Check for updates

DATA NOTE

The genome sequence of the square-spot rustic, Xestia

xanthographa (Schiffermuller, 1775) [version 1; peer review: 2

approved]

Douglas Boyes¹⁺, Peter W.H. Holland², University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab, Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective, Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life programme, Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: DNA Pipelines collective, Tree of Life Core Informatics collective, Darwin Tree of Life Consortium

¹UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK ²Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxfors, UK

+ Deceased author

 First published: 02 Feb 2022, 7:37 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17538.1
 Latest published: 02 Feb 2022, 7:37 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17538.1

Abstract

We present a genome assembly from an individual male *Xestia xanthographa* (the square-spot rustic; Arthropoda; Insecta; Lepidoptera; Noctuidae). The genome sequence is 934 megabases in span. The majority of the assembly (99.94%) is scaffolded into 31 chromosomal pseudomolecules, with the Z sex chromosome assembled.

Keywords

Xestia xanthographa, square-spot rustic, genome sequence, chromosomal, Lepidoptera



This article is included in the Tree of Life

gateway.

Open Peer Review			
Approval Status 🗹 🗸			
	1	2	
version 1	×	~	
02 Feb 2022	view	view	

1. Xin Liu (D), BGI (Beijing Genomics Institute)-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China

2. Steven M Van Belleghem (D), University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, Puerto Rico

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Darwin Tree of Life Consortium (mark.blaxter@sanger.ac.uk)

Author roles: Boyes D: Investigation, Resources; Holland PWH: Supervision, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing;

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Wellcome through core funding to the Wellcome Sanger Institute (206194) and the Darwin Tree of Life Discretionary Award (218328).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2022 Boyes D *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Boyes D, Holland PWH, University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab *et al.* The genome sequence of the square-spot rustic, *Xestia xanthographa* (Schiffermuller, 1775) [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] Wellcome Open Research 2022, **7**:37 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17538.1

First published: 02 Feb 2022, 7:37 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17538.1

Species taxonomy

Eukaryota; Metazoa; Ecdysozoa; Arthropoda; Hexapoda; Insecta; Pterygota; Neoptera; Endopterygota; Lepidoptera; Glossata; Ditrysia; Noctuoidea; Noctuidae; Noctuinae; Noctuini; Xestiai; *Xestia xanthographa* (Schiffermuller, 1775) (NCBI:txid988049).

Background

Xestia xanthographa (square-spot rustic) is a widespread noctuid moth found across much of the Palearctic, Europe, North Africa and North America; its larvae are nocturnal feeders on various grasses. In the UK, adults are abundant in late summer from August to September and the species overwinters as a larva. *Xestia xanthographa* was a key species in a recent study revealing the detrimental effects of street-lighting on caterpillar abundance in the UK (Boyes *et al.*, 2021). The species has also been recorded as a common prey species for autumn-flying bats (Razgour *et al.*, 2011), and, as an adaptation to facilitate bat avoidance, the auditory sensitivity of *X. xanthographa* is broadly tuned with an optimal frequency of 30 kHz (Norman & Jones, 2008).

The genome of *X. xanthographa*, was sequenced as part of the Darwin Tree of Life Project, a collaborative effort to sequence all of the named eukaryotic species in the Atlantic Archipelago of Britain and Ireland. Here we present a chromosomally complete genome sequence for *X. xanthographa*, based on one male specimen from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK.

Genome sequence report

The genome was sequenced from a single male *X. xan-thographa* collected from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK (latitude 51.772, longitude -1.337) (Figure 1). A total of 27-fold coverage in Pacific Biosciences single-molecule long reads (N50 12 kb) and 40-fold coverage in 10X Genomics read clouds were generated. Primary assembly contigs were scaffolded with chromosome conformation Hi-C data. Manual assembly curation corrected 86 missing/misjoins and removed 17 haplotypic duplications, reducing the assembly size by 1.41% and scaffold number by 44.86%, and increasing the scaffold N50 by 1.61%.

