We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,700 Open access books available 182,000

195M Downloads



Our authors are among the

TOP 1%





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

Indoor Air Quality in Health Care Units (Case Study: Namazi Hospital, Shiraz, Iran)

Forough Farhadi, Saeid Chahardoli and Mehdi Khakzand

Abstract

Indoor air quality (IAQ) represents an important research focus due to its direct and substantial implications on human health outcomes. Existing research showed that substandard IAQ exacerbates the effects of airborne diseases. The objective of this chapter would be to explore the correlation among indoor air quality (IAQ), location of air outlet valves, and fluctuations in IAQ indicators within the cardiovascular care unit (CCU). In this regard, a combination of experimental and numerical methods has been utilized. These included direct IAQ measurements within the unit and the application of computational fluid dynamics to simulate indoor air conditions based on the collected experimental data. In this specific circumstance, the state of the air outflow valve and the condition of the air change rate significantly affect the enhancement of IAQ levels. To confirm this hypothesis, existing literature was thoroughly reviewed according to IAQ guidelines. In a similar vein, the study included measurements of emissions such as CO₂, CO, PM2.5, and PM10. Additionally, it examined the association relating to IAQ, air outlet placement, and dynamics of the emissions within the patient's room.

Keywords: indoor air quality, building performance, healthy indoor spaces, infectious diseases, computational fluid dynamics

1. Introduction

Indoor air quality (IAQ) in healthcare facilities has the greatest significance due to its enormous effects on patient well-being, staff productivity, and overall health outcomes [1]. Airborne diseases highlight the potential to enhance healthcare settings' preventative procedures [2, 3]. Comprehending and managing prevalent indoor pollutants can mitigate the potential health risks and adverse health consequences associated with indoor air contaminants [4, 5].

World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that air pollutants cause up to 7.3 million fatalities annually, of which 4.3 million are due to indoor air pollutants [6]. Recently, the Harvard School of Public Health conducted a study that noticed a correlation between mortality rates attributed to infectious diseases and the rising levels of particulate matter concentration [5, 7, 8]. The impact of IAQ is particularly

consequential for these individuals as those with compromised immune systems could be exposed to potentially life-threatening infections within hospitals due to poor air quality [3, 9, 10].

Studies and efforts related to this field emphasize how poor IAQ magnifies the effects of airborne pathogens [11–13] and the enormous risk that respiratory infections carried by aerosols pose, particularly in compact, inadequately ventilated spaces [14]. Finding the causes of the rising transmission of airborne diseases and their mortality rate has drawn recent scientific attention. The damage caused to human health varies, and this issue depends on particle pollutants' concentration [6, 15, 16].

Preventing or managing airborne infectious illnesses would be improved by IAQ research, characterization, and increased interest in creating healthy indoor settings [17]. Standards have been established for assessing IAQ in a building for its intended purpose. As an example, the EPA has developed a series of specific reference procedures to precisely gauge the levels of individual pollutants [18–20], and the World Health Organization (WHO) set a comparison of different indoor air quality guide-lines [21–23]. These methods provide outstanding accuracy and precise time measurements, yet they present challenges such as the requirement for quality control assessments, frequent calibration, significant costs, and the necessity for an operator possessing specialized expertise [24–26]. Given the damaging impact on IAQ and individuals' vulnerability within healthcare facilities, addressing this concern in treatment areas holds paramount significance.

Recently, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) has potential techniques for analyzing particles' behavior in a room [27, 28]. It is influenced by a number of variables, including airborne contaminants, ventilation systems, and building layout [29, 30]. To maintain a balanced airflow and avoid recirculating contaminated air, the placement of air outflow valves is essential [31].

This issue should be considered in hospitals in order to control and monitor the microbiological quality of indoor air [32, 33]. At present, there exists a dearth of understanding among individuals concerning the assessment, recognition, and possible health consequences of indoor air quality (IAQ) [34–36]. Hence, the ongoing monitoring and regulation of indoor air quality within hospitals are integral components of infection prevention strategies and the promotion of a healthful indoor environment.

Recent studies investigated the importance of IAQ monitoring according to guidelines [31, 36, 37]. Abdel-Salam et al. investigated PM10, PM2.5, and CO₂ experimentally for 24 h in urban homes in Egypt based on WHO guidelines [21]. Kephart et al. investigated NO₂ measurements for 48 h in Homes in Peru based on WHO guidelines [38]. Woolley et al. studied CO concentration from Wednesday to Friday evenings for 48 h in home residence apartments based on WHO guidelines in the United Kingdom [39]. Amadeo et al. studied O₃, NO₂, SO₂, and PM10 measurements from December 2008 to December 2009 in schools based on WHO guidelines in Guadeloupe (French West Indies) [40]. Shen et al., Huang et al., and Abdel-Salam investigated IAQ measurements based on EPA guidelines [41–43]. Poulin et al. studied IAQ measurements based on Canadian IAQ guidelines [44].

Also, Singh et al. investigated PM2.5 for two weeks of measurements in a commercial shopping complex (CSC) based on NIOSH guidelines in Delhi.

Branco and colleagues conducted a study on indoor air quality (IAQ) and discovered that children sensitized to common aeroallergens had an increased likelihood of developing childhood asthma when exposed to particulate matter [45]. These factors can have adverse effects on human well-being, potentially causing disruptions to daily

life [25, 46–48]. Connections have been demonstrated to exist between particular indoor exposures, even when they are at low levels. This can pose health risks, particularly for individuals who have not developed prior sensitivities [49–52].

