

The morphotropic phase boundary and electrical properties of $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics

O. Khamman, X. Tan, S. Ananta, R. Yimnirun

Abstract Ceramics in the solid solution of $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ system, with $x = 0.80, 0.85, 0.90$ and 0.95 , were synthesized with the solid-state reaction technique. The perovskite phase formation in the sintered ceramics was analyzed with X-ray diffraction. It shows that the rhombohedral and the tetragonal phases coexist in the ceramic with $x = 0.90$, indicating the morphotropic phase boundary (MPB) within this pseudo-binary system. Dielectric and ferroelectric properties measurements indicate that the transition temperature decreases while the remanent polarization increases with the addition of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$. In the composition of $x = 0.85$ which is close to the MPB in the rhombohedral side, a high piezoelectric property with $d_{33} = 222$ pC/N was observed.

Keywords: lead zirconate titanate, morphotropic phase boundary, piezoelectric properties

PACS numbers: 77.65.Bn, 77.80.Bh, 77.84.Dy

X. Tan (corresponding author)

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA

Email: xtan@iastate.edu

O. Khamman, S. Ananta, R. Yimnirun

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200 Thailand

Introduction

Lead-containing perovskite ferroelectrics are of great importance to engineering technologies due to their unique dielectric and piezoelectric properties [1]. Lead zirconate titanate, $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{1-y}\text{Ti}_y)\text{O}_3$, is the most studied systems for piezoelectric applications in transducers and actuators [1-4]. The best piezoelectric properties are found in compositions close to the morphotropic phase boundary (MPB), roughly $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ [1]. To further enhance their piezoelectric properties, other complex compounds have been incorporated into the $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$, such as $\text{Pb}(\text{Ni}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3$ [5], $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3$ [6], $\text{Pb}(\text{Mg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3$ [7], and $\text{Pb}(\text{Mg}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ [8].

Lead zinc tungstate, $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$, is a complex perovskite compound with Zn^{2+} and W^{6+} ordered on the B-site of the ABO_3 perovskite lattice [9]. When cooling through the Curie temperature of 130°C , it transforms from the cubic to a tetragonal structure. However, whether the tetragonal phase is ferroelectric or antiferroelectric is still unknown [9]. It should be noted that perovskite $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ can only synthesized under high pressure [10]. The conventional solid state reaction method invariably leads to the mixture of Pb_2WO_5 and ZnO [11]. To the authors' knowledge, the complex perovskite $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ has not been reported in literature to be incorporated into $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{1-y}\text{Ti}_y)\text{O}_3$ for solid solutions. In addition to identifying the MPB and investigating the dielectric, piezoelectric and ferroelectric properties in the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ solid solution system, the present study aims to determine the solubility limit of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ in $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ and to explore if long range cation order can be developed in $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ -based solid solution [8].

Experimental

Ceramics in the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ pseudo-binary system with $x = 0.80, 0.85, 0.90$ and 0.95 were prepared with a two-step reaction method. First, powders of ZnWO_4 and ZrTiO_4 were synthesized. The ZnWO_4 precursor was formed by reacting ZnO (99.9%) with WO_3 (99.9%) at $1000\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 4 h while the ZrTiO_4 precursor was formed by reacting ZrO_2 (99%) with TiO_2 (99.9%) at $1400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 4 h. Appropriate amount of ZnWO_4 and ZrTiO_4 were then mixed with PbO (99.9%, with 2 mol% excess) and milled, dried, and calcined at $900\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 4 h. The green compact of ceramics was formed by uniaxially pressing powders containing 2wt% polyvinyl alcohol binder. Sintering was carried out at temperatures between 1100 and $1175\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. To prevent PbO loss from the pellets, a PbO atmosphere was provided with a bed of PbZrO_3 powder placed in the vicinity of the pellets.

The density of the ceramics was measured by the Archimedes method, and the grain size was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The X-ray diffraction was used to verify the phase purity and determine the crystal structure. The ceramic pellets were polished and then electroded with gold for dielectric measurements. The samples were heated from room temperature at $2^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ for the measurement. For the piezoelectric measurement, samples were poled under $30\text{kV}/\text{cm}$ for 10 minutes at 120°C . The piezoelectric coefficient d_{33} was measured using a d_{33} meter 24 hours after poling. The polarization hysteresis measurement was carried out with a standardized ferroelectric test system at room temperature with a frequency of about 4 Hz.

Results and discussion

The measured density of the sintered ceramic pellets in the pseudo-binary system $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ is listed in Table 1. The relative density for all the pellets

was found to be above 90%. The addition of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ seems to enhance the sinterability of the solid solution ceramics. SEM micrographs of the fracture surfaces of the ceramic pellets are shown in Fig. 1. It can be seen that grains are uniform with clear grain boundaries. The average grain size determined from these micrographs is also listed in Table 1. Obviously, incorporating up to 10 mol% of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ into $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ significantly increases the grain size. However, beyond 10 mol%, it appears to show the opposite effect.

