

Intra-population variation of ejaculate traits and sperm depletion in red-sided garter snakes

The Faculty of Oregon State University has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Friesen, Christopher R., Squire, M. K., & Mason, R. T. (2014). Intrapopulation variation of ejaculate traits and sperm depletion in red-sided garter snakes. <i>Journal of Zoology</i> , 292(3), 192-201. doi:10.1111/jzo.12092
DOI	10.1111/jzo.12092
Publisher	John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
Version	Accepted Manuscript
Terms of Use	http://cdss.library.oregonstate.edu/sa-termsfuse

1 **Intra-population variation of ejaculate traits and sperm depletion in red-sided**
2 **garter snakes**

3 **Christopher R. Friesen^{1*}, Mattie K. Squire^{1,2} and Robert T. Mason¹**

4

5 **¹Department of Zoology, Oregon State University, Cordley Hall 3029, Corvallis, Oregon,**
6 **97330**

7 **²Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, 3258 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843**

8 ***Corresponding author email: friesenc@science.oregonstate.edu**

9

10 **Abstract**

11 Female sexual promiscuity is a prevalent element of mating systems. One consequence of female sexual
12 promiscuity is that male-male competition often continues post-copulation within the female's
13 reproductive tract. According to theory, the number of sperm a male inseminates relative to his rivals
14 strongly predicts his fertilization success. However, sperm quality is also important, especially when
15 males are sperm limited and female sperm storage is prevalent. In this study, we examined intra-
16 population variation in sperm numbers and ejaculate quality (sperm mobility) in male red-sided garter
17 snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*) and determined whether these traits varied with male body size
18 and condition over successive matings. We obtained sperm by dissolving copulatory plugs collected
19 from natural matings, which enabled us to also test whether males allocated more sperm to larger,
20 more fecund females. We found significant variation in ejaculate quality among males and that small
21 males transferred as many sperm as large males. Total sperm numbers declined significantly from a
22 male's first to second ejaculate suggesting that males may become significantly sperm depleted across
23 successive matings. The mass of the relatively sperm-free posterior portion of the copulatory plug that
24 remained after liberation of sperm was correlated with copulation duration. Males copulated longer

1 with larger females; however, longer copulation durations did not correlate with total sperm. Thus,
2 males may allocate more copulatory plug material to larger females to guard against her remating,
3 instead of allocating more sperm.

4

1 Introduction

2 Sexual selection continues after copulation when females mate with multiple males whose
3 sperm compete to fertilize ova (Parker, 1970; Simmons, 2005). Under competitive conditions,
4 variation among male ejaculate traits such as the number and percentage of motile sperm,
5 sperm morphology, velocity and longevity have all been demonstrated to affect fertilization
6 success (Birkhead, Martinez, Burke & Froman, 1999; Boschetto, Gasparini & Pilastro, 2011;
7 Casselman, Schulte-Hostedde & Montgomerie, 2006; Dziminski, Roberts, Beveridge & Simmons,
8 2009; Gage, Macfarlane, Yeates, Ward, Searle & Parker, 2004; Miller & Pitnick, 2002; Smith &
9 Ryan, 2010). According to sperm competition theory, the number of sperm a male inseminates
10 relative to his rivals is the primary determinant of male fertilization success (Parker, 1990;
11 Parker & Pizzari, 2010). Males may be limited in the number of sperm they can produce
12 because their ejaculates represent a substantial energetic expenditure (Dewsbury, 1982;
13 Nakatsuru & Kramer, 1982; Olsson, Madsen & Shine, 1997; Parker, 1982). Depletion of sperm
14 over successive matings has also been demonstrated to affect male fertilization success in many
15 taxa (e.g. Birkhead, Veiga & Moller, 1994; Hines, Jivoff, Bushmann, van Montfrans, Reed,
16 Wolcott & Wolcott, 2003; Preston, Stevenson, Pemberton & Wilson, 2001). Furthermore,
17 sperm depletion may be especially important in species that have a compressed seasonal
18 breeding period because males have restricted storage capacity and less time to replenish
19 sperm stores (Wedell, Gage & Parker, 2002). In males, sperm storage is necessary when
20 spermatogenesis and mating are temporally separated, which may affect pre- and
21 postcopulatory selection (Uller, Stuart-Fox & Olsson, 2010) and ejaculate allocation strategies
22 (Wedell et al., 2002). For example, in many species, larger males may be able to afford greater
23 investment in successive matings because they have more sperm storage capacity while smaller

1 males may increase investment in individual ejaculates to compensate for lower chances of
2 mating (Bissoondath & Wiklund, 1996; Simmons & Parker, 1992).

3 Postcopulatory sexual selection is probably a pervasive phenomenon among non-avian
4 sauropsids as evidenced by widespread multiple paternity in the group (Olsson & Madsen,
5 1998; Uller & Olsson, 2008; Uller et al., 2010). Non-avian sauropsids at high latitudes often
6 occur in dense mating aggregations that may be especially prone to sperm competition as the
7 intensity of sperm competition is predicted to increase with both population density and male-
8 skewed operational sex ratio (OSR) (Duvall, Schuett & Arnold, 1993; Emlen & Oring, 1977;
9 Kvarnemo & Simmons, 2013; Parker & Birkhead, 2013). Furthermore, sperm production,
10 mating, and fertilization are temporally dissociated in many temperate non-avian sauropsids,
11 which favors the evolution of both male and female sperm storage (Olsson & Madsen, 1998;
12 Uller & Olsson, 2008; Uller et al., 2010). Female sperm storage also increases the risk of sperm
13 competition because the ejaculates of two or more males are more likely to overlap within the
14 female reproductive tract prior to ovulation (Birkhead 1998; Birkhead & Møller 1993). Thus,
15 certain species of non-avian sauropsids are especially well suited to investigations of the effects
16 of male sperm depletion and female sperm storage on postcopulatory selection and male
17 reproductive strategies.

