negative events experienced during the follow-up period or interdependent self-construal at baseline) on rumination four weeks later. As shown in Table 6, the analysis with negative events during the follow-up period as a moderator showed that negative events had a significant positive association with subsequent rumination, which was not the case for valuing happiness. Moreover, the interaction of valuing happiness with negative events on subsequent rumination was not significant. An analysis controlling for baseline depressive symptoms showed that valuing happiness at baseline and negative events during the follow-up period had significant positive associations with subsequent rumination. However, the interaction between these two independent variables was not significant.

An analysis using interdependent self-construal at Time 1 as a moderator showed that neither valuing happiness nor interdependent self-construal at baseline was related to subsequent rumination. The interaction between the two independent variables on subsequent rumination was not

<sup>2</sup> Imputation was conducted using the data of the four scales described in the [Measures](#) section at the two time points. However, we have displayed only the results related to the hypotheses in the [Results](#) section.



**Table 4** Descriptive statistics of the measures in Study 2

	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Range	Skewness	Kurtosis
<i>Time 1</i>						
Valuing happiness	328	29.78	7.87	7–49	–0.24	–0.20
Brooding	326	10.76	4.00	5–20	0.22	–0.90
Reflection	328	8.85	3.48	5–19	0.90	–0.04
Rumination total	321	43.91	14.29	22–83	0.33	–0.66
Depressive symptoms	317	12.63	10.38	0–52	1.20	1.32
Interdependent self-construal	324	50.42	10.12	10–70	–0.76	1.35
<i>Time 2</i>						
Brooding	326	10.73	4.30	5–20	0.35	–0.88
Reflection	326	8.81	3.61	5–20	0.94	0.34
Rumination total	317	44.42	16.02	22–88	0.39	–0.70
Negative events	322	8.50	6.90	0–30	0.97	0.31

**Table 5** Correlations between variables in Study 2

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
<i>Time 1</i>									
1. Valuing happiness									
2. Brooding	0.32								
3. Reflection	0.23	0.61							
4. Rumination total	0.33	0.89	0.79						
5. Depressive symptoms	0.06	0.48	0.35	0.55					
6. Interdependent self-construal	0.39	0.48	0.30	0.48	0.31				
<i>Time 2</i>									
7. Brooding	0.27	0.64	0.46	0.64	0.47	0.41			
8. Reflection	0.26	0.42	0.60	0.52	0.32	0.24	0.67		
9. Rumination total	0.29	0.61	0.54	0.68	0.52	0.38	0.93	0.83	
10. Negative events	0.12	0.30	0.27	0.36	0.40	0.13	0.36	0.39	0.45

Note: Absolute correlations of 0.12 or greater are significant at  $p < .05$ .

**Table 6** Results of multiple regression analyses with rumination at Time 2 as a dependent variable in Study 2

	No covariate					Depressive symptoms as a covariate				
	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	$\beta$	95%CI	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	$\beta$	95%CI	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>
Analysis 1: No moderator										
					0.46					0.50
Valuing happiness at Time 1	0.16	0.09	0.08	[–0.01, 0.16]		0.22	0.09	0.11**	[0.03, 0.19]	
Rumination total at Time 1	0.73	0.05	0.65***	[0.58, 0.72]		0.57	0.06	0.51***	[0.42, 0.61]	
Depressive symptoms at Time 1						0.35	0.07	0.23***	[0.14, 0.32]	
Analysis 2: Negative events as a moderator										
					0.51					0.53
Valuing happiness at Time 1	0.16	0.08	0.08	[–0.00, 0.16]		0.21	0.08	0.10*	[0.02, 0.18]	
Rumination total at Time 1	0.63	0.05	0.57***	[0.49, 0.64]		0.54	0.06	0.48***	[0.39, 0.57]	
Negative events at Time 2	0.54	0.10	0.23***	[0.15, 0.32]		0.45	0.10	0.19***	[0.11, 0.28]	
Valuing happiness at Time 1 × Negative events at Time 2	–0.01	0.01	–0.02	[–0.10, 0.05]		–0.01	0.01	–0.03	[–0.10, 0.05]	
Depressive symptoms at Time 1						0.27	0.07	0.18***	[0.08, 0.27]	
Analysis 3: Interdependent self-construal as a moderator										
					0.47					0.51
Valuing happiness at Time 1	0.14	0.09	0.07	[–0.02, 0.15]		0.21	0.09	0.11*	[0.02, 0.19]	
Rumination total at Time 1	0.70	0.05	0.62***	[0.55, 0.70]		0.56	0.06	0.50***	[0.40, 0.59]	
Interdependent self-construal at Time 1	0.11	0.08	0.07	[–0.03, 0.16]		0.07	0.07	0.04	[–0.05, 0.14]	
Valuing happiness at Time 1 × Interdependent self-construal at Time 1	0.01	0.01	0.07	[–0.01, 0.15]		0.01	0.01	0.07	[–0.01, 0.15]	
Depressive symptoms at Time 1						0.35	0.07	0.23***	[0.14, 0.32]	

