

Karolinska Institutet http://openarchive.ki.se

This is a Non Peer Reviewed Manuscript version of the following article, accepted for publication in Annals of Internal Medicine.

2023-11-27

# Pregnancy outcomes in women with a prior cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 diagnosis : a nationwide population-based cohort study with sibling comparison design

He, Wei; Sparén, Pär; Fang, Fang; Sengpiel, Verena; Strander, Björn; Czene, Kamila

Ann Intern Med. 2022 Feb;175(2):210-218. American College of Physicians http://doi.org/10.7326/M21-2793 http://hdl.handle.net/10616/48901

If not otherwise stated by the Publisher's Terms and conditions, the manuscript is deposited under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way. Copyright © 2022 American College of Physicians. The American College of Physicians, the publisher of Annals of Internal Medicine, is not responsible for the content or presentation of the author-produced version of the manuscript or any version that a third party derives from it. This manuscript is protected by copyright and reuse is limited by standard copyright and licensing restrictions. For permission to reuse an article, see ACP's Rights and Permissions.

# Pregnancy outcomes in women with a prior cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 diagnosis: a nationwide population-based cohort study with sibling comparison design

Wei He<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Ph.D., Pär Sparen<sup>3</sup>, Ph.D., Fang Fang<sup>4</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Verena Sengpiel<sup>5,6</sup>, M.D.,
Ph.D., Björn Strander<sup>6,7</sup>, M.D., Ph.D., Kamila Czene<sup>3</sup>, Ph.D.

- <sup>8</sup>
   <sup>1</sup> Chronic Disease Research Institute, the Children's Hospital, and National Clinical Research
   <sup>9</sup>
   Center for Child Health, School of Public Health, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University,
   <sup>10</sup> Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>2</sup> Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, School of Public Health, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>3</sup> Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,
   <sup>14</sup> Sweden
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>4</sup> Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
- <sup>16</sup> <sup>5</sup> Region Västra Götaland, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sahlgrenska University
   <sup>17</sup> Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg,
   Sweden
- <sup>20</sup> <sup>7</sup>Regional Cancer Center West, Gothenburg, Sweden

21\* Corresponding author:

Dr. Wei He

- Chronic Disease Research Institute, the Children's Hospital, and National Clinical Research
- 24 Center for Child Health, School of Public Health, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University,
- 25 866 Yu-hang-tang Road, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310058 China
- <sup>26</sup> Tel: (86) 571-8820-8125
- 27 E-mail: wei.he@ki.se

#### 28 Abstract

29 Background: Treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) removes or destroys

30 part of the cervix and might subsequently influence pregnancy outcomes.

31 **Objective:** To investigate pregnancy outcomes in women diagnosed with CIN3.

32 **Design:** Population- and sibling-matched cohort study.

33 Setting: Sweden, 1973-2018.

34 **Participants:** General population comparison included 78 450 singletons born to women

35 diagnosed with CIN3 and 784 500 matched singletons born to women in the general population

36 who had no CIN3 diagnosis; sibling comparison included 23 199 singletons born to women

37 diagnosed with CIN3 and 28 135 singletons born to their sisters without a CIN3 diagnosis;.

38 Measurements: Preterm birth, including spontaneous or iatrogenic preterm birth; Infection-

39 related outcomes, including chorioamnionitis and infant sepsis; and early neonatal death, defined

40 as death during the first week after birth.

41 **Results:** Compared with the matched general population, women previously diagnosed with

42 CIN3 were more likely to have a preterm birth especially extremely preterm (22-28 weeks; OR,

43 3.00; 95% CI, 2.69-3.34) and spontaneous preterm (OR, 2.12; 95% CI, 2.05-2.20) birth,

44 infection-related outcomes including chorioamnionitis (OR, 3.23; 95% CI, 2.89-3.62) and infant

45 sepsis (OR, 1.72; 95% CI, 1.60-1.86), and early neonatal death (OR, 1.83; 95% CI, 1.61-2.09).

46 Sibling comparison analyses rendered largely similar results. Over time the risk difference

47 attenuated for all outcomes and disappeared for early neonatal death.

48 Limitations: Lack of data on CIN3 treatment and spontaneous abortion.

49 Conclusion: Prior history of CIN 3 is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes even after

50 accounting for familial factors. Decreasing risk estimates over time suggest that adverse

- 51 pregnancy outcomes among women diagnosed with CIN3 may be minimized by improving
- 52 treatment modalities.
- 53 **Primary Funding Source:** Swedish Research Council, Swedish Cancer Society, and FORTE.

