Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

2020-Current year OA Pubs

Open Access Publications

4-10-2023

Neuronal birthdate reveals topography in a vestibular brainstem circuit for gaze stabilization

Dena Goldblatt Stephanie Huang Marie R Greaney Kyla R Hamling Venkatakaushik Voleti

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Authors

Dena Goldblatt, Stephanie Huang, Marie R Greaney, Kyla R Hamling, Venkatakaushik Voleti, Citlali Perez-Campos, Kripa B Patel, Wenze Li, Elizabeth M C Hillman, Martha W Bagnall, and David Schoppik

Neuronal birthdate reveals topography in a vestibular brainstem circuit for gaze stabilization

Highlights

- Vestibular projection neurons are topographically organized by birthdate
- Projection neuron subtypes (up/down) follow birthdaterelated topography
- Sensory inputs and motor outputs to projection neurons are similarly organized

Authors

Dena Goldblatt, Stephanie Huang, Marie R. Greaney, ..., Elizabeth M.C. Hillman, Martha W. Bagnall, David Schoppik

Correspondence

schoppik@gmail.com

In brief

Goldblatt et al. use development to uncover previously hidden functional topography in the vestibular system. Nose-up/nose-down subtypes of vestibular projection neurons, their sensory inputs, and their motor outputs share a common spatial and temporal organization. This suggests a role for conserved temporal mechanisms in circuit assembly.



Article

Neuronal birthdate reveals topography in a vestibular brainstem circuit for gaze stabilization

Dena Goldblatt,^{1,2} Stephanie Huang,^{1,2} Marie R. Greaney,^{1,3} Kyla R. Hamling,¹ Venkatakaushik Voleti,⁴

Citlali Perez-Campos,⁴ Kripa B. Patel,⁴ Wenze Li,⁴ Elizabeth M.C. Hillman,⁴ Martha W. Bagnall,⁵ and David Schoppik^{1,6,*} ¹Departments of Otolaryngology, Neuroscience & Physiology, and the Neuroscience Institute, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA

²Center for Neural Science, New York University, New York, NY 10004, USA

³University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

⁴Mortimer B. Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

⁵Department of Neuroscience, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

⁶Lead contact

*Correspondence: schoppik@gmail.com https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2023.02.048

SUMMARY

Across the nervous system, neurons with similar attributes are topographically organized. This topography reflects developmental pressures. Oddly, vestibular (balance) nuclei are thought to be disorganized. By measuring activity in birthdated neurons, we revealed a functional map within the central vestibular projection nucleus that stabilizes gaze in the larval zebrafish. We first discovered that both somatic position and stimulus selectivity follow projection neuron birthdate. Next, with electron microscopy and loss-of-function assays, we found that patterns of peripheral innervation to projection neurons were similarly organized by birthdate. Finally, birthdate revealed spatial patterns of axonal arborization and synapse formation to projection neuron outputs. Collectively, we find that development reveals previously hidden organization to the input, processing, and output layers of a highly conserved vertebrate sensorimotor circuit. The spatial and temporal attributes we uncover constrain the developmental mechanisms that may specify the fate, function, and organization of vestibulo-ocular reflex neurons. More broadly, our data suggest that, like invertebrates, temporal mechanisms may assemble vertebrate sensorimotor architecture.

INTRODUCTION

Neurons are often organized according to shared functional properties. Such topography exists among sensory^{1–4} and motor^{5–9} nuclei, reflects developmental history,^{10–12} and can facilitate computation.^{13–17} By contrast, many brainstem nuclei appear locally disordered. One canonical example is the vestibular (balance) nuclei. While different vestibular nuclei can be coarsely distinguished by their projection targets,^{18–20} individual nuclei appear largely disorganized.^{21–25}

The apparent absence of topography is puzzling, given the importance of sensory selectivity for vestibular circuit function. For example, the vertebrate vestibulo-ocular reflex uses a set of peripheral, central projection, and motor neurons (Figure 1A) to stabilize gaze after head/body movements.^{26–29} Compensatory eye rotation behavior in the vertical axis requires stereotyped connectivity between two distinct sensory channels: one that responds exclusively to nose-up pitch-tilts and projects selectively to motor pools that rotate the eyes-down and one for the converse transformation (nose-down to eyes-up). However, no up/down organization among central vestibular projection neurons has been reported. Thus, similar to most brainstem

nuclei, the organizational logic of neurons that comprise the vertical gaze stabilization circuit remains unresolved.

Developmental time organizes somatic position, circuit architecture, and neuronal function. In *Drosophila* and *C. elegans*, temporal lineage underlies neuronal cell fate,^{30–32} axonal connectivity,^{1,33–35} and circuit membership.^{36,37} In vertebrates, neuronal birthdate is correlated with the anatomical and functional properties of neurons^{33,38–42} and circuits.^{43–45} These findings suggest that ontogeny might resolve the outstanding mysteries of vestibular circuit organization. The bimodal sensitivity of the vertical vestibulo-ocular reflex neurons makes them an excellent model, but in mammals, their early *in utero* development constrains exploring their emergent organization.

The larval zebrafish is uniquely well poised to explore the development of the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit.²⁹ Zebrafish are vertebrates that develop externally, are optically transparent,^{46–49} and perform a vertical vestibulo-ocular reflex by 5 days post-fertilization.^{27,28,50} Larvae possess both the semicircular canal and otolithic vestibular end organs,^{27,51,52} stimulation of which elicits reliable responses from central projection neurons.^{48,53,54} Previous work established that vertical (eyes-up and eyes-down) motor neurons are spatially and developmentally organized⁸ and





Figure 1. Projection neuron birthdate predicts soma position

(A) Schematic of the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit used for gaze stabilization.

(B) Timeline of birthdating experiments. Neurons born by the time of photoconversion have both magenta (converted) and green (unconverted) Kaede. Neurons born after photoconversion have exclusively green (unconverted) Kaede. All fish are imaged well after photoconversion, at 4–5 days post-fertilization (dpf). (C) Example projection neurons photoconverted at 36 hpf, visualized using the *Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS-E1b:Kaede)* line. Magenta and green arrows indicate neurons born before or after 36 hpf, respectively. Scale bars (white), 20 μm.

(D) Percent of projection neurons born at each time point. Black lines indicate the mean percent across individual fish (circles). Shaded bars indicate cohorts designated as early-born (converted by/born before 30 hpf) or late-born (unconverted at/born after 48 hpf). n = 5 hemispheres/time point from at least N = 3 larvae (22–42 hpf) or n = 3 hemispheres from N = 3 larvae (48 hpf).

(E) Schematic of brain/neuron registration in the rostrocaudal/xy (left) and dorsoventral/z (right) axes. Black solid lines outline anatomical landmarks used for registration. Yellow circles indicate the position of individual projection neurons. m, Mauthner neuron.

(F) Soma positions of n = 660 registered neurons from N = 10 non-birthdated fish at 5 dpf. Probability distributions shown are the mean (solid) and standard deviation (shaded outline) after jackknife resampling. Short vertical axis lines indicate the median position of all registered neurons.

(G) Soma position of birthdated projection neurons. Early-born (left): n = 74 neurons from N = 7 fish. Late-born (right): n = 41 neurons from N = 10 fish.

(H) Same data as Figure 1G, shown as probability distributions for each spatial axis. Distributions shown are the mean (solid) and standard deviation (shaded outline) after jackknife resampling. Short vertical axis lines indicate the median position of early- (magenta) or late-born (green) projection neurons, compared with control distributions shown in Figure 1F (black). ***difference between the early- and late-born probability distributions at the p < 0.001 level; *significance at p < 0.05; n.s., not significant.

See also Figure S1.

suggested that similar principles might organize the vestibular periphery.^{53,55} Whether development organizes central projection neurons—and thus the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit—remains unclear.

Here, we characterized the anatomy and sensory selectivity of optically birthdated⁵⁶ projection neurons. By leveraging development, we discovered somatic topography among nose-up and nose-down projection neuron subtypes. Calcium imaging, electron microscopy, and loss-of-function assays established that development organizes the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit, from pre-synaptic peripheral inputs to post-synaptic connectivity. We conclude that development reveals the "missing" topography in the vestibular system, challenging and extending the existing models of the neural architecture for balance. This topography, and the developmental pressures it may reflect, offers considerable insights into the mechanisms that may specify the fate, function, and organization of the vestibulo-ocular reflex neurons. More broadly, our data support a model in which temporal forces shape the assembly and function of a complete vertebrate sensorimotor circuit.

RESULTS

Central vestibular projection neurons are topographically organized by their birthdate

Our experiments required molecular access to projection neurons that comprise a brainstem vestibular nucleus. We used a bipartite transgenic approach consisting of a Gal4 driver line Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16) and different UAS reporter lines (STAR Methods) to access projection neurons. Projection neurons labeled in this driver line are located in the tangential vestibular nucleus, are collectively indispensable for the vertical vestibulo-ocular reflex, and can individually and collectively induce eye rotations.^{28,57} To initially localize projection neuron somata, we used the Tg(UAS-E1b:Kaede) line⁵⁸ to perform optical retrograde labeling⁴⁵ of projection neuron axons at their ocular motor neuron targets (cranial nucleus nIII/nIV). Projection neuron somata were localized to a cluster at the lateral edge of rhombomeres 4–5, spanning approximately 40 µm in each spatial axis (Figures S1A-S1C). This cluster was anatomically bound ventrolaterally by the otic capsule, dorsomedially by the lateral longitudinal fasciculus, and rostrally by the Mauthner neuron lateral dendrite (Figures S1D–S1K). Somatic position and projection patterns are consistent with previous descriptions of the tangential vestibular nucleus.^{22,27,59–63} On average, we observed 37 ± 7 neurons per hemisphere (n = 39 hemispheres, N = 30 larvae). Our approach permits reliable access to, and localization of, projection neurons in the tangential vestibular nucleus responsible for the vertical vestibulo-ocular reflex.

To define when projection neurons develop, we optically labeled whole embryos, expressing Tg(UAS-E1b:Kaede), at distinct, experimenter-defined time points (Figure 1B).⁵⁶ We imaged projection neurons in "birthdated" larvae at 5 days post-fertilization (dpf) using a confocal microscope (Figure 1C). We observed a linear increase in the number of optically tagged projection neurons from 22–48 h post-fertilization (hpf) (Figure 1D), at which point the majority (92% ±1%) of neurons were labeled (n = 5 hemispheres/time point, 22–42 hpf; n = 3 hemispheres, 48 hpf). Based on these data, we selected two



temporally defined populations for further comparative analyses: a cohort of early-born neurons born before (converted by) 30 hpf (25% \pm 9% of projection neurons) and a late-born cohort of neurons born after (unconverted after) 48 hpf (8% \pm 1%). Together, these populations comprise approximately one-third of projection neurons.

To determine if neuronal birthdate might reveal somatic topography, we manually registered all neurons to a common framework (Figures 1E and 1F; STAR Methods). We discovered distinct, temporally associated somatic organization (Figures 1G and 1H). Early-born somata were exclusively observed in the dorsomedial nucleus (n = 74 neurons, N = 7 larvae), whereas late-born neurons were preferentially ventral (n = 40 neurons, N = 10 larvae). Spatial separation was significant across the extent of the nucleus (oneway multivariate analysis of variance, $p = 5.1 \times 10^{-14}$), separately in the dorsoventral (two-tailed, two-sample KS test, $p = 6.17 \times$ 10^{-6}) and rostrocaudal (two-tailed, two-sample KS test, p = 0.02) axes and relative to chance (one-way multivariate analysis of variance, mean $p = 0.51 \pm 0.32$). We observed no organization in the mediolateral axis (two-way, two-sample KS test, p = 0.89). Our findings establish that neuronal birthdate predicts somatic position and reveals somatic topography within the tangential vestibular nucleus.

Pitch-tilt stimuli differentiate two cardinal subtypes (nose-up/nose-down) of projection neurons

Projection neurons responsible for the vertical vestibulo-ocular reflex are directionally selective and respond primarily to either nose-up or nose-down head/body tilts (Figure 2A).^{64–66} To categorize the directional selectivity of individual projection neurons, we used tilt in place microscopy (TIPM) with a two-photon microscope⁵⁴ (Figure 2B). Briefly, we used a galvanometer to rotate to and hold larvae at an eccentric angle (either 19° nose-up or nosedown). We then rapidly (~4 ms) rotated the galvanometer back to horizontal and measured the change in fluorescence of a genetically encoded calcium indicator (UAS:GCaMP6s). As the duration of the step was orders of magnitude faster than the time constant of GCaMP6s,⁶⁷ the fluorescence upon returning to the horizontal position predominantly reflects neuronal activity at the previous eccentric position and can reliably classify directional sensitivity in comparable projection neurons.⁵⁴

We categorized the subtype identity (nose-up/nose-down) of 471 projection neurons from 22 larvae according to the direction(s) that evoked excitation. Approximately half of all neurons (46%) produced measurable excitation to both nose-up and nose-down tilts. To describe a neuron's directional selectivity and assign subtype identity, we compared the magnitude of the excitatory response to nose-up and nose-down tilts. We defined a directionality index from -1 (exclusive nose-down selectivity) to +1 (nose-up) and a selectivity threshold (>0.1, or ~22% difference in response magnitude; STAR Methods). Most neurons (93%) were selective for only one direction (Figures 2C and 2D; nose-down mean: -0.73 ± 0.30 ; nose-up mean: 0.84 ± 0.28). We observed a nearly equal distribution of subtypes in our sample (44% nose-down, 49% nose-up, 7% no directional preference). Importantly, directional responses were consistent across repeated tilts (Figure 2E; mean coefficient of variation, nose-down: 0.27% ± 0.21%; nose-up: $0.39\% \pm 0.36\%$), with no variability in directional selectivity.



Current Biology Article



Figure 2. Pitch-tilt rotations reliably differentiate two cardinal subtypes of projection neurons

(A) Circuit schematic for pitch-tilt rotation experiments. Black dashed lines outline projection neurons as circuit population of focus.