Note: Numbers in parentheses indicate 95% confidence intervals. \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

significant.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, valuing happiness at baseline was significantly associated with increased rumination after controlling for baseline depressive symptoms and rumination. Neither the main effect of interdependent self-construal at baseline nor the interaction between valuing happiness and interdependent self-construal on subsequent rumination was significant (see Table 6).

Results of analyses that replaced the total RRS score with the brooding subscale score were mainly similar to those using the total RRS score, except for the significant positive association between interdependent self-construal at baseline and subsequent brooding when depressive symptoms were not a covariate (see Table S3). On the other hand, results of using the reflection subscale score as the rumination measure were somewhat different from those using the total RRS score, with the results indicating that valuing happiness had a significant positive association with subsequent reflection when baseline depressive symptoms were not used as a covariate (see Table S4).

## Discussion

Study 2 demonstrated that valuing happiness at baseline had a positive but nonsignificant association with subsequent rumination after controlling for baseline rumination. This longitudinal association was significant after controlling for baseline depressive symptoms and rumination. These findings partially supported Hypothesis 1. The predictive power of valuing happiness on future rumination was slightly more robust with baseline depressive symptoms as a covariate than without it. This result might suggest that valuing happiness can lead to ruminative thoughts with less negative valence, which are less related to depressive symptoms. Consistent with this possibility, the analyses using RRS subscale scores showed that valuing happiness was related to subsequent reflection even when baseline depressive symptoms were

not controlled, whereas valuing happiness was not related to subsequent brooding (see Table S3 and S4).

Study 2 indicated that the interaction of valuing happiness with negative events experienced during the follow-up period on subsequent rumination was not significant, but the main effect of negative events was significant. These findings were inconsistent with Hypothesis 2. In addition, the interaction of valuing happiness with interpersonal self-construal on subsequent rumination was not significant, which was inconsistent with Hypothesis 3. The nonsignificant interaction of valuing happiness with interpersonal self-construal might be due to the relatively low statistical power of the study (see Footnote 3). We have further discussed this result in the General Discussion.

## General discussion

The findings of the two studies generally supported the assumption that valuing happiness is one factor leading to rumination. Valuing happiness had a concurrent positive correlation with rumination, which was significant even after controlling for cross-sectionally assessed depressive symptoms (Study 1). Furthermore, valuing happiness was associated with an increase in subsequent rumination, but only when baseline depressive symptoms were statistically controlled (Study 2). Considering both the goal progress theory (Martin et al., 2004) and the theory of valuing happiness (Mauss et al., 2011), people who strongly value happiness experience a discrepancy between the ideal degree of happiness and actual happiness, which leads to rumination about their disappointing affective states. Although it is necessary to further examine the validity of this hypothesis through more detailed studies, valuing happiness could be one cause of rumination.

On the other hand, the results on the interactions of valuing happiness with either negative events or interpersonal self-construal were not consistent with the hypotheses of this study. In particular, Study 1 showed that valuing happiness was more strongly associated with rumination among university students with high interpersonal self-construal than with low interpersonal self-construal, which was the opposite of Hypothesis 3. Future studies should reexamine this finding using the following strategies. Firstly, although the longitudinal results of Study 2 examining the interaction of valuing happiness with interpersonal self-construal on subsequent rumination indicated a similar trend to Study 1, the result was not significant, probably due to the insufficient sample size (see Footnote 3). Therefore, it is necessary to reexamine this interaction on subsequent rumination in a longitudinal study with a larger sample. In addition, the relationship between valuing happiness and rumination