18 Red-sided garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*) populations in Manitoba, Canada exhibit
19 high density and strongly male-biased OSR mating aggregations during their mating season,
20 which occurs immediately after spring emergence from hibernacula (Gregory, 1974; Shine,
21 Langkilde, Wall & Mason, 2006). In addition, *T.s.parietalis* display a dissociated reproductive

1 pattern in which sperm production occurs during late summer and does not coincide with peak
2 mating behavior in the spring (Crews, Camazine, Diamond, Mason, Tokarz & Garstka, 1984).
3 Because the testes are quiescent during the breeding season (April-May) (Crews et al., 1984;
4 Krohmer, Grassman & Crews, 1987), males mating in the spring rely solely on stored sperm.
5 Nevertheless, males will mate many times if given the opportunity (Blanchard & Blanchard,
6 1941; Friesen pers. obs.). Therefore, the reproductive success of male red-sided garter snakes
7 may depend on the amount of sperm they can store and how they allocate that sperm as they
8 become sperm-depleted during their intense, compressed breeding period. Because larger
9 female garter snakes are more fecund than smaller females (Fitch, 1965; Larsen, Gregory &
10 Antoniak, 1993), males may prudently adjust their ejaculates (sperm and/or copulatory plug)
11 according to female size to increase their fitness (Wedell et al., 2002). Male red-sided garter
12 snakes invest heavily in a large, gelatinous copulatory plug which occludes the female cloaca
13 after mating (Friesen, Shine, Krohmer & Mason, 2013; Shine, Olsson & Mason, 2000). The
14 copulatory plug may mitigate the limits on sperm allocation by reducing the chances of a
15 female remating, and thus sperm competition (Shine et al., 2000). These copulatory plugs also
16 prevent sperm leakage from the female's reproductive tract and thus are a functional
17 spermatophores (Friesen et al., 2013).

18 To date, few studies have quantified ejaculate traits in snakes (Fahrig, Mitchell, Eilts &
19 Paccamonti, 2007; Friesen et al., 2013; Mattson, Vries, McGuire, Krebs, Louis & Loskutoff, 2007;
20 Schulte-Hostedde & Montgomerie, 2006; Tourmente, Cardozo, Bertona, Guidobaldi, Giojalas &
21 Chiaraviglio, 2006; Tourmente, Cardozo, Guidobaldi, Giojalas, Bertona & Chiaraviglio, 2007;
22 Tourmente, Giojalas & Chiaraviglio, 2011; Tourmente, Gomendio, Roldan, Giojalas &

1 Chiaraviglio, 2009). Most of these studies have only addressed sperm morphology, and all of
2 these studies collected semen samples either by surgery from the caudal ductus deferens,
3 hand-manipulation or from museum specimens; and only one from ejaculates produced from
4 natural matings (Friesen et al., 2013). Tourmente et al. (2007, 2011), are two of the few studies
5 that assess sperm quality in a snake. They measured % motility [total % of sperm moving
6 (Cooper, Noonan, von Eckardstein, Auger, Baker, Behre, Haugen, Kruger, Wang & Mbizvo,
7 2010)] and straight line velocity with video and computer aided sperm analysis (CASA), and
8 found that sperm from two different species of snake exhibited the highest motility at, or near,
9 preferred body temperature (Tourmente et al., 2007; Tourmente et al., 2011). Tourmente et al.
10 (2011) collected sperm from the caudal ductus deferens and not from natural ejaculates. The
11 copulatory plug of the red-sided garter snake contains almost all of the sperm (Friesen et al.,
12 2013), so we can collect whole ejaculates from natural inseminations to examine ejaculate
13 traits [e.g., sperm mobility, (Froman & McLean, 1996)], total sperm numbers, and remnant plug
14 mass, i.e., the posterior portion of the plug that does not contain many sperm (Friesen et al.,
15 2013).

16 Using the mobility assay and sperm counts, we tested whether sperm-depletion occurs by
17 comparing sperm counts and ejaculate quality of first and second matings. In addition, we
18 assessed the effect of male size on sperm numbers and ejaculate quality. To test the hypothesis
19 that males adjust their ejaculate in response to the quality of their mates (Wedell et al., 2002),
20 we evaluated the effect of female size on sperm numbers and ejaculate quality. Finally, we
21 evaluated the effect of copulation duration on sperm numbers and the remnant mass of the
22 copulatory plug that remains after the sperm are liberated from the plug.

1 Methods

2 **Model system**

3 Red-sided garter snakes are small (adult males average 45 cm in snout-vent length [Msvl], and
4 females 68 cm Fsvl), natricine colubrids. Our study population is located near Inwood,
5 Manitoba, Canada (50° 31.58'N 97°29.71'W). This population contains approximately 35,000
6 individuals (Shine et al., 2006). Males emerge from underground winter brumation sites in late
7 April and form large, dense aggregations around the emergence sites. As females emerge they
8 are met with vigorous courtship from up to 62 males (Shine et al., 2006).

9 **Animal collection**

10 One hundred actively courting males and 50 newly emerged, females that had not mated
11 during that particular spring, but may have mated in previous seasons (e.g., autumn), were
12 collected by hand the day before mating trials, which began on 19 May, 2009. The animals
13 were transported to Chatfield Research Station, 16 km north of the collection site, and housed
14 outdoors in nylon (1Mx1Mx1M) arenas and provided water *ad libitum*. Males and females were
15 housed separately until mating trials began.

16 **Mating trials**

17 Small circular arenas (45 cm dia. x 75 cm tall) were set up indoors at the Chatfield Research
18 Station with each placed under a 250W heat lamp 1m above the animals. We measured
19 external body temperatures with a laser thermometer and adjusted the output of each lamp
20 individually using dimmer switches to maintain optimal body temperature at 29-30°C (Hawley
21 & Aleksasuk, 1975; Kitchell, 1969). Twenty males were randomly assigned to each of the arenas
22 and were allowed to court and mate with newly emerged, unmated females that were

1 collected the same day as the male. A sex ratio of twenty males to one female is common in
2 and around the dens (Shine, Elphick, Harlow, Moore, LeMaster & Mason, 2001; Shine et al.,
3 2006) and a male-skewed sex ratio facilitates vigorous male courtship behavior (Joy & Crews,
4 1985). Courtship was observed continuously to allow the timing of copulation duration (± 10 s)
5 when mating commenced. After copulation was initiated and had lasted one minute, the pair
6 was gently removed to a separate, empty, circular arena so that they could copulate without
7 interference from the other males; this separation also allowed easy observation of the
8 termination of copulations. Thirty of these males mated on the first day (first matings) and
9 these 30 males were allowed to court and mate with another set of newly emerged, unmated
10 females on the next day. Of the 30 males that mated on the first day, 15 mated a second time
11 the next day (second matings) and three of those males mated a third time. Males and females
12 were weighed and measured within one hour after the matings trials terminated each day.

