



Article Is the Neuropeptide PEN a Ligand of GPR83?

Yvonne Giesecke¹, Vahid Asimi¹, Valentina Stulberg², Gunnar Kleinau³, Patrick Scheerer³, Beate Koksch² and Carsten Grötzinger^{1,*}

- ¹ Tumor Targeting Group, Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 13353 Berlin, Germany; asimi@molgen.mpg.de (V.A.)
- ² Institute of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany; valentina.stulberg@fu-berlin.de (V.S.); beate.koksch@fu-berlin.de (B.K.)
- ³ Group Structural Biology of Cellular Signaling, Institute of Medical Physics and Biophysics, Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany; gunnar.kleinau@charite.de (G.K.); patrick.scheerer@charite.de (P.S.)
- * Correspondence: carsten.groetzinger@charite.de

Abstract: G protein-coupled receptor 83 (GPR83) is a class A G protein-coupled receptor with predominant expression in the cerebellum and proposed function in the regulation of food intake and in anxiety-like behavior. The neuropeptide PEN has been suggested as a specific GPR83 ligand. However, conflicting reports exist about whether PEN is indeed able to bind and activate GPR83. This study was initiated to evaluate PEN as a potential ligand of GPR83. Employing several second messenger and other GPCR activation assays as well as a radioligand binding assay, and using multiple GPR83 plasmids and PEN peptides from different sources, no experimental evidence was found to support a role of PEN as a GPR83 ligand.

Keywords: GPR83; PEN; proSAAS; orphan receptor; GPCR; peptide



Citation: Giesecke, Y.; Asimi, V.; Stulberg, V.; Kleinau, G.; Scheerer, P.; Koksch, B.; Grötzinger, C. Is the Neuropeptide PEN a Ligand of GPR83? *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2023, 24, 15117. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms242015117

Academic Editors: Sandra Beer-Hammer, Alexander Baykov and Ines Liebscher

Received: 31 August 2023 Revised: 3 October 2023 Accepted: 5 October 2023 Published: 12 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

G protein-coupled receptor 83 (GPR83), also termed glucocorticoid-induced receptor (GIR), GPR72, KIAA1540, or JP05, is a class A G protein-coupled receptor with predominant expression in the cerebellum and proposed function in the regulation of food intake and in anxiety-like behavior [1–3]. A metabolic role was supported by studies demonstrating significant expression in brain areas associated with food intake [2,4,5]. A potential role of GPR83 in regulatory T cell development and function has not been confirmed [6–8]. Recently, GPR83-expressing projection neurons were shown to be involved in conveying thermal, tactile, and noxious cutaneous signals from the spinal cord to the brain [9]. The identity of a ligand for GPR83 remained unknown until recently. In 2016, it was proposed that the neuropeptide PEN, which is released from the proprotein PCSK1N or proSAAS through posttranslational processing, serves as a distinct ligand for GPR83 [10]. A previous report has implicated PEN in the regulation of feeding behavior [11]. Independent reports from two other labs also supported activation of GPR83 by PEN [12,13]. In addition, a previously unreported 9 kDa protein, termed family with sequence similarity 237 member A (FAM237A), was revealed to activate GPR83 in a peptide hormone library screening study [14]. Finally, a procholecystokinin (proCCK)-derived peptide, proCCK56-63, with sequence similarity to PEN, was recently introduced as a ligand and agonist of GPR83 [15].

A 2023 paper, however, has comparatively evaluated the capacity of all three ligands proposed so far (PEN, proCCK56-63, and FAM237A) to bind and activate GPR83. Using multiple assay systems, only FAM237A was confirmed as a GPR83 ligand and agonist, but not PEN or proCCK56-63 [16]. The present study was initiated to evaluate PEN as a ligand of GPR83. Employing several second messenger and other GPCR activation assays as well as a radioligand binding assay, and using multiple GPR83 plasmids and PEN peptides from different sources, no experimental evidence was found to support a role of PEN as a GPR83 ligand.

2. Results

2.1. Overexpression of GPR83 and the Deletion Variant GPR83del in Human Cell Lines

To test for cell-based GPR83 activation and binding, cell models were created by transfecting cells and selecting overexpressing clones of the human embryonic kidney cell line HEK293 and the human osteosarcoma cell line U2OS. In addition to wild-type GPR83, a deletion variant GPR83del was transfected, which almost completely lacks the aminoterminal ectodomain, found to show increased signaling [17]. To monitor expression and localization, both proteins were also expressed with an aminoterminal hemagglutinin peptide tag (HA tag). According to the mRNA quantification using reverse-transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), transfection increased GPR83 expression in HEK293 cells by approximately 100-fold, while the increase in U2OS cells was approximately 1000-fold (Figure 1A). Overexpression was further confirmed with detection of HA-tagged GPR83 protein in the appropriate cell clones using immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 1B).