<sup>3</sup> The interaction of valuing happiness with interpersonal self-construal on subsequent rumination was marginally significant ( $p = .089$ ). We examined the simple slope of valuing happiness on subsequent rumination when participants' interpersonal self-construal scores were high and low to determine whether the identical trends could be observed as Study 1 in Study 2. Results indicated that similar to Study 1, valuing happiness was not significantly associated with rumination ( $\beta = 0.01, p = .867$ ) when participants were less interdependent (i.e.,  $M - 1SD$ ), but valuing happiness had a significant positive association with rumination ( $\beta = 0.12, p = .026$ ) when participants were more interdependent (i.e.,  $M + 1SD$ ). The similar trends were shown even after controlling for baseline depressive symptoms (low interpersonal self-construal:  $\beta = 0.05, p = .389$ ; high interpersonal self-construal:  $\beta = 0.16, p = .003$ ). The nonsignificant interaction of valuing happiness with interpersonal self-construal on subsequent rumination might be due to the low statistical power because the results of simple slope analyses were very similar to Study 1.

should be compared between East Asian people, who have a predominantly collectivistic culture, and Western people, who have an essentially individualistic culture (Kitayama et al., 2009; Na et al., 2020; Park et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2021). Based on Ford et al. (2015a), we predict that valuing happiness is more strongly associated with rumination in Western countries than in East Asian countries. Such a cross-cultural study might indicate the possible effect of cultural construal of the self on the association between valuing happiness and rumination.

This research examined associations between identical variables in separate cross-sectional and longitudinal studies, which increased the robustness of the findings. These findings consistently suggested that valuing happiness is associated with increased rumination. The present study integrated three influential theories explaining several psychopathological symptoms and well-being, including the response styles theory (Nolen-Hoeksema, 1991; Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008), the goal progress theory (Martin et al., 2004), and the theory of valuing happiness (Mauss et al., 2011). Moreover, in examining the causes and correlates of rumination, this study investigated negative events, which are stress research variables, and interdependent self-construal, a cultural psychology variable.

This study has some limitations other than those described above. The study included only undergraduate and graduate students in Japan. Therefore, it is necessary to reexamine the present results in other age groups and clinical samples. In addition, all the findings of this study are correlational, and all the data were obtained using self-report measures. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct correlational studies assessing specific variables by using structured interviews or experimental studies that manipulate valuing happiness using the methods adopted by Mauss et al. (2011). Finally, this study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic when university students experienced various restrictions on their behaviors. Therefore, a replication study should be conducted after the pandemic.

The present findings suggest that interventions focusing on reducing the intense desire for happiness might be efficacious in alleviating chronic rumination. Current psychotherapies might decrease trait rumination at least partly by reducing the intense desire for happiness. For example, strategies to modify the goal of changing emotions to the goal of changing behaviors and the environment can help improve trait rumination. Consistent with this notion, behavioral activation focusing on facilitating approach behaviors and increasing response-contingent positive reinforcement effectively decreases trait rumination in depressed university students (McIndoo et al., 2016). In addition, the present findings suggest that mindfulness meditation focusing on developing skills for temporarily abandoning all goals

might be effective for decreasing rumination driven by valuing happiness. Indeed, meta-analyses have shown that mindfulness-based interventions effectively reduce rumination (Perestelo-Perez et al., 2017) and repetitive negative thinking in general (Spinhoven et al., 2018). It is interesting to examine whether valuing happiness is a mediator of these two therapies' effects on rumination. Further evidence on the influence of valuing happiness on rumination could help us develop more effective psychotherapies for decreasing rumination and depression.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-04131-6>.

**Funding** The authors received no financial support for this article's research, authorship, or publication.

**Data availability** This study's dataset can be found at the Open Science Framework [<https://osf.io/k3hnw/>].

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest with the findings of this study.

