

Article (refereed)

---

**Bayala, J.; Dianda, M.; Wilson, J.; Ouedraogo, S.J.; Sanon, K.. 2009 Predicting field performance of five irrigated tree species using seedling quality assessment in Burkina Faso, West Africa. *New Forests*, 38 (3). 309-322. [10.1007/s11056-009-9149-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-009-9149-4)**

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

This version available <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/8233/>

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the authors and/or other rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at <http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access>

**This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.**

[www.springerlink.com](http://www.springerlink.com)

Contact CEH NORA team at  
[noraceh@ceh.ac.uk](mailto:noraceh@ceh.ac.uk)

1 **Predicting field performance of five irrigated tree species using seedling quality**  
2 **assessment in Burkina Faso, West Africa**

3

4 J. Bayala<sup>1\*</sup>

5 M. Dianda<sup>1</sup>

6 J. Wilson<sup>2</sup>

7 S.J. Ouédraogo<sup>1</sup>

8 K. Sanon<sup>1</sup>

9

10 <sup>1\*</sup>Address for correspondence: Dr. J. Bayala, Institut de l'Environnement et de  
11 Recherches Agricoles, Département Productions Forestières, 03 BP 7047 Ouagadougou  
12 03, Burkina Faso, Tel (226) 50 33 40 98, Fax: (226) 50 31 50 03, E-mail:  
13 [jules.bayala@coraf.org](mailto:jules.bayala@coraf.org)

14

15 <sup>2</sup>Centre for Ecology and Hydrology; Edinburgh Research Station, Bush Estate, Penicuik,  
16 Midlothian, EH26 0QB, Scotland, UK; Tel: (+44) (0)131 445 4343; Fax: (+44) (0)131  
17 445 3943.

18

19 **Abstract**

20 Five exotic tree species (*Acacia angustissima* (Mil.) Kuntze, *Acacia mangium* Wild,  
21 *Gliricidia sepium* (Jacq.) Alp., *Leucaena* hybrid (LxL), and *Leucaena leucocephala*  
22 (Lam.) de Wit) were investigated to determine whether parameters of nursery seedling  
23 stock quality could be used to predict their field performance in a plantation irrigated  
24 with treated waste-water to produce fodder and wood. Plants were grown in the nursery  
25 in two contrasting rooting substrates (ordinary nursery soil and sand), predicted to have  
26 different effects on resource allocation. Three categories of morphological indicators  
27 were measured, i.e. plant dimensions (height, diameter, root length), plant weights (shoot,  
28 root and whole plant weights) and indices (sturdiness quotient 'SQ', shoot:root dry  
29 weight ratio 'SRR' and Dickson's quality index 'DQI'). In the nursery, all species  
30 performed better in the ordinary nursery soil for all growth parameters except root length.  
31 Thus ordinary nursery substrate appeared superior to sand in terms of plant quality.  
32 However, a follow up at plantation phase revealed that only some morphological  
33 attributes or ratios were suitable to predict field performance for the five tested species in  
34 irrigated plantation. In addition, the effect of the substrate observed at the nursery stage  
35 had disappeared 12 months after out planting due to the availability of water and nutrients  
36 provided by the treated waste water used for the irrigation. The results showed that root  
37 collar diameter and DQI appeared to be the most appropriate indicators to predict the  
38 outplanting performance of the five tested species in a short-rotation irrigated plantation  
39 in semi-arid Burkina Faso. The former measure is simpler and non-destructive.

40 **Keywords:** Irrigation, seedling quality, substrate, waste-water

41 **Introduction**

42

43 In the semi-arid zone, most plant production systems are geared to producing plants  
44 which are capable of surviving in a hostile arid climate (Mackay 1996; Zida et al. 2008).  
45 Thus, nursery plants are frequently water stressed and then weaned under shade to  
46 “harden them off” before transporting them to the field because in drier parts of the  
47 tropics such as semi-arid West Africa, drought is the major environmental factor  
48 determining the establishment and growth of seedlings (Engelbrecht et al. 2005). In an  
49 effort to improve outplanting performance, a variety of seedling quality assessment  
50 methods has been developed, based on seedling morphological attributes, such as shoot  
51 height, root collar diameter, height to diameter ratio, and root to shoot ratio (Deans et al.  
52 1989; Dey and Parker 1997; Jacobs et al. 2005; Zida et al. 2008). However, despite  
53 advances in seedling quality testing and prediction of field performance, no single test  
54 has proved suitable across a multitude of species and conditions (Davis and Jacobs 2005),  
55 indicating that seedling attributes need to be determined at the species level and take into  
56 account specific environmental and management conditions (Zida et al. 2008).

