



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Nicholas Robinson,
Lancaster University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY

Francisco J. Blanco,
Spanish National Research Council
(CSIC), Spain
Narottam Acharya,
Institute of Life Sciences (ILS), India

*CORRESPONDENCE

Stuart A. MacNeill,
✉ stuart.macneill@st-and.ac.uk

RECEIVED 12 October 2023

ACCEPTED 04 December 2023

PUBLISHED 18 December 2023

CITATION

Alphey MS, Wolford CB and MacNeill SA
(2023), Canonical binding of
Chaetomium thermophilum DNA
polymerase δ/ζ subunit PolD3 and flap
endonuclease Fen1 to PCNA.
Front. Mol. Biosci. 10:1320648.
doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2023.1320648

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Alphey, Wolford and MacNeill.
This is an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#).
The use, distribution or reproduction in
other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the original
publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does not
comply with these terms.

Canonical binding of *Chaetomium thermophilum* DNA polymerase δ/ζ subunit PolD3 and flap endonuclease Fen1 to PCNA

Magnus S. Alphey, Campbell B. Wolford and Stuart A. MacNeill*

Biomedical Sciences Research Complex, School of Biology, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, United Kingdom

The sliding clamp PCNA is a key player in eukaryotic genome replication and stability, acting as a platform onto which components of the DNA replication and repair machinery are assembled. Interactions with PCNA are frequently mediated via a short protein sequence motif known as the PCNA-interacting protein (PIP) motif. Here we describe the binding mode of a PIP motif peptide derived from C-terminus of the PolD3 protein from the thermophilic ascomycete fungus *C. thermophilum*, a subunit of both DNA polymerase δ (Pol δ) and the translesion DNA synthesis polymerase Pol ζ , characterised by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) and protein X-ray crystallography. In sharp contrast to the previously determined structure of a *Chaetomium thermophilum* PolD4 peptide bound to PCNA, binding of the PolD3 peptide is strictly canonical, with the peptide adopting the anticipated 3_{10} helix structure, conserved Gln441 inserting into the so-called Q-pocket on PCNA, and Ile444 and Phe448 forming a two-fork plug that inserts into the hydrophobic surface pocket on PCNA. The binding affinity for the canonical PolD3 PIP-PCNA interaction determined by ITC is broadly similar to that previously determined for the non-canonical PolD4 PIP-PCNA interaction. In addition, we report the structure of a PIP peptide derived from the *C. thermophilum* Fen1 nuclease bound to PCNA. Like PolD3, Fen1 PIP peptide binding to PCNA is achieved by strictly canonical means. Taken together, these results add to an increasing body of information on how different proteins bind to PCNA, both within and across species.

KEYWORDS

PCNA, PIP motif, DNA replication, DNA polymerase δ , *Chaetomium thermophilum*

1 Introduction

Highly efficient chromosomal DNA replication is essential for all forms of cellular life and requires the complex interplay of a wide range of protein factors in a temporally and spatially coordinated manner. The importance of high-fidelity chromosome replication is underlined by the fact that in humans, replication defects can lead to genetic disease (Weedon et al., 2013; Pelosini et al., 2014; Cui et al., 2020) and cancer (Church et al., 2013; Palles et al., 2013; Valle et al., 2014; Bellido et al., 2016; Rayner et al., 2016).

The sliding clamp PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen) is a central player in multiple aspects of chromosomal DNA replication, repair and genome stability (Moldovan et al., 2007; Boehm et al., 2016). This ring-shaped homotrimer encircles double-stranded DNA to act as a processivity factor for DNA polymerases and a landing pad for the assembly

of various DNA processing factors such DNA ligase I, the flap endonuclease Fen1, and the clamp loader complex replication factor C (RF-C) which opens and closes the PCNA ring around dsDNA. Many of the proteins that interact with PCNA, including DNA polymerase δ , DNA ligase I, Fen1 and RF-C, do so via a common mechanism that involves a short linear interaction motif on the partner protein called a PCNA-interacting protein (PIP) motif (Warbrick, 1998; Hamdan and De Biasio, 2023). The best characterised PIP motifs have conserved sequence Qxx ψ xx θ , where ψ and θ represent amino acids with hydrophobic and aromatic side chains, respectively, but other variations on this sequence (so-called non-canonical PIP motifs) have been identified (Boehm and Washington, 2016; Prestel et al., 2019) such as ψ xxx θ (Gonzalez-Magana et al., 2019) and Qxx ψ x θ (Yang et al., 2023).

DNA polymerase δ (Pol δ) plays a key role in chromosomal DNA replication and in multiple DNA repair processes (Guilliam and Yeeles, 2020). Pol δ is responsible for the bulk of Okazaki fragment synthesis on the lagging strand and is also involved in the initiation of leading strand synthesis at replication origins (Aria and Yeeles, 2018). Human Pol δ is a homotetramer, comprised of the catalytic subunit p125 (PolD1), p50 (PolD2), p66 (PolD3) and p12 (PolD4), whereas the well-studied budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Pol δ is a heterotrimer of the p125, p55 and p66 orthologues Pol3, Pol31 and Pol32, respectively (Lancey et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). The p50 (PolD2, Pol31) and p66 (PolD3, Pol32) subunits of Pol δ are also found as components of the trans-lesion synthesis DNA polymerase Pol ζ , in complex with the Pol ζ catalytic subunit REV3L (yeast Rev3) and two copies of the accessory subunit REV7 (Rev7) (Malik et al., 2020).