Groulx et al. have concluded that alterations in outdoor air quality significantly affect the composition of medical air administered to patients. They also emphasize the crucial significance of monitoring and controlling the quality of medical air within healthcare facilities [53]. In their study, Jung et al. examined levels related to significant airborne pollutants, which encompassed CO, CO₂, O₃, total volatile organic compounds (TVOC), formaldehyde HCHO, and particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10). Their research revealed that inpatient rooms had significantly elevated levels of CO₂ and TVOC compared to nursing stations, clinics, and clinic waiting rooms [54]. In contrast, Nair et al. [55] verified that pollutants including particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5), NO₂, SO₂, CO, O₃, and CO₂ elevate the risk of contracting airborne illnesses, resulting in prolonged infectiousness of airborne viruses and contributing to an unhealthy environment.

Recent studies used short-period monitoring as a technique to analyze the quality of indoor air [21, 38, 56]. Piexoto et al. [57] experimentally investigated CO_2 and CO in the fitness center. Related activities in healthcare facilities induce specific emissions. This condition may become harmful if it exceeds the acceptable limits and may accelerate the virus's contagion [58–60]. As a result, the particles transferred with the incoming air would affect the transmission of infectious diseases. Recent studies based on IAQ measurements show that this experimental system is an effective method to help us understand the status of the environment and can prevent the chances of infectious transition and mechanically or naturally reach the appropriate IAQ. This is effective because it can lead to more healthy spaces, especially in healthcare facilities. Within this context, the crucial role of maintaining indoor air quality in infection control becomes evident. Inspired by the above-mentioned facts, the objective of this study is to contribute scientific insights into indoor air quality (IAQ) within healthcare facilities. This will be achieved through experimental measurements of IAQ indicators, which will then be compared to the acceptable limits established by IAQ guidelines. Research focused on health underscores the growing importance of comprehending the interplay between the built environment and the transmission of infections [61–63]. Examining the dispersion and mobility patterns of indoor particles can improve indoor air quality and promote the sustainable and healthy development of indoor environments. Recently, government agencies have implemented regulations and enforcement measures to enhance environmental health by controlling outdoor air pollutants. Different guidelines have been established to help monitor the air quality both indoors and outdoors [64].

Since 1979, WHO has consistently addressed indoor environmental conditions in numerous reports [65], with the objective of ensuring adequate indoor air quality, particularly within hospital facilities [66, 67].

According to WHO, numerous indoor air pollutants can adversely impact both the indoor environment and human health [68]. Airborne pollutants, including VOCs, PMs, SO₂, CO, NO, PAHs, microbial spores, pollen, allergens, and more, are the primary contributors to the deterioration of IAQ [69]. In their study, Kim et al. have come to the conclusion that high levels of PM10 have the potential to transmit indoor infections in closed spaces [36].

As awareness of the significance of indoor environments for human health grew, scientists began proposing various recommendations. These recommendations include establishing optimal air exchange rates within specific timeframes, regulating

the subsequent emission of air pollutants from various products, and establishing a foundation of guidelines and references for indoor environmental considerations [70]. Achieving an ideal air changes per hour (ACH) is crucial in IAQ within healthcare facilities to maintain efficient ventilation and reduce the danger of airborne pollution.

In previous research, it has been established that low air changes per hour (ACH) and insufficient ventilation negatively impact occupants' health. Recent analyses have revealed that ACH rates in many European countries range from approximately 0.35–1 ACH [71], while in China, they vary from about 0.35 to 0.78 ACH [72]. These earlier studies predominantly concentrated on aspects like achieving net zero energy buildings (NZEB), optimizing thermal comfort, and reducing energy consumption, which often led to lower ACH rates. However, a significant knowledge gap exists regarding the design criteria. This gap relates to determining the ideal ACH thresholds and achieving the best air quality with the lowest health risk for occupants.

In this chapter, we have conducted numerical simulations to replicate fluid dynamics using the RNG k-e turbulence model and to analyze the motion of particles using the discrete particle model (DPM). These simulations are employed to investigate the behavior of particles within the unit and their interaction with the surrounding fluid. The study's findings should provide an extensive understanding of the ventilation system design by air outlet valve height on particle dispersion and removal in situations when high outdoor contamination loads are an issue.

This chapter is based on CO, CO₂, PM2.5, and PM10 measurements in a patient's room in the CCU of Namazi Hospital in Shiraz, Iran. Based on previous research, IAQ measurements have been compared to IAQ guidelines (EPA, NIOSH, WHO, and Canadian). This study aims to understand the air quality inside Namazi Hospital, particularly in the Cardiovascular Care Unit. We're looking at how the direction of air outlet valves affects the indoor air quality and its potential impact on preventing the spread of diseases.

The study follows this sequence: (i) Experimental measurements are carried out, (ii) the obtained results are compared to IAQ guidelines, and (iii) the findings from this investigation are analyzed utilizing CFD computational design.

2. Method

This study is based on experimental data collection, CFD modeling, and analysis, commencing with a real-world case study. Namazi Hospital has been chosen for a case study due to its location in a congested area of Shiraz, Southern Iran, with a dry and warm climate. Measurements were undertaken both indoors and outdoors within the CCU (critical care unit). The sampling encompassed pollutants like CO, CO₂, PM2.5 and PM10. Monitoring was carried out during typical daily activities and under conditions representative of occupancy, following the ISO 4224 standard. To simulate the patient's breathing zone accurately, the measurement devices were positioned on a level surface at a 1-m height, maintaining a minimum distance of 1 m from any doors or active heating equipment. The devices used were calibrated before and after measurements.