(B) Schematic of pitch-tilt rotation stimulus and imaging using Tilt In Place Microscopy (TIPM) and a two-photon microscope. Shaded regions show time of measured responses following nose-down or nose-down tilts. Inset shows a feedback trace from the galvonometer during the restoration step to horizontal. (C) Distribution of the directional tuning for all sampled neurons. Gray region indicates neurons with no directional tuning; blue and orange regions indicate neurons with stronger nose-down or nose-up responses, respectively. Criteria are detailed in STAR Methods. Solid line shows mean from jackknife resampling; shaded bars, standard deviation.

(D) Example images and traces of a nose-down projection neuron (left), nose-up projection neuron (middle), and a projection neuron with no directional tuning (right) during TIPM. Projection neurons are visualized using the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16) line and express a UAS:GCaMP6s calcium indicator. Solid black lines show mean response across three stimulus repeats and shaded lines, standard deviation. Parentheses indicate the percent of neurons with each subtype, n = 467 neurons. N = 22 fish.

(E) Distributions of the coefficient of variation of peak Δ F/F responses across three stimulus repeats for nose-down (left) and nose-up (right) neurons. Solid lines show mean from jackknife resampling; shaded bars, standard deviation. See also Figures S2 and S3.

TIPM can therefore be used to classify projection neuron subtypes.

We next used TIPM to characterize how projection neuron subtypes respond to tonic tilt stimuli. Projection neurons responded to non-preferred directions in one of three ways: (1) no response (Figures S2A and S2B), (2) weaker or equivalent excitation (Figure S2C), or (3) suppression, followed by abovebaseline recovery (Figure S2D; classification detailed in STAR Methods). Nose-down neurons produced stronger calcium responses to 19° pitch-tilts than nose-up neurons (one-tailed, two-sample KS test, p = 6.5 × 10^{-7} ; mean nose-down Δ F/F: 1.97 \pm 1.65; mean nose-up Δ F/F: 1.21 \pm 1.10) and responded to non-preferred directions with weaker excitation (55% of nose-down neurons). Nose-up neurons primarily responded to non-preferred directional stimuli with suppression and abovebaseline recovery (61% of nose-up neurons). This may reflect different sources of sensory input to nose-up and nose-down neurons or intrinsic differences in their encoding properties.

Vertical vestibular sensation is encoded by two different end organs in the inner ear: the utricular otoliths and the anterior/posterior semicircular canals. We adopted a loss-of-function approach to differentiate the contribution of each set of end organs to tonic tilt responses. To assay the extent of the response that derived from the utricular otoliths, we presented pitch-tilt rotations to larvae with mutations in the otogelin gene⁶⁸ (Figures S3A and S3B). Otogelin is expressed selectively in the inner ear.⁶⁹ Null otogelin mutants fail to develop utricular otoliths in the first 2 weeks, whereas heterozygous siblings are morphologically and functionally intact.⁷⁰ Projection neurons in *otogelin* mutants (n = 183 neurons, N = 3 larvae) exhibited significantly weaker calcium responses to pitch-tilt rotations compared with sibling controls (Figure S3C; n = 144 neurons, N = 3 larvae; one-tailed, two-sample KS test, $p = 3.6 \times 10^{-16}$; mean control Δ F/F: 1.31 ± 1.21; mean mutant Δ F/F: 0.36 ± 0.43). To assay the contribution of the semicircular canals, we performed acute, unilateral lesions of both the anterior (nose-up) and posterior (nose-down) canal branches of the VIIIth nerve (Figures S3D and S3E). We observed weaker pitch-tilt responses in projection neurons in lesioned hemispheres (n = 49 neurons, N = 4 larvae) compared with control hemispheres (Figure S3F; n = 57 neurons, N = 4 larvae; one-tailed, two-sample KS test, $p = 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$; mean control Δ F/F = 0.88±0.63; mean lesioned Δ F/F = 0.57 ± 0.71). Thus, as expected, tonic pitch-tilt responses originate in the inner ear, predominantly from the utricular otoliths and secondarily from the semicircular canals.

Birthdate predicts the functional organization of projection neurons

As birthdate anticipated soma position, we next asked whether tilt sensitivity was similarly organized. To determine whether birthdate-related topography predicted subtype identity, we performed TIPM on birthdated projection neurons (Figure 3A).

Article





Figure 3. Birthdating reveals functional somatic topography to projection neurons

(A) Timeline of functional birthdating experiments. Larvae were birthdated at either 30 or 48 hpf and then imaged on a confocal (4 dpf) and two-photon (5 dpf) to identify projection neuron soma position, birthdate, and directional (up/down) identity. Projection neurons were visualized using the *Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16)* line and expressed both the UAS:Kaede and UAS:GCaMP6s indicators.

(B) Number of early- (left) and late-born (right) projection neurons with each directional subtype identity. Data from same neurons shown in Figure 1. (C) Soma position of early- (left) and late-born (right) neurons, pseudocolored according to directional identity. Data from same neurons shown in Figure 1. (D) Timeline of topography validation experiments. TIPM was performed on non-birthdated larvae at 5 dpf as shown in Figure 2B, using either a SCAPE or two-photon microscope. Projection neurons were visualized using the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16) line and expressed only the UAS:GCaMP6s indicator.

(E) Registration method for SCAPE experiments. Pixels are pseudocolored according to the direction that evoked a stronger response. One example rostral plane shown.

(F) Maximum intensity projection of the entire tangential nucleus from one fish imaged with SCAPE, pseudocolored as described in Figure 3E. (G) Soma position of neurons imaged with two-photon TIPM, pseudocolored by directional identity. Soma registered using method shown in Figure 1E. Data from the same n = 467, N = 22 fish as in Figures 2C–2E.

(H) Probability of soma position for nose-up (orange) and nose-down (blue) projection neurons from two-photon TIPM. Distributions shown are the mean (solid) and standard deviation (shaded outline) after jackknife resampling. Short vertical axis lines indicate the median position of up/down projection neurons, compared with control distributions shown in Figure 1F (black). ***difference between the nose-up and nose-down distributions at the p < 0.001 level; **significance at p < 0.01; n.s., not significant. See also Figure S4.

J

Birth order predicted directional subtype preference at 5 dpf: early-born neurons almost exclusively adopted a nose-up subtype identity (n = 74 neurons, N = 10 larvae; 96% nose-up, 4% nose-down), whereas late-born neurons were predominantly nose-down (Figure 3B; n = 40 neurons, N = 10 larvae; 75% nose-down, 25% nose-up). To assay organization, we pseudocolored the same dataset of birthdated neurons (same data as shown in Figures 1G and 1H) according to their nose-up/nosedown directional preference. We observed a clear relationship between birthdate, somatic position, and subtype preference (Figure 3C).

Early- and late-born cohorts comprise up to one-third of projection neurons. To test whether birthdated topography anticipates organization across the entire nucleus, we used a volumetric imaging approach. We performed TIPM using a swept, confocally aligned planar excitation (SCAPE) microscope (Figures 3D and 3E).⁴⁹ Subtypes were positioned into distinct, 10 μ m clusters, complementing birthdated data (Figure 3F). Specifically, nose-up neurons occupied the dorsomedial-most extent of the nucleus, whereas nose-down neurons clustered into two ventrolateral stripes. Finally, we tested whether we could observe organization in our full (non-birthdated) two-photon dataset (Figures 3G and 3H). Spatial separation between subtypes was significant across the entire nucleus (n = 360 mapped neurons, N = 20 larvae; one-way multivariate analysis of variance, p = 1.1 × 10⁻⁵), separately in the dorsoventral (two-tailed, two-sample KS test, p = 0.01), and relative to







Figure 4. Projection neurons are assembled into the tangential nucleus in a stereotyped temporal sequence

(A) Timeline of functional birthdating experiments. Only projection neurons born before the time of conversion (red, converted Kaede) were analyzed. Projection neurons were visualized using the *Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16*) line and expressed both the UAS:Kaede and UAS:GCaMP6s indicators.

(B) Timeline of experiments. Only projection neurons born after the time of conversion (green, unconverted Kaede only) were analyzed.

(C) Mean number of nose-up and nose-down neurons born before each age. Distributions shown are the mean (solid) and standard deviation (shaded outline) after jackknife resampling.

(D) Percent of nose-up and nose-down neurons born before each age. Orange shades, nose-up; blue shades, nose-down. 30 hpf data are from the same N = 7 fish as in Figures 1G, 1H, 3B, and 3C. 36 hpf: n = 208 sampled "born before" neurons from N = 7 fish; 42 hpf: n = 198 sampled born before neurons from N = 5 fish. (E) Percent of sampled nose-up and nose-down neurons born after each photoconversion time point. Orange shades, nose-up; blue shades, nose-down. 36 hpf: n = 168 born after neurons from N = 7 fish; 42 hpf: n = 66 born after neurons from n = 5 fish. 48 hpf data same as in Figures 1G, 1H, 3B, and 3.

(F) Soma position of neurons born before each age. 30 hpf: all data shown. 36 hpf: n = 150/208 randomly selected neurons shown. 42 hpf: n = 150/198 randomly selected neurons shown.

(G) Probability of soma position for all born before neurons. Distributions shown are the mean (solid) and standard deviation (shaded outline) after jackknife resampling. Short vertical axis lines indicate the median position of all birthdated neurons, compared with control distributions shown in Figure 1F (black).

chance (one-way multivariate analysis of variance, mean $p = 0.52 \pm 0.29$, details of permutation testing in STAR Methods). We also identified topography among neurons with similar non-preferred directional responses (Figure S4A) and response magnitudes (Figure S4B). As both of these features correlate with nose-up or nose-down selectivity, we propose that directional subtype is the simplest axis with which to study projection neuron topography. Taken together, our data link development with function to reveal unexpected topography within a single vestibular nucleus.

Birthdate reveals structure to somatic assembly within the tangential nucleus

If birthdate predicts projection neuron topography, then longitudinal birthdating may reveal how this topography is assembled. We repeated TIPM birthdating experiments at intermediate time points (36 and 42 hpf; Figures 4A and 4B). Given the small presence of late-born (after 48 hpf) nose-up neurons, we first tested whether subtypes are specified at a constant rate during nucleus differentiation or preferentially in a restricted temporal window. Competency for the nose-up subtype peaked at 36 hpf and then slowed as nose-down subtypes emerged (Figures 4C and 4D; 36 hpf: n = 208 neurons, N = 7 fish; 42 hpf: n = 198 neurons, N = 5 fish; 30 and 48 hpf, same data as Figures 3B and 3C). Nearly three-guarters of neurons born after 36 hpf adopted a nose-down fate (Figure 4E; 36 hpf: n = 168 neurons, N = 7 fish; n = 66; 42 hpf: n = 66 neurons, N = 5 fish; 30 and 48 hpf, same data as Figures 3B and 3C). These patterns likely reflect opposing temporal gradients of cell fate specification that persist through nucleus differentiation (48 hpf), with a key transition point at 36 hpf.

We next measured how nose-up and nose-down neurons accumulated within the nucleus over time (Figures 4F and 4G). Nose-up neurons emerged from dorsal to ventral (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p = 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$) and rostral to caudal (Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.003). Nose-up topography was indistinguishable from 5 dpf control distributions (Figure 3H) by 36 hpf in the dorsoventral axis (Kruskal-Wallis test with multiple comparisons, p = 0.002, 30 hpf vs. control; p = 0.98, 36 hpf vs. control) and 42 hpf in the rostrocaudal axis (Kruskal-Wallis test with multiple comparisons, p = 0.06, 36 hpf vs. control; p = 0.63, 42 hpf vs. control). There was no temporal structure in the mediolateral axis (Kruskal-Wallis test with multiple comparisons, p = 0.39). The two rostrocaudal "stripes" of nose-down neurons similarly emerged from dorso-rostral to ventro-caudal (dorsoventral: Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.003; rostrocaudal: Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.03). These stripes continued to develop through 36 hpf in the dorsoventral axis and 42 hpf in the rostrocaudal axis, at which point their distributions matched control (dorsoventral: Kruskal-Wallis test with multiple comparisons, p = 0.05, 30 hpf vs. control; p = 0.20, 36 hpf vs. control; rostrocaudal: Kruskal-Wallis test with multiple comparisons, p = 0.07, 36 hpf vs. control; p = 0.99, 36 hpf vs. control). This suggests that projection neuron topography is shaped early in development and contemporaneously with subtype specification.



We considered that late-born neurons of a particular subtype (up/down) may represent distinct sub-populations. To test this, we measured how strongly birthdated projection neurons responded to tilt sensation. We observed a small decrease in nose-up response strength at 36 hpf (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$; Cohen's d, 0.65, 30–36 hpf, -0.44, 36–42 hpf), but no difference in the distributions of late-born ("born after") nose-up neurons (Figure 4H). We found no developmental trends for nose-down neurons (Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.93; Figure 4I) or other measurements, such as directional selectivity strength. We conclude that early- and late-born neurons of a particular subtype are functionally homogeneous. Thus, finer aspects of projection neuron function, except where linked to subtype (e.g., non-preferred response type), may be determined by non-temporal forces.

Responses to high-frequency sensory stimulation are topographically organized by birthdate

Given the organization of projection neurons by tonic tilt responses, we predicted that their responses to other forms of vestibular end-organ sensory stimulation-and by extension, their VIIIth nerve sensory inputs-may be similarly organized. Projection neurons must also encode phasic (i.e., rapid) posture changes. Phasic changes to neuronal activity can be elicited with sufficiently high-frequency stimulation,^{54,71} potentially following semicircular canal input to the tangential nucleus.^{22,25,72} To categorize projection neurons sensitive to phasic stimuli, we performed TIPM with a stimulus consisting of two impulses of angular rotation (10 ms duration each; Figure 5A) on the same 467 projection neurons (N = 22 larvae) previously described (Figure 3G). Although responses were weaker than to tonic tilts (Figure 5B), ~60% of neurons responded to impulses. Typically, neurons did not exhibit a clear preference for either impulse (Figure 5C; mean directional strength = 0.06 ± 0.39), with some variability in response magnitude across stimulus repeats (mean coefficient of variation: 0.85 ± 0.41).