Figure 1. Evidence of overexpression of untagged and HA-tagged GPR83 and the deletion variant GPR83del in two human cells lines. (**A**) Expression as determined with RT-qPCR of GPR83 mRNA in wild-type (wt) HEK293 (293 wt) and U2OS (U2OS wt) cells is shown by gray bars, and expression of cell clones transfected with plasmids encoding GPR83, the deletion variant GPR83del, and their HA-tagged versions is shown as blue bars (designation and clone number stated below). (**B**) Detection of overexpressed HA-tagged GPR83 and GPR83del proteins in transfected HEK293 and U2OS cell clones using immunofluorescence microscopy. Scale bars represent 20 µm. GPR83 appears to be localized predominantly at the plasma membrane in U2OS cells. In HEK293 cells, the GPR83 immunofluorescence is localized at the plasma membrane and an intracellular, most likely ER/Golgi-related, compartment.

2.2. Intracellular Calcium Mobilization

As activation of many GPCRs is coupled to calcium mobilization from intracellular stores, GPR83 was probed for ligand-dependent calcium release. Wild-type HEK293 cells and HEK293 clones overexpressing either full-length GPR83 or the N-terminal deletion variant GPR83del were exposed to buffer or one of two independent synthesis batches (PEN #1 and PEN #2) of the neuropeptide PEN, while calcium indicator fluorescence was recorded. While the positive control substances (co mix (carbachol, ATP, and dimaprit) and ionomycin) yielded a clear calcium signal above buffer background, PEN did not (Figure 2A). Calcium release was also tested in a second cell line, osteosarcoma U2OS cells, using various stably expressing clones. When added 10 s after the start of the recording, positive controls led to a moderate or strong and sustained enhancement of calcium indicator fluorescence, while PEN did not (Figure 2B). The G protein $G_{\alpha 16}$ has been used to couple GPCRs to the calcium pathway, which do not spontaneously signal via the G_q pathway. Therefore, HEK293 cells transfected with $G_{\alpha 16}$ were employed to investigate a potential GPR83-dependent calcium mobilization with PEN. The positive controls ATP and carbachol strongly enhanced the calcium signal, whereas PEN did not (Figure 2C). To demonstrate that $G_{\alpha 16}$ transfection was functional, two other GPCRs and their cognate ligands were tested and yielded a robust calcium response (Figure 2C). A number of GPCRs show ligand-independent basal calcium activity. However, in an experiment comparing basal calcium levels in wild-type HEK293 cells and in HEK293 clones overexpressing four different variants of GPR83, they were not found to be different (Figure 2D).



Figure 2. Investigation of ligand-dependent and ligand-independent intracellular calcium mobilization. (**A**) Wild-type HEK293 cells and HEK293 cell clones overexpressing either GPR83 or the deletion variant GPR83del were incubated with either buffer or the specified ligand (10 μ M PEN or co mix = 100 μ M carbachol, 100 μ M ATP, 40 μ M dimaprit, or 50 μ M ionomycin). (**B**) Calcium indicator fluorescence intensity traces over 60 s of various U2OS cell clones overexpressing untagged or HA-tagged GPR83 or the deletion variant GPR83del before and after the addition of buffer or ligand (co mix as in (**A**) or 50 μ M ionomycin or 10 μ M PEN). (**C**) HEK293 cells overexpressing either

 $G_{\alpha 16}$, GPR83, and $G_{\alpha 16}$, the deletion variant GPR83del and G $\alpha 16$, CMKLR1 and $G_{\alpha 16}$, or UTS2R and $G_{\alpha 16}$ were incubated with either buffer or the specified ligand (10 μ M PEN or 100 μ M carbachol or 100 μ M ATP). (**D**) Basal calcium indicator fluorescence of wild-type HEK293 cells and HEK293 cell clones overexpressing one of the specified GPR83 proteins: \blacklozenge wild-type HEK293 as control, \bullet GPR83, \blacktriangle GPR83del, \checkmark GPR83delHA.

2.3. Ligand-Dependent cAMP Formation

Production of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) is a hallmark of GPCRs signaling via the Gs-induced pathway. To investigate the potential of PEN to stimulate cAMP production mediated by GPR83, levels of the second messenger were determined after incubation with PEN of various GPR83-overexpressing clones as well as wild-type HEK293 and U2OS cells. While the adenylyl cyclase activator forskolin strongly increased cAMP levels (observed as a reduction in the fluorescent signal in the competition assay), PEN did not change it (Figure 3A). Similarly, a titration of ligand over a concentration range from 50 pM to 1 μ M in selected GPR83-expressing HEK293 and U2OS clones did not indicate PEN-dependent cAMP formation (Figure 3B,C).



Figure 3. Analysis of ligand-dependent, GPR83-mediated cAMP production. (**A**) Wild-type HEK293 and U2OS cells as well as various clones with confirmed overexpression of either GPR83 or the deletion variant GPR83del (both \pm HA tag) were incubated with either buffer, 1 μ M PEN, or 50 μ M forskolin. (**B**) Selected GPR83-overexpressing HEK293 clones were assayed for cAMP production using different concentrations of PEN. (**C**) Selected GPR83-overexpressing U2OS clones were assayed for cAMP production using different concentrations of PEN.

