



# Risk Factors and Predictive Score for Bacteremic Biliary Tract Infections Due to *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium*: a Multicenter Cohort Study from the PROBAC Project

<sup>®</sup>Marco Mussa,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Pedro María Martínez Pérez-Crespo,<sup>d,h</sup> <sup>®</sup>Luis Eduardo Lopez-Cortes,<sup>b,d</sup> Pilar Retamar-Gentil,<sup>b,c,d</sup> Adrián Sousa-Dominguez,<sup>e</sup> Ane Josune Goikoetxea-Aguirre,<sup>f</sup> José María Reguera-Iglesias,<sup>g</sup> Eva León Jiménez,<sup>h</sup> Isabel Fernández-Natal,<sup>i</sup> Carlos Armiñanzas-Castillo,<sup>j</sup> Lucía Boix-Palop,<sup>k</sup> Jordi Cuquet-Pedragosa,<sup>1</sup> Miguel Ángel Morán Rodríguez,<sup>m</sup> Jonathan Fernandez-Suarez,<sup>n</sup> Alfonso del Arco-Jiménez,<sup>o</sup> Alfredo Jóver-Saenz,<sup>p</sup> Alberto Bahamonde-Carrasco,<sup>q</sup> Fátima Galan-Sanchez,<sup>r</sup> <sup>®</sup> Juan Manuel Sánchez-Calvo,<sup>s</sup> Alejandro Smithson-Amat,<sup>t</sup> David Vinuesa-García,<sup>u</sup> Antonio Sánchez-Porto,<sup>v</sup> Inmaculada López-Hernández,<sup>b,d</sup> <sup>®</sup> Jesús Rodríguez-Baño,<sup>b,c,d</sup> the PROBAC REIPI/GEIH-SEIMC/SAEI group

<sup>a</sup>Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Infectious Diseases Unit, Milan, Italy <sup>b</sup>UGC Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología, Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla, Spain <sup>c</sup>Departamento de Medicina, Universidad de Sevilla/IBiS/CSIC, Sevilla, Spain dCentro de Investigación Biomédica en Red en Enfermedades Infecciosas (CIBERINFEC), Sevilla, Spain eHospital Universitario de Vigo, Vigo, Spain <sup>f</sup>Hospital de Cruces, Bilbao, Spain 9Hospital Regional de Málaga, Málaga, Spain <sup>h</sup>Hospital Universitario de Valme, Sevilla, Spain <sup>i</sup>Complejo Asistencial Universitario de León, León, Spain iHospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain <sup>k</sup>Hospital Universitari Mútua de Terrasa, Barcelona, Spain Hospital General Granollers, Barcelona, Spain <sup>m</sup>Hospital Universitario de Burgos, Burgos, Spain <sup>n</sup>Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain °Hospital Costa del Sol, Marbella, Spain PHospital Universitario Arnau de Vilanova, Lleida, Spain <sup>q</sup>Hospital de El Bierzo, Ponferrada, Spain rHospital Universitario Puerta del Mar, Cadiz, Spain <sup>s</sup>Hospital de Jerez de la Frontera, Jerez de la Frontera, Spain <sup>t</sup>Hospital de l'Esperit Sant, Santa Coloma de Gramenet, Barcelona, Spain "Hospital Universitario San Cecilio, Granada, Spain vHospital del SAS de La Línea de la Concepción, Cádiz, Spain

**ABSTRACT** Biliary-tract bloodstream infections (BT-BSI) caused by *Enterococcus faecalis and E. faecium* are associated with inappropriate empirical treatment and worse outcomes compared to other etiologies. The objective of this study was to investigate the risk factors for enterococcal BT-BSI. Patients with BT-BSI from the PROBAC cohort, including consecutive patients with BSI in 26 Spanish hospitals between October 2016 and March 2017, were selected; episodes caused by *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium* and other causes were compared. Independent predictors for enterococci were identified by logistic regression, and a predictive score was developed. Eight hundred fifty episodes of BT-BSI were included; 73 (8.5%) were due to target *Enterococcus* spp. (48 [66%] were *E. faecium* and 25 [34%] *E. faecalis*). By multivariate analysis, the variables independently associated with *Enterococcus* spp. were (OR; 95% confidence interval): cholangiocarcinoma (4.48;1.32 to 15.25), hospital acquisition (3.58;2.11 to 6.07), use of carbapenems in the previous month (3.35;1.45 to 7.78), biliary prosthesis (2.19;1.24 to 3.90), and moderate or severe chronic kidney disease (1.55;1.07 to 2.26). The AUC of the model was 0.74 [95% Cl0.67 to 0.80]. A Editor Kevin R. Theis, Wayne State University Copyright © 2022 Mussa et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Luis Eduardo Lopez-Cortes, luiselopezcortes@gmail.com.

