

Flower, Fruit, and Petiole Color of American Beautyberry (*Callicarpa americana* L.) Are Controlled by a Single Gene with Three Alleles

The Faculty of Oregon State University has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation	Contreras, R. N., Ruter, J. M., & Knauff, D. A. (2014). Flower, Fruit, and Petiole Color of American Beautyberry (<i>Callicarpa americana</i> L.) Are Controlled by a Single Gene with Three Alleles. <i>HortScience</i> , 49(4), 422-424.
DOI	
Publisher	American Society for Horticultural Science
Version	Accepted Manuscript
Terms of Use	http://cdss.library.oregonstate.edu/sa-termsfuse

1 Flower, fruit, and petiole color of american beautyberry (*Callicarpa americana* L.) are
2 controlled by a single gene with three alleles

3

4 Ryan N. Contreras¹

5 *Department of Horticulture, Oregon State University, 4017 Agricultural and Life*
6 *Sciences Building, Corvallis, OR 97331*

7

8 John M. Ruter²

9 *Allan Armitage Professor of Horticulture, Department of Horticulture, University of*
10 *Georgia, 327 Hoke Smith, Athens, GA 30602*

11

12 David A. Knauff³

13 *Department of Horticulture, University of Georgia, 1111 Miller Plant Sciences*
14 *Building* *Athens, GA 30602*

15

16 We thank Nancy Hand and Bruce Tucker for technical assistance.

17

18 ¹Assistant Professor and to whom reprints should be addressed. E-mail address:

19 contrery@hort.oregonstate.edu

20 ²Professor

21 ³Emeritus Professor

22

23

24 Subject Category: Breeding, Cultivars, Rootstocks, and Germplasm Resources

25

26

27 Flower, fruit, and petiole color of american beautyberry (*Callicarpa americana* L.) are
28 controlled by a single gene with three alleles

29

30 *Additional index words.* Mendelian inheritance, apomixis, pleiotropy, self-compatible

31

32 *Abstract.* American beautyberry (*Callicarpa americana*) is a deciduous shrub native to
33 the southeast U.S. and is grown primarily for its metallic-purple fruit that develop in the
34 fall. There are also pink- and white-fruited and variegated forms but these traits are rare
35 in nature and there is no information available regarding their inheritance. Also, there is
36 confusion regarding self-compatibility and the presence of apomixis in *Callicarpa* L.

37 Crosses were performed to investigate the genetics of fruit color, self-compatibility, and
38 apomixis in american beautyberry. Test crosses between *C. americana* (CA) and *C.*

39 *americana* 'Lactea' (CAL) suggested that white fruit is recessive to purple. White fruit
40 appears to be controlled by a single recessive gene for which we propose the name *white*

41 *fruit* and the gene symbol *wft*. While there were only a limited number of progeny

42 grown, crosses between CA and 'Welch's Pink' suggest that purple is dominant to pink.

43 Test crosses between CAL and 'Welch's Pink' are needed to draw conclusions; however,

44 we propose that purple, pink, and white fruit are controlled by an allelic series for which

45 we suggest the gene symbols $Wft > wft^P > wft$. Segregation ratios suggested that all

46 progeny in the study developed through sexual hybridization. All genotypes used in the
47 current study were self-compatible.

48

49 *Callicarpa* L. is a genus of ~150 species of shrubs and trees distributed throughout the
50 world including warm-temperate and tropical America, South East Asia, the Pacific
51 Islands, and Australia (Harden, 1992) with the greatest concentration of species found in
52 southeast Asia, specifically the Philippine Islands (Atkins, 1999). There are
53 approximately 28 New World species, of which 16 are endemic to Cuba (Moldenke,
54 1936). The native distribution of american beautyberry (*C. americana* L.) in the U.S.
55 ranges from Maryland in the north, west to Missouri, and south along the Gulf Coast
56 from south Texas to Florida (USDA, 2009). American beautyberry produces a berry-like
57 drupe in axillary cymes that encircle the stem and ripen in the fall. The wild-type color is
58 metallic-purple to magenta but there are cultivars with white ('Lactea' and 'Bok Tower')
59 and pink ('Welch's Pink') fruit; both of which are rare in nature. There are also leaf-
60 variegated forms of American beautyberry such as 'Berries and Cream', which exhibit a
61 mottled and unstable variegation pattern. To our knowledge, there is no information in
62 the literature on the inheritance of either trait for any species of *Callicarpa*, including *C.*
63 *americana*.

