

## Manuscript Details

<b>Manuscript number</b>	VETMIC_2017_1083_R1
<b>Title</b>	23S rRNA and L22 ribosomal protein are involved in the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in <i>Mycoplasma capricolum</i> subsp. <i>capricolum</i>
<b>Article type</b>	Short Communication

### Abstract

*Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (Mcc) is one of the causative agents of contagious agalactia, and antimicrobial treatment is the most commonly applied measure to treat outbreaks of this disease. Macrolides and lincosamides bind specifically to nucleotides at domains II and V of the 23S rRNA gene. Furthermore, *rplD* and *rplV* genes encode ribosomal proteins L4 and L22, which are also implicated in the macrolide binding site. The aim of this work was to study the relationship between these genes and the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in Mcc. For this purpose, in vitro selected resistant mutants and field isolates were studied. This study demonstrates the appearance of DNA point mutations at the 23S rRNA encoding genes (A2058G, A2059G and A2062C) and *rplV* gene (Ala89Asp) in association to high minimum inhibitory concentration values. Hence, it proves the importance of 23S rRNA domain V and ribosomal protein L22 as molecular mechanisms responsible for the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in both field isolates and in vitro selected mutants. Furthermore, these mutations enable us to provide an interpretative breakpoint of antimicrobial resistance for Mcc at MIC 0.8 µg/ml.

<b>Keywords</b>	contagious agalactia, antimicrobial resistance, macrolides, <i>rplV</i> gene
<b>Manuscript category</b>	Bacteria
<b>Corresponding Author</b>	Christian de la Fe
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution</b>	University of Murcia
<b>Order of Authors</b>	Miranda Prats-van der Ham, Juan Tatay-Dualde, Angel Gómez-Martín, Juan Carlos Corrales, Antonio Contreras, Antonio Sánchez, Christian de la Fe
<b>Suggested reviewers</b>	Xavier Nouvel, Sebastiana Tola, José B. Poveda, Konrad Sachse

## Submission Files Included in this PDF

### File Name [File Type]

Cover letter.docx [Cover Letter]

Response to reviewers.docx [Response to Reviewers]

Manuscript short v4 HIGHLIGHTED.docx [Revised Manuscript with Changes Marked]

Highlights.docx [Highlights]

Manuscript short v4.docx [Manuscript File]

Table1.docx [Table]

Table2 revised.docx [Table]

Table3.docx [Table]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

**The Veterinary Microbiology Editorial Team**

**MS: VETMIC\_2017\_1083**

Title: *23S rRNA* and L22 ribosomal protein are involved in the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum*

Dear Editor,

We send the revised version of our manuscript **VETMIC\_2017\_1083**. We have followed the comments of the reviewers and hereby we enclose the point-by-point response to their concerns (prefaced by “AU”). We are very grateful for the reviewer’s help and suggestions, as they have allowed improving the manuscript.

Best regards

Christian de la Fe

## RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

MS: VETMIC\_2017\_1083

### Reviewer 1

1) Two recently published significant papers are not cited in the manuscript. I suggest the citation of these publications and discussion of your results in relation of these papers:

- Sulyok et al: Mutations Associated with Decreased Susceptibility to Seven Antimicrobial Families in Field and Laboratory-Derived Mycoplasma bovis Strains, Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2017

- Sulyok et al: Development of molecular methods for the rapid detection of antibiotic susceptibility of Mycoplasma bovis, Veterinary Microbiology, 2018

*AU: We agree. These references have been added in text and in the reference list (L266-274), together with the discussion of our results in relation with them (L41-42; 157-161; 167).*

2) In line 88: Please describe what is the PH medium.

*AU: This medium is based on PPLO broth. The sentence has been changed in order to clarify this concept (L88-89).*

3) In line 93: I suggest to use the term „initial MIC” as well.

*AU: We agree that with this term the sentence is clearer. Thus, it has been added (L94).*

4) Table 2: Please correct „seg” to „sec”.

*AU: This mistake in Table 2 has been corrected.*

## **Reviewer 2**

1. The Discussion too narrowly focused on molecular aspects. The authors should discuss their findings in a wider context.

a) What are the implications in the field?

b) What recommendations on treatment of mycoplasma infections in small ruminants can be given to vets?

c) Although this is not an epidemiological study, what is the authors' perception of the general or regional dissemination/prevalence of resistant strains in Spanish flocks?

*AU: We understand that although molecular aspects were the main aim of this work, the points remarked by the reviewer are also important. Therefore, we have addressed them in a new paragraph (L184-193).*

2. The Results section requires some introductory remarks on aim(s) and subject(s) studied. The strains examined should be mentioned, and some of the info given in paragraph 2.1 can be transferred to this section.

*AU: Introductory phrases including aims and subjects of this study have been added in the Results section. However, paragraph 2.1 stays as before as we think that all the information given is relevant for the Material & Methods section (L110-112; 120-122).*

3. In Mat & Meths, a paragraph on DNA sequencing and analysis is missing. These points should be explained:

*AU: A new paragraph at the M&M section has been added in which all these points are answered (L100-107):*

a) Was the sequencing done in the authors' lab or by a company?

*AU: The sequencing was done at the molecular biology service of the University of Murcia.*

b) Have any sequences determined in this study been submitted to NCBI or ENA?

*AU: Relevant sequences of field isolates and in vitro selected mutants of CK and Cap24 were submitted to NCBI. Accession numbers are provided in the new Supplementary table S1.*

c) It should be mentioned which sequence(s) were used as reference (i.e. non-resistant strain).

*AU: As now is described in the manuscript, numbering is based on E. coli K-12 positions and sequences of the type strain of Mcc (CK) were used as a reference of non-resistant strain.*

d) Which software was used to handle the sequence alignments?

*AU: MEGA 6.0 (Tamura et al., 2013).*

e) For proper documentation, the sequence alignments revealing relevant mutations should be presented as supplemental material.

*AU: We agree. Therefore, we have included the alignments of the hotspot mutation genomic regions in the new Supplementary table S1.*

#### 4. Language, typos:

a) The sentence in lines 38-45 is rather complex and too long. Please, rephrase.

*AU: This sentence has been rephrased in order to make it more understandable (L38-41; 42-45).*

b) Line 147: replace "strain" with "strains"

*AU: The word has been corrected (L166).*

c) Line 163: replace "by" with "to"

*AU: This word has been changed (L181).*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

**Short communication**

***23S rRNA* and L22 ribosomal protein are involved in the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum***

Miranda Prats-van der Ham <sup>a</sup>, Juan Tatay-Dualde <sup>a</sup>, Ángel Gómez-Martín <sup>b</sup>, Juan Carlos Corrales <sup>a</sup>, Antonio Contreras <sup>a</sup>, Antonio Sánchez <sup>a</sup>, Christian de la Fe <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Ruminant Health Research Group, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Regional Campus of International Excellence “Campus Mare Nostrum”, Universidad de Murcia, Campus de Espinardo s/n., 30100 Murcia, Spain.

<sup>b</sup> Grupo de Investigación Fisiopatología de la Reproducción, Universidad Cardenal Herrera-CEU, CEU Universities, Spain

\*Corresponding author:  
*E-mail address:* cdelafe@um.es

17 **Abstract**

18 *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (Mcc) is one of the causative agents of contagious  
19 agalactia, and antimicrobial treatment is the most commonly applied measure to treat  
20 outbreaks of this disease. Macrolides and lincosamides bind specifically to nucleotides at  
21 domains II and V of the 23S rRNA. Furthermore, *rplD* and *rplV* genes encode ribosomal  
22 proteins L4 and L22, which are also implicated in the macrolide binding site. The aim of this  
23 work was to study the relationship between mutations in these genes and the acquisition of  
24 macrolide and lincosamide resistance in Mcc. For this purpose, *in vitro* selected resistant  
25 mutants and field isolates were studied. This study demonstrates the appearance of DNA point  
26 mutations at the 23S rRNA encoding genes (A2058G, A2059G and A2062C) and *rplV* gene  
27 (Ala89Asp) in association to high minimum inhibitory concentration values. Hence, it proves  
28 the importance of alterations in 23S rRNA domain V and ribosomal protein L22 as molecular  
29 mechanisms responsible for the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in both  
30 field isolates and *in vitro* selected mutants. Furthermore, these mutations enable us to provide  
31 an interpretative breakpoint of antimicrobial resistance for Mcc at MIC 0.8 µg/ml.