Three of the four human Pol δ subunits contain PIP motifs that have been shown to bind PCNA: p125 (PolD1), p66 (PolD3) and p12 (PolD4). In the case of p66, the PIP motif is located at the extreme C-terminus of the protein at the end of lengthy region that is predicted to be largely unstructured and highly flexible (Reynolds et al., 2000; Johansson et al., 2004). Similarly, the PolD4 PIP motif is located at the end of an unstructured, flexible region close to the N-terminal end of the protein (Gonzalez-Magana et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2023). In the cryo-EM structure of human Pol δ bound to PCNA and DNA, only the catalytic subunit p125 (PolD1) PIP motif is seen interacting with PCNA (Lancey et al., 2020), suggesting that the PolD3 and PolD4 PIP motifs do not bind PCNA stably under these conditions. Similarly, in the cryo-EM structure of yeast Pol δ bound to PCNA and DNA, only the PolD1 orthologue Pol3 PIP motif binds to PCNA (Zheng et al., 2020).

Understanding how these various PIP motifs contribute to overall Pol δ function requires a combination of functional and structural analysis. In an effort to gain mechanistic insights into Pol δ function, we have developed tools for high-level expression and rapid purification of the four-subunit Pol δ complex encoded by the thermophilic ascomycete fungus *Chaetomium thermophilum* (*Ct*, also known as *Thermochaetoides thermophila*) (D. Yang and S. MacNeill, unpublished). In parallel with this, we have embarked on a study of how *Ct* Pol δ interacts with *Ct* PCNA and have previously described the non-canonical binding of the N-terminal PIP motif from the *Ct* PolD4 protein to *Ct* PCNA (Yang et al., 2023). Here, we report characterisation of the interaction between the *Ct* PolD3 PIP motif and its cognate PCNA by protein X-ray

crystallography at a resolution of 2.45 Å. Unlike the *Ct* PolD4-*Ct* PCNA interaction, *Ct* PolD3 binding to *Ct* PCNA is in every respect canonical: Gln441 inserts into the Q-pocket, a 3_{10} helix is formed and Ile444 and Phe448 form the two-fork plug. Interestingly, despite this canonical binding mode, the affinity of the PIP motif peptide for *Ct* PCNA, determined by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC), is approximately two-fold lower than that seen with the non-canonical binding of the *Ct* PolD4 PIP to *Ct* PCNA (43 μ M versus 22 μ M). In addition to this, we present the X-ray crystal structure of the *Ct* Fen1 nuclease PIP peptide bound to *Ct* PCNA at 1.95 Å. This too displays canonical binding to *Ct* PCNA, with the 3_{10} helix-containing peptide engaging in Q-pocket and two-fork plug interactions. We discuss these results in terms of the conservation and divergence of PIP motif sequences both within and across species.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Identification of *Ct* PolD3 and *Ct* Fen1 proteins

Sequences encoding *Ct* PolD3 and *Ct* Fen1 were identified by BLASTP searching the nr database with the ascomycete *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* Cdc27 (PolD3) and Rad2 (Fen1) protein sequences as the queries (UniProt accession numbers P30261 and P39750, respectively). As protein sequence comparisons suggested that the *Ct* PolD3 protein sequence predicted in the database was N-terminally truncated, full-length cDNAs encoding PolD3 were subsequently amplified from *C. thermophilum* DSM 1495 cDNA (a generous gift of E. Hurt, University of Heidelberg) and sequenced, allowing identification of the complete ORF. The full-length cDNA sequence has been deposited with the GenBank database (accession number OQ605904) and the full-length protein sequence with UniProt (accession number G0S636). The *Ct* Fen1 protein has accession number G0S2B5 in the UniProt database. Protein sequence alignments for PolD3 and Fen1 can be seen as [Supplementary Figures S1, S2](#), respectively.

2.2 Protein expression and purification

Ct PCNA was expressed and purified to apparent homogeneity as described previously (Yang et al., 2023). Briefly, the protein was expressed in recombinant form in *E. coli* with a TEV protease-cleavable N-terminal His6 tag, purified using IMAC, cleaved with His6-TEV to remove the His6 tag, subjected to reverse IMAC to remove still-tagged PCNA protein and His6-TEV protease, then polished using SEC. Purified protein (11.0 mg/mL) was flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80° C.

2.3 Peptides

A 15mer *Ct* PolD3 PIP peptide spanning residues 437–451 (sequence: ⁴³⁷GKGGQGSIMSWFAKK⁴⁵¹) and a 15mer *Ct* Fen1 peptide spanning residues 339–353 (³³⁹GAQQARIEGFFKVIP³⁵³)

were commercially synthesised (GenScript, Piscataway, New Jersey, United States) and obtained in lyophilised form at final purities of 99.4% and 99.1%, respectively. The *Ct* PolD3 peptide was resuspended in either dH₂O or 50 mM Tris-HCl, 50 mM NaCl, pH 8.0 at a concentration of 8 mg/mL (5.1 mM), while the *Ct* Fen1 peptide (insoluble in aqueous solution) was resuspended in DMSO at a concentration of 8 mg/mL (4.8 mM).