13 **Ejaculate collection**

14 Less than 30s after copulation terminated, each female was inspected for a copulatory plug.
15 Each plug was removed by gently running a blunt probe around the plug to separate it from the
16 walls of the vaginal pouch (Friesen et al., 2013; Shine et al., 2000). Once removed, the plug was
17 placed in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube in 1ml of Modified Ham's F-10 medium and 10 μ g/ml of
18 the antibiotic Gentamicin sulfate (Cat # 99175, Irvine Scientific; 21 mM HEPES buffer, 4 mM
19 sodium bicarbonate, 1 mM calcium lactate, 0.5 mM magnesium sulfate, 5 mg/ml (0.5%) human
20 albumin; e.g., (Friesen et al., 2013; Mattson et al., 2007). The females' vaginal pouch was
21 lavaged with the same Ham's F-10 medium using a 20ga. intubation needle affixed to a 1ml
22 syringe and subsequently added to the 1.5ml tube with the plug. The fluid from the vaginal

1 wash contains any sperm not embedded within the plug during copulation. The tubes were
2 placed in a refrigerator at 4°C for two days and were gently agitated three times daily (every
3 eight hours) to aid the liberation of sperm embedded within the plug (Friesen et al., 2013).
4 *Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis* experience and survive temperatures during winter brumation
5 below 1°C , and summer temperatures over 30°C (Hawley & Aleksasuk, 1975; Hoskins & Aleksasuk,
6 1973; Kitchell, 1969; Lutterschmidt, LeMaster & Mason, 2006). In addition, it takes two days for
7 the spermatophore to dissolve under natural conditions (i.e., within the female’s cloaca) (Shine
8 et al., 2000). During this period, females are often exposed to temperatures below 4°C for days,
9 and/or wide temperature swings (Hawley & Aleksasuk, 1975, Friesen unpublished data). The
10 dissolution of the plug was evidenced by a dense “cloud” of sperm above the plug (Friesen et
11 al., 2013). In this way, we collected 45 ejaculates for sperm counts and the mobility assay. Once
12 the sperm were liberated, a small piece of the posterior portion of the plug remained. This
13 portion contains almost no sperm (Friesen et al., 2013) and thus represents the investment in
14 passive mate guarding.

15 **Mobility assay**

16 Sperm mobility has been demonstrated to be a heritable determinant of fertilization success
17 and sperm competitive ability under fluctuating social environments in fowl (Birkhead et al.,
18 1999; Froman, 2007; Froman, Feltmann, Rhoads & Kirby, 1999; Pizzari, Cornwallis & Froman,
19 2007; Pizzari, Froman & Birkhead, 2002; Pizzari, Worley, Burke & Froman, 2008b). Sperm
20 mobility measures the net movement of a population of sperm through a dense medium that
21 depends on % sperm motility, straight line velocity (Froman & Feltmann, 2000) and
22 mitochondrial function (Froman & Kirby, 2005). The mobility assay captures important features

1 of whole ejaculate quality that sperm motility alone does not assess. When more expensive and
2 less portable computer-aided sperm analysis systems are not readily available, the mobility
3 assay is easy and objectively quantified under field conditions using an inexpensive, battery
4 operated, portable spectrophotometer (Froman & McLean, 1996).

5 The mobility assay measures the ability of a population of sperm cells to swim against
6 resistance through dense medium, in this case 3% (wt/vol) Accudenz[®] in Modified Ham's F-10
7 medium (Mattson et al., 2007). The number of sperm that have adequate velocity to penetrate
8 the medium is proportional to the absorbance. Absorbance was measured using a
9 spectrophotometer (ARS 596A Sperm Mobility Analyzer) at 550 nm, which correlates with the
10 number of sperm that penetrate the medium. On the third day after the mating trials (when the
11 sperm were liberated from the plug), we conducted a mobility assay modified from Froman and
12 McLean (1996). The sperm samples were kept in an insulated plastic container with ice packs
13 until one hour before the mobility assay, and then placed in a 28-30°C water bath for an hour
14 before recording absorbance readings. Volumes (1.5ml) of 3% (wt/vol) Accudenz[®] were
15 pipetted into each of two standard polystyrene cuvettes, each cuvette was covered with 1 cm²
16 piece of Parafilm[®], and placed in the 28-30°C water bath one hour before the assay. During
17 spring emergence, both males and females experience temperature swings from 2-20°C within
18 a 24 hour period and cold snaps (-6°C to 4°C) that last for days, before temperatures quickly
19 increase the next day (Friesen unpublished data), and males engage in courtship at body
20 temperatures as low as 5°C. Furthermore, *T.s.parietalis* can warm their bodies, and thus the
21 sperm to their preferred body temperature of 29-30°C, in less than 20 minutes. Therefore,
22 these incubation conditions are biologically relevant.

1 After incubation, we estimated % motility (ranged from 70-99%) and inspected the samples for
2 bacterial infection (0% infected) using a microscope at 50x and 400x magnification respectively.
3 Bubbles were gently tapped from the Accudenz® just prior to blanking the cuvette in the
4 spectrophotometer. Each ejaculate sample in its 1.5 ml tube was gently inverted three times to
5 mix the sperm, and then set aside for 30s to allow undissolved plug debris to settle. A 150 µl
6 sample of the “ejaculate” was overlaid on the surface of the Accudenz® solution, and the
7 cuvette was returned to the 28-30°C water bath, the preferred body temperature of
8 *T.s.parietalis* (Aleksiuk, 1976). Tourmente *et al.* (2011) demonstrated that sperm motility was
9 highest near the preferred body temperature in two different species of snake. After a 5 min
10 interval (T1), the cuvette was transferred to the spectrophotometer and absorbance at 550 nm
11 recorded, and again at a 10 min interval (T2). Finally, we made one final absorbance reading
12 after vigorously mixing the contents of the cuvette (“Mix”) to control for variation in sperm
13 numbers within and among samples. This procedure was repeated with the same sample for a
14 duplicate reading.

15 **Sperm counts**

16 After absorbance was measured, the contents of each cuvette and the original tube containing
17 the plug were collected in separate 1.5 ml tubes, centrifuged for 10 minutes at 600 rpm. Sperm
18 from each cuvette and the tube containing the plug were preserved for counts in 3%
19 paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.2). Sperm counts were made in triplicate
20 for each cuvette as well as the tube containing the sperm and the plug using a Petroff-Hausser
21 Counter (cat. # 3900, Hausser Scientific). The total number of sperm in each ejaculate was
22 estimated as the sum of the average counts for each replicate cuvette and from counts made

1 on the tube containing the plug and the remaining sperm. The plug mass was not recorded
2 immediately after copulation because we felt it was important to minimize handling of the
3 ejaculate prior to the mobility analysis. However, once the sperm were liberated (dissolution),
4 the mass of the relatively sperm-free posterior portion of the plug was recorded to the nearest
5 0.01g (Mettler BB2400).