32

33 **Keywords:** contagious agalactia, antimicrobial resistance, macrolides, *rplV* gene

## 34 **1. Introduction**

35 Antimicrobials, especially macrolides and lincosamides, are one of the most commonly used  
36 treatments against mycoplasmoses. Both antimicrobials share the same mechanism of action,  
37 as they obstruct protein synthesis by binding specifically to nucleotides of the *23S rRNA*,  
38 interacting with domains II (*hairpin 35*) and V at the 50S ribosomal subunit. Moreover, L4  
39 and L22 proteins, which are encoded by *rplD* and *rplV* genes, respectively, are also implicated  
40 in the ribosomal macrolide binding site (Waites et al., 2014). Previous reports on different  
41 mycoplasma species such as *M. gallisepticum*, *M. synoviae* and *M. bovis* have demonstrated  
42 the effect of point mutations in the *23S rRNA* encoding genes on the acquisition of macrolide  
43 and lincosamide resistance (Gerchman et al., 2011; Lysnyansky et al., 2015; Sulyok et al.,  
44 2017). On the other hand, variations in ribosomal proteins appeared in combination with  
45 alterations at the *23S rRNA* when isolates reached very high minimum inhibitory  
46 concentration (MIC) values (Khalil et al., 2017; Lerner et al., 2014) and thus, have been  
47 scarcely described (Pereyre et al., 2006; Prats-van der Ham et al., 2017).

48 *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (Mcc) is one of the etiologic agents of contagious  
49 agalactia (CA) and it is usually associated to severe outbreaks of this disease in goat herds  
50 (De la Fe et al., 2007). Prior reports have demonstrated the inefficacy of 14-membered  
51 macrolides against this mycoplasma species (Tatay-Dualde et al., 2017). Therefore, 16-  
52 membered macrolides, such as tylosin, and lincosamides, are used against this pathogen  
53 nowadays. However, recent studies on other CA-causing mycoplasma species have  
54 demonstrated a decrease in macrolide susceptibility in current field isolates (Poumarat et al.,  
55 2016; Prats-van der Ham et al., 2017). More specifically in Mcc, prior works have shown that  
56 close to the 20% of the contemporary field strains are resistant to tylosin (Tatay-Dualde et al.,  
57 2017). In this sense, the lack of resistance breakpoints complicates the interpretation of *in*  
58 *vitro* antimicrobial susceptibility tests. Therefore, some authors have proposed the use of



59 point mutations in the *23S rRNA* gene to establish molecular breakpoints for the minimal  
60 inhibitory concentrations (MIC) values in other mycoplasma species (Gerchman et al., 2011).  
61 However, there are no previous studies addressing molecular resistance mechanisms of  
62 macrolide and lincosamide resistance in *Mcc*. Hence, the aim of this work was to analyse the  
63 partial sequences of the *23S rRNA*, L4 and L22 encoding genes in order to study their  
64 relationship with the acquisition of *in vitro* resistance to macrolides and lincosamides and  
65 their connection with different MIC values of *Mcc* field isolates, determining which  
66 molecular mechanisms are involved in the macrolide and lincosamide resistance of *Mcc*.

67

## 68 **2. Material and methods**

### 69 *2.1. Mycoplasma isolates*

70 Resistant mutants of the reference strain California Kid (CK, NCTC 10154) and a field isolate  
71 of *Mcc* (Cap24) were selected *in vitro*. Additionally, 14 field isolates with different MIC  
72 values for macrolides (tylosin and tilmicosin) and lincosamides (clindamycin and lincomycin)  
73 were also studied. Isolates were mainly retrieved from mastitic milk samples of different  
74 farms from the Canary Islands, although some were isolated from auricular swabs (n=3) and  
75 from farms of Murcia (n=2) and Andalusia (n=2).

### 76 *2.2. Selection of resistant mutants*

77 The *in vitro* selection of resistant mutants was performed by 20 serial dilution passages at  
78 subinhibitory concentrations of tylosin and tilmicosin, following a previously described  
79 protocol (Antunes et al., 2015). Briefly, an initial minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)  
80 test was performed and the highest concentration at which the strain grew was subsequently  
81 cultured at the same antimicrobial concentration (step 1). Afterwards, another MIC analysis

82 was performed and, in the same way, the highest antimicrobial concentration showing growth  
83 was picked to be cultured in this following concentration (steps 2 to 20). When a decrease in  
84 antimicrobial susceptibility between steps was observed, a MIC analysis was performed with  
85 tylosin, tilmicosin, clindamycin and lincomycin. Besides, the partial sequences of *23S rRNA*,  
86 *rplD* and *rplV* genes were then studied. This process is detailed in **Table 1**.

### 87 2.3. Minimum inhibitory concentration tests

88 The inhibitory effect of the studied antimicrobials was evaluated by the minimum inhibitory  
89 concentration (MIC) technique, as previously described (Hannan, 2000). Microtitre plates  
90 were used to perform this method. 150 µl of PPLO broth supplemented with 18% (v/v) heat-  
91 inactivated horse serum, 1% (v/v) of 50% fresh yeast extract and 0.4% (w/v) DNA, with  
92 0.007% of phenol red, 25.6 µl of each antimicrobial dilution and the inocula at a  
93 concentration of  $10^3 - 10^5$  CFU/ml were added to each well. Moreover, two wells were used  
94 as positive (without antimicrobial) and negative (without neither antimicrobial nor inocula)  
95 controls. Plates were incubated at 37 °C and they were read when the positive control showed  
96 a change of colour due to acidification of the medium. Initial MICs of tylosin, tilmicosin,  
97 clindamycin and lincomycin were assessed for field isolates and between each step of the *in*  
98 *vitro* selection of resistant mutants study.

### 99 2.4. Molecular analysis

100 Novel PCR protocols were designed using PRIMER3 software (Koressaar and Remm, 2007)  
101 in order to analyse partial sequences of *23S rRNA* (domains II and V), *rplD* and *rplV* genes.  
102 PCR conditions and sequencing primers are shown in **Table 2**. PCR products were sequenced  
103 at the molecular biology service of the University of Murcia. The obtained sequences were  
104 compared to those of the Mcc type strain CK (NC\_000913.3), which was used as a non-  
105 resistant reference. Sequence analyses were conducted using MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013)

106 and the numbering of nucleotide or amino-acid positions is based on the *23S rRNA* encoding  
107 genes or L4/L22 proteins of *Escherichia coli* K-12 substrain MG1655 (NC\_000913.3).  
108 **Supplementary table S1** shows the resulting DNA alignments and the accession numbers of  
109 the sequences that have been submitted to NCBI.

110

### 111 **3. Results**

112 Two susceptible Mcc strains (CK and Cap24) were selected by serial passages at  
113 subinhibitory concentrations of tylosin and tilmicosin in order to assess which DNA  
114 alterations are related to the acquisition of macrolides resistance. **Table 1** summarizes MICs  
115 and sequencing results of the obtained *in vitro* selected mutants. DNA changes were found in  
116 domain V of the *23S rRNA* encoding genes (A2058G) of both mutant populations. This  
117 transversion appeared always in both alleles from macrolide MIC values of 0.8 – 1.6 µg/ml  
118 and lincosamide MIC values of 6.4 – 12.8 µg/ml. Furthermore, predicted amino acid changes  
119 were observed in the L22 protein (Ala89Asp) from MIC values of 8 µg/ml and 16 µg/ml for  
120 macrolides and lincosamides, respectively. No alterations were observed either in domain II  
121 of the *23S rRNA* or in the predicted amino acid sequence of ribosomal protein L4.