2.4 Crystallography

For crystal screens, 90 μ L of 14 mg/mL *Ct* PCNA was mixed with 21 μ L of either 8 mg/mL *Ct* PolD3 PIP peptide (in dH₂O) or 8 mg/mL *Ct* Fen1 PIP peptide (in DMSO) and screened using JCSG PlusTM and PACT PremierTM screens (Molecular Dimensions, Holland, OH, United States). Diffraction quality crystals were obtained from the JCSG PlusTM screen in 0.1 M phosphate/citrate pH 4.2, 40% PEG 300 (for *Ct* PolD3 peptide-*Ct* PCNA) and 2.4 M sodium malonate dibasic monohydrate pH 7.0 (for *Ct* Fen1 peptide-*Ct* PCNA). The crystals diffracted to 2.45 and 1.95 Å, respectively. The data for both complexes were collected in-house at 100 K on a Rigaku MM007HF Cu anode X-ray generator (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan). Reflections were recorded on a Rigaku Saturn 944+ CCD detector. Data processing was performed using iMOSFLM (Battye et al., 2011), scaled using AIMLESS, and the space group of each structure was identified using POINTLESS (Murshudov et al., 2011). *Ct* PCNA-*Ct* PolD3 was crystallized in space group P1 with one trimer of *Ct* PCNA in the asymmetric unit, while *Ct* PCNA-*Ct* Fen1 was crystallized in space group H32 with one chain of *Ct* PCNA in the asymmetric unit. The data collection statistics are found in Supplementary Table S1. The structures of the protein-peptide complexes were solved by molecular replacement using MOLREP (CCP4) (Vagin and Teplyakov, 1997) using the complete structure of *Ct* PCNA (PDB: 7O1E) as a starting model (Yang et al., 2023). Repeated rounds of model refinement using COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004) and REFMAC5 (Murshudov et al., 2011) resulted in a structural model with an R_{work} of 21.2% and R_{free} of 24.9% for *Ct* PCNA-PolD3 PIP and R_{work} of 17.1% and R_{free} of 20.4% for *Ct* PCNA-Fen1 PIP (see Supplementary Table S1). The structures have been deposited in the PDB with accession codes 8P9O (*Ct* PCNA-*Ct* PolD3 PIP) and 8Q7I (*Ct* PCNA-*Ct* Fen1 PIP).

2.5 Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

ITC was performed using a MicroCal PEAQ-ITC calorimeter (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK). Prior to measurement, the *Ct* PolD3 peptide and *Ct* PCNA protein were buffer-exchanged into 50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. Experimental titrations were performed at 25°C in duplicate with 300 μ L of 32.7 μ M *Ct* PCNA and 420 μ M *Ct* PolD3 peptide. Control titrations used *Ct* PolD3 peptide only at 420 μ M. In total, 19 injections were used for each assay: a primary injection of 0.2 μ L followed by 18 injections of 2.0 μ L. The heat change following injection was measured, the control values subtracted, and data was fitted to a single site model (1 peptide: 1 PCNA protomer) using MicroCal PEAQ-ITC

Analysis Software (v1.21). The K_D values reported represent the mean of the two experiments.

3 Results


3.1 *Chaetomium thermophilum* PolD3 protein

BLASTP searching using the ascomycete fission yeast *S. pombe* PolD3 orthologue Cdc27 as the query sequence led (after later cDNA sequencing, see Materials and methods for details) to the identification of *Ct* PolD3 as a 451 amino acid protein with predicted molecular weight 49.3 kDa. *Ct* PolD3 is 26% identical to *S. pombe* Cdc27 at the amino acid sequence level and ~20% identical to the human and *S. cerevisiae* PolD3 orthologues p66 and Pol32, respectively. The conserved PIP motif found at the extreme C-terminus of human, *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* PolD3 orthologues is readily identifiable at the C-terminal end of the *Ct* PolD3 protein (sequence: ⁴⁴¹QGSIMSWF⁴⁴⁸ conserved PIP motif residues underlined) (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure S1).

3.2 Binding of *Ct* PolD3 PIP peptide to PCNA

To characterise the binding of the *Ct* PolD3 with *Ct* PCNA, the structure of the *Ct* PolD3 PIP motif peptide-*Ct* PCNA complex was solved by X-ray crystallography at a resolution of 2.45 Å (Figure 2A, see Supplementary Table S1 for crystallography statistics and Supplementary Figure S3 for Fo-Fc difference density maps). The *Ct* PolD3 peptide (sequence: ⁴³⁷GKGGQGSIMSWFAKK⁴⁵¹ with conserved PIP motif residues underlined) occupied a single PIP binding site only, with the other sites on *Ct* PCNA being occluded by crystal packing (specifically, by the loop that spans residues Thr186-Lys190 in neighbouring symmetry mates). Only 11 of 15 residues in the peptide could be seen in the electron density map (Gln441 to Lys451) suggesting that the N-terminal four residues of the peptide are flexible (Figure 2B).

