HORTSCIENCE 47(7):866-873. 2012.

Costs of Establishing Organic Northern Highbush Blueberry: Impacts of Planting Method, Fertilization, and Mulch Type

James W. Julian

Department of Agriculture and Resource Economics, University, 213 Ballard Extension Hall, Corvallis, OR 97331 Oregon State

Bernadine C. Strik^{1,3} and Handell O. Larco²

Department of Horticulture, Oregon State University, 4017 ALS, Corvallis, OR 97331

David R. Bryla

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Horticultural Crops Research Unit, 3420 NW Orchard Avenue, Corvallis. OR 97330

Dan M. Sullivan

Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, 3045 ALS, Corvallis, OR 97331

Additional index words. Vaccinium corymbosum, sawdust, compost, weed mat, landscape fabric, fish emulsion, feather meal, economics, cost of production

Additional index words. Vaccinium corymbosum, sawdust, compost, weed mat, landscape fabric, fishe mustion, feather meal, economics, cost of production

Abstract. A systems trial was established to evaluate factorial management practices for organic production of northern highbush blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum L.). The practices included: flat and raised planting beds; feather meal and fish emulsion fertilizer applied at 29 and 57 kg. ha' of nitrogen (N); sawdust mulch, compost topped with sawdust mulch (compost + sawdust), or weed mat; and two cultivars, Duke and Liberty. The planting was established in Oct. 2006 and was certified organic in 2008. Weeds were managed by hand-hoeing or pulling in sawdust and weed mar-mulched plots and a combination of hand-pulling, propane-flaming, and post-emergent, targeted applications of accite acid or lemon grass oil to any weeds present in the compost + sawdust plots depending on year. Data were recorded on input costs and returns in Year 0 (establishment year) through Year 3. Plants were rharvested beginning the second year after planting. Planting costs were 574/lhA higher on raised beds than on the flat, but the higher costs were nore than offset by an average of 53% greater yields that improved net returns by as much as 5286/ha. Cumulative net returns after 3 years were negative and ranged from -532,367 or -550,3527ha when grown on raised beds and form -534,260 or -553,487ha when grown on raised beds and form -534,260 or -553,487ha when grown on raised beds and form -534,260 or -553,487ha when grown on sass of the meal retilizer with the low rate of fish emulsion or the high rate of feather meal, higher costs of establishment and pranting for 'Liberty' compared with 'Duke' were offset by higher net returns in all reatment combinations, except feather meal. Higher costs of establishment and pranting for 'Liberty' compared with 'Duke' were offset by higher net returns in all reatment combinations, except feather meal. Higher the their weed mentions or the high rate of f

Northern highbush blueberries are long-lived perennial plants, requiring 7 years or more to reach full production. The cash costs to establish new plantings, through Year 6, can surpass \$20,165/ha with net cumulative returns of ~59,995/ha (a loss) for conventional blueberry in Oregon (Julian et al., 2011a). In contrast, the cash costs required to establish a "typical" organic blueberry field in Oregon were higher (532,520 ha) but cumulative returns

through Year 6 were positive (\$6,930/ha; Julian et al., 2011b). In plantings yielding 18 tha¹, the breakeven price (to cover total cash costs) was estimated at \$3.08 and \$10x6; cash costs) was estimated at \$3.08 and \$10x6; cor organic blueberries produced (all format of the control of the c

blueberry vary among growers and regions. Although estimated net returns are greater for organic production than conventional (Julian et al., 2011 a.) But its known about the impact of various production systems on the impact of various production systems on the performance and returns of organically grown northern highbush blueberry.

