



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Jean-Marc Taymans,
Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche
Médicale (INSERM), France

*CORRESPONDENCE

Kif Liakath-Ali

✉ kif@stanford.edu

Matthias Soller

✉ m.soller@bham.ac.uk

RECEIVED 09 November 2023

ACCEPTED 14 November 2023

PUBLISHED 23 November 2023

CITATION

Liakath-Ali K and Soller M (2023) Editorial:
Alternative splicing in brain function.
Front. Mol. Neurosci. 16:1335549.
doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2023.1335549

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liakath-Ali and Soller. This is an
open-access article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted which
does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Alternative splicing in brain function

Kif Liakath-Ali^{1,2*} and Matthias Soller^{3,4*}

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, United States,

²School of Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, ³School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom, ⁴Birmingham Centre for Genome Biology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

KEYWORDS

RNA, brain, neuron, alternative splicing (AS), post-transcriptional regulation

Editorial on the Research Topic

Alternative splicing in brain function

Alternative splicing is a major mechanism to increase the number of proteins that can be made from the limited number of genes present in the human genome. During transcription of genes into precursor messenger RNA (pre-mRNA), non-coding introns are spliced out to make a messenger RNA (mRNA) that encodes the functional protein. During the splicing process some exons can be included or excluded and this process is termed alternative splicing. This is a highly regulated process that produces diverse mature mRNA transcripts from a single gene. Alternative splicing is present in almost every gene and is widespread in eukaryotic evolution. Moreover, the majority of genes expressed in the mammalian central nervous system undergo extensive alternative splicing, with some genes capable of contributing to over a thousand isoforms. This results in a variety of proteoforms exhibiting differences in function, binding preferences, catalytic activity, and localization. Disruptions in alternative splicing have been associated with numerous neurological disorders. A comprehensive understanding of its role in healthy and pathological nervous system function is still emerging. It is timely to gather current knowledge, advancement and challenges in this field. With this objective, we brought together several articles that discuss involvement of splicing and associated genetic perturbations in the central nervous system across the evolutionary scale—from fly to human.

Many RNA binding proteins (RBPs) play a crucial role in splicing regulation. The review by [Feng et al.](#) focuses on the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 (hnRNPA1), a key RBP associated with neurodegeneration and cancer. The authors discuss hnRNPA1's role in gene transcription, mRNA translation, and stability, highlighting its importance and potential as a therapeutic target. Another study by [Titus et al.](#) reveals the functional role of the RBP Caper in *Drosophila*, emphasizing its significance in sensory and motor neurons development and its regulatory role in *Drosophila* gravitaxis behavior.

Several studies identify splicing mutations associated with various neurological conditions. [Lu Y. Q. et al.](#) reveal the causative role of TANK-binding kinase (TBK1) in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) through mutational analysis, emphasizing the importance of intronic sequencing and pre-mRNA splicing analysis in understanding the complex mutational spectrum and pathogenesis of ALS. [Reis et al.](#) uncover a severe early onset dementia syndrome caused by an intronic splice donor variant in expanding our understanding of early onset dementia syndromes with a digenic background. [Chen et al.](#) identify a *de novo* splicing variant of the FOXP1 (Forkhead Box P1) gene in a patient with FOXP1 syndrome (an autosomal dominant neurodevelopmental disorder), providing

insights into the genetic basis of global developmental delay, intellectual disability, and language delay. [Fan et al.](#) identify a disease-causing and aberrant splicing-inducing variant of TSC complex subunit 2 gene (TSC2) in a Han-Chinese family with Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC), expanding the phenotypic and genetic spectrum of TSC and potentially contributing to its diagnosis and treatment. [Wang et al.](#) report a novel heterozygous STXBP1 (Syntaxin Binding Protein 1) splice variant with abnormal intron retention in a patient with Ohtahara syndrome (a rare form of epilepsy), highlighting the significance of splicing defect analysis in understanding the pathophysiology of neurodevelopmental disorders. [Levchenko et al.](#) reveal a deep intronic variant in the SNX14 (Sorting Nexin 14) gene in patients with spinocerebellar ataxia type 20, providing insights into the molecular pathogenic mechanism underlying the formation of a novel donor splicing site and potential therapeutic implications.

Tauopathies, including frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease (AD), are neurodegenerative diseases caused by tau brain aggregates. Tau protein, a microtubule-associated protein, can be disrupted in disease states due to the balance of tau splice isoforms. [Xia et al.](#) assess multiple mutations in three repeat (3R) tau for microtubule binding properties and prion-like aggregation propensity, contributing to the understanding of diverse presentations of tauopathies. Using bioinformatics pipelines, [Farhadieh and Ghaedi](#) reveal alternative splicing events (ASEs) in postmortem brain tissue with a cell-specific perspective, providing insights into AD pathology at the cell level. [Lu Y. et al.](#) identify several significant AS events in an AD mouse model, offering novel pathological mechanisms mediated by splice changes. [Alalwany et al.](#) investigate the neuroprotective effects of VEGF splice isoforms against AD-related neurotoxicity, suggesting potential therapeutic avenues.

Aging is a major risk factor for neurological disorders including dementia. [Winsky-Sommerer et al.](#) analyze the transcriptome and translome in the female mouse hippocampus at different ages, revealing age-associated splicing changes and their potential role in age-related deficits in hippocampal-dependent behavior. The study provides a comprehensive resource for understanding age-associated splicing changes with implications for neurological diseases.

Differential splicing of exons in neurons can alter protein properties, including ion channels, neurotransmitter receptors, and synaptic cell adhesion molecules. [Baxter et al.](#) explore the correlation between high K⁺ exposure, delayed-onset NMDA

receptor-dependent neuronal death, and exon inclusion levels in neurons and astrocytes *in vitro*. The study highlights the neurotoxic nature of certain stimulation paradigms and emphasizes the importance of NMDA receptor blockade.

The Research Topic brings together up-to-date research focused on the biology of splicing and its regulators and associated mutations in neurological diseases. It provides new insights into the pathophysiological role of splicing modulations and offers possible strategies for therapeutic targets.

Author contributions

KL-A: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MS: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We thank all the authors for submitting their works and reviewers for reviewing the manuscripts.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.