122 Moreover, the *23S rRNA*, L4 and L22 encoding genes of 14 Mcc field isolates with  
123 macrolides and lincosamides MIC values ranging from 0.025 to >128 µg/ml were also studied  
124 so as to correlate decreases in their susceptibility with DNA mutations. **Table 3** synthesizes  
125 their MICs and sequencing results. Mutations were observed in domain V of the *23S rRNA*  
126 encoding genes but in different positions as in the *in vitro* study (A2059G and A2062G).  
127 A2059G mutations appeared from macrolide MIC values of 0.8 µg/ml, but they did not affect  
128 both alleles until MICs of 12.8 µg/ml were reached. On the other hand, predicted amino acid

129 changes in L22 protein (Ala89Asp) were detected in all field isolates with MIC values over  
130 0.8 µg/ml for macrolides and 0.8 – 3.2 µg/ml for lincosamides.

131

#### 132 **4. Discussion**

133 The analysis of partial sequences of the *23S rRNA*, L4 and L22 encoding genes of *in vitro*  
134 selected mutants and field isolates of Mcc demonstrated the association between point  
135 mutations and the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in this mycoplasma  
136 species.

137 As for *23S rRNA*, point mutations were detected in the *in vitro* as well as the field isolates  
138 study, although they appeared at different positions. Mutation A2058G is one of the changes  
139 most commonly associated to macrolide and lincosamide resistance in different mycoplasma  
140 species (Gerchman et al., 2011; Lerner et al., 2014; Lysnyansky et al., 2015). Nonetheless,  
141 differently from previous studies in which mutations in both alleles were associated with  
142 higher MIC values (Lysnyansky and Ayling, 2016), our *in vitro* selected resistant strains  
143 showed this DNA change in both *23S rRNA* alleles from the lowest MIC value at which  
144 mutations started to appear (0.8 µg/ml). Thus, our *in vitro* study highlights the importance of  
145 this mutation in the acquisition of macrolide resistance in Mcc from lower MIC values than in  
146 other mycoplasma species (Lerner et al., 2014; Lysnyansky et al., 2015).

147 In addition, A2059G transition was observed in Mcc field isolates: it was detected in one  
148 allele from MIC values of 0.8µg/ml for tylosin and tilmicosin, and in both *23S rRNA*  
149 encoding alleles when MICs reached higher values (except for Cap22). This is in consistency  
150 with previous studies on *M. bovis*, in which heterozygous mutations were related with  
151 intermediate resistance whereas the highest MIC values were connected with mutations in

152 both alleles (Lysnyansky and Ayling, 2016). Moreover, Cap19 and Cap22, which were the  
153 field isolates with the highest MIC values, also displayed a second mutation (A2062G), which  
154 did not appear in the *in vitro* study. This combination of mutations A2059 and A2062 has  
155 been previously described and related to antimicrobial treatment failures in *M. genitalium*  
156 (Guschin et al., 2015). Thus, the outcomes of our *in vitro* selected mutants and field isolates  
157 might explain treatment failures during outbreaks of CA caused by Mcc.

158 The present work demonstrated coherency between the *in vitro* assay and the analysis of Mcc  
159 field isolates. Thus, our results demonstrate the acquisition of cross-resistance between  
160 macrolides and lincosamides, as the MIC values for the studied antimicrobials increased  
161 similarly in *in vitro* selected mutants and in the field isolates. This has been previously  
162 reported in other mycoplasma species such as *M. agalactiae* and *M. bovis* (Prats-van der Ham  
163 et al., 2017; Sulyok et al., 2017). Although 23S *rRNA* mutations were found in different  
164 positions, 2058, 2059 and 2062 are part of the peptidyltransferase loop of domain V of 23S  
165 *rRNA* where macrolides and lincosamides bind specifically (Waites et al., 2014). Therefore,  
166 mutations of these positions should be considered as the same molecular resistance  
167 mechanism. Moreover, the study of this genetic area could be interesting for a rapid detection  
168 of resistant field strains, as has been described for other mycoplasma species including *M.*  
169 *genitalium* and *M. bovis* (Gosse et al., 2016; Sulyok et al., 2018).

170 Regarding the study of ribosomal proteins, prior works on other mycoplasma species were not  
171 able to correlate them with changes in antimicrobial susceptibility, as they appeared in  
172 combination with 23S *rRNA* mutations (Khalil et al., 2017; Lerner et al., 2014; Lysnyansky et  
173 al., 2015) although in some cases, point mutations associated to an increase in MIC values  
174 were reported in *M. pneumoniae* and *M. hominis* (Matsuoka et al., 2004; Pereyre et al., 2006),  
175 and previous studies on *M. agalactiae*, which is also a CA-causing mycoplasma, remarked the  
176 importance of ribosomal protein L22 in the acquisition of macrolide resistance, as mutations

177 encoding changes in the protein sequence were associated with decreased susceptibility values  
178 (Prats-van der Ham et al. 2017). Interestingly, both our *in vitro* and field isolates studies  
179 showed predicted amino acid changes in L22 and in the same position as previously reported  
180 (Matsuoka et al., 2004; Pereyre et al., 2004; Prats-van der Ham et al., 2017). Specifically,  
181 substitution Ala89Asp appeared in strains selected with tylosin from MIC values of 8 µg/ml.  
182 Besides, our field isolates showed the same variation from MICs  $\geq$  0.8 µg/ml. Thus, the  
183 change of a neutral amino acid (Ala) to a negatively charged one (Asp) could result in protein  
184 conformational changes affecting antimicrobial binding, highlighting the importance of this  
185 protein in the acquisition of antimicrobial resistance.

186 Although this is not an epidemiological study, previous works have shown that close to 20%  
187 of the contemporary field strains of *Mcc* are tylosin resistant (Tatay-Dualde et al., 2017),  
188 which can be explained by the acquisition of *23S rRNA* and/or L22 mutations. This decrease  
189 in susceptibility has also been reported in other CA-causing mycoplasmas, namely *M.*  
190 *agalactiae* (Poumarat et al., 2016; Prats-van der Ham et al., 2017). Therefore, the presence of  
191 these strains in the field may lead to treatment failures when macrolides or lincosamides are  
192 selected to treat CA outbreaks. In this sense, determining the antimicrobial susceptibility  
193 profile of these pathogens in order to select the most convenient therapy would be advisable.  
194 Notwithstanding, the lack of MIC breakpoints complicates the interpretation of these studies  
195 and, therefore, the antimicrobial choice. Based on our results, molecular resistance  
196 breakpoints of tylosin and tilmicosin could be fixed for *Mcc* at 0.8 µg/mL, as also suggested  
197 previously for *M. agalactiae* (Prats-van der Ham et al 2017) and similarly to other  
198 mycoplasma species such as *M. gallisepticum* and *M. synoviae* (Gerchman et al., 2011;  
199 Lysnyansky et al. 2015).

200

201 **5. Conclusions**

202 Alterations in domain V of the *23S rRNA* and ribosomal protein L22 are responsible for the  
203 acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in *Mcc*, and their study provides rapid  
204 information about antimicrobial susceptibility in field isolates. Moreover, *Mcc* field isolates  
205 with macrolide MIC values over 0.8 µg/ml should be considered as resistant to this  
206 antimicrobial group.

207

208 **6. Conflict of interest statement**

209 None of the authors has any financial or personal relationships that could inappropriately  
210 influence or bias the content of this paper.

211

212 **7. Acknowledgements**

213 This work was supported by project AGL2016-76568-R awarded by the  
214 Spanish Ministry of Economy, government of Spain, and co-financed by FEDER funds.  
215 Miranda Prats-van der Ham is beneficiary of a PhD fellowship of the University of Murcia,  
216 Spain (FPU UMU 2015).

217

218 **8. References**

219 Antunes, N.T., Assuncao, P., Poveda, J.B., Tavio, M.M., 2015. Mechanisms involved in  
220 quinolone resistance in *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp *capri*. *Vet J* 204, 327-332.

221 De la Fe, C., Gutierrez, A., Poveda, J.B., Assuncao, P., Ramirez, A.S., Fabelo, F., 2007. First  
222 isolation of *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp *capricolum*, one of the causal agents of  
223 caprine contagious agalactia, on the island of Lanzarote (Spain). *Vet J* 173, 440-442.

224 Gerchman, I., Levisohn, S., Mikula, I., Manso-Silvan, L., Lysnyansky, I., 2011.  
225 Characterization of in vivo-acquired resistance to macrolides of *Mycoplasma*  
226 *gallisepticum* strains isolated from poultry. *Vet Res* 42, 9.

