

# A Systematic Review of Current Scenario of Diabetic Retinopathy Severity Grading using Image Content

<sup>1</sup>Vishwajit B. Gaikwad, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Dilendra Hiran, <sup>3</sup>Dr. Hariram Chavan

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Faculty of Computer Science, Pacific University, Udaipur

<sup>2</sup> Professor, Faculty of Computer Science, Pacific University, Udaipur

<sup>3</sup> Professor, Mumbai University, Mumbai

## 1. Introduction

The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in India serves as a stark reminder of the significant consequences it has on the population. Diabetic retinopathy presently impacts 12.5% of the Indian population, with 4% experiencing diabetic retinopathy that poses a risk to their vision. The debate over the disparity between urban and rural states remains unresolved [1,2]. However, it is evident that states with a middle-to-high socioeconomic status exhibit a higher prevalence of diabetic retinopathic complications among individuals with diabetes [1].

Since 2019, diabetes has become a more significant global epidemic, affecting almost 80% of diabetic adults in low- and middle-income countries, where the primary healthcare system is still developing. This scenario exacerbates the global challenge of efficiently managing diabetes and its associated consequences [2]. Undiagnosed diabetes is a major issue in many countries, with a significant number of individuals only being diagnosed when complications arising from the condition become apparent. India has a significant number of people with diabetes, and there are noticeable differences in the prevalence of the disease across different regions [2,3]. In 2019, India has an estimated 77 million persons between the ages of 20 and 79 with diabetes. It is expected that the number of diabetics will increase to 100.95 million by 2030 and 134.23 million by 2045 [4]. It is projected that the age-adjusted prevalence of diabetes in India will increase from the present rate of 10.4% to 11.2% by 2030, and then to 11.5% by 2045. Furthermore, approximately 43.9 million people in India are living with undiagnosed diabetes [4].

Nevertheless, due to the increasing prevalence of type 2 diabetes in India, diabetic retinopathy is rapidly becoming as the leading factor contributing to visual impairment among adults in developed areas. The prevalence is expected to increase from 4.21 million in 2020 to 6.08 million in 2030 [3]. Timely detection and prompt care can avert vision damage associated with diabetes. India, like many low- and middle-income countries, does not have a structured state-level or national-level screening programme for diabetic retinopathy. Currently, in India, the evaluation of the retina is only conducted during specific occasions when access to diabetic eye facilities is available, and usually after the vision impairment has already reached an advanced stage [4].

In order to address the healthcare access disparities and ensure equitable access to medical services for diabetic retinopathy, it is imperative to implement specific measures that would improve

healthcare accessibility for the Indian population. This entails enhancing the training of more medical experts, enhancing the quality of the data, and closely monitoring the performance of the healthcare pipeline to optimise the implementation of superior medical practices. To resolve the shortage of ophthalmologists, it is imperative to promptly train allied specialties to be more comprehensive by enhancing their skills and competences through education, research, policy, and practice. This will help bridge the gap between the needs of the population and the clinical demand [5].

Analysing statistics on its frequency lays the groundwork for comprehending the importance of implementing broad preventive measures and focused therapies. The socio-economic consequences of blindness caused by diabetic retinopathy are significant, in addition to its impact on individual well-being. This section examines the wider consequences of diabetic retinopathy, including the economic costs, reduced quality of life, and societal difficulties resulting from visual loss.

This study will adopt a descriptive approach to formulate the findings of the study which is a systematic literature review.

## 2. Literature Review

Diabetic retinopathy is a major worldwide health issue that requires the use of sophisticated imaging and segmentation methods to ensure accurate diagnosis and treatment. The Taurus Wavelet Algorithm, introduced by Castro et al. in 2018 [6], provides a significant advancement in the field of retinal image segmentation. It effectively addresses the requirement for efficient and automated techniques in analyzing fundus oculi.

In order to examine the complexities of the Taurus Wavelet Algorithm and its noteworthy contributions, we direct our focus towards the research conducted by Castro et al. in 2018 [6]. The authors conduct a thorough examination of the objectives, technique, and importance of the Taurus Wavelet Algorithm in their paper titled "A Fast-Multiresolution Approach Useful for Retinal Image Segmentation." Castro and colleagues [6] demonstrated the algorithm's ability to accurately identify important characteristics related to retinal illnesses by comparing it to well-known databases like DRIVE and DIARETDB03. Let us explore their findings in depth, uncovering the potential of the Taurus Wavelet Algorithm in furthering the field of diabetic retinopathy research.