#### 54 Introduction

55 The 'screen-and-treat strategy', as recommended by the World Health Organization, is 56 increasingly being adopted in low-income countries to increase treatment after a positive 57 screening for high-grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) (1). Low costs, decreased 58 patient anxiety, and increased compliance make the 'screen-and-treat strategy' appealing (2). 59 However, overtreatment has been reported to range from 13% to 83% when treating a high-grade 60 CIN without additional biopsy confirmation (2). Also in countries with population-based 61 screening programs and extensive colposcopy services, at least 6 treatments of CIN are estimated 62 to be made to prevent one case of cervical cancer (3). Overtreatment of women of reproductive 63 age is of significant public health concern, given that removal or destruction of the cervix could 64 compromise its function and subsequently affect future pregnancy outcomes (4-7). 65 Observational studies and meta-analyses have linked treatment for cervical intraepithelial 66 neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) with increased risk of subsequent preterm delivery and related 67 outcomes (4, 7-11). However, comparisons in previous studies were limited to the general 68 population or births delivered within the same hospital (12, 13), and have not accounted for 69 familial factors. Therefore, these studies may be confounded by unmeasured factors shared 70 within families (e.g., common gene (14), similarity in lifestyle (15), and other risk factors) as 71 both CIN3 and adverse pregnancy outcomes have been reported to aggregate within families (16, 72 17).

Using data from 4.6 million Swedish births over a 46-year period (1973-2018), this study investigated 1) the association between CIN3 and future preterm birth and birth-related outcomes, while adjusting for familial factors through a sibling comparison analyses; 2) the potential effect of calendar period on pregnancy outcomes; and 3) whether these associations could be modified by maternal characteristics. 78 Methods

#### 79 Data sources

80 Our study used data from five linked data sources. The Swedish Medical Birth Register 81 contains detailed information on all births in Sweden since 1973, including reproductive history 82 and demographic data of the mother, pregnancy outcomes, and complications during pregnancy, 83 delivery and the neonatal period (18). The Swedish Cancer Register includes histological 84 classification of malignant and premalignant lesions (CIN3) of the cervix since 1958 (19). The 85 Swedish Multi-Generation Register provides information on all first-degree relatives for 86 individuals born in Sweden since 1932 (20). The Swedish Education Register contains 87 information on the highest attained educational level and is continuously updated. The Swedish 88 Total Population Register includes life events such as birth, death, emigration, and marital status 89 of all Swedish residents since 1968 (21). All these registers cover the total population of Sweden 90 and are of very high quality (18-20). Record-linkage between the registers was made using the 91 Personal Identification Number- a unique identifier assigned to all residents in Sweden (22). 92 The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm, Sweden 93 (Approval No.: 2012/217/-32/2). 94 **Study population** 

A total of 4 629 931 singleton births with known gestational age were reported to the Medical Birth Register between 1973 and 2018. Among them, we identified 78 450 births after a maternal CIN3 diagnosis, which was achieved by linking to the Swedish Cancer Register to identify the date of CIN3 diagnosis (ICD-7 code 171; WHO C24 code 144) (19, 23). Using an optimal matching algorithm(24) (fullmatch function in R package "DOS2"), we matched the 78 450 births to 784 500 births to women without CIN3 diagnosis (**Supplement Figure 1**, available at Annals.org). This algorithm used exact match on calendar period of delivery, age at delivery, and Swedish healthcare region, and optimal close match on years of education, country of birth,
 preeclampsia diagnosis, marital status, parity, pre-pregnancy body mass index, and smoking in
 early pregnancy(24).

By linking to the Multi-Generation Register(20), we performed a sibling matching by including only births for women diagnosed with CIN3 who had a parous full sister without a CIN3 diagnosis. For a given matched set of sisters, we identified the age when any of the sisters developed CIN3, and excluded all births of sisters before that age (if there was more than one sister who developed CIN3, the oldest age at CIN3 was used). As a result, the sibling comparison analyses included 23 199 births to women with a previous CIN3 diagnosis, and 28 135 births by

111 their CIN3-free sisters (Supplement Figure 1, available at Annals.org).

112 **CIN3** 

The exposure was defined as any CIN3 diagnosed before the start of pregnancy (date of birth minus gestational age in days). All women diagnosed with CIN3 were considered to have been treated, given that biopsy-verified CIN3 has always been treated in Swedish practice. An audit of the Swedish cervical screening program revealed no case of untreated CIN3 among the 1 230 women diagnosed with cervical cancer between 1999 and 2002 (25, 26).

118 **Preterm birth and related outcomes** 

All pregnancy outcomes were derived from the Medical Birth Register, including extremely
(≤27 weeks), very (28-31 weeks) and moderately (32-36 weeks) preterm birth, chorioamnionitis
(ICD-9, 658.4; ICD-10, O411), and infant sepsis (ICD-8, 038; ICD-9; 038, 771.81, 771.83; ICD10, A40-A42, P36). Preterm birth was further classified as spontaneous or iatrogenic, based on
labor onset. Small for gestational age was defined as a birth weight less than 2 standard
deviations (SD) below the mean weight for gestational age and gender, according to Swedish

birth weights (27). Intrauterine fetal death was defined as a stillbirth delivered at any gestational
age (154-321 days in our study population). Early neonatal death was defined as death during the
first week after birth.

