



DOI: 10.1515/ms-2021-0010 Math. Slovaca **71** (2021), No. 3, 649–654

HANKEL DETERMINANTS OF SECOND AND THIRD ORDER FOR THE CLASS \mathcal{S} OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Milutin Obradović* — Nikola Tuneski**, **c**

(Communicated by Stanisława Kanas)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give the upper bounds of the Hankel determinants of the second and third order for the class S of univalent functions in the unit disc.

©2021 Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions f that are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ of the form $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$ and let \mathcal{S} be the class of univalent functions in the unit disc \mathbb{D} . Let \mathcal{S}^* and \mathcal{K} denote the subclasses of \mathcal{A} which are starlike and convex in \mathbb{D} , respectively, and let \mathcal{U} denote the set of all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ in \mathbb{D} satisfying the condition

$$\left| \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^2 f'(z) - 1 \right| < 1 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

(see [5-7]).

The *qth* Hankel determinant for a function f from \mathcal{A} is defined for $q \ge 1$, and $n \ge 1$ by

	a_n	a_{n+1}	 a_{n+q-1}	
$H_q(n) =$	a_{n+1}	a_{n+2}	 a_{n+q}	
	÷	:	÷	•
	a_{n+q-1}	a_{n+q}	 a_{n+2q-2}	

Thus, the second Hankel determinant is

$$H_2(2) = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 \tag{1}$$

and the third is

$$H_3(1) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_3 & a_4 & a_5 \end{vmatrix} = a_3(a_2a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2a_3) + a_5(a_3 - a_2^2).$$

The concept of Hankel determinant finds its application in the theory of singularities (see [1]) and in the study of power series with integral coefficients.

For some subclasses of the class S of univalent functions the sharp estimation of $|H_2(2)|$ are known. For example, for the classes S^* and \mathcal{U} we have that $|H_2(2)| \leq 1$ (see [3,8]), while $|H_2(2)| \leq \frac{1}{8}$ for the class \mathcal{K} ([3]). Finding sharp estimates of the third order Hankel determinant turns out to be more complicated, so very few are known. An overview of results on the upper

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50, 30C55.

Keywords: univalent, Hankel determinant of second order, Hankel determinant of third order.

 $^{{}^{\}mathbf{c}}$ Corresponding author.

M. OBRADOVIĆ - N. TUNESKI

bound of $|H_3(1)|$ can be found in [10], while new non-sharp upper bounds for different classes and conjectures about the sharp ones are given in [9].

In this paper we give an upper bound of $|H_2(2)|$ and $|H_3(1)|$ for the class S. Namely, we have:

THEOREM 1. For the class S we have

$$|H_2(2)| \le A$$
, where $1 \le A \le \frac{11}{3} = 3,66...$

and

$$|H_3(1)| \le B$$
, where $\frac{4}{9} \le B \le \frac{32 + \sqrt{285}}{15} = 3.258796...$

Proof. In the proof of this theorem we will use mainly the notations and results given in the book of N. A. Lebedev ([4]).

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and let

$$\log \frac{f(t) - f(z)}{t - z} = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \omega_{p,q} t^p z^q,$$

where $\omega_{p,q}$ are called Grunsky's coefficients with property $\omega_{p,q} = \omega_{q,p}$. For those coefficients we have the next Grunsky's inequality ([2,4]):

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q \left| \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \omega_{p,q} x_p \right|^2 \le \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_p|^2}{p},\tag{2}$$

where x_p are arbitrary complex numbers such that last series converges.