57

58 Seedlings destined for irrigated sites probably require different management in the  
59 nursery compared to those for non-irrigated plantations because they will experience less  
60 water stress, although they will still experience post-transplant shock (Oliet et al. 2002).  
61 Consequently, the tendency to favor low shoot:root ratios (large root systems) promoting  
62 subsequent rapid root growth may appear unnecessary and undesirable for irrigated  
63 conditions. However, overcoming post-transplant shock is only possible if new root

64 elongation takes place shortly after transplanting the seedlings (Oliet et al. 2002). Such  
65 growth and production of new roots are affected by water availability as well as the  
66 balance between shoot and root (Becker et al. 1987; Rose et al. 1993). While there are  
67 several reports of indices developed for harsh conditions (Deans et al. 1989; Bayley and  
68 Kietzka 1996; Rawat and Singh 2000; Villar-Salvador et al. 2004; Davis and Jacobs  
69 2005) little information describing the desirable attributes of tree seedlings for irrigated  
70 systems in arid climates is available (Zida et al. 2008). In short rotation applications, the  
71 emphasis needs to be focused on producing seedlings which have the capacity to  
72 immediately begin rapid shoot growth following outplanting. Consequently, nursery  
73 regimes geared to produce such plant types need to be developed.

74

75 Therefore, this paper examines the influence of substrate on the morphology of planting  
76 stock of five exotic tree species for irrigated conditions and their performance in the field.  
77 Two contrasting substrates were used because of the evident effect of this factor on  
78 resource allocation, and the tendency of plant species to allocate more resources to the  
79 development of root systems on poor soils such as sand (Balisky et al. 1995; Osmont et  
80 al. 2007; Semchenko et al. 2007). The selected species are fast growing and can produce  
81 both wood and fodder, which are both in high demand in urban and peri-urban areas of  
82 Burkina Faso. Short-rotation plantations, irrigated with treated waste-water, are one  
83 option to help meet this demand.

84

85 **Materials and methods**

86

87 *Study site*

88

89 Plants were grown in a nursery in the open courtyard of Département Productions  
90 Forestières (DPF) of Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles (INERA) in  
91 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, West Africa (12°22' N and 1°30' W and at an altitude of  
92 306 m.a.s.l). The rainfall at the site is unimodal with a mean annual rainfall of 804 mm,  
93 and a rainy season between May and September. The mean annual temperature is 28°C  
94 with a minimum of 22°C and a maximum of 35°C. The plantation was established in a  
95 plot 500 m from the DPF courtyard thus experiencing the same climatic conditions as in  
96 the nursery site.

97

98 *Experimental design*

99

100 *Nursery phase*

101 A factorial experiment was designed to test the effects of species and substrate on  
102 seedling morphological attributes and indices of five exotic species as indicators of their  
103 future performance in short-rotation irrigated plantations to produce fodder and wood.

104 Thus, two factors were investigated:

105 1. Five species: *Acacia angustissima* (Mil.) Kuntze, *Acacia mangium* Wild, *Gliricidia*  
106 *sepium* (Jacq.) Alp., *Leucaena* hybrid (LxL), and *Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam.) de Wit

107 2. Two substrates: pure sand and normal nursery substrate in Burkina Faso (a mixture of  
108 arable soil, sand and manure in the proportions of 2v:1v:1v) (Table 1).

109 The experiment was laid out in eight blocks or replicates, with a split plot design. Tree  
110 species was the main plot and substrate was the sub plot, with four plants within the sub  
111 plot. Thus each block contained 5 (species) x 2 (substrates) x 4 (plants) = 40 pots.

112

113 Seeds were pre-treated according to the recommendations of the supplier (Agro-forester  
114 Tropical Seeds of Holualoa, Hawaii, USA) and pre-germinated for one week and then  
115 transplanted into poly bags of 25 cm height and 7 cm diameter (962 cm<sup>3</sup>) at the end of  
116 June 2005. All treatments were watered twice a day with tap water using a watering can.  
117 No fungicide or pesticide was used in the present experiment. At the end of the nursery  
118 phase in September 2005, i.e. after 2.5 months, half of the seedlings were destructively  
119 harvested to evaluate for their morphological attributes and the remaining half was used  
120 for the plantation.

121

### 122 *Plantation phase*

123 As in the nursery, the design was a split plot design with species factor attributed to the  
124 main plot treatment and the substrate used in the nursery to the sub plot. Plants were  
125 planted in three blocks or replicates, and there were 2 plants for each substrate in the sub  
126 plot. Thus each block contained 5 (species) x 2 (substrates) x 2 (plants) = 20 pots. Plants  
127 were carefully removed from their pots and planted out with 1 m intervals in a row and 2  
128 m between rows. A 4 m space was allowed between blocks. The plants were watered  
129 every three days with treated waste-water from the University of Ouagadougou in

130 Burkina Faso. The plants were watered until saturation, when water remained on the soil  
131 surface around the plants. The water had been treated through a succession of basins  
132 starting with a homogenizing basin, followed by an anaerobic basin, an aerobic basin and  
133 a distribution basin. The treated water had the following properties: temperature 30.1°C,  
134 conductivity 259  $\mu\text{S m}^{-1}$ , dissolved  $\text{O}_2$  1.9  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , pH 7.7, *Escherichia coli* 13000 Colony  
135 Forming Units (cfu)  $\text{l}^{-1}$ , Thermotolerant coliforms (TTC) 85000 cfu, Fecal streptococcus  
136 (FS) 7000 cfu, Biochemical Oxygen Demand-Five-Day (DOB5) 10  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , Chemical  
137 Oxygen Demand (COD) 46  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM) 28  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , total  
138 P 1.4  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$  0.7  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$  3.8  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  1.5  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ .

139

#### 140 ***Data collection and handling***

141

##### 142 *Nursery phase*

143 In September 2005 half of the seedlings were harvested and their height, root collar  
144 diameter (rcd) and tap root length (from the ground or root collar level to the tip of the  
145 main and longest root) were measured. They were then divided into shoots and roots, and  
146 the roots were washed. All components were then dried to constant weight at 60°C for 48  
147 h. The following seedling quality and performance attributes were then assessed:  
148 shoot:root dry weight ratio (SRR), sturdiness quotient (SQ) (height (cm)/rcd (mm)), and  
149 Dickson's Quality Index (DQI) (Deans et al. 1989), which was calculated as follows  
150 (Eq.1):

151



152 Quality index = 
$$\frac{\text{Seedling dry weight (g)}}{\frac{\text{Height (cm)}}{\text{Root collar diameter (mm)}} + \frac{\text{Shoot dry weight (g)}}{\text{Root dry weight (g)}}}$$
 Eq.1

153

154 *Plantation phase*

155 Twelve months after out-planting (14.5-month old plants), the height and diameter at  
156 1.30 m (dbh) of the trees were measured, and half the plants were carefully uprooted and  
157 separated into leaves, wood and roots. The roots were then washed, and all plant  
158 components were dried as before. For this phase, only SRR was calculated.

159

160 *Data analysis*

161 Data from the nursery and plantation phases were tested for homogeneity of variances  
162 before being subjected to general analysis of variance (ANOVA) using GenStat Release  
163 8.11 (Rothamsted Experimental Station) software package. When the *F*-test was  
164 significant, treatment means were separated using the least significant difference (*LSD*)  
165 method at 5% probability. Correlation analyses were also used to establish relationships  
166 between plant performance at nursery and plantation phases.

167

168 **Results**

169

170 *Nursery phase*

171 The survival rates ranged from 86% for *A. angustissima* to 100% for *L. leucocephala* and  
172 from 96% for sand to 97% for nursery substrate.

173

174 *Plant dimensions*

175 There were, significant species x substrate interactions and significant main effects of  
176 species and substrate for plant height ( $P < 0.001$ ), rcd ( $P < 0.001$ ), and tap root length  
177 ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 2). All species grown on ordinary nursery substrate were taller and had  
178 greater root collar diameters than their counterparts grown in sand, while root length was  
179 usually not significantly different within a species, or, in the case of *L. hybrid* was  
180 significantly longer in sand (Table 3). Despite the differences in growth between nursery  
181 substrate and sand, the ranking of the performance of plants in both substrates tended to  
182 be similar, except for *A. angustissima* which in terms of height growth performed much  
183 better in nursery substrate than in sand (Table 3).

184

185 *Plant weights*

186 Shoot, root and total dry weights also showed significant species x substrate interactions  
187 as well as significant main effects of these two factors (all  $P < 0.001$ ) (Tables 2 and 4).  
188 When grown in sand, shoot weights did not differ between species, but when grown in  
189 nursery substrate, significant differences were present, with *L. leucocephala* and *G.*  
190 *sepium* being the heaviest and the two acacia species the lightest. Root weights did differ  
191 between species in both substrates, and were least for the acacias.

192

193 *Seedling quality and performance attributes*

194 As for the previous parameters, analysis of the indices revealed significant species x  
195 substrate interactions, as well as significant main effects (all  $P < 0.001$ ) (Tables 2 and 5).

196 All species had significantly higher SQ's in nursery substrate than their counterparts in  
197 sand, except for *A. mangium*. Overall, *A. angustissima* had the highest values and *G.*  
198 *sepium* the lowest. In terms of shoot: root ratio, all species had higher values in nursery  
199 substrate than in sand, except for *L. leucocephala*, and the acacias had the highest  
200 shoot:root ratios. Dickson's quality index was higher in nursery substrate, with *G. sepium*  
201 and *L. leucocephala* being superior to the rest. Within a substrate, trends in DQI between  
202 species were the reverse of those in SQ and SRR, as expected by their mathematical  
203 relationships.

204

#### 205 ***Plantation phase***

206 Twelve months after out-planting, survival rates ranged from 50% for *A. angustissima* to  
207 100% for *L. leucocephala* and from 80% for plants originating from sand substrate to  
208 83% for those from nursery substrate. By this time, some species exceeded 5 m in height.

209

210 Analysis of the data from the plantation phase revealed no significant interaction between  
211 factors for effects on plant dimensions, weights of plant components or shoot:root ratio,  
212 and no effect of substrate used in the nursery. Species was the only factor that exerted a  
213 significant effect on all variables (all  $P < 0.05$  at least) except for leaf weight (Table 6).

214 The two leucaena species were the tallest, and they, together with *G. sepium* were overall  
215 the best performing species in terms of weight, height and dbh (Table 7). The small  
216 acacias had the highest shoot:root ratios, while *Leucaena* hybrid had the lowest.