Blueberry plants have shallow roots and grow best on well-drained, high organic mater, acid soils (Eck., 1988). Planting on raised beds is a common production system in blueberry fields (Strik, 2007) to improve drainage and help protect plants from standing water blueberry vary among growers and regions

help protect plants from standing water (Scherm and Krewer, 2008). In contrast,

help protect plants from standing water (Scherm and Krewer, 2008). In contrast, planting on flat ground is thought to increase soil moisture and reduce soil temperature during the fruiting season, which is beneficial to root growth of southern highbush blue-berry (hybrids of V. corymbosum L. and V. darrowi Camp., Spiers, 1995) and may be easier to manage for weed control.

In general, the best growth and yield of blueberry have been achieved with N fertilization rates of 25 to 100 kg har (Bañados et al., 2012; Chandler and Mason, 1942; Eck, 1988; Griggs and Rollins, 1947; Harson, 2006) that et al., 2006) at an estimated cost of \$1 to \$2 kg for synthetic N fertilization or injected through the drip irrigation system and granulastion as a direct liquid application or injected through the drip irrigation system and granulastic flatter meal as N fertilizer sources. Supplying N from feather meal or fish fertilizers is a estimated product cost to growers of \$10 to \$25 kg. N applied our face much has been shown to improve production in bisebony to improve production in butchery through improved weed control, acid moisture, and plant growth (Barkhard and, 2009) Clark, appling growth (Barkhard and, 2009) Clark, applied to from the control of the control of the plant of the control of

and plant growth (Burkhard et al., 2009; Clark, 1991; Clark and Moore, 1991; Krewer et al., 2009; Lareau, 1989; Moore, 1979; White, 1991; Clark and Moore, 1991; Krewer et al., 2009; Lareau, 1989; Moore, 1979; White, 2006). Historically, Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga mentziesti M.) sawdust was readily available and commonly used in conventional and organic blueberry production systems in the northwestern United States. However, sawdust has become increasingly expensive and has a high carbon (C) to N ratio making plant fertility more difficult and expensive to manage with organic fertilitzer products (White, 2006). The use of compost as a mulch in blueberry may have advantages over sawdust. Compost has a lower C to N ratio and releases 3% to 10% of its total N during decomposition for several years after application (Gale et al., 2006; Sikora and Szmitd, 2001). Weed mat of nadscape fabric, an inert mulch (Granatstein and Mullinix, 2008) approved for use as a weed barrier by the USDA Organic National Program (USDA-AMS-NOP, 2011), is an alternative to sawdust mulch. Weed mat six sawd widely in tree fruit orchards, mainly because of its effectiveness for weed control, although weeds appear in the planting hole and removal by hand may be required (Runham et al., 2000). Sciarappa et al. (2008) reported admost complete control of weeds when using weed mat plus a mulch of coffee grinds around the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic blueberry in New Health and the planting area in organic bluebe

weed mat plus a mulch of coffee grinds aroun the planting area in organic blueberry in Net Jersey. However, concerns have been expresse

about possible negative impacts of increasing soil temperature under weed mat on plant growth (Neilsen et al., 2003; Williamson et al., 2006).

Wed management in blueberry is critical for exosmic production because weed present developes yield (Burthard et al., 2009; Krewer et al., 2009; Pritts and Hancock, 1992; Srik et al., 1993). Pre-emergent and centact berthicides are commonly used in convenient production systems, whereas chemical options are limited to OMRI-approved conductions and contract between the convenient production systems, whereas chemical options are limited to OMRI-approved conducts containing action acid or fermion grass. ducts containing acetic acid or lemo oil in organic systems. Acetic acid (vinegar) at a concentration of 9% to 20% has been at a concentration of 9% to 20% has been effective at controlling some weeds (Fausey, 2003; Young, 2004). Organic growers also use mulch and hand removal to control weeds. Prepane flaming may be an option to control smaller weeds but may damage the crop plant (Granatstein and Mullinix, 2008). The objectives of this study were to eval-uate the effects of flat and raised planting beds, three different mulch types, including saw-dust, compost plus sawdust, and weed mat, and the use of feather metal and fish emulsion fertilizer anniolled at two rarses on initial establ-

and the use of feather meal and fish emulsion fertilizer applied at two rates on initial establishment costs and returns for organic production of 'Duke' and 'Liberty' blueberry. 'Duke' is an early-season cultivar that ripens in late June to early July in Oregon, whereas 'Liberty' is a mid-to late-season cultivar that ripens in late July to early August.

