DOI: 10.1111/mpp.13330

REVIEW

Foxtail mosaic virus: A tool for gene function analysis in maize and other monocots

Bliss M. Beernink^{[1](#page-0-0),2} | Steven A. Whitham¹ \bullet

1 Department of Plant Pathology, Entomology, and Microbiology, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, USA

²Department of Biology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

Correspondence

Steven A. Whitham, Department of Plant Pathology, Entomology, and Microbiology, Iowa State University, 2213 Pammel Dr., 1344 ATRB, Ames, IA 50011-1101, USA. Email: swhitham@iastate.edu

Funding information

Iowa State University Crop Bioengineering Center; Iowa State University Plant Sciences Institute; National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Grant/Award Number: 2020-67013-31547 and Hatch Project number 4308

Abstract

Many plant viruses have been engineered into vectors for use in functional genomics studies, expression of heterologous proteins, and, most recently, gene editing applications. The use of viral vectors overcomes bottlenecks associated with mutagenesis and transgenesis approaches often implemented for analysis of gene function. There are several engineered viruses that are demonstrated or suggested to be useful in maize through proof-of-concept studies. However, foxtail mosaic virus (FoMV), which has a relatively broad host range, is emerging as a particularly useful virus for gene function studies in maize and other monocot crop or weed species. A few clones of FoMV have been independently engineered, and they have different features and capabilities for virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) and virus-mediated overexpression (VOX) of proteins. In addition, FoMV can be used to deliver functional guide RNAs in maize and other plants expressing the Cas9 protein, demonstrating its potential utility in virus-induced gene editing applications. There is a growing number of studies in which FoMV vectors are being applied for VIGS or VOX in maize and the vast majority of these are related to maize–microbe interactions. In this review, we highlight the biology and engineering of FoMV as well as its applications in maize–microbe interactions and more broadly in the context of the monocot functional genomics toolbox.

KEYWORDS

foxtail mosaic virus, maize, monocot, VIGE, VIGS, virus vector, VOX

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

Plant viruses are generally viewed unfavourably because their infections have a wide range of negative impacts on plant health. However, many plant viruses have been engineered to exploit their unique characteristics for positive aims. These engineered viruses have been characterized and utilized in plants for gene silencing, gene expression, and gene editing applications. The use of virusbased vectors for gene function analysis in the field of plant biology has been exceptionally valuable for experiments in both model and non-model organisms, and recent comprehensive reviews are available that describe many vectors and their applications (Abrahamien et al., [2020;](#page-9-0) Cody & Scholthof, [2019;](#page-9-1) Kant & Dasgupta, [2019;](#page-10-0) Khakhar & Voytas, [2021](#page-10-1); Pasin et al., [2019](#page-10-2); Rössner et al., [2022\)](#page-11-0). With respect to host–pathogen interactions, recombinant viruses are used to silence host genes (virus-induced gene silencing, VIGS), transiently express plant and pathogen genes (virus-mediated overexpression, VOX), and silence pathogen genes (host-induced gene silencing, HIGS). We anticipate that as virus-induced gene editing (VIGE) applications improve there will be many examples of their use

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. *Molecular Plant Pathology* published by British Society for Plant Pathology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

2 [|] BEERNINK and WHITHAM

for modifying genes associated with host–pathogen interactions in the future (Gentzel et al., [2022](#page-9-2)).

Zea mays (maize) is a key cereal crop that is grown worldwide and is an important model species. There are many genetic and genomic resources available to facilitate gene function analyses, and over the years a growing number of virus-based vectors have been reported to be useful in maize. At the present time, there are at least 11 different virus species that have been tested in maize for their utility in VIGS, VOX, and/or VIGE (Table [1\)](#page-1-0). We also consider another use, virus-induced flowering (VIF), which has not yet been demonstrated in maize, but is feasible in other monocots. VIF results from the transient overexpression of Flowering Locus T (FT) homologues in plants by means of a virus, and it is proposed to be of potential use to accelerate breeding programmes through the induction of flowering (Ayre et al., [2020](#page-9-3); Yuan et al., [2020](#page-11-1)).

Of the 11 virus species for which there is evidence that they may have utility as viral vectors in maize, each one has inherent advantages and disadvantages. Some viruses, such as cucumber mosaic virus (CMV), brome mosaic virus (BMV), and maize rayado fino virus (MRFV) (Ding et al., [2006](#page-9-4); Mlotshwa et al., [2020](#page-10-3); Wang et al., [2016](#page-11-2)), only have capacity to carry relatively small foreign inserts and therefore their use will probably be limited to VIGS and potentially VIGE (Willemsen & Zwart, [2019\)](#page-11-3). Tobacco rattle virus (TRV), which is exceptionally useful in many dicots (Shi et al., [2021](#page-11-4)), was reported to cause VIGS of *phytoene desaturase* (*ZmPds*) in maize seedlings (Zhang et al., [2017\)](#page-11-5), but the question of how well it can actually replicate and move systemically in maize has not been adequately addressed. Sugarcane mosaic virus (SCMV), maize dwarf mosaic virus (MDMV), and wheat streak mosaic virus (WSMV) are potyviruses that encode a large polyprotein. Protein expression in maize has been demonstrated via insertion of cloning sites that allow these viruses to express proteins from sequences that are cloned in frame with the viral polyprotein (Mei et al., [2019](#page-10-4); Tatineni et al., [2010;](#page-11-6) Xie et al., [2021\)](#page-11-7). Interestingly, gene fragments for VIGS applications can also be inserted into these positions as long as the open

TABLE 1 Viral vectors developed for use in *Zea mays*.

reading frame (ORF) is preserved (Chung et al., [2022](#page-9-5); Xie et al., [2021\)](#page-11-7). Moreover, it was shown that MDMV can be used to simultaneously express a protein and silence multiple target genes in maize plants (Xie et al., [2021](#page-11-7)). Because SCMV, MDMV, and WSMV encode large polyproteins, their genomes also serve as the sole viral messenger RNAs and therefore they do not produce shorter subgenomic messenger RNAs. The lack of subgenomic messenger RNA suggests that these viruses may not be useful for delivering guide RNAs for VIGE, but there may be strategies to overcome this limitation (Luo et al., [2021\)](#page-10-5).

The viruses mentioned so far have single-stranded, positivesense RNA genomes, and for these kinds of viruses the technology to produce infectious clones and manipulate them to accept the insertion of foreign sequences has been available since the 1980s (e.g., Ahlquist et al., [1984](#page-9-6); French et al., [1986\)](#page-9-7). Maize mosaic virus (MMV) and barley yellow striate mosaic virus (BYSMV) in contrast are negative (−)-strand RNA viruses, and only recently has the ability to engineer infectious clones derived from them been demonstrated (Gao et al., [2019;](#page-9-8) Kanakala et al., [2022](#page-10-6)). These (−)-strand RNA viruses are interesting because they have more stable insertions that are less susceptible to homologous recombination and spontaneous deletions, and they independently express multiple sequences, including ORFs, gene fragments, and guide RNA. As such, there is anticipation over their use for delivering clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and CRISPR-associated proteins (Cas) reagents as well as VIGS and VOX of multigenic metabolic pathways. If technical hurdles can be overcome related to initiating infections, which currently rely on inoculation into transgenic plant lines expressing the replication proteins and subsequent transfer of the resulting virions into experimental host plants by way of an insect vector, then these viruses may gain widespread use.

At the present time, the virus species that is most widely used in maize for gene function analyses is foxtail mosaic virus (FoMV). FoMV is becoming routinely used for VIGS and VOX, and it can deliver functional guide RNAs that can direct genome edits in maize

Abbreviations: VIF, virus-induced flowering; VIGE, virus-induced gene editing; VIGS, virus-induced gene silencing; VOX, virus-mediated overexpression.

a Used for monocots but not yet *Zea mays*.

 BEERNINK and WHITHAM **Internal Contract of the Contract of the Contract of Textile Molecular Plant Pathology A** WILEY 3

plants expressing Cas9 protein, showing that it has potential for VIGE applications. For the remainder of this review we focus on FoMV biology and its engineering and use in gene function studies in maize and other monocots, and contributions of FoMV VIGS and VOX to investigating maize–microbe interactions are highlighted.