The final assembly has a total length of 934 Mb in 59 sequence scaffolds with a scaffold N50 of 31 Mb (Table 1). Of the assembly sequence, 99.94% was assigned to 31 chromosomallevel scaffolds, representing 30 autosomes (numbered by sequence length), and the Z sex chromosome (Figure 2–Figure 5; Table 2). The assembly has a BUSCO (Simão *et al.*, 2015) completeness of 98.7% using the lepidoptera_odb10 reference set. While not fully phased, the assembly deposited is of one haplotype. Contigs corresponding to the second haplotype have also been deposited.



Figure 1. Image of the *Xestia xanthographa* **specimens taken prior to preservation and processing.** Above, ilXesXant, used for genome and Hi-C sequencing; below, ilXesXant2, used for RNA-Seq.

Methods

Sample acquisition and nucleic acid extraction

A male *X. xanthographa* (ilXesXant1) and a second specimen of unknown sex (ilXesXant2) were collected from Wytham Woods, Oxfordshire, UK (latitude 51.772, longitude -1.337) by Douglas Boyes, University of Oxford, using a light trap. The specimens were identified by the same individual and snapfrozen on dry ice.

DNA was extracted from whole organism tissue of ilXesXant1 at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI) Scientific Operations core from the whole organism using the Qiagen

Project accession data			
Assembly identifier	ilXesXant1.2		
Species	Xestia xanthographa		
Specimen	ilXesXant1		
NCBI taxonomy ID	NCBI:txid988049		
BioProject	PRJEB42066		
BioSample ID	SAMEA7520195		
Isolate information	Male, head/abdomen/thorax		
Raw data accessions			
PacificBiosciences SEQUEL II	ERR6635594		
10X Genomics Illumina	ERR6002560-ERR6002563		
Hi-C Illumina	ERR6002564		
Illumina polyA RNA-seq	ERR6002565, ERR6787402		
Genome assembly			
Assembly accession	GCA_905147715.2		
Accession of alternate haplotype	GCA_905147755.2		
Span (Mb)	934		
Number of contigs	301		
Contig N50 length (Mb)	9.1		
Number of scaffolds	59		
Scaffold N50 length (Mb)	31.2		
Longest scaffold (Mb)	35.5		
BUSCO* genome score	C:98.7%[S:97.9%,D:0.8%], F:0.2%,M:1.1%,n:5286		

Table 1. Genome data for Xestia xanthographa, ilXesXant1.2.

*BUSCO scores based on the lepidoptera_odb10 BUSCO set using v5.1.2. C= complete [S= single copy, D=duplicated], F=fragmented, M=missing, n=number of orthologues in comparison. A full set of BUSCO scores is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilXesXant1.2/dataset/ CAJHXD02/busco.

MagAttract HMW DNA kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was extracted from thorax/abdomen tissue of ilXesXant2 in the Tree of Life Laboratory at the WSI using TRIzol (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was then eluted in 50 μ l RNAse-free water and its concentration assessed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer and Qubit Fluorometer using the Qubit RNA Broad-Range (BR) Assay kit. Analysis of the integrity of the RNA

was done using Agilent RNA 6000 Pico Kit and Eukaryotic Total RNA assay.

Sequencing

Pacific Biosciences HiFi circular consensus and 10X Genomics Chromium read cloud sequencing libraries were constructed according to the manufacturers' instructions. Poly(A) RNA-Seq libraries were constructed using the NEB Ultra II RNA