6 **Statistical analysis**

7 Statistical analyses were conducted in Sigmaplot 11.0 and/or XLSTAT 2012.6.02 (GLM mixed
8 models). Sperm counts were ln transformed to equalize variance. Body Condition Index (BCI)
9 was calculated as the residual derived from the linear regression of male mass on male SVL
10 (Bradshaw, 1986; Moore, Lerner, Lerner & Mason, 2000) fitted in Sigmaplot 11.0 (Adj. $R^2 =$
11 0.875 , $P < 0.0001$). The average within-pair coefficient of variation (SD/\bar{X}) for all pairs of
12 absorbance readings (i.e., mobility scores, $N = 35$ pairs) was 0.061 . Absorbance readings of
13 mixed samples (Mix) and sperm counts for each replicate cuvette were highly correlated ($R =$
14 $.921$, Adj. $R^2 = 0.846$, $P < 0.001$). The plugs were allowed to dissolve for the same period (± 1 h),
15 i.e., the interval between mating and when the assay was run, and not correlated with the time
16 of day when the assay was run (hereafter “start time”) ($R^2 = 0.018$, $P = 0.540$). However, start
17 time was positively correlated with absorbance readings at 5 min. ($T1: R^2 = 0.236$, $P = 0.019$) but
18 not 10 min. ($T2: R^2 = 0.146$, $P = 0.072$) or those of the samples after mixing (Mix: $R^2 = 0.006$, $P =$
19 0.732). The effect of start time became pronounced after controlling for sperm numbers, i.e.,
20 $T2$ absorbance/Mix absorbance ($T2/\text{Mix}: R^2 = 0.414$, $P = 0.001$). Therefore, the effect of start
21 time of the assay was statistically removed by using the standardized residuals from a
22 regression analysis of $T2/\text{Mix}$ as a function of start time for most of the analyses. We used a

1 generalized linear regression, mixed models to conduct repeated measures analysis on mobility
2 scores, with mate number as a fixed effect, male identity as a random effect and start time of
3 assay as a covariate (REML estimation method, and compound symmetry covariance structure
4 in XLSTAT).

5

6 **Results**

7 **Sperm numbers**

8 Five plugs were excluded from the analysis due to excessive clumping due to incomplete
9 deliquescence of the plug, which precluded repeatable sperm counts. Sperm counts were
10 estimated from the remaining 45 plugs which ranged from 1.19×10^7 to 7.01×10^8 [$\bar{X} = 7.98 \times 10^7$
11 (SEM = 1.89×10^7)]. Sperm counts were not affected by male size ($R^2 = 0.004$, $P = 0.673$) or
12 copulation duration ($R^2 = 0.027$; $P = 0.451$) **Figures 1a and 2b respectively**. Males did not
13 allocate more sperm to larger females (Fsvl, $R^2 = 0.047$, $P = 0.322$). However, copulation
14 duration was positively correlated with female mass (Fmass, $R^2 = 0.187$, $P = 0.024$). There was
15 weak evidence of a positive, but non-significant, correlation between the mass of the plug after
16 liberation of the sperm (hereafter, remnant plug mass) and female size (Fsvl, $R^2 = 0.158$, $P =$
17 0.060), and remnant plug mass was significantly correlated with copulation duration ($R^2 =$
18 0.221 , $P = 0.018$). Remnant plug mass was not related to the number of sperm inseminated ($R^2 =$
19 0.011 , $P = 0.611$).

20

21 Sperm numbers decreased significantly from the first matings to the second, with first matings
22 producing 5.8 times more sperm on average than second matings (N = 12, Repeated measures

1 ANOVA, $F_{1,16} = 13.011$, $P = 0.004$), **Figure 2**. Sperm numbers from three plugs produced from
2 third matings were 4.75×10^6 , 8.61×10^7 and 3.66×10^8 ; this low number of plugs prohibits a
3 meaningful statistical analysis.

4
5 Limiting the analysis to the male's first matings only, male size (snout to vent length: svl) and
6 body condition (BCI: residuals of $M_{mass} | M_{svl}$ generated by regression analysis) did not
7 predict sperm numbers (M_{svl} , $R^2 = 0.105$, $P = 0.131$; BCI, $R^2 = 0.025$, $P = 0.475$)

8 **Sperm mobility**

9 The initial analysis was confined to the male's first matings. Seven individuals were removed
10 from the analysis due to unusually high variance in absorbance readings that were attributable
11 to debris from plug material. Time of day when the assay was conducted had a significant effect
12 on mobility (T2/Mix) for first matings ($R^2 = 0.341$, $P < 0.001$), thus standardized residuals from
13 this regression analysis were used for the remainder of the analyses. Among-male variation in
14 mobility was significant (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA on ranks; $H = 37.265$, $df = 22$, $P = 0.022$), **Figure**
15 **3**. Analysis of the first matings only revealed a significant negative relationship between sperm
16 mobility and male size (M_{svl} : $R^2 = 0.122$; $P = 0.042$), **Figure 4**. Mobility was not correlated with
17 total sperm inseminated ($R^2 = 0.035$, $P = 0.392$) or BCI ($R^2 = 0.039$, $P = 0.324$).

18 Mobility of first and second matings by 15 males was analyzed to assess sperm quality after
19 successive matings (male mate #). Mobility improved significantly from first to second matings
20 in these 15 males [GLM mixed model; $F_{1,29} = 10.530$, $P = 0.003$], **Figure 5**. Female size was not
21 significantly different between first and second matings (F_{svl} , $t_{df=14} = 0.981$, $P = 0.343$) and the

1 difference in female size (Fsvl) from the first mating to the second was not correlated with the
2 change in a male's sperm mobility ($R^2 = 0.022$, $P = 0.595$). Male size was not significantly
3 correlated with mobility in the second mating ($R^2 = 0.000$, $P = 0.856$).