128 Covariates

The following covariates were identified from the Medical Birth Register: calendar period of delivery, Swedish healthcare region, maternal age at delivery, parity, diagnosis of preeclampsia (ICD-8: 637; ICD-9: 642E, 642F, 642G; ICD-10: O14, O14), smoking during early pregnancy, and pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI). BMI was calculated from information on weight and height at the first antenatal care visit (8-12 gestational weeks) (28). Marital status, maternal level of education, and country of birth were obtained from the Medical Birth Register, the Swedish Education Register, and the Swedish Total Population Register, respectively.

#### 136 Statistical analysis

137 Conditional logistic regression with robust standard errors (Stata's clogit command) was 138 used in the matched general population comparison analyses to investigate the association 139 between ever having a CIN3 diagnosis and pregnancy outcomes. The analyses were conducted 140 among matched pairs (exact matched for calendar period of delivery, age at delivery, and 141 Swedish healthcare region) and adjusted for years of education, country of birth, preeclampsia 142 diagnosis, marital status, parity, pre-pregnancy body mass index, and smoking in early 143 pregnancy. Conditional logistic regression was also used in the sibling comparison analyses to 144 adjust for common factors shared between sisters.

Since treatment modalities for CIN3, as well as obstetrics and neonatal care, have changed profoundly over the last decades, we further stratified the matched general population comparison analyses by year of delivery, to assess the temporal pattern of the association

between CIN3 treatment and pregnancy outcomes. To investigate the robustness of our findings,
we also conducted additional analyses stratified by years of CIN3 diagnosis.

We repeated the matched general population comparison analyses stratified by parity to
analyze one birth per woman at a time. To investigate other potential effect modifiers, we also
stratified our analyses by maternal age at delivery, years of education, country of birth, marital
status, pre-pregnancy BMI, and smoking in early pregnancy.
A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were</li>
conducted using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and STATA, version
15.1 (STATA, College Station, TX).

157 **Role of the Funding source** 

158 The funders had no role in the design of the study; collection, analysis, or interpretation of 159 the data; or the decision to submit the article for publication.

#### 160 **Results**

#### 161 **Population characteristics**

162 The general population comparison included 78 450 births to women with a CIN3 diagnosis 163 and 784 500 matched unexposed births. The CIN3 group and the matched groups are comparable 164 for most variables. The sibling comparison included 23 199 births to women with a CIN3 165 diagnosis and 28 135 births to women without (Table 1). 166 Pregnancy outcomes among women diagnosed with CIN3 167 Compared with the matched general population, women with a CIN3 diagnosis were 2.09 168 (95% CI, 2.03 - 2.15) times more likely to have a preterm birth (<37 weeks), especially 169 extremely preterm birth (22-28 weeks: OR, 3.00; 95% CI, 2.69 – 3.34) (Table 2). Further 170 analyses by labor onset type showed that the association was primarily noted for spontaneous 171 preterm birth, rather than iatrogenic preterm birth. Having a CIN3 diagnosis was also associated 172 with increased risk of infection-related outcomes, including chorioamnionitis and infant sepsis, 173 as well as early neonatal death. We found no difference in the risk of intrauterine fetal death 174 among women with or without a CIN3 diagnosis. Sibling-comparison analyses showed similar 175 associations(Table 2).

#### 176 Pregnancy outcomes among women diagnosed with CIN3, by calendar year

177 **Figure 1** shows the absolute rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes by CIN3 over time.

178 Compared to the matched general population, women with a prior CIN3 diagnosis were

- 179 generally more likely to have an adverse pregnancy outcome. However, over time this risk
- 180 difference attenuated. From 1973-1979 to 2010-2018, the OR (95% CI) decreased from 3.69
- 181 (3.41 3.99) to 1.78 (1.69 1.88) for preterm birth (<37 weeks), from 3.51 (2.55 4.83) to 1.41
- 182 (1.23 1.62) for infant sepsis, and from 2.46 (1.91-3.19) to 1.12 (0.70-1.79) for early neonatal

183 death (Figure 2). Additional analyses by calendar year of CIN3 diagnosis found similar results

184 (Supplement Figure 2, available at Annals.org).

# 185 Pregnancy outcomes among women diagnosed with CIN3, by maternal characteristics

186 **Figure 3** shows stratified analyses by maternal characteristics. In almost all subgroups,

187 women with a prior CIN3 diagnosis were more likely than those without to have a preterm birth,

- 188 chorioamnionitis, and infant sepsis in almost all subgroups. The associations were stronger
- among women with a lower education, higher parity, and lower pre-pregnancy BMI compared to
- 190 their respective counterparts.