2 | **FoMV IS A POTE X VIRUS WITH A WIDE HOST RANGE**

FoMV was first identified by the mild chlorotic mosaic symptoms it caused on the leaves of *Setaria viridis* (green foxtail) in a field in Kansas, United States (Paulsen & Niblett, [1977](#page-10-12)). FoMV is particularly interesting because it has a large experimental host range, infecting 56 monocot species and 35 dicot species, including numerous graminaceous species such as maize, *Hordeum vulgare* (barley), *Sorghum bicolor* (sorghum), *Setaria* spp. (millets), and *Triticum aestivum* (wheat) (Paulsen & Niblett, [1977](#page-10-12)). Despite its wide host range and ability to naturally infect weedy and crop hosts in the field (Paulsen & Niblett, [1977](#page-10-12); Seifers et al., [1999\)](#page-11-8), it has not been associated with major disease outbreaks or yield losses. *Foxtail mosaic virus* belongs to the genus *Potexvirus*, of which several species have been developed as viral vectors (Abrahamien et al., [2020\)](#page-9-0). Potexviruses have been used as viral vectors due to their small but modifiable genomes, ability to spread systemically, and broad host range.

The genome of FoMV was first sequenced and published in 1991 and revised in 2008 after infectious full-length clones were generated and sequenced (Bancroft et al., [1991;](#page-9-10) Bruun-Rasmussen et al., [2008](#page-9-11)). Like other potexviruses, FoMV has a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA genome that is 6.2 kilobases (kb) in length. It encodes five different proteins from five ORFs, and possesses a 5′ 7-methylguanosine cap structure and 3′ polyadenylated tail (Abou Haidar & Gellatly, [1999](#page-9-12)) (Figure [1a](#page-3-0)). ORF 1 produces a 152kDa replication protein with methyltransferase, helicase, and RNAdependent RNA polymerase (RDRP) domains. The replication protein produces new copies of the viral genome and also generates two subgenomic messenger RNAs from viral subgenomic promoters 1 and 2 (sgPro1 and sgPro2). ORFs 2, 3, and 4 are collectively known as the triple gene block; the proteins (TGB1, 2, and 3) are expressed from sgPro1 and are involved in suppressing plant antiviral defences as well as providing movement functions. Lastly, ORF 5 produces the coat protein (CP) from sgPro2, which is necessary for virion assembly and long-distance movement (Candresse et al., [2012\)](#page-9-13). Unlike other potexviruses, an ORF 5A was also identified in the FoMV genome (Figure [1a](#page-3-0)), but mutations disrupting the start codon showed that it is dispensable for infection and its disruption had no impact on viral replication and fitness (Mei et al., [2019;](#page-10-4) Robertson et al., [2000](#page-11-9)).

3 | **ENGINEERING FoMV FOR VIGS, VOX , AND VIGE**

Potexviruses have been good candidates for development into viral expression vectors due to their ability to accept a duplicated

subgenomic promoter and lack of theoretical virion size constraints due to being rod-shaped, filamentous viruses (Willemsen & Zwart, [2019](#page-11-3)). Potato virus X (PVX) is the archetypal potexvirus that was first engineered for transient gene expression in plants (Chapman et al., [1992\)](#page-9-14). Initial PVX expression vector designs replaced the CP with the coding sequence of the marker protein, β-glucuronidase (GUS). While GUS was highly expressed, this strategy prevented systemic movement of the virus (Chapman et al., [1992](#page-9-14)). A second strategy duplicated the CP promoter (sgPro2) and inserted it between the TGB3 and CP ORFs. The GUS coding sequence was fused to the duplicated sgPro2 promoter, and this recombinant PVX successfully expressed GUS systemically and set a precedent for designing potexvirus expression vectors (Chapman et al., [1992](#page-9-14)).

Subsequently, many potexviruses have been engineered as expression vectors, including bamboo mosaic virus (BaMV) (Chen et al., [2017](#page-9-15); Lin et al., [1996](#page-10-13); Liou et al., [2014\)](#page-10-14), Cymbidium mosaic virus (CymMV) (Hsieh et al., [2013](#page-10-15)), cassava common mosaic virus (CsCMV) (Tuo et al., [2021\)](#page-11-10), Plantago asiatica mosaic virus (PlAMV) (Minato et al., [2014](#page-10-16)), narcissus mosaic virus (NMV) (Zhang et al., [2013](#page-11-11)), Alternanthera mosaic virus (AltMV) (Lim et al., [2010\)](#page-10-17), Zygocactus X virus (ZVX) (Koenig et al., [2006](#page-10-18)), pepino mosaic virus (PepMV) (Abrahamian et al., [2021](#page-9-16); Sempere et al., [2011](#page-11-12)), and FoMV (Bouton et al., [2018](#page-9-9); Liu et al., [2016](#page-10-8); Mei et al., [2016,](#page-10-7) [2019\)](#page-10-4). Of these nine potexvirus species, only FoMV, BaMV, PlAMV, and CymMV can infect monocot plants. FoMV's large host range combined with its ability to systemically infect many monocots, while often inducing relatively mild mosaic symptoms, makes it an ideal candidate for viral vector development for use in important crop plants.

The first infectious clone of FoMV was generated by Robertson et al. [\(2000\)](#page-11-9), and it was utilized for site-directed mutagenesis to test the functions of the predicted viral ORFs. This clone was later modified by Liu and Kearney [\(2010\)](#page-10-19) to generate what the authors have named the "FECT" expression vector. In FECT, the FoMV genome is expressed under transcriptional control of a cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter and nopaline synthase (NOS) terminator in a binary T-DNA plasmid. FECT is not capable of local or systemic movement because the TGB and CP ORFs were removed (Figure [1b\)](#page-3-0). Foreign sequences are cloned after sgPro1, which drives their expression. FECT is inoculated into leaf tissues via *Agrobacterium* infiltration (agroinfiltration), and because this virus is incapable of movement, protein expression occurs only at the site of infiltration. Local expression of the foreign protein is dramatically enhanced by co-expression of the tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV) p19 gene silencing suppressor. This approach works well for high levels of protein expression in plants such as *Nicotiana benthamiana* that support efficient agroinfiltration and replication of FoMV amplicons in the presence of p19.

Subsequently, a few different variations of fully functional FoMV clones have been independently developed and tested for systemic VIGS, VOX, and/or VIGE. Mei et al. ([2016\)](#page-10-7) constructed a clone derived from FoMV isolate PV139 that can only be used for VIGS (Figure [1c](#page-3-0)). The FoMV genome is placed between the CaMV 35S promoter and the NOS terminator, and the genome was modified

FIGURE 1 Schematic representations of foxtail mosaic virus (FoMV) and vectors that have been derived from it. (a) Wild-type FoMV from left to right, the oval represents the 5′ 7-methylguanosine cap structure, followed by a 5′ untranslated region (UTR), the RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RDRP), subgenomic promoter 1 (sgPro1) driving transcription of subgenomic RNA 1, the triple gene block proteins (TGB) 1, 2, and 3, a predicted open reading frame (ORF) 5A (5A) that is unnecessary for infection (Robertson et al., [2000\)](#page-11-9), subgenomic promoter 2 (sgPro2) driving the transcription of subgenomic RNA 2, the coat protein (CP), a 3′ UTR that terminates at a polyA tail. (b) The FoMV FECT vector was developed as a virus overexpression (VOX) vector by replacing TGB1, 2, 3, and CP ORFs with a cloning site for foreign sequences expressed under control of sgPro1 (Liu & Kearney, [2010](#page-10-19)). The FoMV FECT vector consists of a 5' UTR, RDRP, gene of interest (GOI) insertion site under control of sgPro1, and the 3′ UTR. (c) A virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) construct developed by Mei et al. ([2016](#page-10-7)) by adding a multiple cloning site to the wild-type FoMV genome immediately after the CP stop codon. Gene fragments are inserted at this location in the antisense orientation to induce silencing of endogenous plant genes. (d) FoMV VIGS vector developed by Liu et al. ([2016](#page-10-8)) carries a duplicated sgPro2 (DP) that was inserted between TGB3 and the CP and preserves ORF 5A. Gene fragments are cloned as inverted repeats in the cloning site immediately following the DP. (e) The FoMV VOX vector (PV101) developed by Bouton et al. [\(2018](#page-9-9)) uses the duplicated sgPro2 promoter (DP) to drive expression of coding sequences inserted between TGB3 and CP. (f) Mei et al. ([2019](#page-10-4)) developed and updated their FoMV vector to have the capacity for VIGS, VOX, and virus-induced gene editing (VIGE). This version of FoMV is based on the Mei et al. ([2016\)](#page-10-7), and it includes a DP to drive expression of a GOI or produce functional single-guide RNAs (gR) for CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing applications. All of the FoMV viral vector designs (b–f) are transcribed under the control of a 2× cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (35S) and the nopaline synthase (NOS) terminator, and the grey arrows located along the genomes represent the positions of the sgPro1, sgPro2, and DPs.

to include cleavage sites for the XbaI and XhoI restriction enzymes immediately after the CP stop codon. This position enabled the insertion of foreign sequences for VIGS applications, but it is not possible to express proteins. This FoMV vector was inoculated directly into maize by DNA particle bombardment, and the infected plants were directly assessed for VIGS phenotypes or the infected tissues were collected, stored, and used as a source of inoculum to infect more experimental plants by rub-inoculation. Via the FoMV VIGS vector, silencing of maize *pds* in sweetcorn (Golden x Bantam) and in the inbred B73 genotype was achieved. Expression of *lesion mimic 22* (*les22*), *iojap*, and *brown midrib 3* was also silenced in sweetcorn, but only down-regulation of *les22* and *iojap* resulted in the expected phenotype. The FoMV isolate was also tested for its ability to infect a panel of 10 maize inbred lines, and all of them except Mo17

were susceptible. These results suggest that FoMV can be used for VIGS in a broad range of maize germplasm. The inability of the FoMV clone to infect Mo17 maize is consistent with prior work showing that Mo17 has resistance to FoMV through a quantitative trait controlled by eight loci (Ji et al., [2010](#page-10-20)).