Next, we assayed whether the somata of impulse-responsive projection neurons were organized in space and time. We presented impulse stimuli to the same birthdated neurons described previously (n = 74 early-born neurons from N = 7 larvae, n = 40 late-born neurons from N = 10 larvae; same data as shown in Figures 1G and 3C). We observed a notable relationship between neuronal birth order and impulse responsiveness: late-born neurons were twice as likely to respond to impulses (Figure 5D; 54% responsive) than early-born (24% responsive). As late-born neurons are ventrally localized (Figure 1H), we predicted that impulse responses may be similarly localized. Indeed, impulse-responsive neurons were restricted to the ventral (two-tailed, two-sample KS test, $p = 22.7 \times 10^{-9}$), lateral (two-tailed, two-sample KS test, $p = 5.9 \times 10^{-7}$), and rostral (two-tailed, two-sample KS test, p = 0.009) tangential nucleus (Figures 5E and 5F). Spatial separation was further significant across the entire nucleus (one-way multivariate analysis of variance, $p = 1.3 \times 10^{-9}$) and relative to chance (one-way

⁽H) Maximum change in calcium fluorescence to tonic tilts for nose-up neurons born before (left) or after (right) each age. Each circle represents a unique neuron. Solid line shows the mean across neurons.

⁽I) Maximum change in calcium fluorescence to tonic tilts for nose-down neurons born before or after each age. All data: ***difference at the p < 0.001 level; **significance at p < 0.01; \downarrow , significance between p = 0.08 and p = 0.05; n.s., not significant.







Figure 5. Birthdate reveals topography to semicircular canal-mediated, high-frequency stimulation responses

(A) Impulse stimulus waveform. Shaded bars indicate time in the horizontal calcium imaging plane. Dotted box shows zoom of 10 ms impulse.
(B) Example traces from an impulse-responsive (left) and unresponsive (right) projection neuron. Parentheses show percent of neurons in sample. Same n = 467 neurons, N = 22 fish as Figure 2D.

(C) Probability of directional selectivity to impulses. Zero indicates no directional preference. Distribution shows mean (solid line) and standard deviation (shaded outline) from jackknife resampling.

(D) Number of early- and late-born projection neurons with (purple) or without (gray) impulse responses (purple). Data from same fish as in Figures 1G and 1H. (E) Probability of soma position for impulse-responsive (purple) or unresponsive (gray) neurons from non-birthdated, two-photon TIPM; same n = 467 neurons, N = 22 fish as Figure 2D. Short vertical axis lines indicate the median position.

(F) Soma position of impulse-responsive neurons, scaled by impulse response strength (Δ F/F) relative to the strongest response observed. Larger circles indicate stronger responses. Data from the same neurons shown in Figure 3G.

(G) Circuit schematic. Both the anterior and posterior semicircular canal branches of the VIIIth nerve are uni-laterally lesioned. Calcium responses of projection neurons (black dashed box) in lesioned and control hemispheres are compared.



multivariate analysis of variance, mean $p = 0.46 \pm 0.30$). We conclude that impulse-responsive projection neurons are born later and topographically constrained to the ventrolateral tangential vestibular nucleus.

Organized sensory input from semicircular canal afferents underlies the topography of high-frequency stimulation responses

Given the ventral topography of impulse responses, we predicted that their VIIIth nerve sensory inputs (semicircular canals and/or utricular otoliths) may be similarly organized. To test whether the semicircular canals mediate impulse responses, we repeated our loss-of-function approach (Figures 5G and 5H). We measured responses in the ventral-most 15 µm of projection neurons, which are maximally impulse responsive (Figure 5F). Impulse responses in ventral neurons were almost entirely eliminated following canal lesions (one-tailed, two-sample KS test, p = 1.1×10^{-7} ; mean control Δ F/F = 0.10 ± 0.08 ; mean lesion Δ F/F = 0.02 ± 0.05; control: n = 24 impulse responses, N = 4 larvae; lesion: n = 16 impulse responses, N = 4larvae; Figure 5I). This effect was consistent across the entire nucleus (one-tailed, two-sample KS test, $p = 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$; mean control Δ F/F: 0.08 ± 0.07; mean lesion Δ F/F: 0.03 ± 0.05; control: n = 40 impulse responses, N = 4 larvae; lesion: n = 36 impulse responses, N = 4 larvae).

To test whether impulse stimuli activate the utricular otoliths, we presented impulse stimuli to *otogelin* null larvae. Impulse responses decreased but were not entirely eliminated, in the same ventral population on the *otogelin* background (one-tailed, two-sample KS test, $p = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$; mean control Δ F/F: 0.38 ± 0.22; mean mutant Δ F/F: 0.14 ± 0.10; control: n = 43 impulse responses, N = 3 larvae; mutant: n = 33 impulse responses, N = 3 larvae). We observed a similar effect across the entire nucleus (one-tailed, two-sample KS test, p = 3.0×10^{-22} ; mean control Δ F/F: 0.25 ± 0.22; mean mutant Δ F/F: 0.05 ± 0.08; control: n = 169 impulse responses, N = 3 larvae). Together, these loss-of-function experiments support a primary role for the semicircular canals in mediating impulse stimuli responses, with a nominal additional contribution from the utricular otoliths.

We next adopted a connectomic approach to assay whether the observed impulse sensitivity of ventral neurons might reflect targeted inputs from anterior (nose-up) and posterior (nosedown) semicircular canal afferents. Using an existing set of serial electron micrographs,⁵⁵ we traced afferents from 5 dpf anterior (n = 6 afferents) and posterior (n = 5 afferents) semicircular canal cristae across two synaptic connections: to the statoacoustic ganglion and then to projection neurons in the tangential vestibular nucleus (n = 19 neurons; Figure 5J). We then pseudocolored projection neurons according to whether they received anterior, posterior, or no cristae input (Figure 5K). 12/19 identifiable projection neurons in the tangential nucleus (STAR Methods section "electron microscopy") received canal input, consistent with a previous anatomical report.²² Input from the anterior and posterior canals was tightly organized along the rostrocaudal axis, matching the rostrocaudal organization previously reported in the VIIIth nerve.⁵⁵ Importantly, all canal-innervated projection neurons were located in the ventral-most 20 μ m of the tangential vestibular nucleus.

We reasoned that projection neurons should receive directionally matched input from both canal and utricular afferents. Utricular afferent directionality is derived from the orientation of their target hair cells in the macula. We traced 17 utricular afferents from their macular hair cell connections to projection neurons (Table S1) and predicted the directional tuning of these afferents based on the anatomical orientation of their hair cell inputs.⁵⁵ The vertical sensitivity of utricular and semicircular canal afferents matched perfectly in all canal-innervated projection neurons. Together, we conclude that semicircular canal input to projection neurons is tightly organized in space, matched to utricular afferent directionality, and preferentially targeted to later-born (ventral), impulse-responsive projection neurons.

Axonal trajectories and synaptic connectivity to extraocular motor neurons follow development

Somatic topography, afferent input, and response properties are organized according to birthdate. We reasoned that birthdate might similarly predict spatial connectivity to downstream extraocular motor neuron targets. Previously, we identified spatiotemporal organization among the pools of extraocular motor neurons, located in cranial nuclei nIII and nIV, that control vertical/torsional eye movements. Motor neurons in cranial nucleus nIII innervate eyes-down muscles, are exclusively located dorsally, and are born earlier than their eyes-up counterparts.⁸ We predicted, given the shared spatial and temporal organization of motor neurons and projection neurons, that early-born projection neurons may preferentially target early-born motor neurons of the same temporal (early-born), spatial (dorsal), and functional (nose-up/eyes-down) type.

To measure axonal organization, we birthdated projection neurons early in development (36 hpf) and then assayed the spatial extent of their axon trajectories at 3 dpf (Figures 6A and 6B). In all larvae (N = 3), axons from early-born (converted) somata projected exclusively along the dorsal medial

See also Table S1.

⁽H) Example images from larvae before and after unilateral VIIIth nerve lesions. Left and right image sets (replicated from Figure S3E) show the anterior and posterior semicircular canal branches, respectively, before and after lesion. Both branches are lesioned in each experiment. Red arrows point to lesion sites. VIIIth nerve visualized using the Tg(-17.6is/2b:GFP) line; larvae also expressed Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS:GCaMP6s) for projection neuron calcium imaging. (I) Probability distributions of the maximum Δ F/F response to impulse rotations in lesioned (red) and control (black) hemispheres. Responses shown only for the most ventral 15 μ m of projection neurons. Solid lines show mean from jackknife resampling; shaded bars, standard deviation. Control: n = 68 impulse responses, N = 3 fish.

⁽J) Circuit schematic for electron microscopy experiments. Black dashed lines indicate the circuit elements of focus: synaptic connections from the anterior and posterior semicircular canals to first-order sensory neurons, synaptic connections from sensory neurons to projection neurons, and projection neurons.

⁽K) Electron microscopy reconstruction of 19 projection neurons at 5 dpf. Soma pseudocolored based on innervation from sensory neurons that receive anterior semicircular canal input (orange) or posterior semicircular canal input (blue). Gray soma receive no semicircular canal input. All panels: ***difference at the p < 0.001 level; **significance at p < 0.01.





Figure 6. Birthdate predicts patterns of axonal trajectories and synapse formation between projection neurons and extraocular motor neurons

(A) Circuit schematic for axon birthdating experiments. Black dashed lines outline projection neuron soma and axonal projections to the extraocular motor nuclei. (B) Timeline of axon birthdating experiments. Larvae are only photoconverted at 36 hpf.

(C) Birthdated axons from one example fish. Top row shows axons (left) from soma (right) born by 36 hpf; middle row shows axons that were not born by 36 hpf; bottom row shows merge. White arrows point to the ventral axon bundle. Inset shows zoom of axons. Dotted lines outline extraocular motor neuron somata. Scale bars, 20 μ m.

(D) Circuit schematic for synapse formation measurements. Black dashed lines outline axo-somatic varicosities from projection neurons onto post-synaptic ocular motor neurons.

(E) Example image of an extraocular motor neuron (left) and axo-somatic varicosities (middle); right image shows merge. White arrows point to four example varicosities. Scale bars, 5 μ m.

(F) Rate of varicosity growth to early- and late-born ocular motor neurons, quantified as as a percentage of the maximum number of varicosities observed at 90 hpf. Circles represent individual fish. Dotted box highlights the time where the rate of varicosity formation to motor neuron subtypes diverges. $n \ge 5$ fish per time point. **difference at the p < 0.01 level; n.s., not significant.

longitudinal fasciculus. Photoconverted axons also contained a characteristic branch to dorsal extraocular motor neurons in nIV, showing that they originate from the nose-up/eyes-down projection neuron subtype (Figure 6C).²⁸ We conclude that the axons of early-born (nose-up) projection neurons project dorsally in the medial longitudinal fasciculus, targeting complementary early-born, dorsal motor neurons that move the eyes-down.

We then tested whether projection neuron birthdate correlates with their synaptic development to eyes-up or eyes-down motor neurons. Vestibular projection neuron axons form varicosities onto motor neuron somata; varicosities co-localize with synaptic markers.⁷³ To assay synaptic development, we measured the number of axo-somatic varicosities from projection neurons onto either dorsal (early-born) or ventral (late-born) motor neuron

somata (Figures 6D and 6E). Varicosities developed in three phases: initial onset (52–55 hpf), linear growth (55–78 hpf), and plateau (>78 hpf) (Figure 6F). The initial appearance of varicosities was comparable between all dorsal and ventral motor neurons. Varicosities to dorsal motor neurons developed rapidly, reaching their expected numbers (maximum observed at 90 hpf) by 78 hpf (one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons, p = 0.002, 62 vs. 90 hpf; p = 0.99, 78 vs. 90 hpf). By contrast, varicosities to ventral motor neurons continued accumulating through 90 hpf (one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons, p = 0.01, 78 vs. 90 hpf). This suggests that varicosities develop in a complementary dorsal-to-ventral pattern as motor neuron and projection neuron birthdate anticipates both axonal and synaptic assembly onto circuit-appropriate motor neuron outputs.

Article



CellPress

Figure 7. A model for the spatiotemporal organization of the gaze stabilization circuit

Spatiotemporal development of neurons that mediate the nose-up/eyes-down (orange) and nose-down/eyes-up (blue) gaze stabilization reflexes. nlll refers to the oculomotor cranial nucleus; nlV to the trochlear cranial nucleus IV.

maps to illustrate the developmental origins of neuronal fate,⁷⁹ circuit assembly,^{35–37,80} and function.^{42,81,82} Relative to other "mapped" sensory systems, almost nothing is known about vestibular reflex circuit development.⁸³ Below, we

discuss how our spatiotemporal maps illustrate how vestibuloocular projection neuron fate may be determined. The common temporal topography across channels of the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit may similarly constrain its assembly. Finally, our data illustrate how principles of invertebrate circuit development in time may be implemented in vertebrate counterparts.

The importance of birthdate in specifying vestibular projection neuron fate

Fate decisions are often the first step in constructing a neural circuit and occur upstream of the molecular logic that dictates circuit connectivity, functional attributes, and behavior^{35,79,84-86} What mechanisms might specify binary fate (nose-up/nosedown) in vestibular projection neurons? The absence of clearly delineated boundaries between nose-up and nose-down neurons argues against a role for spatial patterning programs. Projection neuron topography is neither laminar like cortical nuclei^{87,88} nor cleanly differentiated in one spatial axis like motor nuclei^{5,7-9,89,90} or brainstem nuclei.^{18-20,91,92} Although reactiondiffusion processes can produce periodic patterns in tissue, 93,94 the structure we see is, to the best of our knowledge, incompatible with known spatial cues. Across the hindbrain, broad spatial patterning signals act as the primary architects of rhombomeric topography. 19,62,78,95-97 We propose that within individual vestibular nuclei, the mechanisms that specify projection neuron fate lie elsewhere.