217

218 Leaf biomass that could be used as fodder ranged from 0.18 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *A. angustissima* to  
219 0.29 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *L. hybrid* while wood production (stem biomass) which could be used as  
220 fuel ranged from 0.42 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *A. angustissima* to 0.98 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for *G. sepium* (Table 7).

221

### 222 ***Relationships between plant performance at nursery and plantation phases***

223 Several measures of growth in the nursery were significantly correlated with subsequent  
224 growth in the plantation (Table 8). SQ was not significantly correlated with any aspect of  
225 plant growth, whereas DQI was significantly correlated with a number of plant  
226 parameters. However, a number of simple measures of plant growth in the nursery were  
227 also significantly correlated with plantation performance. In particular, root collar  
228 diameter in the nursery, a quick and easy non-destructive measure, was as well, or better  
229 correlated with future plant performance as DQI. Relationships between total plant dry  
230 weight in the plantation and nursery DQI and rcd are shown in Figure 1. With the  
231 exception of *L. hybrid*, grown in sand, a common expression describes the growth of all  
232 species in both substrates.

233

### 234 **Discussion**

235

236 Despite the differences in growth in the nursery between nursery substrate and sand, the  
237 ranking of performance of the species in both substrates tended to be similar, with the  
238 two leucaenas performing best, followed by gliricidia, and the two acacia species  
239 performing worst. Although plant shoots and roots weighed less, were shorter, and had  
240 smaller rcd when grown in sand (Table 3, 4), root length tended to be greater in sand than  
241 in nursery substrate, indicating that plants responded to this nutrient-poor substrate by

242 producing a more finely divided root system, providing a greater surface area for uptake  
243 of nutrients (Balisky et al. 1995; Osmont et al. 2007; Semchenko et al. 2007). Both  
244 acacias performed relatively poorly in sand in terms of shoot growth, and their root  
245 systems appear to have been less adaptable to this substrate (Table 3, 4) than those of the  
246 other species. Although survival in the nursery was generally good, the poor-growing *A.*  
247 *angustissima* had the lowest survival rate.

248

249 However, despite the observations in the nursery of the importance of rooting medium to  
250 plant growth, after 12 months' growth in the field, all effects of nursery substrate were  
251 lost. South et al. (2005) also reported that on easy-to regenerate sites, some factors which  
252 affect nursery growth may not affect seedling survival and growth at plantation phase.  
253 The irrigation and the nutrients contained in the waste-water may have contributed to  
254 improved growth conditions for the tested species. Many other factors may come into  
255 play (Tomlinson et al., 1996; Lindqvist and Ong, 2005) so that final success comes from  
256 a combination of nursery practice and field practice.

257

258 DQI, which is the most complex formula for assessing seedling quality, and which  
259 incorporates both measures of SQ and SRR was a useful predictor of plantation  
260 performance. However, other more straightforward measures were equally good  
261 predictors of future seedling performance and easier to determine (Table 8). A simple  
262 non-destructive measure of root collar diameter for instance, was as good as DQI, which  
263 involves numerous destructive measures for its determination.

264

265 SQ and SRR showed similar trends for species and substrate factors which were opposite  
266 to the trend of DQI (Table 5) as also observed by Deans et al. (1989), and reflecting the  
267 mathematical relationships between these different indices. The morphological attributes  
268 (low growth values in acacias) did not appear to be in accordance with good quality  
269 indices (low values SQ and SRR and high values of DQI) for non-irrigated systems.  
270 However, it is not easy to draw a consistent conclusion taking all quality indices together,  
271 indicating the difficulty of establishing criteria for early selection as also reported by  
272 previous workers (Mattsson 1996; Court-Picon et al. 2004). The difficulty in finding a  
273 good indicator based on morphological attributes suggests a need for integrated  
274 approaches and ecophysiological evaluations in correlating seedling vigor with field  
275 performance (Mattsson 1996; Davis and Jacobs 2005). Such approaches might also be  
276 associated with modeling because effective integration of both physiological and  
277 morphological parameters into future models may further benefit seedling quality  
278 evaluation (Gazal et al. 2004; Davis and Jacobs 2005; Landqvist and Ong 2005).

279

280 In general, the two leucaenas and *G. sepium* appeared to be the best seedlings for non-  
281 irrigated systems in line with the interpretation of others who have worked on such  
282 systems (Deans et al. 1989; Bayley and Kietzka 1996; Rawat and Singh 2000; Villar-  
283 Salvador et al. 2004; Davis and Jacobs 2005). As low shoot:root ratios (large root  
284 systems) with subsequent rapid root growth may appear unnecessary and undesirable for  
285 irrigated conditions, the two acacias might be considered as the best for irrigated systems  
286 followed by the two leucaenas and *G. sepium*. At nursery and plantation stages, the two  
287 acacias displayed the highest SRR values followed by the two leucaenas (Tables 5 and 7).

