ONLINE FIRST

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.

ISSN: 0015-5659

e-ISSN: 1644-3284

The complete anatomy of the prostatic artery: a meta-analysis based on 7421 arteries with implications for embolization and urological procedures

Authors: Kyrylo Shafarenko, Michał Bonczar, Patryk Ostrowski, Mateusz Michalczak, Jerzy Walocha, Mateusz Koziej

DOI: 10.5603/fm.97797

Article type: Original article

Submitted: 2023-10-11

Accepted: 2023-11-15

Published online: 2023-12-06

The complete anatomy of the prostatic artery: a meta-analysis based on 7421 arteries with implications for embolization and urological procedures

Kyrylo Shafarenko et al., The complete anatomy of the prostatic artery

Kyrylo Shafarenko[1, 2], Michał Bonczar[1, 2], Patryk Ostrowski[1, 2], Mateusz Michalczak[1, 2], Jerzy Walocha[1, 2], Mateusz Koziej[1, 2]

[1]Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

[2]Youthoria, Youth Research Organization, Krakow, Poland

Address for correspondence: Kyrylo Shafarenko, Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, ul. Mikołaja Kopernika 12, 33-332 Kraków, Poland, e-mail: kirilshafarenko@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: The goal of the present meta-analysis was to offer physicians the most evidence-based data concerning the anatomical characteristics of the prostatic artery (PA).

Materials and methods: Medical databases including PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Web of Science, Google Scholar and Cochrane Library were searched trough. The overall search process was performed in 3 stages.

Results: The results were established based on a total of 7421 arteries. PA was found to originate from an internal pudendal artery with a pooled prevalence of 28.81% (95% CI: 26.23% - 31.46%). Mean diameter of the PA was found to be 1.52 mm (SE = 0.07). . Single PA was found to occur in 76.43% of the patients (95% CI: 60.96% - 89.12%).

Conclusions: In conclusion, the authors of the present study believe that this is the most accurate and up-to-date analysis regarding the highly variable anatomy of the PA. The PA originates most commonly from the internal pudendal artery (28.81%); however, it may also originate from other pelvic arteries, including the middle anorectal or the superior gluteal arteries. Moreover, accessory PAs may occur, yet, a single main PA supplying the prostate gland is most frequently observed (76.43%). The PA may also form anastomoses with the adjacent arteries (pooled prevalence of 45.20%), which may create a complex vascular network in the pelvis. It is hoped that the current meta-analysis may help to decrease the potential complications that may emerge from diverse endovascular and urological procedures.

Keywrods: prostatic artery, pelvis, prostate, surgery, embolization, anatomy

INTRODUCTION

The prostate gland is an important organ of the male reproductive system, located in the pelvis inferior to the bladder and anterior to the rectum. The gland is mainly supplied by the prostatic artery (PA), a branch of the internal iliac artery (Figures 1 and 2). The PA is responsible for supplying blood to the prostate gland and the surrounding structures, including the urethra, bladder, seminal vesicles, and rectum. The anatomy of the PA has been the subject of extensive research due to its clinical significance and complex vascular anatomy.

Various studies have analyzed the variable origin of the PA and other arteries of the pelvis [18, 23, 32–34, 40]. Moya et al. [29] presented the anterior division of the internal iliac artery, the gluteal-pudendal trunk, and the internal pudendal artery to be the main sources of PA. However, Boeken et al. [8] stated that the most frequent origin of PA was from a common trunk formed with the vesical artery from the anterior division of the internal iliac artery. The morphometric properties of the said vessel, such as the diameter, are especially important clinically, mainly when performing prostatic artery embolizations (PAE). Various diameters of the PA have been presented in the literature, ranging from 0.9 mm to 1.9 mm [38, 42]. Moreover, the PA forms complex anastomoses with surrounding arteries, supplying various organs in the pelvis. The overall prevalence of these anastomoses is quite controversial, with variable frequencies being presented in the past. Furthermore, the PA may form the said anastomoses with its contralateral vessel and with other arteries, such as the internal pudendal artery or the lateral sacral artery [7].