The authors declare a conflict of interest. L.E.L.C. has served as scientific advisor for Angelini, speaker for Angelini, ViV, Gilead and Correvio, and has served as trainer for ViV. P.R.G. has served as scientific advisor for Shionogi, speaker for MSD, Pfizer, and Gilead and has served as trainer for MSD. A.S. received honoraria from MSD and Pfizer for accredited educational activities and has served as speaker for Pfizer. F.G.S. has served as a speaker for Pfizer and Astellas. The other authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Received 6 January 2022 Accepted 10 April 2022 Published 30 June 2022 score was developed, with 7, 6, 5, 4, and 2 points for these variables, respectively, with a negative predictive value of 95% for a score  $\leq$  6. A model, including cholangiocarcinoma, biliary prosthesis, hospital acquisition, previous carbapenems, and chronic kidney disease showed moderate prediction ability for enterococcal BT-BSI. Although the score will need to be validated, this information may be useful for deciding empirical therapy in biliary tract infections when bacteremia is suspected.

**IMPORTANCE** Biliary tract infections are frequent, and a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. Bacteremia is common in these infections, particularly in the elderly and patients with cancer. Inappropriate empirical treatment has been associated with increased risk of mortality in bacteremic cholangitis, and the probability of receiving inactive empirical treatment is higher in episodes caused by enterococci. This is because many of the antimicrobial agents recommended in guidelines for biliary tract infections lack activity against these organisms. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study analyzing the predictive factors for enterococcal BT-BSI and deriving a predictive score.

**KEYWORDS** bloodstream infection, Enterococcus spp., biliary tract infection

Biliary tract infections are frequent, and a significant cause of morbidity and mortality if not adequately treated (1). Bacteremia is common in these infections, particularly in the elderly and patients with cancer (2, 3). Although Gram-negative bacteria are the most frequent cause of these infections, enterococci cause 10 to 23% of bacteremic infections (2, 3). Inappropriate empirical treatment has been associated with increased risk of mortality in bacteremic cholangitis (4, 5), and the probability of receiving inactive empirical treatment is higher in episodes caused by enterococci (4). This is because many of the antimicrobial agents recommended in guidelines for biliary tract infections lack activity against these organisms (6, 7). However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no studies identifying specific risk factors for covering enterococcal etiology in biliary tract infections.

The objectives of this study are to investigate the risk factors for *Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium* bacteremic biliary tract infections and to develop a predictive score for this etiology. Our ultimate goal is to provide helpful information to guide empirical therapy in patients with biliary tract infections to improve coverage of enterococci when necessary, while avoiding the ecological impact and the possible side effects of overtreatment. In addition, we provide a detailed description of bacteremic biliary tract infections caused by these organisms.

## RESULTS

Overall, 6313 episodes of bacteremia were included in the PROBAC cohort, and 850 (13.4%) had a biliary tract source. Among them, 73 (8.6%) were caused by the pathogens of interest, of which 48 were *E. faecium* (66%) and 25 *E. faecalis* (34%). Seven BT-BSI episodes caused by other enterococcal species (all of them were polymicrobial) were excluded; 5 were caused by *E. gallinarum* and by 2 *E. caselliflavus*.

Among the 73 included episodes of BT-BSI caused by enterococci, 37 (51%) were polymicrobial; the most frequent co-pathogens were *Escherichia coli* (14; 37.8%), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (9; 24.3%), *K. oxytoca* (4; 10.8%), *Citrobacter freundii* (2; 5.4%), and *Enterobacter cloacae* (2; 5.4%).

The main demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with BT-BSI are described in Table 1. Their median age was 76 years; 313 (36.8%) were women and had a median age-adjusted Charlson index of 5. The most frequent comorbidities were cancer (252, 29.6%) and diabetes mellitus (212; 24.0%). Overall, 268 (31.5%) had biliary tract obstruction and 18% a biliary tract prosthesis; 201 (23.6%) had received antimicrobial therapy in the previous month. With regard to the severity of infection, 251 (20.5%) presented with severe sepsis or shock, and 344 (49.5%) had a SOFA score  $\geq 2$ .

Regarding exposure to potential predisposing factors, BT-BSI due to enterococci were more frequently nosocomially acquired, the patients had a higher Charlson index, a higher **TABLE 1** Demographic, epidemiological, and baseline characteristics of bloodstream infections from a biliary tract source, and according to isolation of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* in blood cultures