2.1 IAQ sampling and analysis

The sampling devices were securely positioned in locations equipped with electricity, shielded from direct sunlight and rain within a protective shelter. They were

positioned at a height of 1 m above the ground to ensure unobstructed access for the sampling inlets and sensors, thus preserving the integrity of the sampling process. The levels of CO, CO₂, PM2.5, and PM10 were simultaneously measured alongside other air parameters both indoors and outdoors at 10:20 AM and 6:20 PM on Monday, March 1, 2021. The following measurements were conducted using the following equipments: IAQ for CO (Aeroqual, model S200, N/A), AQ100 for CO₂ (Aeroqual, model S200, 250313-2307), DustTrak for PM2.5 and PM10 (model ISI, 21221), and a flow meter (model N/A) (**Figure 1**).

Measurements have taken place in Room 6, which has an area of 14.5 m² and occupants on every shift. This room is mechanically ventilated by 2 inlets with a velocity of 2.5 m/s, dimensions of 25×25 cm, and an outlet that has a dimension of 50×50 cm. Windows and doors were closed during the measurement period. The results have been compared to IAQ guidelines (EPA, NIOSH, WHO, LEED, and Canadian).

This study centers on the utilization of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and particle tracking to model indoor air quality (IAQ) within healthcare environments. In this context, the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations serve as the foundation for describing turbulent, incompressible airflow. To address various turbulence scales effectively, a customized RNG k- ε model is employed.

In this research, both Eulerian and Lagrangian methods are simultaneously used to model indoor airflow and particle trajectories. The particle motion equation includes gravity and drag forces. The accuracy of particles' simulation is ensured by integrating RANS models with the model of discrete random walk (DRW).

Regarding the deposition of particles, wall-normal turbulent velocity fluctuations play a crucial role. Particle deposition on surfaces is assumed when particles hit the walls.

For computational simulations, ANSYS-FLUENT 18.2 software is utilized, with an Intel(R) core (TM) i7-6800 CPU @ 3.40 GHz processor and 32 GB RAM. The airflow conditions include a velocity of 2.5 m/s and air density of 1.225 kg/m³. Particle sizes range from 1 to 10 μ m, with a density of 2000 kg/m³. A one-way method is employed due to diluting particle concentration.

The study also examines particle deposition on walls in a patient room using a Eulerian-Lagrangian approach and validates results against other literature. Various pollutants are monitored in this mechanically ventilated room.

Figure 2 illustrates how the velocity magnitude's competitors vary across diverse computational grids when employing the realizable k-ε model. Grid independence







Grid independence for velocity magnitude with 100; 500,000; and 1,000,000 grids.

shows the domain with 1 million mesh has the best accuracy for fluid flow simulation. The velocity profiles have been acquired and are being compared across varying grid resolutions at heights of 1, 2, and 3 m. Additionally, for the solving of the governing equation, the SIMPLE algorithm, as well as the finite volume method, are employed.

2.2 Mathematical equation and model description

The Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations are applied to describe turbulent airflow that remains incompressible. Within this context, we observe the utilization of the continuity and momentum equations [73]:

$$\frac{\partial \overline{U}_i}{\partial x_i} = 0,$$
 (1)

$$\rho \overline{U}_j \frac{\partial \overline{U}_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial \overline{P}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\mu \left(\frac{\partial \overline{U}_i}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial \overline{U}_j}{\partial x_i} \right) - \rho \overline{u_i u_j} \right],$$
(2)

Here, \overline{U}_i stands for the fluctuation velocity, and \overline{U} and \overline{P} stand for the average speed and pressure, respectively. In addition, $(-u_i u_j)$ and μ are the fluid viscosity and the Reynolds stress tensor, additionally, ρ is density.

Yakhot et al. [73] developed the RNG k-model by applying a process of renormalization to the Navier-Stokes equations, taking into account diverse scales of turbulent motion. RNG k-model's transport equations are provided as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho k u_i) = G_k - Y_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right], \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho \varepsilon u_i) = C_{\varepsilon 1} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} G_k - C_{\varepsilon 2, RNG} \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{K} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_i}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right], \tag{4}$$

here:

$$Y_k = \rho \varepsilon \text{ and, } S = \sqrt{2S_{ij}S_{ij}}, G_k = S^2 \mu_t, S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right), \tag{5}$$

$$C_{\varepsilon 2,RNG} = C_{\varepsilon 2} + \frac{C_{\mu} \eta^3 \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\eta_0}\right)}{1 + \beta \eta^3} \ \eta = \left(2S_{ij}S_{ij}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{k}{\varepsilon}, \eta_0 = 4.337, \beta = 0.012, \tag{6}$$

The importance of demonstrating particle dispersion inside buildings has led to specific concentrations being determined for simulating diffusion and deposition. Lagrangian particle trajectories offer advantages as they enable the tracking of random particles within the computational domain while also facilitating a straightforward interaction between the particles and walls, thanks to well-defined boundary conditions. In the present study, both Eulerian and Lagrangian methods are employed to simultaneously compute the indoor flow field and particle trajectories. Gravity and drag force are included in the particle motion equation as follows:

$$\frac{du_i^p}{d_t} = \frac{C_D \operatorname{Re}_p}{24\tau} \left(u_i - u_i^p \right) + g_i, \tag{7}$$