64 There is confusion about the self-compatibility and presence of apomixis in
65 *Callicarpa*. Dirr (2009) reported that *C. dichotoma* (Lour.) K. Koch produces fruit
66 consistently every year even when isolated from other seedlings or species, suggesting
67 self-compatibility, but *C. japonica* Thunb. produces fruit only when planted in a group,
68 possibly indicating self-incompatibility. Three species of beautyberry (*C. glabra* Koidz.,
69 *C. nishimurae* Koidz., *C. subpubescens* Hook et Arn.) endemic to the Bonin Islands of
70 Japan have been reported to be functionally dioecious (Kawakubo, 1990). However, *C.*
71 *longissima* (Hemsl.) Merr. and *C. pedunculata* R. Br. produced viable seed after self-

72 pollination in a glasshouse (personal observation; unpublished data). Populations
73 resulting from open-pollination of *C. dichotoma* ‘Issai’ or *C. americana* ‘Welch’s Pink’
74 were very uniform; appearing almost clonal (M. Dirr, personal communication). This
75 anecdotal lack of diversity in seedling populations suggests that apomixis or homozygous
76 parent plants since either self- or cross-pollination of heterozygous parents should result
77 in variation from the parental type (Ozias-Akins, 2006). Tsukaya et al. (2003) confirmed
78 that *C. ×shirasawana* Makino is a natural hybrid resulting from the cross *C. japonica* × *C.*
79 *mollis* Sieb. et Zucc. and its fertility was confirmed by pollen staining and seed
80 germination of the F₁ as well as successful backcrossing to *C. japonica* (Tsukaya et al.,
81 2003). These results indicate that sexual reproduction exists in the genus and at least
82 some level of outcrossing is observed.

83 The goal of the current research was to use controlled crosses to investigate the
84 genetics of fruit color in *C. americana*. Results of crosses and segregation ratios of
85 progeny were also used for inference about apomixis and self-incompatibility in american
86 beautyberry.

87

88 **Materials and Methods**

89 Plants of *Callicarpa americana* (CA; Accession no. GEN08-0036), *C. americana*
90 ‘Lactea’ (CAL), and *C. americana* ‘Welch’s Pink’ were maintained at the University of
91 Georgia Tifton Campus in 11.4-L containers filled with substrate containing 8 pine bark :
92 1 sand amended with 0.91 kg·m⁻³ dolomitic lime and 0.45 kg·m⁻³ Micromax (The Scotts
93 Co., Marysville, Ohio) and topdressed with 45 g of Osmocote Plus 15-4.0-9.1 (The Scotts
94 Co.). The wild-type (GEN08-0036) was from a north Georgia provenance collected near

95 Athens, GA. Controlled crosses were conducted in a glasshouse with day/night set
96 temperatures of 27/20 °C. For cross-pollination and emasculation only (EO) treatments,
97 emasculation was performed at least one day prior to anthesis. For self-pollination,
98 emasculation was not performed and pollen was applied to the stigma by direct contact
99 with an anther of the same flower. For cross-pollination, pollen was collected by tapping
100 inflorescences over a petri dish and was then applied to receptive stigmas of emasculated
101 flowers using brushes. After ripening, fruit were scored as purple, pink, or white (Figure
102 1), collected and counted, and then seed were cleaned by hand and counted. Seed were
103 then subjected to cold, moist stratification at 4 °C for 60 d and sown in the same pine
104 bark substrate as above. Controlled crosses performed to investigate fruit color may be
105 found in Table 1. In addition to these crosses, 113 flowers of CA were subjected to EO
106 to determine if pollination was necessary for fruit development. Chi-square analysis was
107 conducted to test for goodness-of-fit to theoretical ratios (PROC FREQ; SAS version 9.1,
108 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C.).