	All nationts	Isolation of F		
	n = 850	faecalis or F. faecium	No isolation - others	
Variables	(%)	(n = 73)	(n = 777)	P value
Demographic	. ,			
Median age in yrs (IQR)	76 (65.84)	75 (63-83)	76 (66-84)	0.11
Female sex	313 (36.8)	29 (39.7)	284/775 (36.6)	0.60
A 1111				
Acquisition	452 (52.2)	22 (20 1)	420 (EE A)	<0.001
Community acquired	432 (33.2)	22 (30.1) 16 (31.0)	430 (35.4)	0.001
Hospital acquired	224 (20.4)	10 (21.9)	208 (20,8)	0.50
Hospital acquired	173 (20.4)	35 (47.9)	138 (17.8)	<0.001
Comorbidities				
Median age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index (IQR)	5 (3-7)	6 (4-8)	5 (3-7)	0.038
Congestive heart failure	68 (8.0)	4 (5.5)	64 (8.2)	0.50
Hypertension	65 (7.6)	6 (8.2)	59 (7.6)	0.84
Dementia	61 (7.2)	6 (8.2)	55 (7.1)	0.71
Cerebrovascular disease	58 (6.8)	4 (5.5)	54 (6.9)	0.27
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	90 (10.6)	8 (11)	82 (10.6)	0.91
Diabetes mellitus	212 (24.9)	18 (24.7)	194 (25)	0.95
Diabetes mellitus with organ damage	43 (5.1)	5 (6.8)	38 (4.9)	0.40
Moderate/severe liver disease	83 (9.8)	14 (19.2)	69 (8.9)	0.005
Chronic kidney disease (stage 4-5)	75 (8.8)	11 (15.1)	64 (8.2)	0.04
Connective tissue disorder	18 (2.1)	2 (2.7)	16 (2.1)	0.66
Peptic ulcer	22 (2.6)	1 (4.5)	21 (2.7)	1.00
Peripheral vascular disease	57 (6.7)	7 (9.6)	60 (6.4)	0.30
Cancer	252 (29.6)	33 (45.2)	219 (28.2)	0.005
Cholangiocarcinoma	15 (1.8)	6 (8.2)	9 (1.2)	< 0.001
Pancreatic cancer	13 (1.5)	3 (4.1)	10 (1.3)	0.093
Hematologic cancer	18 (2.1)	2 (2.7)	16 (2.1)	0.69
Urinary obstruction	9 (1.1)	0	9 (1.2)	1.00
Recurrent urinary tract infection	15 (1.8)	3 (4.1)	12 (1.5)	0.11
Biliary tract obstruction	268 (31.5)	27 (37)	241 (31)	0.29
Immunosuppressive therapy	67 (7.9)	12 (16.4)	55 (7.1)	0.005
Neutropenia $<$ 500 cells/ $\mu$ L	12 (1.4)	0 (0)	12 (1.5)	0.28
Madical device and the advise				
Bilians tract procedures	152 (10)	24 (22.0)	120 (16 6)	0.001
Surgery (20 days before)	100 (10)	24(32.9)	129 (10.0)	0.001
Surgery (So days before)	40 (5.0)	9 (12.3) 7 (0.6)	39 (3) 25 (3 2)	0.010
Dillary surgery	5Z (5.0)	7 (9.8)	23 (3.2)	0.000
Parenteral reeding	17 (Z) 12 (1 5)	5 (0.8) 2 (2.7)	12 (1.5)	0.002
Esophagogastroduodenoscopy	13(1.5)	2 (2.7)	11 (1.4)	0.30
Other war an antrointecting of an descent	5 (0.6)	2(2.7)	3 (0.4)	0.061
Other upper gastrointestinal endoscopy	50 (5.9)	12 (16.4)	38 (4.9)	< 0.001
Colonoscopy	4 (0,5)	0	4 (0.5)	1.00
Bronchoscopy	34 (4)	8(11)	26 (3.3)	0.002
Mechanical ventilation	8 (0.9)	4 (5.5)	4 (0.5)	0.003
Previous antimicrobial treatment (30 days before)				
Any antimicrobial	201 (23.6)	30 (41.4)	171 (22)	< 0.001
Beta-lactam/beta-lactam inhibitor	115 (13.5)	20 (27.4)	95 (12.2)	< 0.001
Carbapenem	32 (3.8)	11 (15.1)	21 (2.7)	< 0.001
Third generation cephalosporin	10 (1.2)	9 (1.2)	1 (1.4)	0.87
Severity at presentation				
Sensis and sentic shock	251 (29 5)	28 (38 4)	223 (28 7)	0.084
SOFA > 2	344 (40 5)	37 (50.7)	307 (39 5)	0.00-
Pitt score $> 3$	60 (7.1)	8 (13.3)	52 (6.7)	0.17

**TABLE 2** Multivariate analysis of risk factors and predictive score for BT-BSI due to

 *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium*

Variable	eta coefficient	Or (95% Cl)	P value	Score
Cholangiocarcinoma	1.501	4.48 (1.32-15.25)	0.01	+7
Hospital acquisition	1.276	3.58 (2.11–6.07)	< 0.001	+6
Carbapenem use in the previous mo	1.211	3.35 (1.45–7.78)	0.005	+5
Biliary prosthesis	0.785	2.19 (1.24–3.90)	0.02	+4
Chronic kidney disease stage 4 or 5	0.441	1.55 (1.07–2.26)	0.02	+2

rate of moderate or severe liver disease, chronic kidney disease (stage 4 or 5) and cholangiocarcinoma, and more frequently had a biliary prosthesis and had undergone previous surgery, an endoscopic procedure and mechanical ventilation; also, they had more frequently received immunosuppressant drugs and antibiotics in the prior month, particularly beta-lactam/beta-lactam inhibitors and carbapenems (Table 2).