Combining the particle velocities yields the particle position as follows:

$$\frac{dx_i}{d_t} = u_i^p,\tag{8}$$

RANS models are used to calculate the kinetic energy of turbulence, mean flow velocity fields, and turbulence dissipation rate. They combine realistic simulation of particle motion with precise modeling of fluid flow fluctuations. The following equations [74] are used in the DRW model for this purpose:

$$u' = \zeta u'_{rms}, v' = \zeta v'_{rms}, w' = w'_{rms}$$
 (9)

Ref. [74] Research has indicated that achieving precise forecasts for the velocity of sediment particles moving through a duct necessitates the consideration of turbulent velocity fluctuations in the wall-normal direction within the near-wall region. Lecrivain et al. [75] wrote the equation [11]. To accurately model particle deposition, complicated wall turbulent flow is represented as follows:

$$\sqrt{u_2'^2} = u^* \left(\frac{a_1 y^{+2}}{1 + b_1 y^+ + c_1 y^{+2.41}} \right), \quad for \ y^+ < 30$$
(10)

here, $c_1 = 0.0014$, b1 = 0.203, and $a_1 = 0.0116$ y plus can be described by,

$$y^+ = \frac{yu^*}{v} \tag{11}$$

3. Result

This study relies on the collection of experimental data at Namazi Hospital. The findings magnified the importance of keeping levels of CO, CO₂, PM2.5, and PM10 concentrations low for various health-related purposes, possibly even in transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Results showed the measurements of CO and CO₂ concentrations have been lower than acceptable limitations offered by IAQ guidelines (EPA, NIOSH,

WHO, LEED, and Canadian). Also, indoor and outdoor measurements of PM2.5 and PM10 have been above LEED and EPA guidelines.

Utilizing CFD as an analytical tool, its influence on indoor air quality and ventilation has been investigated and specifically applied to facilitate particle dispersion in an intensive care unit (ICU), with a primary research objective of assessing how variations in outlet valve heights impact indoor air quality. In space, on Monday, March 1, 2021, at 10:20 a.m. and 18:20 p.m., we measured the pollutants CO, CO₂, PM2.5, and PM10 in the room at two separate times of day.

For the simulation phase, we employed ANSYS Fluent to model the room based on its actual dimensions. The inlet velocity in this room was 2.5 m/s. The entrance valves measure 25 by 25 cm, while the output valves measure 50 by 50 cm. The grid independence computation determined that an unstructured mesh with roughly 1,106 cells should be installed. Then, to replicate airflow in the room, we used RNG k. Based on the outcomes of the simulation, we found that the airflow velocity was higher when the exit valve was placed 20 cm from the wall.

The domain was then filled with particles that were 1, 2.5, 4, 7.5, and 10 mm in diameter. By altering the location of the outlet valve, we successfully reduced contamination in the room equipped with an airflow ventilation system. Different air outlet valve heights have an impact on the flow field and particle deposition. This issue has been investigated by using velocity contours and streamlines. The outcome shows that a higher exit valve would result in particle entrapment in the space. As the height of the outlet valve decreases, the flow of air would increase, and the particles' concentration would be removed. Therefore, the chances of infectious transmission will be reduced.

The calculated ACH for the case studies, based on a room area of 61.41 m³ (3.45 m \times 4.45 m \times 4 m), two inflows totaling 0.062 m², an inlet velocity of 5.5 m/s, and an airflow rate of 0.15 m³/s, falls within the acceptable IAQ range at 8.8 ACH (**Figure 3**).

Based on the simulation results, the inlet valve is strategically situated at a height of 20 cm, accounting for the natural tendency of cold air to accumulate at lower levels and facilitating its exit through the lower outlet valve. Additionally, the higher airflow velocity, as represented by the yellow color in **Table 1**, signifies efficient air movement. This observation, coupled with air change rates meeting industry standards, promises improved indoor air quality.

Figure 4 show the dispersion of the particles inside the room and illustrate how convenient it is for particles to exit the room if they are placed at 20-cm height.

DPM concentration at the 20-cm outlet height varies with time. Initial seconds exhibit high emissions near the outlet, but after 90 s, CFD indicates substantial particle removal from the room. This indicates effective ventilation dynamics.



Figure 3.

A contour velocity magnitude for the model at the z/x plane located at the center surface.



Figure 4. Particle dispersion inside the room.

The comfort, productivity, and health of building inhabitants are directly impacted by indoor air quality. Indoor pollution, which has been linked to 4.1% of global fatalities in recent decades, can have immediate or long-term health consequences. Recent studies utilizing indoor air quality (IAQ) and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) demonstrate how useful this experimental approach is for assisting architects in producing improved designs. This method provides crucial information about airflow patterns and their effect on pollutant particles, enhancing architects' understanding of IAQ. This approach is effective as it bridges the gap between technological analysis, such as the CFD results, and architectural design.

A good indoor air quality is important in operating rooms where contaminated air can cause surgical site infections. An appropriate ventilation strategy, underscored by a comprehensive understanding of airflow patterns and pollutant dispersion, is essential for controlling and reducing indoor pollution while optimizing the performance of the ventilation system. This study employed computational fluid dynamics to analyze airflow patterns and the spread of airborne contaminants within indoor settings.