The fundamental goal of the Taurus Wavelet Algorithm is to improve and identify important features in retinal pictures, with a particular emphasis on vascular tortuosity. Retinal disorders, including retinopathy of prematurity, diabetic retinopathy, and hypertensive retinopathy, manifest different abnormalities at the back of the eye, underscoring the significance of timely identification and examination. The methodology of the Taurus Wavelet Algorithm is characterized by a smart, efficient, and unsupervised automated approach. The system utilizes a filter bank structure that is influenced by the à trous wavelet algorithm, a method well-known for its high computational effectiveness. The main goal of this methodology is to achieve a harmonious equilibrium between fast computation speeds and the extraction of essential information necessary for evaluating vascular tortuosity and segmenting retinal pictures. The Taurus Wavelet Algorithm stands out from standard approaches, such as those using Gabor or Gaussian filters, due to its unique application of an elliptical Gaussian filter bank. This distinctive selection not only improves the speed of the algorithm but also reduces the need for considerable parameter adjustment, making the total process more efficient. The versatility and efficiency of the elliptical Gaussian filter bank are crucial factors in the algorithm's success, enabling it to generate robust results with significant computing speed. The importance of this approach lies in its effectiveness in retrieving pertinent information, allowing for the evaluation of vascular tortuosity—a critical indicator in the early identification of retinal diseases. Taurus utilizes kernel rotation and a wavelet-based methodology to efficiently process retinal pictures while maintaining the integrity of recovered information.

A comparison research was performed to assess the efficacy of the Taurus Wavelet Algorithm, utilizing two well-known public databases: DRIVE and DIARETDB03. The DRIVE database, consisting of 40 retinal images annotated by professionals, serves as a standard for evaluating the algorithm's effectiveness. The DIARETDB03 database contains 130 retinal photos that have been classified by four experts. It specifically concentrates on symptoms of diabetic retinopathy, such as haemorrhages, exudates, and microaneurysms (MA). The Taurus Wavelet Algorithm showed impressive results when compared to the SCIRD-TS algorithm, which is widely recognized as one of the most effective supervised approaches for recognizing retinal thin structures. The evaluation metrics used for a thorough examination were Feature SIMilarity (FSIM) and Dice coefficients. During the DRIVE experiment, Taurus demonstrated an FSIM (Feature Similarity Index Measure) of 0.88 and a Dice coefficient of 0.85, indicating its high level of efficacy in capturing both overall image features and pixel-wise similarities. On DIARETDB03, the algorithm demonstrated a strong performance in distinguishing retinal characteristics related to diabetic retinopathy, as evidenced by FSIM=0.89 and Dice=0.83. The Taurus Wavelet Algorithm's tolerance to noise levels up to 30% was confirmed through additional validation tests that introduced Gaussian noise. Unlike other algorithms that necessitate manual calibration and lengthy parameter modifications, Taurus, being unsupervised, demonstrated its flexibility and effectiveness in processing images with few input parameters.

To summarize, the Taurus Wavelet Algorithm represents a significant breakthrough in the field of diabetic retinopathy investigation. It presents a non-supervised and highly efficient method for segmenting retinal images. The methodology's rapidity, together with its capacity to improve and evaluate vascular tortuosity, establishes it as a powerful instrument for early identification and surveillance of retinal illnesses.

The study conducted by Castro and colleagues [6] on diabetic retinopathy demonstrates some noteworthy strengths that make a substantial contribution to the discipline. This work is notable for its careful and precise use of segmentation approaches, particularly the Taurus Wavelet algorithm. It provides a thorough and comprehensive examination of how this algorithm might be applied to diagnose diabetic retinopathy. The authors present a lucid and meticulously organized technique, which enhances the ability to replicate their findings. The study additionally showcases a meticulous examination of the algorithm's performance indicators, such as sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy, promoting a comprehensive comprehension of its effectiveness. In addition, the use of real-world datasets, such as DIARETDBO, enhances the study's external validity by demonstrating the algorithm's effectiveness in a wide range of realistic situations. The authors' dedication to verifying their findings through empirical validation and comparing them to established algorithms enhances the credibility of their research. This contributes significant insights to the continuing discussion on diagnostic techniques for diabetic retinopathy.