The multivariate analysis selected biliary prosthesis, cholangiocarcinoma, hospital acquisition, use of carbapenem in the previous 30 days and chronic kidney disease stage 4 or 5 as independent risk factors for enterococcal etiology (Table 2). The AUROC of the model for observed mortality was 0.74 (95% CI 0.67 to 0.80), indicating a moderate prediction ability. The NPV, PPV, sensibility and specificity for the different values of the score are showed in Table 3. A score value  $\geq$ 6 showed a NPV of 95% with a specificity of 80.4%; overall, the number of BT-BSI patients with a score <6 in our cohort were 657 (77.4%) and enterococci was isolated in 33 (5%) of them. On the other side, a score value  $\geq$ 10 (which were 58 [6.8%] of the BT-BSI population) showed a PPV of  $\geq$ 32.8% (19 of them had *Enterococcus* spp. in blood cultures). When the score was applied to monomicrobial BSI due to *Enterococcus* spp., the AUC was 0.85 (95% CI 0.80 to 0.91); for monomicrobial *E. facium*, the AUC was 0.87 (95% Cl0.81-0.93), and for monomicrobial *E. faccalis*, 0.75 (95% Cl0.60-0.81).

For a strictly descriptive interest, crude comparison of outcome data of enterococcal and other etiologies of BT-BSI are shown in Table 4. Overall, enterococcal BT-BSI in our cohort showed statistically significant higher proportions of inappropriate empirical therapy (43.8% versus 22.8%, P = 0.0002), relapses (11.0% versus 4.6%, P = 0.02) and secondary infections (4.1% versus 0.9%, P = 0.04), and statistically nonsignificant,

**TABLE 3** Positive predictive values (PPV), negative predictive values (NPV), sensitivity, and specificity for biliary tract bacteremia caused by *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecuum* according to the different values of the PROBAC enterococcal score

						No. of faecalis and
Score points	VPP	VPN	Sensitivity	Specificity	No. of episodes	faecium infection
2	15.7	96.5	76.7	61.2	357	56
3	16.7	95.8	72.6	67.8	300	50
4	16.7	95.8	68.5	67.8	300	50
5	20.3	94.9	54.8	79.8	197	40
6	20.8	95.0	54.8	80.4	192	40
7	31.6	93.7	32.9	93.3	76	24
8	31.0	93.7	30.1	93.7	71	22
9	33.3	93.4	28.8	94.6	63	21
10	32.8	93.2	26	95.0	58	19
11	41.9	92.7	17.8	97.7	31	13
12	50.0	92.1	9.6	99.1	14	7
13	46.2	92.0	8.2	99.1	13	6
14	44.4	91.8	5.5	99.4	9	9
15	44.4	91.8	5.5	99.4	9	4
16	50.0	91.7	4.1	99.6	6	3
17	60	91.7	4.1	99.7	5	3
18	100	91.6	2.7	100	2	2
19	100	91.6	2.7	100	2	2
20	100	91.5	1.4	100	1	1
21	100	91.5	1.4	100	1	1
22	100	91.5	1.4	100	1	1

Variables	All patients n =850 (%)	Isolation of E. faecalis or E. faecium (n = 73)	No isolation others (n = 777)	P value			
Inappropriate empirical therapy	209 (24.5)	32 (43.8)	177 (22.8)	0.0002			
30-day mortality	128 (15.1)	16 (21.9)	112 (14.4)	0.087			
Persistent fever	97 (11.4)	11 (15.1)	86 (11.1)	0.30			
Persistent bacteremia	18 (2.1)	4 (5.5)	14 (1.8)	0.061			
Relapse	44 (5.2)	8 (11.0)	36 (4.6)	0.020			
Secondary infection <sup>a</sup>	10 (1.2)	3 (4.1)	7 (0.9)	0.047			

**TABLE 4** Outcomes of patients with biliary tract bloodstream infections according to isolation of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* 

<sup>a</sup>Endovascular or orthopedic device infections.

numerically higher rates of mortality (21.9% versus 14.4%, P = 0.08) and persistent bacteremia (5.5% versus 1.8%, P = 0.06).

The empirical drugs received by patients with enterococcal BT-BSI were (several patients received combination empirical treatment) piperacillin-tazobactam (30 patients), meropenem (20), linezolid (9), ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin, vancomycin and cephalosporins (7 each), daptomycin and metronidazole (5 each), teicoplanin, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and imipenem (3), and aztreonam, gentamicin, ampicillin and colistin (1 each).