In recent research, there has been an emphasis on the surveillance of indoor air quality [27, 76, 77]. Ascione et al. [78], in a study on university classrooms in Italy, by investigation of IAQ measurements proposed new scenarios and provided supporting

evidence regarding the effectiveness of the systems responsible for thermal comfort that wouldn't pollute airflow. Additionally, they assessed the appropriateness of certain air distribution strategies, such as ceiling squared and linear slot diffusers, in comparison to conventional methods. Leconte et al. [79] conducted an experimental assessment of airflow and inventive active air ducts impact IAQ in a residential building. Cetin at al. [80] examined efficiency of varying air exchange rates on the dispersion and settling of indoor particles within a ventilated room.

Dobson et al. [81] investigated the quality of indoor air based on WHO guideline limits, in residential homes in Scotland, Florence, Greece, Milan, and Catalonia. The findings indicated that, in the context of this study, only a small number of households achieved complete smoke-free status. Lewis et al. [82], by investigating PM2.5 measurements from December 2011 to January 2012 in 105 residential homes in India, found out that in houses in which traditional stoves were used, pollution levels still remained above WHO guidelines.

Can et al. [83] studied NO₂, O₃, and VOCs for 7 days in a university in Turkey, the lifetime cancer risks for individuals employed within the department, including faculty members and technicians, exceeded the acceptable risk threshold established by the USEPA. Shen et al. [41] surveyed the IAQ within healthcare facilities, and based on the research findings, proposed the potential use of AgZ filtering as a means to manage bacteria and fungi parameters in hospital settings for indoor air quality management.

Baurès et al. [84] explored the levels of chemical and microbiological compounds present in the indoor air of two hospitals in France, which found that these concentrations (aldehydes, limonene, phthalates, aromatic hydrocarbons), exist in the space even low and are related to ventilation efficiency. Baboli et al. [85], conducted an investigation into the airborne transmission of infectious diseases at Razi Hospital in Iran, specifically chosen for this study. The findings corroborate the presence of airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2 bioaerosols indoors. On May 7, 2020, Kenarkoohi et al. [7] conducted research into the transmission of the COVID-19 virus among confirmed COVID-19 patients within the indoor air of hospital wards.

These investigations clearly showed a strong influence on IAQ monitoring and controlling to achieve healthy spaces. There has been limited research conducted on indoor air quality (IAQ) within healthcare facilities. Furthermore, certain scholars propose exploring strategies for regulating and reducing pollution levels. The aim of this study is to assess the indoor air quality in Namazi Hospital's critical care unit (CCU) and to confirm whether the room is healthy or not for patients, also, reduce the possibility of the transition of infectious diseases by non-polluted air.

We came to the fact that the indoor and outdoor measurements of PM2.5 and PM10 have been higher than the guidelines' limitations. This condition can make it easier for infectious diseases to spread, especially those that are transmitted through contagious means. This matter has the potential to be for the corrupted HVAC filters and misfunctioning outlet valves or HVAC systems. Indoor and outdoor air should be monitored frequently for early detection of possible ventilation problems. This investigation suggests that frequent IAQ monitoring can lead to healthier spaces.

The ACH (air changes per hour) in numerous European countries for residential buildings ranges from approximately 0.35–1 [71]. However, the recommended protocol to minimize airborne infection transmission, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic, suggests a minimum of 12 ACH [86].

5. Conclusion

Recent studies showed the impact of IAQ on the transmission of infectious diseases. Also, how monitoring and controlling IAQ can be effective. Therefore, the IAQ of the CCU of Namazi Hospital was studied both experimentally and conceptually to understand the indoor air quality and its consequences on outlet valve height. It was found that the IAQ with respect to CO, CO₂, PM2.5, and PM10 was critical in the patient room due to room procedure's significance and the count of individuals present. If the IAQ indicators were above the guidelines' limitations, the chances of catching airborne diseases would increase sharply. In conclusion, frequently monitoring indoor air will be more effective in making a better IAQ and reducing the likelihood of infectious diseases transmission becomes more probable. Secondly, an observation revealed measurements of PM2.5 and PM10 within the patient's room in the critical care unit (CCU) in Namazi Hospital were above IAQ guidelines limitations.

Based on ANSYS Fluent output, particle concentration remains trapped when the outlet is at 380 cm due to airflow pressure. At 200 cm, emissions escape, while at 20 cm, substantial airflow removes particles. The highest deposit fraction occurs at 380 cm, resulting in the lowest air quality. Conversely, placing the valve at the bottom yields better particle removal.

We came to the fact that if the outlet valve position is above the ground height, mechanical ventilation systems will not provide enough air renewal throughout the patient room's interior space. And this would lead to the trapped and built up condition of the air pollutants, especially in highly polluted locations. Therefore, the IAQ will be lowered, and the likelihood of spreading infectious diseases will increase. It is advised to use these principles since lowering the particle deposition fraction is needed more than other options. Setting the exit valves lower can significantly alter the air in the space and help with incoming flow egress. By managing this matter, we could enhance our effectiveness in reducing the probability of airborne disease transmission within vulnerable environments such as healthcare facilities. There were few studies with interventions to improve IAQ, IAQ monitoring in healthcare spaces, and investigating the impact of IAQ on health based on IAQ guidelines.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to Namazi Hospital, particularly the Occupational Health Department, for their invaluable cooperation and guidance that significantly contributed to this research.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

IntechOpen

Author details

Forough Farhadi, Saeid Chahardoli and Mehdi Khakzand^{*} School of Architecture and Urban Design, Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran

*Address all correspondence to: mkhakzand@iust.ac.ir

IntechOpen

© 2023 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

[1] Facciolà A, Pellicanò GF, Visalli G, et al. The role of the hospital environment in the healthcare-associated infections: A general review of the literature. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences. 2019;**23**:1266-1278