Liu et al. [\(2016\)](#page-10-8) developed a VIGS vector derived from the original infectious clone produced by Robertson et al. [\(2000\)](#page-11-9) (Figure [1d\)](#page-3-0). The FoMV genome was placed between the $2\times$ CaMV 35S promoter and the NOS terminator in a T-DNA plasmid derived from pBin19. Subsequently, the sgPro2 was duplicated in a way that preserved the ORF 5A, and cleavage sites for the HpaI, MluI, XhoI, and AscI restriction enzymes were inserted just after the duplicated sgPro2. To generate inoculum, this clone is agroinfiltrated into *N*. *benthamiana*, and then the infected leaves are used to inoculate monocot plant species: **BEERNINK** and WHITHAM **| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |**

barley, wheat, or *Setaria italica* (foxtail millet). A 200-nucleotide (nt) sequence targeting barley *pds* was cloned into this virus, but it induced only mild VIGS. However, when short, inverted repeat sequences were cloned at this position, robust silencing of target genes was observed in barley (*pds*, *Magnesium chelatase subunit 1*), foxtail millet (*pds*, *Chloroplatos alterados 1*(*CLA1*), *Isopentenyl/dimethylallyl diphosphate synthase*), and wheat (*pds*, *CLA1*). The use of this vector for VIGS in maize was not reported.

Bouton et al. ([2018](#page-9-9)) developed an FoMV VOX vector named PV101 that was also derived from FoMV isolate PV139 (Figure [1e](#page-3-0)). PV101 carries a 101-nt duplication of the CP promoter (sgPro2) to drive expression of genes of interest, and the dispensable ORF 5A is disrupted. An interesting aspect in the construction of this vector is that PV101 was synthesized based on passaging of FoMV through wheat seven times followed by sequencing. This passaged virus had 83-nt differences from the prototype version of the infectious clone. The wheat-optimized PV101 genome containing the 101-nt sgPro2 duplication followed by a multiple cloning site was synthesized and inserted into a binary T-DNA plasmid under transcriptional control of the CaMV 35S promoter and the NOS terminator. PV101 is first agroinoculated into *N*. *benthamiana* to generate an inoculum that can be used to infect wheat, maize, or other monocots. PV101 was used to express the 600 amino acid GUSPlus protein in wheat and maize, demonstrating its ability to spread systemically as it carries an 1800 nt insert. Furthermore, the authors demonstrated that expression of pathogen effector proteins was feasible via the delivery of the fungal pathogen *Stagonospora nodorum* ToxA protein, which induced cell death as expected in wheat.

Mei et al. ([2019](#page-10-4)) modified their original FoMV VIGS vector (Mei et al., [2016](#page-10-7)) by moving its genome into a binary T-DNA plasmid under transcriptional control of the CaMV 35S promoter and NOS terminator to enable agroinfiltration. In addition, they also duplicated the CP sgPro2 along with the addition of a second multiple cloning site (Figure [1f\)](#page-3-0). This clone retains the original VIGS cloning site immediately after the CP stop codon, and thus it is possible to use this vector for simultaneous VOX and VIGS, although that has not been demonstrated. The duplicated CP promoter incorporated mutations to disrupt the start codon of ORF 5A, thus eliminating it and reducing sequence redundancy with the wild-type sgPro2. This clone was used to express green fluorescent protein (*GFP*) and bialaphos resistance (*BAR*) in maize plants where the expected phenotypes of green fluorescence and resistance to glufosinate herbicide, respectively, were observed.

4 | **METHODS FOR INOCUL ATING FoMV VIRAL VECTORS**

For viral vectors to become broadly utilized for functional genomics and gene editing delivery systems, it is necessary to have efficient and accessible plant inoculation methods. Current methods for launching viral infections from infectious clones include in vitro transcription followed by vascular puncture inoculation (VPI),

rub-inoculation, or particle bombardment to introduce infectious RNA transcripts into plants. Alternatively, DNA constructs encoding the viral genomes under plant promoters can be introduced using VPI, rub-inoculation, particle bombardment, and agroinfiltration. Each method has advantages and limitations associated with it. If the viruses are mechanically transmissible, the inoculated leaves and/or systemically infected leaves of a few inoculated plants can be stored for later use to inoculate many experimental plants using rub-inoculations or VPI (Mei & Whitham, [2018](#page-10-21); Redinbaugh et al., [2001;](#page-11-13) Scholthof, [1999](#page-11-14)). However, some viruses are not mechanically transmissible, and so the rub-inoculation and VPI methods using infected leaf sap are not technically feasible in these cases (e.g., MMV and BYSMV). For FoMV, all these approaches are feasible, which provides flexibility in generating and saving inoculum for later uses.

In particular, *Agrobacterium*-based methods that deliver engineered viral genomes into the host cells are the simplest and least expensive methods available for viral vector delivery (Vaghchhipawala et al., [2011;](#page-11-15) Zhang et al., [2017\)](#page-11-5). While agroinfiltration works well in many dicot species, it is difficult and very inefficient in most monocot species, and for this reason it is often preferred to initiate infections in *N*. *benthamiana* to generate the inoculum for experiments with the monocot plant of interest. This approach requires that *N*. *benthamiana* can also be a host for the engineered virus, which is possible for some viruses (e.g., FoMV, barley stripe mosaic virus [BSMV], and BMV) but not others (e.g., SCMV, MDMV). To bypass the need to first use *N*. *benthamiana* for generating FoMV inoculum, Beernink et al. [\(2021](#page-9-17)) developed a protocol for direct agroinoculation of maize with FoMV or SCMV. This method was inspired by classic work with infectious clones of maize streak virus, a geminivirus, that could be inoculated into maize by injecting the *Agrobacterium* strains into the whorl of seedlings 2–3 mm above the shoot apical meristem (Grimsley et al., [1986\)](#page-9-18). This method can be applied for both FoMV VIGS and VOX applications, but there may be a negative correlation between insert size and inoculation efficiency (Beernink et al., [2021](#page-9-17)).

5 | **E XPERIMENTAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

In addition to inoculation methods, we provide some key considerations to aid in the design and interpretation of experiments using FoMV systems. At the most basic level, it is necessary to determine if the required maize genotype(s) is susceptible to FoMV or not (e.g., Mo17). If there are options, then selection of the particular FoMV vector could be a consideration. At this time, we are not aware that the different vectors (Figure [1](#page-3-0)) have been tested directly against one another, but as discussed in sections 3 and 6.1, it appears that PV101 (Bouton et al., [2018](#page-9-9)) has been used successfully for expression of relatively large proteins or fusion proteins, which has not yet been demonstrated for other FoMV clones.

As with most viral systems, there is inherent variability in FoMV VIGS and VOX experiments. There are a number of reasons for this that include inoculation efficiency, not all cells are uniformly **6 WII FV-Molecular Plant Pathology C CONSUMISE AND READ TO BEERNINK** and WHITHAM

infected, host genotype, insert sequence, and insert stability. When using their agroinjection method in maize seedlings, Beernink et al. ([2021](#page-9-17)) found that infection rates for recombinant FoMV carrying 329- and 313-nt gene fragments were similar to the empty vector but much higher than FoMV-GFP, which carries a 711-nt insertion. Additionally, the FoMV infection rate varied among 10 susceptible maize genotypes (Beernink et al., [2021](#page-9-17)).