227 Gosse, M., Lysvand, H., Pukstad, B., Nordbo, S.A., 2016. A novel simple probe PCR assay  
228 for detection of mutations in the *23S rRNA* gene associated with macrolide resistance  
229 in *Mycoplasma genitalium* in clinical samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 54, 2563-2567.

230 Guschin, A., Ryzhikh, P., Rummyantseva, T., Gomberg, M., Unemo, M., 2015. Treatment  
231 efficacy, treatment failures and selection of macrolide resistance in patients with high  
232 load of *Mycoplasma genitalium* during treatment of male urethritis with josamycin.  
233 *BMC Infect Dis* 15, 7.

234 Hannan, P.C.T., 2000. Guidelines and recommendations for antimicrobial minimum  
235 inhibitory concentration (MIC) testing against veterinary mycoplasma species. *Vet*  
236 *Res* 31, 373-395.

237 Khalil, D., Becker, C.A.M., Tardy, F., 2017. Monitoring the decrease in susceptibility to  
238 ribosomal RNAs targeting antimicrobials and its molecular basis in clinical  
239 *Mycoplasma bovis* isolates over time. *Microb Drug Resist* 23(6):799-811.

240 Koressaar, T., Remm, M., 2007. Enhancements and modifications of primer design program  
241 Primer3. *Bioinformatics* 23, 1289-1291.

242 Lerner, U., Amram, E., Ayling, R.D., Mikula, I., Gerchman, I., Harrus, S., Teff, D., Yogev,  
243 D., Lysnyansky, I., 2014. Acquired resistance to the 16-membered macrolides tylosin  
244 and tilmicosin by *Mycoplasma bovis*. *Vet Microbiol* 168, 365-371.



245 Lysnyansky, I., Ayling, R.D., 2016. *Mycoplasma bovis*: Mechanisms of resistance and trends  
246 in antimicrobial susceptibility. *Front Microbiol* 7, 7.

247 Lysnyansky, I., Gerchman, I., Flaminio, B., Catania, S., 2015. Decreased susceptibility to  
248 macrolide-lincosamide in *Mycoplasma synoviae* is associated with mutations in 23S  
249 ribosomal RNA. *Microb Drug Resist* 21, 581-589.

250 Matsuoka, M., Narita, M., Okazaki, N., Ohya, H., Yamazaki, T., Ouchi, K., Suzuki, I.,  
251 Andoh, T., Kenri, T., Sasaki, Y., Horino, A., Shintani, M., Arakawa, Y., Sasaki, T.,  
252 2004. Characterization and molecular analysis of macrolide-resistant *Mycoplasma*  
253 *pneumoniae* clinical isolates obtained in Japan. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 48,  
254 4624-4630.

255 Pereyre, S., Guyot, C., Renaudin, H., Charron, A., Bebear, C., Bebear, C.M., 2004. *In vitro*  
256 selection and characterization of resistance to macrolides and related antibiotics in  
257 *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 48, 460-465.

258 Pereyre, S., Renaudin, H., Charron, A., Bebear, C., Bebear, C.M., 2006. Emergence of a 23S  
259 *rRNA* mutation in *Mycoplasma hominis* associated with a loss of the intrinsic  
260 resistance to erythromycin and azithromycin. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 57, 753-756.

261 Poumarat, F., Gautier-Bouchardon, A.V., Bergonier, D., Gay, E., Tardy, F., 2016. Diversity  
262 and variation in antimicrobial susceptibility patterns over time in *Mycoplasma*  
263 *agalactiae* isolates collected from sheep and goats in France. *J Appl Microbiol* 120,  
264 1208-1218.

265 Prats-van der Ham, M., Tatay-Dualde, J., de la Fe, C., Paterna, A., Sánchez, A., Corrales,  
266 J.C., Contreras, A., Gómez-Martín, Á., 2017. Molecular resistance mechanisms of  
267 *Mycoplasma agalactiae* to macrolides and lincomycin. *Vet Microbiol* 211, 135-140.

268 Sulyok, K.M., Kreizinger, Z., Wehmann, E., Lysnyansky, I., Banyai, K., Marton, S., Jerzsele,  
269 A., Ronai, Z., Turesanyi, I., Makrai, L., Janosi, S., Nagy, S.A., Gyuranecz, M., 2017.

270 Mutations associated with decreased susceptibility to seven antimicrobial families in  
271 field and laboratory-derived *Mycoplasma bovis* strains. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*  
272 61.

273 Sulyok, K.M., Bekó, K., Kreizinger, Z., Wehmann, E., Jerzsele, A., Rónai, Z., Turcsányi, I.,  
274 Makrai, L., Szeredi, L., Jánosi, S., Nagy, S.A., Gyuranecz, M. 2018. Development of  
275 molecular methods for the rapid detection of antibiotic susceptibility of *Mycoplasma*  
276 *bovis*. *Vet Microbiol* 213, 47-57.

277 Tatay-Dualde, J., Prats-van der Ham, M., de la Fe, C., Paterna, A., Sanchez, A., Corrales,  
278 J.C., Contreras, A., Tola, S., Gomez-Martin, A. 2017. Antimicrobial susceptibility and  
279 multilocus sequence typing of *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* Plos One  
280 27;12(3):e0174700.

281 Waites, B., Lysnyansky, I., Bébéar, C.M., 2014. Emerging antimicrobial resistance in  
282 mycoplasmas of human and animals. In: *Mollicutes. Molecular Biology and*  
283 *Pathogenesis*. Editor: Browning, G.F. and Citti, C. Caister Academic Press.

284

285

## Highlights

- Report of molecular mechanisms involved in macrolide and lincosamide resistance in *M. capricolum* subsp. *capricolum*.
- *23S rRNA* and *rplV* genes provide useful information about antimicrobial resistance and explain treatment failures.
- Macrolide susceptibility breakpoint of *M. capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* is fixed at 0.8 µg/ml.

1  
2  
3  
4 1 **Short communication**  
5  
6  
7 2  
8

9 3 **23S rRNA and L22 ribosomal protein are involved in the acquisition of macrolide and**  
10  
11 4 **lincosamide resistance in *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum***  
12

13  
14 5 Miranda Prats-van der Ham <sup>a</sup>, Juan Tatay-Dualde <sup>a</sup>, Ángel Gómez-Martín <sup>b</sup>, Juan Carlos  
15  
16 6 Corrales <sup>a</sup>, Antonio Contreras <sup>a</sup>, Antonio Sánchez <sup>a</sup>, Christian de la Fe <sup>a,\*</sup>  
17  
18

19 7  
20  
21  
22 8 <sup>a</sup> Ruminant Health Research Group, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Regional Campus of  
23  
24 9 International Excellence “Campus Mare Nostrum”, Universidad de Murcia, Campus de  
25  
26 10 Espinardo s/n., 30100 Murcia, Spain.  
27  
28

29 11 <sup>b</sup> Grupo de Investigación Fisiopatología de la Reproducción, Universidad Cardenal Herrera-  
30  
31 12 CEU, CEU Universities, Spain  
32  
33

34 13  
35  
36  
37 14 \*Corresponding author:

38  
39 15 *E-mail address:* cdelafe@um.es  
40  
41 16  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

60  
61  
62 **Abstract**  
63  
64

65 18 *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (Mcc) is one of the causative agents of contagious  
66  
67 19 agalactia, and antimicrobial treatment is the most commonly applied measure to treat  
68  
69 20 outbreaks of this disease. Macrolides and lincosamides bind specifically to nucleotides at  
70  
71 21 domains II and V of the *23S rRNA*. Furthermore, *rplD* and *rplV* genes encode ribosomal  
72  
73 22 proteins L4 and L22, which are also implicated in the macrolide binding site. The aim of this  
74  
75 23 work was to study the relationship between mutations in these genes and the acquisition of  
76  
77 24 macrolide and lincosamide resistance in Mcc. For this purpose, *in vitro* selected resistant  
78  
79 25 mutants and field isolates were studied. This study demonstrates the appearance of DNA point  
80  
81 26 mutations at the *23S rRNA* encoding genes (A2058G, A2059G and A2062C) and *rplV* gene  
82  
83 27 (Ala89Asp) in association to high minimum inhibitory concentration values. Hence, it proves  
84  
85 28 the importance of alterations in *23S rRNA* domain V and ribosomal protein L22 as molecular  
86  
87 29 mechanisms responsible for the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in both  
88  
89 30 field isolates and *in vitro* selected mutants. Furthermore, these mutations enable us to provide  
90  
91 31 an interpretative breakpoint of antimicrobial resistance for Mcc at MIC 0.8 µg/ml.  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99