Materials and Methods

Study site. A 0.43-ha field of northern highbush blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum L) was established in Oct. 2006 on a site in transition to organic production at the North Willamette Research and Extension Center (ed. 45°16'475'5' N. Jong. 122'45'21'90' W). Aurora, OR. Winter wheat (Triticum sp.) was Blatted in the field for at least (1) wears before planted in the field for at least 10 years before the study. Soil at the site is a Willamette silt loam (fine-silty mixed superactive mesic Pachic Ultic Argixeroll). Organic matter con-tent in the soil averaged 3.7% at planting, and soil pH averaged 4.9. The planting was certi-

Received for publication 6 Apr. 2012. Accepted for publication 10 May 2012.

We would like to recognize the financial support provided by the Oregon Blueberry Commission, which was a constrained to the Commission of a trademark, proprietary product, or vector does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by Oregon State University or the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and does not imply its product by Oregon State University or the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and does not imply its product by Oregon State University or the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and does not imply its product by Oregon State University or the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and does not imply its product by Oregon State University or the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and does not imply its product by Oregon State University of the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and other products or Product and State of the University of the University of the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and Oregon State University of the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and Oregon State University of the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and Oregon State University of the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and Oregon State University of the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and Oregon State University of the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and Oregon State University of the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and U.S. Dept. of

fied organic by Oregon Titth (OTCO, Salem, OR) in May 2008. Additional information on site preparation, planting, weed control, and irrigation is provided in Larco (2010). Experimental design. Forty-eight tem-ents were arranged in a spilt-spil told esign and included two bed types (flat and raised) as main plots, Sur fertilizer treatments (teather meal and fish emulsion applied at rates of 29 and 57 kg har of 5N s a subplots, and a combination of three mulch treatments (seawdust, compost + sawdust, and weed mai) and two cultivars (Duke and Liberty) as sub-subplots. There were five replicates. The five main plots consisted of fivo rows of plants each (one flat and one raised), and each sub-subplot consisted of 5 six plants. Rows were spaced tone fitat and one raised), and each sub-subplot consisted of six plants. Rows were spaced 3.0 m apart, and plants were spaced 0.7 6 m apart within sub-subplots (4385 plants/ha) and 1.5 m apart between sub-subplots. A guard row was also planted on each side of the field.

guard row was also planted on each side of the field.

'Duke' and 'Liberty' plants were obtained from a local nusery as 2-year-old container stock and transplanted on 9 Oct. 2006. The field was ripped and rototilled before planting. Raised beds (0.3 m high and 1.5 m wide at the base) were formed using a bed shaper. Doughas fir sawdust (Preudostage american), and debris compost (Rexius, Inc., Eugenc, OR) and yard debris compost (Rexius, Inc., Eugenc, OR) mulch were applied on 12 Oct. 2006. Beds mulched with sawdust were covered 9 cm deep (360 m²-ha²") whereas those mulched with compost + sawdust were covered 9 cm deep (360 m²-ha²") whereas those mulched with compost + sawdust were covered 9.5 cm of sawdust (152 m²-ha²") followed by 5 cm of sawdust (200 m²-ha²"). We selected and debris compost for this study because it 4 cm of compost (152 m² ha¹¹) followed by 5 cm of sawdust (200 m² ha²¹). We selected yard debris compost for this study because it had relatively low salt (electrical conductivity) and we could apply high rates of stable organic matter without danger of excess plant-available N application (Larco, 2010). The slow-release N provided by the yard debris compost was estimated at roughly 25 kg of Nha¹¹/year (3/6 of total composts applied before planting in grass (Sullivan et al., 2003) and sweet com (Gale et al., 2006). The compost was topped with swadust with the goal of creating a barrier to weed establishment. The mutches were spread mechanically in 0.75-m-wide strips on each side of the plant rows. Black weed mat (Baycor, Tencate Protective Fabrics, Union City, GA) made from woven polypropylene was installed on 1 Feb. 2007. The weed mat Baycor, Tencate Protective Fabrics, Union City, GA) made from woven polypropylene was installed on 1 Feb. 2007. The weed mat they certified organic centered-over the planting beds before securing it in place with landscape staples. A 20-cm-diameter hole was cut in the weed mat 6th ceach plant and covered with 5 cm of sawdust mutch (1.4 m³ha²) after planting to Criftied organic grass seed (Festabelium braunit K. Riicht.) was planted between rows at a rate of 28 kg ha² on 14 Oct. 2006.