The effectiveness of VIGS and VOX can also be altered by the stability of the insert. For example, a 300-nt insert targeting sorghum phytoene desaturase (*SbPds*) was stably maintained at 21 days postinoculation (dpi) in 72%–90% of plants, but a 300-nt insert targeting ubiquitin (*SbUb*) was stably maintained in only 36%–45% of the plants in sorghum genotype BTx623 (Bredow et al., [2022](#page-9-19)). Interestingly, in the sorghum genotype BTx430, the *SbPds* gene fragment was stably maintained in FoMV in 100% of the plants screened, and the *SbUB* fragment was stable in only 12%–25% of the plants. These data show that the insert sequence and host genotype can influence insert stability. However, time after inoculation is also critical. The retention of the *SbPds* fragment was similar at 14, 21, and 28 dpi, and the *SbUb* insert was stable at 14 dpi but became increasingly unstable at 21 and 28 dpi. These data from sorghum are consistent with prior results from maize using a *ZmPds* insert (Mei et al., [2016](#page-10-7)). In plants that were inoculated at 7 days after sowing, the *ZmPds* insert was stably retained in leaves 4–6 but as later leaves developed, the insert in FoMV became increasingly unstable. By leaf 9, *ZmPds* was beginning to be deleted and was fully retained in 75% of the plants and in leaves 12–13 the insert was intact in approximately 25% of the plants. The level of gene silencing measured by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) correlated with the loss of insert (Mei et al., [2016](#page-10-7)).

Based on our experience with the vectors shown in Figure [1a,f,](#page-3-0) we propose the following recommendations as a guide for effectively using FoMV for VIGS, VOX, and VIGE. Preliminary testing is required to ensure compatibility of FoMV with host plants and to establish infection rates under the experimental conditions. Due to inherent variability, three independent replications with at least six to 10 plants each are recommended to produce statistically meaningful outcomes. Controls should include the empty vector construct and a mock treatment to demonstrate that FoMV itself is not influencing the phenotype of interest. Due to concerns about stability, the integrity of the insert should be confirmed by RT-PCR in the tissues that are being used for phenotyping and assessing target gene silencing or heterologous protein expression.

6 | **APPLIC ATIONS OF FoMV VEC TORS IN UNDERSTANDING OF MAIZE–MICROBE INTERACTIONS**

To date, the primary application of FoMV vectors has occurred in topics related to maize–microbe interactions (Table [2](#page-6-0)). Here, we highlight their application in some of the studies focused on maize– microbe interactions that have benefited from the availability of these resources for investigating the functions of both host and

pathogen genes. Plants can recognize the presence of pathogens through the action of pattern-recognition receptors that activate pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) in response to conserved molecular features, such as flagellin (flg22 peptide, bacteria) or chitin (fungi) (Yu et al., [2017](#page-11-16)). Activation of PTI is accompanied by a variety of changes, including reactive oxygen species (ROS) burst, callose deposition, and increased expression of defence genes. Successful pathogens secrete effectors that inhibit PTI by targeting different proteins involved in regulating or mediating it (Toruño et al., [2016\)](#page-11-17). Resistance proteins recognize the presence of effectors, either directly or indirectly, and activate effector-triggered immune responses (ETI) that often result in hypersensitive cell death (HR) (Cui et al., [2015](#page-9-20)). Much remains to be learned about the regulation of PTI, ETI, resistance protein function, and pathogen effector functions in maize, and VIGS and VOX approaches are contributing key information that is helping to advance understanding of these various facets of maize–microbe interactions.

6.1 | **Use of FoMV vectors in** *Ustilago maydis–***maize interactions**

Based on several publications, it is clear that the *U. maydis* research community has been particularly active in their adoption of FoMV vectors to explore the functions of *U*. *maydis* effectors. In three different studies, FoMV VIGS was used to silence the expression of maize genes encoding proteins that are targeted by *U*. *maydis* or *Sporisorium reilianum* effectors (Han et al., [2019](#page-9-21); Ma et al., [2018](#page-10-22); Tanaka et al., [2019\)](#page-11-18) (Table [2](#page-6-0)). In these studies, 300-nt target gene fragments selected using the Sol Genomics Network VIGS tool ([vigs.](http://vigs.solgenomics.net) [solgenomics.net](http://vigs.solgenomics.net)) were cloned in the antisense orientation into the Mei et al. ([2016](#page-10-7)) FoMV VIGS vector. Silencing of target genes was confirmed by RT-qPCR, and biological assays determining effects on fungal growth and plant defences were performed.

FoMV has also been used to ectopically express *U*. *maydis* effectors as fusion proteins with epitope tags. In three different expression studies, the Bouton et al. ([2018](#page-9-9)) PV101 vector was used to express effectors lacking their signal peptides that were fused to the myc or HA epitopes (Darino et al., [2021](#page-9-22); Navarette et al., 2021; Saado et al., [2022\)](#page-11-19) (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). The effector fusions were co-expressed with the p19 protein, which is a suppressor of RNA silencing that promotes accumulation of the recombinant viruses. The mCherry protein was also co-expressed with p19 and the effector fusion in two of the studies, which provides a non-destructive reporter on virus accumulation and spread. Ectopic expression of the effectors enabled analysis of their roles in promoting cell death or suppressing host defences.

6.2 | **Use of FoMV vectors to investigate genes involved in maize immunity**

FoMV VIGS has been used to investigate the functions of maize genes from the perspectives of resistance protein signalling and **TABLE 2** Foxtail mosaic virus used as a viral vector in plants.

Abbreviations: VIF, virus-induced flowering; VIGE, virus-induced gene editing; VIGS, virus-induced gene silencing; VOX, virus-mediated overexpression.

8 WII EV Molecular Plant Pathology **C EXEMPLE AND REFERENT BEERNINK** and WHITHAM

control of basal defence responses. Yu et al. [\(2022](#page-11-21)) used the Mei et al. ([2019](#page-10-4)) FoMV vector to silence three homologues of the maize Feronia-like receptor (ZmFLR) (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). One construct targeted *ZmFLR1* and *ZmFLR2*, and a second one targeted *ZmFLR3*. *ZmFLR1* and *ZmFLR2* had to be co-silenced due to high sequence homology. To separately silence *ZmFLR1/2* and *ZmFLR3*, it was necessary to target the least conserved region encoding the transmembrane domain. VIGS of *ZmFLR1*, *2* and *3* reduced their expression by approximately 65%–70%, and ROS production was dramatically reduced in response to flg22 and chitin application to leaf discs. Plants in which *ZmFLR1/2* or *ZmFLR3* were silenced were challenged with four different fungal pathogens, and disease severity increased for all providing evidence that the ZmFLRs have important roles in maize antifungal immune responses.

In the largest-scale VIGS study in maize to date, Murphree et al. [\(2020](#page-10-24)) used the Mei et al. ([2016](#page-10-7)) FoMV VIGS vector to target 12 different maize genes to test if their silencing affected the *Rp1-D21* lesion mimic phenotype (Table [2](#page-6-0)). Target genes were chosen for this study based on the following criteria: (i) homologues in other species are required for the functions of nucleotide-binding site (NBS) leucine-rich repeats (LRR) resistance proteins, such as Rp1-D; (ii) previously shown to be required for the *Rp1-D21* autoactive HR phenotype; or (iii) loci affecting *Rp1-D21* function identified through genome-wide association studies (GWAS). For three of the genes (*LOX9*, *Pk1b*, *Sl11*), there was no successful silencing. The remaining nine genes, plus *Rp1-D21*, were silenced between approximately 1.6- and 17-fold. Of these, four genes suppressed HR when silenced (*Rp1-D21*, *HSP90*, *VPS37*, *IQM3*), demonstrating that they are required for *Rp1-D21* function, three genes enhanced the *Rp1-D21*-HR when silenced (*HCT*, *CCoAOMT*, *SGT1*), and for another three genes, silencing them had no effect on the phenotype (*PGH1*, *QCR7*, *RAR1*). These data show that VIGS can be used to validate gene function predictions based on functions of homologous genes in other species and from GWAS.