Work in comparable systems offers several additional models for binary fate choice,⁹⁸ many of which are inconsistent with our data. Specifically, our data argue against models with postmitotic implementation of stochastic choice, sister cell interactions, and lateral inhibition. One hallmark of a stochastic process is the coincident appearance of subtypes, as in Drosophila R7 photoreceptors.^{99,100} Similarly, cells with differential fates may be the result of interactions between coincidentally born sister cells, as seen in v2a/v2b spinal interneurons.^{101,102} Instead, we observe a clear temporal pattern to fate specification: earlyborn neurons are predominantly nose-up, whereas late-born neurons are nose-down. We can similarly eliminate a role for mutually repressive (lateral inhibition) programs, in which local interactions between post-mitotic neurons specify fates. Such interactions establish patterned boundaries between subtypes¹⁰³ and an unequal number of neurons in each subtype.¹⁰⁴ We observe that nose-up and nose-down neurons are roughly clustered and present in a 1:1 ratio. Thus, although binary

DISCUSSION

Here, we show how previously hidden functional topography in the vestibular system emerges over development. Neuronal birthdating first uncovered spatial segregation between earlyand late-born projection neurons. Two-photon and volumetric imaging with TIPM showed that directional selectivity to body tilts followed soma position and birthdate. We then combined loss-of-function and electron microscopy assays to show birthdate-related organization to upstream semicircular canal inputs. Finally, we established that birthdate differentiates the time course of axon targeting and synapse development onto downstream ocular motor neurons. Taken together, we find that development reveals organization within the vestibular brainstem, its peripheral inputs, and its motor outputs (Figure 7). We propose that time plays a causal role in fate determination, topographic organization, and, by extension, vestibular circuit formation. Our data suggest mechanisms for projection neuron fate specification. More broadly, our findings offer insights into how time shapes vertebrate sensorimotor circuits.

The impact of discovering spatiotemporal topography in the vestibular system

Our discovery of spatiotemporal topography relied on bringing new tools to bear on the classic question of vestibular circuit organization. Technological improvements have a long history of revealing organization in other sensory systems, from precise olfactory maps^{74,75} to the functional architecture of the visual system.^{76,77} Previous characterizations had proposed that vestibular brainstem nuclei are disorganized.^{18-20,55,78} Instead, retrograde tracing studies across chick, zebrafish, frog, and mouse had collectively identified coarse axonal projections-e.g., to spinal or ocular motor circuits-as their primary organizational axis. $^{\rm 18-20,62}$ Here, we used a modern toolkit consisting of: (1) a transgenic line of zebrafish that specifically labels vestibuloocular reflex projection neurons,²⁸ (2) in vivo birthdating⁵⁶ early in development, and (3) spatial mapping of tilt responses.⁵⁴ These tools allowed us to extend the "axonal projection" model of vestibular brainstem organization to functional channels within an individual vestibular nucleus. More broadly, our results suggest that the vestibular brainstem is organized commonly to its sensory counterparts.

Topographic maps are the scaffold on which connectivity and function are built. Consequently, other model systems have used



(nose-up/nose-down) projection neuron fates are reminiscent of post-mitotic fate decisions in other sensory systems, they do not employ the same fate specification strategies.

Instead, projection neuron fate appears to be specified sequentially. Key evidence comes from their opposing rates of fate specification (Figure S4), where the appearance of noseup neurons is greatest early in development and declines as nose-down probability rises. Such a competency "switch" must reflect differential molecular logic to specify fate. In the mouse optokinetic reflex circuit, directionally selective (up/ down) retinal ganglion cells employ Tbx5 in such a manner.¹⁰⁵ The similarities between the vestibulo-ocular reflex and optokinetic reflex circuits argue that a comparable process may instantiate vestibular projection neuron fates. Further evidence comes from the role of Fezf1 in the fate specification of on and off starburst amacrine cells in the retina.79 Testina this hypothesis requires uncovering the transcriptional determinants of projection neuron fate. The clear temporal delineation between nose-up and nose-down channels, together with recent transcriptional analyses of vestibular neurons, 106 the zebrafish hindbrain, 107,108 and lineage tracing tools, $^{109-111}$ offers a basis for differential analyses of closely related subtypes.79,105,112 Looking ahead, defining the factors that instantiate projection neuron fate will be key to understand the assembly of the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit.

Circuit assembly: first-come, first served, or firstborn, first served?

The relationship between time and fate that we observe argues against the current "reverse order" model of vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit formation. Retrograde tracing experiments in the developing chick had suggested that projection neurons do not synapse onto extraocular motor neurons until the motor neurons connect to muscles.¹⁸ This temporal delay led to the proposal that for the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit, "synaptic connectivity is established in reverse order to the signaling direction." that is. from motor neuron on to muscle, followed by vestibular projection neuron on to motor neuron, and finally vestibular afferent on to vestibular projection neuron.^{83,113} Instead, we find that vestibular projection neurons synapse on to extraocular motor neurons (Figure 6) well before those motor neurons synapse on to muscles.¹¹⁴ Comparative approaches^{115–117} could resolve the question of whether the temporal differences we observe are zebrafish specific. Together, our work rejects the current model for vestibuloocular reflex ontogeny.

Sensorimotor transformations require precise connectivity, and connectivity itself could therefore determine circuit assembly. For vestibulo-ocular reflex neurons, connectivity and subtype are inextricable: eyes-down motor neurons must synapse onto eyes-down muscles, and nose-up afferents must receive input from up-tuned hair cells. Thus, synapse formation—even originating from stochastic connectivity—could define subtype.¹¹⁸ Importantly, however, birthdate differentiates the subtype of vestibular projection neurons,¹¹⁹ extraocular motor neurons,⁸ and sensory afferents⁵⁵ all before they connect to each other. Furthermore, projection neurons and extraocular motor neuron soma differentiate coincidentally and project early, and synaptogenesis is delayed until somatic differentiation is complete across both nuclei (Figure 6). This argues that fate and

assembly in the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit follows from time, not connectivity.

Is time *deterministic* for the vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit assembly? Across the entire vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit, the nose-up/eyes-down channel develops earlier than its nose-down/eyes-up counterpart (Figure 7). The different embryological origins of channel components (ear, brain, and eye) suggest that a broad signal is required to coordinate circuit assembly. Such global timing mechanisms are well established in the *Drosophila* nervous system.^{120,121} Recent profiling studies have identified temporally specific, although spatially broad, patterns of gene expression in vertebrates.¹²² Temporal and/or spatial transplantation approaches^{4,123} offer a means to test this hypothesis. We propose that the zebrafish vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit is a powerful model to test whether global timing mechanisms coordinate channel-specific synaptic assembly to enable a functional sensorimotor circuit.

Temporal influences on sensorimotor circuit development

We propose that vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit development more closely resembles invertebrate circuit development than vertebrate sensorimotor circuits. For invertebrates, the molecular logic that links time, fate, and circuit-level connectivity is well established.^{34–37} There, time reflects the synchronized and sequential expression of specific transcription factor codes, pre-mitotically and independently of space.^{86,124} In vertebrates, such tight links between lineage and fate are undefined. Although relationships between time and circuit function have been demonstrated in vertebrate circuits—for example, in zebrafish locomotor circuits for swimming^{45,82,125}—even there, fate and connectivity are thought to arise from post-mitotic spatial cues.^{97,126,127} In our system, the rough topography but clear temporal delineation among projection neuron subtypes argues against the primacy of spatial cues in vestibulo-ocular reflex circuit assembly.

We discovered unexpected spatiotemporal organization among vestibular projection neurons, their sensory inputs, and their motor outputs. The spatial topography we observe challenges the previous reports of "disorganization" among brainstem vestibular neurons, instead illustrating that balance nuclei can resemble other sensory areas. As topographic maps reflect developmental pressures, our findings illustrate the mechanisms that may govern projection neuron fate and reflex circuit assembly, a key step forward in understanding how balance circuits come to be. Finally, the contemporaneous development of vestibulo-ocular reflex channels links vertebrate circuit assembly to temporal principles established in invertebrates. Although later maturation may disrupt the topography we observe in the larval zebrafish, our discoveries and inferences nevertheless illustrate how time may shape functional vertebrate sensorimotor circuits.

STAR***METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- RESOURCE AVAILABILITY
 - Lead Contact



- Materials availability
- Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Fish care
 - Transgenic lines
- METHOD DETAILS
 - Confocal imaging
 - Retrograde photolabeling of ascending projection neuron somata
 - Optical tagging of neurons by birthdate
 - Somatic birthdating experiments
 - Tonic and impulse pitch-tilt stimuli
 - Two-photon calcium imaging
 - Otogelin mutant imaging and analysis
 - O VIIIth nerve lesions, imaging, and analysis
 - Functional birthdating
 - Swept, confocally-aligned planar excitation (SCAPE) calcium imaging and analysis
 - Electron microscopy
 - Axon birthdating
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
 - Classification and analysis of two-photon calcium responses to tonic and impulse rotations
 - $\odot~$ Spatial registration of imaged neurons
 - $\odot~$ Statistical analysis of spatial organization
 - Analysis of SCAPE images
 - Quantification of post-synaptic axonal varicosity growth

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cub.2023.02.048.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research was supported by the National Institute on Deafness and Communication Disorders of the National Institutes of Health under award numbers R00DC012775, R56DC016316, R01DC017489, and F31DC019554 and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke under award numbers F99NS129179, T32NS086750, UF1NS108213, and U01NS094296. The authors would like to thank Başak Sevinç and Hannah Gelnaw for assistance with fish care and Jeremy Dasen, Claude Desplan, Robert Froemke, Michael Long, Katherine Nagel, and Dan Sanes, along with the members of the Schoppik and Nagel laboratories, for their valuable feedback and discussions.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, D.G. and D.S.; methodology, D.G., K.R.H., V.V., C.P.-C., K.B.P., W.L., E.M.C.H., M.W.B., M.R.G., and D.S.; investigation, D.G., S.H., and M.W.B.; visualization, D.G.; writing, D.G.; editing, D.S.; funding acquisition, D.G. and D.S.; and supervision, D.S.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: October 31, 2022 Revised: January 3, 2023 Accepted: February 15, 2023 Published: March 15, 2023

REFERENCES

- Jefferis, G.S.X.E., Marin, E.C., Stocker, R.F., and Luo, L. (2001). Target neuron prespecification in the olfactory map of Drosophila. Nature 414, 204–208. https://doi.org/10.1038/35102574.
- Schreiner, C.E., and Winer, J.A. (2007). Auditory cortex mapmaking: principles, projections, and plasticity. Neuron 56, 356–365. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.10.013.
- Erzurumlu, R.S., Murakami, Y., and Rijli, F.M. (2010). Mapping the face in the somatosensory brainstem. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *11*, 252–263. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nrn2804.
- Barsh, G.R., Isabella, A.J., and Moens, C.B. (2017). Vagus motor neuron topographic map determined by parallel mechanisms of hox5 expression and time of axon initiation. Curr. Biol. 27, 3812–3825.e3. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.022.
- McLean, D.L., Fan, J., Higashijima, S., Hale, M.E., and Fetcho, J.R. (2007). A topographic map of recruitment in spinal cord. Nature 446, 71–75. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05588.
- Jessell, T.M., Sürmeli, G., and Kelly, J.S. (2011). Motor neurons and the sense of place. Neuron 72, 419–424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron. 2011.10.021.
- Levine, A.J., Lewallen, K.A., and Pfaff, S.L. (2012). Spatial organization of cortical and spinal neurons controlling motor behavior. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 22, 812–821. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.07.002.
- Greaney, M.R., Privorotskiy, A.E., D'Elia, K.P., and Schoppik, D. (2017). Extraocular motoneuron pools develop along a dorsoventral axis in zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. J. Comp. Neurol. 525, 65–78. https://doi.org/10. 1002/cne.24042.
- Arber, S. (2017). Organization and function of neuronal circuits controlling movement. EMBO Mol. Med. 9, 281–284. https://doi.org/10.15252/ emmm.201607226.
- Weinberg, R.J. (1997). Are topographic maps fundamental to sensory processing? Brain Res. Bull. 44, 113–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0361-9230(97)00095-6.
- Shen, Q., Wang, Y., Dimos, J.T., Fasano, C.A., Phoenix, T.N., Lemischka, I.R., Ivanova, N.B., Stifani, S., Morrisey, E.E., and Temple, S. (2006). The timing of cortical neurogenesis is encoded within lineages of individual progenitor cells. Nat. Neurosci. 9, 743–751. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1694.
- Imamura, F., Ayoub, A.E., Rakic, P., and Greer, C.A. (2011). Timing of neurogenesis is a determinant of olfactory circuitry. Nat. Neurosci. 14, 331–337. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2754.
- Jeffress, L.A. (1948). A place theory of sound localization. J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol. 41, 35–39. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0061495.
- Knudsen, E.I., and Brainard, M.S. (1991). Visual instruction of the neural map of auditory space in the developing optic tectum. Science 253, 85–87. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2063209.
- Kaas, J.H. (1997). Topographic maps are fundamental to sensory processing. Brain Res. Bull. 44, 107–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0361-9230(97)00094-4.
- Chklovskii, D.B., and Koulakov, A.A. (2004). MAPS IN THE BRAIN: what can we learn from them? Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 27, 369–392. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144226.
- Turner-Evans, D.B., Jensen, K.T., Ali, S., Paterson, T., Sheridan, A., Ray, R.P., Wolff, T., Lauritzen, J.S., Rubin, G.M., Bock, D.D., et al. (2020). The neuroanatomical ultrastructure and function of a biological ring attractor. Neuron *108*, 145–163.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.08.006.
- Glover, J.C. (2003). The development of vestibulo-ocular circuitry in the chicken embryo. J. Physiol. Paris 97, 17–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jphysparis.2003.10.003.
- Díaz, C., Glover, J.C., Puelles, L., and Bjaalie, J.G. (2003). The relationship between hodological and cytoarchitectonic organization in the vestibular complex of the 11-day chicken embryo. J. Comp. Neurol. 457, 87–105. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10528.