Understanding the anatomy of the prostatic artery is crucial for the successful management of prostate-related conditions, including prostate cancer, benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), and chronic prostatitis. BPH is among the top ten most prevalent and expensive diseases affecting men over 50 years of age in the United States [14]. While traditional treatment options for lower urinary tract symptoms caused by BPH exist, recent years have seen the emergence of PAE as a promising alternative therapy for certain patients [25, 29]. However, PAE can be challenging due to the anatomical variability of the PA, which can prolong the procedure time, with identification and catheterization of the PA often being the most difficult and time-consuming steps [3, 4]. Therefore, the goal of the present meta-analysis was to offer physicians the most evidence-based data concerning the anatomical characteristics of the PA. It is hoped that the results of the present study may aid in mitigating the potential risks associated with various urological and endovascular procedures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search strategy

In order to perform this meta-analysis, a systematic search was conducted in which all articles regarding the anatomy of the PA were searched for. Medical databases including PubMed, Scopus, Embase, Web of Science, Google Scholar and Cochrane Library were searched trough. The overall search process was performed in 3 stages. (1) In the first stage, all mentioned medical databases were searched using the following search term: prostatic AND artery. Neither date, language, article type, nor text availability conditions were applied. (2) Furthermore, the mentioned databases were searched through once again using another set of phrases: (a) (prostatic artery[Title/Abstract]) AND (anatomy[Title/Abstract]) ; (b) (prostatic artery[Title/Abstract]) AND (variation[Title/Abstract]) ; (c) (prostatic artery[Title/Abstract]) AND (morphology[Title/Abstract]) ; (d) (prostatic artery[Title/Abstract]) AND (type[Title/Abstract]) ; (e) (prostatic artery[Title/Abstract]) AND (topography[Title/Abstract]) ; (f) (prostatic artery[Title/Abstract]) AND (course[Title/Abstract]) ; (g) (prostatic artery[Title/Abstract]) AND (origin[Title/Abstract]) ; (h) (prostatic artery[Title/Abstract]) AND (branch[Title/Abstract]). Additionally, each phrase has been checked for dependence of results on grammatical variations of a given phrase and adjusted to the given database. (3) Furthermore, an additional, manual search was also conducted throughout all references from the initial submitted studies. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines were followed. Additionally, The Critical Appraisal Tool for Anatomical Meta-analysis (CATAM) and Anatomical Quality assessment Tool (AQUA) were used to provide the highest quality findings [11].

Eligibility assessment and data extraction

The inclusion criteria were set as follows: original articles with extractable data on the anatomy, morphology, topography and/or variance of the PA. The exclusion criteria involved conference reports, case reports, case series, reviews, letters to the editor and studies with no relevant or incompatible data. The systematic search was performed by two independent researchers. A total of 6789 articles were initially evaluated. Finally, 26 articles matched the required criteria, and were included in this meta-analysis [1–10, 12, 13, 15–17, 26, 29–31, 35–39, 42, 43]. The overall process of data collection can be found in Figure 3. Characteristics of submitted studies can be found in Table 1.

Data from qualified studies were extracted by two independent researchers. Qualitative data were collected, such as year of publication, country, continent. Subsequently, quantitative data were gathered in several categories: (1) the origin of the PA ; (2) the mean diameter of the PA ; (3) a total number of multiplied Pas ; (4) the origin of the accessory PA ; (5) prevalence and characteristics of the PA anastomoses ; (6) presence of the corkscrew pattern. Any discrepancies between the identified studies by researchers were resolved by contacting the authors of the original studies wherever possible or by consensus with a third person.

Statistical analysis

To perform this meta-analysis, STATISTICA version 13.1 software (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA), MetaXL version 5.3 software (EpiGear International Pty Ltd,Wilston, Queensland, Australia), and Comprehensive Meta-analysis version 4.0 software (Biostat Inc., Englewood, NJ, USA) were applied. A random effects model was used. The Chi-square test and the I-squared statistic were chosen to assess the heterogeneity among the studies [20, 21]. P-values and confidence intervals were used to determine the statistical significance between the studies. A p-value lower than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In the event of overlapping confidence intervals, the differences were considered statistically insignificant. I-squared statistics were interpreted as follows: values of 0–40% were considered as "might not be important", values of 30–60% were considered as "might indicate moderate heterogeneity", values of 50–90% were considered as "may indicate substantial heterogeneity", and values of 75–100% were considered as "may indicate substantial heterogeneity."