X-ray diffraction patterns of the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ solid solution ceramics are presented in Fig. 2. Within the detection limit of the diffractometer, sintered ceramics are phase pure with the perovskite structure with x down to 0.80. Further increase in $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ content invariably leads to the formation of second phases. Therefore, the solubility limit of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ in $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ is 20 mol%. Furthermore, the x-ray diffraction data in the range of $15\sim 20^\circ$ for 2θ (not shown in Fig. 2) did not display any diffraction intensity peak, suggesting the absence of long range cation order in these sintered ceramics [8].

Close examination indicates significant changes in the pseudo-cubic $(200)_c$ peak when composition varies, as marked with the dashed box in Fig. 1. For the composition of $x = 0.95$, the x-ray diffraction pattern shows an obvious $(200)_c$ peak splitting which is indicative of the tetragonal symmetry of the perovskite structure. As the $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ content increases in the solid solution, the $(200)_c$ peak transforms to a single peak which suggests a rhombohedral distortion of the perovskite structure. The results show that a morphotropic phase boundary (MPB), separating the rhombohedral from the tetragonal phase, exists at $x = 0.90$ in the pseudo-binary $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ solid solution system. It is interesting to notice that the phase evolution sequence in the present $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ system is very

similar to that in the $\text{Pb}(\text{Ni}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3\text{-Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ [5] and the $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3\text{-Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ systems [6].

The temperature dependence of dielectric constant ϵ_r and loss tangent $\tan\delta$ measured at 1 kHz for the ceramics are plotted in Fig. 3. It is shown that with increasing $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ content in the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3\text{-}x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ solid solution, the paraelectric-ferroelectric transition temperature T_m decreases continuously. Overall, all the ceramics show very high dielectric permittivity. The dielectric constant at T_m , denoted as ϵ_m hereafter, shows the highest value of 38410 in the composition of $x = 0.90$. This composition, as revealed by the x-ray diffraction patterns shown in Fig. 2, is the MPB composition. Therefore, the results from the dielectric measurement are in support of the x-ray diffraction data. The values of T_m and ϵ_m for the ceramic series are listed in Table 2 for clarity.

It is also noticed from Fig. 3 that the paraelectric-ferroelectric transition peak becomes broadened slightly with increasing $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ content. In addition, a new dielectric anomaly emerges around 260°C in the composition of $x = 0.80$. Detailed comparison of the dielectric constant under different measuring frequencies between compositions of $x = 0.80$ and 0.95 is shown in Fig. 4. A weak frequency dependence is seen in the dielectric constant.

The broadened dielectric peak suggests that the dielectric constant ϵ_r at temperatures above T_m should follow a general expression [5,12]:

$$\frac{\epsilon_m}{\epsilon_r} = 1 + \frac{[T - T_m]^\gamma}{2\delta^2} \quad (1)$$

where γ is a parameter indicating the degree of dielectric relaxation while the parameter δ can be used to evaluate the degree of the diffuseness of the phase transition. When $\gamma = 1$, Eq. (1) becomes the Curie–Weiss law, while for $\gamma = 2$ this equation becomes the quadratic relationship describing the classic relaxor ferroelectric $\text{Pb}(\text{Mg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3$ [13]. According to Eq. (1), the

values of $\ln[(\epsilon_m/\epsilon_r)-1]$ are plotted against $\ln(T-T_m)$ in Fig. 5 for all the sintered ceramics, using the data obtained at 1 kHz. Remarkably good linearity within the measurement temperature range above T_m is evident. Using the intercept and the slope of the fitted lines in Fig. 5, γ and δ for each composition are determined and listed in Table 2. It is found that with increasing amount of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ in the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ solid solution, both the relaxation parameter γ and the diffuseness parameter δ increases. This is indicative of an increasing degree of disorder of the electrical dipole moments in the solid solution ceramics.

The piezoelectric property of the poled ceramics was measured at room temperature with a quasi-static d_{33} meter and is also listed in Table 2. The highest d_{33} value (222 pC/N) is found in the ceramic of $0.15\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-0.85\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$, a composition close to the MPB in the rhombohedral side. Optimizing processing conditions is expected to further increase the piezoelectric property.

Finally, the ferroelectric properties of the sintered ceramics were evaluated with the electrical polarization vs. field hysteresis loop measurements. As shown in Fig. 6, all samples exhibit a profound hysteretic behavior. In the ceramic of $0.05\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-0.95\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$, a non-saturated hysteresis loop is observed at a peak electric field of 30 kV/cm. However, saturated loops are seen in ceramics with a higher content of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$. In addition, the remanent polarization P_r is observed to increase dramatically with increasing $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ content. increase loop, and have a high values in saturation polarization (P_s) and remnant polarization (P_r). In the ceramic with composition of $x = 0.80$, P_r reached $33.6 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$. The shape of the ferroelectric hysteresis loop can be quantitatively assessed with the so-called squareness parameter R_{sq} , defined previously in literature [14]:

$$R_{sq} = \left(\frac{P_r}{P_s} \right) + \left(\frac{P_{1.1E_c}}{P_r} \right) \quad (2)$$

where P_s is the saturation polarization, E_c is the coercive field, $P_{1.1E_c}$ is the polarization at the field of $1.1E_c$. For a perfect square loop, R_{sq} is equal to 2.00. With the data presented in Fig. 6, the loop squareness parameter R_{sq} is calculated. The result is listed in Table 3, together with the remanent polarization P_r and the coercive field E_c . Incorporating $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ obviously enhances the ferroelectric properties significantly.