Further, it is well-known that if

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$$
(3)

belongs to \mathcal{S} , then also

$$f_2(z) = \sqrt{f(z^2)} = z + c_3 + c_5 z^5 + \dots$$

belongs to the class S. Then for the function f_2 we have the appropriate Grunsky's coefficients of the form $\omega_{2p-1,2q-1}^{(2)}$ and the inequality (2) has the form

$$\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} (2q-1) \left| \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \omega_{2p-1,2q-1}^{(2)} x_{2p-1} \right|^2 \le \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_{2p-1}|^2}{2p-1}.$$
 (4)

As it has been shown in [4: p. 57], if f is given by (3) then the coefficients a_2 , a_3 , a_4 and a_5 are expressed by Grunsky's coefficients $\omega_{2p-1,2q-1}^{(2)}$ of the function f_2 given by (3) in the following way (in the next text we omit upper index 2 in $\omega_{2p-1,2q-1}^{(2)}$):

$$a_{2} = 2\omega_{11},$$

$$a_{3} = 2\omega_{13} + 3\omega_{11}^{2},$$

$$a_{4} = 2\omega_{33} + 8\omega_{11}\omega_{13} + \frac{10}{3}\omega_{11}^{3}$$

$$a_{5} = 2\omega_{35} + 8\omega_{11}\omega_{33} + 5\omega_{15}^{2} + 18\omega_{11}^{2}\omega_{13} + \frac{7}{3}\omega_{11}^{4}$$

$$0 = 3\omega_{15} - 3\omega_{11}\omega_{13} + \omega_{11}^{3} - 3\omega_{33}.$$
(5)

650

Now, from (1) and (5), we have

$$H_2(2) = 4\omega_{11}\omega_{33} + 4\omega_{11}^2\omega_{13} - 4\omega_{13}^2 - \frac{7}{3}\omega_{11}^4$$

= $4\omega_{11}\omega_{33} - \frac{4}{3}\omega_{11}^4 - (2\omega_{13} - \omega_{11}^2)^2$,

and from here

$$|H_2(2)| \le 4|\omega_{11}||\omega_{33}| + \frac{4}{3}|\omega_{11}|^4 + |2\omega_{13} - \omega_{11}^2|^2.$$
(6)

Since for the class S we have $|a_3 - a_2^2| \le 1$ (see [2]) and since from (5)

$$|2\omega_{13} - \omega_{11}^2| = |a_3 - a_2^2|,$$

then

$$|2\omega_{13} - \omega_{11}^2| \le 1.$$
(7)

On the other hand, from (4) for $x_{2p-1} = 0$, $p = 3, 4, \ldots$, we have

$$|\omega_{11}x_1 + \omega_{31}x_3|^2 + 3|\omega_{13}x_1 + \omega_{33}x_3|^2 \le |x_1|^2 + \frac{|x_3|^2}{3}.$$
(8)

From (8) for $x_1 = 1$, $x_3 = 0$ and since $\omega_{31} = \omega_{13}$, we have

$$|\omega_{11}|^2 + 3|\omega_{13}|^2 \le 1$$

which implies

$$|\omega_{13}|^2 \le \frac{1}{3}(1 - |\omega_{11}|^2).$$
(9)

Also, for $x_1 = 0$, $x_3 = 1$ we obtain

$$|\omega_{31}|^2 + 3|\omega_{33}|^2 \le \frac{1}{3}$$

and so

$$\omega_{33}| \le \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{1-3|\omega_{31}|^2} \le \frac{1}{3}.$$
(10)

Finally, from (6), (7), (9) and (10), we have

$$|H_2(2)| \le \frac{4}{3}|\omega_{11}| + \frac{4}{3}|\omega_{11}|^4 + 1 \le \frac{11}{3},$$

because from (5) we have that

$$|a_2| = |2\omega_{11}| \le 2 \implies |\omega_{11}| \le 1.$$

Since S^* and \mathcal{U} are both subsets of S with 1 as a sharp upper bound of $|H_2(2)|$, we have that on the class S, $|H_2(2)| \ge 1$.