RESULTS

A total of 26 studies were qualified for the statistical analysis in the present study. The results were established based on a total of 7421 arteries. PA was found to originate from an internal pudendal artery with a pooled prevalence of 28.81% (95% CI: 26.23% - 31.46%). The aforementioned artery was found to be the most common origin artery of the PA. Obturator artery was found to be an origin of the PA in 14.35% of the cases (95% CI: 11.37% - 17.61%). PA was found to originate from an inferior vesical artery in 12.25% of the studied cases (95% CI: 5.73% - 20.72%). All the results mentioned before and more deatiled ones can be found in Table 2.

Mean diameter of the PA was found to be 1.52 mm (SE = 0.07). More deatiled statistics regarding the PA diameter can be found in Table 3. Single PA was found to occur in 76.43% of the patients (95% CI: 60.96% - 89.12%), whearas the double and tripple PA were found to occur in 23.18% (95% CI: 10.60% - 38.59%) and 0.13% (95% CI: 0.03% - 0.29%) of the studied cases respectively. More detailed results regarding the number of PA can be found in Table 4. Obturator artery was found to be the most common origin artery for the accessory (second or third) PA with a pooled prevalence of 43.12% (95% CI: 22.82% - 64.59%). Accessory PAs were found to originate from internal pudendal artery in 36.26% of the studied cases (95% CI: 23.48% - 50.08%). More detailed results regarding the origin of the accessory PA can be found in Table 5.

Any anastomosis of the PA was found to occur in 45.20% of the cases (95% CI: 31.39% - 59.38%). The most common type of a PA anastomosis was found to be the one with an internal pudendal artery as it occured in 47.26% of the studied cases (95% CI: 9.66% - 86.46%). PA was found to have an anastomosis with intra-prostatic or contra-lateral PA in 13.81% of the patients (95% CI: 0.00% - 38.23%). Rectile area was found to be the most common one vascularized by a PA anastomosis (65.83% ; 95% CI: 22.73% - 100.00%). More deatiled results regarding the anastomoses of the PA can be found in Table 6. The corkscrew pattern of the PA was found to occur in 20.12% of the studied cases (95% CI: 5.49% - 39.93%). More detailed results regarding the corkscre pattern can be found in Table 7.

DISCUSSION

The variations of the origin of the PA have been extensively studied due to their clinical significance in various procedures involving the prostate gland. Major anatomical textbooks state that the PA originates mainly from the internal iliac artery and/or the inferior vesical artery [27]. A number of previous publications have supported this claim, such as the study conducted by Garcia-Monaco et al. [16] which demonstrated that the PA originates from the anterior division of the internal iliac artery in 56.5% of the cases. However, various studies have stated that the most frequently observed origin of the said vessel is from the internal pudendal artery [3, 7, 29]. Interestingly, some reports have stated that the gluteal-pudendal trunk was the most frequently observed origin [10]. These variations in the origin of the PA can have significant implications for surgical procedures such as prostatectomy or PAEs. For instance, in prostatectomy, knowledge of the precise origin of the PA can aid in preserving the blood supply to the neurovascular bundle, which is crucial for preserving erectile function [41]. Similarly, in embolization procedures, an accurate understanding of the origin and course of the PA may help prevent unintended embolization of other arteries, which can lead to complications such as ischemia or infarction of the pelvic organs [28]. The present meta-analysis demonstrates that the most prevalent origin of PA is the internal pudendal artery (28.81%), followed by the obturator artery (14.35%). Although rare, it is crucial to keep in mind that the PA may also originate from the middle anorectal artery (0.99%) and the inferior and superior gluteal arteries (0.75% and 0.20%, respectively), amongst others.

PAE is a relatively new embolization technique for treating lower urinary tract symptoms caused by BPH and represents an alternative to classic surgical procedures such as transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) [19]. A relatively recent systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by Knight et al. [24] showed that the subjective symptom improvement was similar between TURP and PAE. However, PAE was associated with fewer adverse events and shorter hospitalization times. It is clear that having appropriate knowledge about the complete anatomy of the PA may help to decrease potential complications further when performing PAEs. Specifically, acknowledging the morphometric values of the PA, especially its diameter, is of great importance when choosing an appropriately-sized catheter when performing PAEs. The present meta-analysis shows that the mean diameter of the main trunk of the PA is 1.52 mm.