2.4. ERK1/2-Phosphorylation and β-Arrestin Activation

Apart from G protein-mediated second messenger signaling via Ca²⁺ and cAMP, GPCR stimulation may also lead to activation of β -arrestin and phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2 (ERK1/2). Immunoblot analysis was employed to investigate ligand-dependent phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in HEK293 cells overexpressing GPR83 or the deletion variant GPR83del as well as in wild-type HEK293 cells. PEN and the positive control substances, epithelial growth factor (EGF) and phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA), all substantially induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2, with EGF yielding the strongest response (Figure 4A,B). Remarkably, PEN induced an equally strong ERK1/2 phosphorylation in wild-type HEK293 cells as well as in HEK293 cells overexpressing GPR83 or GPR83 del, thus independent of GPR83 levels in the cells (Figure 4A,B).



Figure 4. Ligand-dependent phosphorylation of ERK1/2 and β -arrestin (TANGO) assay. (**A**) Immunoblot detection of phosphorylated (upper blot) and total (lower blot) ERK1/2 in lysates of HEK293 wild-type cells and in HEK293 cells overexpressing GPR83 or GPR83del. (**B**) Quantification (mean \pm SD of n = 2 independent experiments) of chemiluminescent readout of the immunoblots shown in (**A**). (**C**) TANGO assay for ligand-induced β -arrestin activity in HTLA cells overexpressing GPR83 (relative light units, RLU). (**D**) TANGO assay for ligand-induced β -arrestin activity in HTLA cells overexpressing bradykinin receptor BDKBR2.

The TANGO assay reports GPCR-related activation of β -arrestin using a luminescent readout [18]. When reporter HTLA cells expressing GPR83 were incubated with a ligand library containing PEN, no reactivity was detected (Figure 4C), while HTLA cells expressing bradykinin receptor BDKBR2 yielded strong luminescent responses upon incubation with either of the three bradykinin-related ligands contained in the library (Figure 4D).

2.5. Radioligand Binding

One of the most proven methods for quantitatively analyzing the interaction of biomolecules is the competitive radioligand binding assay. As natural PEN does not contain a tyrosine (Tyr) residue for radiolabeling with ¹²⁵I, it has to be artificially introduced. To safeguard against possible interference of the added Tyr with ligand–receptor binding, two peptides were created and are as follows: one with the Tyr on the aminoterminal end (Tyr-PEN), and the other with Tyr added on the carboxyterminus (PEN-Tyr). Radiolabeled ¹²⁵I-PEN-Tyr and ¹²⁵I-Tyr-PEN were then used on wild-type HEK293 cells and HEK293 cells expressing various forms of GPR83 (full-length or deletion variant, with or without HA tag) in competition with different concentrations of natural PEN or Tyr-PEN, ranging from 1 pM to 1 μ M. Yet, neither of these different assay conditions led to a PEN-GPR83 binding signal above background or a concentration-dependent displacement of the radioligand (Figure 5A–D). In contrast, radiolabeled angiotensin-II ([¹²⁵I]-AII) used as a positive control strongly bound to pancreatic neuroendocrine BON cells expressing the cognate receptor AGTR1 and was displaced by unlabeled AII in a concentration-dependent manner, yielding an IC₅₀ of 0.4 nM (Figure 5E).



Figure 5. HEK293 wild-type cells and HEK293 cells overexpressing various forms of GPR83 were used in radioligand binding assays with two different radioactively labeled PEN peptides: [¹²⁵I]-Tyr-PEN or [¹²⁵I]-PEN-Tyr. (**A**) Radioligand [¹²⁵I]-PEN-Tyr in competition with unlabeled wild-type PEN. (**B**) Radioligand [¹²⁵I]-Tyr-PEN in competition with unlabeled wild-type PEN. (**C**) Radioligand [¹²⁵I]-PEN-Tyr in competition with unlabeled wild-type PEN. (**D**) Radioligand [¹²⁵I]-Tyr-PEN in competition with Tyr-PEN. (**E**) Radioligand binding assay using [¹²⁵I]-AII that is in competition with unlabeled AII on BON cells expressing angiotensin receptor AGTR1.

3. Discussion

In the present study, evidence for a molecular interaction of the neuropeptide PEN with the cell surface receptor GPR83 could not be found. PEN was unable to specifically activate GPR83 as measured using intracellular calcium mobilization, cAMP production, β -arrestin activation, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation assays. While PEN was able to elicit a phosphorylation of tyrosine 202/204 of ERK1/2 as evidenced with Western blotting, the

reaction was not specific for GPR83, since wild-type human embryonic kidney HEK293 cells, expressing approximately 100-fold less receptor (Figure 1A), also demonstrated a similar phosphorylation after incubation with PEN (Figure 4A,B). It may, therefore, be speculated that HEK293 cells endogenously express another receptor for PEN, which is coupled to ERK1/2 activation. A number of relevant controls led to the expected strong increase in intracellular Ca²⁺, yet none of two synthesis batches of PEN from different sources was found to yield a signal above background. This result was confirmed in HEK293 cells as well as in human osteosarcoma cells (U2OS), both transfected with either full-length or truncated GPR83 lacking the N-terminus (Figure 2A–C). Basal signaling by GPR83 reported earlier, proven by an IP_3 increase [19], could also not be confirmed in the Ca²⁺ release assay (Figure 2D). While the initial report on PEN activating GPR83 showed evidence of PEN-induced intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization in CHO cells transfected with GPR83 and chimeric G α 16/i3 protein [10], this could not be confirmed in this study using either GPR83-transfected HEK293 (\pm G α 16) or U2OS cells. HEK293 and U2OS cells are of human origin, yet CHO cells, from Chinese hamster (Cricetulus griseus), may provide a different coupling option for signaling downstream of human GPR83.