100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
33 **Keywords:** contagious agalactia, antimicrobial resistance, macrolides, *rplV* gene

119  
120  
121 **34 1. Introduction**  
122  
123

124 35 Antimicrobials, especially macrolides and lincosamides, are one of the most commonly used  
125  
126 36 treatments against mycoplasmoses. Both antimicrobials share the same mechanism of action,  
127  
128 37 as they obstruct protein synthesis by binding specifically to nucleotides of the *23S rRNA*,  
129  
130 38 interacting with domains II (*hairpin 35*) and V at the 50S ribosomal subunit. Moreover, L4  
131  
132 39 and L22 proteins, which are encoded by *rplD* and *rplV* genes, respectively, are also implicated  
133  
134 40 in the ribosomal macrolide binding site (Waites et al., 2014). Previous reports on different  
135  
136 41 mycoplasma species such as *M. gallisepticum*, *M. synoviae* and *M. bovis* have demonstrated  
137  
138 42 the effect of point mutations in the *23S rRNA* encoding genes on the acquisition of macrolide  
139  
140 43 and lincosamide resistance (Gerchman et al., 2011; Lysnyansky et al., 2015; Sulyok et al.,  
141  
142 44 2017). On the other hand, variations in ribosomal proteins appeared in combination with  
143  
144 45 alterations at the *23S rRNA* when isolates reached very high minimum inhibitory  
145  
146 46 concentration (MIC) values (Khalil et al., 2017; Lerner et al., 2014) and thus, have been  
147  
148 47 scarcely described (Pereyre et al., 2006; Prats-van der Ham et al., 2017).  
149  
150  
151  
152

153 48 *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* (Mcc) is one of the etiologic agents of contagious  
154  
155 49 agalactia (CA) and it is usually associated to severe outbreaks of this disease in goat herds  
156  
157 50 (De la Fe et al., 2007). Prior reports have demonstrated the inefficacy of 14-membered  
158  
159 51 macrolides against this mycoplasma species (Tatay-Dualde et al., 2017). Therefore, 16-  
160  
161 52 membered macrolides, such as tylosin, and lincosamides, are used against this pathogen  
162  
163 53 nowadays. However, recent studies on other CA-causing mycoplasma species have  
164  
165 54 demonstrated a decrease in macrolide susceptibility in current field isolates (Poumarat et al.,  
166  
167 55 2016; Prats-van der Ham et al., 2017). More specifically in Mcc, prior works have shown that  
168  
169 56 close to the 20% of the contemporary field strains are resistant to tylosin (Tatay-Dualde et al.,  
170  
171 57 2017). In this sense, the lack of resistance breakpoints complicates the interpretation of *in*  
172  
173 58 *vitro* antimicrobial susceptibility tests. Therefore, some authors have proposed the use of  
174  
175  
176  
177

178  
179  
180 59 point mutations in the *23S rRNA* gene to establish molecular breakpoints for the minimal  
181  
182 60 inhibitory concentrations (MIC) values in other mycoplasma species (Gerchman et al., 2011).  
183  
184 61 However, there are no previous studies addressing molecular resistance mechanisms of  
185  
186 62 macrolide and lincosamide resistance in Mcc. Hence, the aim of this work was to analyse the  
187  
188 63 partial sequences of the *23S rRNA*, L4 and L22 encoding genes in order to study their  
189  
190 64 relationship with the acquisition of *in vitro* resistance to macrolides and lincosamides and  
191  
192 65 their connection with different MIC values of Mcc field isolates, determining which  
193  
194 66 molecular mechanisms are involved in the macrolide and lincosamide resistance of Mcc.  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200

## 201 68 **2. Material and methods**

### 202 203 204 69 *2.1. Mycoplasma isolates*

205  
206  
207 70 Resistant mutants of the reference strain California Kid (CK, NCTC 10154) and a field isolate  
208  
209 71 of Mcc (Cap24) were selected *in vitro*. Additionally, 14 field isolates with different MIC  
210  
211 72 values for macrolides (tylosin and tilmicosin) and lincosamides (clindamycin and lincomycin)  
212  
213 73 were also studied. Isolates were mainly retrieved from mastitic milk samples of different  
214  
215 74 farms from the Canary Islands, although some were isolated from auricular swabs (n=3) and  
216  
217 75 from farms of Murcia (n=2) and Andalusia (n=2).  
218  
219  
220

### 221 76 *2.2. Selection of resistant mutants*

222  
223  
224 77 The *in vitro* selection of resistant mutants was performed by 20 serial dilution passages at  
225  
226 78 subinhibitory concentrations of tylosin and tilmicosin, following a previously described  
227  
228 79 protocol (Antunes et al., 2015). Briefly, an initial minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)  
229  
230 80 test was performed and the highest concentration at which the strain grew was subsequently  
231  
232 81 cultured at the same antimicrobial concentration (step 1). Afterwards, another MIC analysis  
233  
234  
235  
236

237  
238  
239 82 was performed and, in the same way, the highest antimicrobial concentration showing growth  
240  
241 83 was picked to be cultured in this following concentration (steps 2 to 20). When a decrease in  
242  
243 84 antimicrobial susceptibility between steps was observed, a MIC analysis was performed with  
244  
245 85 tylosin, tilmicosin, clindamycin and lincomycin. Besides, the partial sequences of *23S rRNA*,  
246  
247 86 *rplD* and *rplV* genes were then studied. This process is detailed in **Table 1**.

### 251 87 2.3. Minimum inhibitory concentration tests

252  
253  
254 88 The inhibitory effect of the studied antimicrobials was evaluated by the minimum inhibitory  
255  
256 89 concentration (MIC) technique, as previously described (Hannan, 2000). Microtitre plates  
257  
258 90 were used to perform this method. 150 µl of PPLO broth supplemented with 18% (v/v) heat-  
259  
260 91 inactivated horse serum, 1% (v/v) of 50% fresh yeast extract and 0.4% (w/v) DNA, with  
261  
262 92 0.007% of phenol red, 25.6 µl of each antimicrobial dilution and the inocula at a  
263  
264 93 concentration of  $10^3 - 10^5$  CFU/ml were added to each well. Moreover, two wells were used  
265  
266 94 as positive (without antimicrobial) and negative (without neither antimicrobial nor inocula)  
267  
268 95 controls. Plates were incubated at 37 °C and they were read when the positive control showed  
269  
270 96 a change of colour due to acidification of the medium. Initial MICs of tylosin, tilmicosin,  
271  
272 97 clindamycin and lincomycin were assessed for field isolates and between each step of the *in*  
273  
274 98 *vitro* selection of resistant mutants study.