The prevalence of accessory PAs and their clinical significance have also been discussed in the literature. In a cadaveric study conducted by Garcia-Monaco et al. [17], it was stated that in 78% of the analyzed hemipelvises, a single dominant PA was present. However, the rest of the specimens had multiple PAs supplying the prostate gland. Boeken et al. [8] presented similar results, with a prevalence of double accessory PAs in 15.6% of the cases. Interestingly, Wang et al. [38] presented a considerably lower frequency of accessory PAs (7.4%). The present meta-analysis demonstrates that a single PA is present in the majority of the cases (76.43%) (Table 4). However, accessory PAs may occur; double PAs are relatively common, with a frequency of 23.18%, and the presence of triple PAs is remarkably rare (0.13%). Moreover, our study shows, that the most common origin of the accessory PAs is from the obturator artery (43.12%), followed by the internal pudendal artery (36.26%).

Potential anastomoses between the PA and the adjacent arteries are important to take into consideration when performing endovascular procedures in the pelvis. Their prevalence, topography, and areas which the said anastomoses supply have been greatly discussed in the literature. The present study shows that the PA most commonly forms anastomoses with the internal pudendal artery (47.26%); however, other arteries may also be involved, such as the inferior vesical artery or the lateral sacral artery. Furthermore, the overall prevalence of considerable anastomoses formed by the PAs and their adjacent vessels is 45.20%.

The present study is not without limitations. Due to the nature of the research, the accuracy of the established results is conditioned by the quality of the primary studies. Some of the analyses could not have been performed due to insufficient amount of consistent data in the literature. Additionally, the most of the PAs were studied in Asia, therefore the results of the present study may reflect more an Asian population rather than the global one. Although not without limitations, our meta-analysis attempts to establish the detailed anatomy of the PA based on the data from the literature that meet the requirements of evidence-based anatomy.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the authors of the present study believe that this is the most accurate and up-to-date analysis regarding the highly variable anatomy of the PA. The PA originates most commonly from the internal pudendal artery (28.81%); however, it may also originate from other pelvic arteries, including the middle anorectal or the superior gluteal arteries. Moreover, accessory PAs may occur, yet, a single main PA supplying the prostate gland is most frequently observed (76.43%). The PA may also form anastomoses with the adjacent arteries (pooled prevalence of 45.20%), which may create a complex vascular network in the pelvis. It is hoped that the current meta-analysis may help to decrease the potential complications that may emerge from diverse endovascular and urological procedures.

Acknowledgments: The authors are beholden to Mr. Jacenty Urbaniak for the technical support. “The authors wish to sincerely thank those who donated their bodies to science so that anatomical research could be performed. Results from such research can potentially improve patient care and increase mankind's overall knowledge. Therefore, these donors and their families deserve our highest gratitude” [22].

Conflicting interests: The author(s) declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Dr. Mateusz Koziej was supported by the Foundation for Polish Science (FNP). The funders had no role in the study’s design, data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Informed consent: Not applicable