288 However, although nursery SRR was significantly and positively correlated with  
289 plantation SRR, it was not significantly correlated with other parameters of shoot growth,  
290 thus it was not a good predictor of plant growth after 12 months in irrigated conditions,  
291 whereas DQI was a useful predictor. The effects of combining different species in these  
292 analyses may be masking the effects of different nursery management practices. Nursery  
293 root collar diameter was an effective predictor of future performance in the plantation,  
294 confirming the observations of Mattsson (1996), Rawat and Singh (2000), Davis and  
295 Jacobs (2005) and is a simple non-destructive measure, especially compared with DQI.

296

297 Despite the difficulties of defining morphological attributes which can predict field  
298 performance, studies on seedling quality have an important practical application because  
299 failure of many reforestation projects in dry areas like Burkina Faso is primarily due to  
300 planting of poor quality seedling stocks and poor environmental and soil conditions. In  
301 such situations, careful selection and planting of only viable and vigorous seedlings is  
302 crucial to ensure high survival and performance in the field. Thus, a simple and reliable  
303 nursery grading practice is urgently needed to improve the income generated by  
304 plantations particularly for irrigated systems where more financial resources have been  
305 invested.

306

307 Plantations of 14.5 month gave fodder production ranging from  $0.18 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  to  $0.31 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$   
308 while fuelwood production was between  $0.42 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  and  $0.98 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  for the five tested  
309 species (Table 7). These figures are lower than the values reporting by previous workers  
310 (Mullen and Gutteridge 2002; Chirwa et al., 2003; Kwesiga et al. 2003; Odenyo et al.

311 2003; Kimaro et al. 2007). This may due to differences in plantation age, soil type,  
312 management practices, etc. Despite the fact, the two acacias allocated more resources to  
313 their above ground part in comparison with the below ground part, they have produced  
314 less biomass than the two leucaena and *G. sepium* (Table 7). Therefore, the three latter  
315 species may also be recommended for irrigated plantation because of the higher biomass  
316 produced in comparison with the two acacias. However, the two acacia may also have an  
317 advantage in the long run because exporting less nutrients in a production system where  
318 the above ground harvested part (leaves and wood) is taking away from the plot leaving  
319 only the root system that decay to improve soil carbon and N status (Kimaro et al. 2007).

320

321 Although these results demonstrate the benefits of using waste-water for plantations,  
322 health issues must be considered. The use of waste water for production of materials  
323 which enter the food chain requires very careful evaluation due to the possibility of  
324 introducing heavy metals and other contaminants. Thus continued analysis of waste  
325 water, soils and plant materials must be built in to irrigation systems which rely on waste-  
326 water.

327

## 328 **Conclusion**

329

330 The present investigation revealed that not all morphological attributes or ratios are  
331 suitable for predicting field performance for a given system and environmental  
332 conditions. In an irrigated plantation, the effects of the substrate observed at the nursery  
333 stage disappeared by 12 months after outplanting due to the availability of water and



334 nutrients provided by the irrigation using treated waste water. For such irrigated systems,  
335 plant root collar diameter and DQI seem to be the most appropriate indicators to predict  
336 the outplanting performance of *Acacia angustissima*, *Acacia mangium*, *Gliricidia sepium*,  
337 *Leucaena* hybrid, and *Leucaena leucocephala* in semi-arid Burkina Faso (Figure 1).

338

### 339 **Acknowledgements**

340 This work was partly funded by the European Commission, Directorate General XII,  
341 under the programme of INCO-DC: International Co-operation with Developing  
342 countries through the project UBENEFIT Contract ICA4-2001-10007. The authors are  
343 grateful to Hermann Yonli, Madi Zoungrana, Marcel Bazié and Abel Zongo for technical  
344 assistance.

345

### 346 **References**

347

348 Balisky AC, Salonius P, Walli C, Brinkman D (1995) Seedling roots and forest floor:  
349 misplaced and neglected aspects of British Columbia's reforestation effort? For  
350 Chron 71: 59-65.

351 Bayley AD, Kietzka JW (1996) Stock quality and field performance of *Pinus patula*  
352 seedlings produced under two nursery growing regimes during seven different  
353 nursery production periods. New For 13: 337-352.

354 Becker CA, Mroz GD, Fuller LG (1987) The effects of plant moisture stress on red pine  
355 (*Pinus resinosa*) seedling growth and establishment. Can J Forest Res 17: 813-  
356 820.

357 Chirwa TS, Mafongoya PL, Chintu R (2003) Mixed planted-fallows using coppicing and  
358 non-coppicing tree species for degraded Acrisols in eastern Zambia. *Agroforest*  
359 *Syst* 59: 243-251.

360 Court\_Picon M, Gadbin-Henry C, Guibal F, Roux M (2004) Dendrometry and  
361 morphology of *Pinus pinea* L. in lower province (France): adaptability and  
362 variability of provenances. *For Ecol Manage* 194: 319-333.

363 Davis AS, Jacobs DF (2005) Quantifying root system quality of nursery seedlings and  
364 relationship to outplanting performance. *New For* 30: 295-311.

365 Deans JD, Mason WL, Cannell MGR, Sharpe AL, Sheppard LJ (1989) Growing regimes  
366 for bare-root stock of Sitka spruce, Douglas fir and Scots pine. 1. Morphology at  
367 the end of the nursery phase. *Forestry Supplement* 62: 53-60.