#### 191 **Discussion**

In this Swedish nationwide population-based study, we found higher preterm birth and related adverse pregnancy outcomes such as chorioamniotis and infant sepsis among women with a prior CIN3 diagnosis - both compared with the general population of parous women and compared with their parous sisters. The association of CIN3 with many adverse outcomes appear to decrease over time, suggesting that more conservative treatment modalities may minimize subsequent adverse birth outcomes. In particular, early observed associations with neonatal death largely dissipated over time.

Previous studies have reported an increased risk of preterm delivery after treatment for CIN3 (4, 13). Our study suggests that intrauterine infection may mediate the association between CIN3 and adverse pregnancy outcomes, possibly due to a short cervical length after CIN3 treatment (29). Furthermore, the use of over 4.6 million deliveries with sibling comparison design enabled us to study not only extremely severe but rare outcomes (e.g., extremely preterm birth), but also to control for shared familial factors.

205 Our results indicate that caution should be taken when applying a 'screen-and-treat' 206 approach to women of reproductive age, given that overtreatment of the cervix may have a 207 detrimental effect on future pregnancies. In the context of a 'screen-and-treat' strategy in 208 countries where diagnostic resources are limited, treatment is often performed following a 209 positive screening test, without obtaining additional diagnostic confirmation(1). As such, a large 210 proportion of women with low-grade lesions or with a healthy cervix may be treated 211 unnecessarily, which may consequently lead to adverse outcomes in future pregnancies. 212 Overtreatment could also occur if CIN3 is treated with an unnecessarily aggressive surgical 213 procedure, i.e., removing or destroying too much cervical tissue. In our study, the adverse effects 214 of CIN3 treatment on pregnancy outcomes decreased over time, possibly reflecting the change in

215 the surgical procedure. In Sweden, the main CIN3 treatment was knife excisions during 1960s-216 1970s; laser conization during the 1980s; and since 1990s, large loop excision of the 217 transformational zone (LLETZ) (25). Different treatment modalities have been associated with 218 varying degrees of perinatal morbidity. More serious outcomes were noted for knife excisions 219 (aside from hysterectomy), followed by laser conization, and lastly LLETZ (30). Furthermore, 220 among LLETZ, smaller excisions were shown to reduce the risk of preterm birth, indicating that 221 adverse pregnancy outcomes may be minimized by preserving more healthy cervical tissue (12, 222 31).

223 However, the reproductive benefits gained through less aggressive treatment (preserving 224 more cervical tissue) may come at the cost of an increased risk of future invasive cervical cancer 225 (25, 32, 33). Incomplete excision of cervical precancer has been associated with 226 residual/recurrent CIN2/CIN3 (33). Given delays in childbirth and the wide adoption of cervical 227 cancer screening, more women and clinicians will face the dilemma where improper 228 management of CIN3 can increase the risk of cervical cancer on the one hand and complications 229 from overtreatment on the other. Therefore, to achieve an optimal balance between obstetric 230 safety and the risk of cancer, careful consideration should be made on an individual level. This is 231 one of the major challenges in the field, requiring proficiency not only in treatment but also in 232 management and colposcopy to identify the right women to treat. 233 Despite our large sample size and our sibling-comparison design, certain limitations of this 234 study should be noted. First, although CIN3 has always been treated in Sweden, we lack data on 235 actual treatment for CIN3. Also, there are no data on spontaneous abortion. Second, 236 misclassification of CIN2 as CIN3 is possible in the Swedish Cancer Register (especially after

237 2017), however, this misclassification would lead to a dilution of our results. Third, we were

unable to adjust for all possible confounders and include all potential outcomes (e.g.,
spontaneous abortion). Finally, whether our results can be generalized to low-income countries
remains unknown. However, we do not expect a weaker association in low-income countries,
given that we found a stronger association between CIN3 and adverse pregnancy outcomes
among women with a lower socioeconomic position.
In conclusion, women with treated CIN3 are more likely to experience preterm birth and

infection-related pregnancy outcomes, including chorioamnionitis and infant sepsis. These
results suggest that women treated for CIN3 should be recognized as "high-risk" and managed
accordingly, to reduce the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. However, treated women and
women facing treatment should also be informed that, over the last decades, the risk for adverse
pregnancy outcomes has decreased dramatically and modern treatment do not confer an
increased risk of perinatal death.

250

### 251 **Reproducible Research Statement:**

- 252 Protocol: not available.
- 253 Statistical Code: Available to interested readers by contacting Dr. Wei He at wei.he@ki.se.
- 254 Data: not available.

## 255 Grant support:

- 256 This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council [grant number: 2018-02547]; the
- 257 Swedish Cancer Society [grant number: 19 0266]; and FORTE [grant number: 2016-00081,
- 258 2018-00877]. Wei He is supported by Zhejiang University through "Hundred Talents Program".