[2] Alvarenga MOP, Dias JMM, Lima
BJLA, et al. The implementation of portable air-cleaning technologies in healthcare settings – A scoping review.
The Journal of Hospital Infection. 2023;
132:93-103

[3] Fonseca A, Abreu I, Guerreiro MJ, et al. Indoor air quality in healthcare Units&mdash: A systematic literature review focusing recent research. Sustainability. 2022;**14**. Epub ahead of print 2022. DOI: 10.3390/su14020967

[4] Deng S, Lau J. Seasonal variations of indoor air quality and thermal conditions and their correlations in 220 classrooms in the Midwestern United States. Building and Environment. 2019;**157**: 79-88

[5] Wu X, Nethery RC, Sabath MB, et al. Air pollution and COVID-19 mortality in the United States: Strengths and limitations of an ecological regression analysis. Scientific Advance. 2020;**6**. Epub ahead of print November 2020. DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abd4049

[6] Correia G, Rodrigues L, Gameiro da Silva M, et al. Airborne route and bad use of ventilation systems as nonnegligible factors in SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Medical Hypotheses. 2020; **141**:109781

[7] Kenarkoohi A, Noorimotlagh Z, Falahi S, et al. Hospital indoor air quality monitoring for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) virus. Science Total Environment. 2020;**748**:141324 [8] Domingo JL, Rovira J. Effects of air pollutants on the transmission and severity of respiratory viral infections. Environmental Research. 2020;187: 109650

[9] Ghinai I, McPherson TD, Hunter JC, et al. First known person-to-person transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in the USA. Lancet (London, England). 2020;**395**:1137-1144

[10] Khakzand M, Chahardoli S. Indoor thermal comfort and high residential buildings (Case study: Two highrise buildings in two different microclimates in Tehran city). Journal of Urban Management Energy Sustainable. 2023;**3**: 124-142

[11] Conticini E, Frediani B, Caro D. Can atmospheric pollution be considered a co-factor in extremely high level of SARS-CoV-2 lethality in Northern Italy? Environmental Pollution. 2020;**261**: 114465

[12] Setti L, Passarini F, De Gennaro G, et al. SARS-Cov-2RNA found on particulate matter of Bergamo in Northern Italy: First evidence.
Environmental Research. 2020;188: 109754

[13] van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris D, et al. Aerosol and surface stability of HCoV-19 (SARS-CoV-2) compared to SARS-CoV-1. medRxiv : The preprint server for health sciences.
2020. Epub ahead of print 2020. DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.09.20033217

[14] Klompas M, Baker MA, Rhee C. Airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2: Theoretical considerations and available evidence. JAMA. 2020;**324**:441-442 [15] Toyinbo O, Phipatanakul W, Shaughnessy R, et al. Building and indoor environmental quality assessment of Nigerian primary schools: A pilot study. Indoor Air. 2019;**29**:510-520

[16] Reddy M, Heidarinejad M, StephensB, et al. Adequate indoor air quality in nursing homes: An unmet medical need.Sciene Total Environment. 2021;765: 144273

[17] Pourkiaei M, Romain A-C. Scoping review of indoor air quality indexes: Characterization and applications.Journal of Building Engineering. 2023;75: 106703

[18] Piedrahita R, Xiang Y, Masson N, et al. The next generation of low-cost personal air quality sensors for quantitative exposure monitoring. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques. 2014;7:3325-3336

[19] Felix EP, Filho JP, Garcia G, et al. A new fluorescence method for determination of ozone in ambient air. Microchemical Journal. 2011;**99**:530-534

[20] Lee C-M, Kwon M, Kang D-R, et al. Distribution of radon concentrations in child-care facilities in South Korea.Journal of Environmental Radioactivity.2017;167:80-85

[21] Abdel-Salam MMM. Outdoor and indoor factors influencing particulate matter and carbon dioxide levels in naturally ventilated urban homes. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association (1995). 2021; **71**:60-69

[22] Yip F, Christensen B, Sircar K, et al. Assessment of traditional and improved stove use on household air pollution and personal exposures in rural western Kenya. Environment International. 2017; **99**:185-191 [23] World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: Selected pollutants. 2010. Available from: http:// www.euro.who.int/pubrequest

[24] Kinney PL, Roman HA, Walker KD, et al. On the use of expert judgment to characterize uncertainties in the health benefits of regulatory controls of particulate matter. Environmental Science & Policy. 2010;**13**:434-443

[25] US EPA. IAQ guidelines. 2021. Available from: https://www.epa.gov/

[26] Baldelli A. Evaluation of a low-cost multi-channel monitor for indoor air quality through a novel, low-cost, and reproducible platform. Meas Sensors. 2021;**17**:100059

[27] Chahardoli S, Khakzand M, Faizi M, et al. Numerical analysis of the effect of roof types and porch on particle dispersion and deposition around a lowrise building. Journal of Building Engineering. 2022;**53**:104533

[28] Abanto J, Barrero D, Reggio M, et al.Airflow modelling in a computer room.Building and Environment. 2004;39:1393-1402

[29] Shrestha M, Rijal HB, Kayo G, et al. An investigation on CO₂ concentration based on field survey and simulation in naturally ventilated Nepalese school buildings during summer. Building and Environment. 2022;**207**:108405

[30] Mehdi K, Saeid C, Anahita N, et al. Comparative study of architectural elements to improve the wind environment in hot and humid climates. Journal of Architectural Engineering. 2023;**29**:4023024