As for Hankel determinant of the third order, by using (5), we can write

$$H_{3}(1) = a_{3}(a_{2}a_{4} - a_{3}^{2}) - a_{4}(a_{4} - a_{2}a_{3}) + a_{5}(a_{3} - a_{2}^{2})$$

$$= -8\omega_{13}^{3} + 2\omega_{11}^{4}\omega_{13} + \frac{8}{3}\omega_{11}^{3}\omega_{33} - 4\omega_{33}^{2} - \frac{4}{9}\omega_{11}^{6}$$

$$+ 4\omega_{13}\omega_{35} + 10\omega_{13}\omega_{15}^{2} - 5\omega_{11}^{2}\omega_{15}^{2} - 2\omega_{11}^{2}\omega_{35}$$

$$= -2\omega_{13}\left(4\omega_{13}^{2} - \omega_{11}^{4}\right) - \left(2\omega_{33} - \frac{2}{3}\omega_{11}^{3}\right)^{2} + (2\omega_{35} + 5\omega_{15}^{2})(2\omega_{13} - \omega_{11}^{2}),$$

651

and from here

$$|H_{3}(1)| \leq \underbrace{2|\omega_{13}| |4\omega_{13}^{2} - \omega_{11}^{4}|}_{B_{1}} + \underbrace{|2\omega_{33} - \frac{2}{3}\omega_{11}^{3}|^{2}}_{B_{2}} + \underbrace{|2\omega_{35} + 5\omega_{15}^{2}||(2\omega_{13} - \omega_{11}^{2})|}_{B_{3}} = B_{1} + B_{2} + B_{3}.$$

By using the relations (7) and (9), we obtain

$$B_{1} = 2|\omega_{13}| |2\omega_{13} - \omega_{11}^{2}| |2\omega_{13} + \omega_{11}^{2}| \leq 2|\omega_{13}| |2\omega_{13} + \omega_{11}^{2}| \leq 2|\omega_{13}| (2|\omega_{13}| + |\omega_{11}|^{2}) = 4|\omega_{13}|^{2} + 2|\omega_{13}||\omega_{11}|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{3} \left[2 \left(1 - |\omega_{11}|^{2} \right) + \sqrt{3}|\omega_{11}|^{2} \sqrt{1 - |\omega_{11}|^{2}} = : \frac{2}{3} \varphi(|\omega_{11}|^{2}),$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = 2(1-t) + \sqrt{3t}\sqrt{1-t}, \qquad 0 \le t \le 1$$

It is easily to show that the function φ decreases on (0,1) and has maximum $\varphi(0) = 2$, which implies

$$B_1 \le \frac{2}{3}\varphi(0) = \frac{4}{3}.$$
 (11)

From the last equation in the relation (5), we have

$$2\omega_{33} - \frac{2}{3}\omega_{11}^3 = 2\omega_{15} - 2\omega_{11}\omega_{13},$$

and from here

$$\left|2\omega_{33} - \frac{2}{3}\omega_{11}^{3}\right| \le 2|\omega_{15}| + 2|\omega_{11}||\omega_{13}|.$$
(12)

Similarly as in (8), we have

$$|\omega_{11}x_1 + \omega_{31}x_3|^2 + 3|\omega_{13}x_1 + \omega_{33}x_3|^2 + 5|\omega_{15}x_1 + \omega_{35}x_3|^2 \le |x_1|^2 + \frac{|x_3|^2}{3}.$$
 (13)

If we put $x_1 = 1$ and $x_3 = 0$, then we get

$$|\omega_{11}|^2 + 3|\omega_{13}|^2 + 5|\omega_{15}|^2 \le 1,$$

and so

$$|\omega_{15}| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\sqrt{1 - |\omega_{11}|^2 - 3|\omega_{13}|^2}.$$
(14)

From (12) and (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 2\omega_{33} - \frac{2}{3}\omega_{11}^3 \right| &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\sqrt{1 - |\omega_{11}|^2 - 3|\omega_{13}|^2} + \sqrt{5}|\omega_{11}||\omega_{13}| \right) \\ &=: \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \psi(|\omega_{11}|, |\omega_{13}|), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(t,s) = \sqrt{1-t^2-3s^2} + \sqrt{5}ts, \qquad 0 \le t \le 1, \quad 0 \le s \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{1-t^2}.$$