### 278 99 2.4. Molecular analysis

280  
281 100 Novel PCR protocols were designed using PRIMER3 software (Koressaar and Remm, 2007)  
282  
283 101 in order to analyse partial sequences of *23S rRNA* (domains II and V), *rplD* and *rplV* genes.  
284  
285 102 PCR conditions and sequencing primers are shown in **Table 2**. PCR products were sequenced  
286  
287 103 at the molecular biology service of the University of Murcia. The obtained sequences were  
288  
289 104 compared to those of the Mcc type strain CK (NC\_000913.3), which was used as a non-  
290  
291 105 resistant reference. Sequence analyses were conducted using MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013)



296  
297  
298 106 and the numbering of nucleotide or amino-acid positions is based on the *23S rRNA* encoding  
299  
300 107 genes or L4/L22 proteins of *Escherichia coli* K-12 substrain MG1655 (NC\_000913.3).  
301  
302 108 **Supplementary table S1** shows the resulting DNA alignments and the accession numbers of  
303  
304  
305 109 the sequences that have been submitted to NCBI.  
306  
307

308 110

### 311 111 **3. Results**

312  
313  
314 112 Two susceptible Mcc strains (CK and Cap24) were selected by serial passages at  
315  
316 113 subinhibitory concentrations of tylosin and tilmicosin in order to assess which DNA  
317  
318 114 alterations are related to the acquisition of macrolides resistance. **Table 1** summarizes MICs  
319  
320 115 and sequencing results of the obtained *in vitro* selected mutants. DNA changes were found in  
321  
322 116 domain V of the *23S rRNA* encoding genes (A2058G) of both mutant populations. This  
323  
324 117 transversion appeared always in both alleles from macrolide MIC values of 0.8 – 1.6 µg/ml  
325  
326 118 and lincosamide MIC values of 6.4 – 12.8 µg/ml. Furthermore, predicted amino acid changes  
327  
328 119 were observed in the L22 protein (Ala89Asp) from MIC values of 8 µg/ml and 16 µg/ml for  
329  
330 120 macrolides and lincosamides, respectively. No alterations were observed either in domain II  
331  
332 121 of the *23S rRNA* or in the predicted amino acid sequence of ribosomal protein L4.

333  
334  
335  
336 122 Moreover, the *23S rRNA*, L4 and L22 encoding genes of 14 Mcc field isolates with  
337  
338 123 macrolides and lincosamides MIC values ranging from 0.025 to >128 µg/ml were also studied  
339  
340 124 so as to correlate decreases in their susceptibility with DNA mutations. **Table 3** synthesizes  
341  
342 125 their MICs and sequencing results. Mutations were observed in domain V of the *23S rRNA*  
343  
344 126 encoding genes but in different positions as in the *in vitro* study (A2059G and A2062G).  
345  
346 127 A2059G mutations appeared from macrolide MIC values of 0.8 µg/ml, but they did not affect  
347  
348 128 both alleles until MICs of 12.8 µg/ml were reached. On the other hand, predicted amino acid  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354

355  
356  
357 129 changes in L22 protein (Ala89Asp) were detected in all field isolates with MIC values over  
358  
359 130 0.8 µg/ml for macrolides and 0.8 – 3.2 µg/ml for lincosamides.  
360  
361  
362  
363 131  
364  
365  
366 132 **4. Discussion**  
367

368 133 The analysis of partial sequences of the *23S rRNA*, L4 and L22 encoding genes of *in vitro*  
369 134 selected mutants and field isolates of *Mcc* demonstrated the association between point  
370  
371 134 mutations and the acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in this mycoplasma  
372  
373 135 species.  
374  
375 136  
376  
377

378 137 As for *23S rRNA*, point mutations were detected in the *in vitro* as well as the field isolates  
379  
380 138 study, although they appeared at different positions. Mutation A2058G is one of the changes  
381  
382 139 most commonly associated to macrolide and lincosamide resistance in different mycoplasma  
383  
384 140 species (Gerchman et al., 2011; Lerner et al., 2014; Lysnyansky et al., 2015). Nonetheless,  
385  
386 141 differently from previous studies in which mutations in both alleles were associated with  
387  
388 142 higher MIC values (Lysnyansky and Ayling, 2016), our *in vitro* selected resistant strains  
389  
390 143 showed this DNA change in both *23S rRNA* alleles from the lowest MIC value at which  
391  
392 144 mutations started to appear (0.8 µg/ml). Thus, our *in vitro* study highlights the importance of  
393  
394 145 this mutation in the acquisition of macrolide resistance in *Mcc* from lower MIC values than in  
395  
396 146 other mycoplasma species (Lerner et al., 2014; Lysnyansky et al., 2015).  
397  
398  
399

400 147 In addition, A2059G transition was observed in *Mcc* field isolates: it was detected in one  
401  
402 148 allele from MIC values of 0.8µg/ml for tylosin and tilmicosin, and in both *23S rRNA*  
403  
404 149 encoding alleles when MICs reached higher values (except for Cap22). This is in consistency  
405  
406 150 with previous studies on *M. bovis*, in which heterozygous mutations were related with  
407  
408 151 intermediate resistance whereas the highest MIC values were connected with mutations in  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413

414  
415  
416 152 both alleles (Lysnyansky and Ayling, 2016). Moreover, Cap19 and Cap22, which were the  
417  
418 153 field isolates with the highest MIC values, also displayed a second mutation (A2062G), which  
419  
420 154 did not appear in the *in vitro* study. This combination of mutations A2059 and A2062 has  
421  
422  
423 155 been previously described and related to antimicrobial treatment failures in *M. genitalium*  
424  
425 156 (Guschin et al., 2015). Thus, the outcomes of our *in vitro* selected mutants and field isolates  
426  
427 157 might explain treatment failures during outbreaks of CA caused by Mcc.

428  
429  
430 158 The present work demonstrated coherency between the *in vitro* assay and the analysis of Mcc  
431  
432 159 field isolates. Thus, our results demonstrate the acquisition of cross-resistance between  
433  
434 160 macrolides and lincosamides, as the MIC values for the studied antimicrobials increased  
435  
436 161 similarly in *in vitro* selected mutants and in the field isolates. This has been previously  
437  
438 162 reported in other mycoplasma species such as *M. agalactiae* and *M. bovis* (Prats-van der Ham  
439  
440 163 et al., 2017; Sulyok et al., 2017). Although *23S rRNA* mutations were found in different  
441  
442 164 positions, 2058, 2059 and 2062 are part of the peptidyltransferase loop of domain V of *23S*  
443  
444 165 *rRNA* where macrolides and lincosamides bind specifically (Waites et al., 2014). Therefore,  
445  
446 166 mutations of these positions should be considered as the same molecular resistance  
447  
448 167 mechanism. Moreover, the study of this genetic area could be interesting for a rapid detection  
449  
450 168 of resistant field strains, as has been described for other mycoplasma species including *M.*  
451  
452 169 *genitalium* and *M.bovis* (Gosse et al., 2016; Sulyok et al., 2018).

453  
454  
455  
456 170 Regarding the study of ribosomal proteins, prior works on other mycoplasma species were not  
457  
458 171 able to correlate them with changes in antimicrobial susceptibility, as they appeared in  
459  
460 172 combination with *23S rRNA* mutations (Khalil et al., 2017; Lerner et al., 2014; Lysnyansky et  
461  
462 173 al., 2015) although in some cases, point mutations associated to an increase in MIC values  
463  
464 174 were reported in *M. pneumoniae* and *M. hominis* (Matsuoka et al., 2004; Pereyre et al., 2006),  
465  
466 175 and previous studies on *M. agalactiae*, which is also a CA-causing mycoplasma, remarked the  
467  
468 176 importance of ribosomal protein L22 in the acquisition of macrolide resistance, as mutations  
469  
470  
471  
472

473  
474  
475 177 encoding changes in the protein sequence were associated with decreased susceptibility values  
476  
477 178 (Prats-van der Ham et al. 2017). Interestingly, both our *in vitro* and field isolates studies  
478  
479 179 showed predicted amino acid changes in L22 and in the same position as previously reported  
480  
481 180 (Matsuoka et al., 2004; Pereyre et al., 2004; Prats-van der Ham et al., 2017). Specifically,  
482  
483 181 substitution Ala89Asp appeared in strains selected with tylosin from MIC values of 8 µg/ml.  
484  
485 182 Besides, our field isolates showed the same variation from MICs  $\geq$  0.8 µg/ml. Thus, the  
486  
487 183 change of a neutral amino acid (Ala) to a negatively charged one (Asp) could result in protein  
488  
489 184 conformational changes affecting antimicrobial binding, highlighting the importance of this  
490  
491 185 protein in the acquisition of antimicrobial resistance.  
492  
493  
494