4 5 Discussion

6
7 We used the framework of sperm competition theory to investigate within-population variation
8 in sperm traits. Uncovering correlates of variation in sperm number offers insight into how
9 postcopulatory selection operates within a population (Birkhead & Pizzari, 2002; Parker, 1971).
10 To our knowledge, this is the first direct quantitative investigation of the correlates of multiple
11 ejaculate traits from natural inseminations in any snake and, more generally, only one of a few
12 in squamates (e.g., Olsson, 2001; Tokarz, 1999; Tokarz & Slowinski, 1990).

13 **Sperm limitation**

14 Males are sperm limited as there was an average of over a five-fold decrease in sperm numbers
15 from first to second matings. Sperm numbers decreased equally from first to second matings
16 regardless of male size; thus, neither male size-class saved sperm for second matings,
17 suggesting males allocate a maximum amount of their sperm to first matings. The decline in
18 sperm numbers between matings may translate to a decline in paternity, but that outcome
19 needs to be tested. Without knowledge of sperm attrition rates within the female reproductive
20 tract, we do not know when a male should be considered sperm-depleted. Twenty percent of
21 females remate in arenas (Shine et al., 2000), and if they are remating to receive adequate
22 numbers of sperm to fertilize their ova, then this percentage may represent the fraction of

1 males that are sperm-depleted. An experiment could be designed to test for a correlation
2 between female remating rate and male mate number.

3

4 ***Ejaculate quality***

5 Ejaculate quality has also been shown to be important in sperm competition. In domestic fowl
6 inseminated with experimentally manipulated heterospermic ejaculates, low quality sperm that
7 had a numerical advantage fertilized eggs produced early in the laying order (Pizzari et al.,
8 2008b). However, high quality sperm overcame the numerical disadvantage to fertilize most of
9 the eggs over the whole clutch (Pizzari et al., 2008b). Findings such as this reveal the dynamic
10 nature of sperm competition when sperm are stored within the female reproductive tract. In
11 our study, there was significant among male variation in ejaculate quality, as measured by
12 mobility. There are a few explanations that may explain the variation. All of the males we
13 collected had seemed to be newly emerged, had good body condition and were vigorous
14 courtiers. However, one could not know if a newly emerged male had mated the previous fall,
15 which may account for variation in both sperm quantity and quality. Schulte-Hostedde and
16 Montgomerie (2006) also failed to find significant effect of body condition on sperm
17 concentration in water snakes, but did find positive correlation with hematocrit, which we did
18 not measure. In our study, smaller males had higher mobility than larger males in first matings,
19 but this effect disappeared in second matings. Overall, ejaculate quality improved on second
20 matings and the size effect disappeared. It is conceivable that the improvement in quality
21 makes up for the decline in sperm numbers from the first mating to the second in a competitive
22 context. After correcting for the number of sperm (i.e. T2/Mix), an increase in sperm mobility

1 represents a larger proportion of sperm that moves against resistance (Froman & Feltmann,
2 2000), which has been shown to predict sperm competitiveness in fowl (Birkhead et al., 1999;
3 Pizzari et al., 2008b). Thus, an increase in the quality of the ejaculate, even with a decrease in
4 sperm numbers, may explain why paternity was unaffected by male mate number (Friesen,
5 Kerns & Mason, in review). However, a non-adaptive, mechanistic explanation for the increase
6 in sperm mobility seems more straightforward. In *T.s. parietalis*, spermatogenesis occurs in
7 August through September (Krohmer et al., 1987) and as sperm are produced, they first fill the
8 most caudal portion of the ductus deferens (Friesen per. obs.); these sperm would also be the
9 first to be inseminated. As sperm function declines with sperm age (Pizzari, Dean, Pacey, Moore
10 & Bonsall, 2008a), earlier inseminations most likely contain older sperm. Larger males may have
11 made more sperm and thus have more “old” sperm relative to new sperm in their first
12 ejaculate.

13 Other factors that may affect sperm quality and quantity, such as parasite loads and intrinsic
14 resistance and tolerance of parasites (e.g., Liljedal, Folstad & Skarstein, 1999; Måsvaer, Liljedal
15 & Folstad, 2004) deserve immediate investigation. Males of this species harbor multiple genera
16 of helminth parasites including trematodes that are found in close association with the gonads
17 and fat stores (Gibson & Rabalais, 1973; Rau & Gordon, 1978, Uhrig pers. comm.). It is possible
18 that these parasites directly damage the gonads, reduce energy availability for gamete
19 production, and/or elicit an immune response that in turn alters gamete production, all
20 consequences of parasitism observed in other host species (Figschou, Folstad, Rudolfsen,
21 Hanssen, Kortet, Skau, Killie, Oskam & Strand, 2013; Lafferty & Kuris, 2009). This question is
22 being investigated currently.

1 **Ejaculate adjustment**

2 Males did not adjust the quantity or the quality of their sperm in accordance to female size or
3 mass in contrast to what has been found in numerous taxa (Delbarco-Trillo, 2011; Kelly &
4 Jennions, 2011; Wedell et al., 2002). Males may inseminate as much sperm as they are able
5 during their first mating regardless of female size because the risk of sperm competition is high
6 in these populations. Eighty-five percent of litters produced by females that were allowed to
7 mate only once during the spring exhibited multiple paternity (2-3 fathers, Friesen et al. in
8 prep.). Furthermore, although most garter snakes form mating aggregations at spring
9 emergence (Gregory, 1984), the aggregations of the Interlake region are by far the largest
10 (Shine et al., 2006). Perceived risk of sperm competition (probability that a female is
11 polyandrous) is perpetually high among rival males in these larger mating aggregations and in
12 our arena trials as well (20 males per female). Males do prefer to court unmated females
13 without copulatory plugs (Shine et al., 2000) suggesting that they reduce energetic investment
14 in courtship based on perceived intensity of sperm competition (Kvarnemo & Simmons, 2013).
15 Males are predicted to decrease ejaculate investment as the intensity of sperm competition
16 (intensity = number of competing ejaculates Kvarnemo & Simmons, 2013) decreases, but this
17 prediction has mixed support (Kelly & Jennions, 2011). Regardless, in red-sided garter snakes,
18 sperm stored within the female reproductive tract from autumnal matings would leave no cues
19 that would indicate the intensity of sperm competition for males to act on.