368 Dey DC, Parker WC (1997) Morphological indicators of stock quality and field  
369 performance of red oak (*Quercus rubra* L.) seedlings underplanted in a central  
370 Ontario shelterwood. *New For* 14: 145-156.

371 Engelbrecht BMJ, Kursar TA, Tyree MT (2005) Drought effects on seedling survival in a  
372 tropical moist forest. *Trees* 19: 312-321.

373 Gazal RM, Blanche CA, Carandang WM (2004) Root growth potential and seedling  
374 morphological attributes of narra (*Pteracarpus indicus* Willd.) transplants. *For*  
375 *Ecol Manage* 195: 259-266.

376 Jacobs DF, Salifu KF, Seifert JR (2005) Relative contribution of initial root and shoot  
377 morphology in predicting field performance of hardwood seedlings. *New For*  
378 30:235-251.

379 Kimaro AA, Timmer VR, Mugasha AG, Chamshama SAO, Kimaro DA (2007) Nutrient  
380 use efficiency and biomass production of tree species for rotational woodlot  
381 systems in semi-arid Morogoro, Tanzania. *Agroforest Syst* 71: 175-184.

382 Kwesiga F, Akinnifesi FK, Mafongoya PL, McDermott MH, Agumya A (2003)  
383 Agroforestry research and development in southern Africa during the 1190s:  
384 Review and challenges ahead. *Agroforest Syst* 59: 171-186.

385 Lindqvist H, Ong CK (2005) Using morphological characteristics for assessing seedling  
386 vitality in small-scale tree nursery in Kenya. *Agroforest Syst* 64: 89-98.

387 Mackay HM (1996) A review of the effect of stresses between lifting and planting on  
388 nursery stock quality and performance. *New For* 13:363-393.

389 Mattsson A (1996) Predicting field performance using seedling quality assessment. *New*  
390 *For* 13: 223-248.

391 Mullen BF, Gutteridge RC (2002) Wood biomass production of *Leucaena* in subtropical  
392 Australia. *Agroforest Syst* 55: 195-205.

393 Odenyo AA, Osuji PO, Reed JD, Smith AH, Mackie RI, McSweeney CS, Hanson J  
394 (2003) *Acacia angustissima*: Its anti-nutrients constituents, toxicity and possible  
395 mechanisms to alleviate the toxicity – a short review. *Agroforest Syst* 59: 141-  
396 147.

397 Oliet J, Planelles R, Arias LM, Artero F (2002) Soil water content and water relations in  
398 planted and naturally regenerated *Pinus halepensis* Mill. seedlings during the first  
399 year in semiarid conditions. *New For* 23: 31-44.

400 Osmont KS, Sibout R, Hardtke CS (2007) Hidden branches: developments in root system  
401 architecture. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 58: 93-113.

402 Rawat JS, Singh TP (2000) Seedling indices of four tree species in nursery and their  
403 correlations with field growth in Tamil Nadu, India. *Agroforest Syst* 49: 289-300.

404 Rose R, Gleason JF, Atkinson M (1993) Morphological and water stress characteristics of  
405 three Douglas-fir stocktypes in relation to seedling performance under different  
406 soil moisture conditions. *New For* 7:1-17.

407 Semchenko M, Hutchings MJ, John EA (2007) Challenging the tragedy of the commons  
408 in root competition: confounding effects of neighbour presence and substrate  
409 volume. *J Ecol* 95: 252-260.

410 South DB, Harris SW, Barnett JP, Hains MJ, Gjerstad DH (2005) Effect of container  
411 type and seedling size on survival and early height of *Pinus palustris* seedlings in  
412 Alabama, U.S.A. *For Ecol Manage* 204: 385-398.

413 Tomlinson PT, Buchschacher GL, Teclaw RM (1996) Sowing methods and mulch affect  
414 1+0 northern red oak seedling quality. *New For* 13: 191-206.

415 Villar-Salvador P, Planelles R, Enriquez E, Rubira JP (2004) Nursery cultivation  
416 regimes, plant functional attributes, and field performance relationships in the  
417 Mediterranean oak *Quercus ilex* L. *For Ecol Manage* 196: 257-266.

418 Zida D, Tigabu M, Sawadogo L, Oden PC (2008) Initial seedling morphological  
419 characteristics and field performance of two Sudanian savanna species in relation  
420 to nursery production period and watering regimes. *For Ecol Manage* 255: 2151-  
421 2162.