- Díaz, C., and Puelles, L. (2019). Segmental analysis of the vestibular nerve and the efferents of the vestibular complex. Anat. Rec. (Hoboken) 302, 472–484. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.23828.
- Wilson, V.J., Kato, M., Peterson, B.W., and Wylie, R.M. (1967). A singleunit analysis of the organization of Deiters' nucleus. J. Neurophysiol. 30, 603–619. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1967.30.3.603.
- Peusner, K.D., and Morest, D.K. (1977). The neuronal architecture and topography of the nucleus vestibularis tangentialis in the late chick embryo. Neuroscience 2, 189–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(77)90089-6.
- Abend, W.K. (1977). Functional organization of the superior vestibular nucleus of the squirrel monkey. Brain Res. *132*, 65–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(77)90706-5.
- Dickman, J.D., and Fang, Q. (1996). Differential central projections of vestibular afferents in pigeons. J. Comp. Neurol. 367, 110–131. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960325)367:1<110::AID-CNE8>3.0.CO;2-6.
- Straka, H., Holler, S., Goto, F., Kolb, F.P., and Gilland, E. (2003). Differential spatial organization of otolith signals in frog vestibular nuclei. J. Neurophysiol. 90, 3501–3512. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00372.2003.
- Szentágothai, J. (1964). Pathways and synaptic articulation patterns connecting vestibular receptors and oculomotor nuclei. In The Oculomotor System (Harper and Row), pp. 205–223.
- Bianco, I.H., Ma, L.H., Schoppik, D., Robson, D.N., Orger, M.B., Beck, J.C., Li, J.M., Schier, A.F., Engert, F., and Baker, R. (2012). The tangential nucleus controls a gravito-inertial vestibulo-ocular reflex. Curr. Biol. 22, 1285–1295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.05.026.
- Schoppik, D., Bianco, I.H., Prober, D.A., Douglass, A.D., Robson, D.N., Li, J.M.B., Greenwood, J.S.F., Soucy, E., Engert, F., and Schier, A.F. (2017). Gaze-stabilizing central vestibular neurons project asymmetrically to extraocular motoneuron pools. J. Neurosci. 37, 11353–11365. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1711-17.2017.
- Bagnall, M.W., and Schoppik, D. (2018). Development of vestibular behaviors in zebrafish. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 53, 83–89. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.conb.2018.06.004.
- Bayraktar, O.A., and Doe, C.Q. (2013). Combinatorial temporal patterning in progenitors expands neural diversity. Nature 498, 449–455. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12266.
- Li, X., Erclik, T., Bertet, C., Chen, Z., Voutev, R., Venkatesh, S., Morante, J., Celik, A., and Desplan, C. (2013). Temporal patterning of Drosophila medulla neuroblasts controls neural fates. Nature 498, 456–462. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12319.
- Glenwinkel, L., Taylor, S.R., Langebeck-Jensen, K., Pereira, L., Reilly, M.B., Basavaraju, M., Rafi, I., Yemini, E., Pocock, R., Sestan, N., et al. (2021). In silico analysis of the transcriptional regulatory logic of neuronal identity specification throughout the C. elegans nervous system. eLife 10, e64906. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64906.
- Koundakjian, E.J., Appler, J.L., and Goodrich, L.V. (2007). Auditory neurons make stereotyped wiring decisions before maturation of their targets. J. Neurosci. 27, 14078–14088. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3765-07.2007.
- Sullivan, L.F., Warren, T.L., and Doe, C.Q. (2019). Temporal identity establishes columnar neuron morphology, connectivity, and function in a Drosophila navigation circuit. eLife 8, https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.43482.
- Meng, J.L., Wang, Y., Carrillo, R.A., and Heckscher, E.S. (2020). Temporal transcription factors determine circuit membership by permanently altering motor neuron-to-muscle synaptic partnerships. eLife 9, e56898. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.56898.
- Wreden, C.C., Meng, J.L., Feng, W., Chi, W., Marshall, Z.D., and Heckscher, E.S. (2017). Temporal cohorts of lineage-related neurons perform analogous functions in distinct sensorimotor circuits. Curr. Biol. 27, 1521–1528.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.024.
- Mark, B., Lai, S.L., Zarin, A.A., Manning, L., Pollington, H.Q., Litwin-Kumar, A., Cardona, A., Truman, J.W., and Doe, C.Q. (2021). A developmental framework linking neurogenesis and circuit formation in the Drosophila CNS. eLife *10*, e67510. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.67510.

 Berry, M., Rogers, A.W., and Eayrs, J.T. (1964). Pattern of cell migration during cortical histogenesis. Nature 203, 591–593. https://doi.org/10. 1038/203591b0.

Current Biology

- Yu, Y.C., Bultje, R.S., Wang, X., and Shi, S.H. (2009). Specific synapses develop preferentially among sister excitatory neurons in the neocortex. Nature 458, 501–504. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07722.
- Li, Y., Lu, H., Cheng, P.L., Ge, S., Xu, H., Shi, S.H., and Dan, Y. (2012). Clonally related visual cortical neurons show similar stimulus feature selectivity. Nature 486, 118–121. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11110.
- Fore, S., Acuña-Hinrichsen, F., Mutlu, K.A., Bartoszek, E.M., Serneels, B., Faturos, N.G., Chau, K.T.P., Cosacak, M.I., Verdugo, C.D., Palumbo, F., et al. (2020). Functional properties of habenular neurons are determined by developmental stage and sequential neurogenesis. Sci. Adv. 6, eaaz3173. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz3173.
- Huszár, R., Zhang, Y., Blockus, H., and Buzsáki, G. (2022). Preconfigured dynamics in the hippocampus are guided by embryonic birthdate and rate of neurogenesis. Nat. Neurosci. 25, 1201–1212. https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41593-022-01138-x.
- McLean, D.L., and Fetcho, J.R. (2009). Spinal interneurons differentiate sequentially from those driving the fastest swimming movements in larval zebrafish to those driving the slowest ones. J. Neurosci. 29, 13566– 13577. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3277-09.2009.
- Pujol-Martí, J., Zecca, A., Baudoin, J.P., Faucherre, A., Asakawa, K., Kawakami, K., and López-Schier, H. (2012). Neuronal birth order identifies a dimorphic sensorineural map. J. Neurosci. 32, 2976–2987. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5157-11.2012.
- Pujala, A., and Koyama, M. (2019). Chronology-based architecture of descending circuits that underlie the development of locomotor repertoire after birth. eLife 8, e42135. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.42135.
- Vanwalleghem, G.C., Ahrens, M.B., and Scott, E.K. (2018). Integrative whole-brain neuroscience in larval zebrafish. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 50, 136–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.02.004.
- Favre-Bulle, I.A., Vanwalleghem, G., Taylor, M.A., Rubinsztein-Dunlop, H., and Scott, E.K. (2018). Cellular-resolution imaging of vestibular processing across the larval zebrafish brain. Curr. Biol. 28, 3711–3722.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.09.060.
- Migault, G., van der Plas, T.L., Trentesaux, H., Panier, T., Candelier, R., Proville, R., Englitz, B., Debrégeas, G., and Bormuth, V. (2018). Wholebrain calcium imaging during physiological vestibular stimulation in larval zebrafish. Curr. Biol. 28, 3723–3735.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub. 2018.10.017.
- Voleti, V., Patel, K.B., Li, W., Perez Campos, C.P., Bharadwaj, S., Yu, H., Ford, C., Casper, M.J., Yan, R.W., Liang, W., et al. (2019). Real-time volumetric microscopy of in vivo dynamics and large-scale samples with SCAPE 2.0. Nat. Methods *16*, 1054–1062. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41592-019-0579-4.
- Riley, B.B., and Moorman, S.J. (2000). Development of utricular otoliths, but not saccular otoliths, is necessary for vestibular function and survival in zebrafish. J. Neurobiol. 43, 329–337 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/10861559.
- Beck, J.C., Gilland, E., Tank, D.W., and Baker, R. (2004). Quantifying the ontogeny of optokinetic and vestibuloocular behaviors in zebrafish, medaka, and goldfish. J. Neurophysiol. 92, 3546–3561. https://doi.org/ 10.1152/jn.00311.2004.
- Mo, W., Chen, F., Nechiporuk, A., and Nicolson, T. (2010). Quantification of vestibular-induced eye movements in zebrafish larvae. BMC Neurosci. *11*, 110. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-11-110.
- Tanimoto, M., Watakabe, I., and Higashijima, S. (2022). Tiltable objective microscope visualizes discrimination of static and dynamic head movement originates at hair cells. Preprint at bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10. 21203/rs.3.rs-1845426/v1.
- Hamling, K.R., Zhu, Y., Auer, F., and Schoppik, D. (2022). Tilt In Place Microscopy (TIPM): a simple, low-cost solution to image neural

CellPress OPEN ACCESS

responses to body rotations. J. Neurosci. 43, 936–948. https://doi.org/ 10.1523/jneurosci.1736-22.2022.

- Liu, Z., Hildebrand, D.G.C., Morgan, J.L., Jia, Y., Slimmon, N., and Bagnall, M.W. (2022). Organization of the gravity-sensing system in zebrafish. Nat. Commun. *13*, 5060. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32824-w.
- Caron, S.J.C., Prober, D., Choy, M., and Schier, A.F. (2008). *In vivo* birthdating by BAPTISM reveals that trigeminal sensory neuron diversity depends on early neurogenesis. Development *135*, 3259–3269. https:// doi.org/10.1242/dev.023200.
- Lacoste, A.M., Schoppik, D., Robson, D.N., Haesemeyer, M., Portugues, R., Li, J.M., Randlett, O., Wee, C.L., Engert, F., and Schier, A.F. (2015). A convergent and essential interneuron pathway for Mauthner-cell-mediated escapes. Curr. Biol. 25, 1526–1534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub. 2015.04.025.
- Scott, E.K., Mason, L., Arrenberg, A.B., Ziv, L., Gosse, N.J., Xiao, T., Chi, N.C., Asakawa, K., Kawakami, K., and Baier, H. (2007). Targeting neural circuitry in zebrafish using GAL4 enhancer trapping. Nat. Methods 4, 323–326. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth1033.
- y Cajal, S.R. (1908). Sur un noyau special du nerf vestibulaire des poissons et des oiseaux. Trab. Lab. invest. biol Univ. Madrid 6, 1–20.
- Levi-Montalcini, R. (1949). The development of the acoustico-vestibular centres in the chick embryo in the absence of the afferent root fibers and of descending fiber tracts. J. Comp. Neurol. 91, 209–241. https:// doi.org/10.1002/cne.900910204.
- Popratiloff, A., and Peusner, K.D. (2007). Otolith fibers and terminals in chick vestibular nuclei. J. Comp. Neurol. 502, 19–37. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/cne.21273.
- Straka, H., Baker, R., and Gilland, E. (2001). Rhombomeric organization of vestibular pathways in larval frogs. J. Comp. Neurol. 437, 42–55. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.1268.
- Straka, H., and Baker, R. (2013). Vestibular blueprint in early vertebrates. Front. Neural Circuits 7, 182. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2013.00182.
- Highstein, S.M., Goldberg, J.M., Moschovakis, A.K., and Fernández, C. (1987). Inputs from regularly and irregularly discharging vestibular nerve afferents to secondary neurons in the vestibular nuclei of the squirrel monkey. II. Correlation with output pathways of secondary neurons. J. Neurophysiol. 58, 719–738. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1987.58.4.719.
- McCrea, R.A., Strassman, A., and Highstein, S.M. (1987). Anatomical and physiological characteristics of vestibular neurons mediating the vertical vestibulo-ocular reflexes of the squirrel monkey. J. Comp. Neurol. 264, 571–594. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902640409.
- Iwamoto, Y., Kitama, T., and Yoshida, K. (1990). Vertical eye movementrelated secondary vestibular neurons ascending in medial longitudinal fasciculus in cat. II. Direct connections with extraocular motoneurons. J. Neurophysiol. 63, 918–935. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1990.63.4.918.
- Chen, T.W., Wardill, T.J., Sun, Y., Pulver, S.R., Renninger, S.L., Baohan, A., Schreiter, E.R., Kerr, R.A., Orger, M.B., Jayaraman, V., et al. (2013). Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. Nature 499, 295–300. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12354.
- Whitfield, T.T., Granato, M., van Eeden, F.J., Schach, U., Brand, M., Furutani-Seiki, M., Haffter, P., Hammerschmidt, M., Heisenberg, C.P., Jiang, Y.J., et al. (1996). Mutations affecting development of the zebrafish inner ear and lateral line. Development *123*, 241–254. https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.123.1.241.
- Stooke-Vaughan, G.A., Obholzer, N.D., Baxendale, S., Megason, S.G., and Whitfield, T.T. (2015). Otolith tethering in the zebrafish otic vesicle requires Otogelin and -Tectorin. Development *142*, 1137–1145. https://doi. org/10.1242/dev.116632.
- Roberts, R., Elsner, J., and Bagnall, M.W. (2017). Delayed otolith development does not impair vestibular circuit formation in zebrafish. J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol. 18, 415–425. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10162-017-0617-9.