20 Although sperm quantity and quality were not correlated with female size, we did find that
21 copulation duration was correlated with both the remnant plug mass and female body mass,

1 suggesting males allocate more copulatory plug material to larger females. The remnant plug
2 mass represents the posterior-most portion of the copulatory plug, which contains relatively
3 few sperm (Friesen et al., 2013). Shine *et al.* (Shine et al., 2000) found a similar trend between
4 whole plug mass and female size (with presumably larger cloacae), but no relationship with
5 copulation duration. The lack of a relationship between sperm numbers and copulation
6 duration in the current study suggests it may be that the variation in copulation duration is
7 explained by allocating more plug material to bigger females. To the extent that plugs act as
8 passive mate-guarding devices (Shine et al., 2000), males may be allocating more mate-
9 guarding resources to larger females instead of more sperm.

10 The findings of our current study, namely the decrease in the number of sperm inseminated
11 across successive matings, suggest that there may be an upper limit on the number of matings
12 in which male red-sided garter snakes can gain paternity. Depletion of sperm may be a general
13 problem for males with a dissociated reproductive pattern (Crews et al., 1984). How variation in
14 ejaculate traits translates to fertilization success has been extensively documented in other
15 species (reviewed in Birkhead & Møller, 1998), and paternity analysis coupled with evaluation
16 of each male's ejaculate would be useful to elucidate the effects of sperm limitation on males
17 and females in this system. Males may trade-off investment in sperm for investment in the
18 paternity assurance of the copulatory plug. The energetics mediating this tradeoff is fertile
19 ground for exploration.

20

21 **Acknowledgements**

1

2 We thank Dave Roberts (Manitoba Dept. of Natural Resources) for logistical support, and the
3 residents of Chatfield (especially Al and Gerry Johnson, our thoughts are with you) for
4 encouragement. David Froman provided invaluable guidance in the design and modification of
5 the mobility assay for use in garter snake ejaculates. Deb Lutterschmidt, Heather Waye assisted
6 with data collection, Sarah L. Eddy, Emily J. Uhrig and two anonymous reviewers provided
7 excellent and invaluable comments on the manuscript. Financial support was provided by the
8 National Science Foundation Doctoral Dissertation Improvement Grant (IOS-1011727) to CRF
9 and grant IOS- 0620125 to RTM. Research was conducted under the authority of Oregon State
10 University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee Protocol No. ACUP-3738.

1 **List of Figures**

2 **Figure 1:** Regressions plots of the relationship between sperm numbers from natural ejaculates and **a)**
3 male size (Msvl; $R^2 = 0.004$; $P = 0.673$) **b)** The relationship between sperm inseminated and copulation
4 duration ($R^2 = 0.027$; $P = 0.451$).

5

6 **Figure 2:** Before and after line plot of the decrease in sperm numbers from the first to second matings
7 (Repeated measures ANOVA, $F_{1,16} = 13.011$, $P = 0.004$).

8

9 **Figure 3:** Intrapopulation variation in ejaculate quality as measured by standardized mobility score of
10 first matings.

11

12 **Figure 4:** Effect of male size on sperm mobility of first matings.

13

14 **Figure 5: a)** Relationship between male size (Msvl), first matings (open triangles and dotted line; $R^2 =$
15 0.110 , $P = 0.123$), second matings (closed circles and solid line; $R^2 = 0.000$, $P = 0.856$) and sperm
16 mobility. The slopes are not significantly different (ANCOVA, interaction of Msvl x Mate #, $P = 0.198$). **b)**
17 Before and after line plot of the increase in mobility from the first to the second matings [GLM mixed
18 model (REML)], with male mate # as a fixed effect, male ID as a random effect and start-time of assay as
19 a covariate; $F_{1,29} = 10.530$, $P = 0.003$).

20

1 References

- 2 Aleksiuk M. 1976. Metabolic and behavioral adjustments to temperature change in the red-sided
3 garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*): an integrated approach. *Journal of Thermal*
4 *Biology* 1: 153-156.
- 5 Birkhead T, Martinez J, Burke T, Froman D. 1999. Sperm mobility determines the outcome of sperm
6 competition in the domestic fowl. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B:*
7 *Biological Sciences* 266: 1759-1764.
- 8 Birkhead TR, Møller AP. 1998. *Sperm competition and sexual selection*. Academic Press: San Diego.
- 9 Birkhead TR, Pizzari T. 2002. Postcopulatory Sexual Selection. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 3: 262-273.
- 10 Birkhead TR, Veiga JP, Moller AP. 1994. Male sperm reserves and copulation behaviour in the House
11 Sparrow, *Passer domesticus*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*
12 256: 247-251.
- 13 Bissoondath C, Wiklund C. 1996. Effect of male mating history and body size on ejaculate size and
14 quality in two polyandrous butterflies, *Pieris napi* and *Pieris rapae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae).
15 *Functional Ecology* 10: 457-464.
- 16 Blanchard FN, Blanchard F. 1941. Mating of the garter snake *Thamnophis sirtalis*. *Michigan Academy*
17 *of Sciences, Arts and Letters* 27: 215-234.
- 18 Boschetto C, Gasparini C, Pilastro A. 2011. Sperm number and velocity affect sperm competition
19 success in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 65: 813-821.
- 20 Bradshaw SD. 1986. *Ecophysiology of desert reptiles*. Academic Press Sydney, Australia.
- 21 Casselman SJ, Schulte-Hostedde AI, Montgomerie R. 2006. Sperm quality influences male fertilization
22 success in walleye (*Sander vitreus*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 63:
23 2119-2125.
- 24 Cooper TG, Noonan E, von Eckardstein S, Auger J, Baker HG, Behre HM, Haugen TB, Kruger T, Wang C,
25 Mbizvo MT. 2010. World Health Organization reference values for human semen
26 characteristics. *Human Reproduction Update* 16: 231-245.
- 27 Crews D, Camazine B, Diamond M, Mason R, Tokarz RR, Garstka WR. 1984. Hormonal independence of
28 courtship behavior in the male garter snake. *Hormones and Behavior* 18: 29-41.
- 29 Delbarco-Trillo J. 2011. Adjustment of sperm allocation under high risk of sperm competition across
30 taxa: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 24: 1706-1714.
- 31 Dewsbury DA. 1982. Ejaculate cost and male choice. *American Naturalist* 119: 601-610.
- 32 Duvall D, Schuett GW, Arnold SJ. 1993. Ecology and evolution of snake mating systems. In: Seigel RA
33 and Collins JT, eds. *Snakes: ecology and behavior*. New York: McGraw-Hill. 165-200.
- 34 Dziminski MA, Roberts JD, Beveridge M, Simmons LW. 2009. Sperm competitiveness in frogs: slow and
35 steady wins the race. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 276:
36 3955-3961.
- 37 Emlen ST, Oring LW. 1977. Ecology, sexual selection, and the evolution of mating systems. *Science*
38 197: 215-223.
- 39 Fahrig BM, Mitchell MA, Eilts BE, Paccamonti DL. 2007. Characterization and cooled storage of semen
40 from corn snakes (*Elaphe guttata*). *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* 38: 7-12.
- 41 Figenschou L, Folstad I, Rudolfsen G, Hanssen S, Kortet R, Skau P, Killie J, Oskam I, Strand H. 2013. The
42 relative effect of parasites and social status on sperm traits in Arctic charr. *Behavioral Ecology*
43 24: 497-504.
- 44 Fitch HS. 1965. An ecological study of the garter snake, *Thamnophis sirtalis*. *University of Kansas*
45 *Publications of the Museum of Natural History* 15: 493-564.