Conclusions

Phase pure perovskite ceramics in the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ system can be prepared with the solid-state reaction method with up to 20 mol% of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$. In the composition range for a stable perovskite structure, the MPB composition is identified at $x = 0.90$. This MPB separates the tetragonal phase from the rhombohedral phase. At the MPB composition, a remarkably high dielectric constant ϵ_m of 38410 is observed at the ferroelectric transition temperature T_m . In the ceramic of $0.15\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-0.85\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$, a composition in the rhombohedral side of MPB, the best piezoelectric property ($d_{33} = 222$ pC/N) is detected. Furthermore, the addition of $\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3$ in $\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ dramatically enhances the ferroelectric properties, manifested by the large increase in the remanent polarization and the hysteresis loop squareness parameter. Therefore, the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ solid solution offers a new material system for various device applications.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through the CAREER grant DMR-0346819 and the Thailand Research Fund (TRF), the Commission on Higher Education (CHE), and the Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Thailand.

References

1. Jaffe B, Cook WR, Jaffe H (1971) *Piezoelectric Ceramics*, Academic Press
2. Haertling GH (1999) *J Am Ceram Soc* 82:797
3. Cross LE (1996) *Mater Chem Phys* 43:108
4. Uchino K (2000) *Ferroelectric Devices*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York
5. Vittayakorn N, Rujijanagul G, Tan X, Marquardt MA, Cann DP (2004) *J Appl Phys* 96: 5103
6. Vittayakorn N, Rujijanagul G, Tan X, He H, Marquardt MA, Cann DP (2006) *J Electroceram* 16:141
7. Yimnirun R, Ananta S, Laoratanakul P (2005) *J Europ Ceram Soc* **25**:3235
8. White D, Zhao X, Besser MF, Tan X (2008) *J Mater Sci* 43:5258
9. Okai B, Yoshimoto J, Fujita T (1974) *J Phys Soc Japan* 37:281
10. Fujita T, Fukunaga O, Nakagawa T, Nomura S (1970) *Mat Res Bull* 5:759
11. Lee WJ, Kim NK (2008) *J Mater Sci* 43:3608
12. Uchino K, Nomura S (1982) *Ferroelectrics Lett* 44:55
13. Smolenskii GA (1970) *J Phys Soc Japan (Suppl)* 28:26
14. Jin B, Kim J, Kim SC (1997) *Appl Phys A: Mater Sci Proc* 65:53

Fig. 1 SEM micrographs revealing the fracture surfaces of $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics. (a) $x = 0.80$, (b) $x = 0.85$, (c) $x = 0.90$, (d) $x = 0.95$.

Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction spectra for the sintered $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics. The intensity peaks are indexed with the pseudo-cubic perovskite structure. The $(200)_c$ peak in the dashed box reveals the change of distortion.

Fig. 3 Dielectric constant ϵ_r and loss tangent $\tan\delta$ as a function of temperature measured at 1 kHz during heating in the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics.

Fig. 4 Dielectric constant ϵ_r as a function of temperature measured at 1, 10, and 100 kHz during heating in the $0.20\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-0.80\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ and the $0.05\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-0.95\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics.

Fig. 5 The $\ln[(\epsilon_m/\epsilon_r)-1]$ vs. $\ln(T-T_m)$ plot for the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics.

Fig. 6 Polarization vs. electric field hysteresis loops measured at room temperature at 4Hz in the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics.

Table 1. Characteristics of the sintered $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics.

x	Relative density (%)	Grain size (μm)
0.80	95	11.5
0.85	92	28.5
0.90	91	41.8
0.95	90	20.8

Table 2. Dielectric and piezoelectric properties of the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics.

x	ϵ_m	T_m (°C)	γ	δ	d_{33} (pC/N)
0.80	24930	287	1.55	8.07	142
0.85	28730	297	1.55	7.50	222
0.90	38410	306	1.53	7.39	188
0.95	33480	331	1.43	6.13	136

Table 3. Ferroelectric properties of the $(1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Zn}_{1/2}\text{W}_{1/2})\text{O}_3-x\text{Pb}(\text{Zr}_{0.5}\text{Ti}_{0.5})\text{O}_3$ ceramics.

x	P_r ($\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$)	E_c (kV/cm)	R_{sq}
0.80	33.6	10.1	1.24
0.85	28.4	8.2	1.36
0.90	19.2	7.8	1.20
0.95	8.4	11.4	0.66




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6