495 186 Although this is not an epidemiological study, previous works have shown that close to 20%  
496  
497 187 of the contemporary field strains of *Mcc* are tylosin resistant (Tatay-Dualde et al., 2017),  
498  
499 188 which can be explained by the acquisition of *23S rRNA* and/or L22 mutations. This decrease  
500  
501 189 in susceptibility has also been reported in other CA-causing mycoplasmas, namely *M.*  
502  
503 190 *agalactiae* (Poumarat et al., 2016; Prats-van der Ham et al., 2017). Therefore, the presence of  
504  
505 191 these strains in the field may lead to treatment failures when macrolides or lincosamides are  
506  
507 192 selected to treat CA outbreaks. In this sense, determining the antimicrobial susceptibility  
508  
509 193 profile of these pathogens in order to select the most convenient therapy would be advisable.  
510  
511 194 Notwithstanding, the lack of MIC breakpoints complicates the interpretation of these studies  
512  
513 195 and, therefore, the antimicrobial choice. Based on our results, molecular resistance  
514  
515 196 breakpoints of tylosin and tilmicosin could be fixed for *Mcc* at 0.8 µg/mL, as also suggested  
516  
517 197 previously for *M. agalactiae* (Prats-van der Ham et al 2017) and similarly to other  
518  
519 198 mycoplasma species such as *M. gallisepticum* and *M. synoviae* (Gerchman et al., 2011;  
520  
521 199 Lysnyansky et al. 2015).  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526 200

532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590

201 **5. Conclusions**

202 Alterations in domain V of the *23S rRNA* and ribosomal protein L22 are responsible for the  
203 acquisition of macrolide and lincosamide resistance in *Mcc*, and their study provides rapid  
204 information about antimicrobial susceptibility in field isolates. Moreover, *Mcc* field isolates  
205 with macrolide MIC values over 0.8 µg/ml should be considered as resistant to this  
206 antimicrobial group.

208 **6. Conflict of interest statement**

209 None of the authors has any financial or personal relationships that could inappropriately  
210 influence or bias the content of this paper.

212 **7. Acknowledgements**

213 This work was supported by project AGL2016-76568-R awarded by the  
214 Spanish Ministry of Economy, government of Spain, and co-financed by FEDER funds.  
215 Miranda Prats-van der Ham is beneficiary of a PhD fellowship of the University of Murcia,  
216 Spain (FPU UMU 2015).

218 **8. References**

219 Antunes, N.T., Assuncao, P., Poveda, J.B., Tavio, M.M., 2015. Mechanisms involved in  
220 quinolone resistance in *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp *capri*. *Vet J* 204, 327-332.

- 591  
592  
593 221 De la Fe, C., Gutierrez, A., Poveda, J.B., Assuncao, P., Ramirez, A.S., Fabelo, F., 2007. First  
594  
595 222 isolation of *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp *capricolum*, one of the causal agents of  
596  
597 223 caprine contagious agalactia, on the island of Lanzarote (Spain). *Vet J* 173, 440-442.  
598  
599 224 Gerchman, I., Levisohn, S., Mikula, I., Manso-Silvan, L., Lysnyansky, I., 2011.  
600  
601 225 Characterization of in vivo-acquired resistance to macrolides of *Mycoplasma*  
602  
603 226 *gallisepticum* strains isolated from poultry. *Vet Res* 42, 9.  
604  
605 227 Gosse, M., Lysvand, H., Pukstad, B., Nordbo, S.A., 2016. A novel simple probe PCR assay  
606  
607 228 for detection of mutations in the *23S rRNA* gene associated with macrolide resistance  
608  
609 229 in *Mycoplasma genitalium* in clinical samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 54, 2563-2567.  
610  
611 230 Guschin, A., Ryzhikh, P., Rumyantseva, T., Gomberg, M., Unemo, M., 2015. Treatment  
612  
613 231 efficacy, treatment failures and selection of macrolide resistance in patients with high  
614  
615 232 load of *Mycoplasma genitalium* during treatment of male urethritis with josamycin.  
616  
617 233 *BMC Infect Dis* 15, 7.  
618  
619 234 Hannan, P.C.T., 2000. Guidelines and recommendations for antimicrobial minimum  
620  
621 235 inhibitory concentration (MIC) testing against veterinary mycoplasma species. *Vet*  
622  
623 236 *Res* 31, 373-395.  
624  
625 237 Khalil, D., Becker, C.A.M., Tardy, F., 2017. Monitoring the decrease in susceptibility to  
626  
627 238 ribosomal RNAs targeting antimicrobials and its molecular basis in clinical  
628  
629 239 *Mycoplasma bovis* isolates over time. *Microb Drug Resist* 23(6):799-811.  
630  
631 240 Koressaar, T., Remm, M., 2007. Enhancements and modifications of primer design program  
632  
633 241 Primer3. *Bioinformatics* 23, 1289-1291.  
634  
635 242 Lerner, U., Amram, E., Ayling, R.D., Mikula, I., Gerchman, I., Harrus, S., Teff, D., Yogev,  
636  
637 243 D., Lysnyansky, I., 2014. Acquired resistance to the 16-membered macrolides tylosin  
638  
639 244 and tilmicosin by *Mycoplasma bovis*. *Vet Microbiol* 168, 365-371.  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649

- 650  
651  
652 245 Lysnyansky, I., Ayling, R.D., 2016. *Mycoplasma bovis*: Mechanisms of resistance and trends  
653  
654 246 in antimicrobial susceptibility. *Front Microbiol* 7, 7.  
655  
656 247 Lysnyansky, I., Gerchman, I., Flaminio, B., Catania, S., 2015. Decreased susceptibility to  
658  
659 248 macrolide-lincosamide in *Mycoplasma synoviae* is associated with mutations in 23S  
660  
661 249 ribosomal RNA. *Microb Drug Resist* 21, 581-589.  
662  
663 250 Matsuoka, M., Narita, M., Okazaki, N., Ohya, H., Yamazaki, T., Ouchi, K., Suzuki, I.,  
664  
665 251 Andoh, T., Kenri, T., Sasaki, Y., Horino, A., Shintani, M., Arakawa, Y., Sasaki, T.,  
666  
667 252 2004. Characterization and molecular analysis of macrolide-resistant *Mycoplasma*  
668  
669 253 *pneumoniae* clinical isolates obtained in Japan. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 48,  
670  
671 254 4624-4630.  
672  
673 255 Pereyre, S., Guyot, C., Renaudin, H., Charron, A., Bebear, C., Bebear, C.M., 2004. *In vitro*  
674  
675 256 selection and characterization of resistance to macrolides and related antibiotics in  
676  
677 257 *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 48, 460-465.  
678  
679 258 Pereyre, S., Renaudin, H., Charron, A., Bebear, C., Bebear, C.M., 2006. Emergence of a 23S  
680  
681 259 *rRNA* mutation in *Mycoplasma hominis* associated with a loss of the intrinsic  
682  
683 260 resistance to erythromycin and azithromycin. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 57, 753-756.  
684  
685 261 Poumarat, F., Gautier-Bouchardon, A.V., Bergonier, D., Gay, E., Tardy, F., 2016. Diversity  
686  
687 262 and variation in antimicrobial susceptibility patterns over time in *Mycoplasma*  
688  
689 263 *agalactiae* isolates collected from sheep and goats in France. *J Appl Microbiol* 120,  
690  
691 264 1208-1218.  
692  
693 265 Prats-van der Ham, M., Tatay-Dualde, J., de la Fe, C., Paterna, A., Sánchez, A., Corrales,  
694  
695 266 J.C., Contreras, A., Gómez-Martín, Á., 2017. Molecular resistance mechanisms of  
696  
697 267 *Mycoplasma agalactiae* to macrolides and lincomycin. *Vet Microbiol* 211, 135-140.  
698  
699 268 Sulyok, K.M., Kreizinger, Z., Wehmann, E., Lysnyansky, I., Banyai, K., Marton, S., Jerzsele,  
700  
701 269 A., Ronai, Z., Turcsanyi, I., Makrai, L., Janosi, S., Nagy, S.A., Gyuranecz, M., 2017.  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708

709  
710  
711 270 Mutations associated with decreased susceptibility to seven antimicrobial families in  
712  
713 271 field and laboratory-derived *Mycoplasma bovis* strains. Antimicrob Agents Chemother  
714  
715 272 61.  
716