14 Oct. 2006. Feather meal (Nature Safe, Cold Spring, KY, 13N-0P-0K) was applied around the base of the plants in two equal applications on 3 Apr. and 16 May in 2007, 4 Mar. and 22 Apr. in 2008, and 4 Mar. and 15 Apr. in 2009. Fish emulsion (Fish Agr.; Northeast Organics, Manchester-by-the-Sea, MA; 4N-0.4P-0.8K)

was also applied around the base of the plants but every 2 weeks from 16 Apr. to 9 July in 2007; 15 Apr. to 8 July in 2008, and 8 Apr. to 1 July in 2009. To reduce risk of salt damage to the plants, fish emulsion was diluted with 10 parts water before each application. Plants fertilized with feather meal were deficient in M. durion. the first name of other charms tertutzed with feather meal were deficient in N during the first summer after planting (Larco, 2010) and, therefore, were fertilized with an additional 4 kg-lta⁻¹ of N as fish emulsion on 28 June and 5 July 2007. The plants recovered quickly and did not require additional fertilization with fish emulsion in subsequent verse.

osequent years.

Field management. Plants were irrigated Field management. Plants were irrigated using a single line of polyethylene drip tubing (Netufin, Freano, CA). The tubing had 2. L+i pressure-compensating, inline emitters spaced every 0.3 m. Lines were located along the row near the base of plants and either placed beneath the weed mat or buried under the organic mulches. Irrigation was controlled by electric solenoid valves and an automatic timer set weekly and scheduled based on changes in sail water contact fixed and on the control of the con mathe timer set weekly and seneduled based on changes in sol water content [see Larco (2010) for details]. A second line of drip (located near the base of the plants, but on the other side of the row of the first line) was also installed in weed mat plots to allow for additional irrigation to compensate for increased water use associated with higher temperatures in the treatment (Neilsen et al., 2003; Williamson et al. 2006).

tures in the treatment (Neilsen et al., 2003; Williamson et al., 2006). Weeds were removed by hand from plots mulched with sawdust and weed mat and by a combination of 20% acetic acid (vitegar) applications, propane-flaming, and hand-weeding in plots mulched with compost + sawdust. Vinegar applications were done after 1000 is on days with no rian and light wind in 2007 to 2008 (Webber et al., 2005). A headbold moneyer, florner was constructed after 1000 file of ads, with no brain and light wind in 2007 to 2008 (Webber et al., 2005). A handheld propane flamer was constructed with a 0.20-m-diameter head and an 860,000 to 1,505,000-kg·m¹ small gas heater attached o a rod to facilitate spot burning in 2008. Lemon grass oil (50% a.i.; Green Match EX*: Marrone Bioinnovations, Davis, CA) was used as a directed post-emergence spray to weeks in compost + sawdust plots in 2009. Hand-pulling or herbicide treatments, depending on mulch treatment, were done only when veed coverage was more than 20%. Plants were pruned in Oct. 2006 (Vear I) and Jan. 2008 (Year 2) and 2009 (Year 3). To maximize vegetative growth during establishment, all fruit buds were removed from

and Jan. 2008 (Year 2) and 2009 (Year 3). To maximize vegetative growth during estab-lishment, all fruit buds were removed from the plants in the first year after planting (Oct. 2006; Strik and Buller, 2005). The next year, pruning was adjusted to plant size with proportionally more fruit buds left on larger plants and few or none left on the smallest

plants.