The study conducted by Castro and his colleagues [6] on diabetic retinopathy surely provides significant insights to the profession. However, it does not address particular gaps and deficiencies in the existing knowledge. An important element to note is the insufficient examination of different classification methods and their comparative analysis. The work primarily investigates a particular segmentation technique, but a more complete analysis of different classification methods could enhance our understanding of their individual merits and drawbacks. Furthermore, the study mainly focuses on evaluating the algorithm's performance on certain datasets, thus neglecting its capacity to adapt to numerous datasets with different levels of complexity. Further examination of the scalability and generalizability of the suggested algorithm could strengthen the study's wider usefulness. In addition, taking into account the obstacles that may arise during real-world implementation or potential constraints in clinical settings would provide valuable practical insights. By addressing these limitations, the study's strength and relevance in the wider context of diagnosing diabetic retinopathy could be improved.

Further efforts to optimize the code and investigate the binary representation of vessels will boost the algorithm's usability in the future. Taurus distinguishes itself as a non-supervised technique with real-time application potential due to its distinctive utilization of an elliptical Gaussian filter bank and the lack of considerable parameter adjustment. The advancement of technology has led to the development of the Taurus Wavelet Algorithm, which has the potential to enhance the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy and contribute to the ongoing efforts to improve healthcare outcomes.



Our investigation delves into various carefully designed tactics that aim to improve the accuracy of diagnosis in diabetic retinopathy, as we navigate the complex journey from segmentation to classification methodologies. We are currently focusing on Bayesian Logistic Regression (BLR), a powerful method used for automated categorization of diabetic retinopathy. The following part thoroughly analyzes the detailed technique that forms the foundation of BLR, incorporating enlightening insights from the research conducted by Prasad et al., [7]. As we delve into the complex mechanisms of BLR, our investigation extends beyond mere theory. Afterwards, we thoroughly examine the metrics and performance, specifically focusing on their application to the complex DIARETDBO dataset. This transition involves progressing from the process of dividing retinal pictures into segments to the intricate task of categorizing them, offering a sophisticated comprehension of techniques that signal a new era in the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy.

### **3. Findings**

Diabetic retinopathy, a consequence of diabetes that affects small blood vessels in the eyes, continues to be a major cause of visual impairment worldwide, especially among Asian populations. The incidence of diabetes is increasing, leading to a growing burden of diabetic retinopathy [8]. Gaining knowledge about the epidemiology, risk factors, and pathophysiology of diabetic retinopathy is essential in order to create successful methods for prevention and control [9,10]. Diabetic retinopathy refers to a range of abnormalities in the retina, ranging from modest non-proliferative alterations to severe proliferative forms that can result in vision loss if not addressed [8].

Methods for evaluating and selecting candidates: The early detection and management of diabetic retinopathy require highly effective screening. Conventional techniques, including dilated fundus exams, are efficient but require a significant amount of resources [11]. Different screening approaches, including as telemedicine and digital imaging, have been investigated to improve accessibility and cost-effectiveness [12,13]. Telemedicine has demonstrated potential in enhancing the availability of screening programs, particularly in underserved and rural regions [14]. The influential ETDRS has not only influenced our comprehension of diabetic retinopathy but has also directed the development of screening and treatment approaches, highlighting the significance of prompt intervention [15].

Therapeutic Methods: Significant progress has been made in the therapy of diabetic macular edema, a serious consequence of diabetic retinopathy. Both pan-retinal photocoagulation and the administration of anti-VEGF medicines have become essential methods for preventing and treating diabetic macular oedema [15,16]. The selection of treatment method is impacted by parameters such as initial visual acuity, thickness of the retina, and the existence of macular ischemia [16]. The introduction of anti-VEGF medicines has significantly transformed the way we handle medical conditions, offering a viable alternative to laser therapy and showing better results in certain cases [15].

Advancements in Screening Technology: Technological breakthroughs have revolutionized the field of diabetic retinopathy

screening. Telemedicine programs have become effective tools for improving screening efficiency through the use of digital imagery and remote evaluation [11,13]. Research has shown that telemedicine is helpful in identifying diabetic retinopathy, and it has the ability to address disparities in metropolitan areas, especially among minority groups [17]. Nonmydriatic ultrawide field retinal imaging, such as Optomap, provides a complete visual examination for assessing diabetic retinopathy, allowing for a more thorough evaluation of peripheral retinal abnormalities [18].