422 **Table 1:** Physico-chemical properties of sand and nursery ordinary substrate used in the nursery  
 423 to produce seedlings of the five exotic species in Burkina Faso, West Africa

424

	Sand	Nursery substrate
Clay (%)	1.96	7.84
Silt (%)	9.8	7.85
Sand (%)	88.24	84.31
Organic matter (%)	0.517	1.259
Carbon (%)	0.3	0.73
Nitrogen (%)	0.035	0.099
C/N	9	7
Total phosphorus (ppm)	29	392
Available phosphorus (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.76	128
Total potassium (ppm)	140	973
Calcium (Ca <sup>++</sup> )	1.42	1.78
Magnesium (Mg <sup>++</sup> )	0.18	0.78
Potassium (K <sup>+</sup> )	0.04	1.21
Sodium (Na <sup>+</sup> )	0.04	0.09
Exchangeable bases (S)	1.68	3.86
Cation exchange capacity (T) meq/100g	2.7	5.7
Saturation rate (S/T) %	62	68
pH water	6.64	6.09
pH KCl	5.23	5.48

425

426 **Table 2:** Degrees of freedom (d.f.) and mean square output from analysis of variance for morphological variables and quality indices

427 of 2.5-month old seedlings of five exotic tree species during the nursery phase in Burkina Faso, West Africa

428

Source of variation	d.f.	Height	Root collar diameter	Tap root length	Shoot dry weight	Root dry weight	Total dry weight	SQ	Shoot:root ratio	DQI
Species	4	1897.99***	150.634***	4348.50***	39.150***	11.837***	93.274***	615.907***	91.959***	2.134***
Substrate	1	49566.94***	454.341***	1278.4***	512.661***	50.757***	886.040***	434.478***	164.745***	6.980***
Species x substrate	4	1036.97***	4.6379***	513.9**	17.597***	4.830***	38.876***	62.569***	9.951***	0.547***
Residual	291	55.37	0.607	115.5	1.244	0.223	2.439	2.197	1.407	0.0299

429

430 \*\*, \*\*\* Significant at 0.01 and 0.001 probability levels determined using *F-test*. SQ = sturdiness quotient, DQI = Dickson's Quality Index

431 **Table 3:** Mean height (cm), root collar diameter (rcd) (mm) and tap root length (cm) of  
 432 2.5-month old seedlings of five exotic species grown in normal nursery substrate or sand  
 433 in the nursery in Burkina Faso, West Africa  
 434

Species	Height		rcd		Tap root length	
	Nursery		Nursery		Nursery	
	substrate	Sand	substrate	Sand	substrate	Sand
<i>Acacia angustissima</i>	42.07e	8.96a	2.90c	0.94a	16.04ab	13.26a
<i>Acacia mangium</i>	23.53c	9.02a	2.95c	1.10a	20.77bc	22.81cd
<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	30.44d	12.23ab	6.55g	4.33d	25.05cde	29.19ef
<i>Leucaena</i> hybrid	41.83e	12.60ab	5.28e	2.49b	26.62de	39.48h
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	44.97e	15.57b	5.98f	2.88c	32.78fg	36.49gh
<i>LSD 5%</i>	3.66		0.38		5.29	

435 Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  as determined by ANOVA  
 436 and Fisher's *F*-test  
 437

438 **Table 4:** Mean shoot, root and total dry weights (g) of 2.5-month old seedlings of five  
 439 exotic species grown in normal nursery substrate or sand in the nursery in Burkina Faso,  
 440 West Africa  
 441

Species	Shoot weight		Root weight		Total weight	
	Nursery		Nursery		Nursery	
	substrate	Sand	substrate	Sand	substrate	Sand
<i>Acacia angustissima</i>	1.59c	0.09a	0.34c	0.03a	1.94c	0.12a
<i>Acacia mangium</i>	1.49c	0.13ab	0.30c	0.05ab	1.79c	0.18a
<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	4.02e	0.59ab	1.28d	0.44c	5.30e	1.02b
<i>Leucaena</i> hybrid	3.22d	0.44ab	1.27d	0.28bc	4.49d	0.72ab
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	4.23e	0.66ab	1.94e	0.35c	6.17f	1.01b
<i>LSD 5%</i>	0.55		0.23		0.77	

442 Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  as determined by ANOVA  
 443 and Fisher's *F*-test

444  
 445



446 **Table 5:** Planting stock quality assessed by sturdiness quotient (SQ), shoot:root ratio  
 447 (SRR), and Dickson's quality index (DQI) of 2.5-month old seedlings of five exotic  
 448 species grown in normal nursery substrate or sand in Burkina Faso, West Africa  
 449

Species	SQ		SRR		DQI	
	Nursery		Nursery		Nursery	
	substrate	Sand	substrate	Sand	substrate	Sand
<i>Acacia angustissima</i>	14.80g	9.58f	5.30g	3.86f	0.10bc	0.01a
<i>Acacia mangium</i>	8.06de	8.32e	5.39g	3.01de	0.14c	0.02ab
<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	4.68b	2.86a	3.38ef	1.44a	0.68f	0.24d
<i>Leucaena</i> hybrid	7.86de	5.11bc	2.65cd	1.58a	0.43e	0.11c
<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i>	7.58d	5.43c	2.33bc	1.99ab	0.64f	0.14c
<i>LSD 5%</i>	0.72		0.58		0.08	

450 Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  as determined by ANOVA  
 451 and Fisher's *F*-test

452

453

454

455 **Table 6:** Plantation growth of five exotic species grown in Burkina Faso, West Africa: degrees  
 456 of freedom (d.f.) and mean square output from analysis of variance for morphological variables  
 457 and indices 12 months after planting out from a nursery where seedlings had been propagated  
 458 in normal nursery substrate or sand.