Consistent with the high degree of conservation of the PIP peptide sequence at the C-terminal end of PolD3 orthologues, the mode of PCNA-PIP binding observed is strictly canonical (Figure 2B): part of the peptide adopts a 3_{10} helical structure (Figure 2A), *Ct* PolD3 Gln441 inserts into the Q-pocket on *Ct* PCNA and *Ct* PolD3 Ile444 and Phe448 form a 2-fork plug that inserts into the hydrophobic surface pocket on *Ct* PCNA (Figure 2B). Both hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions are apparent (shown in detail in Figure 2C). *Ct* PolD3 Gln441(OE1) makes water-mediated hydrogen bonding interactions with Ser208 (N) and Ala252 (O) on *Ct* PCNA, Gly442 (N) hydrogen bonds with Pro253 (O), Ser 443 (OG) and Ile444 (N) both hydrogen bond with His44(O), Trp447 (NE1) hydrogen bonds with Glu232 (O), Ala449 (O) with Gly127 (N), and Lys451 (N) with His125 (O). Hydrophobic interactions with *Ct* PCNA are seen with *Ct* PolD3 Gln441, Gly442, Ile444, Met445, Trp447 and Phe448. Interactions involving Ile444 (specifically involving CB, CG, CD1, CZ2, CZ3) and Phe448 (CD1, CD2, CE1, CE2, CZ) anchor the 2-fork plug in the hydrophobic



surface pocket formed by Leu47, Pro129, Pro234 and Pro250 in Ct PCNA (Figure 2C).


3.3 Binding affinity determined by isothermal titration calorimetry

In order to gauge the affinity of the Ct PolD3 PIP peptide for Ct PCNA, isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) was used to determine the binding affinity of Ct PCNA for the 15mer Ct PolD3 PIP motif peptide (⁴³⁷GKGGQGSIMSWFAKK⁴⁵¹) and the stoichiometry of the interaction in solution (Figure 2D). The mean dissociation constant (K_D) from two experiments was determined to be $43.2 \mu\text{M} \pm 3.9 \mu\text{M}$ at 25°C and the stoichiometry 1:1 (i.e. 1 PIP peptide: 1 PCNA protomer) indicating that all three PIP peptide binding sites on Ct PCNA are occupied in solution, as expected. The measured K_D is almost three-fold less ($43 \mu\text{M}$ versus $16 \mu\text{M}$) than that previously reported for the human PolD3/p66-PCNA interaction determined by ITC with a peptide of sequence ⁴⁵²KANRQVSITGFFQRK⁴⁶⁶ (Bruning and Shamoo, 2004) and two-fold less ($43 \mu\text{M}$ versus $22 \mu\text{M}$) than that for Ct PolD4 binding to Ct PCNA despite the latter interaction involving a non-canonical binding mode (Yang et al., 2023). This is discussed further below (see Discussion).

3.4 Binding of Ct Fen1 PIP peptide to Ct PCNA

Fen1 is a 5' flap-specific nuclease that plays an important role in Okazaki fragment maturation. BLASTP searching with the *S. pombe* Fen1 nuclease orthologue Rad2 identifies *C. thermophilum* Fen1 as a 395 amino acid protein that is 60% identical to the *S. pombe* and *S. cerevisiae* Fen1 orthologues Rad27 and Rad2, respectively, at the amino acid sequence level and 55% identical to human Fen1. Key catalytic residues in human Fen1 are conserved in the *C. thermophilum* enzyme, as is the PIP motif located towards the C-terminal end of the protein (sequence: ³⁴²QARIEGFF³⁴⁹ with conserved PIP motif residues underlined) (Figure 1).

The Ct Fen1 PIP peptide-Ct PCNA structure was solved to a resolution of 1.95 Å (Figure 3A, see also Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Figure S3). 14 of 15 residues in the Ct Fen1 PIP peptide were visible in the structure, revealing a 3₁₀ helix and the anticipated canonical binding mode, with Ct Fen1 Gln342 inserting into the Q-pocket, and Ile345 and Phe349 forming the two-fork plug that inserts into the hydrophobic surface pocket on Ct PCNA (Figure 3B). As with Ct PolD3, the Ct Fen1 Q-pocket glutamine, Gln342, interacts with Ser208 and Ala252 in Ct PCNA, with the interaction with Ser208 involving water-mediated hydrogen bonding between Gln342 (OE1) and Ser208 (N), as is the case

**FIGURE 3 (Continued)**


surface pocket (occupied by the sidechains of Ile345 and Phe349) in white. Image prepared using the PyMOL Molecular Graphics System version 2.0 (Schrödinger LLC, New York) and PDB file 8Q71. (C) Detailed view of the Ct PCNA-Ct Fen1 PIP peptide interaction represented using LigPlot + version 2.2.5 (Laskowski and Swindells, 2011) with default parameters. The Ct Fen1 peptide backbone is shown in orange, with individual peptide amino acids labelled in blue text. Hydrogen bonds (2.7–3.35 Å) are shown as green broken lines, with bond distances (Å) indicated. Amino acids in Ct PCNA involved in hydrogen bonding to the Ct Fen1 PIP peptide are labelled in green text. The red spoked arcs represent residues in Ct PCNA making hydrophobic contacts (2.9–3.9 Å) with the peptide; the corresponding atoms in the Ct Fen1 peptide are decorated with smaller red spokes. Carbon, oxygen, nitrogen and sulphur atoms are represented by black, red, dark blue and yellow circles, respectively, and water molecules by white circles.

connecting the Ct Fen1 peptide to Ct PCNA (Figure 3C). A salt bridge links Glu346 (OE1) and His44 (ND1) also. As with the Ct PolD3-Ct PCNA structure, the 2-fork plus residues Ile345 and Phe349 display hydrophobic interactions with the surface pocket on Ct PCNA (Figure 3C) although in the case of Phe349 only a single interaction (involving Phe349 (CE1)) is apparent within the 3.9 Å length cut-off applied in the analysis.