6.3 | **Use of FoMV to investigate maize–virus interactions**

Maize chlorotic mottle virus (MCMV) causes maize lethal necrosis when it co-infects maize along with unrelated viruses, such as potyviruses like SCMV (Redinbaugh & Stewart, [2018](#page-11-24)). Jiao et al. ([2021](#page-10-23)) were interested in the molecular mechanisms underlying the pathogenicity of MCMV, and found some preliminary evidence that its 31 kDa protein (p31) was a major pathogenicity determinant. They expressed individual MCMV proteins p31, p7a, and the readthrough domain (RTD) or a GFP control fused to a $3\times$ FLAG tag from the PV101 FoMV vector in B73 maize seedlings. FoMV expressing p31 or the RTD induced necrotic lesions on maize leaves but p7a and the GFP control did not. These data demonstrated that the RTD portion of p31 is responsible for the necrosis induced by MCMV infection. Subsequently, they showed the FoMV expressing p31 suppresses salicylic acid (SA) production as well as the expression of PR genes when co-inoculated with MCMV. These results showed that p31 suppresses the SA-mediated defence responses induced by MCMV.

Xu et al. [\(2022\)](#page-11-20) used the Liu et al. ([2016](#page-10-8)) FoMV vector to silence *ZmTGL*, which codes for the production of triacylglycerol (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). *ZmTGL* was identified as interacting with SCMV's helper component proteinase (HC-Pro) through protein pull-down and tandem mass spectrometry. Silencing *ZmTGL1* reduced its mRNA transcripts by 50% and resulted in a 2–3-fold greater accumulation of SCMV. This silencing phenotype is consistent with a role for ZmTGL1 in reducing the accumulation of SCMV HC-Pro, which is a silencing suppressor required for efficient replication of SCMV.

7 | **FoMV AND VIGE**

Engineering viruses to deliver gene editing components has been a rapidly expanding area of research. The use of viral vectors overcomes bottlenecks associated with traditional transgenesis methods that are needed to introduce gene editing reagents into plants (Yin et al., [2017](#page-11-25)). Virus-based delivery of gene editing reagents can potentially open access to gene editing or enhance gene editing efficiency in many plant species without the need to go through the processes of transformation and regeneration (Scholthof et al., [1996\)](#page-11-26).

Targeted gene editing technologies have revolutionized genetics over the past decade. Meganucleases, zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs), and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs) are all genome editing platforms with a high level of target specificity, but they are limited by challenges in modifying that specificity (Voytas & Gao, [2014\)](#page-11-27). Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) arrays and CRISPR-associated proteins (e.g., Cas9) have been harnessed to activate, suppress, delete, and add new target genes in the genomes of many organisms (Belhaj et al., [2015](#page-9-29); Bortesi & Fischer, [2015](#page-9-30); Doudna & Charpentier, [2014](#page-9-31); Pennisi, [2013\)](#page-10-30). CRISPR-based genome editing technologies continue to be developed and improved for new applications as well as increased efficiency and target specificity. The utility of these systems is the ease with which they can be reprogrammed through the delivery of specific single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs). Several plant viruses with positive-sense RNA genomes that had previously been used for VIGS and/or VOX were demonstrated to also deliver sgRNAs systemically and induce edits in host plants that express Cas proteins. Some of the first viral systems established to deliver sgRNAs and successfully validate gene editing include tobacco rattle virus (TRV) (Ali, Abul-faraj, Li, et al., [2015;](#page-9-32) Ali, Abul-faraj, Piatek, et al., [2015](#page-9-33)), tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) (Cody et al., [2017](#page-9-34)), and pea early browning virus (PEBV) (Ali et al., [2018](#page-9-35)). Gene editing as a result of virus-delivered sgR-NAs predominantly occurs in somatic cells, but some viruses expressing sgRNA have been shown to efficiently induce heritable genome edits, such as TRV and PVX in *N*. *benthamiana* and BSMV in wheat (Beernink et al., [2022](#page-9-24); Ellison et al., [2020](#page-9-36); Li et al., [2021;](#page-10-31) Uranga et al., [2021](#page-11-28)). In the case of TRV, the efficiency of inducing heritable genome edits is augmented significantly if a mobile RNA

sequence, such as *Arabidopsis* FT, is fused to the sgRNA (Beernink et al., [2022](#page-9-24); Ellison et al., [2020](#page-9-36)). However, for BSMV, the addition of RNA mobility sequences hinders the ability of the virus to induce heritable genome edits in wheat (Li et al., [2021\)](#page-10-31).

Functional sgRNA delivery was explored using FoMV in *N*. *benthamiana*, maize, and *S*. *viridis* (Beernink et al., [2022](#page-9-24); Mei et al., [2019](#page-10-4)). FoMV clones carrying sgRNA were able to induce somatic genome edits in the *Pds* gene of *N*. *benthamiana* plants expressing Cas9. The induced mutations were small insertions and deletions (indels), and they occurred in leaves and flowers over the course of plant development (Mei et al., [2019](#page-10-4)). However, the level of mutation was not sufficient to cause the photobleaching phenotype expected for *Pds* loss of function and heritable mutations were not observed, which is in contrast to TRV, PVX, and BSMV in *N*. *benthamiana* (Beernink et al., [2022](#page-9-24)). Interestingly, the frequency of mutations induced by FoMV expressing sgRNA targeting *NbPds* could be dramatically enhanced by coinfection with turnip mosaic virus (TuMV), which is a potyvirus that promotes greater accumulation of FoMV and other viruses through the action of its silencing suppressor. Unfortunately, the boost in FoMV accumulation in the presence of TuMV is lethal to the plant (Mei et al., [2019](#page-10-4)). FoMV expressing sgRNA was also able to induce genome edits in Cas9-expressing *S*. *viridis* and maize plants (Mei et al., [2019](#page-10-4)). In maize, the frequency of genome editing in leaves was relatively low compared to *N*. *benthamiana* and *S*. *viridis*, and heritable mutations were also not observed (Beernink et al., [2022\)](#page-9-24). Like in *Cas9 N*. *benthamiana*, it was possible to enhance FoMV-induced gene editing by coinfection with a potyvirus, SCMV, but co-infected *Cas9* maize plants developed severe disease symptoms and were mostly sterile if they survived to flowering (Mei et al., [2019](#page-10-4)). The potential for RNA mobility sequences to enhance FoMV-induced gene editing was investigated in *Cas9 N*. *benthamiana* and *Cas9* maize. There was evidence that the presence of RNA mobility sequences can enhance FoMV-induced somatic gene editing in both species, but it was not sufficient to promote germline mutations (Beernink et al., [2022\)](#page-9-24).

8 | **CONCLUSIONS**

FoMV-based vectors have become valuable research tools that are used to silence and overexpress genes involved in maize– microbe interactions. Candidate genes identified as interacting with pathogen effectors, homologues of key immunity-related genes from other plant species, and maize GWAS, transcriptomics, proteomics, and gene regulatory network studies can be rapidly investigated. We expect that FoMV VIGS can be used as a complementary strategy with stable maize mutants identified as transposon insertions or generated using RNAi or CRISPR-Cas mutagenesis. For example, many candidate genes can be readily screened by VIGS and the outcomes can be used to prioritize genes for which it is desirable to obtain stable mutant or knockdown alleles for more in-depth research.

Moreover, the studies presented show that FoMV VIGS and VOX can be used successfully to investigate resistance gene function,

PTI, and maize–fungus and maize–virus interactions. We also anticipate that it will be useful to investigate maize–bacteria interactions, although this is yet to be demonstrated. For example, Bredow et al. ([2022](#page-9-19)) showed that FoMV VIGS of receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases in sorghum suppressed basal immune responses rendering the plants more susceptible to bacterial pathogens. Similarly, FoMV VOX of fungal effector proteins and viral proteins has been very useful for exploring maize–microbe interactions, and we would anticipate that this would be the case for effectors encoded by bacteria, nematodes, and insects as well. So far, VIGS and VOX have been demonstrated to work in leaves and switchgrass roots (Tiedge et al., [2022\)](#page-11-23), and so it will be interesting to see if these approaches can be applied to other organs in the future. In addition, it will be interesting to determine if FoMV can be used as a vector for host-induced gene silencing (HIGS) to knockdown the expression of genes of pathogens as they attempt to infect plants in which FoMV carrying fragments of pathogen genes are replicating, as has been shown for other viruses (Hu et al., [2020](#page-10-32); McCaghey et al., [2021](#page-10-33); Nowara et al., [2010;](#page-10-34) Panwar et al., [2013;](#page-10-35) Yin et al., [2015](#page-11-29)).