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) is a crucial tool for evaluating the structure of the retina and has greatly aided in the early identification of macular edema [19,20]. OCT enables accurate assessment of retinal thickness and volume, offering useful insights to improve treatment choices for diabetic macular edema [21,22]. The integration of OCT into regular clinical practice has bolstered our capacity to track the advancement of diseases and evaluate the effectiveness of treatments, leading to better outcomes for patients [20].

Investigation into possible indicators for microvascular problems in diabetes has been intensifying. Retinal vascular measures, such as calibre and tortuosity, have demonstrated potential as markers of first vascular alterations in diabetic retinopathy [23,24]. Furthermore, researchers are also studying OCT-derived characteristics, including as macular thickness and volume, as potential indicators of disease severity and progression [25]. Discovering dependable biomarkers has the potential to improve the categorization of risk and direct customized treatment methods for diabetic retinopathy.

The advancement of automated grading systems has become a revolutionary method in screening for diabetic retinopathy. Advanced algorithms facilitate the identification and categorization of retinal lesions with exceptional precision, providing a cost-efficient and effective substitute for conventional manual grading [46,11]. Automated solutions provide the capacity to optimize screening programs, alleviate the strain on healthcare resources, and enable prompt intervention [26]. The advancement of technology allows for the incorporation of artificial intelligence in the processing of images, which shows potential in enhancing the ability to scale and make diabetic retinopathy screening more accessible.

The care of diabetic retinopathy has undergone significant breakthroughs due to progress in medical imaging, artificial intelligence, and telemedicine. Conventional screening approaches have been replaced by advanced imaging technologies, including as OCT and fundus photography, which improve the precision and effectiveness of detecting early diabetic retinopathy [27].

Artificial intelligence (AI) has been a powerful catalyst in the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy, bringing about significant changes. State-of-the-art machine learning algorithms analyze retinal pictures with exceptional accuracy, assisting physicians in promptly detecting subtle disease changes. This integration not only speeds up the process of diagnosing but also shows potential for conducting widespread screening of populations, especially in situations with limited resources.

Telemedicine has become a crucial component in the care of diabetic retinopathy, allowing for remote screening and monitoring. Teleophthalmology enables prompt interventions, bridging the divide between patients in underprivileged regions and specialized eye care. This section examines the influence of telemedicine on the screening of diabetic retinopathy and addresses the obstacles and possibilities linked to its extensive use.

In addition to screening, progress in pharmacological and surgical procedures has expanded the range of therapeutic options for diabetic retinopathy. Treatment options such as intravitreal injections of anti-VEGF medicines and surgical treatments provide new approaches for managing the latter stages of the illness. This section offers information on the most recent advancements in therapies for diabetic retinopathy and how they affect patient outcomes.

This subsection examines the prospective approaches for managing diabetic retinopathy, while considering future possibilities. The developing field of research on diabetic retinopathy encompasses a range of innovative approaches, such as gene treatments and nanotechnology-based interventions. These developments show potential for significant advancements that could revolutionize the conventional methods of therapy. Foreseen progress and continuing research efforts offer a look into the promising possibilities that await in the future.

The evaluation of diabetic retinopathy, although making substantial progress, has various difficult obstacles that hinder the smooth process of diagnosing and treating the condition. It is crucial to acknowledge and tackle these difficulties in order to improve the effectiveness of existing management techniques and lay the foundation for future developments [27].

A major obstacle in evaluating diabetic retinopathy is the restricted availability of specialized eye care, especially in impoverished areas. A significant number of individuals impacted by this issue live in regions where there is a shortage of eye care specialists and diagnostic facilities. This geographical discrepancy worsens the problem of delayed diagnosis and intervention, which in turn leads to the advancement of diabetic retinopathy to more severe stages before it is detected.

Despite the growing recognition of the difficulties associated with diabetes, a substantial number of people still lack information of the significance of frequent eye tests. The significant difficulty arises from a lack of awareness and inadequate adherence to screening guidelines. It is essential to educate patients about the vital need of early detection in order to prevent eyesight loss and overcome this challenge.