**Ethics approval** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were following the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Consent to participate** Informed consent was obtained from all the study participants before participating in the study.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Bardeen, J. R., & Fergus, T. A. (2020). Emotion regulation self-efficacy mediates the relation between happiness emotion goals and depressive symptoms: a cross-lagged panel design. *Emotion, 20*(5), 910–915. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000592>
- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Brown, G. K. (1996). *Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Burwell, R. A., & Shirk, S. R. (2007). Subtypes of rumination in adolescence: Associations between brooding, reflection, depressive

- symptoms, and coping. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 36(1), 56–65. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp3601\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15374424jccp3601_6)
- Catalino, L. I., Algoe, S. B., & Fredrickson, B. L. (2014). Prioritizing positivity: an effective approach to pursuing happiness? *Emotion*, 14(6), 1155–1161. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038029>
- Datu, J. A. D., Bernardo, A. B. I., & Valdez, J. P. M. (2021). The emotional rewards of valuing happiness: a longitudinal study among Filipino adolescents. *Child Indicators Research*, 14(5), 1769–1779. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-021-09820-5>
- de Klerk-Sluis, J. M., Huijbers, M. J., Löcke, S., Spijker, J., Spinhoven, P., Speckens, A. E. M., & Ruhe, H. G. (2022). Factors associated with relapse and recurrence of major depressive disorder in patients starting mindfulness-based cognitive therapy. *Depression and Anxiety*, 39(2), 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.23220>
- Ehring, T., & Watkins, E. R. (2008). Repetitive negative thinking as a transdiagnostic process. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*, 1(3), 192–205. <https://doi.org/10.1521/ijct.2008.1.3.192>
- Fergus, T. A., & Bardeen, J. R. (2016). Negative mood regulation expectancies moderate the association between happiness emotion goals and depressive symptoms. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 100, 23–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2015.08.010>
- Ford, B. Q., Dmitrieva, J. O., Heller, D., Chentsova-Dutton, Y., Grossmann, I., Tamir, M., Uchida, Y., Koopmann-Holm, B., Floerke, V. A., Uhrig, M., Bokhan, T., & Mauss, I. B. (2015a). Culture shapes whether the pursuit of happiness predicts higher or lower well-being. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 144(6), 1053–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000108>
- Ford, B. Q., Mauss, I. B., & Gruber, J. (2015b). Valuing happiness is associated with bipolar disorder. *Emotion*, 15(2), 211–222. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000048>
- Ford, B. Q., Shallcross, A. J., Mauss, I. B., Floerke, V. A., & Gruber, J. (2014). Desperately seeking happiness: valuing happiness is associated with symptoms and diagnosis of depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 33(10), 890–905. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2014.33.10.890>
- Gentzler, A. L., Palmer, C. A., Ford, B. Q., Moran, K. M., & Mauss, I. B. (2019). Valuing happiness in youth: Associations with depressive symptoms and well-being. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 62, 220–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2019.03.001>
- Hamilton, J. L., Stange, J. P., Abramson, L. Y., & Alloy, L. B. (2015). Stress and the development of cognitive vulnerabilities to depression explain sex differences in depressive symptoms during adolescence. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 3(5), 702–714. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702614545479>
- Hasegawa, A. (2013). Translation and initial validation of the Japanese version of the Ruminative Responses Scale. *Psychological Reports*, 112(3), 716–726. <https://doi.org/10.2466/02.08.PR0.112.3.716-726>
- Hasegawa, A., Kunisato, Y., Morimoto, H., Nishimura, H., & Matsuda, Y. (2018). How do rumination and social problem solving intensify depression? A longitudinal study. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 36(1), 28–46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-017-0272-4>
- Hasegawa, A., Nishimura, H., Matsuda, Y., Kunisato, Y., Morimoto, H., & Adachi, M. (2016). Is trait rumination associated with the ability to generate effective problem solving strategies? Utilizing two versions of the Means-Ends Problem-Solving Test. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy*, 34(1), 14–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-015-0227-6>