Dataset: CAJHXD02

Figure 2. Genome assembly of Xestia xanthographa, ilXesXant1.2: metrics. The BlobToolKit Snailplot shows N50 metrics and BUSCO gene completeness. The main plot is divided into 1,000 size-ordered bins around the circumference with each bin representing 0.1% of the 933,882,218 bp assembly. The distribution of scaffold lengths is shown in dark grey with the plot radius scaled to the longest scaffold present in the assembly (42,568,189 bp, shown in red). Orange and pale-orange arcs show the N50 and N90 scaffold lengths (31,247,186 and 23,512,362 bp), respectively. The pale grey spiral shows the cumulative scaffold count on a log scale with white scale lines showing successive orders of magnitude. The blue and pale-blue area around the outside of the plot shows the distribution of GC, AT and N percentages in the same bins as the inner plot. A summary of complete, fragmented, duplicated and missing BUSCO genes in the lepidoptera_odb10 set is shown in the top right. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ ilXesXant1.2/dataset/CAJHXD02/snail.

Library Prep kit. Sequencing was performed by the Scientific Operations core at the Wellcome Sanger Institute on Pacific Biosciences SEQUEL II (HiFi), Illumina HiSeq X (10X) and Illumina HiSeq 4000 (RNA-Seq) instruments. Hi-C data were generated from abdomen tissue of ilXesXant1 using the Arima v1.0 kit and sequenced on HiSeq X.

Genome assembly

Assembly was carried out with Hifiasm (Cheng *et al.*, 2021). Haplotypic duplication was identified and removed with purge_dups (Guan *et al.*, 2020). One round of polishing was performed by aligning 10X Genomics read data to the assembly with longranger align, calling variants with freebayes



Figure 3. Genome assembly of Xestia xanthographa, ilXesXant1.2: GC coverage. BlobToolKit GC-coverage plot. Scaffolds are coloured by phylum. Circles are sized in proportion to scaffold length. Histograms show the distribution of scaffold length sum along each axis. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilXesXant1.2/dataset/CAJHXD02/blob.

(Garrison & Marth, 2012). The assembly was then scaffolded with Hi-C data (Rao *et al.*, 2014) using SALSA2 (Ghurye *et al.*, 2019). The assembly was checked for contamination

and corrected using the gEVAL system (Chow *et al.*, 2016) as described previously (Howe *et al.*, 2021). Manual curation was performed using gEVAL, HiGlass (Kerpedjiev *et al.*, 2018)



Figure 4. Genome assembly of *Xestia xanthographa*, **ilXesXant1.2: cumulative sequence**. BlobToolKit cumulative sequence plot. The grey line shows cumulative length for all scaffolds. Coloured lines show cumulative lengths of scaffolds assigned to each phylum using the buscogenes taxrule. An interactive version of this figure is available at https://blobtoolkit.genomehubs.org/view/ilXesXant1.2/dataset/CAJHXD02/cumulative.

and Pretext. The mitochondrial genome was assembled using MitoHiFi (Uliano-Silva *et al.*, 2021), which performed annotation using MitoFinder (Allio *et al.*, 2020). The genome was analysed and BUSCO scores generated within the BlobToolKit environment (Challis *et al.*, 2020). Table 3 contains a list of all software tool versions used, where appropriate.



Figure 5. Genome assembly of Xestia xanthographa, ilXesXant1.2: Hi-C contact map. Hi-C contact map of the ilXesXant1.2 assembly, visualised in HiGlass. Chromosomes are given in size order from left to right and top to bottom.

INSDC accession	Chromosome	Size (Mb)	GC%
LR990642.1	1	35.50	38.7
LR990643.1	2	34.37	38.5
LR990644.1	3	34.35	38.8
LR990645.1	4	33.93	38.7
LR990646.1	5	32.94	38.3
LR990647.1	6	32.23	38.5
LR990648.1	7	32.15	38.6
LR990649.1	8	32.03	38.9
LR990650.1	9	31.69	38.7
LR990651.1	10	31.50	38.9
LR990652.1	11	31.49	38.8
LR990653.1	12	31.35	38.3
LR990654.1	13	31.25	39
LR990655.1	14	31.05	38.6
LR990656.1	15	30.95	38.5
LR990657.1	16	30.88	38.5