Efficient therapy of diabetic retinopathy requires smooth interdisciplinary coordination among endocrinologists, primary care physicians, and ophthalmologists. Nevertheless, attaining this harmonious coordination presents difficulties pertaining to the exchange of information, communication, and a cohesive strategy to providing medical treatment. It is crucial to bridge these gaps in order to ensure comprehensive and coordinated therapy of diabetic patients who are at risk of retinopathy.

Although artificial intelligence (AI) shows great potential in evaluating diabetic retinopathy, incorporating it into regular clinical practice poses difficulties. It is crucial to prioritize the ethical and responsible implementation of AI algorithms, including tackling bias issues and verifying the effectiveness of these technologies across various populations. These measures are essential for overcoming challenges related to the integration of developing technologies.

The high cost continues to be a major obstacle to the general use of improved diagnostic and treatment techniques. Ensuring accessibility across varied socioeconomic strata is crucial, and it relies heavily on the affordability of screening programs, diagnostic tools, and treatment alternatives. Overcoming this dilemma requires sustainable solutions that effectively balance efficacy and cost-effectiveness.

The intrinsic diversity in the course of diabetic retinopathy among people complicates its assessment. Designing therapies that are customized to the specific attributes of each instance is a difficulty that necessitates a sophisticated comprehension of the disease's complex and diverse characteristics. Tackling these difficulties requires a thorough and cooperative endeavour from healthcare professionals, politicians, researchers, and technology innovators. To achieve a more equitable, efficient, and patient-centric assessment of diabetic retinopathy, it is important to directly address these challenges.

The progress in medical imaging and artificial intelligence has stimulated the creation of multiple lesion-detection systems for diabetic retinopathy. Assessing the effectiveness of these systems is essential for comprehending their practical value in clinical settings and pinpointing areas that need enhancement. This section provides a comprehensive analysis of lesion detection methods, including insights into their advantages, constraints, and potential areas for improvement [27].

Lesion detection systems are often evaluated using sensitivity and specificity measures. Sensitivity measures the system's capacity to accurately detect true positive cases, guaranteeing that genuine abnormalities are not missed. Conversely, specificity quantifies the system's precision in correctly detecting true negative cases and reducing the occurrence of false-positive outcomes. Achieving a proper equilibrium between high sensitivity and specificity is crucial for a strong and reliable detection system.

Efficiently incorporating lesion detection systems into the clinical workflow is crucial for their practical usefulness. Evaluating the interoperability of these systems with current diagnostic methods, electronic health record systems, and the broader patient care continuum is crucial. The adoption of these technologies by healthcare professionals is facilitated by interfaces that are easy to use and compatibility between different systems.

Although numerous lesion detection systems exhibit potential in controlled environments, it is crucial to conduct extensive validation studies to assess their actual usefulness in real-world scenarios. To ensure the applicability of the systems in different healthcare settings, it is important to take into account the variety of patient demographics, imaging equipment, and ambient circumstances.



Diabetic retinopathy presents with several types of lesions, such as microaneurysms (MAs), hemorrhages, and exudates. Assessing the resilience of detection systems to these diverse abnormalities is essential. Systems must exhibit consistent performance across all types and stages of lesions, guaranteeing complete coverage in real-world clinical circumstances.

The prominence of interpretability in lesion detection systems' decision-making is increasing. It is crucial to establish that these systems deliver clear and comprehensible results and explanations for their evaluations in order to earn the confidence of healthcare professionals. Explanability also enhances the continuous conversation between AI systems and human diagnosticians.

The field of medical imaging and artificial intelligence is characterized by ongoing progress and constant innovations. Evaluating the ability of current lesion detection systems to adjust to new technologies, such as advanced imaging methods and developing AI algorithms, guarantees their significance and durability in the swiftly changing environment.

This comprehensive assessment of current lesion detection systems serves as a basis for improving and progressing the cutting-edge technology used in diagnosing diabetic retinopathy. The acknowledgment of the positive aspects and resolution of the drawbacks of these systems drives the field towards the development of more precise, efficient, and medically significant technologies that can assist patients globally.

Automated detection technologies for diabetic retinopathy have the potential to significantly transform healthcare resources, presenting both opportunities and challenges [27]. Gaining a comprehensive understanding of the subtle effects on many aspects of healthcare delivery is crucial for maximizing the allocation of resources and guaranteeing the long-term implementation of sustainable practices.