[31] Farhadi F, Khakzand M, Altan H, et al. IAQ in CCU units: An experimental and numerical investigation based on the

outlet air height (case study: Namazi Hospital, Shiraz). International Journal of Ventilation. 2023;**0**:1-26

[32] Cooper E, Wang Y, Stamp S, et al. Use of portable air purifiers in homes: Operating behaviour, effect on indoor PM2.5 and perceived indoor air quality. Building and Environment. 2021;**191**: 107621

[33] Settimo G, Avino P. The dichotomy between indoor air quality and energy efficiency in light of the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. Atmosphere (Basel). 2021;**12**. Epub ahead of print 2021. DOI: 10.3390/atmos12060791

[34] Al-Rawi M. The thermal comfort sweet-spot: A case study in a residential house in Waikato, New Zealand. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering. 2021;**28**: 101530

[35] McArthur JJ, Powell C. Health and wellness in commercial buildings: Systematic review of sustainable building rating systems and alignment with contemporary research. Building and Environment. 2020;**171**:106635

[36] Kim C, Choi D, Lee YG, et al. Diagnosis of indoor air contaminants in a daycare center using a long-term monitoring. Building and Environment. 2021;**204**:108124

[37] Caracci E, Canale L, Buonanno G, et al. Effectiveness of eco-feedback in improving the indoor air quality in residential buildings: Mitigation of the exposure to airborne particles. Building and Environment. 2022;**226**: 109706

[38] Kephart JL, Fandiño-Del-Rio M, Williams KN, et al. Nitrogen dioxide exposures from LPG stoves in a cleanercooking intervention trial. Environment International. 2021;**146**:106196 [39] Woolley K, Bartington SE, Pope FD, et al. Biomass cooking carbon monoxide levels in commercial canteens in Kigali, Rwanda. Archives in Environmental Occupational Health. 2021;**76**:75-85

[40] Amadeo B, Robert C, Rondeau V, et al. Impact of close-proximity air pollution on lung function in schoolchildren in the French West Indies. BMC Public Health. 2015;**15**:45

[41] Shen J-H, Wang Y-S, Lin J-P, et al. Improving the indoor air quality of respiratory type of medical facility by zeolite filtering. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association (1995). 2014;**64**:13-18

[42] Huang L, Mo J, Sundell J, et al. Health risk assessment of inhalation exposure to formaldehyde and benzene in newly remodeled buildings, Beijing. PLoS One. 2013;8:e79553

[43] Abdel-Salam MMM. Investigation of PM2.5 and carbon dioxide levels in urban homes. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association (1995). 2015;65:930-936

[44] Poulin P, Leclerc J-M, Dessau J-C, et al. Radon measurement in schools located in three priority investigation areas in the province of Quebec, Canada. Radiational Protocol Dosimetry. 2012; **151**:278-289

[45] Branco PTBS, Alvim-Ferraz MCM, Martins FG, et al. Impact of indoor air pollution in nursery and primary schools on childhood asthma. Science Total Environment. 2020;**745**:140982

[46] Xu H, Zeng W, Guo B, et al. Improved risk communications with a Bayesian multipollutant Air Quality Health Index. Science Total Environment. 2020;**722**:137892 [47] Schmitt LHM. QALY gain and health care resource impacts of air pollution control: A Markov modelling approach.Environmental Science & Policy. 2016;63:35-43

[48] Taj T, Jakobsson K, Stroh E, et al. Air pollution is associated with primary health care visits for asthma in Sweden: A case-crossover design with a distributed lag non-linear model. Spatial Spatiotemporal Epidemiology. 2016;**17**: 37-44

[49] Madureira J, Paciência I, Rufo J, et al. Indoor air quality in schools and its relationship with children's respiratory symptoms. Atmospheric Environment. 2015;**118**:145-156

[50] Kanchongkittiphon W, Mendell MJ, Gaffin JM, et al. Indoor environmental exposures and exacerbation of asthma: An update to the 2000 review by the Institute of Medicine. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2015;**123**:6-20

[51] Ming T, Fang W, Peng C, et al.
Impacts of traffic tidal flow on pollutant dispersion in a non-uniform Urban
Street Canyon. Atmosphere (Basel).
2018;9. Epub ahead of print 2018. DOI:
10.3390/atmos9030082

[52] Sicard P, Talbot C, Lesne O, et al. The Aggregate Risk Index: An intuitive tool providing the health risks of air pollution to health care community and public. Atmospheric Environment. 2012; **46**:11-16

[53] Groulx N, Movahhedinia H, Edwards P, et al. Medical air in healthcare institutions: A chemical and biological study. Atmospheric Environment. 2019;**219**:117031

[54] Jung C-C, Wu P-C, Tseng C-H, et al. Indoor air quality varies with ventilation types and working areas in hospitals. Building and Environment. 2015;**85**: 190-195

[55] Nair AN, Anand P, George A, et al. A review of strategies and their effectiveness in reducing indoor airborne transmission and improving indoor air quality. Environmental Research. 2022;**213**:113579

[56] Bartington SE, Bakolis I, Devakumar D, et al. Patterns of domestic exposure to carbon monoxide and particulate matter in households using biomass fuel in Janakpur, Nepal. Environmental Pollution. 2017;**220**:38-45

[57] Peixoto C, Slezakova K, Pereira MDC, et al. Air quality in fitness centers: The impact of ventilation restrictions: A case study. UPorto Journal of Engineering. 2022;**8**:26-35