652

HANKEL DETERMINANTS OF SECOND AND THIRD ORDER

It is an elementary fact to find that in cited domain $\max \psi = 1$ attained for t = s = 0, which implies

$$B_2 = \left| 2\omega_{33} - \frac{2}{3}\omega_{11}^3 \right|^2 \le \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\right)^2 = \frac{4}{5}.$$
 (15)

From relation (13) we also have

$$5|\omega_{15}x_1 + \omega_{35}x_3|^2 \le |x_1|^2 + \frac{|x_3|^2}{3}.$$

If we put in the previous relation $x_1 = 5\omega_{15}$, $x_3 = 2$, and then use (14) we receive

$$|2\omega_{35} + 5\omega_{15}^2|^2 \le 5|\omega_{15}|^2 + \frac{4}{15} \le 1 - |\omega_{11}|^2 - 3|\omega_{13}|^2 + \frac{4}{15} \le \frac{19}{15},$$

which finally gives

$$B_3 = |2\omega_{35} + 5\omega_{15}^2| \cdot |2\omega_{13} - \omega_1^2| \le \sqrt{\frac{19}{15}}$$
(16)

(in the last step we have used the relation (7)). By using relations (11), (15) and (16), we obtained

$$|H_3(1)| \le B_1 + B_2 + B_3 \le \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{5} + \sqrt{\frac{19}{15}} = \frac{32 + \sqrt{285}}{15}$$

The function defined by $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1+z^3}{1-z^3}$ where $a_2 = a_3 = a_5 = 0$, $a_4 = \frac{2}{3}$ is starlike (thus univalent) and $H_3(1) = -\frac{4}{9}$. Therefore on the class S,

$$|H_3(1)| \ge \frac{4}{9}.$$

REFERENCES

- DIENES, P.: The Taylor Series: An Introduction to the Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable, New York-Dover: Mineola, NY, USA, 1957.
- [2] DUREN, P. L.: Univalent Function, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [3] JANTENG, A.—HALIM, S. A.—DARUS, M.: Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions, Int. J. Math. Anal. 1(13) (2007), 619–225.
- [4] LEBEDEV, N. A.: Area Principle in the Theory of Univalent Functions, Nauka, Moscow, 1975 (in Russian).
- [5] OBRADOVIĆ, M.—PONNUSAMY, S.: New criteria and distortion theorems for univalent functions, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 44 (2001), 173–191.
- [6] OBRADOVIĆ, M.—PONNUSAMY, S.: On the class U, Proc. 21st Annual Conference of the Jammu Math. Soc. and a National Seminar on Analysis and its Application, 2011, pp. 11–26.
- [7] OBRADOVIĆ, M.—PONNUSAMY, S.—WIRTHS, K. J.: Geometric studies on the class U(λ), Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 39(3) (2016), 1259–1284.
- [8] OBRADOVIĆ, M.—TUNESKI, N.: Some properties of the class U, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A 73(1) (2019), 49–56.
- [9] OBRADOVIĆ, M.—TUNESKI, N.: New upper bounds of the third Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions, submitted, https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.10770.
- [10] SHI, L.—SRIVASTAVA, H. M.—ARIF, M.—HUSSAIN, S.—KHAN, H.: An investigation of the third Hankel determinant problem for certain subfamilies of univalent functions involving the exponential function, Symmetry 11 (2019), 598.

M. OBRADOVIĆ — N. TUNESKI

Received 20. 1. 2020 Accepted 14. 9. 2020 * Department of Mathematics Faculty of Civil Engineering University of Belgrade Bulevar Kralja Aleksandra 73 11000, Belgrade SERBIA E-mail: obrad@grf.bg.ac.rs

** Department of Mathematics and Informatics Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje Karpoš II b.b., 1000 Skopje REPUBLIC OF NORTH MACEDONIA E-mail: nikola.tuneski@mf.edu.mk