It is exciting to see that FoMV-based resources and their corresponding protocols are being adopted successfully by many laboratories. While FoMV has been applied mainly for research in maize–microbe interactions at this time, we anticipate that it will be useful in studying the functions of genes involved in other aspects of maize biology. A major rationale for engineering FoMV for VIGS and VOX applications was its reportedly broad host range, particularly in monocots (Scofield & Nelson, [2009\)](#page-11-30), and several recent publications suggest that FoMV is meeting expectations (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). In addition to maize, FoMV has been demonstrated to be useful for VIGS, VOX, VIGE, or VIF in eight other monocot species, including seven grasses and one orchid species. The list of grass species includes five grain crop species (*H*. *vulgare*, *S*. *italica*, *T*. *aestivum*, *Panicummilliaceum*, and *S*. *bicolor*), one biomass crop species (*Panicumvirgatum*), and two weed species (*S*. *viridis* and *Alopecurusmyosuroides*). Success in these organisms coupled with the broad experimental host range of FoMV suggests that there are many additional species that currently lack gene function analysis or plant transformation technologies for which FoMV-based vectors can be utilized.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr Melissa Bredow for critical reading and helpful comments. This work was supported by USDA NIFA award number 2020-67013-31547, USDA NIFA Hatch project number 4308, the ISU Plant Sciences Institute, and the ISU Crop Bioengineering Center. Open access funding provided by the Iowa State University Library.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created.

Steven A. Whitham <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3542-3188>

REFERENCES

- Abou Haidar, M.G. & Gellatly, D. (1999) Potexviruses. In: Granoff, A. & Webster, R.G. (Eds.) *Encyclopedia of Virology*. San Diego: Elsevier, pp. 1364–1368.
- Abrahamian, P., Hammond, J. & Hammond, R.W. (2021) Development and optimization of a Pepino mosaic virus-based vector for rapid expression of heterologous proteins in plants. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 105, 627–645.
- Abrahamien, P., Hammond, R.W. & Hammond, J. (2020) Plant virusderived vectors: applications in agricultural and medical biotechnology. *Annual Review of Virology*, 7, 513–535.
- Ahlquist, P., French, R., Janda, M. & Loesch-Fries, L.S. (1984) Multicomponent RNA plant virus infection derived from cloned viral cDNA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 81, 7066–7070.
- Ali, Z., Abul-faraj, A., Li, L., Ghosh, N., Piatek, M., Mahjoub, A. et al. (2015) Efficient virus-mediated genome editing in plants using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Molecular Plant*, 8, 1288–1291.
- Ali, Z., Abul-Faraj, A., Piatek, M. & Mahfouz, M.M. (2015) Activity and specificity of TRV-mediated gene editing in plants. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 10, e1044191.
- Ali, Z., Eid, A., Ali, S. & Mahfouz, M.M. (2018) Pea early-browning virus-mediated genome editing via the CRISPR/Cas9 system in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and *Arabidopsis*. *Virus Research*, 244, 333–337.
- Alonso, A.P.M., Ali, S., Song, X., Lining, R. & Bakkeren, G. (2020) UhAVR1, an HR-triggering avirulence effector of *Ustilago hordei*, is secreted via the ER-Golgi pathway, localizes to the cytosol of barley cells during *in planta*-expression, and contributes to virulence early in infection. *Journal of Fungi*, 6, 178.
- Ayre, B.G., El-Gebaly, F.E. & McGarry, R.C. (2020) Virus-induced flowering a tool for cereals. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 71, 2839–2841.
- Bancroft, J.B., Rouleau, M., Johnston, R., Prins, L. & Mackie, G.A. (1991) The entire nucleotide sequence of foxtail mosaic virus RNA. *Journal of General Virology*, 72, 2173–2181.
- Beernink, B.M., Holan, K.L., Lappe, R.R. & Whitham, S.A. (2021) Direct agroinoculation of maize seedlings by injection with recombinant foxtail mosaic virus and sugarcane mosaic virus infectious clones. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 168, e62277.
- Beernink, B.M., Lappe, R.R., Bredow, M. & Whitham, S.A. (2022) Impacts of RNA mobility signals on virus induced somatic and germline gene editing. *Frontiers in Genome Editing*, 4, 925088.
- Belhaj, K., Chaparro-Garcia, A., Kamoun, S., Patron, N.J. & Nekrasov, V. (2015) Editing plant genomes with CRISPR/Cas9. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 32, 76–84.
- Bortesi, L. & Fischer, R. (2015) The CRISPR/Cas9 system for plant genome editing and beyond. *Biotechnology Advances*, 33, 41–52.
- Bouton, C., King, R.C., Chen, H., Azhakanandam, K., Bieri, S., Hammond-Kosack, K.E. et al. (2018) Foxtail mosaic virus: a viral vector for protein expression in cereals. *Plant Physiology*, 177, 1352–1367.
- Bredow, M., Natukunda, M.I., Beernink, B.M., Chicowski, A.S., Salas-Fernandez, M.G. & Whitham, S.A. (2022) Characterization of a foxtail mosaic virus vector for gene silencing and analysis of innate immune responses in *Sorghum bicolor*. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 24, 71–79.
- Bruun-Rasmussen, M., Madsen, C.T., Johansen, E. & Albrechtsen, M. (2008) Revised sequence of foxtail mosaic virus reveals a triple gene block structure similar to potato virus X. *Archives of Virology*, 153, 223–226.
- Burkhow, S.J., Stephens, N.M., Mei, Y., Duenas, M.E., Freppon, D.J., Ding, G. et al. (2018) Characterizing virus-induced gene silencing at the cellular level with *in situ* multimodal imaging. *Plant Methods*, 14, 37.
- Candresse, T., Hammond, J., Kreuze, J.F., Martelli, G.P., Namba, S., Pearson, M.N. et al. (2012) Family Alphaflexiviridae. In *Virus Taxonomy: 9th Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 904–919.
- Chang, F.C., Gu, Z., Zhu, P., Lu, R., Du, Z. & Liao, Q. (2017) Reduction of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 6 in *Nicotiana benthamiana* enhances gene silencing induced by foxtail mosaic virus. *Acta Biochimica et Biophysica Sinica*, 47, 652–654.
- Chapman, S., Kavanagh, T. & Baulcombe, D. (1992) Potato virus X as a vector for gene expression in plants. *The Plant Journal*, 2, 549–557.
- Chen, T.-H., Hu, C.-C., Liao, J.-T., Lee, Y.-L., Huang, Y.-W., Lin, N.-S. et al. (2017) Production of Japanese encephalitis virus antigens in plants using bamboo mosaic virus-based vector. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8, 788.
- Chung, S.H., Zhang, S., Song, H., Whitham, S.A. & Jander, G. (2022) Maize resistance to insect herbivory is enhanced by silencing expression of genes for jasmonate-isoleucine degradation using sugarcane mosaic virus. *Plant Direct*, 6, e407.
- Cody, W.B. & Scholthof, H.B. (2019) Plant virus vectors 3.0: transitioning into synthetic genomics. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 57, 211–230.
- Cody, W.B., Scholthof, H.B. & Erik Mirkov, T. (2017) Multiplexed gene editing and protein overexpression using a tobacco mosaic virus viral vector. *Plant Physiology*, 175, 23–35.
- Cui, H., Tsuda, K. & Parker, J.E. (2015) Effector-triggered immunity: from pathogen perception to robust defense. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 66, 487–511.
- Dangol, A., Shavit, R., Yaakov, B., Strickler, S.R., Jander, G. & Tzin, V. (2021) Characterizing serotonin biosynthesis in *Setaria viridis* leaves and its effect on aphids. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 109, 533–549.
- Darino, M., Chia, K.-S., Marques, J., Aleksza, D., Soto-Jiménez, L.M., Saado, I. et al. (2021) *Ustilago maydis* effector Jsi 1 interacts with topless corepressor, hijacking plant jasmonate/ethylene signaling. *New Phytologist*, 229, 3393–3407.
- Ding, X.S., Schneider, W.L., Chaluvadi, S.R., Rouf Mian, M.A. & Nelson, R.S. (2006) Characterization of a brome mosaic virus strain and its use as a vector for gene silencing in monocotyledonous hosts. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 19, 1229–1239.
- Doudna, J.A. & Charpentier, E. (2014) Genome editing. The new frontier of genome engineering with CRISPR-Cas9. *Science*, 346, 1258096.
- Dressano, K., Weckwerth, P.R., Poretsky, E., Takahashi, Y., Villareal, C., Shen, Z. et al. (2020) Dynamic regulation of pep-induced immunity through post-translational control of defence transcript splicing. *Nature Plants*, 6, 1008–1019.
- Ellison, E.E., Nagalakshmi, U., Gamo, M.E., Huang, P.-j., Dinesh-Kumar, S. & Voytas, D.F. (2020) Multiplexed heritable gene editing using RNA viruses and mobile single guide RNAs. *Nature Plants*, 6, 620–624.
- French, R., Janda, M. & Ahlquist, P. (1986) Bacterial gene inserted in an engineered RNA virus: efficient expressions in monocotyledonous plant cells. *Science*, 231, 1294–1297.
- Gao, Q., Xu, W.-Y., Yan, T., Fang, X.-D., Cao, Q., Zhang, Z.-J. et al. (2019) Rescue of a plant cytorhabdovirus as versatile expression platforms for planthopper and cereal genomic studies. *New Phytologist*, 223, 2120–2133.
- Gentzel, I.N., Ohlson, E.W., Redinbaugh, M.G. & Wang, G.-L. (2022) VIGE: virus-induced genome editing for improving abiotic and biotic stress traits in plants. *Stress Biology*, 2, 2.
- Grimsley, N., Hohn, B., Hohn, T. & Walden, R. (1986) "Agroinfection," an alternative route for viral infection of plants by using the Ti plasmid. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 83, 3282–3286.
- Han, X., Altegoer, F., Steinchen, W., Binnebesel, L., Schuhmacher, J., Glatter, T. et al. (2019) A kiwellin disarms the metabolic activity of a secreted fungal virulence factor. *Nature*, 565, 650–653.