**Improved diagnostic accuracy and effectiveness:** An important advantage of automated detection systems is their capacity to accelerate the diagnostic procedure. These technologies enable healthcare practitioners to streamline the diagnostic workflow by quickly analyzing retinal images and identifying probable problems, allowing them to concentrate their expertise on proven cases. This increase in efficiency holds the potential to decrease the amount of time it takes to diagnose patients and enhance the results and prognosis for patients.

**personnel Optimization:** Automated detection technologies can enhance the efficiency of healthcare personnel utilization. Through the automation of the first screening process, healthcare practitioners can more efficiently deploy their time and expertise, focusing on intricate cases and patient care. This reallocation of duties enhances the effectiveness and agility of the healthcare system.

**Issues related to the allocation of resources:** Nevertheless, the extensive implementation of automated detection systems presents difficulties in terms of resource allocation. Prudent deliberation is necessary while making investments in infrastructure, training, and continuous system maintenance. Ensuring a careful equilibrium between the initial financial investment and the long-term benefits

in terms of improved diagnostic efficiency is of utmost importance for healthcare facilities seeking to successfully adopt these technologies.

**Effect on Screening Programs:** Integrating automated detection technologies into diabetic retinopathy screening programs has the potential to increase the scope and efficiency of screening. Enhanced availability of early detection services can be advantageous for remote or underserved communities. To accommodate this extended scope, careful strategic planning is required to guarantee fair accessibility and mitigate any potential discrepancies in healthcare provision.

Factors must be taken into account when managing and ensuring the security of data: Robust data management and security procedures are crucial when automated detection systems create and process large quantities of patient data. Integrating these tools into healthcare operations requires ensuring adherence to data privacy requirements, maintaining patient confidentiality, and protecting against cybersecurity threats.

**Cost-Benefit Analysis:** An exhaustive cost-benefit analysis is crucial for assessing the economic feasibility of adopting automated detection systems. In addition to financial factors, the analysis should also include enhancements in patient outcomes, cost reductions in treatment through early detection, and the broader societal effects of alleviating the burden of diabetic retinopathy. Healthcare stakeholders must effectively manage the ever-changing process of implementing automated detection, considering both the advantages and difficulties involved. By employing a methodical strategy for distributing resources and engaging in strategic planning, healthcare facilities can effectively leverage the complete capabilities of these technologies to improve diagnostic procedures and patient care.

Prior to exploring the complexities of grading variability and its connection to deep learning models, it is essential to acknowledge the difficulties involved in evaluating diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular oedema. The assessment of these disorders requires a thorough examination of retinal imaging, considering many characteristics such as MAs, intraretinal haemorrhages, and neovascularization. Nevertheless, this procedure is prone to variation among human evaluators, resulting in discrepancies in the evaluations of severity. In Section 5, titled "Grading Variability and Deep Learning Models," we will examine how several research, such as the one conducted by Krause et al. [28], have addressed the problem of variability. We will explore the intricacies of developing dependable reference standards, the application of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), and the sequential thresholds used for picture classification. To provide a thorough conversation about incorporating deep learning models to improve the accuracy and efficiency of DR grading systems, it is crucial to comprehend and tackle grading variability.

#### **4. Conclusion**

Ultimately, the management of diabetic retinopathy has undergone substantial changes because to developments in screening and diagnostic technologies. The ETDRS has played a crucial role in shaping treatment approaches for diabetic retinopathy. Additionally, the advancements in telemedicine, ultrawide field

imaging, OCT, and automated image analysis have had a transformative effect on diabetic retinopathy screening and management. These technologies have collectively improved efficiency, accessibility, and personalized approaches to the disease. Further research and technological advancements have the potential to improve our understanding of the biology of diabetic retinopathy and develop more effective techniques for preventing and treating the condition.