259	Refer	ence

260 1. WHO Guidelines for Screening and Treatment of Precancerous Lesions for Cervical
 261 Cancer Prevention. Geneva; 2013.

Cardenas-Turanzas M, Follen M, Benedet JL, Cantor SB. See-and-treat strategy for
 diagnosis and management of cervical squamous intraepithelial lesions. Lancet Oncol.
 2005;6(1):43-50.

- 3. Barken SS, Rebolj M, Andersen ES, Lynge E. Frequency of cervical intraepithelial
  neoplasia treatment in a well-screened population. Int J Cancer. 2012;130(10):2438-44.
- 267 4. Arbyn M, Kyrgiou M, Simoens C, Raifu AO, Koliopoulos G, Martin-Hirsch P, et al.
- 268 Perinatal mortality and other severe adverse pregnancy outcomes associated with
- treatment of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia: meta-analysis. BMJ. 2008;337:a1284.
- 5. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm
  birth. Lancet. 2008;371(9606):75-84.
- 6. Kyrgiou M, Valasoulis G, Stasinou SM, Founta C, Athanasiou A, Bennett P, et al.
- Proportion of cervical excision for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia as a predictor of
  pregnancy outcomes. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2015;128(2):141-7.
- 275 7. Kyrgiou M, Athanasiou A, Paraskevaidi M, Mitra A, Kalliala I, Martin-Hirsch P, et al.
- 276 Adverse obstetric outcomes after local treatment for cervical preinvasive and early
- invasive disease according to cone depth: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ.

278 2016;354:i3633.

Kyrgiou M, Koliopoulos G, Martin-Hirsch P, Arbyn M, Prendiville W, Paraskevaidis E.
 Obstetric outcomes after conservative treatment for intraepithelial or early invasive
 cervical lesions: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2006;367(9509):489-98.

282	9. Kristensen J, Langhoff-Roos J, Kristensen FB. Increased risk of preterm birth in women
283	with cervical conization. Obstet Gynecol. 1993;81(6):1005-8.
284	10. Bjorge T, Skare GB, Bjorge L, Trope A, Lonnberg S. Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes
285	After Treatment for Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia. Obstet Gynecol.
286	2016;128(6):1265-73.
287	11. Wiik J, Nilsson S, Karrberg C, Strander B, Jacobsson B, Sengpiel V. Associations of
288	treated and untreated human papillomavirus infection with preterm delivery and neonatal
289	mortality: A Swedish population-based study. PLoS Med. 2021;18(5):e1003641.
290	12. Noehr B, Jensen A, Frederiksen K, Tabor A, Kjaer SK. Depth of cervical cone removed
291	by loop electrosurgical excision procedure and subsequent risk of spontaneous preterm
292	delivery. Obstet Gynecol. 2009;114(6):1232-8.
293	13. Castanon A, Brocklehurst P, Evans H, Peebles D, Singh N, Walker P, et al. Risk of
294	preterm birth after treatment for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia among women
295	attending colposcopy in England: retrospective-prospective cohort study. BMJ.
296	2012;345:e5174.
297	14. Magnusson PK, Sparen P, Gyllensten UB. Genetic link to cervical tumours. Nature.
298	1999;400(6739):29-30.
299	15. McHale SM, Bissell J, Kim JY. Sibling relationship, family, and genetic factors in
300	sibling similarity in sexual risk. J Fam Psychol. 2009;23(4):562-72.
301	16. Czene K, Lichtenstein P, Hemminki K. Environmental and heritable causes of cancer
302	among 9.6 million individuals in the Swedish Family-Cancer Database. Int J Cancer.
303	2002;99(2):260-6.

304	17. Shah PS, Shah V, Knowledge Synthesis Group On Determinants Of Preterm/I BWB.
305	Influence of the maternal birth status on offspring: a systematic review and meta-
306	analysis. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2009;88(12):1307-18.
307	18. Cnattingius S, Ericson A, Gunnarskog J, Kallen B. A quality study of a medical birth
308	registry. Scand J Soc Med. 1990;18(2):143-8.
309	19. Barlow L, Westergren K, Holmberg L, Talback M. The completeness of the Swedish
310	Cancer Register: a sample survey for year 1998. Acta Oncol. 2009;48(1):27-33.
311	20. Ekbom A. The Swedish Multi-generation Register. Methods Mol Biol. 2011;675:215-
312	20.
313	21. Ludvigsson JF, Almqvist C, Bonamy AK, Ljung R, Michaelsson K, Neovius M, et al.
314	Registers of the Swedish total population and their use in medical research. Eur J
315	Epidemiol. 2016;31(2):125-36.
316	22. Ludvigsson JF, Otterblad-Olausson P, Pettersson BU, Ekbom A. The Swedish personal
317	identity number: possibilities and pitfalls in healthcare and medical research. Eur J
318	Epidemiol. 2009;24(11):659-67.
319	23. Edgren G, Sparen P. Risk of anogenital cancer after diagnosis of cervical intraepithelial
320	neoplasia: a prospective population-based study. Lancet Oncol. 2007;8(4):311-6.
321	24. Rosenbaum PR. Design of observational studies (second edition): Springer; 2020.
322	25. Strander B, Hallgren J, Sparen P. Effect of ageing on cervical or vaginal cancer in
323	Swedish women previously treated for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3:
324	population based cohort study of long term incidence and mortality. BMJ.
325	2014;348:f7361.