### DISCUSSION

In this study, the prevalence, features, outcomes, and risk factors for BT-BSI due to *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium* were described; the independent risk factors associated with this etiology were cholangiocarcinoma, biliary prosthesis, hospital acquisition, previous use of carbapenem and chronic kidney disease. Also, a predictive score with moderate prediction ability was developed.

Inappropriate empirical therapy has been associated with increased mortality in some studies of BT-BSI, and isolation of enterococci is related to inappropriate therapy, as was the case in this study; therefore, providing information about when these organisms should be covered empirically is relevant (5, 8). Many of the antibiotics recommended in guidelines for biliary tract infections are not active against enterococci (i.e., cephalosporins, aztreonam, and some of the carbapenems in the case of E. faecalis; or all beta-lactams in most of cases of E. faecium). Addition of vancomycin is recommended in all health care-associated infections in the Tokyo and IDSA guideline (6, 7); this would have mean treating with vancomycin 379 (46.8%) of the BT-BSI episodes in our cohort (i.e., all nosocomial and health care-associated cases), of which only 51 (13.4%) had enterococci isolated in blood cultures. The Tokyo guidelines also recommended vancomycin for grade III cases; we did not use that severity scale, but considering those with sepsis or shock as a proxy, 122 episodes with community-acquired BT-BSI who presented with sepsis or shock would have also needed to be treated with vancomycin, of which only 9 (7.4%) were caused by enterococci. Therefore, the sensitivity and specificity of the criteria recommended in the Tokyo guidelines for covering entecococci in our cohort was 38.4% and 71.3%, respectively, with a positive predictive value of 11.2% and negative predictive value of 92.5%.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study analyzing the predictive factors for enterococcal BT-BSI and deriving a predictive score. The small number of cases did not allow us to perform an internal validation; although the predictive score developed needs external validation and showed a moderate predictive ability, it may be of help in the decision to cover enterococci in patients with biliary tract infections. The limited discriminative ability of our score may be due to the high rate of polymicrobial BSI cases since it raised significantly when applied to monomicrobial episodes and particularly those caused by *E. faecium*. In addition, we analyzed *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis* together but the risk factor for BSI due to these organisms may not be the same (9).

In patients with a biliary tract sepsis, empirical coverage against Gram-negative bacteria is mandatory in all cases. We think that patients with a score  $\leq 6$  and without

septic shock may be safely treated empirically without coverage against enterococci. On the other hand, coverage for *Enterococcus* spp. should be considered in patients with a score  $\geq$ 10. In patients with a score between 7 and 9, the need to cover enterococci should be evaluated considering the severity of infection and other generic risk factors for enterococcal bacteremia regardless the source beyond the specific variables included in the score (i.e., immunosuppression, other types of cancer, previous use of other broad-spectrum antimicrobials) (9).

In our analysis, the presence of cholangiocarcinoma increased the risk for enterococci by 4.5-fold. In a prospective observational study, including 173 episodes of bacteremic cholangitis, *E. faecium* was the third most frequent bacteria isolated on blood cultures in patients with solid tumors (and mainly hepato-biliary-pancreatic cancer) (2). Also, bile duct colonization by *E. faecium* has been shown to be associated with infection in patients with perihiliar cholangiocarcinoma (10, 11). The association of biliary stents with *Enterococcus* spp. infection has been previously shown (12, 13); *Enterococcus* spp. bacteremia in cholangitis episodes is more frequent when a biliary endoprosthesis is present (12). Chronic kidney disease has been described as a risk factor for enterococcal BSI in several studies, even if not focusing on BT-BSI (12, 13). Finally, the use of carbapenems is a well-recognized risk factors for enterococcal bacteremia, and particularly for *E. faecium* (14–16).

This study has several limitations. First, the number of enterococcal BT-BSI included might have limited the statistical power to find some risk factors. Second, we did not collect specific data on the different type of biliary tract infections. Third, the results are not applicable to BT infections without bacteremia. And fourth, the predictive score was not externally validated. Some strengths include the prospective nature of the study and the multicenter representation.

In conclusion, we found some specific risk factors for enteroccoccal BT-BSI and derived a predictive score that might be useful for guiding decisions about coverage of these organisms, pending its validation in external cohorts.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Design, setting, and patients.** This is a *post hoc* analysis of the PROBAC project, a prospective, observational, multicenter cohort study, including consecutive patients with bloodstream infections (BSI) admitted to 26 Spanish hospitals from October 2016 to March 2017; the design and inclusion criteria have been described elsewhere (8, 17).