Modification of GPR83-mediated cAMP signaling by PEN has been previously reported [10]. This study, employing multiple clones of GPR83-overexpressing HEK293 and U2OS cells, did not reveal any evidence for an increased (via G_s) or decreased (via G_i) production of cAMP after incubation with concentrations of up to 1 µM PEN. Forskolin, however, demonstrated the expected strong induction of intracellular cAMP (Figure 3). While the earlier study employed CHO cells from Cricetulus griseus and Neuro2A cells from *Mus musculus* overexpressing human GPR83 [10], this study used human HEK293 and U2OS cells overexpressing human GPR83 for testing cAMP activity. The different cellular background as well as differences in protocol and detection method may have contributed to contrasting results. As noted in [10], some batches of HEK293 cells had not responded to PEN. The differential evolution of HEK293 lab clones involving signaling components may be relevant here. Another potential mechanism for differences in reactivity would be a differential association of GPR83 with, e.g., MRAP proteins, which may produce a modulation of GPCR signaling [20,21]. Recently, a pilot screen of a novel peptide hormone library has identified the protein family with sequence similarity 237 member A (FAM237A) as an activator of GPR83-mediated β -arrestin recruitment in murine Att20 and STC-1 cells [14]. PEN was not reported to be tested in this paper. In the current study, the PRESTO-Tango β -arrestin activation assay [18] was employed to test for GRP83 activation by PEN. However, PEN failed to generate a signal in this assay in HTLA-GPR83 cells, while several bradykinin-related peptides yielded strong responses in HTLA-BDKBR2 cells (Figure 4C,D). A common GPCR signaling pathway would involve ERK1/2 activation downstream of β -arrestin recruitment [22,23]. However, the current study could not find indications for a specific GPR83-related activation by PEN of either β -arrestin or ERK1/2.

In addition to the lack of GPR83-mediated signaling, PEN also failed to show any interaction with GPR83 in the radioligand binding assay. Even with a redundant design of the assay on multiple levels (up to four different GPR83-overexpressing cell clones plus two different PEN variants with an added tyrosine on either the amino- or carboxyterminus that is radiolabeled and used in the assay), no interaction could be detected between PEN and GPR83 (Figure 5). While one end of the peptide may be crucial for binding the receptor, an absolute requirement of both peptide termini to be free of another added amino acid (necessary for radioiodination) seems unlikely. Recently, Li and coworkers have confirmed the interaction of FAM237A, but not of PEN, with GPR83 in multiple luminescence-based interaction and activation assays [16]. Their study, like this one, was unable to provide data for binding or activation of PEN with GPR83. In contrast to the paper by Gomes et al. [10], which used GPR83-transfected hamster CHO and mouse Neuro2A cells for binding assays, the present study employed human HEK293 and U2OS cells transfected with the human receptor. This difference in cellular background may not only produce a modulation of downstream signaling via heterodimerization of GPCRs [24–26]. It may also induce a difference in ligand

binding, as it may, e.g., lead to internalization of a receptor even in the absence of ligand and thus to a loss of binding sites at the cell surface [27]. Heterodimerization has been previously demonstrated for GPR83 and thus may contribute to the observed differences in binding outcome [17]. Furthermore, the use of whole cells versus membrane preparations and other binding protocol differences may have had its influence.

While covering important potential signaling pathways, this study is limited by not including other GPCR-typical signal chains and readouts indicative of a receptor activation, such as cAMP inhibition via Gi or GTP binding. While employing a significant technical and biological redundancy (three cell lines (U2OS, HEK293, and HTLA), five different plasmids, several overexpression clones, and four different PEN peptides), this study is also limited by the general lack of a positive signal for either PEN or GPR83 in any of the assays, with the activation of ERK1/2 phosphorylation being the only exception. However, the absence of Ca²⁺, cAMP, β -arrestin, and ERK1/2 activation, and in particular, the lack of binding interaction appears to be notable evidence against PEN engaging with GPR83 in a typical ligand–receptor interaction. Future studies will have to further contribute to bringing in line the conflicting data available so far.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Reagents and Cell Culture

If not indicated otherwise, chemicals were obtained from Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) or Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). GPR83 cDNA clones were subcloned into pcDNA 3.1 from plasmids as published before [17]. An independent GPR83 cDNA clone was obtained from the PRESTO-Tango GPCR kit, a gift from Bryan Roth (University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA) (kit #100000068; addgene, Waterton, MT, USA). BON cells [28] were a kind gift from Courtney Townsend (University of Texas, Galveston, TX, USA). HTLA cells [29] were kindly provided by Gilad Barnea (Brown University, Providence, RI, USA). All other cell lines were purchased from ATCC/LGC Standards (Wesel, Germany). The cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Biochrom AG, Berlin, Germany) or McCoy's 5A modified medium (Biochrom AG, Berlin, Germany), each supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Biochrom AG, Berlin, Germany). All tumor cell lines were cultured in an incubator (Labotect, Rosdorf, Germany), providing a humidified atmosphere at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. Cells were passaged every 3–5 days.