- Branoner, F., and Straka, H. (2015). Semicircular canal-dependent developmental tuning of translational vestibulo-ocular reflexes in *Xenopus lae*vis. Dev. Neurobiol. 75, 1051–1067. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22234.
- Meredith, G.E., and Butler, A.B. (1983). Organization of eighth nerve afferent projections from individual endorgans of the inner ear in the teleost, Astronotus ocellatus. J. Comp. Neurol. 220, 44–62. https://doi. org/10.1002/cne.902200106.
- Freifeld, L., Odstrcil, I., Förster, D., Ramirez, A., Gagnon, J.A., Randlett, O., Costa, E.K., Asano, S., Celiker, O.T., Gao, R., et al. (2017). Expansion microscopy of zebrafish for neuroscience and developmental biology studies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *114*, E10799–E10808. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706281114.
- Clark, W.E.L.G. (1951). The projection of the olfactory epithilium on the olfactory bulb in the rabbit. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 14, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.14.1.1.
- Mombaerts, P., Wang, F., Dulac, C., Chao, S.K., Nemes, A., Mendelsohn, M., Edmondson, J., and Axel, R. (1996). Visualizing an olfactory sensory map. Cell 87, 675–686. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81387-2.
- Holmes, G. (1918). Disturbances of vision by cereberal lesions. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 2, 353–384. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.2.7.353.
- Hubel, D.H., and Wiesel, T.N. (1962). Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. J. Physiol. *160*, 106–154. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1962.sp006837.
- Pasqualetti, M., Díaz, C., Renaud, J.S., Rijli, F.M., and Glover, J.C. (2007). Fate-mapping the mammalian hindbrain: segmental origins of vestibular projection neurons assessed using rhombomere-specific Hoxa2 enhancer elements in the mouse embryo. J. Neurosci. 27, 9670–9681. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2189-07.2007.
- Peng, Y.R., James, R.E., Yan, W., Kay, J.N., Kolodkin, A.L., and Sanes, J.R. (2020). Binary fate choice between closely related interneuronal types is determined by a Fezf1-dependent postmitotic transcriptional switch. Neuron 105, 464–474.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.11.002.
- Zou, D.J., Feinstein, P., Rivers, A.L., Mathews, G.A., Kim, A., Greer, C.A., Mombaerts, P., and Firestein, S. (2004). Postnatal refinement of peripheral olfactory projections. Science 304, 1976–1979. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1093468.
- Hubel, D.H., and Wiesel, T.N. (1965). Binocular interaction in striate cortex of kittens reared with artificial squint. J. Neurophysiol. 28, 1041–1059. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1965.28.6.1041.
- Fetcho, J.R., and McLean, D.L. (2010). Some principles of organization of spinal neurons underlying locomotion in zebrafish and their implications. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. *1198*, 94–104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632. 2010.05539.x.
- Beraneck, M., Lambert, F.M., and Sadeghi, S.G. (2014). Functional development of the vestibular system. In Development of Auditory and Vestibular Systems (Elsevier), pp. 449–487. https://doi.org/10.1016/ b978-0-12-408088-1.00015-4.
- Sun, L.O., Jiang, Z., Rivlin-Etzion, M., Hand, R., Brady, C.M., Matsuoka, R.L., Yau, K.W., Feller, M.B., and Kolodkin, A.L. (2013). On and off retinal circuit assembly by divergent molecular mechanisms. Science 342, 1241974. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1241974.
- Seroka, A.Q., and Doe, C.Q. (2019). The Hunchback temporal transcription factor determines motor neuron axon and dendrite targeting in *Drosophila*. Development 146, dev175570. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.175570.
- Konstantinides, N., Holguera, I., Rossi, A.M., Escobar, A., Dudragne, L., Chen, Y.C., Tran, T.N., Martínez Jaimes, A.M.M., Özel, M.N., Simon, F., et al. (2022). A complete temporal transcription factor series in the fly visual system. Nature 604, 316–322. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04564-w.
- Brodmann, K. (1909). Vergleichende Lokalisationslehre der Grosshirnrinde in Ihren Prinzipien Dargestellt auf Grund des Zellenbaues (Barth).
- Mountcastle, V.B. (1997). The columnar organization of the neocortex. Brain 120, 701–722.



- Landmesser, L. (1978). The distribution of motoneurones supplying chick hind limb muscles. J. Physiol. 284, 371–389. https://doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.1978.sp012545.
- Landmesser, L. (1978). The development of motor projection patterns in the chick hind limb. J. Physiol. 284, 391–414. https://doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.1978.sp012546.
- Esposito, M.S., Capelli, P., and Arber, S. (2014). Brainstem nucleus MdV mediates skilled forelimb motor tasks. Nature 508, 351–356. https://doi. org/10.1038/nature13023.
- Ruder, L., Schina, R., Kanodia, H., Valencia-Garcia, S., Pivetta, C., and Arber, S. (2021). A functional map for diverse forelimb actions within brainstem circuitry. Nature 590, 445–450. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-020-03080-z.
- Turing, A.M. (1952). The chemical basis of morphogenesis. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 237, 37–72. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1952.0012.
- Wang, S., Garcia-Ojalvo, J., and Elowitz, M.B. (2022). Periodic spatial patterning with a single morphogen. Cell Syst. 13, 1033–1047.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2022.11.001.
- Moens, C.B., and Prince, V.E. (2002). Constructing the hindbrain: insights from the zebrafish. Dev. Dyn. 224, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy. 10086.
- Tümpel, S., Wiedemann, L.M., and Krumlauf, R. (2009). Chapter 8. Hox genes and segmentation of the vertebrate hindbrain. In Current Topics in Developmental Biology (Elsevier), pp. 103–137. https://doi.org/10. 1016/s0070-2153(09)88004-6.
- Kinkhabwala, A., Riley, M., Koyama, M., Monen, J., Satou, C., Kimura, Y., Higashijima, S., and Fetcho, J. (2011). A structural and functional ground plan for neurons in the hindbrain of zebrafish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 1164–1169. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1012185108.
- Jukam, D., and Desplan, C. (2010). Binary fate decisions in differentiating neurons. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 20, 6–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb. 2009.11.002.
- Wernet, M.F., Mazzoni, E.O., Çelik, A., Duncan, D.M., Duncan, I., and Desplan, C. (2006). Stochastic spineless expression creates the retinal mosaic for colour vision. Nature 440, 174–180. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature04615.
- 100. Voortman, L., Anderson, C., Urban, E., Yuan, L., Tran, S., Neuhaus-Follini, A., Derrick, J., Gregor, T., and Johnston, R.J. (2022). Temporally dynamic antagonism between transcription and chromatin compaction controls stochastic photoreceptor specification in flies. Dev. Cell *57*, 1817–1832.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2022.06.016.
- 101. Kimura, Y., Satou, C., and Higashijima, S. (2008). V2a and V2b neurons are generated by the final divisions of pair-producing progenitors in the zebrafish spinal cord. Development *135*, 3001–3005. https://doi.org/10. 1242/dev.024802.
- Bello-Rojas, S., and Bagnall, M.W. (2022). Clonally related, Notch-differentiated spinal neurons integrate into distinct circuits. Elife 11, e83680. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.09.26.509532.
- Collier, J.R., Monk, N.A., Maini, P.K., and Lewis, J.H. (1996). Pattern formation by lateral inhibition with feedback: a mathematical model of deltanotch intercellular signalling. J. Theor. Biol. *183*, 429–446. https://doi. org/10.1006/jtbi.1996.0233.
- 104. Langen, M., Koch, M., Yan, J., De Geest, N.D., Erfurth, M.L., Pfeiffer, B.D., Schmucker, D., Moreau, Y., and Hassan, B.A. (2013). Mutual inhibition among postmitotic neurons regulates robustness of brain wiring in Drosophila. eLife 2, e00337. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00337.
- 105. Al-Khindi, T., Sherman, M.B., Kodama, T., Gopal, P., Pan, Z., Kiraly, J.K., Zhang, H., Goff, L.A., du Lac, S., and Kolodkin, A.L. (2022). The transcription factor Tbx5 regulates direction-selective retinal ganglion cell development and image stabilization. Curr. Biol. 32, 4286–4298.e5. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.07.064.
- Kodama, T., Gittis, A.H., Shin, M., Kelleher, K., Kolkman, K.E., McElvain, L., Lam, M., and du Lac, S. (2020). Graded coexpression of ion channel, neurofilament, and synaptic genes in fast-spiking vestibular nucleus

- 107. Farnsworth, D.R., Saunders, L.M., and Miller, A.C. (2020). A single-cell transcriptome atlas for zebrafish development. Dev. Biol. 459, 100–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2019.11.008.
- Tambalo, M., Mitter, R., and Wilkinson, D.G. (2020). A single cell transcriptome atlas of the developing zebrafish hindbrain. Development 147, dev184143. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.184143.
- 109. Farrell, J.A., Wang, Y., Riesenfeld, S.J., Shekhar, K., Regev, A., and Schier, A.F. (2018). Single-cell reconstruction of developmental trajectories during zebrafish embryogenesis. Science 360, https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.aar3131.
- 110. Wagner, D.E., Weinreb, C., Collins, Z.M., Briggs, J.A., Megason, S.G., and Klein, A.M. (2018). Single-cell mapping of gene expression landscapes and lineage in the zebrafish embryo. Science 360, 981–987. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar4362.
- 111. Raj, B., Farrell, J.A., Liu, J., El Kholtei, J., Carte, A.N., Navajas Acedo, J., Du, L.Y., McKenna, A., Relić, Đ., Leslie, J.M., et al. (2020). Emergence of neuronal diversity during vertebrate brain development. Neuron 108, 1058–1074.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.09.023.
- 112. Li, H., Horns, F., Wu, B., Xie, Q., Li, J., Li, T., Luginbuhl, D.J., Quake, S.R., and Luo, L. (2017). Classifying drosophila olfactory projection neuron subtypes by single-cell RNA sequencing. Cell *171*, 1206–1220.e22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.019.
- Straka, H. (2010). Ontogenetic rules and constraints of vestibulo-ocular reflex development. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 20, 689–695. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.conb.2010.06.003.
- Clark, C., Austen, O., Poparic, I., and Guthrie, S. (2013). α2-chimaerin regulates a key axon guidance transition during development of the oculomotor projection. J. Neurosci. 33, 16540–16551. https://doi.org/10. 1523/JNEUROSCI.1869-13.2013.
- Straka, H., Fritzsch, B., and Glover, J.C. (2014). Connecting ears to eye muscles: evolution of a 'simple' reflex arc. Brain Behav. Evol. 83, 162–175. https://doi.org/10.1159/000357833.
- 116. Glover, J.C., and Fritzsch, B. (2022). Molecular mechanisms governing development of the hindbrain choroid plexus and auditory projection: A validation of the seminal observations of Wilhelm His. IBRO Neurosci. Rep. *13*, 306–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibneur.2022.09.011.
- 117. Wibble, T., Pansell, T., Grillner, S., and Pérez-Fernández, J. (2022). Conserved subcortical processing in visuo-vestibular gaze control. Nat. Commun. *13*, 4699. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32379-w.
- Hassan, B.A., and Hiesinger, P.R. (2015). Beyond molecular codes: simple rules to wire complex brains. Cell 163, 285–291. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2015.09.031.
- Glover, J.C. (2000). Neuroepithelial 'compartments' and the specification of vestibular projections. Prog. Brain Res. 124, 3–21. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0079-6123(00)24004-1.
- Syed, M.H., Mark, B., and Doe, C.Q. (2017). Steroid hormone induction of temporal gene expression in Drosophila brain neuroblasts generates neuronal and glial diversity. eLife 6, e26287. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife. 26287.
- Miyares, R.L., and Lee, T. (2019). Temporal control of Drosophila central nervous system development. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 56, 24–32. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.10.016.
- 122. Sagner, A., Zhang, I., Watson, T., Lazaro, J., Melchionda, M., and Briscoe, J. (2021). A shared transcriptional code orchestrates temporal patterning of the central nervous system. PLoS Biol. 19, e3001450. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001450.
- Isabella, A.J., Stonick, J.A., Dubrulle, J., and Moens, C.B. (2021). Intrinsic positional memory guides target-specific axon regeneration in the zebrafish vagus nerve. Development *148*, dev199706. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 199706.



- Doe, C.Q. (2017). Temporal patterning in the *Drosophila* CNS. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 33, 219–240. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-111315-125210.
- 125. Kishore, S., Cadoff, E.B., Agha, M.A., and McLean, D.L. (2020). Orderly compartmental mapping of premotor inhibition in the developing zebrafish spinal cord. Science 370, 431–436. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. abb4608.
- Jessell, T.M. (2000). Neuronal specification in the spinal cord: inductive signals and transcriptional codes. Nat. Rev. Genet. 1, 20–29. https:// doi.org/10.1038/35049541.
- Sürmeli, G., Akay, T., Ippolito, G.C., Tucker, P.W., and Jessell, T.M. (2011). Patterns of spinal sensory-motor connectivity prescribed by a dorsoventral positional template. Cell *147*, 653–665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011. 10.012.
- 128. Higashijima, S., Hotta, Y., and Okamoto, H. (2000). Visualization of cranial motor neurons in live transgenic zebrafish expressing green fluorescent protein under the control of the Islet-1 promoter/enhancer. J. Neurosci. 20, 206–218 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10627598.
- 129. Zolessi, F.R., Poggi, L., Wilkinson, C.J., Chien, C.B., and Harris, W.A. (2006). Polarization and orientation of retinal ganglion cells *in vivo*. Neural Dev. 1, 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/1749-8104-1-2.

- Kay, J.N., Finger-Baier, K.C., Roeser, T., Staub, W., and Baier, H. (2001). Retinal Ganglion Cell Genesis Requires Lakritz, a zebrafish atonal Homolog. Neuron 30, 725–736. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(01) 00312-9.
- Pittman, A.J., Law, M.Y., and Chien, C.B. (2008). Pathfinding in a large vertebrate axon tract: isotypic interactions guide retinotectal axons at multiple choice points. Development *135*, 2865–2871. https://doi.org/ 10.1242/dev.025049.
- 132. Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019.
- Hildebrand, D.G.C., Cicconet, M., Torres, R.M., Choi, W., Quan, T.M., Moon, J., Wetzel, A.W., Scott Champion, A.S., Graham, B.J., Randlett, O., et al. (2017). Whole-brain serial-section electron microscopy in larval zebrafish. Nature 545, 345–349. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22356.
- Jia, Y., and Bagnall, M.W. (2022). Monosynaptic targets of utricular afferents in the larval zebrafish. Front. Neurol. 13, 937054. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fneur.2022.937054.
- Meijering, E.H., Niessen, W.J., and Viergever, M.A. (2001). Quantitative evaluation of convolution-based methods for medical image interpolation. Med. Image Anal. 5, 111–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1361-8415(00)00040-2.





STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
Low melting point agarose	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat # 16520
Ethyl-3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester (MESAB)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # E10521
Pancuronium bromide	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat # P1918
Deposited data		
Raw and analyzed data	This study	http://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/MRG5C
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16)	Lacoste et al. ⁵⁷ ; Schoppik et al. ²⁸	ZFIN: ZDB-TGCONSTRC-151028-8
Tg(UAS-E1b:Kaede)	Scott et al. ⁵⁸	ZFIN: ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-070314-1
Tg(isl1:GFP)	Higashijima et al. ¹²⁸	ZFIN: ZDB-ALT-030919-2
Tg(UAS:GCaMP6s)	Chen et al. ⁶⁷	ZFIN: ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-140811-3
Tg(atoh7:gap43-RFP)	Zolessi et al. ¹²⁹	ZFIN: ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-070129-2
Tg(atoh7 ^{th241/th241})	Kay et al. ¹³⁰	ZFIN: ZDB-ALT-980203-363
Tg(rks ^{vo66/vo66})	Whitfield et al. ⁶⁸	ZFIN: ZDB-ALT-101123-1
Tg(-17.6isl2b:GFP)	Pittman et al. ¹³¹	ZFIN: ZDB-TGCONSTRCT-100322-2
Software and algorithms		
Fiji/ImageJ	Schindelin et al. ¹³²	RRID: SCR_02285
TransformJ	Meijering et al. ¹³³	https://imagescience.org/meijering/ software/transformj/
Adobe Illustrator (2021)	Adobe	RRID: SCR_010279
MATLAB 2020b	Mathworks	RRID: SCR_001622

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, David Schoppik (schoppik@gmail.com).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

- All data has been deposited at the Open Science Framework and is publicly available as of the date of publication. The DOI is listed in the key resources table.
- All original code has been deposited at the Open Science Framework and is publicly available as of the date of publication. The DOI is listed in the key resources table.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Fish care

All protocols and procedures involving zebrafish were approved by the New York University Langone School of Medicine Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee (IACUC). All larvae were raised at 28.5°C at a density of 20-50 larvae in 25-40 ml of buffered E3 (1mM HEPES added). Larvae used for photofill and birthdating experiments were raised in constant darkness; all other fish were raised on a standard 14/10h light/dark cycle. Larvae for experiments were between 1-5 days post-fertilization (dpf).



Transgenic lines

Experiments were conducted on the mifta-/- background to remove pigment. Photofill experiments were conducted on the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS-E1b:Kaede) background.^{28,57,58} Photofill localization at nlll/nlV was validated with the Tg(isl1:GFP) line.¹²⁸ Two-photon calcium imaging experiments were performed on the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS:GCaMP6s; atoh7:gap43-RFP) background.¹²⁹ Functional birthdating experiments used larvae from the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS:GCaMP6s; atoh7:gap43-RFP) background. SCAPE experiments used blind larvae from the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS:Kaede; UAS:GCaMP6s; atoh7:gap43-RFP) background. SCAPE experiments used blind larvae from the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS:Kaede; UAS:GCaMP6s; atoh7:gap43-RFP) background. SCAPE experiments used blind larvae from the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS:Kaede; UAS:GCaMP6s; atoh7:gap43-RFP) background. SCAPE experiments used blind larvae from the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS:Kaede; UAS:GCaMP6s; atoh7:gap43-RFP) background. SCAPE experiments used blind larvae from the Tg(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS:Kaede; UAS:GCaMP6s; atoh7:gap43-RFP) background. SCAPE experiments used blind larvae used were selected for brightness of fluorescence relative to siblings. Mendelian ratios were observed, supporting that selected larvae were homozygous for a given allele. To assay loss of the utricular otolith, we used the rks^{vo66/vo66} rock solo otogelin knockout.⁶⁸ To label the VIIIth nerve, we used Tg(-17.6is/2b:GFP).¹³¹

METHOD DETAILS

Confocal imaging

Larvae were anesthetized in 0.2 mg/mL ethyl-3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester (MESAB, Sigma-Aldrich E10521, St. Louis, MO) prior to imaging except where noted. Larvae were mounted dorsal side-up (axial view) or lateral side-up (sagittal view) in 2% low-melting point agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific 16520) in E3. Images were collected on a Zeiss LSM800 confocal microscope with a 20x water-immersion objective (Zeiss W Plan-Apochromat 20x/1.0). All imaging windows were 266x133 µm. Anatomy stacks of the tangential vestibular nucleus spanned approximately 50 µm in depth, sampled every micron. Raw image stacks were analyzed using Fiji/ImageJ.¹³²

Retrograde photolabeling of ascending projection neuron somata

Retrograde photolabeling experiments were performed to define the anatomical boundaries of projection neuron somata and generate a reference spatial framework (STAR Methods section "spatial registration of imaged neurons"). Ascending projection neurons from the tangential vestibular nucleus were visualized using the Tq(-6.7Tru.Hcrtr2:GAL4-VP16; UAS-E1b:Kaede) line. Kaede is a photolabile protein that irreversibly converts from green to red with ultraviolet light.⁵⁶ To prevent background photoconversions, all larvae were raised in darkness. Photoconversions were performed using a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM800) 5 dpf larvae were anesthetized and mounted axially in 2% low-melt agarose. Ascending projections were identified using the ascending bundle of axonal arbors off the medial longitudinal fasciculus at nIII/nIV.28 Localization was validated in separate experiments on the Tq(isI1:GFP) background, which labels nIII and nIV ocular motor neurons.¹²⁸ An imaging window was centered on a single hemisphere over the midbrain-hindbrain boundary between nlll and nlV, just lateral to the medial longitudinal fasciculus. The area was repeatedly scanned with a 405 nm laser for 20-30 seconds until fully converted. Immediately after, the same plane was imaged to assess for off-target photoconversion (e.g., conversion of axonal bundles lateral to the medial longitudinal fasciculus). Larvae were unmounted and left to recover in E3 in darkness for approximately four hours to permit converted (red) Kaede to retrogradely diffuse to the somata. Photofilled fish were then re-anesthetized and mounted laterally and then axially. An imaging window was centered over hindbrain rhombomeres 4-6. Photofilled somata were identifiable by their center-surround fluorescence appearance: red converted cytoplasm, surrounding a green unconverted nucleus. Retrograde photolabeling experiments were performed in N=3 larvae.

Optical tagging of neurons by birthdate

Neuronal somata and axons were optically tagged by their time of terminal differentiation using whole-embryo Kaede photoconversions.⁵⁶ All larvae were raised in darkness to prevent background photoconversion. Whole embryos were photoconverted for five minutes at experimenter-defined timepoints. Photoconversions were performed using a custom-built apparatus with a 405 nm LED bulb. At 5 dpf, anesthetized larvae were imaged on a confocal microscope. Only neurons born before the time of photoconversion contained converted (red) Kaede. These neurons sometimes also contained unconverted (green) Kaede, reflecting the continued production of new Kaede protein between the time of conversion and the time of imaging. Neurons that were born after the time of conversion contained only green (unconverted) Kaede. For each experiment, basal conversion was estimated by imaging control larvae raised in darkness until confocal imaging. Conversion was not observed in these larvae.

Somatic birthdating experiments

Whole embryos were birthdated at an experimenter-defined developmental stage: 22, 24, 28, 30, 33, 36, 42, or 48 hpf. Each embryo was converted at only one timepoint. n=5 hemispheres from at least N=3 separate larvae were analyzed for each timepoint between 22-42 hpf, and n=3 hemispheres from N=3 larvae were analyzed for the 48 hpf timepoint. For each timepoint, we quantified the ratio of the number of converted neurons to the total number of neurons in that hemisphere.

Tonic and impulse pitch-tilt stimuli

All experiments were performed on 5 dpf larvae (Transgenic lines). Stimulation paradigms were presented as previously reported in Hamling et al.⁵⁴ and are described again below. Larvae were paralyzed using bath application of 0.8 mg/mL pancuronium bromide (Sigma-Aldrich P1918, St. Louis, MO) for 8-10 minutes. Larvae were then mounted dorsal-up in 2% low-melt agarose in E3 in the





center of the mirror on a large beam diameter single-axis scanning galvanometer system (ThorLabs GVS011, 10 mm 1D). The driver board was set to a mechanical position signal input factor of 0.5 V per degree, for a maximum mechanical scan angle of \pm 20.0°. Inputs of \pm 9.5 were delivered to the galvanometer to drive \pm 19° rotations in the pitch (up/down) axis.

Tonic pitch-tilt stimuli were delivered over a 65-second period. The stimulus began with an initial five-second baseline at a horizontal (0°) imaging plane, with the tangential vestibular nucleus in view. Subsequently, an instantaneous (4 ms) step was delivered to rotate the larvae -19° away from horizontal. This eccentric position was held for 15 seconds before delivering a (4 ms) horizontal restoration step, held again for 15 seconds. This process was then repeated for the nose-up position (+19°). Nose-down (-19°) stimuli were always presented first, followed by the nose-up (+19°) rotation. A neuron's directional response was defined as the change in GCaMP6s fluorescence in the first second of the return to horizontal. To control for presentation order effects, separate experiments with an inverted presentation order were performed on one larva (n=66 neurons). No significant differences were observed between these responses and all other neuronal responses.

Impulse rotations were delivered over a similar 65-second period. Larvae were presented with two sets of impulse stimuli. Each impulse was 10 ms in duration. The first impulse set contained a 4 ms downwards (-19°) rotation, a 2 ms hold, and a 4 ms restoration step to horizontal. The second impulse step contained a similar 4 ms rotation upwards (+19°), a 2 ms hold, and then a 4 ms restoration step. Each impulse was followed by a 30-second imaging window at horizontal. Impulse delivery was presented just before the equivalent restoration to horizontal in the tonic stimulus (20 and 50 seconds, respectively) to facilitate comparison of the impulse contribution to the tonic restoration step.

Tonic and impulse rotations were presented in alternating stimulus sets. Impulse rotations were always presented first, followed by tonic rotations. Three stimulus repeats (six total trials) were presented for each imaged plane for two-photon experiments, and ten trial sets (20 total trials) for SCAPE experiments.

Two-photon calcium imaging

Two-photon calcium imaging experiments were conducted using a 20x water immersion objective (Olympus XLUMPLFLN20xW 20x/1.0). The GCaMP6s calcium indicator was excitepd using an infrared laser (SpectraPhysics MaiTai HP) at 920 nm using approximately 6.1-18.8 mW of power, measured at the specimen with a power meter (ThorLabs S130C). Stimulus imaging was conducted using ThorLabs LS 3.0 software. All experiments took place in the dark

Sighted 5 dpf larvae were paralyzed and mounted dorsal-up on a large beam diameter galvanometer as described above. Imaging windows were centered over rhombomeres 4-6 with both the tangential vestibular nucleus and the Mauthner neuron in view. In larvae on the *Tg(isl1:GFP)* background, GFP brightness oversaturated the photomultiplier tube in ventral planes. For these experiments, each hemisphere was imaged separately, and ventral planes were imaged with higher magnification (112x68 µm imaging window) than dorsal planes (148x91 µm imaging window). All other larvae were imaged using 205x127 µm imaging windows, with both hemispheres of the tangential vestibular nucleus in view.

An anatomical image stack of the tangential nucleus was acquired for each fish at 1 frame/second (5.2 μ s pixel dwell time). Anatomy stacks spanned approximately 50 μ m in depth, sampled every micron. These stacks were used to determine stimulus imaging planes. Efforts were made to sample the entire nucleus for each fish. Typically, this required sampling 6-10 planes, spaced 3-6 μ m apart based on cell density. The brightness of the calcium indicator increased from the ventral- to dorsal-most neurons in a highly-stereotyped manner. Laser power was correspondingly adjusted for each plane, such that greater power (18.8 mW) was used for ventral planes compared to dorsal (6.1 mW) planes. To control for potential photobleaching effects, the nucleus was always sampled from the ventral to dorsal direction. All stimulus imaging experiments were performed at 3 frames/second (2.2 μ s pixel dwell time). Total experiment time lasted approximately two hours per fish.

Otogelin mutant imaging and analysis

Experiments were performed on sighted 5 dpf larvae (Transgenic lines). Null otogelin mutants and sibling controls (non-phenotypic) were identified by the absence or presence of the utricular otoliths, respectively, at 5 dpf. Stimuli were delivered to three null mutants and three sibling controls under a two-photon microscope as previously described. Projection neuron responses were analyzed as previously described. 11 neurons (mutant: n=3 neurons; control: n=8 neurons) were excluded due to technical issues in imaging, leaving 183 neurons from null mutants and 144 neurons from sibling controls for further analysis (n=63 \pm 9 neurons per mutant fish, n=54 \pm 2 neurons per sibling fish, across both hemispheres). All neurons were analyzed, regardless of whether they exhibited a significant response to either stimulus. Responses were quantified as the distribution of the maximum change in fluorescence to the preferred (tonic) direction. Significant differences between the null and control distributions were assessed using a two-sample, one-tailed KS test.

VIIIth nerve lesions, imaging, and analysis

Experiments were performed on a two-photon microscope using sighted 5 dpf larvae (Transgenic lines). Projection neurons were imaged before and after uni-lateral lesions of both the anterior and posterior semicircular canal branches of the VIIIth nerve. To obtain a pre-lesion baseline, paralyzed larvae were mounted dorsal-up (axially) and presented with tonic and impulse stimuli as described previously. Larvae were then re-mounted lateral side-up (sagittally) to visualize the VIIIth nerve. Lesions were targeted to the anterior and posterior semicircular canal branches of the VIIIth nerve, approximately 20-30 µm from the cristae. Lesions were performed using a pulsed infrared laser (SpectraPhysics Spirit 8W) at 1040 nm (400 fs pulse duration, 4 pulses per cell over 10 ms) at 25-75 nJ per



pulse. Larvae were immediately imaged to confirm the extent of the lesion. Larvae were left to recover for ten minutes, re-mounted axially, and then imaged again following tonic and impulse stimuli presentation.

Neurons were only analyzed if they were identifiable in both pre- and post-lesion imaging. This left us with 62 control neurons (N=4 larvae) and 56 lesioned neurons (N=4 larvae). For impulse response analyses, neurons were only analyzed if they were impulse-responsive in the pre-lesion imaging condition (n=29 control neurons, n=33 lesioned neurons). Analyses were performed on the maximum change in fluorescence in the first second of the response following tonic and impulse rotations. All statistical analyses were conducted using a one-tailed, two-sample KS test.

Functional birthdating

Experiments were performed on sighted larvae (Transgenic lines). Embryos were birthdated at 30, 36, 42, or 48 hpf as previously described. At 4 dpf, larvae were imaged on a confocal microscope to identify neurons that were born by the time of photoconversion (red, converted Kaede; early-born) or born after the time of photoconversion (green, unconverted Kaede only; late-born). Notably, late-born (green Kaede) neurons were clearly distinguishable from GCaMP6s-positive neurons given the differential localization of each fluorophore (whole-cell vs. nucleus-excluded, respectively). To avoid possible effects of an anesthetic on subsequent measures of calcium activity, larvae were not anesthetized for confocal imaging. We validated that the spatial distributions of projection neurons were constant between 4 dpf and 5 dpf by mapping neurons from five non-birthdated reference stacks from each age. No significant differences were observed between these distributions. Larvae were left to recover overnight in E3 in normal light/dark conditions.