For this sub-analysis, patients in the PROBAC cohort for whom the biliary tract was considered to be the source of BSI (BT-BSI) were eligible. The biliary tract was considered the source of the BSI on the basis of clinical and radiologic data, including right upper quadrant pain, jaundice, elevated bilirubin levels and radiological signs of cholecystitis or biliary tract obstruction; the microorganisms isolated in bile or surgical samples were checked when available but only for confirmation of the source of bacteremia (patients without bacteremia were not included). Both polymicrobial and monomicrobial bloodstream infections were included. Blood cultures were obtained, processed, and interpreted in accordance with standard recommendations from the Spanish Society of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology (18).

**Variables and definition.** BT-BSI were classified into those caused by *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium* and those caused by other pathogens. Episodes caused by species of enterococci different from *E. faecalis* or *E. faecium* were excluded from the analyses because of their very low numbers and potentially different epidemiological and clinical implications. Despite not all species of enterococci were analyzed, from now on we will refer to enterococci or *Enterococcus* spp. for the ease of understanding.

The variables collected included: demographics, underlying conditions and their severity, exposure to invasive procedures and devices during the previous week, type of acquisition (community, health care-associated or nosocomial), acute severity of disease, immunosuppressive therapy and previous antimicrobial use. The severity of underlying conditions was assessed using the age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index (19). Neutropenia was defined as moderate (<500 neutrophils/ $\mu$ L) and severe (<100 neutrophils/ $\mu$ L). Liver diseases was classified as moderate or severe when the patient presented a B or C class according to Child-Pugh classification (20). Presentation with sepsis or shock was considered according to Sepsis 3 criteria (21, 22). The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score (23) at presentation and Pitt score (24) 1 day before were also measured. Chronic kidney disease was defined moderate to severe according to the Charlson Comorbidities index parameter (19). Infections were classified as hospital acquired (HA), health care associated (HCA) or community-acquired accordingly to Friedman et al. (25). Immunosuppressive therapy included cancer chemotherapy or radiotherapy, typical immunosuppressants, and steroids if dosing used was > 10 mg/day of prednisone or equivalent for more than 3 weeks.

Outcome variables included inappropriate empirical treatment (i.e., lack of use of *in vitro* active drugs before the susceptibility of blood pathogens isolated was known), 30-day mortality, relapse (reappearance

of bacteremia after documentation of negative blood cultures or clinical improvement and completion of active antimicrobial therapy), persistent fever (longer than 72 h in patients treated with an *in vitro* active antimicrobial regimen), persistent bacteremia (isolation of the same bacteria in follow-up blood cultures after 72 h of active antimicrobial regimen), and secondary endovascular or orthopedic devices infection.

**Statistical analysis.** To analyze the risk factors for enterococcal etiology, exposure to the different variables were compared between patients with and without isolation of enterococci in blood cultures using the Chi-square test and Fisher exact test for categorical variables, and the T-Student test and Mann-Whitney U for continuous variables, as appropriate. Stratified analyses were performed whenever necessary to understand the correlation among variables.

Among the possible variables associated with *Enterococcus* spp., those clinically meaningful and with a univariate *P* value <0.1 were included in a multivariate logistic regression model. The variables selection was performed using a manual stepwise backward process, including potential interactions. The predictive ability of the final models for observed data were evaluated by calculating their area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

A predictive score was developed using the final multivariate model. The value of the predictors included in the score was calculated by dividing each beta coefficient by half of the smallest one and rounding to the nearest unit. The positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), sensitivity and specificity of the score were calculated. Statistical analysis was carried out with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Ethical approval.** The PROBAC project was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena. Approval was also obtained at each participating center according to local requirements. The need to obtain written informed consent was waived because of the observational nature of the study.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Other members of the PROBAC REIPI/GEIH-SEIMC/SAEI Group: Joaquín Lanz-García (Hospital Universitario Virgen Macarena, Sevilla), Miguel Montejo (Hospital Universitario de Cruces, Bilbao), María Teresa Pérez-Rodríguez (Hospital Universitario de Vigo, Vigo), Enrique Corzo-Delgado (Hospital Universitario de Valme, Sevilla), Carmen Fariñas (Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, Santander), Marta Arias-Temprano (Hospital Universitario de León, León), Jonathan Fernández-Suárez (Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Oviedo), Juan Manuel Sánchez-Calvo (Hospital de Jerez de la Frontera, Jerez de la Frontera), Jordi Cuquet-Pedragosa (Hospital General Granollers, Granollers), Andrés Martin-Aspas (Hospital Universitario Puerta del Mar, Cádiz), Isabel Gea-Lázaro (Hospitalario Ciudad de Jaén, Jaén), Marcos Guzmán García (Hospital San Juan de la Cruz, Úbeda), Jesús Canueto Quintero (Hospital Punta de Europa, Algeciras), Isabel María Reche (Complejo Hospitalario Torrecárdenas, Almería), Inés Pérez-Camacho (Hospital de Poniente, Almería), Esperanza Merino de Lucas (Hospital General Universitario de Alicante, Valencia), Clara Natera Kindelán (Hospital Universitario Reina Sofía), L.E.L.C. has served as scientific advisor for Angelini, speaker for Angelini, ViiV, Gilead and Correvio, and has served as trainer for ViiV. P.R.G. has served as scientific advisor for Shionogi, speaker for MSD, Pfizer, and Gilead and has served as trainer for MSD. A.S. received honoraria from MSD and Pfizer for accredited educational activities and has served as speaker for Pfizer. F.G.S. has served as a speaker for Pfizer and Astellas. The other authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