- 1 Friesen CR, Kerns A, Mason R. in review. Sperm competition and mate-order effects in red-sided
2 garter snakes.
- 3 Friesen CR, Shine R, Krohmer RW, Mason RT. 2013. Not just a chastity belt: the functional significance
4 of mating plugs in garter snakes, revisited. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 109: 893-
5 907.
- 6 Froman D, Feltmann A. 2000. Sperm mobility: Phenotype in roosters (*Gallus domesticus*) determined
7 by concentration of motile sperm and straight line velocity. *Biology of Reproduction* 62: 303-
8 309.
- 9 Froman DP. 2007. Sperm motility in birds: insights from fowl sperm. *Soc Reprod Fertil Suppl* 65: 293-
10 308.
- 11 Froman DP, Feltmann AJ, Rhoads ML, Kirby JD. 1999. Sperm mobility: A primary determinant of
12 fertility in the domestic fowl (*Gallus domesticus*). *Biology of Reproduction* 61: 400.
- 13 Froman DP, Kirby JD. 2005. Sperm mobility: phenotype in roosters (*Gallus domesticus*) determined by
14 mitochondrial function. *Biology of Reproduction* 72: 562-567.
- 15 Froman DP, McLean DJ. 1996. Objective measurement of sperm motility based upon sperm
16 penetration of Accudenz®. *Poultry Science* 75: 776-784.
- 17 Gage MJG, Macfarlane CP, Yeates S, Ward RG, Searle JB, Parker GA. 2004. Spermatozoal traits and
18 sperm competition in Atlantic salmon: Relative sperm velocity is the primary determinant of
19 fertilization success. *Current Biology* 14: 44-47.
- 20 Gibson JB, Rabalais FC. 1973. Helminth parasites of *Natrix sipedon sipedon* and *Thamnophis sirtalis*
21 *sirtalis* from the Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge, Ohio. *American Midland Naturalist*: 239-
22 241.
- 23 Gregory PG. 1984. Communal denning in snakes. In: Fitch HS and Seigel RA, eds. *Vertebrate ecology*
24 *and systematics : A tribute to Henry S. Fitch*. Lawrence: University of Kansas.
- 25 Gregory PT. 1974. Patterns of spring emergence of the red-sided garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis*
26 *parietalis*) in the Interlake region of Manitoba. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 52: 1063–1069.
- 27 Hawley AWL, Aleksyuk M. 1975. Thermal regulation of spring mating behavior in the red-sided garter
28 snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 53: 768-776.
- 29 Hines AH, Jivoff PR, Bushmann PJ, van Montfrans J, Reed SA, Wolcott DL, Wolcott TG. 2003. Evidence
30 for sperm limitation in the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 72: 287-
31 310.
- 32 Hoskins MA, Aleksyuk M. 1973. Effects of temperature on the kinetics of malate dehydrogenase from a
33 cold climate reptile, *Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*. *Comp Biochem Physiol B* 45: 343-353.
- 34 Joy JE, Crews D. 1985. Social dynamics of group courtship behavior in male red-sided garter snakes
35 (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*). *Journal of Comparative Psychology* 99: 145-149.
- 36 Kelly CD, Jennions MD. 2011. Sexual selection and sperm quantity: meta-analyses of strategic
37 ejaculation. *Biological Reviews* 86: 863-884.
- 38 Kitchell JF. 1969. Thermophilic and thermophobic responses of snakes in a thermal gradient. *Copeia*
39 1969: 189-191.
- 40 Krohmer RW, Grassman M, Crews D. 1987. Annual reproductive cycle in the male red-sided garter
41 snake, *Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*: field and laboratory studies. *General and Comparative*
42 *Endocrinology* 68: 64-75.
- 43 Kvarnemo C, Simmons LW. 2013. Polyandry as a mediator of sexual selection before and after mating.
44 *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* 368:
45 1471-.
- 46 Lafferty KD, Kuris AM. 2009. Parasitic castration: the evolution and ecology of body snatchers. *Trends*
47 *in Parasitology* 25: 564-572.