4 Discussion

Beginning with the structure of p21^{Cip1} PIP bound to PCNA (Gulbis et al., 1996), the atomic structures of a large and diverse set of PCNA-PIP peptide complexes have been determined over the last quarter century (Prestel et al., 2019), although only in very few cases have equivalent (orthologous) interactions been studied in multiple species. Here we present atomic structures of PCNA-PIP peptide complexes derived from the Ct PolD3 and Ct Fen1 proteins bound to their cognate PCNA at resolutions of 2.45 and 1.95 Å, respectively. In both cases, the new structures allow comparison with earlier structures of human orthologues: Ct PolD3-Ct PCNA with human PolD3/p66-PCNA and Ct Fen1-Ct PCNA with human Fen1-PCNA (Bruning and Shamoo, 2004) (Figure 4).

In sharp contrast to the non-canonical Ct PolD4-Ct PCNA and human p12-PCNA interactions described previously, the Ct PolD3-Ct PCNA and Ct Fen1-Ct PCNA interactions described here are strictly canonical in nature (Gonzalez-Magana et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2023). Both PIP peptides form a 3_{10} helix, conserved glutamines (Gln441 and Gln342 in Ct PolD3 and Ct Fen1, respectively) insert into the Ct PCNA Q-pocket, and in both cases a 2-fork plug (involving the sidechains of Ile444 and F448 in Ct PolD3, and Leu345 and Phe349 in Ct Fen1) inserts into the hydrophobic pocket on the surface of Ct PCNA. Figure 4 shows a comparison of the binding modes of PolD3, Fen1 and PolD4 PIP peptides across species, highlighting the high level of cross-species similarity between the human and *C. thermophilum* PolD3-PCNA and Fen1-PCNA structures. This is in contrast to the more divergent PolD4 orthologue PIP-PCNA structures, where the Ct PolD4 PIP peptide does not form the 3_{10} helix characteristic of most other PIP peptides (including human PolD4/p12, but also Ct PolD3 and Ct Fen1), nor does the Ct PolD4-Ct PCNA interaction involve a glutamine-Q-pocket interaction (unlike human PolD4/p12, Ct PolD3 and Ct Fen1) (Figure 4) (Yang et al., 2023).



Interestingly, the binding affinities of the human and *C. thermophilum* PolD3 and PolD4 peptides for their cognate PCNAs determined by ITC are broadly similar, with measured K_D values ranging from 16 to 43 μM (Bruning and Shamoo, 2004; Gonzalez-Magana et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2023) and the differences that exist show no obvious pattern: in humans, the measured K_D for the canonical PolD3/p66-PCNA interaction is lower than that for the non-canonical PolD4-PCNA interaction (16 μM versus 38 μM) while in *C. thermophilum* the measured K_D for canonical PolD3-PCNA is higher than that for non-canonical PolD4-PCNA (43 μM versus 22 μM), suggesting that specific binding affinity for PCNA (within the observed 16–43 μM range) may not be crucial for PolD3 and PolD4 protein function.

Remarkably, the PolD3-PCNA interaction is not seen in recent cryo-EM structures of human or budding yeast Pol δ complexed with PCNA on primer-template DNA (Zheng et al., 2020; Lancey et al., 2021). The human PolD4 PIP-PCNA interaction is also not seen. In both sets of Pol δ -PCNA structures, the only visible PIP motif-PCNA interaction is that between the catalytic subunit of Pol δ (PolD1/human p125/yeast Pol3) and PCNA, with the two remaining PIP peptide binding sites on the PCNA trimer being unoccupied. These sites are not occluded however, as evidenced by Fen1-PCNA interaction in the human Pol δ -PCNA-Fen1 complex (shown in Figure 1) (Lancey et al., 2021). Why these interactions are not seen remains unclear but it is possible that they have only a very limited role, or no role at all, to play once the Pol δ complex has engaged with its substrate at the primer-template junction. Instead, the PolD3 and PolD4 PIP motifs might facilitate recruitment of Pol δ to PCNA that has previously been loaded at the primer-template junction, before handing over the task of stabilizing Pol δ -PCNA interaction to PolD1 PIP-PCNA binding, or might ensure that should Pol δ disengage from the primer-template, it is retained in the vicinity of the DNA to allow efficient polymerase recycling. The PolD3 and PolD4 PIP motifs are located at the end of lengthy flexible regions that could, in either case, be employed in the “fly casting mechanism” (Shoemaker et al., 2000) to scan three-dimensional space and latch onto PCNA prior to the Pol δ -PCNA complex being locked more securely into position via the PolD1 PIP-PCNA interaction seen in the cryo-EM structures (Hamdan and De Biasio, 2023). A similar mechanism has been proposed for DNA ligase I (Lig1)-PCNA interactions: a PIP motif at the N-terminal end of the flexible N-terminal region of Lig1 (PIP_{N-term}) tethers the protein to PCNA when the ligase is detached from DNA but once the ligase locates a nick, this interaction is disrupted and a second PIP motif (PIP_{DBD}), located near the centre of the Lig1 DNA binding domain, engages with PCNA instead (Blair et al., 2022).