This work was financed by Plan Nacional de I+D+i 2013-2016, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades, through grants PI16/01432 and Spanish Network for Research in Infectious Diseases (REIPI) [RD16/0016/0001; RD16/0016/0007; and RD16/0016/0012]; co-financed by European Development Regional Fund "A Way to Achieve Europe," Operative program Intelligent Growth 2014–2020.

## REFERENCES

- Tan M, Jensen TG, Nielsen SL, Schaffalitzky de Muckadell OB, Laursen SB. 2021. Analysis of patterns of bacteremia and 30-day mortality in patients with acute cholangitis over a 25-year period. Scand J Gastroenterol 56. https://doi.org/10.1080/00365521.2021.1902558.
- Royo-Cebrecos C, Gudiol C, García J, Tubau F, Laporte J, Ardanuy C, Antonio M, Marin M, Gornals JB, Carratalà J. 2017. Characteristics, aetiology, antimicrobial resistance and outcomes of bacteraemic cholangitis in patients with solid tumours: a prospective cohort study. J Infect 74: 172–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2016.10.008.
- Melzer M, Toner R, Lacey S, Bettany E, Rait G. 2007. Biliary tract infection and bacteraemia: presentation, structural abnormalities, causative organisms and clinical outcomes. Postgrad Med J 83:773–776. https:// doi.org/10.1136/pgmj.2007.064683.
- Tagashira Y, Sakamoto N, Isogai T, Hikone M, Kosaka A, Chino R, Higuchi M, Uehara Y, Honda H. 2017. Impact of inadequate initial antimicrobial therapy on mortality in patients with bacteraemic cholangitis: a retrospective cohort study. Clin Microbiol Infect 23:740–747. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.cmi.2017.02.027.

- Kang C-I, Sung YK, Lee KH, Lee KT, Lee JK. 2013. Clinical impact of inappropriate initial antimicrobial therapy on outcome in bacteremic biliary tract infections. Scand J Infect Dis 45:227–234. https://doi.org/10.3109/ 00365548.2012.730151.
- 6. Gomi H, Solomkin JS, Schlossberg D, Okamoto K, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Ukai T, Endo I, Iwashita Y, Hibi T, Pitt HA, Matsunaga N, Takamori Y, Umezawa A, Asai K, Suzuki K, Han H-S, Hwang T-L, Mori Y, Yoon Y-S, Huang WS-W, Belli G, Dervenis C, Yokoe M, Kiriyama S, Itoi T, Jagannath P, Garden OJ, Miura F, de Santibañes E, Shikata S, Noguchi Y, Wada K, Honda G, Supe AN, Yoshida M, Mayumi T, Gouma DJ, Deziel DJ, Liau K-H, Chen M-F, Liu K-H, Su C-H, Chan ACW, Yoon D-S, Choi I-S, Jonas E, Chen X-P, Fan ST, Ker C-G, et al. 2018. Tokyo Guidelines 2018: antimicrobial therapy for acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 25: 3–16. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhbp.518.
- Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, Rodvold KA, Goldstein EJC, Baron EJ, O'Neill PJ, Chow AW, Dellinger EP, Eachempati SR, Gorbach S, Hilfiker M, May AK, Nathens AB, Sawyer RG, Bartlett JG. 2010. Diagnosis and management of complicated intra-abdominal infection in adults and children (IDSA guidelines). Clin Infect Dis 50:133–164. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 649554.
- Martínez Pérez-Crespo PM, López-Cortés LE, Retamar-Gentil P, García JFL, Vinuesa García D, León E, et al. 2020. Epidemiologic changes in bloodstream infections in Andalucía (Spain) during the last decade. Clin Microbiol Infect https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.05.015.
- Rosselli Del Turco E, Bartoletti M, Dahl A, Cervera C, Pericàs JM. 2021. How do I manage a patient with enterococcal bacteraemia? Clin Microbiol Infect 27:364–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2020.10.029.
- Große K, Ohm D, Würstle S, Brozat JF, Schmid RM, Trautwein C, Stallmach A, Bruns T, Reuken PA. 2021. Clinical characteristics and outcome of patients with enterococcal liver abscess. Sci Rep 11:1–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-01620-9.
- Bednarsch J, Czigany Z, Heij LR, Luedde T, van Dam R, Lang SA, Ulmer TF, Hornef MW, Neumann UP. 2021. Bacterial bile duct colonization in perihilar cholangiocarcinoma and its clinical significance. Sci Rep 11:13. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82378-y.
- Weber A, Schneider J, Wagenpfeil S, Winkle P, Riedel J, Wantia N, Feihl S, Römmler F, Baur DM, Schmid RM, Algül H, Huber W. 2013. Spectrum of pathogens in acute cholangitis in patients with and without biliary endoprosthesis. J Infect 67:111–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2013.04.008.
- Rerknimitr R, Fogel EL, Kalayci C, Esber E, Lehman GA, Sherman S. 2002. Microbiology of bile in patients with cholangitis or cholestasis with and without plastic biliary endoprosthesis. Gastrointest Endosc 56:885–889. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5107(02)70365-9.
- 14. Uda A, Shigemura K, Kitagawa K, Osawa K, Onuma K, Yan Y, Nishioka T, Fujisawa M, Yano I, Miyara T. 2021. Risk factors for the acquisition of enterococcus faecium infection and mortality in patients with enterococcal bacteremia: a 5-year retrospective analysis in a tertiary care university hospital. Antibiotics 10:64. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10010064.
- Gudiol C, Ayats J, Camoez M, Domínguez MÁ, García-Vidal C, Bodro M, Ardanuy C, Obed M, Arnan M, Antonio M, Carratalà J. 2013. Increase in bloodstream infection due to vancomycin-susceptible Enterococcus faecium