Ethical approval: Not applicable

REFERENCES

1. Amouyal G, Pellerin O, Del Giudice C, et al. Variants of Patterns of Intra- and Extra-prostatic Arterial Distribution of the Prostatic Artery Applied to Prostatic Artery Embolization: Proposal of a Classification. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2018; 41(11): 1664–1673, doi: 10.1007/s00270-018-2064-3.
2. Anract J, Amouyal G, Peyromaure M, et al. Study of the intra-prostatic arterial anatomy and implications for arterial embolization of benign prostatic hyperplasia. Progrès en Urologie. 2019; 29(5): 263–269, doi: 10.1016/j.purol.2019.02.007.
3. de Assis AM, Moreira AM, de Paula Rodrigues VC, et al. Pelvic Arterial Anatomy Relevant to Prostatic Artery Embolisation and Proposal for Angiographic Classification. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2015; 38(4): 855–861, doi: 10.1007/s00270-015-1114-3.
4. Bagla S, Rholl KS, Sterling KM, et al. Utility of Cone-Beam CT Imaging in Prostatic Artery Embolization. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology. 2013; 24(11): 1603–1607, doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2013.06.024.
5. Barral M, Gardavaud F, Lassalle L, et al. Limiting radiation exposure during prostatic arteries embolization: influence of patient characteristics, anatomical conditions, and technical factors. Eur Radiol. 2021; 31(9): 6471–6479, doi: 10.1007/s00330-021-07844-7.
6. Bilhim T, Pisco JM, Furtado A, et al. Prostatic arterial supply: demonstration by multirow detector Angio CT and Catheter Angiography. Eur Radiol. 2011; 21(5): 1119–1126, doi: 10.1007/s00330-010-2015-0.
7. Bilhim T, Tinto HR, Fernandes L, et al. Radiological Anatomy of Prostatic Arteries. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol. 2012; 15(4): 276–285, doi: 10.1053/j.tvir.2012.09.006.
8. Boeken T, Gautier A, Moussa N, et al. Impact of anatomy type of prostatic artery on the number of catheters needed for prostatic artery embolization. Diagn Interv Imaging. 2021; 102(3): 147–152, doi: 10.1016/j.diii.2020.10.003.
9. Çelebioğlu EC, Akkaşoğlu S, Çalişkan S, et al. Evaluation of the prostatic artery origin using computed tomography angiography. Society of Anatomy and Clinical Anatomy. 2018; 12(3): 140–144.
10. Clegg EJ. The arterial supply of the human prostate and seminal vesicles. J Anat. 1955; 89(2): 209–16.

11. D’Antoni A V., Tubbs RS, Patti AC, et al. The Critical Appraisal Tool for Anatomical Meta-analysis: A framework for critically appraising anatomical meta-analyses. Clinical Anatomy. 2022; 35(3): 323–331, doi: 10.1002/ca.23833.
12. Eldem FG, Atak F, Öcal O, et al. Angiographic prostatic arterial anatomy in a Turkish population with benign prostatic hyperplasia. Turk J Med Sci. 2021; 51(2): 518–522, doi: 10.3906/sag-2004-289.
13. Enderlein GF, Lehmann T, von Rundstedt F-C, et al. Prostatic Artery Embolization—Anatomic Predictors of Technical Outcomes. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology. 2020; 31(3): 378–387, doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2019.09.005.
14. Fenter TC, Naslund MJ, Shah MB, et al. The cost of treating the 10 most prevalent diseases in men 50 years of age or older. Am J Manag Care. 2006; 12(4 Suppl): S90-8.
15. Fu JX, Wang M, Duan F, et al. Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography in the identification of prostatic arterial anatomy in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia: prospective comparison with digital subtraction angiography. Clin Radiol. 2023; 78(3): e169–e176, doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2022.09.121.
16. Garcia-Monaco R, Garategui L, Kizilevsky N, et al. Human Cadaveric Specimen Study of the Prostatic Arterial Anatomy: Implications for Arterial Embolization. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology. 2014; 25(2): 315–322, doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2013.10.026.
17. Garcia-Monaco RD, Garategui LG, Onorati M V., et al. Cadaveric Specimen Study of Prostate Microvasculature: Implications for Arterial Embolization. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology. 2019; 30(9): 1471-1479.e3, doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2019.03.021.
18. Groh AMR, Moore CW, El-Warrak A, et al. Electroejaculation functions primarily by direct activation of pelvic musculature: Perspectives from a porcine model. Translational Research in Anatomy. 2018; 10: 10–17, doi: 10.1016/j.tria.2018.01.001.
19. Hashem E, Elsobky S, Khalifa M. Prostate Artery Embolization for Benign Prostate Hyperplasia Review: Patient Selection, Outcomes, and Technique. Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI. 2020; 41(4): 357–365, doi: 10.1053/j.sult.2020.04.001.
20. Henry BM, Tomaszewski KA, Walocha JA. Methods of Evidence-Based Anatomy: a guide to conducting systematic reviews and meta-analysis of anatomical studies. Annals of Anatomy - Anatomischer Anzeiger. 2016; 205: 16–21, doi: 10.1016/j.aanat.2015.12.002.
21. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. (eds). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Wiley 2019, doi: 10.1002/9781119536604.