326	26. Andrae B, Kemetli L, Sparen P, Silfverdal L, Strander B, Ryd W, et al. Screening-
327	preventable cervical cancer risks: evidence from a nationwide audit in Sweden. J Natl
328	Cancer Inst. 2008;100(9):622-9.
329	27. Marsal K, Persson PH, Larsen T, Lilja H, Selbing A, Sultan B. Intrauterine growth
330	curves based on ultrasonically estimated foetal weights. Acta Paediatr. 1996;85(7):843-8.
331	28. Källén B, Källén K. The Swedish Medical Birth Register-A summary of content and
332	quality. 2003.
333	29. Gomez R, Romero R, Nien JK, Chaiworapongsa T, Medina L, Kim YM, et al. A short
334	cervix in women with preterm labor and intact membranes: a risk factor for microbial
335	invasion of the amniotic cavity. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2005;192(3):678-89.
336	30. Kyrgiou M, Arbyn M, Martin-Hirsch P, Paraskevaidis E. Increased risk of preterm birth
337	after treatment for CIN. BMJ. 2012;345:e5847.
338	31. Castanon A, Landy R, Brocklehurst P, Evans H, Peebles D, Singh N, et al. Risk of
339	preterm delivery with increasing depth of excision for cervical intraepithelial neoplasia in
340	England: nested case-control study. BMJ. 2014;349:g6223.
341	32. Strander B, Adolfsson J. Safety of modern treatment for cervical pre-cancer. BMJ.
342	2014;349:g6611.
343	33. Arbyn M, Redman CWE, Verdoodt F, Kyrgiou M, Tzafetas M, Ghaem-Maghami S, et
344	al. Incomplete excision of cervical precancer as a predictor of treatment failure: a
345	systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2017;18(12):1665-79.
346	
347	

#### 348 **Figure legends**

349

362

350 Figure 1. Absolute rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes among women with a prior cervical 351 intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) diagnosis, compared to the matched general population 352 of parous women in Sweden, by calendar year from 1973 to 2018. CIN3 was mainly treated with 353 knife excision during 1960s-1970s; laser conization in 1980s; and large loop excision of the 354 transformational zone (LLETZ) since the 1990s (following increased awareness of the perinatal 355 side effects of CIN3 treatment). 356 Figure 2. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of adverse pregnancy outcomes 357 among women with a prior cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) diagnosis, compared 358 to the matched general Swedish population of parous women, by calendar year from 1973 to 359 2018. P < 0.001 for interaction between CIN3 diagnosis and year of delivery is noted for all 360 pregnancy outcomes, except for intrauterine fetal death (P for interaction=0.139). Women 361 diagnosed with CIN3 were mainly treated with knife excision during 1960s-1970s; laser

363 1990s (with increased awareness of the perinatal side effects of CIN3 treatment).

Figure 3. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of adverse pregnancy outcomes among women with a prior cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) diagnosis, compared to the matched general Swedish population of parous women, from 2000 to2018, by maternal characteristics. Deliveries before 2000 were excluded to better reflect current clinical practice. Small for gestational age and perinatal deaths were not statistically significantly different between women who were and were not treated for CIN3 after 2000, thus these outcomes were not included in the analyses. The p values for interaction were calculated by adding an

conization in the 1980s; and large loop excision of the transformational zone (LLETZ) since the

- 371 interaction term to the conditional logistic regression model (ordered categorical variables were
- 372 treated as continuous in the interaction analyses).

# Current author address:

Wei He:	Chronic Disease Research Institute, the Children's Hospital, and				
	National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, School of Public				
	Health, School of Medicine,				
	Zhejiang University,				
	866 Yu-hang-tang Road, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310058 China				
Pär Sparen:	Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics,				
	Karolinska Institutet,				
	Nobels väg 12 A, Stockholm, 171 77 Sweden				
Fang Fang:	Institute of Environmental Medicine,				
	Karolinska Institutet,				
	Nobels väg 13, Stockholm, 171 65 Sweden				
Verena Sengpiel:	Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sahlgrenska University of				
	Hospital / Östra,				
	Journalvägen 6, Göteborg, 41 685 Sweden				
Björn Strander:	Regionalt Cancercentrum Väst,				
	Medicinaregatan 18G, Sahlgrenska Universitetssjukhuset, 413 45				
	Göteborg, Sweden				
Kamila Czene:	Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics,				
	Karolinska Institutet,				
	Nobels väg 12 A, Stockholm, 171 77 Sweden				







**Table 1.** Maternal characteristics to births occurring after a cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) diagnosis, compared to the matched general Swedish population of parous women and compared to their parous sisters, 1973-2018.