- Haupt, S., Duncan, G.H., Holzberg, S. & Oparka, K.J. (2001) Evidence for symplastic phloem unloading in sink leaves of barley. *Plant Physiology*, 125, 209–218.
- Hsieh, M.-H., Lu, H.-C., Pan, Z.-J., Yeh, H.-H., Wang, S.-S., Chen, W.-H. et al. (2013) Optimizing virus-induced gene silencing efficiency with cymbidium mosaic virus in *Phalaenopsis* flower. *Plant Science*, 201-202, 25–41.
- Hu, D., Chen, Z.-Y., Zhang, C., Ganiger, M. et al. (2020) Reduction of *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* infection on soybean through host- and spray-induced gene silencing. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 21, 794–807.
- Hu, J., Li, S., Li, Z., Li, H., Song, W., Zhao, H. et al. (2019) A barley stripe mosaic virus-based guide RNA delivery system for targeted mutagenesis in wheat and maize. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 20, 1463–1474.
- Jarugula, S., Willie, K. & Stewart, L.R. (2018) Barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV) as a virus-induced gene silencing vector in maize seedlings. *Virus Genes*, 54, 616–620.
- Ji, Q., Yang, B., Lee, M., Chen, Y. & Lübberstedt, T. (2010) Mapping of quantitative trait loci/locus conferring resistance to foxtail mosaic virus in maize using the intermated B73 x Mo17 population. *Plant Breeding*, 129, 721–723.
- Jiao, Z., Tian, Y., Cao, Y., Wang, J., Zhan, B., Zhao, Z. et al. (2021) A novel pathogenicity determinant hijacks maize catalase 1 to enhance viral multiplication and infection. *New Phytologist*, 230, 1126–1141.
- Kanakala, S., Diniz Xavier, C.A., Martin, K.M., Tran, H.H., Redinbaugh, M.G. & Whitfield, A.E. (2022) Rescue of the first alphanucleorhabdovirus entirely from cloned complementary DNA: an efficient vector for systemic expression of foreign genes in maize and insect vectors. *Molecular Plant Pathology*. Available from: [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13273) [org/10.1111/mpp.13273](https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13273)
- Kant, R. & Dasgupta, I. (2019) Gene silencing approaches through virusbased vectors: speeding up functional genomics in monocots. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 100, 3–18.
- Karre, S., Kim, S.B., Samira, R. & Balint-Kurti, P. (2021) The maize ZmMIEL1 E3 ligase and ZmMYB83 transcription factor proteins interact and regulate the hypersensitive defence response. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 22, 694–709.
- Khakhar, A. & Voytas, D.F. (2021) RNA viral vectors for accelerating plant synthetic biology. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 668580.
- Koenig, R., Lesemann, D.-E., Loss, S., Engelmann, J., Commandeur, U., Deml, G. et al. (2006) Zygocactus virus X-based expression vectors and formation of rod-shaped virus-like particles in plants by the expressed coat proteins of beet necrotic yellow vein virus and soilborne cereal mosaic virus. *Journal of General Virology*, 87, 439–443.
- Kuo, S.-Y., Hu, C.-C., Huang, Y.-W., Lee, C.-W., Luo, M.-J., Tu, C.-W. et al. (2021) Argonaute 5 family proteins play crucial roles in the defence against cymbidium mosaic virus and odontoglossum ringspot virus in *Phalaenopsis aphrodite* subsp. *formosana*. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 22, 627–643.
- Li, T., Hu, J., Sun, Y., Li, B., Zhang, D., Li, W. et al. (2021) Highly efficient heritable genome editing in wheat using an RNA virus and bypassing tissue culture. *Molecular Plant*, 14, 1787–1798.
- Lim, H.-S., Vaira, A.M., Domier, L.L., Lee, S.C., Kim, H.G. & Hammond, J. (2010) Efficiency of VIGS and gene expression in a novel bipartite potexvirus vector delivery system as a function of strength of TGB1 silencing suppression. *Virology*, 402, 149–163.
- Lin, N.S., Lee, Y.S., Lin, B.Y., Lee, C.W. & Hsu, Y.H. (1996) The open reading frame of bamboo mosaic potexvirus satellite RNA is not essential for its replication and can be replaced with a bacterial gene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 93, 3138–3142.
- Liou, M.-R., Huang, Y.-W., Hu, C.-C., Lin, N.-S. & Hsu, Y.-H. (2014) A dual gene-silencing vector system for monocot and dicot plants. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 12, 330–343.
- Liu, Z. & Kearney, C.M. (2010) An efficient foxtail mosaic virus vector system with reduced environmental risk. *BMC Biotechnology*, 10, 88.
- Liu, N., Xie, K., Jia, Q., Zhao, J., Chen, T., Li, H. et al. (2016) Foxtail mosaic virus-induced gene silencing in monocot plants. *Plant Physiology*, 171, 1801–1807.
- Luo, Y., Na, R., Nowak, J.S., Qiu, Y., Lu, Q.S., Yang, C. et al. (2021) Development of a Csy4-processed guide RNA delivery system with soybean-infecting virus ALSV for genome editing. *BMC Plant Biotechnology*, 21, 419.
- Ma, L.-S., Wang, L., Trippel, C., Mendoza-Mendoza, A., Ullmann, S., Moretti, M. et al. (2018) The *Ustilago maydis* repetitive effector Rsp3 blocks the antifungal activity of mannose-binding maize proteins. *Nature Communications*, 9, 1711.
- McCaghey, M., Shao, D., Kurcezewski, J., Lindstrom, A., Ranjan, A., Whitham, S.A. et al. (2021) Host-induced gene silencing of a *Scerlotinia sclerotiorum* oxaloacetate acetylhydrolase using bean pod mottle virus as a vehicle reduces disease on soybean. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 677631.
- Mei, Y., Beernink, B.M., Ellison, E.E., Konečná, E., Neelakandan, A.K., Voytas, D.F. et al. (2019) Protein expression and gene editing in monocots using foxtail mosaic virus vectors. *Plant Direct*, 3, e00181.
- Mei, Y. & Whitham, S.A. (2018) Virus-induced gene silencing in maize with a foxtail mosaic virus vector. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 1676, 129–139.
- Mei, Y., Zhang, C., Kernodle, B.M., Hill, J.H. & Whitham, S.A. (2016) A foxtail mosaic virus vector for virus-induced gene silencing in maize. *Plant Physiology*, 171, 760–772.
- Mellado-Sanchez, M., McDiarmid, F., Cardoso, V., Kanyuka, K. & Mac Gregor, D.R. (2020) Virus-mediated transient expression techniques enable gene function studies in black-grass. *Plant Physiology Letters*, 183, 455–459.
- Minato, N., Komatsu, K., Okano, Y., Maejima, K., Ozeki, J., Senshu, H. et al. (2014) Efficient foreign gene expression in planta using a Plantago asiatica mosaic virus-based vector achieved by the strong RNA-silencing suppressor activity of TGBp1. *Archives of Virology*, 159, 885–896.
- Mlotshwa, S., Xu, J., Willie, K., Khatri, N., Marty, D. & Stewart, L.R. (2020) Engineering maize rayado fino virus for virus-induced gene silencing. *Plant Direct*, 4, e00224.
- Murphree, C., Kim, S.-B., Karre, S., Samira, R. & Balint-Kurti, P. (2020) Use of virus-induced gene silencing to characterize genes involved in modulating hypersensitive cell death in maize. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 21, 1662–1676.
- Navarrete, F., Grujic, N., Stirnberg, A., Saado, I., Aleksza, D., Gallei, M. et al. (2021) The Pleiades are a cluster of fungal effectors that inhibit host defenses. *PLoS Pathogens*, 17, e1009641.
- Nowara, D., Gay, A., Lacomme, C., Shaw, J., Ridout, C., Douchkov, D. et al. (2010) HIGS: host-induced gene silencing in the obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen *Blumeria graminis*. *The Plant Cell*, 22, 3130–3141.
- Panwar, V., McCallum, B. & Bakkeren, G. (2013) Host-induced gene silencing of wheat leaf rust fungus *Puccinia triticina* pathogenicity genes mediated by the barley stripe mosaic virus. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 81, 595–608.
- Pasin, F., Menzel, W. & Daròs, J.A. (2019) Harnessed viruses in the age of metagenomics and synthetic biology: an update on infectious clone assembly and biotechnologies of plant viruses. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 17, 1010–1026.
- Paulsen, A.Q. & Niblett, C.L. (1977) Purification and properties of foxtail mosaic virus. *Phytopathology*, 67, 1346–1351.
- Pennisi, E. (2013) The CRISPR craze. *Science*, 341, 833–836.
- Prakash, V., Nihranz, C.T. & Casteel, C.L. (2023) The potyviral protein 6K2 from turnip mosaic virus increases plant resilience to drought. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 36, 189–197.