4.2. Peptide Synthesis

Human PEN (designated PEN #1; pro-SAAS 221–242: AADHDVGSELPPEGVL-GALLRV) was synthesized on a solid support by means of standard Fmoc methodology. Detailed protocol and characterization (Applied Materials, Peptide Synthesis and Purification, Peptide Characterization in Supplementary Materials) as well as the HPLC chromatogram (Figure S1) are provided in the supporting information. A second batch of human PEN (PEN #2) was purchased from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals (Burlingame, CA, USA; cat #004-52). The peptides for radiolabeling (Tyr-PEN and PEN-Tyr) were obtained from peptides & elephants (Hennigsdorf, Germany).

4.3. RNA Isolation and Reverse Transcription Quantitative Real-Time PCR (RT-qPCR)

The preparation of RNA for RT-qPCR experiments was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Isolated RNA was transcribed into cDNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany). For this, 3.2 μ g of RNA was transcribed into 80 μ L of cDNA (final concentration: 40 ng/ μ L) according to the manufacturer's protocol. In addition, as a negative control for each sample, a preparation without reverse transcriptase was analyzed with RT-qPCR. Quantitative real-time human-specific primer sets for CyberGreen PCR amplifications were obtained from TIB Molbiol (Berlin, Germany). Primer sequences were as follows:

hGPR83-Fwd: 5'-TCAAGAACCAGCGAATGCAC-3' hGPR83-Rev: 5'-TGCTGTTCACAAAGCGAACC-3' hGAPDH-Fwd: 5'-TGCACCACCAACTGCTTAGC-3' hGAPDH-Rev: 5'-GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGAG-3' hUBC-Fwd: 5'-ATTTGGGTCGCAGTTCTTG-3' hUBC-Rev: 5'-TGCCTTGACATTCTCGATGGT-3'

For CyberGreen-based PCR, Sso Fast EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad, Düsseldorf, Germany) was used. PCR was performed using the CFX96 real-time system (Bio-Rad). For this purpose, 30 ng of cDNA were added. The analysis was performed using qBase PLUS (Biogazelle, Zwijnaarde, Belgium) according to the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct-method. As multiple reference genes were included in the normalization, the geometric mean of the relative housekeeping expression was first determined, and then this value was used to normalize the target gene expression. For plotting, data were normalized to a cq value of 34.

4.4. Immunofluorescence

Cells were seeded on glass coverslips and fixed in a 1:1 methanol–acetone mixture for 2 min at room temperature, followed by blocking with 5% goat serum in PBS for 30 min. Cells were then washed in PBS and placed in a humid chamber to be stained with the primary antibody anti-HA tag at a concentration of 8 μ g/mL (anti-rabbit, #H6908, Sigma-Aldrich, Deisenhofen, Germany) in PBS, with 0.1% BSA, for 1 h. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with a Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (#115165146, Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) at a concentration of 1.5 μ g/mL in PBS, with 0.1% BSA, for 30 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS and staining with DAPI (200 nM in PBS, 5 min, followed by a PBS wash), coverslips were briefly dipped into 96% ethanol, air-dried, and mounted on glass slides with Immu-Mount (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Mounted cells were imaged using an inverted microscope (CellObserver Z1, Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a 63× NeoFluar oil immersion objective.

4.5. Calcium Mobilization Assay

Cells were seeded at 50,000 cells/well in a poly-D-lysine coated 96-well black well/clear bottom plate (BD Falcon, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and cultured overnight. After 16–24 h, cells were loaded with Fluo4-AM (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) for 30 min. Cells were then washed two times with assay buffer C1. After the final wash, a 100 μ L residual volume remained on the cells. Peptides and other ligands were dissolved in 10% DMSO to a concentration of 1 mM and were diluted in C1 solution with 0.1% BSA. They were aliquoted as 2× solutions in 96-well plates and were transferred simultaneously by the robotic system within the imager from the ligand plate to the cell plate. Fluorescence was recorded with the fluorescence imaging plate reader CellLux (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) simultaneously in all wells at an excitation wavelength of 488 nm and emission wavelength of 510 nm in 1.5 s intervals over a period of 4 min. Fluorescence data were generated in duplicate, and experiments were repeated at least two times.