	General populati (births N=	on comparison* 862 950)	<b>Sibling comparison</b> (births N=51 334)		
	Matched Controls	Diagnosed CIN3	Controls	Diagnosed CIN3	
	(N=/84 500)	(N = /8.450)	(N=28 135)	(N=23 199)	
Calendar period of delivery	(5290(9.2))	(529(92))	2427(9()	1517(6.5)	
19/3-19/9	05280(8.3) 155770(10.0)	0528(8.3)	242/(8.0)	151/(0.5)	
1980-1989	155770(19.9) 156750(20.0)	15577(19.9) 15(75(20.0))	5890(21.0)	4900(21.1)	
2000 2000	150/50(20.0)	150/5(20.0)	(721(23.2))	5301(22.9)	
2000-2009	168660(21.5)	16866(21.5)	6/21(23.9)	5202(22.4)	
2010-2018	238040(30.3)	23804(30.3)	6551(25.5)	62/9(27.1)	
Age at delivery, yrs	22010(4.2)	2201(4.2)	1(10(5,0))	1212(5.2)	
≥24	33010(4.2)	5501(4.2)	1018(3.8)	1213(3.2)	
25-29	211/80(27.0)	21178(27.0)	8729(31.0)	7094(30.6)	
30-34	319330(40.7)	31933(40.7)	113/1(40.4)	9484(40.9)	
≥35	220380(28.1)	22038(28.1)	6417(22.8)	5408(23.3)	
Swedish healthcare region					
Stockholm-Gotland	160440(20.5)	16044(20.5)	5307(18.9)	4416(19.0)	
Uppsala-örebro	130310(16.6)	13031(16.6)	4841(17.2)	3707(16.0)	
Southeast	79980(10.2)	7998(10.2)	3114(11.1)	2478(10.7)	
South	173590(22.1)	17359(22.1)	6026(21.4)	5201(22.4)	
West	173420(22.1)	17342(22.1)	6308(22.4)	5351(23.1)	
North	66760(8.5)	6676(8.5)	2522(9.0)	2046(8.8)	
Education level, yrs					
$\leqslant 9$	77207(9.9)	8042(10.3)	2733(9.7)	2277(9.8)	
10-12	339582(43.4)	34186(43.7)	11991(42.6)	10057(43.4)	
>12	365763(46.7)	36023(46.0)	13393(47.6)	10858(46.8)	
Missing	1948	199	18	7	
Country of birth					
Sweden	722126(92.0)	73081(93.2)	27407(97.4)	22653(97.6)	
Outside of Sweden	62374(8.0)	5369(6.8)	728(2.6)	546(2.4)	
Preeclampsia					
No	767170(97.8)	76660(97.7)	27449(97.6)	22713(97.9)	
Yes	17330(2.2)	1790(2.3)	686(2.4)	486(2.1)	
Marital status					
Married	669205(93.7)	66531(93.5)	24268(94.2)	19870(94.0)	
Unmarried	45013(6.3)	4654(6.5)	1497(5.8)	1260(6.0)	
Missing	70282	7265	2370	2069	
Parity					
1	265865(33.9)	26297(33.5)	9083(32.3)	7840(33.8)	
2	302865(38.6)	30072(38.3)	11064(39.3)	9025(38.9)	
3	145867(18.6)	14863(18.9)	5531(19.7)	4367(18.8)	
$\geq$ 4	69903(8.9)	7218(9.2)	2457(8.7)	1967(8.5)	
Pre-pregnancy BMI <sup>†</sup>					
<25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	516814(79.8)	50538(78.2)	19209(82.7)	15182(77.9)	
$25-29 \text{ kg/m}^2$	79283(12.2)	8487(13.1)	2530(10.9)	2642(13.6)	
$\geq$ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	51242(7.9)	5571(8.6)	1480(6.4)	1673(8.6)	
Missing	46491	4787	1588	1464	

Smoking in early pregnancy <sup>†</sup>				
Non smoker	394136(70.2)	38846(69.6)	13629(68.7)	11932(71.6)
1-9 cigarettes/day	123836(22.0)	12535(22.5)	4392(22.1)	3545(21.3)
≥10 cigarettes/day	43672(7.8)	4447(8.0)	1821(9.2)	1178(7.1)
Missing	132186	13555	4965	4306

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

\* Optimal 1:10 pair match with exact matching for calendar period of delivery, age at delivery, and Swedish healthcare region.