12 If If \mathbf{V} Molecular Plant Pathology **Construction Construction Construction**

- Redinbaugh, M.G., Louie, R., Ngwira, P., Edema, R., Gordon, D.T. & Bisaro, D.M. (2001) Transmission of viral RNA and DNA to maize kernels by vascular puncture inoculation. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 98, 135–143.
- Redinbaugh, M.G. & Stewart, L.R. (2018) Maize lethal necrosis: an emerging, synergistic viral disease. *Annual Review of Virology*, 5, 301–322.
- Robertson, N.L., French, R. & Morris, T.J. (2000) The open reading frame 5A of foxtail mosaic virus is expressed in vivo and is dispensable for systemic infection. *Archives of Virology*, 145, 1685–1698.
- Rössner, C., Lotz, D. & Becker, A. (2022) VIGS goes viral: how VIGS transforms our understanding of plant science. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 73, 703–728.
- Saado, I., Chia, K.-S., Betz, R., Alcântara, A., Pettkó-Szandtner, A., Navarrete, F. et al. (2022) Effector-mediated relocalization of a maize lipoxygenase protein triggers susceptibility to *Ustilago maydis*. *The Plant Cell*, 34, 2785–2805.
- Scholthof, H.B. (1999) Rapid delivery of foreign genes into plants by direct rub-inoculation with intact plasmid DNA of a tomato bushy stunt virus gene vector. *Journal of Virology*, 73, 7823–7829.
- Scholthof, H.B., Scholthof, K.-B.G. & Jackson, A.O. (1996) Plant virus gene vectors for transient expression of foreign proteins in plants. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 34, 299–323.
- Scofield, S.R. & Nelson, R.S. (2009) Resources for virus-induced gene silencing in the grasses. *Plant Physiology*, 149, 152–157.
- Seifers, D.L., Harvey, T.L., Haber, S.H., She, Y.M., Chernushevich, I., Ens, W., et al. (1999) Natural infection of sorghum by foxtail mosaic virus in Kansas. *Plant Disease*, 83, 905–912.
- Sempere, R.N., Gomez, P., Truniger, V. & Aranda, M.A. (2011) Development of expression vectors based on Pepino mosaic virus. *Plant Methods*, 7, 6.
- Shi, G., Hai, M., Tian, B., Cao, G., Wei, F. & Xie, Z. (2021) A methodological advance of tobacco rattle virus-induced gene silencing for functional genomics in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 671091.
- Tanaka, S., Schweizer, G., Rossel, N., Fukada, F., Thines, M. & Kahmann, R. (2019) Neofunctionalization of the secreted Tin2 effector in the fungal pathogen *Ustilago maydis*. *Nature Microbiology*, 4, 251–257.
- Tatineni, S., McMechan, A.J., Hein, G.L. & French, R. (2010) Efficient and stable expression of GFP through wheat streak mosaic virus-based vectors in cereal hosts using a range of cleavage sites: formation of dense fluorescent aggregates for sensitive virus tracking. *Virology*, 410, 268–281.
- Tiedge, K., Destremps, J., Solano-Sanchez, J., Arce-Rodriguez, M.L. & Zerbe, P. (2022) Foxtail mosaic virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.). *Plant Methods*, 18, 71.
- Toruño, T.Y., Stergiopoulos, I. & Coaker, G. (2016) Plant-pathogen effectors: cellular probes interfering with plant defenses in spatial and temporal manners. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 54, 419–441.
- Tuo, D., Zhou, P., Yan, P., Cui, H., Liu, Y., Wang, H. et al. (2021) A cassava common mosaic virus vector for virus-induced gene silencing in cassava. *Plant Methods*, 17, 74.
- Uranga, M., Aragonés, V., Selma, S., Vázquez-Vilar, M., Orzáez, D. & Daròs, J.-A. (2021) Efficient Cas9 multiplex editing using unspaced sgRNA arrays engineering in a potato virus X vector. *The Plant Journal*, 106, 555–565.
- Vaghchhipawala, Z., Rojas, C.M., Senthil-Kumar, M. & Mysore, K.S. (2011) Agroinoculation and agroinfiltration: simple tools for complex gene function analyses. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 678, 65–76.
- Voytas, D.F. & Gao, C. (2014) Precision genome engineering and agriculture: opportunities and regulatory challenges. *PLoS Biology*, 12, e1001877.
- Wang, R., Yang, X., Wang, N., Liu, X., Nelson, R.S., Li, W. et al. (2016) An efficient virus-induced gene silencing vector for maize functional genomics research. *The Plant Journal*, 86, 102–115.
- Willemsen, A. & Zwart, M.P. (2019) On the stability of sequences inserted into viral genomes. *Virus Evolution*, 5, vez045.
- Xie, W., Marty, D.M., Xu, J., Khatri, N., Willie, K., Moraes, W.B. et al. (2021) Simultaneous gene expression and multi-gene silencing in *Zea mays* using maize dwarf mosaic virus. *BMC Plant Biology*, 21, 208.
- Xu, X.-J., Geng, C., Jiang, S.-Y., Zhu, Q., Yan, Z.-Y., Tian, Y.-P. et al. (2022) A maize triacylglycerol lipase inhibits sugarcane mosaic virus infection. *Plant Physiology*, 189, 754–771.
- Yin, C., Downey, S.I., Klages-Mundt, N.L., Ramachandran, S., Chen, X., Szabo, L.J. et al. (2015) Identification of promising host-induced silencing targets among genes preferentially transcribed in haustoria of *Puccinia*. *BMC Genomics*, 16, 579.
- Yin, K., Gao, C. & Qiu, J.-L. (2017) Progress and prospects in plant genome editing. *Nature Plants*, 3, 17107.
- Yu, X., Feng, B., He, P. & Shan, L. (2017) From chaos to harmony: responses and signaling upon microbial pattern recognition. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 55, 109–137.
- Yu, H., Ruan, H., Xia, X., Sartor Chicowski, A., Whitham, S.A., Li, Z. et al. (2022) Maize FERONIA-like receptor genes are involved in the response of multiple disease resistance in maize. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 23, 1331–1345.
- Yuan, C., Li, H., Qin, C., Zhang, X., Chen, Q., Zhang, P. et al. (2020) Foxtail mosaic virus-induced flowering assays in monocot crops. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 71, 3012–3032.
- Zhang, X., Kang, L., Zhang, Q., Meng, Q., Pan, Y., Yu, Z. et al. (2020) An RNAi suppressor activates *in planta* virus-mediated gene editing. *Functional & Integrative Genomics*, 20, 471–477.
- Zhang, H., Wang, L., Hunter, D., Voogd, C., Joyce, N. & Davies, K. (2013) A narcissus mosaic viral vector system for protein expression and flavonoid production. *Plant Methods*, 9, 28.
- Zhang, J., Yu, D., Zhang, Y., Liu, K., Xu, K., Zhang, F. et al. (2017) Vacuum and co-cultivation agroinfiltration of (germinated) seeds results in tobacco rattle virus (TRV) mediated whole-plant virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) in wheat and maize. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 393.

How to cite this article: Beernink, B.M. & Whitham, S.A. (2023) Foxtail mosaic virus: A tool for gene function analysis in maize and other monocots. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 00, 1–12. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.13330>