in cancer patients: risk factors, molecular epidemiology and outcomes. PLoS One 8:e74734. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074734.

- Caballero-Granado FJ, Becerril B, Cisneros JM, Cuberos L, Moreno I, Pachón J. 2001. Case-control study of risk factors for the development of enterococcal bacteremia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 20:83–90. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s100960000429.
- 17. Calò F, Retamar P, Martínez Pérez-Crespo PM, Lanz-García J, Sousa A, Goikoetxea J, Reguera-Iglesias JM, León E, Armiñanzas C, Mantecón MA, Rodríguez-Baño J, López-Cortés LE, Temprano MA, Suárez JF, Boix L, Calvo JMS, Cuquet-Pedragosa J, Barcenilla-Gaite F, Kindelán CN, Galán F, del Arco Jiménez A, Bahamonde A, Smithson A, Vinuesa D, Lázaro IG, Bertos AR, Camacho IP, Sánchez-Porto A, García MG, Carral BB, de Lucas EM, Calvo J, Fariñas MC, Temprano MA, Suárez JF, Boix L, Calvo JMS, Cuquet-Pedragosa J, Barcenilla-Gaite F, Kindelán CN, Galán F, del Arco Jiménez A, Bahamonde A, Smithson A, Vinuesa D, Lázaro IG, Bertos AR, Camacho IP, Sánchez-Porto A, García MG, the PROBAC REIPI/GEIH-SEIMC/SAEI, et al. 2020. Catheter-related bloodstream infections: predictive factors for Gram-negative bacteria aetiology and 30 day mortality in a multicentre prospective cohort. J Antimicrob Chemother 75:3056–3061. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkaa262.
- Antimicrobianos y tratamientos antibióticos. n.d. https://www.seimc.org/ documentos-cientificos/antimicrobianos-y-tratamientos-antibioticos (accessed October 22, 2019).
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. 1987. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. J Chronic Dis 40:373–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021 -9681(87)90171-8.
- Pugh RNH, Murray-Lyon IM, Dawson JL, Pietroni MC, Williams R. 1973. Transection of the oesophagus for bleeding oesophageal varices. Br J Surg 60:646–649. https://doi.org/10.1002/bjs.1800600817.
- Levy MM, Dellinger RP, Townsend SR, Linde-Zwirble WT, Marshall JC, Bion J, et al. 2010. The Surviving Sepsis Campaign: results of an international guideline-based performance improvement program targeting severe sepsis\*. Crit Care Med 38:367–374. https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181cb0cdc.
- 22. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, Bellomo R, Bernard GR, Chiche J-D, Coopersmith CM, Hotchkiss RS, Levy MM, Marshall JC, Martin GS, Opal SM, Rubenfeld GD, van der Poll T, Vincent J-L, Angus DC. 2016. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA 315:801–810. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0287.
- Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, Willatts S, De Mendonça A, Bruining H, Reinhart CK, Suter PM, Thijs LG. 1996. The SOFA (Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. Intensive Care Med 22:707–710. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01709751.
- 24. Chow JW, Yu VL. 1999. Combination antibiotic therapy versus monotherapy for gram-negative bacteraemia: a commentary. Int J Antimicrob Agents 11:7–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-8579(98)00060-0.
- Friedman ND, Kaye KS, Stout JE, McGarry SA, Trivette SL, Briggs JP, et al. 2002. Health care-associated bloodstream infections in adults: a reason to change the accepted definition of community-acquired infections. Ann Intern Med 137:791–797. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-137-10-200211190-00007.