4.6. cAMP Assay

To measure intracellular cAMP production, the LANCE Ultra cAMP kit (Perkin Elmer) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. The day before the experiment, cells were seeded onto a 96-well plate with approximately 80% confluence. After 16–24 h, the medium was removed, and 100 μ L/well of serum-free medium was added, followed by a 3 h incubation. Thereafter, medium was removed, and 40 μ L of ligand dissolved in cAMP stimulation buffer was pipetted onto cells, then incubated for 10 min. The ligands used were pipetted in duplicate. For concentration–response curves, serial dilutions were carried out from the stock solutions of 1 mM to create a concentration range from 1 μ M to 30 pM. As controls, 4 wells were treated with buffer only (negative control) and 4 wells with 50 μ M forskolin (positive control). After a 10 min incubation, 10 μ L of a 0.5% Triton-X 100 solution

in PBS was added to each well. To lyse cells, the plate was shaken on a Vibramax 100 Shaker (Heidolph, Kelheim, Germany) (750 rpm) at room temperature for 10 min. A 10 μ L volume of the cell lysates were transferred into a white 384 well plate (ProxiPlate, PerkinElmer). A 5 μ L volume of the Europium-cAMP tracer (1 to 50 dilution of Eu-tracer stock in cAMP detection buffer) was added to each well. Next, 5 μ L of the ULight-anti-cAMP solution (1 to 150 dilution of ULight-tracer stock in cAMP detection buffer) was added to each well. After a 1 h incubation period at room temperature in the dark, the TR-FRET signal was measured using an EnVision 2103 Multilabel Reader (Perkin Elmer).

4.7. ERK1/2 Phosphorylation Assay

Effects of GPCR ligands on MAPK signaling were assessed with Western blot analysis of lysates from stimulated cells. The ERK1/2 activation assay was performed as described before [30]. Briefly, 50,000 cells per well were seeded onto adherent 6-well plates and cultured until cells were 80% confluent. After incubation with ligands (10 μ M PEN #1 and 100 ng/mL EGF or 200 nM PMA in 0.1% FCS/DMEM) for 15 min, cells were lysed using 200 μ L/well cell lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 1% SDS) and protease inhibitors (cOmplete, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) on ice for one hour. Immunolabeling was realized using the rabbit monoclonal primary antibody anti-phospho-ERK_{1/2} Thr²⁰²/Tyr²⁰⁴ (#4370, Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA, USA, 1:2000), or anti-total ERK_{1/2} (#05-1152; Millipore, Burlington, VT, USA; 1:2000), respectively. Blots were analyzed using densitometric quantification using Image Lab Software v4.1 (Bio-Rad).

4.8. TANGO Assay

For the determination of β -arrestin recruitment after GPCR activation, the PRESTO-Tango system was utilized [18]. On day one, HTLA cells (HEK293 cell line stably expressing a tTA-dependent luciferase reporter and a β -arrestin2-TEV fusion gene, maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 µg/mL puromycin, and 100 µg/mL hygromycin) were seeded into 150 mm cell culture plates at 1 × 10⁷ cells per well in 30 mL of medium. The next day, transfections were performed directly in the 150 mm plates using jetPEI (Polyplus, Illkirch, France). Plasmid DNA of interest was prepared for transfection following the jetPEI protocol and with a DNA/jetPEI ratio of 1:1. A GFP-encoding plasmid was added as a transfection control (GFP plasmid added as 10% of total DNA to the total NaCl used for plasmid DNA dilution). On day 3, transfected cells were transferred at 15,000 cells per well in 30 µL of medium into 384-well black well, clear bottom plates. On day 4, ligand library multiwall plates (10 µM) were thawed, and 23 µL was added to each well. On day 5, 50 µL per well of BrightGlo luminescence reagent (diluted 10-fold with HBSS buffer) was carefully added, and plates were incubated for 10 min before luminescence reading in the EnVision 2103 Multilabel Reader (Perkin Elmer).

4.9. Radioiodination

Two variants of human PENs were utilized for radioiodination in this study: one with a tyrosine added at the aminoterminal end (Tyr-PEN: YAADHDVGSELPPEGVLGALLRV-NH₂), and one with an additional tyrosine attached to the carboxyterminal end (PEN-Tyr: AADHDVGSELPPEGVLGALLRVY-NH₂). Both were labelled with ¹²⁵I using the chloramine-T method. Chloramine-T and sodium metabisulfite solutions were freshly prepared in water. For labelling, 10 μ L of peptide (stock 1 mM) with 15 μ L of sodium phosphate buffer (0.5 M, pH 7.6) was mixed with 37 MBq carrier-free Na¹²⁵I (NEZ033L010MC, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). A 4 μ L volume of chloramine T (1 mg/mL) was added to start the reaction, and after 30 s, 4 μ L sodium metabisulfite (2 mg/mL) was added to stop the iodination. The labeled radioactive peptide was separated from unlabeled peptide with HPLC purification (Agilent ZORBAX 300 Extend-C18 column) using a gradient from 20–50% acetonitrile (+0.1% TFA) against water (+0.1% TFA) for 20 min. To determine the retention time of the radioactive peptide, 1–2 μ L of the reaction mixture was analyzed before the purification run. The fraction containing the radiolabeled peptide peak was then

collected, diluted with binding buffer to prevent radioautolysis, aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C.

4.10. Radioligand Binding Assay

For competitive radioligand binding assay, approximately 50,000 cells/well were seeded in a 96-well plate incubated overnight at 37 °C. Next day, cells were incubated in binding buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, 0.5% BSA, cOmplete protease inhibitors) containing 100,000 counts per minute of ¹²⁵I-labelled peptide, with increasing concentrations of unlabeled peptide. After 2 h of incubation, cells were washed for 2–3 times with ice-cold washing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 125 mM NaCl, 0.05% BSA) and lysed with 1 N NaOH (80 μ L/well). The lysed cells were transferred to vials and measured in a gamma counter (Wallac 1470 Wizard, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Obtained cpm values were plotted with GraphPad Prism 7, and the data were fitted using nonlinear regression (one site—fit Ki, one site—fit logIC₅₀).