A key functional distinction between the human PolD3/p66 and PolD4/p12 PIP motifs is that the latter is PIP degron, a specialized PIP motif that acts as a targeting signal for protein degradation (Havens and Walter, 2009; Havens and Walter, 2011; Havens et al., 2012). Once bound

to PCNA on chromatin, PIP degnon-containing proteins such as PolD4/p12, Cdt1 and p21^{Cip1} are ubiquitylated and degraded by a CRL^{Cdt2}-dependent mechanism. Degradation of human PolD4/p12 occurs as cells enter S-phase or in response to DNA damage (Zhang et al., 2007; Meng et al., 2009; Terai et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013), leaving behind a three-subunit Pol δ complex that appears better suited to the task in hand (Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2019). It remains to be seen whether the *Ct* PolD4 protein is degraded via a similar mechanism, however, we have shown that the orthologous PolD4/Cdm1 protein from the related ascomycete fission yeast *S. pombe* is a CRL^{Cdt2} substrate (S.M., unpublished results) suggesting that *Ct* PolD4 protein levels may be regulated in this way too. Previously, a basic amino acid four residues C-terminal to the 2-fork plug aromatic residue in the conserved PIP motif sequence (i.e., in the +4 position) has been identified as being an important (though not defining) feature of PIP degnons (Havens and Walter, 2009; Havens and Walter, 2011; Havens et al., 2012); filamentous fungal PolD4 proteins such as *Ct* PolD4 lack this, but do have conserved basic residues at +3 and +6 that could play a similar role (Yang et al., 2023). In *S. pombe* and other fission yeasts, an arginine is found conserved at position +5. Neither PolD3 nor Fen1 is thought to be a CRL^{Cdt2} substrate, suggesting that instead of being related to PCNA binding affinity, the divergence of the PolD4 PIP-PCNA binding mode from canonical to non-canonical may be related to the targeting of these proteins by CRL^{Cdt2}, their ubiquitylation and subsequent degradation. Further work on diverse PIP- and PIP degnon-containing proteins will be required to address this.

In summary, the determination of the structures of *Ct* PolD3, PolD4 and Fen1 PIP peptides bound to *Ct* PCNA allows direct comparison of binding modes, both within species (PolD3 versus PolD4 versus Fen1) but also across species, with reference to previously determined structures for human PolD3/p66, PolD4/p12 and Fen1 PIP peptide-PCNA complexes (Bruning and Shamoo, 2004; Gonzalez-Magana et al., 2019). With increasing interest in the development of inhibitors of PCNA-PIP interactions for therapeutic purposes (Horsfall et al., 2020; Gu et al., 2023), gaining a detailed structural understanding of how these interactions occur, how they have evolved and how this impacts function, is more important than ever.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: <https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb8P9O/pdb> PDB entry 8Q7I: <https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb8Q7I/pdb>. The GenBank and UniProt database entries (OQ605904 and G0S636 respectively) are as follows: GenBank OQ605904: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/OQ605904> UniProt G0S636: <https://www.uniprot.org/uniprotkb/G0S636/entry>.

References

Aria, V., and Yeeles, J. T. P. (2018). Mechanism of bidirectional leading-strand synthesis establishment at eukaryotic DNA replication origins. *Mol. Cell* 73, 199–211.e10. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2018.10.019

Author contributions

MA: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing–review and editing. CW: Data curation, Investigation, Writing–review and editing, Formal Analysis. SM: Data curation, Investigation, Writing–review and editing, Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing–original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the Carnegie Trust for the Universities of Scotland through a Research Incentive Grant (grant reference 70668) and by the School of Biology, University of St Andrews. Article processing charges (APCs) and Open Access charges were covered by the University of St Andrews.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to colleagues in St Andrews and elsewhere for their assistance with this work, in particular Shirley Graham (St Andrews) for protein purification and Clarissa Melo Czekster (St Andrews) for assistance with ITC set-up and data analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2023.1320648/full#supplementary-material>

Battye, T. G., Kontogiannis, L., Johnson, O., Powell, H. R., and Leslie, A. G. (2011). iMOSFLM: a new graphical interface for diffraction-image processing with MOSFLM. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* 67, 271–281. doi:10.1107/S0907444910048675