459

Source of variation	d.f.	Height	DBH	Leaf weight	Stem weight	Root weight	Plant weight	Shoot:root ratio
Species	4	11.812***	10.499*	0.0797	3.8313**	0.186***	6.752*	30.31**
Substrate	1	0.376	0.726	0.353	3.765	0.1023	8.155	4.665
Species x substrate	4	0.751	3.749	0.086	0.609	0.027	1.314	3.539
Residual	37	0.594	2.954	0.103	0.958	0.029	2.011	6.918

460 \*, \*\*, \*\*\* Significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 probability levels determined by *F*-test

461

462 **Table 7:** Mean height, dbh, weight of plant components and shoot:root ratio of five exotic  
 463 species in Burkina Faso, West Africa, after 12 months in irrigated plantation

464

465

Species	Height (m)	DBH (cm)	Leaf weight (kg)	Stem weight (kg)	Root weight (kg)	Plant weight (kg)	Shoot:root ratio
<i>Acacia</i>	3.33ab	3.92a	0.35a (0.18)	0.81a (0.42)	0.12a	1.28a	9.61b
<i>Acacia</i>	3.04a	4.43a	0.44a (0.23)	0.84a (0.43)	0.12a	1.38a	10.21b
<i>Gliricidia</i>	3.79b	4.51ab	0.48a (0.25)	1.90b (0.98)	0.32b	2.70b	8.21ab
<i>Leucaena</i>	5.25c	6.01c	0.58a (0.29)	1.76b (0.89)	0.39b	2.70b	6.32a
<i>Leucaena</i>	5.02c	5.87bc	0.47a (0.24)	1.89b (0.97)	0.34b	2.70b	7.41a
LSD 5%	0.64	1.42	n.s.	0.81	0.14	1.17	2.18

466

467 Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  as determined by ANOVA and

468 Fisher's *F*-test. Leaf and stem weight data in parentheses are expressed in tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup>

469 **Table 8:** Pearson's correlation coefficients between plant performance at nursery (N) and  
 470 plantation (P) phases of five exotic tree species in Burkina Faso, West Africa. Significant  
 471 correlations between parameters measured in the nursery and plantation are emboldened

472

	N - rcd	N - ht	N -rt leng	N - sht wt	N - rt wt	N - tot wt	N - SQ	N - SRR	N - DQI
N - rcd	1.0000								
N - ht	0.6873	1.0000							
N -rt leng	0.3159	-0.0586	1.0000						
N - sht wt	0.9018	0.8431	0.1012	1.0000					
N - rt wt	0.9009	0.7670	0.2979	0.9464	1.0000				
N - tot wt	0.9113	0.8315	0.1589	0.9958	0.9721	1.0000			
N - SQ	-0.3454	0.4065	-0.6731	-0.0226	-0.1699	-0.0638	1.0000		
N - SRR	-0.2160	0.2818	-0.8040	0.0558	-0.2163	-0.0209	0.7518	1.0000	
N - DQI	0.9604	0.6552	0.2702	0.9416	0.9473	0.9532	-0.3206	-0.2071	1.0000
P - dbh	0.3959	0.2307	<b>0.7153</b>	0.3446	0.5225	0.3992	-0.4328	-0.4999	0.3875
P - ht	0.4577	0.3226	<b>0.8119</b>	0.3807	0.5430	0.4317	-0.3645	-0.5994	0.4320
P - tot dwt	<b>0.8435</b>	0.5144	<b>0.6554</b>	<b>0.7240</b>	<b>0.7639</b>	<b>0.7435</b>	-0.4615	-0.3816	<b>0.8056</b>
P - lf wt	0.5867	0.5304	0.4401	0.5325	0.4880	0.5262	-0.1729	0.0057	0.4767
P - stem dwt	<b>0.8659</b>	0.4947	<b>0.6475</b>	<b>0.7415</b>	<b>0.7929</b>	<b>0.7644</b>	-0.4952	-0.4206	<b>0.8458</b>
P - rt dwt	<b>0.7780</b>	0.4514	<b>0.7340</b>	<b>0.6430</b>	<b>0.7053</b>	<b>0.6682</b>	-0.4795	-0.4936	<b>0.7381</b>
P - SRR	-0.3949	-0.1317	<b>-0.7254</b>	-0.2429	-0.4658	-0.3092	0.4737	<b>0.8518</b>	-0.3823

473

474 n = 10

475  $p \leq 0.05$  when coefficient  $\geq 0.6319$ ,  $p \leq 0.01$  when coefficient  $\geq 0.7646$

476

477 rcd = root collar diameter, ht = height, rt leng = length of tap root, sht wt = shoot dry weight, rt wt = root

478 dry weight, tot wt = total weight, SQ = sturdiness quotient, SRR = shoot: root ratio, DQI = Dickson's

479 Quality Index, dbh = diameter at breast height

480 **Caption for Figures**

481

482 **Fig. 1:** Relationship between total plant dry weight of five exotic tree species after 12

483 months growth in irrigated plantation and (a) root collar diameter and (b) Dickson's

484 Quality Index after 2.5 months in the nursery in normal nursery substrate (N) or sand (S)

485

486

487