Fig 1

Tab 1

110 Results

111 *Fruit color.* All progeny resulting from self-pollination of CA and CAL had fruit that
112 were purple and white, respectively (Table 1) suggesting that both are homozygous for
113 fruit color alleles. Reciprocal crosses between CA and CAL yielded all purple fruit with
114 the exception of four white individuals that were obtained when CAL was used as the
115 pistillate parent and are likely the result of self-pollination, as each fruit contains four
116 seeds. These four individuals were not included in chi-square analysis. Both F₂ families
117 fit the expected 3 : 1 ratio (F_{2P1} $P = 0.50$; F_{2P2} $P = 0.48$) and all three backcross (BC)

118 families fit the expected 1 : 1 ratio [(BC_{1P1} $P = 0.32$; BC_{1P2} $P = 0.67$; BC_{2P2} $P = 1.00$)
119 (Table 1)]. These results support the hypothesis that white fruit is a simple recessive
120 trait. All 12 plants resulting from crosses using ‘Welch’s Pink’ as the pistillate parent
121 and CA as the pollen parent were purple. This supports the hypothesis that purple is
122 dominant to pink. Three plants resulting from self-pollination of ‘Welch’s Pink’ were
123 pink (data not shown).

124 Fruit color and petiole color were inherited together in 100% of progeny (Fig. 1).
125 Individuals with purple fruit had dark pigmented petioles, individuals with white fruit had
126 green petioles, and individuals with pink fruit had petioles of intermediate pigmentation.
127 Flower color also corresponded with fruit color. Individuals with pink flowers had purple
128 fruit, while individuals with white flowers had white fruit. Flower color was not recorded
129 for plants with pink fruit but we noted flower color was lighter in ‘Welch’s Pink’ than in
130 the wild type. The lack of segregation between flower, fruit, and petiole color suggests
131 that they are likely controlled by a single pleiotropic gene or possibly by two very tightly
132 linked genes.

133

134 *Self-compatibility and apomixis.* Self-pollination of *C. americana* in a glasshouse
135 produced viable seed indicating self-compatibility. The 113 flowers subjected to EO
136 treatment produced only 0.3 seed per emasculated flower (data not shown), which was
137 lower than for pollination treatments (2.9 seed per pollinated flower). The seed produced
138 from EO treatment is likely to be produced from accidental cross-pollination or self-
139 pollination, as growth in american beautyberry is indeterminate and flowers receiving EO
140 treatment were below newly expanding flowers above. Furthermore, segregation for

141 purple fruit pigmentation in F₂ and BC families is indicative of sexual recombination as
142 opposed to apomixis.

143

144 **Discussion**

145 Progeny showed neither variation in intensity of purple fruit color, nor
146 intermediates between purple and white or purple and pink color. This supports the
147 conclusion that complete dominance in the gene controlling fruit color. In contrast,
148 Honda et al. (1990) present evidence that fruit color in beefsteak plant (*Perilla frutescens*
149 Britton) is controlled by a single incomplete dominant gene (*W*) that results in three
150 phenotypic classes with white being recessive. Mature fruit color in *Capsicum annuum*
151 L. is reported to be controlled by three genes, which also show complete dominance,
152 identified genetically as *yc₁c₁c₂c₂* (Shifriss and Pilovsky, 1992). Fruit color is
153 controlled by two genes with dominant epistasis in summer squash [(*Cucurbita pepo* L.)
154 (Globerson, 1969)] and hybrid grapes [(*Vitis* spp. L.) (Barritt and Einset, 1969)]. In both
155 examples, white fruit is recessive and is identified as *crr* in summer squash (Globerson,
156 1969) and *brr* in grapes (Barritt and Einset, 1969). In the current study, white fruit color
157 appears to be controlled by a single recessive gene for which we propose the name *white*
158 *fruit* and the symbol *wft*. The lack of intermediates between the three classes (purple,
159 pink, white) suggests that there are three alleles for fruit color. All progeny (F₁, F₂, and
160 BC families) have shown that purple is dominant to white, and a limited number of
161 progeny tested indicate that purple is dominant to pink. We propose the gene symbols for
162 the allelic series controlling fruit color as *Wft* > *wft^P* > *wft* for purple (wild-type), pink,
163 and white fruit, respectively.