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms242015117/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.K., B.K. and C.G.; methodology, Y.G., V.A., V.S. and C.G.; formal analysis, Y.G., V.A., V.S. and C.G.; investigation, Y.G., V.A., V.S. and C.G.; resources, B.K. and P.S.; writing—original draft preparation, C.G.; writing—review and editing, V.A., V.S., G.K., P.S. and C.G.; supervision, B.K., P.S. and C.G.; funding acquisition, P.S. and C.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, grant number 03IPT614A to C.G., and G.K. and P.S. were supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (German Research Foundation) through SFB1423, Project-ID 421152132, subproject A01 (to P.S.), through Germany's Excellence Strategy—EXC 2008—390540038—UniSysCat (Research Unit E) and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 956314 [ALLODD].

Data Availability Statement: The quantitative data for this study have been permanently published in a public repository accessible via the following link: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8305579 (accessed on 30 August 2023).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Dubins, J.S.; Sanchez-Alavez, M.; Zhukov, V.; Sanchez-Gonzalez, A.; Moroncini, G.; Carvajal-Gonzalez, S.; Hadcock, J.R.; Bartfai, T.; Conti, B. Downregulation of GPR83 in the hypothalamic preoptic area reduces core body temperature and elevates circulating levels of adiponectin. *Metabolism* 2012, *61*, 1486–1493. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Muller, T.D.; Muller, A.; Yi, C.X.; Habegger, K.M.; Meyer, C.W.; Gaylinn, B.D.; Finan, B.; Heppner, K.; Trivedi, C.; Bielohuby, M.; et al. The orphan receptor Gpr83 regulates systemic energy metabolism via ghrelin-dependent and ghrelin-independent mechanisms. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, *4*, 1968. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fakira, A.K.; Lueptow, L.M.; Trimbake, N.A.; Devi, L.A. PEN Receptor GPR83 in Anxiety-like Behaviors: Differential Regulation in Global vs Amygdalar Knockdown. *Front. Neurosci.* 2021, 15, 675769. [CrossRef]
- Lueptow, L.M.; Devi, L.A.; Fakira, A.K. Targeting the Recently Deorphanized Receptor GPR83 for the Treatment of Immunological, Neuroendocrine and Neuropsychiatric Disorders. Prog. Mol. Biol. Transl. Sci. 2018, 159, 1–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mack, S.M.; Gomes, I.; Devi, L.A. Neuropeptide PEN and Its Receptor GPR83: Distribution, Signaling, and Regulation. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2019, 10, 1884–1891. [CrossRef]
- Hansen, W.; Loser, K.; Westendorf, A.M.; Bruder, D.; Pfoertner, S.; Siewert, C.; Huehn, J.; Beissert, S.; Buer, J. G protein-coupled receptor 83 overexpression in naive CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells leads to the induction of Foxp3⁺ regulatory T cells in vivo. *J. Immunol.* 2006, 177, 209–215. [CrossRef]
- Lu, L.F.; Gavin, M.A.; Rasmussen, J.P.; Rudensky, A.Y. G protein-coupled receptor 83 is dispensable for the development and function of regulatory T cells. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 2007, 27, 8065–8072. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Toms, C.; Jessup, H.; Thompson, C.; Baban, D.; Davies, K.; Powrie, F. Gpr83 expression is not required for the maintenance of intestinal immune homeostasis and regulation of T-cell-dependent colitis. *Immunology* 2008, 125, 302–312. [CrossRef]