22. Iwanaga J, Singh V, Ohtsuka A, et al. Acknowledging the use of human cadaveric tissues in research papers: Recommendations from anatomical journal editors. Clinical Anatomy. 2021; 34(1): 2–4, doi: 10.1002/ca.23671.
23. Khan R, Naidoo N, Lazarus L. Unique vascular patterns of the internal iliac artery and its clinical import in pelvic surgery. Translational Research in Anatomy. 2021; 25: 100151, doi: 10.1016/j.tria.2021.100151.
24. Knight GM, Talwar A, Salem R, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Comparing Prostatic Artery Embolization to Gold-Standard Transurethral Resection of the Prostate for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2021; 44(2): 183–193, doi: 10.1007/s00270-020-02657-5.
25. Kurbatov D, Russo GI, Lepetukhin A, et al. Prostatic Artery Embolization for Prostate Volume Greater Than 80 cm3: Results From a Single-center Prospective Study. Urology. 2014; 84(2): 400–404, doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2014.04.028.
26. Maclean D, Maher B, Harris M, et al. Planning Prostate Artery Embolisation: Is it Essential to Perform a Pre-procedural CTA? Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2018; 41(4): 628–632, doi: 10.1007/s00270-017-1842-7.
27. Moore KL, Dalley AF, Agur A. Clinically oriented anatomy (8th ed.). Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. 2017.
28. Moulin B, Di Primio M, Vignaux O, et al. Prostate Artery Embolization: Challenges, Tips, Tricks, and Perspectives. J Pers Med. 2022; 13(1): 87, doi: 10.3390/jpm13010087.
29. Moya C, Cuesta J, Friera A, et al. Cadaveric and radiologic study of the anatomical variations of the prostatic arteries: A review of the literature and a new classification proposal with application to prostatectomy. Clinical Anatomy. 2017; 30(1): 71–80, doi: 10.1002/ca.22746.
30. Nehra A, Kumar R, Ramakumar S, et al. Pharmacoangiographic Evidence of the Presence and Anatomical Dominance of Accessory Pudendal Artery(s). Journal of Urology. 2008; 179(6): 2317–2320, doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2008.01.117.
31. Nguyen Xuan H, Do Huy H, Nguyen Thi Bich N, et al. Anatomical Characteristics and Variants of Prostatic Artery in Patients of Benign Hyperplasia Prostate by Digital Subtraction Angiography. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2019; 7(24): 4204–4208, doi: 10.3889/oamjms.2019.361.
32. Ogawa Y, Hinata N, Murakami G, et al. Aspects of lymphatic vessel configuration of the human male urinary bladder and adjacent organs: A histological basis for understanding the spread of cancer metastases. Translational Research in Anatomy. 2018; 11: 10–17, doi: 10.1016/j.tria.2018.05.001.

33. Ongidi IH, Mombo Amuti T, Yusuf Abdulsalaam F, et al. Variability in morphology and branching of the internal iliac artery: Implications for pelvic surgery. Translational Research in Anatomy. 2021; 22: 100097, doi: 10.1016/j.tria.2020.100097.
34. Ostrowski P, Bonczar M, Michalczak M, et al. The anatomy of the uterine artery: A meta-analysis with implications for gynecological procedures. Clinical Anatomy. 2023; 36(3): 457–464, doi: 10.1002/ca.23983.
35. Schnapauff D, Maxeiner A, Wieners G, et al. Semi-automatic prostatic artery detection using cone-beam CT during prostatic arterial embolization. Acta radiol. 2020; 61(8): 1116–1124, doi: 10.1177/0284185119891689.
36. Secin FP, Karanikolas N, Touijer AK, et al. Anatomy of Accessory Pudendal Arteries In Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Journal of Urology. 2005; 174(2): 523–526, doi: 10.1097/01.ju.0000165339.59532.66.
37. Secin FP, Touijer K, Mulhall J, et al. Anatomy and Preservation of Accessory Pudendal Arteries in Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy. Eur Urol. 2007; 51(5): 1229–1235, doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2006.08.030.
38. Wang MQ, Duan F, Yuan K, et al. Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: Cone-Beam CT in Conjunction with DSA for Identifying Prostatic Arterial Anatomy. Radiology. 2017; 282(1): 271–280, doi: 10.1148/radiol.2016152415.
39. Xu Z-W, Zhou C-G, Tian W, et al. Angiographic Findings Relevant to Prostatic Artery Embolization in Patients with Prostate Cancer. Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology. 2020; 31(6): 899-902.e1, doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2020.02.012.
40. Zarzecki MP, Ostrowski P, Wałęga P, et al. The middle anorectal artery: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 880 patients/1905 pelvic sides. Clinical Anatomy. 2022; 35(7): 934–945, doi: 10.1002/ca.23898.
41. Zelefsky MJ, Eid JF. Elucidating the Etiology of Erectile Dysfunction After Definitive Therapy for Prostatic Cancer. International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biology*Physics. 1998; 40(1): 129–133, doi: 10.1016/S0360-3016(97)00554-3.
42. Zhang G, Wang M, Duan F, et al. Radiological Findings of Prostatic Arterial Anatomy for Prostatic Arterial Embolization: Preliminary Study in 55 Chinese Patients with Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. PLoS One. 2015; 10(7): e0132678, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132678.
43. Zhang JL, Wang MQ, Shen YG, et al. Effectiveness of Contrast-enhanced MR Angiography for Visualization of the Prostatic Artery prior to Prostatic Arterial Embolization. Radiology. 2019; 291(2): 370–378, doi: 10.1148/radiol.2019181524.