717  
718 273 Sulyok, K.M., Bekó, K., Kreizinger, Z., Wehmann, E., Jerzsele, A., Rónai, Z., Turcsányi, I.,  
719  
720 274 Makrai, L., Szeredi, L., Jánosi, S., Nagy, S.A., Gyuranecz, M. 2018. Development of  
721  
722 275 molecular methods for the rapid detection of antibiotic susceptibility of *Mycoplasma*  
723  
724 276 *bovis*. Vet Microbiol 213, 47-57.  
725

726 277 Tatay-Dualde, J., Prats-van der Ham, M., de la Fe, C., Paterna, A., Sanchez, A., Corrales,  
727  
728 278 J.C., Contreras, A., Tola, S., Gomez-Martin, A. 2017. Antimicrobial susceptibility and  
729  
730 279 multilocus sequence typing of *Mycoplasma capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* Plos One  
731  
732 280 27;12(3):e0174700.  
733

734  
735 281 Waites, B., Lysnyansky, I., Bébéar, C.M., 2014. Emerging antimicrobial resistance in  
736  
737 282 mycoplasmas of human and animals. In: Mollicutes. Molecular Biology and  
738  
739 283 Pathogenesis. Editor: Browning, G.F. and Citti, C. Caister Academic Press.  
740

741 284

742  
743  
744 285  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767



**Table 1.** Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and mutations in the 23S *rRNA* gene and L22 protein resulting from *in vitro* selection with tylosin and tilmicosin

Strain-Ab-Passage	MIC ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )				Mutations <sup>a</sup>					
	Tyl <sup>b</sup>	Tlm <sup>c</sup>	Cli <sup>d</sup>	Lin <sup>e</sup>	23S <i>rRNA</i> (nt)	A1	23S <i>rRNA</i> (nt)	A2	L22 (aa)	
<b>CK (NCTC 10154)</b>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.2	A2058		A2058		Ala89	
Tylosin										
CK-Tyl-6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	-		-		-	
CK-Tyl-9	1.6	1.6	12.8	12.8	A2058G		A2058G		-	
CK-Tyl-10	8	8	16	16	A2058G		A2058G		Asp	
CK-Tyl-11	16	32	32	32	A2058G		A2058G		Asp	
CK-Tyl-20	32	64	32	32	A2058G		A2058G		Asp	
Tilmicosin										
CK-Tlm-5	0.4	0.2	0.05	0.4	-		-		-	
CK-Tlm-7	0.8	0.8	6.4	6.4	A2058G		A2058G		-	
CK-Tlm-9	12.8	6.4	32	32	A2058G		A2058G		-	
CK-Tlm-10	16	8	32	32	A2058G		A2058G		-	
CK-Tlm-20	32	32	64	64	A2058G		A2058G		-	
<b>Cap24</b>	0.1	0.025	0.1	0.4	A2058		A2058		Ala89	
Tylosin										
Cap24-Tyl-6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	-		-		-	
Cap24-Tyl-9	1.6	1.6	12.8	12.8	A2058G		A2058G		-	
Cap24-Tyl-10	8	8	16	16	A2058G		A2058G		Asp	
Cap24-Tyl-11	16	32	32	32	A2058G		A2058G		Asp	
Cap24-Tyl-20	32	64	32	32	A2058G		A2058G		Asp	
Tilmicosin										
Cap24-Tlm-5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.4	-		-		-	
Cap24-Tlm-7	0.8	0.8	6.4	6.4	A2058G		A2058G		-	
Cap24-Tlm-9	12.8	6.4	32	32	A2058G		A2058G		-	
Cap24-Tlm-10	16	8	32	32	A2058G		A2058G		-	
Cap24-Tlm-20	32	32	64	64	A2058G		A2058G		-	

a: *E. coli* numbering positions

b: Tylosin

c: Tilmicosin

d: Clindamycin

e: Lincomycin

**Table 2.** Oligonucleotide sequences and PCR conditions applied for amplification and sequencing.

Target	Name	Primers 5'-3'	Protocol	PCR product size (bp)	Sequencing primers 5'-3'	Sequence size (bp)
<i>23S rRNA</i> allele 1 domain II	23S A1DII-F	TGCAAGCTGGTTTAGCATTG	93°C 5 min. (93°C 45 sec, 58.3°C, 45 sec, 72°C 2 min) x 30, 72 °C 10 min	1850	GTACCGTGAGGGAAAGGTGA	839
	23S A1&2DII-R	GTCAAACGGCATGGAAGATT			GTCAAACGGCATGGAAGATT	
<i>23S rRNA</i> allele 1 domain V	23S A1DV-F	TCTGCTAAGTCGCAAGACGA	93°C 5 min. (93°C 45 sec, 57.5°C, 45 sec, 72°C 2min30 sec) x 30, 72 °C 10 min	3150	TCTGCTAAGTCGCAAGACGA	882
	23S A1DV-R	TGCATTCACTTTCTCCTTTCTTT			CATCCATTCCGGTCCTCTC	
<i>23S rRNA</i> allele 2 domain II	23S A2DII-F	CGGTAGAGCAACTGGCTTTT	93°C 5 min. (93°C 45 sec, 58.3°C, 45 sec, 72°C 2 min) x 30, 72 °C 10 min	1694	GTACCGTGAGGGAAAGGTGA	839
	23S A1&2DII-R	GTCAAACGGCATGGAAGATT			GTCAAACGGCATGGAAGATT	
<i>23S rRNA</i> allele 2 domain V	23S A2DV-F	TCTGCTAAGTCGCAAGACGA	93°C 5 min. (93°C 45 sec, 58.3°C, 45 sec, 72°C 2min30sec) x 30, 72 °C 10 min	3100	TCTGCTAAGTCGCAAGACGA	882
	23S A2DV-R	TGTTCTAGCGGTTATTGGGATT			CATCCATTCCGGTCCTCTC	
<i>rplD</i>	rplD-F	CCCGTGCTGAAGTATCTGGA	93°C 5min. (93°C 30 sec, 57.6°C 45 sec, 72°C 30 sec) x 30, 72°C 10 min	469	Same as PCR	-
	rplD-R	TGCGTATACCTCCTCAACTGC			Same as PCR	
<i>rplV</i>	rplV-F	TGGTGATACTTTTGTCCATTT	93°C 5min. (93°C 30 sec, 57.6°C 45 sec, 72°C 30 sec) x 30, 72°C 10 min	437	Same as PCR	-
	rplV-R	AATTCGGTGGTCATGGTGAT			Same as PCR	

1 **Table 3.** Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and 23S rRNA and L22 changes in  
 2 the studied *M. capricolum* subsp. *capricolum* field isolates.

Strain	MIC (µg/ml)				Mutations <sup>a</sup>			
	Tyl <sup>b</sup>	Tlm <sup>c</sup>	Clid <sup>d</sup>	Lin <sup>e</sup>	23S rRNA A1 (nt)	23S rRNA A2 (nt)	L22 (aa)	
CK	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.2	A2059	A2062	A2059	Ala89
Cap1	0.05	0.025	0.1	0.8	-	-	-	-
Cap8	0.05	0.025	0.1	0.4	-	-	-	-
Cap 3	0.05	0.025	0.1	0.2	-	-	-	-
Cap4	0.05	0.025	0.1	0.8	-	-	-	-
Cap21	0.1	0.025	0.1	0.4	-	-	-	-
Cap24	0.1	0.025	0.1	0.4	-	-	-	-
Cap20	0.1	0.05	0.2	0.8	-	-	-	-
Cap23	0.8	0.8	12.8	12.8	A2059G	-	-	Asp
Cap17	1.6	0.4	0.8	3.2	-	-	-	Asp
Cap18	3.2	0.8	0.8	3.2	A2059G	-	-	Asp
Cap16	12.8	12.8	12.8	12.8	A2059G	-	A2059G	Asp
Cap25	16	16	16	12.8	A2059G	-	A2059G	Asp
Cap19	16	>128	12.8	12.8	A2059G	A2062C	A2059G	Asp
Cap22	64	128	1.6	6.4	A2059G	A2062C	-	Asp

3 a: *E. coli* numbering positions

4 b: Tylosin

5 c: Tilmicosin

6 d: Clindamycin

7 e: Lincomycin

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17