Two-photon calcium imaging was performed at 5 dpf on paralyzed larvae. To spectrally separate green GCaMP6s from unconverted (green) Kaede, larvae were photoconverted for five minutes on our 405 nm LED apparatus to ubiquitously convert all Kaede to red. Tonic and impulse rotations were presented as previously described. Two channels (green and red) were imaged to facilitate localization of Kaede-positive neurons. Only Kaede-positive neurons were analyzed further. We manually registered the confocal stack for each imaged hemisphere to our reference framework as previously described. The coordinates and birth status (born before/born after the time of conversion) were recorded for each neuron. Neurons were then identified in the appropriate sampled stimulus plane. This permitted alignment of a given neuron's spatiotemporal developmental properties with its functional identity and rotation response features. We analyzed a total of n=74 neurons from N=10 larvae birthdated at 30 hpf; n=376 neurons from N=7 larvae birthdated at 36 hpf; n=264 neurons from N=5 larvae birthdated at 42 hpf; and n=41 neurons from N=10 larvae birthdated at 48 hpf.

Swept, confocally-aligned planar excitation (SCAPE) calcium imaging and analysis

Volumetric calcium imaging experiments were performed using a custom-built SCAPE 2.0 microscope design⁴⁹ with a 20x water immersion objective (Olympus XLUMPLFLN20xW 20x/1.0). Experiments were performed on blind 5 dpf larvae (Transgenic Lines). Paralyzed larvae were mounted dorsal-up (axial) on a large beam diameter galvanometer and imaged in an oblique (approximately 26°) coronal view. A 179x896 μ m imaging window was centered over the tangential vestibular nucleus. Each volume spanned approximately 50 μ m in the rostrocaudal axis, sampled every 3 μ m. The rostral- and caudal-most extent was identified using the facial nucleus (nVII) and the Mauthner neuron, respectively. A high-resolution anatomical image stack was acquired at a rate of approximately 130 frames/ second (5 volumes per second).

Larvae were presented with ten stimulus repeats (20 total trials) of the tonic and impulse stimuli as previously described. Two modifications were made to this stimulus: i) the initial baseline imaging period was extended to 15 seconds, and ii) nose-up and nose-down tilt presentation order was randomized each trial. The total experiment time per fish was approximately one hour. A second high-resolution anatomical image stack was acquired after stimulus imaging to assess for photobleaching effects. No significant photobleaching was observed.

Electron microscopy

Serial section electron microscopy images were obtained as described.⁵⁵ Briefly, an ultrathin (60 nm) section library of the entire zebrafish head was initially imaged at 18.8x18.8x60 nm³ per voxel and 56.4x56.4x60 nm³ per voxel. All myelinated axons, including those from utricular and semicircular canal afferents, were reconstructed.¹³³ A volume on the right side of the head, including the utricular hair cells, afferents, and most of the vestibular brainstem, was later reimaged at 4.0 × 4.0 × 60 nm³ per voxel, allowing identification of synaptic contacts between vestibular afferents and their brainstem targets.

Projection neurons of the tangential nucleus were identified by three criteria: soma position caudal to the vestibular nerve; axonal projections that cross the midline and begin to ascend²⁷; and the presence of synaptic contacts from either utricular or canal afferents. Several putative projection neurons are not included here because their axons, which exit the high-resolution reimaged territory, could not be followed adequately; these neurons are described elsewhere.¹³⁴ Therefore, the dataset is biased towards early-myelinated tangential neurons.

Each projection neuron, utricular afferent, and canal afferent was reconstructed as fully as possible. Canal afferents exited the high-resolution reimaged volume, and therefore could only be fully reconstructed if they were myelinated, whereas all utricular afferents were reconstructed. Onto projection neurons, there were no contacts from horizontal (medial) canal afferents, 89 synaptic contacts from anterior or posterior canal afferents, and 104 contacts from utricular afferents. Directional tuning of canal afferents was





assumed based on canal identity (anterior canal: nose down; posterior canal: nose up). Directional tuning of utricular afferents was computed as a weighted vector sum of the hair cells forming ribbon synapses with each afferent.⁵⁵

Axon birthdating

Birthdating was performed as previously described. Whole embryos were converted at 36 hpf. At 3 dpf, anesthetized larvae were mounted lateral side-up (sagitally) to facilitate visualization of the dorsoventral separation between axon tracts. Axons were identified as dorsal-projecting based on location in the medial longitudinal fasciculus, and if they exhibited the characteristic bundle of axonal arbors off of nIV.²⁸ We analyzed at least one hemisphere from three separate larvae.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Classification and analysis of two-photon calcium responses to tonic and impulse rotations

We sampled 153 planes from 22 larvae. Sampling planes were pre-processed using Fiji/ImageJ.¹³² For each plane, polygonal regions of interest (ROI) were drawn around all projection neuron somata visible in a maximum intensity projection of the first stimulus trial. To correct for minor $(1-2 \mu m)$ inter-trial movement, ROI positions were manually adjusted in the XY axes. 37 planes were excluded due to excessive movement that caused more than one neuron to appear within an ROI. Raw fluorescence traces from polygon ROIs were extracted using Matlab R2020b (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) and normalized by ROI size to account for variation in imaging window size. A neuron's response was defined as the fluorescence values over the 15-second horizontal imaging window following an eccentric rotation.

To standardize responses across stimulus repeats, neurons, and larvae, all fluorescence responses were normalized by a baseline period. Some fluorescence responses remained elevated above baseline at the end of an imaging window; we accounted for this elevation in the following way, with post-hoc validation: The baseline for the static nose-down response was defined as the mean fluorescence value of the initial five-second horizontal imaging window for that trial. The baseline for the static nose-up response was defined as the mean fluorescence value of the last three seconds of the nose-down response. For impulse rotations, the baseline was defined as the mean fluorescence value of the five seconds immediately preceding the rotation. Change in fluorescence (Δ F/F) was quantified by subtracting the appropriate baseline from each frame of the response and then normalizing by the appropriate baseline. To validate that using different baseline periods did not account for differential nose-up and nose-down response properties, all analyses were repeated for the n=66 neurons presented with an inverted directional rotation order. These analyses did not significantly change any conclusions.

We analyzed the calcium responses of 694 unique neurons. 120 neurons were excluded due to technical issues encountered during imaging. This included larvae becoming loose from the agarose, causing excessive, non-stimulus locked positional movement and response jitter. This also included loss of water between the specimen and the objective, which caused significant decreases in fluorescence brightness between trials due to the air/water interface. We excluded an additional 57 dorso-caudal cells located outside the spatial bounds of the nucleus, as defined from retrograde photofill experiments. This left us with 517 neurons for further analysis.

Each neuron's raw fluorescence and Δ F/F timecourses of the tonic and impulse responses were manually inspected. Neurons exhibited one of three response patterns to tonic tilts: i) transient excitation that peaked in the first second and then decayed, ii) recovery from suppression, which frequently increased to an above-baseline value, or iii) no response. Only transient excitation was observed in response to impulse stimuli. We manually classified each neuron's response pattern to each directional stimulus. To control for bias in manual classification, we only analyzed excitatory transients further if, for at least two trials, the mean raw fluorescence response in the first second was at least two standard deviations greater than baseline. 36 neurons produced clear excitatory transient responses with peaks below baseline, likely due to high background noise, and were excluded from further analysis. All subsequent analyses were conducted on the mean Δ F/F response across all stimulus repeats.

We assigned a nose-up or nose-down identity based on the direction that produced stronger response. To quantify the strength of directional tuning, we defined a directionality index. The directionality index normalized the difference in Δ F/F responses to the up and down rotations by their sum. Thus, negative directionality index values represented stronger nose-down responses (maximum of 1), positive values indicated stronger nose-up responses (minumum of -1), and a value of zero indicated equal nose-up and nose-down responses. To distinguish neurons with a clear directional preference from those with no preference, we set a qualitative threshold at 0.1, which represents a 22% or greater difference in relative response strength. All conclusions remained constant when eliminating or doubling this threshold. Here, 10 neurons were excluded because they produced inconsistent directional responses across stimulus repeats.

We quantified several additional response properties. The coefficient of variation across stimulus repeats was quantified as a ratio of the mean Δ F/F response across stimulus repeats to their standard deviation. Response strength was quantified using two metrics: the mean and integral of the Δ F/F response in the first second after the return to horizontal. The integral was estimated using the trapezoidal method with unit spacing. Response mean and integral were highly correlated (r=0.9971; p < 0.001); therefore, only response mean was used for further analyses. Neuron response strength was illustrated by normalizing each neuron's mean Δ F/F response against the maximum Δ F/F response observed for that subtype. Lastly, to estimate the proportion of the tonic response that may originate from the rapid (4 ms) restoration step, we subtracted the impulse Δ F/F response from the tonic Δ F/F response to generate a "residual." To calculate the fraction of the tonic response that may be explained by the impulse step, we



quantified the ratio of the residual response to the original tonic response. Lastly, some neurons exhibited slight directional tuning to impulse rotations (mean directional strength = 0.30 ± 0.26). Therefore, impulse responses to each impulse set (up/down, then down/up) were analyzed separately.

Spatial registration of imaged neurons

All imaged neurons were manually registered to a reference coordinate framework in Adobe Illustrator (2021). The reference framework was generated from the maximum intensity projections (MIPs) of three retrogradely-photofilled tangential vestibular nuclei, imaged on a confocal microscope. MIPs were aligned using stereotyped anatomical landmarks such as the Mauthner neuron cell body, the medial longitudinal fasciculus, and the otic capsule. MIPs for all anatomical image stacks were manually registered to this reference framework. To control for potential bias in manual registration, all registrations were verified by two independent observers (D.G. and S.H.). To control for differences in digital magnification across microscopes and larvae, all stacks were uniformly scaled to the resolution of the reference stacks (4.8 pixels/ µm).

Empirically, we observed that projection neuron somata were \sim 5 µm in diameter. To simplify localization, each anatomical image stack was uniformly subdivided into eight, 5 µm thick (dorsoventral) planes, such that each neuron would appear in no more than two planes. Subdivisions were assessed for consistency using distinct anatomical landmarks. Ventral planes (z3-z5) always included the Mauthner cell body. The dorsoventral midpoint (z4-z5) appeared in the same plane as the mediolateral midpoint: dorsal neurons were exclusively medial to the midpoint, and ventral neurons were exclusively lateral. The dorsomedial-most neurons in the core of the nucleus were always present in the second-most dorsal plane (z7). All subdivisions were verified by two independent observers (D.G. and S.H.). Each dorsoventral subdivision was manually registered to the MIP for that nucleus.

Neurons were manually localized to a dorsoventral subdivision based on the plane in which the soma fluorescence was brightest (soma center). Reference neurons, represented as circles approximately the diameter of a neuron, were centered over the soma in the appropriate subdivision in Illustrator. The dorsoventral subdivision and the rostrocaudal (X) and mediolateral (Y) Illustrator coordinates were manually recorded for each neuron. For standardization, their XY coordinates were normalized by subtraction from an absolute (0,0) point. This point was defined as the upper left corner of a rectangular bound, which was drawn over the extent of the photofilled tangential vestibular nucleus. Standardized coordinates were then used to recreate a spatial map of neurons imaged across all fish in Matlab (R2020b), and to link a given neuron's spatial coordinates with its functional properties. 363/467 imaged neurons were mapped.

Statistical analysis of spatial organization

Spatial organization was evaluated in two ways: i) across the entire tangential vestibular nucleus, and ii) with respect to each spatial axis separately. Global organization (three-dimensional coordinates) was evaluated using a one-way, multivariate analysis of variance test. Organization in each individual axis was evaluated using a two-tailed, two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test. To assess whether spatial organization was due to chance, we randomly permuted the feature of interest (e.g., up/down subtype) for all neurons while preserving the observed occurrence of that feature and spatial coordinates. 100 permuted distributions were generated and statistical analyses repeated. No significant effect was ever observed in permuted distributions.

Qualitatively, we observed that the spatial separation between nose-up/nose-down subtypes was clearest in a pseudo-sagittal view of the tangential vestibular nucleus, though all confocal and two-photon images were acquired in an axial view. To determine the optimal sagittal view, we tested a set of affine transformations. 26,571 combinations of azimuth and elevation values were tested. The optimal combination (azimuth = -26.7, elevation = 51.9) was defined as that which maximized the spatial separation between nose-up and nose-down subtypes across all three spatial axes, per two-sample KS tests. All reconstructions of birthdated and two-photon neuron soma position are shown as affine-transformed coordinates. All statistical analyses were performed on raw (non-transformed) coordinates.

Analysis of SCAPE images

SCAPE images were analyzed on a per-pixel basis. For each pixel, we computed the mean change in fluorescence of the first second (5 volumes) of each stimulus response relative to the initial 15-second baseline period for a given trial. The mean change in fluorescence was averaged across all ten stimulus repeats for the tonic and impulse stimuli separately. A directionality index was computed as previously described. Each pixel was then pseudo-colored according to the direction that evoked the greater change in fluorescence, as determined by the directionality index. Color intensity was scaled such that the maximum intensity corresponded to the maximum directionality index value for that subtype.

To mirror the sagittal orientation of the two-photon and confocal datasets, SCAPE images were rotated 90° around the Y-axis with interpolation. To correct the oblique angle of the SCAPE laser, an affine transformation was performed using the TransformJ plugin¹³⁵ in ImageJ and the following transformation matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.707 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.707 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$





Quantification of post-synaptic axonal varicosity growth

Axo-somatic varicosities were quantified as a proxy for synapses.⁷³ Motor neuron soma were classified as dorsal or ventral based on their position relative to the appearance of nIV.⁸ Anesthetized larvae were mounted dorsal-up and imaged on a confocal microscope between 50-90 hpf. Larvae were imaged no more than three times to minimize photobleaching. Varicosities were qualitatively defined as 1 μ m axo-somatic spheres. We observed approximately three times as many varicosities onto all dorsal motor neurons than to ventral neurons, in line with the differential densities of nIII and nIV.⁸ Therefore, for each timepoint, varicosity growth was quantified as a percentage of the mean number of varicosities observed at 90 hpf.