- Hasegawa, A., Oura, S., Yamamoto, T., Kunisato, Y., Matsuda, Y., & Adachi, M. (2022). Causes and consequences of stress generation: Longitudinal associations of negative events, aggressive behaviors, rumination, and depressive symptoms. *Current Psychology*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-02859-9>
- Hoorelbeke, K., Van den Bergh, N., De Raedt, R., Wichers, M., & Koster, E. H. W. (2021). Preventing recurrence of depression: long-term effects of a randomized controlled trial on cognitive control training for remitted depressed patients. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 9(4), 615–633. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702620979775>
- Hvenegaard, M., Moeller, S. B., Poulsen, S., Gondan, M., Grafton, B., Austin, S. F., Kistrup, M., Rosenberg, N. G. K., Howard, H., & Watkins, E. R. (2020). Group rumination-focused cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) v. group CBT for depression: phase II trial. *Psychological Medicine*, 50(1), 11–19. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718003835>
- Kahriz, B. M., Bower, J. L., Glover, F. M. G. Q., & Vogt, J. (2020). Wanting to be happy but not knowing how: poor attentional control and emotion-regulation abilities mediate the association between valuing happiness and depression. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 21(7), 2583–2601. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-019-00193-9>
- Kitayama, S., Park, H., Sevincer, A. T., Karasawa, M., & Uskul, A. K. (2009). A cultural task analysis of implicit independence: comparing North America, Western Europe, and East Asia. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 97(2), 236–255. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015999>
- Kojima, M., & Furukawa, T. (2003). *Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II (japanese translation)*. Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo: Nihon Bunka Kagakusha Co., Ltd.
- Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98(2), 224–253. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.98.2.224>
- Martin, L. L., Shrira, I., & Startup, H. M. (2004). Rumination as a function of goal progress, stop rules, and cerebral lateralization. In C. Papageorgiou, & A. Wells (Eds.), *Depressive rumination: Nature, theory, and treatment* (pp. 153–175). West Sussex: Wiley.
- Mauss, I. B., Savino, N. S., Anderson, C. L., Weisbuch, M., Tamir, M., & Ludenslager, M. L. (2012). The pursuit of happiness can be lonely. *Emotion*, 12(5), 908–912. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025299>
- Mauss, I. B., Tamir, M., Anderson, C. L., & Savino, N. S. (2011). Can seeking happiness make people unhappy? Paradoxical effects of valuing happiness. *Emotion*, 11(4), 807–815. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022010>
- McIndoo, C. C., File, A. A., Preddy, T., Clark, C. G., & Hopko, D. R. (2016). Mindfulness-based therapy and behavioral activation: a randomized controlled trial with depressed college students. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 77, 118–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2015.12.012>
- Michl, L. C., McLaughlin, K. A., Shepherd, K., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2013). Rumination as a mechanism linking stressful life events to symptoms of depression and anxiety: longitudinal evidence in early adolescents and adults. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 122(2), 339–352. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031994>
- Moberly, N. J., & Watkins, E. R. (2010). Negative affect and ruminative self-focus during everyday goal pursuit. *Cognition and Emotion*, 24(4), 729–739. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930802696849>
- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (1998–2017). *Mplus User's Guide*. Eighth Edition. Muthén & Muthén.
- Na, J., Grossmann, I., Varnum, M. E. W., Karasawa, M., Cho, Y., Kitayama, S., & Nisbett, R. E. (2020). Culture and personality revisited: behavioral profiles and within-person stability in interdependent (vs. independent) social orientation and holistic (vs. analytic) cognitive style. *Journal of Personality*, 88(5), 908–924. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12536>
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (1991). Responses to depression and their effects on the duration of depressive episodes. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 100(4), 569–582. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.100.4.569>

- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Morrow, J. (1991). A prospective study of depression and posttraumatic stress symptoms after a natural disaster: the 1989 Loma Prieta earthquake. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *61*(1), 115–121. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.61.1.115>
- Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Wisco, B. E., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2008). Rethinking rumination. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *3*(5), 400–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2008.00088.x>
- Park, J., Uchida, Y., & Kitayama, S. (2016). Cultural variation in implicit independence: An extension of Kitayama et al. (2009). *International Journal of Psychology*, *51*(4), 269–278. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijop.12157>
- Pearson, K. A., Watkins, E. R., & Mullan, E. G. (2010). Submissive interpersonal style mediates the effect of brooding on future depressive symptoms. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *48*(10), 966–973. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2010.05.029>
- Perestelo-Perez, L., Barraca, J., Peñate, W., Rivero-Santana, A., & Alvarez-Perez, Y. (2017). Mindfulness-based interventions for the treatment of depressive rumination: systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology*, *17*(3), 282–295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2017.07.004>
- Roberts, H., Moberly, N. J., Cull, T., Gow, H., Honeysett, M., & Dunn, B. D. (2020). Short-term affective consequences of specificity of rumination about unresolved personal goals. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, *66*, Article 101519.
- Roberts, H., Watkins, E. R., & Wills, A. J. (2013). Cueing an unresolved personal goal causes persistent ruminative self-focus: An experimental evaluation of control theories of rumination. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, *44*(4), 449–455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2013.05.004>
- Ronold, E. H., Schmid, M. T., Oedegaard, K. J., & Hammar, Å. (2020). A longitudinal 5-year follow-up study of cognitive function after first episode major depressive disorder: exploring state, scar and trait effects. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *11*, Article 575867. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.575867>
- Schoofs, H., Hermans, D., & Raes, F. (2010). Brooding and reflection as subtypes of rumination: evidence from confirmatory factor analysis in nonclinical samples using the Dutch Ruminative Response Scale. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, *32*(4), 609–617. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10862-010-9182-9>
- Spinhoven, P., Klein, N., Kennis, M., Cramer, A. O. J., Siegle, G., Cuijpers, P., Ormel, J., Hollon, S. D., & Bockting, C. L. (2018). The effects of cognitive-behavior therapy for depression on repetitive negative thinking: a meta-analysis. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *106*, 71–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2018.04.002>
- Takahira, M. (1998). *Taijin tassei ryoikibetsu life event syakudo (daigakusei-you) no sakusei to datousei no kentou* [Construction of a scale of life events in interpersonal and achievement domains for undergraduate students]. *Japanese Journal of Social Psychology*, *14*(1), 12–24. <https://doi.org/10.14966/jssp.KJ00004622673>
- Takata, T., Omoto, M., & Seike, M. (1996). *Sougo-dokuritsuteki sougo-kyoutyouteiki jikokan syakudo (kaiteiban) no sakusei* [Construction of a revised scale for independent and interdependent construal of self]. *Memoirs of the Nara University*, *24*, 157–173.
- Takata, T. (1999). *Nihon bunka ni okeru sougo-dokuritsusei sougo-kyoutyousei no hattatsu katei: Hikaku bunkateki oudanteiki siryou ni yoru jissyouteiki kentou* [Developmental process of independent and interdependent self-construal in Japanese culture: cross-cultural and cross-sectional analyses]. *Japanese Journal of Educational Psychology*, *47*(4), 480–489. [https://doi.org/10.5926/jjep1953.47.4\\_480](https://doi.org/10.5926/jjep1953.47.4_480)
- Thomsen, D. K., Tønnesvang, J., Schnieber, A., & Olesen, M. H. (2011). Do people ruminate because they haven't digested their goals? The relations of rumination and reflection to goal internalization and ambivalence. *Motivation and Emotion*, *35*(2), 105–117. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-011-9209-x>
- Treynor, W., Gonzalez, R., & Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (2003). Rumination reconsidered: a psychometric analysis. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, *27*(3), 247–259. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023910315561>
- Watkins, E. R., & Roberts, H. (2020). Reflecting on rumination: consequences, causes, mechanisms and treatment of rumination. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *127*, Article 103573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2020.103573>
- Wolitzky-Taylor, K., Sewart, A., Zinbarg, R., Mineka, S., & Craske, M. G. (2021). Rumination and worry as putative mediators explaining the association between emotional disorders and alcohol use disorder in a longitudinal study. *Addictive Behaviors*, *119*, Article 106915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2021.106915>
- Wong, N., Gong, X., & Fung, H. H. (2020). Does valuing happiness enhance subjective well-being? The age-differential effect of interdependence. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, *21*(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-018-00068-5>
- Yu, Q., King, A. P., Yoon, C., Liberzon, I., Schaefer, S. M., Davidson, R. J., & Kitayama, S. (2021). Interdependent self-construal predicts increased gray matter volume of scene processing regions in the brain. *Biological Psychology*, *161*, Article 108050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2021.108050>
- Zetsche, U., Bürkner, P.-C., & Schulze, L. (2018). Shedding light on the association between repetitive negative thinking and deficits in cognitive control: a meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, *63*, 56–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2018.06.001>
- Zhao, Y., Wang, Q., & Wang, J. (2020). Valuing happiness predicts higher well-being: the moderating role of acceptance. *PsyCh Journal*, *9*(1), 132–143. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pchj.319>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.