- 1 Larsen KW, Gregory PT, Antoniak R. 1993. Reproductive ecology of the common garter snake,
2 *Thamnophis sirtalis*, at the northern limit of its range. *American Midland Naturalist* 129: 336-
3 345.
- 4 Liljedal S, Folstad I, Skarstein F. 1999. Secondary sex traits, parasites, immunity and ejaculate quality
5 in the Arctic charr. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 266:
6 1893-1898.
- 7 Lutterschmidt DJ, LeMaster MP, Mason RT. 2006. Minimal overwintering temperatures of red-sided
8 garter snakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*): a possible cue for emergence? *Canadian Journal*
9 *of Zoology* 84: 771-777.
- 10 Måsvaer M, Liljedal S, Folstad I. 2004. Are secondary sex traits, parasites and immunity related to
11 variation in primary sex traits in the Arctic charr? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London.*
12 *Series B: Biological Sciences* 271: 40-42.
- 13 Mattson KJ, Vries AD, McGuire SM, Krebs J, Louis EE, Loskutoff NM. 2007. Successful artificial
14 insemination in the corn snake, *Elaphe gutatta*, using fresh and cooled semen. *Zoo Biology* 26:
15 363-369.
- 16 Miller GT, Pitnick S. 2002. Sperm-female coevolution in *Drosophila*. *Science* 298: 1230-1233.
- 17 Moore IT, Lerner JP, Lerner DT, Mason RT. 2000. Relationships between annual cycles of testosterone,
18 corticosterone, and body condition in male red-spotted garter snakes, *Thamnophis sirtalis*
19 *concinus*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 73: 307-312.
- 20 Nakatsuru K, Kramer DL. 1982. Is sperm cheap? Limited male fertility and female choice in the lemon
21 tetra (Pisces, Characidae). *Science* 216: 753-755.
- 22 Olsson M. 2001. 'Voyeurism' prolongs copulation in the dragon lizard *Ctenophorus fordi*. *Behavioral*
23 *Ecology and Sociobiology* 50: 378-381.
- 24 Olsson M, Madsen T. 1998. Sexual selection and sperm competition in reptiles. In: Birkhead TR and
25 Møller AP, eds. *Sperm Competition and Sexual Selection*. San Diego: Academic Press. 503-578.
- 26 Olsson M, Madsen T, Shine R. 1997. Is sperm really so cheap? Costs of reproduction in male adders,
27 *Vipera berus*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 264:
28 455-459.
- 29 Parker GA. 1970. Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects. *Biological*
30 *Reviews* 45: 525-567.
- 31 Parker GA. 1971. The reproductive behaviour and the nature of sexual selection in *Scatophaga*
32 *stercoraria* L. (Diptera:Scatophagidae). *The Journal of Animal Ecology* 40: 215-233.
- 33 Parker GA. 1982. Why are there so many tiny sperm? Sperm competition and the maintenance of two
34 sexes. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 96: 281-294.
- 35 Parker GA. 1990. Sperm competition games: raffles and roles. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*
36 *London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 242: 120-126.
- 37 Parker GA, Birkhead TR. 2013. Polyandry: The history of a revolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
38 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 368: 1-8.
- 39 Parker GA, Pizzari T. 2010. Sperm competition and ejaculate economics. *Biological Reviews* 85: 897-
40 934.
- 41 Pizzari T, Cornwallis CK, Froman DP. 2007. Social competitiveness associated with rapid fluctuations in
42 sperm quality in male fowl. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 274: 853-
43 860.
- 44 Pizzari T, Dean R, Pacey A, Moore H, Bonsall MB. 2008a. The evolutionary ecology of pre- and post-
45 meiotic sperm senescence. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 23: 131- 140.
- 46 Pizzari T, Froman DP, Birkhead TR. 2002. Pre- and post-insemination episodes of sexual selection in
47 the fowl, *Gallus g. domesticus*. *Heredity* 88: 112-116.

- 1 Pizzari T, Worley K, Burke T, Froman DP. 2008b. Sperm competition dynamics: Ejaculate fertilising
2 efficiency changes differentially with time. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 8: 332.
- 3 Preston BT, Stevenson IR, Pemberton JM, Wilson K. 2001. Dominant rams lose out by sperm
4 depletion. *Nature* 409: 681-682.
- 5 Rau M, Gordon D. 1978. Overwintering of helminths in the garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis sirtalis*).
6 *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 56: 1765-1767.
- 7 Schulte-Hostedde A, Montgomerie R. 2006. Intraspecific variation in ejaculate traits of the northern
8 watersnake (*Nerodia sipedon*). *Journal of Zoology* 270: 147-152.
- 9 Shine R, Elphick MJ, Harlow PS, Moore IT, LeMaster MP, Mason RT. 2001. Movements, mating, and
10 dispersal of red-sided gartersnakes (*Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis*) from a communal den in
11 Manitoba. *Copeia* 2001: 82-91.
- 12 Shine R, Langkilde T, Wall M, Mason RT. 2006. Temporal dynamics of emergence and dispersal of
13 garter snakes from a communal den in Manitoba. *Wildlife Research* 33: 103-111.
- 14 Shine R, Olsson MM, Mason RT. 2000. Chastity belts in gartersnakes: The functional significance of
15 mating plugs. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 70: 377-390.
- 16 Simmons L, Parker G. 1992. Individual variation in sperm competition success of yellow dung flies,
17 *Scatophaga stercoraria*. *Evolution* 46: 366-375.
- 18 Simmons LW. 2005. The evolution of polyandry: Sperm competition, sperm selection, and offspring
19 viability. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 36: 125-146.
- 20 Smith C, Ryan M. 2010. Evolution of sperm quality but not quantity in the internally fertilized fish
21 *Xiphophorus nigrensis*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 23: 1759-1771.
- 22 Tokarz RR. 1999. Relationship between copulation duration and sperm transfer in the lizard *Anolis*
23 *sagrei*. *Herpetologica* 55: 234-241.
- 24 Tokarz RR, Slowinski JB. 1990. Alternation of hemipenis use as a behavioural means of increasing
25 sperm transfer in the lizard *Anolis sagrei*. *Animal Behaviour* 40: 374-379.
- 26 Tourmente M, Cardozo G, Bertona M, Guidobaldi A, Giojalas L, Chiaraviglio M. 2006. The
27 ultrastructure of the spermatozoa of *Boa constrictor occidentalis*, with considerations on its
28 mating system and sperm competition theories. *Acta Zoologica* 87: 25-32.
- 29 Tourmente M, Cardozo GA, Guidobaldi HA, Giojalas LC, Bertona M, Chiaraviglio M. 2007. Sperm
30 motility parameters to evaluate the seminal quality of *Boa constrictor occidentalis*, a
31 threatened snake species. *Research in Veterinary Science* 82: 93-98.
- 32 Tourmente M, Giojalas LC, Chiaraviglio M. 2011. Sperm parameters associated with reproductive
33 ecology in two snake species. *Herpetologica* 67: 58-70.
- 34 Tourmente M, Gomendio M, Roldan ERS, Giojalas LC, Chiaraviglio M. 2009. Sperm competition and
35 reproductive mode influence sperm dimensions and structure among snakes. *Evolution* 63:
36 2513-2524.
- 37 Uller T, Olsson M. 2008. Multiple paternity in reptiles: patterns and processes. *Molecular Ecology* 17:
38 2566-2580.
- 39 Uller T, Stuart-Fox D, Olsson M. 2010. Evolution of primary sexual characters in reptiles. In: Leonard JL
40 and Córdoba-Aguilar A, eds. *Evolution of Primary Sexual Characters in Animals*. Oxford: Oxford
41 University Press. 425-452.
- 42 Wedell N, Gage MJG, Parker GA. 2002. Sperm competition, male prudence and sperm-limited females.
43 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17: 313-320.

44

45