164 Flower, petiole, and fruit color co-segregated in all F₁, F₂, and BC families
165 suggesting either a single pleiotropic gene or tight linkage between genes controlling
166 these traits. Dirr (2009) also noted a correlation between flower and fruit color in
167 american beautyberry. Pleiotropic genes controlling pigment production in multiple
168 organs was described previously by Evans et al. (1984), who reported the tangerine-
169 virescent (tv-tc1) character in tomato that results in orange flowers and fruit and yellow
170 virescent leaves is controlled by a single recessive allele. Linkage cannot be ruled out
171 completely; however, the lack of recombinant progeny makes pleiotropy a more likely
172 scenario. The co-segregation of petiole and fruit color may be a useful tool in early
173 screening of american beautyberry progeny.

174 We used an EO treatment and reciprocal crosses between white and purple-fruited
175 plants to determine if apomixis is present in american beautyberry. The EO treatment
176 resulted in reduced fruit and seed set. The fruit and seed that were produced after
177 emasculation are likely the result of accidental self- or cross-pollination. These results
178 suggest that pollination is required for seed set. Additionally, the fact that F₁, F₂, and BC
179 families fit the expected Mendelian segregation ratios for fruit color and provides further
180 evidence that all progeny resulted from sexual reproduction. Ozias-Akins (2006) indicated
181 that controlled crosses using a simply inherited trait, such as pigmentation of various
182 organs as used in the current study, are an effective means for determining the relative
183 rates of apomixis vs. amphimixis. This technique has been used to assess apomixis
184 among hybrids involving pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] and *P.*
185 *squamulatum* Fresen. (Roche et al., 2001) using the single dominant gene Rp¹ for red leaf
186 (Hanna and Burton, 1992).

187 Our data provide evidence supporting an allelic series for fruit color in american
188 beautyberry. We propose the symbols for purple, pink, and white fruit as $Wft > wft^P >$
189 wft . Furthermore, our data suggest that the gene controlling fruit color is pleiotropic and
190 also controls flower and petiole color. Test crosses and emasculation also suggested that
191 all of the progeny produced in the current study developed through sexual hybridization
192 and that all genotypes used in the study were self-compatible.
193

194

Literature Cited

- 195 Atkins, S. 1999. *Callicarpa japonica: Labiatae*. Curtis Bot. Mag. 16(2):79-83.
- 196 Barritt, B.H. and J. Einset. 1969. The inheritance of three major fruit colors in grapes. J.
197 Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 94(2):87-89.
- 198 Dirr, M.A. 2009. Manual of woody landscape plants: Their identification, ornamental
199 characteristics, culture, propagation and uses. 6th ed. Stipes, Champaign, Ill.
- 200 Evans, D.A., W.R. Sharp, and H.P. Medina-Filho. 1984 Somaclonal and Gametoclona
201 Variation. Amer. J. Bot. 71:759-774.
- 202 Globerson, D. 1969. The inheritance of white fruit and stem color in summer squash,
203 *Cucurbita pepo* L. Euphytica 18(2):249-255.
- 204 Hanna, W.W. and G.W. Burton. 1992. Genetics of red and purple plant color in pearl
205 millet. J. Hered. 83(5):386-388.
- 206 Harden, G.J. (ed.). 1992. Flora of New South Wales. Vol. 3. New South Wales
207 University Press, Kensington NSW, Australia.
- 208 Honda, G., Y. Koezuka, and M. Tabata. 1990. Genetic studies of fruit color and
209 hardness in *Perilla frutescens*. Jpn. J. Breeding 40:469-474.
- 210 Kawakubo, N. 1990. Dioecism of the genus *Callicarpa* (Verbenaceae) in the Bonin
211 (Ogasawara) islands. Bot. Mag. Tokyo 103:57-66.
- 212 Moldenke, H.N. 1936. A monograph of the genus *Callicarpa* as it occurs in America
213 and in cultivation. Feddes Repertorium 39(10):288-317.
- 214 Ozias-Akins, P. 2006. Apomixis: Developmental characteristics and genetics. Critical
215 Rev. Plant Sci. 25(2):199-214.