[58] Agarwal N, Meena CS, Raj BP, et al.Indoor air quality improvement in COVID-19 pandemic: Review.Sustainable Cities and Society. 2021;70: 102942

[59] Stamp S, Burman E, Shrubsole C, et al. Long-term, continuous air quality monitoring in a cross-sectional study of three UK non-domestic buildings. Building and Environment. 2020;**180**: 107071

[60] Barcelo D. An environmental and health perspective for COVID-19 outbreak: Meteorology and air quality influence, sewage epidemiology indicator, hospitals disinfection, drug therapies and recommendations. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 2020;**8**:104006

[61] Kumar P, Morawska L. Could fighting airborne transmission be the next line of defence against COVID-19 spread? City Environmental Interaction. 2019;4:100033

[62] Madurai Elavarasan R, Pugazhendhi R. Restructured society and environment: A review on potential technological strategies to control the COVID-19 pandemic. Science Total Environment. 2020;**725**:138858

[63] Megahed NA, Ghoneim EM. Indoor Air Quality: Rethinking rules of building design strategies in post-pandemic architecture. Environmental Research. 2021;**193**:110471

[64] Harrison PTC. Indoor air quality guidelines. Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2002;**59**:73-74

[65] World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: Household fuel combustion. 2014. Available from: https://www.who.int/ airpollution/guidelines/household-fuelcombustion/IAQ_HHFC_guidelines.pdf

[66] WHO. Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality: Selected Pollutants. Bonn, Germany: Regional Office for Europe; 2010

[67] Al-Hemoud A, Al-Awadi L, Al-Khayat A, et al. Streamlining IAQ guidelines and investigating the effect of door opening/closing on concentrations of VOCs, formaldehyde, and NO₂ in office buildings. Building and Environment. 2018;**137**:127-137

[68] OSHA. Indoor air quality investigation. In: U.S. Department of Labor. Technical manual (OTM). Section III, Chapter 2. Available from: https:// www.osha.gov/otm/section-3-health-ha zards/chapter-2

[69] Kumar P, Singh AB, Arora T, et al. Critical review on emerging health effects associated with the indoor air quality and its sustainable management. Science Total Environment. 2023;**872**: 162163 [70] Salthammer T. Critical evaluation of approaches in setting indoor air quality guidelines and reference values. Chemosphere. 2011;**82**:1507-1517

[71] Dimitroulopoulou C. Ventilation in European dwellings: A review. Building and Environment. 2012;**47**:109-125

[72] Sun Y, Hou J, Cheng R, et al. Indoor air quality, ventilation and their associations with sick building syndrome in Chinese homes. Energy and Buildings. 2019;**197**:112-119

[73] Yakhot V, Orszag SA, Thangam S, et al. Development of turbulence models for shear flows by a double expansion technique. Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics. 1992;4:1510-1520

[74] Tian L, Ahmadi G. Particle deposition in turbulent duct flows comparisons of different model predictions. Journal of Aerosol Science. 2007;**38**:377-397

[75] Lecrivain G, Sevan D-M, Thomas B, et al. Numerical simulation of multilayer deposition in an obstructed channel flow. Advanced Powder Technology. 2014;**25**:310-320

[76] Vassella CC, Koch J, Henzi A, et al. From spontaneous to strategic natural window ventilation: Improving indoor air quality in Swiss schools. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health. 2021;**234**:113746

[77] Deng X, Thurston G, Zhang W, et al. Application of data science methods to identify school and home risk factors for asthma and allergy-related symptoms among children in New York. Science Total Environment. 2021;**770**:144746

[78] Ascione F, De Masi RF, Mastellone M, et al. The design of safe classrooms of educational buildings for facing contagions and transmission of diseases: A novel approach combining audits, calibrated energy models, building performance (BPS) and computational fluid dynamic (CFD) simulations. Energy and Buildings. 2021;**230**:110533

[79] Leconte A, Lafféter C, Fritsch T, et al. Experimental study of the combination of a positive input ventilation and active air vents on the air change rates of a house. International Journal of Ventilation. 2021;**20**:215-225

[80] Cetin Y, Avci M, Aydin O. Effect of air change rate on particle dispersion from inlet opening under varying particle source strengths. International Journal of Ventilation. 2020;**2020**:1-18

[81] Dobson R, O'Donnell R, Tigova O, et al. Measuring for change: A multicentre pre-post trial of an air quality feedback intervention to promote smoke-free homes. Environment International. 2020;**140**:105738

[82] Lewis JJ, Hollingsworth JW, Chartier RT, et al. Biogas stoves reduce firewood use, household air pollution, and hospital visits in Odisha, India. Environmental Science Technology. 2017;**51**:560-569

[83] Can E, Özden Üzmez Ö, Döğeroğlu T, et al. Indoor air quality assessment in painting and printmaking department of a fine arts faculty building. Atmospheric Pollution Research. 2015;**6**:1035-1045

[84] Baurès E, Blanchard O, Mercier F, et al. Indoor air quality in two French hospitals: Measurement of chemical and microbiological contaminants. Sciene Total Environment. 2018;**642**:168-179

[85] Baboli Z, Neisi N, Babaei AA, et al. On the airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and relationship with indoor conditions at a hospital. Atmospheric Environment. 1994;**2021**(261):118563 [86] Beam EL, Schwedhelm S, Boulter K, et al. Personal protective equipment processes and rationale for the Nebraska Biocontainment Unit during the 2014 activations for Ebola virus disease. American Journal of Infection Control. 2016;**44**:340-342