INSDC accession	Chromosome	Size (Mb)	GC%
LR990658.1	17	30.80	38.7
LR990659.1	18	30.66	38.4
LR990660.1	19	30.04	38.7
LR990661.1	20	29.75	38.6
LR990662.1	21	29.67	38.3
LR990663.1	22	29.39	38.7
LR990664.1	23	27.72	38.8
LR990665.1	24	27.14	38.6
LR990666.1	25	24.91	39.1
LR990667.1	26	23.51	39
LR990668.1	27	21.65	38.9
LR990669.1	28	21.50	38.7
LR990670.1	29	20.05	39.4
LR990671.1	30	19.43	40.1
LR990641.1	Z	42.57	38.1
LR990672.1	MT	0.02	19.2
-	-	7.42	41.1

Table 2. Chromosomal pseudomolecules in the genome assembly of Xestia xanthographa, ilXesXant1.2.

Software tool	Version	Source
HiCanu	1.0	Cheng <i>et al.</i> , 2021
purge_dups	1.2.3	Guan <i>et al.,</i> 2020
SALSA2	2.2	Ghurye <i>et al.,</i> 2019
longranger align	2.2.2	https://support.10xgenomics.com/ genome-exome/software/pipelines/latest/ advanced/other-pipelines
freebayes	1.3.1-17-gaa2ace8	Garrison & Marth, 2012
MitoHiFi	1.0	Uliano-Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2021
gEVAL	N/A	Chow <i>et al.,</i> 2016
PretextView	0.1.x	https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/PretextView
HiGlass	1.11.6	Kerpedjiev <i>et al.</i> , 2018
BlobToolKit	2.6.4	Challis <i>et al.,</i> 2020

Table 3. Software tools used.

Data availability

European Nucleotide Archive: Xestia xanthographa (squarespot rustic). Accession number PRJEB42066; https://identifiers. org/ena.embl/PRJEB42066.

The genome sequence is released openly for reuse. The *X. xanthographa* genome sequencing initiative is part of the Darwin Tree of Life (DToL) project. All raw sequence data and the assembly have been deposited in INSDC databases. The genome will be annotated and presented through the Ensembl pipeline at the European Bioinformatics Institute. Raw data and assembly accession identifiers are reported in Table 1.

Author information

Members of the University of Oxford and Wytham Woods Genome Acquisition Lab are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 5746938.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Barcoding collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5744972.

Members of the Wellcome Sanger Institute Tree of Life programme are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 5744840.

Members of Wellcome Sanger Institute Scientific Operations: DNA Pipelines collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5746904.

Members of the Tree of Life Core Informatics collective are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5743293.

Members of the Darwin Tree of Life Consortium are listed here: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5638618.

References

Allio R, Schomaker-Bastos A, Romiguier J, *et al.*: **MitoFinder: Efficient Automated Large-Scale Extraction of Mitogenomic Data in Target Enrichment Phylogenomics.** *Mol Ecol Resour.*, 2020; 20(4): 892–905. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**

Boyes DH, Evans DM, Fox R, et al.: Street Lighting Has Detrimental Impacts on Local Insect Populations. Sci Adv. 2021; 7(35): eabi8322. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, et al.: BlobToolKit--Interactive Quality Assessment of Genome Assemblies. G3 (Bethesda). 2020; 10(4): 1361–74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, *et al.*: Haplotype-Resolved de Novo Assembly Using Phased Assembly Graphs with Hifiasm. *Nat Methods*. 2021; 18(2): 170–75.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Chow W, Brugger K, Caccamo M, et al.: gEVAL — a Web-Based Browser for Evaluating Genome Assemblies. *Bioinformatics*. 2016; 32(16): 2508–10. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Garrison E, Marth G: Haplotype-Based Variant Detection from Short-Read Sequencing. arXiv: 1207.3907. 2012. Reference Source Ghurye J, Rhie A, Walenz BP, et al.: Integrating Hi-C Links with Assembly Graphs for Chromosome-Scale Assembly. PLoS Comput Biol. 2019; 15(8): e1007273.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Guan D, McCarthy SA, Wood J, et al.: Identifying and Removing Haplotypic Duplication in Primary Genome Assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2020; 36(9): 2896-2898.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Howe K, Chow W, Collins J, et al.: Significantly Improving the Quality of Genome Assemblies through Curation. Gigascience. 2021; 10(1):