- Bellido, F., Pineda, M., Aiza, G., Valdes-Mas, R., Navarro, M., Puente, D. A., et al. (2016). *POLE* and *POLD1* mutations in 529 kindred with familial colorectal cancer and/or polyposis: review of reported cases and recommendations for genetic testing and surveillance. *Genet. Med.* 18, 325–332. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.75
- Blair, K., Tehseen, M., Raducanu, V. S., Shahid, T., Lancey, C., Rashid, F., et al. (2022). Mechanism of human Lig1 regulation by PCNA in Okazaki fragment sealing. *Nat. Commun.* 13, 7833. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-35475-z
- Boehm, E. M., Gildenberg, M. S., and Washington, M. T. (2016). The many roles of PCNA in eukaryotic DNA replication. *Enzymes* 39, 231–254. doi:10.1016/bs.enz.2016.03.003
- Boehm, E. M., and Washington, M. T. (2016). R.I.P. to the PIP: PCNA-binding motif no longer considered specific: PIP motifs and other related sequences are not distinct entities and can bind multiple proteins involved in genome maintenance. *Bioessays* 38, 1117–1122. doi:10.1002/bies.201600116
- Bruning, J. B., and Shamo, Y. (2004). Structural and thermodynamic analysis of human PCNA with peptides derived from DNA polymerase δ p66 subunit and flap endonuclease-1. *Structure* 12, 2209–2219. doi:10.1016/j.str.2004.09.018
- Church, D. N., Briggs, S. E., Palles, C., Domingo, E., Kearsley, S. J., Grimes, J. M., et al. (2013). DNA polymerase ϵ and δ exonuclease domain mutations in endometrial cancer. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 22, 2820–2828. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddt131
- Cui, Y., Keles, S., Charbonnier, L. M., Jule, A. M., Henderson, L., Celik, S. C., et al. (2020). Combined immunodeficiency caused by a loss-of-function mutation in DNA polymerase δ 1. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* 145, 391–401. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2019.10.004
- Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* 60, 2126–2132. doi:10.1107/S0907444904019158
- Gonzalez-Magana, A., Ibanez De Opakua, A., Romano-Moreno, M., Murciano-Calles, J., Merino, N., Luque, L., et al. (2019). The p12 subunit of human polymerase δ uses an atypical PIP box for molecular recognition of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). *J. Biol. Chem.* 294, 3947–3956. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA118.006391
- Gu, L., Hickey, R. J., and Malkas, L. H. (2023). Therapeutic targeting of DNA replication stress in Cancer. *Genes (Basel)* 14, 1346. doi:10.3390/genes14071346
- Guilliam, T. A., and Yeeles, J. T. P. (2020). An updated perspective on the polymerase division of labor during eukaryotic DNA replication. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 55, 469–481. doi:10.1080/10409238.2020.1811630
- Gulbis, J. M., Kelman, Z., Hurwitz, J., Odonnell, M., and Kuriyan, J. (1996). Structure of the C-terminal region of p21^{Waf1/Cip1} complexed with human PCNA. *Cell* 87, 297–306. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81347-1
- Hamdan, S. M., and De Biasio, A. (2023). Functional hierarchy of PCNA-interacting motifs in DNA processing enzymes. *Bioessays* 45, e2300020. doi:10.1002/bies.202300020
- Havens, C. G., Shobnam, N., Guarino, E., Centore, R. C., Zou, L., Kearsley, S. E., et al. (2012). Direct role for proliferating cell nuclear antigen in substrate recognition by the E3 ubiquitin ligase CRL4^{Cdt2}. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 11410–11421. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.337683
- Havens, C. G., and Walter, J. C. (2009). Docking of a specialized PIP Box onto chromatin-bound PCNA creates a degron for the ubiquitin ligase CRL4^{Cdt2}. *Mol. Cell* 35, 93–104. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2009.05.012
- Havens, C. G., and Walter, J. C. (2011). Mechanism of CRL4^{Cdt2}, a PCNA-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase. *Genes Dev.* 25, 1568–1582. doi:10.1101/gad.2068611
- Horsfall, A. J., Abell, A. D., and Bruning, J. B. (2020). Targeting PCNA with peptide mimetics for therapeutic purposes. *ChemBiochem* 21, 442–450. doi:10.1002/cbic.201900275
- Johansson, E., Garg, P., and Burgers, P. M. (2004). The Pol32 subunit of DNA polymerase δ contains separable domains for processive replication and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) binding. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 1907–1915. doi:10.1074/jbc.M310362200
- Lancey, C., Tehseen, M., Bakshi, S., Percival, M., Takahashi, M., Sobhy, M. A., et al. (2021). Cryo-EM structure of human Pol κ bound to DNA and mono-ubiquitylated PCNA. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 6095. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26251-6
- Lancey, C., Tehseen, M., Raducanu, V. S., Rashid, F., Merino, N., Ragan, T. J., et al. (2020). Structure of the processive human Pol δ holoenzyme. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1109. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14898-6
- Laskowski, R. A., and Swindells, M. B. (2011). LigPlot+: multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. *J. Chem. Inf. Model* 51, 2778–2786. doi:10.1021/ci200227u
- Lee, M., Zhang, S., Wang, X., Chao, H. H., Zhao, H., Darzynkiewicz, Z., et al. (2019). Two forms of human DNA polymerase δ : who does what and why? *DNA Repair (Amst)* 81, 102656. doi:10.1016/j.dnarep.2019.102656