216 Roche, D., Z. Chen, W.W. Hanna, and P. Ozias-Akins. 2001. Non-Mendelian
217 transmission of an apospory-specific genomic region in a reciprocal cross
218 between sexual pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and an apomictic F1 (*P.*
219 *glaucum* x *P. squamulatum*). *Sexual Plant Reproduction* 13(4):217-223.

220 Shifriss, C. and M. Pilovsky. 1992. Studies of the inheritance of mature fruit color in
221 *Capsicum annuum* L. *Euphytica* 60(2):123-126.

222 Tsukaya, H., T. Fukuda, and J. Yokoyama. 2003. Hybridization and introgression
223 between *Callicarpa japonica* and *C. mollis* (Verbenaceae) in central Japan, as
224 inferred from nuclear and chloroplast DNA sequences. *Mol. Ecol.* 12(11):3003-
225 3011.

226 USDA, NRCS. 2012. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge,
227 LA. 27 January 2014. <<http://plants.usda.gov>>.

228

229 Table 1. Controlled crosses between *Callicarpa americana* (CA), *C. americana* ‘Lactea’ (CAL), and *C. americana* ‘Welch's
 230 Pink’ (CWP) to determine inheritance of fruit color based on segregation of the number of wild-type, purple individuals
 231 (*Wft*/_) and white individuals (*wft/wft*). Chi square expected ratios are based on the assumption of complete dominance of the
 232 purple allele.

233	Progeny (no. plants)						
	234 Cross (♀ x ♂)	Families	<i>Wft</i> /__	<i>wft/wft</i>	Exp. Ratio	χ^{2z}	<i>P</i>
235	CA selfed	S ₀	65	0	1 : 0	0.00	1.00
236	CAL selfed	S ₀	0	46	0 : 1	0.00	1.00
237	CA x CAL	F _{1P1}	114	0	1 : 0	0.00	1.00
238	CAL x CA	F _{1P2}	88	4 ^y	1 : 0	0.00	1.00
239	F _{1P1} selfed	F _{2P1}	34	14	3 : 1	0.44	0.50
240	F _{1P2} selfed	F _{2P2}	23	10	3 : 1	0.49	0.48
241	F _{1P1} x CAL	BC _{1P1}	15	21	1 : 1	1.00	0.32
242	F _{1P2} x CAL	BC _{1P2}	10	12	1 : 1	0.18	0.67
243	CAL x F _{1P2}	BC _{2P2}	10	10	1 : 1	0.00	1.00
244	CWP x CA	F _{1P4}	12	0	1 : 0	0.00	1.00

245 ^z $\chi^2_{0.05, 1} = 3.841$.

246 ^yUnexpected phenotype that deviates from disomic-monogenic model; likely due to accidental self-pollination. These data not
247 included in chi square analysis.

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259



260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268



269 Figure 1. The three phenotypic classes (purple, pink and white, from left to right) of *Callicarpa*

270 *americana* (A) for fruit and petiole color and (B) close up of petiole color.

271

272