<sup>†</sup>Data available only after 1982.

	General population comparison*			Sibling comparison*				
	No. of eventsAbsolute rate(events/1000 births)difference/1000			No. of events (events/1000 deliveries)		Absolute rate difference/1000		
	Controls (N=784 500)	Diagnosed CIN3 (N= 78 450)	live births (95% CI)	Odds ratio <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup> (95% CI)	Controls (N=28 135)	Diagnosed CIN3 (N=23 199)	live births (95% CI)	Odds ratio $^{\ddagger}$ (95% CI)
Preterm birth								
Overall	37876 (48.5)	7452 (95.4)	46.89 (44.67-49.11)	2.09 (2.03-2.15)	1322 (47.2)	2183 (94.5)	47.30 (42.59-52.01)	2.27 (2.08-2.49)
By Gestational Age								
32-37 weeks	32756 (41.9)	6017 (77.0)	35.08 (33.08-37.08)	1.94 (1.88-2.00)	1149 (41.0)	1791 (77.5)	36.51 (32.21-40.81)	2.11 (1.92-2.32)
28-32 weeks	3497 (4.5)	972 (12.4)	7.96 (7.17-8.76)	2.98 (2.76-3.21)	120 (4.3)	268 (11.6)	7.32 (5.73-8.90)	4.21 (3.11-5.69)
22-28 weeks	1623 (2.1)	463 (5.9)	3.85 (3.30-4.40)	3.00 (2.69-3.34)	53 (1.9)	124 (5.4)	3.48 (2.40-4.55)	3.08 (1.95-4.85)
By Labor Onset <sup>§</sup>			()	( )				( )
Spontaneous preterm birth	7418 (13.2)	836 (14.9)	1.70 (0.65-2.76)	2.12 (2.05-2.20)	215 (12.2)	222 (14.6)	2.42 (-0.10-4.93)	2.25 (1.97-2.57)
Iatrogenic preterm birth	17884 (31.9)	3635 (65.0)	33.06 (30.89-35.22)	1.15 (1.06-1.24)	553 (31.3)	1023 (67.2)	35.89 (31.02-40.76)	1.46 (1.11-1.93)
Small for gestational age	20797 (26.7)	2230 (28.6)	1.93 (0.69-3.18)	1.06 (1.01-1.11)	707 (25.3)	624 (27.1)	1.78 (-1.05-4.60)	1.08 (0.94-1.23)
Infection-related outcomes								
Chorioamnionitis	1315 (2.1)	421 (6.8)	4.69 (4.03-5.36)	3.23 (2.89-3.62)	41 (1.9)	119 (6.4)	4.53 (3.25-5.82)	3.68 (2.21-6.12)
Infant sepsis	4585 (5.9)	791 (10.1)	4.26 (3.53-4.98)	1.72 (1.60-1.86)	151 (5.4)	229 (9.9)	4.52 (2.98-6.07)	2.03 (1.59-2.59)
Perinatal death								
Intrauterine fetal death	2954 (3.8)	308 (3.9)	0.16 (-0.30-0.62)	$     1.02 \\     (0.90-1.14) $	98 (3.5)	82 (3.5)	0.05 (-0.98-1.08)	0.97 (0.70-1.35)
Early neontal death	1421 (1.8)	261 (3.3)	1.52 (1.11-1.94)	1.83 (1.61-2.09)	51 (1.8)	70 (3.0)	1.21 (0.34-2.08)	1.80 (1.17-2.75)

**Table 2.** Adverse pregnancy outcomes among women with a prior cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 3 (CIN3) diagnosis, compared to the matched general Swedish population of parous women and compared to their parous sisters, 1973-2018.

\* Stillbirths were excluded in all analyses, except for the analyses of intrauterine death. Women with missing information on each investigated pregnancy outcome were excluded in the corresponding analyses.

- <sup>*†*</sup> Analysis among matched pairs (exact matched for calendar period of delivery, age at delivery and Swedish healthcare region) and adjusted for years of education, country of birth, preeclampsia diagnosis, marital status, parity, pre-pregnancy body mass index, and smoking in early pregnancy.
- <sup>*t*</sup> Analysis among sibling pairs and adjusted for calendar period of delivery, age at delivery, Swedish healthcare region, years of education, country of birth, preeclampsia diagnosis, marital status, parity, smoking in early pregnancy, and pre-pregnancy body mass index.

<sup>§</sup> Information on labor onset (spontaneous or iatrogenic) was available from 1990 in the Swedish Medical Birth Register.

<sup>II</sup> Information on chorioamnionitis was available from 1987 (this diagnosis does not exist in ICD8).