- Lee, M. Y., Zhang, S., Lin, S. H., Wang, X., Darzynkiewicz, Z., Zhang, Z., et al. (2014). The tail that wags the dog: p12, the smallest subunit of DNA polymerase δ , is degraded by ubiquitin ligases in response to DNA damage and during cell cycle progression. *Cell Cycle* 13, 23–31. doi:10.4161/cc.27407
- Malik, R., Kopylov, M., Gomez-Llorente, Y., Jain, R., Johnson, R. E., Prakash, L., et al. (2020). Structure and mechanism of B-family DNA polymerase ζ specialized for translesion DNA synthesis. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 27, 913–924. doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0476-7
- Meng, X., Zhou, Y., Zhang, S., Lee, E. Y., Frick, D. N., and Lee, M. Y. (2009). DNA damage alters DNA polymerase delta to a form that exhibits increased discrimination against modified template bases and mismatched primers. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, 647–657. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn1000
- Moldovan, G. L., Pfander, B., and Jentsch, S. (2007). PCNA, the maestro of the replication fork. *Cell* 129, 665–679. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.05.003
- Murshudov, G. N., Skubak, P., Lebedev, A. A., Pannu, N. S., Steiner, R. A., Nicholls, R. A., et al. (2011). REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* 67, 355–367. doi:10.1107/S0907444911001314
- Palles, C., Cazier, J. B., Howarth, K. M., Domingo, E., Jones, A. M., Broderick, P., et al. (2013). Germline mutations affecting the proofreading domains of *POLE* and *POLD1* predispose to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 136–144. doi:10.1038/ng.2503
- Pelosini, C., Martinelli, S., Ceccarini, G., Magno, S., Barone, I., Basolo, A., et al. (2014). Identification of a novel mutation in the polymerase δ 1 (*POLD1*) gene in a lipodystrophic patient affected by mandibular hypoplasia, deafness, progeroid features (MDPL) syndrome. *Metabolism* 63, 1385–1389. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2014.07.010
- Prestel, A., Wichmann, N., Martins, J. M., Marabini, R., Kassem, N., Broendum, S. S., et al. (2019). The PCNA interaction motifs revisited: thinking outside the PIP-box. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 76, 4923–4943. doi:10.1007/s00018-019-03150-0
- Rayner, E., Van Gool, I. C., Palles, C., Kearsley, S. E., Bosse, T., Tomlinson, I., et al. (2016). A panoply of errors: polymerase proofreading domain mutations in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 16, 71–81. doi:10.1038/nrc.2015.12
- Reynolds, N., Warbrick, E., Fantes, P. A., and Macneill, S. A. (2000). Essential interaction between the fission yeast DNA polymerase δ subunit Cdc27 and Pcn1 (PCNA) mediated through a C-terminal p21^{Cip1}-like PCNA binding motif. *EMBO J.* 19, 1108–1118. doi:10.1093/emboj/19.5.1108
- Shoemaker, B. A., Portman, J. J., and Wolynes, P. G. (2000). Speeding molecular recognition by using the folding funnel: the fly-casting mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97, 8868–8873. doi:10.1073/pnas.160259697
- Terai, K., Shibata, E., Abbas, T., and Dutta, A. (2013). Degradation of p12 subunit by CRL4^{Cdt2} E3 ligase inhibits fork progression after DNA damage. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 30509–30514. doi:10.1074/jbc.C113.505586
- Vagin, A., and Teplyakov, A. (1997). MOLREP: an automated program for molecular replacement. *J. Appl. Cryst.* 30, 1022–1025. doi:10.1107/s0021889897006766
- Valle, L., Hernandez-Illan, E., Bellido, F., Aiza, G., Castillejo, A., Castillejo, M. I., et al. (2014). New insights into *POLE* and *POLD1* germline mutations in familial colorectal cancer and polyposis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23, 3506–3512. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu058
- Warbrick, E. (1998). PCNA binding through a conserved motif. *Bioessays* 20, 195–199. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1521-1878(199803)20:3<195::AID-BIES2>3.0.CO;2-R
- Weedon, M. N., Ellard, S., Prindle, M. J., Caswell, R., Lango Allen, H., Oram, R., et al. (2013). An in-frame deletion at the polymerase active site of *POLD1* causes a multisystem disorder with lipodystrophy. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 947–950. doi:10.1038/ng.2670
- Yang, D., Alphey, M. S., and Macneill, S. A. (2023). Non-canonical binding of the *Chaetomium thermophilum* PolD4 N-terminal PIP motif to PCNA involves Q-pocket and compact 2-fork plug interactions but no 3₁₀ helix. *FEBS J.* 290, 162–175. doi:10.1111/febs.16590
- Zhang, S., Zhao, H., Darzynkiewicz, Z., Zhou, P., Zhang, Z., Lee, E. Y., et al. (2013). A novel function of CRL4^{Cdt2}: regulation of the subunit structure of DNA polymerase δ in response to DNA damage and during the S phase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 29550–29561. doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.490466
- Zhang, S., Zhou, Y., Trusa, S., Meng, X., Lee, E. Y., and Lee, M. Y. (2007). A novel DNA damage response: rapid degradation of the p12 subunit of DNA polymerase delta. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 15330–15340. doi:10.1074/jbc.M610356200
- Zheng, F., Georgescu, R. E., Li, H., and O'donnell, M. E. (2020). Structure of eukaryotic DNA polymerase δ bound to the PCNA clamp while encircling DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117, 30344–30353. doi:10.1073/pnas.2017637117