## References

1. Raman, R., Vasconcelos, J.C., Rajalakshmi, R., Prevost, A.T., Ramasamy, K., Mohan, V., Mohan, D., Rani, P.K., Conroy, D., Das, T. & Sivaprasad, S. (2022). Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in India stratified by known and undiagnosed diabetes, urban–rural locations, and socioeconomic indices: results from SMART India population-based cross-sectional screening study. *The Lancet Global Health*, 10(12), e1764–e1773. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from [https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(22\)00411-9](https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00411-9).
2. Brar, A.S., Sahoo, J., Behera, U.C., Jonas, J.B., Sivaprasad, S. & Das, T. (2022). Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in urban and rural India: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology*, 70(6), 1945. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from [https://www.doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO\\_2206\\_21](https://www.doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO_2206_21).
3. Raman, R., Gella, L., Srinivasan, S. & Sharma, T. (2016). Diabetic retinopathy: An epidemic at home and around the world. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology*, 64(1), 69. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.4103/0301-4738.178150>.
4. India State-Level Disease Burden Initiative Diabetes Collaborators; Tandon, N., Anjana, R.M., Mohan, V., Kaur, T., Afshin, A., Ong, K., Mukhopadhyay, S., Thomas, N., Bhatia, E., Krishnan, A. & Mathur, P. (2018). The increasing burden of diabetes and variations among the states of India: The Global Burden of Disease Study 1990–2016. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(12), e1352–e1362. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from [https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(18\)30387-5](https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30387-5).
5. Anjana, R.M., Deepa, M., Pradeepa, R., Mahanta, J., Narain, K., Das, H.K., Adhikari, P., Rao, P.V., Saboo, B., Kumar, A. and Bhansali, A., John, M., Luaia, R., Reang, T., Ningombam, S., Jampa, L., Budnah, R. O., Elangovan, N., Subashini, R., Venkatesan, U., Unnikrishnan, R., Das, A.K., Madhu, S.V., Ali, M.K., Pandey, A., Dhaliwal, R.S., Kaur, T., Swaminathan, S., Mohan, V. & ICMR–INDIAB Collaborative Study Group. (2017). Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in 15 states of India: results from the ICMR–INDIAB population-based cross-sectional study. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology*, 5(8), 585–596. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from [https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(17\)30174-2](https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30174-2).
6. Castro, D.L., Tegolo, D. & Valenti, C. (2018). A fast multiresolution approach useful for retinal image segmentation, In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Pattern Recognition Applications and Methods (ICPRAM 2018)*, 340–345. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.5220/0006579203400345>.
7. Prasad, D.L., Vibha, L. & Venugopal, K.R. (2018). An automated diabetic retinopathy classification system using bayesian logistic regression classifier. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science*, 9(1), 855–859. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.26483/ijarcs.v9i1.5464>.
8. Cheung, N., Mitchell, P. & Wong, T.Y. (2010). Diabetic retinopathy. *Lancet*, 376(1), 124–136. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)62124-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)62124-3).
9. Resnikoff, S., Pascolini, D., Etya'Ale, D., Kocur, I., Pararajasegaram, R., Pokharel, G.P. & Mariotti, S.P. (2004). Global data on visual impairment in the year 2002. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 82(11), 844–851. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1590/S0042-96862004001100009>.
10. Song, S.J. & Wong, T.Y. (2014). Current concepts in diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes & Metabolism Journal*, 38(6), 416–425. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.4093/dmj.2014.38.6.416>.
11. Abràmoff, M.D., Garvin, M.K. & Sonka, M., (2010). Retinal imaging and image analysis. *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, 3(1), 169–208. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1109/RBME.2010.2084567>.
12. Scanlon, P.H. (2019). Update on screening for sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy. *Ophthalmic Research*, 62(4), 218–224. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1159/000499539>.
13. Taylor-Phillips, S., Mistry, H., Leslie, R., Todkill, D., Tsertsvadze, A., Connock, M. & Clarke, A. (2016). Extending the diabetic retinopathy screening interval beyond 1 year: systematic review. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 100(1), 105–114. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2014-305938>.
14. Silva, P.S., Cruz, A.J.D., Ledesma, M.G., van Hemert, J., Radwan, A., Cavallerano, J.D., Aiello, L.M., Sun, J.K. & Aiello, L.P. (2015a). Diabetic retinopathy severity and peripheral lesions are associated with nonperfusion on ultrawide field angiography. *Ophthalmology*, 122(12), 2465–2472. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.07.034>.
15. Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. (1987). Indications for photocoagulation. *International Ophthalmology Clinics*, 27(4), 239–253. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1097/00004397-198702740-00004>.
16. Sameen, M., Khan, M.S., Mukhtar, A., Yaqub, M.A. & Ishaq, M. (2017). Efficacy of intravitreal bevacizumab combined with pan retinal photocoagulation versus panretinal photocoagulation alone in treatment of proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, 33(1), 142–145. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.12669/pjms.331.11497>.
17. Wells, J.A., Glassman, A.R., Jampol, L.M., Aiello, L.P., Antoszyk, A.N., Baker, C.W., Bressler, N.M., Browning, D.J., Connor, C.G., Elman, M.J. & Ferris, F.L. (2016). Association of baseline visual acuity and retinal thickness with 1-year efficacy of aflibercept, bevacizumab, and ranibizumab for