Table 1. Characteristics of the studies submitted to this meta-analysis

First author	Year of publication	Continent	Country	Method
Fu J. X.	2023	Asia	China	DSA
Barral M.	2021	Europe	France	CB-CT
Boeken T.	2020	Europe	France	MRI
Xu Z-W.	2020	Asia	China	CT + MRI + Angiogram
Eldem F. G.	2020	Asia	Turkey	PAE
Enderlein G. F.	2019	Europe	Germany	CB-CT
Schnapauff D.	2019	Europe	Germany	DSA + CB-CT
Anract J.	2019	Europe	France	Arteriographies
Garcia-Monaco	2019	South America	Argentina	Cadavers
Zhang J. L.	2019	Asia	China	DSA + MRA
Xuan H. N.	2019	Asia	Vietnam	DSA
Amouyal G.	2018	Europe	France	Angiogram
Celebioglu E. C.	2018	Asia	Turkey	CTA
Maclean D.	2017	Europe	UK	CT Angiogram
Moya C.	2016	Europe	Spain	Cadavers + DSA
Wang M. Q.	2016	Asia	China	DSA + CB-CT
Zhang G.	2015	Asia	China	CB-CT
De Assis A. M.	2015	South America	Brazil	Angiogram + PAE
Garcia-Monaco	2014	South America	Argentina	Cadavers
Bagla S.	2013	North America	USA	CB-CT
Bilhim T.	2012	Europe	Portugal	CTA + DSA
Bilhim T.	2010	Europe	Portugal	Angio-CT
Nehra A.	2008	North America	USA	Pharmacoangiograms
Secin F.	2007	North America	USA	Laparoscopic Prostectomy
Secin F.	2005	North America	USA	Laparoscopic Prostectomy
Clegg E. J.	1955	Europe	UK	Cadavers

DSA – digital subtraction angiography; CB-CT – cone beam computed tomography; MRI – magnetic resonance imaging; CT – computed tomography; PAE – prostatic artery embolization; MRA – magnetic resonance angiography

Table 2. Statistical results of this meta-analysis regarding the origin of the prostatic artery (PA)

Origin of the PA	N	Pooled Prevalence	LCI	HCI	Q	I^2
Internal Pudendal Artery	4115	28.81%	26.23%	31.46%	73.42	64.59
Obturator Artery	4115	14.35%	11.37%	17.61%	184.97	85.94
Inferior Vesical Artery	4115	12.28%	5.73%	20.72%	1301.91	98.00
Anterior Division of Internal Iliac Artery	4115	10.76%	6.24%	16.29%	650.76	96.00
Gluteal-Pudendal Trunk	4115	5.01%	1.54%	10.09%	918.40	97.17
Other	4115	3.34%	1.67%	5.54%	269.48	90.35
Superior Vesical Artery	4115	2.04%	0.35%	4.83%	564.00	95.39
Middle Anorectal Artery	4115	0.99%	0.35%	1.92%	135.35	80.79
Inferior Gluteal Artery	4115	0.75%	0.31%	1.37%	77.60	66.50
Superior Gluteal Artery	4115	0.20%	0.09%	0.37%	18.58	0.00