- 9. Choi, S.; Hachisuka, J.; Brett, M.A.; Magee, A.R.; Omori, Y.; Iqbal, N.U.; Zhang, D.; DeLisle, M.M.; Wolfson, R.L.; Bai, L.; et al. Parallel ascending spinal pathways for affective touch and pain. *Nature* **2020**, *587*, 258–263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Gomes, I.; Bobeck, E.N.; Margolis, E.B.; Gupta, A.; Sierra, S.; Fakira, A.K.; Fujita, W.; Muller, T.D.; Muller, A.; Tschop, M.H.; et al. Identification of GPR83 as the receptor for the neuroendocrine peptide PEN. *Sci. Signal.* **2016**, *9*, ra43. [CrossRef]
- 11. Wardman, J.H.; Berezniuk, I.; Di, S.; Tasker, J.G.; Fricker, L.D. ProSAAS-derived peptides are colocalized with neuropeptide Y and function as neuropeptides in the regulation of food intake. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e28152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Foster, S.R.; Hauser, A.S.; Vedel, L.; Strachan, R.T.; Huang, X.P.; Gavin, A.C.; Shah, S.D.; Nayak, A.P.; Haugaard-Kedstrom, L.M.; Penn, R.B.; et al. Discovery of Human Signaling Systems: Pairing Peptides to G Protein-Coupled Receptors. *Cell* 2019, 179, 895–908.e821. [CrossRef]
- Parobchak, N.; Rao, S.; Negron, A.; Schaefer, J.; Bhattacharya, M.; Radovick, S.; Babwah, A.V. Uterine Gpr83 mRNA is highly expressed during early pregnancy and GPR83 mediates the actions of PEN in endometrial and non-endometrial cells. *FS Sci.* 2020, 1, 67–77. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Sallee, N.A.; Lee, E.; Leffert, A.; Ramirez, S.; Brace, A.D.; Halenbeck, R.; Kavanaugh, W.M.; Sullivan, K.M.C. A Pilot Screen of a Novel Peptide Hormone Library Identified Candidate GPR83 Ligands. *SLAS Discov.* **2020**, *25*, 1047–1063. [CrossRef]
- 15. Mack, S.M.; Gomes, I.; Fakira, A.K.; Duarte, M.L.; Gupta, A.; Fricker, L.; Devi, L.A. GPR83 engages endogenous peptides from two distinct precursors to elicit differential signaling. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2022**, *102*, 29–38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Li, H.Z.; Wang, Y.F.; Shao, X.X.; Liu, Y.L.; Xu, Z.G.; Wang, S.L.; Guo, Z.Y. FAM237A, rather than peptide PEN and proCCK56-63, binds to and activates the orphan receptor GPR83. *FEBS J.* **2023**, *290*, 3461–3479. [CrossRef]
- 17. Muller, A.; Berkmann, J.C.; Scheerer, P.; Biebermann, H.; Kleinau, G. Insights into Basal Signaling Regulation, Oligomerization, and Structural Organization of the Human G-Protein Coupled Receptor 83. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0168260. [CrossRef]
- Kroeze, W.K.; Sassano, M.F.; Huang, X.P.; Lansu, K.; McCorvy, J.D.; Giguere, P.M.; Sciaky, N.; Roth, B.L. PRESTO-Tango as an open-source resource for interrogation of the druggable human GPCRome. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2015, 22, 362–369. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Muller, A.; Kleinau, G.; Piechowski, C.L.; Muller, T.D.; Finan, B.; Pratzka, J.; Gruters, A.; Krude, H.; Tschop, M.; Biebermann, H. G-protein coupled receptor 83 (GPR83) signaling determined by constitutive and zinc(II)-induced activity. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e53347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Soletto, L.; Hernandez-Balfago, S.; Rocha, A.; Scheerer, P.; Kleinau, G.; Cerda-Reverter, J.M. Melanocortin Receptor Accessory Protein 2-Induced Adrenocorticotropic Hormone Response of Human Melanocortin 4 Receptor. *J. Endocr. Soc.* 2019, *3*, 314–323. [CrossRef]
- Wang, M.; Wang, X.; Jiang, B.; Zhai, Y.; Zheng, J.; Yang, L.; Tai, X.; Li, Y.; Fu, S.; Xu, J.; et al. Identification of MRAP protein family as broad-spectrum GPCR modulators. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 2022, *12*, e1091. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Luttrell, L.M.; Lefkowitz, R.J. The role of beta-arrestins in the termination and transduction of G-protein-coupled receptor signals. *J. Cell Sci.* **2002**, *115*, 455–465. [CrossRef]
- 23. Gurevich, V.V.; Gurevich, E.V. Arrestin-mediated signaling: Is there a controversy? World J. Biol. Chem. 2018, 9, 25–35. [CrossRef]
- 24. Rozenfeld, R.; Devi, L.A. Receptor heteromerization and drug discovery. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 2010, 31, 124–130. [CrossRef]
- 25. Rozenfeld, R.; Devi, L.A. Exploring a role for heteromerization in GPCR signalling specificity. *Biochem. J.* **2011**, 433, 11–18. [CrossRef]
- Wilson, S.J.; McGinley, K.; Huang, A.J.; Smyth, E.M. Heterodimerization of the alpha and beta isoforms of the human thromboxane receptor enhances isoprostane signaling. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2007, 352, 397–403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ellis, J.; Pediani, J.D.; Canals, M.; Milasta, S.; Milligan, G. Orexin-1 receptor-cannabinoid CB1 receptor heterodimerization results in both ligand-dependent and -independent coordinated alterations of receptor localization and function. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006, 281, 38812–38824. [CrossRef]
- Evers, B.M.; Townsend, C.M., Jr.; Upp, J.R.; Allen, E.; Hurlbut, S.C.; Kim, S.W.; Rajaraman, S.; Singh, P.; Reubi, J.C.; Thompson, J.C. Establishment and characterization of a human carcinoid in nude mice and effect of various agents on tumor growth. *Gastroenterology* 1991, 101, 303–311. [CrossRef]
- 29. Barnea, G.; Strapps, W.; Herrada, G.; Berman, Y.; Ong, J.; Kloss, B.; Axel, R.; Lee, K.J. The genetic design of signaling cascades to record receptor activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 64–69. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bandholtz, S.; Erdmann, S.; von Hacht, J.L.; Exner, S.; Krause, G.; Kleinau, G.; Grotzinger, C. Urolinin: The First Linear Peptidic Urotensin-II Receptor Agonist. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 10100–10112. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.