- diabetic macular edema. *JAMA Ophthalmology*, 134(2), 127-134. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2015.4599>.
18. Silva, P.S., Cavallerano, J.D., Haddad, N.M.N., Kwak, H., Dyer, K.H., Omar, A.F., Shikari, H., Aiello, L.M., Sun, J.K. & Aiello, L.P. (2015b). Peripheral lesions identified on ultrawide field imaging predict increased risk of diabetic retinopathy progression over 4 years. *Ophthalmology*, 122(5), 949-956. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.01.008>.
19. Silva, P.S., Cruz, A.J.D., Ledesma, M.G., van Hemert, J., Radwan, A., Cavallerano, J.D., Aiello, L.M., Sun, J.K. & Aiello, L.P. (2015c). Diabetic retinopathy severity and peripheral lesions are associated with nonperfusion on ultrawide field angiography. *Ophthalmology*, 122(12), 2465-2472. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.07.034>.
20. Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. (2007). Comparison of the modified Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study and mild macular grid laser photocoagulation strategies for diabetic macular edema. *Archives of Ophthalmology*, 125(4), 469-480. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1001/archophth.125.4.469>.
21. Vujosevic, S., Martini, F., Longhin, E., Convento, E., Cavarzeran, F. & Midena, E. (2015). Subthreshold micropulse yellow laser versus subthreshold micropulse infrared laser in center-involving diabetic macular edema: morphologic and functional safety. *Retina*, 35(8), 1594-1603. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1097/IAE.0000000000000521>.
22. Bressler, S.B., Ayala, A.R., Bressler, N.M., Melia, M., Qin, H., Ferris, F.L., Flaxel, C.J., Friedman, S.M., Glassman, A.R., Jampol, L.M. & Rauser, M.E. (2016). Persistent macular thickening after ranibizumab treatment for diabetic macular edema with vision impairment. *JAMA Ophthalmology*, 134(3), 278-285. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2015.5346>.
23. Elman, M.J., Aiello, L.P., Beck, R.W., Bressler, N.M., Bressler, S.B., Edwards, A.R., Ferris III, F.L., Friedman, S.M., Glassman, A.R., Miller, K.M. and Scott, I.U., 2010. Randomized trial evaluating ranibizumab plus prompt or deferred laser or triamcinolone plus prompt laser for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*, 117(6), 1064-1077. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.02.031>.
24. Cheung, C.Y.L., Lamoureux, E., Ikram, M.K., Sasongko, M.B., Ding, J., Zheng, Y., Mitchell, P., Wang, J.J. & Wong, T.Y. (2012). Retinal vascular geometry in Asian persons with diabetes and retinopathy. *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, 6(3), 595-605. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1177/193229681200600315>.
25. Wang, Q., Kocaoglu, O.P., Cense, B., Bruestle, J., Jonnal, R.S., Gao, W. & Miller, D.T. (2011). Imaging retinal capillaries using ultrahigh-resolution optical coherence tomography and adaptive optics. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 52(9), 6292-6299. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.10-6424>.
26. Sophocleous, S. (2017). Use of optical coherence topography for objective assessment of fundus torsion. *Case Reports*, 2017(1), bcr2016216867. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2016-216867>.
27. Ting, D.S., Tay-Kearney, M.L. & Kanagasigam, Y. (2012). Light and portable novel device for diabetic retinopathy screening. *Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology*, 40(1), e40-e46. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9071.2011.02732.x>.
28. Goh, J.K.H., Cheung, C.Y., Sim, S.S., Tan, P.C., Tan, G.S.W. & Wong, T.Y. (2016). Retinal imaging techniques for diabetic retinopathy screening. *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology*, 10(2), 282-294. Retrieved November 29, 2023 from <https://www.doi.org/10.1177/1932296816629491>.