giaa153. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, et al.: HiGlass: Web-Based Visual Exploration and Analysis of Genome Interaction Maps. Genome Biol. 2018; 19(1): 125

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Norman AP, Jones G: Size, Peripheral Auditory Tuning and Target Strength

in Noctuid Moths. Physiol Entomol. 2008; 25(4): 346-53. **Publisher Full Text**

Rao SSP, Huntley MH, Durand NC, et al.: A 3D Map of the Human Genome at Kilobase Resolution Reveals Principles of Chromatin Looping. Cell. 2014; 159(7): 1665–80.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Razgour O, Clare EL, Zeale MRK, *et al.*: High-Throughput Sequencing Offers Insight into Mechanisms of Resource Partitioning in Cryptic Bat Species. Ecol Evol. 2011; 1(4): 556-70.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, et al.: BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and Annotation Completeness with Single-Copy Orthologs. Bioinformatics. 2015; **31**(19): 3210–12. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Uliano-Silva M, Nunes JGF, Krasheninnikova K, et al.: marcelauliano/MitoHiFi: mitohifi v2.0. 2021.

Publisher Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 14 November 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19393.r53110

© **2022 Belleghem S.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Steven M Van Belleghem 匝

Department of Biology, Rio Piedras Campus, University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, Puerto Rico

Boyes and Holland report on the genome assembly of the square-spot rustic, Xestia xanthographa. They used Pacbio HiFi and 10X Genomics reads and Hi-C for scaffolding the contigs into chromosomes, resulting in a 934 Mb genome assembled into 31 chromosomes.

The sequencing methods used are high-end, the assembly and QC methods are appropriate and the assembly seems of high quality.

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound? Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Adaptation, speciation, genomics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 09 February 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19393.r48473

© **2022 Liu X.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Xin Liu 匝

State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Genomics, BGI (Beijing Genomics Institute)-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China

The data note by Douglas *et al.* described the dataset of the assembled square-spot rustic genome. The manuscript clearly presented the methods used for sampling, sample preparation, sequencing, and data analysis, with the related statistics presented. The genome assembly is of good quality, with long scaffold N50 and anchored to chromosomes, making it valuable for later genome and other studies. I think the current manuscript is good enough to be published as a data note. Meanwhile, I have the following suggestions for the authors to consider:

- 1. In the 'Genome sequence report' section on Page 5, the statement of BUSCO results might need to be modified. Interpretation of the BUSCO result should be like "98.7% of the BUSCOs in the lepidoptera_odb10 dataset were found to be complete", as you can also observe the other 1% to be either in multiple copies (duplicated), or fragmented. This reflected the completeness of the genome assembly.
- 2. Also, in the part mentioned above, I would suggest providing some statistics (at least the length maybe) of the assembled second haplotype, which would be informative for understanding the heterozygosity in the genome.
- 3. I found all the data notes in the same gateway directly used figures from the BlobToolkit Viewer. I would suggest revising the figures in the data note thus they would be a better fit. For example, the axis labels might be better to be revised in cases and the font sizes and the dataset label can also be removed. I don't know what the 'Scale' means in Figure 2, and I think Figure 3 and Figure 4 are quite difficult to understand, at least for me.

Last, I would like to express my condolence on Douglas Boyes' death. After noting this from the manuscript and searched online, I would like to thank his contributions in providing this valuable dataset.

Is the rationale for creating the dataset(s) clearly described?

Yes

Are the protocols appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and materials provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Genomics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.