LCI – lower confidence interval. HCI – higher confidence interval. Q – Cochran's Q

Table 3. Statistical results of this meta-analysis regarding mean maximal diameter of the prostatic artery (PA)

Category	Mean [mm]	Standard Error	Variance	Lower Limit	Upper Limit	Z-Value	p-Value
Mean diameter of the	1.52	0.07	0.01	1.38	1.66	21.52	0.00

PA							

Table 4. Statistical results of this meta-analysis regarding the number of prostatic arteries (PA) on patients' one side

Number of PAs	**N**	**Pooled Prevalence**	**LCI**	**HCI**	**Q**	**I^2**
Single PA	3145	76.43%	60.96%	89.12%	1380.45	98.77
Double PA	3145	23.18%	10.60%	38.59%	1378.42	98.77
Triple PA	3145	0.13%	0.03%	0.29%	13.44	0.00

LCI – lower confidence interval. HCI – higher confidence interval. Q – Cochran's Q

Table 5. Statistical results of this meta-analysis regarding the origin of the accessory (second or third) prostatic artery (PA)

Origin of the accessory PA	**N**	**Pooled Prevalence**	**LCI**	**HCI**	**Q**	**I^2**
Obturator Artery	50	43.12%	22.82%	64.59%	2.27	55.91
Internal Pudendal Artery	50	36.26%	23.48%	50.08%	0.00	0.00
Internal Iliac Artery	50	18.79%	0.00%	46.46%	4.86	79.44
Inferior Gluteal Artery	50	2.96%	0.00%	8.53%	0.74	0.00

LCI – lower confidence interval. HCI – higher confidence interval. Q – Cochran's Q

Table 6. Statistical results of this meta-analysis regarding the anastomoses of the prostatic artery (PA)

Category	**N**	**Pooled Prevalence**	**LCI**	**HCI**	**Q**	**I^2**
Prevalence of any anastomosis of the PA	1300	45.20%	31.39%	59.38%	82.76	95.17
Type of anastomosis						
Anastomosis of PA with Internal Pudendal Artery	375	47.26%	9.66%	86.46%	250.64	97.61
Anastomosis of	375	13.81%	0.00%	38.23%	152.33	96.06

PA with Intra-Prostatic or Contra-Lateral PA						
Anastomosis of PA with Vesicular Arteries	375	8.99%	0.74%	22.93%	56.03	89.29
Anastomosis of PA with Seminal Vesicular Arteries	375	8.70%	0.00%	28.53%	137.51	95.64
Anastomosis of PA with Lateral Accessory Pudendal Arteries	375	3.54%	0.00%	14.15%	81.79	92.66
Anastomosis of PA with Lateral Sacral Artery	375	0.73%	0.00%	2.23%	9.48	36.74
Area vascularized by an anastomosis						
Rectum	217	65.83%	22.73%	100.00%	136.01	96.32
Penile	217	18.47%	0.00%	55.00%	121.66	95.89
Bladder	217	10.57%	0.00%	28.91%	50.58	90.11

LCI – lower confidence interval. HCI – higher confidence interval. Q – Cochran’s Q

Table 7. Statistical results of this meta-analysis regarding the presence of the Corkscrew pattern of the prostatic artery (PA)

Category	**N**	**Pooled Prevalence**	**LCI**	**HCI**	**Q**	**I^2**
Presence of the Corkscrew Pattern	904	20.12%	5.49%	39.93%	29.43	96.60

LCI – lower confidence interval. HCI – higher confidence interval. Q – Cochran’s Q

Figure 1. Prostatic artery (PA) and its close anatomical area. PG – prostate gland. UB – urine bladder. VD – vas deferens. SV – seminal vesicle. IPA – internal pudendal artery. IIA; AT – internal iliac artery ; anterior trunk.

Figure 2. Prostatic artery (PA) and its close anatomical area. SV – seminal vesicle. UB – urine bladder. *the prostatic artery reaches to the superolateral side of the prostate gland.

Figure 3. Flow diagram presenting process of collecting data included in this meta-analysis.


pg
ub
vd
iia;at
sv
pa
←ipa

ub
sv
*
pa



Figure 3 | Flow diagram presenting process of collecting data included in this meta-analysis.