"Duke" was harvested from 3 July to 23 July and "Liberty" was harvested from 23 July to 13 Aug. in 2008. In 2009, fruit harvest was from 2 June to 17 July and 22 July to 22 Aug. for "Duke" and "Liberty", respectively. Ripe fruit was picked weekly by hand and weighed to determine the total yield per plot. Fruit were sold to a fresh-market shipper and

Statistical analysis. Analysis of all treatment effects on yield and pruning time was done as a complete factorial for a split-split plot design using the PROC MIXED procedure in SAS software package Version 8

Operation	Cost (\$/ł
Soil preparation	
Plow and culti-pak,	247
custom operation	
Pre-plant cover crop	
Buckwheat seed	247
Seed bed preparation	247
and planting, custom	
Soil preparation for planting	
Soil testing	17
Disc and culti-pak,	247
custom	
Power spading and	494
sub-soiling	
Deep tillage and	247
rototilling, custom	
Irrigation—hand pipe	124
(to wet soil before hilling)	
Between rowcover crop (grass)	
Organic certified seed	334
(Festulolium braunii)	
Seed bed preparation and	247
planting, custom	

Table 2. Effects of organic production systems on economic returns (\$\frac{1}{2}\$) blueberry in the second (2008) and third (2009) growing seasons (n = 5).

(SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Contrasts were used to compare the effect of fertilizer source and rate on net returns.

Economic budget analysis. Input costs (labor, equipment, and product) were recorded from establishment (Year 0; 2006) through Year 3 (2009) for all activities required to maintain the treatment plots and were extrapolated to a per-hectare cost. Cash cost and return budgets were constructed for each treatment to compare net returns from each production system as well as to evaluate each treatment independently. Cost assumptions used in the assessment of the various treatments were as follows: 1) blueberry plants cost 33.1 Seach for Tubel' and \$3.45 each for 'Liberry'; 2) pre-plant land preparations (plowing, disking, etc.) were contracted using a custom faming provider at \$30h; 3) weed not cost \$2965/sh and has a life expectation of the contracted of the cost of the co pectancy of 5 years; 4) sawdust mulch cost \$7.85/m³, and compost mulch cost \$11.35/m³. \$7.85/m², and compost mulches were custom-applied separately. Application of savdust alone cost \$1050/ha, whereas application of both savdust and compost acote \$1482/ha; 5) the drip irrigation system cost \$5683/ha and has a life expectancy of 10 years. Repair and maintenance of the system cost 1% of the purchase price per year; 6) the trellis system cost \$4200/ha and was amortized over a 30-year life. Repair and maintenance of the system cost 1% of the purchase price per

year; 7) expenses to reduce bird depredation were \$124/ha per year; 8) seven bee hivesha were rented during production years at a cost of \$50 each; 9 all labor was valued at \$15.5h, which included worker's compensation, unemployment insurance, and other labor overhead expenses; and 10) the tractor and sprayer used to apply acetic acid cost \$17h, inclusive of all associated variable and fixed costs. The wage rate of the tractor operator was \$15/h.

Results and Discussion

RESUITS and UNICOSSON
Development of the planting from the first
through the third growing season is shown in
Figures 1 through 3. Pre-plant costs (Table 1)
were consistent with those calculated for commercial organic blueberry production fulin
et al., 2011b). Yield was significantly affixed
by bed type, fertilizer, and much in 2008 and
2009, cultivar in 2008; and by bed type. Ymuth
2009, fertilizer x cultivar (2008–2009), and
fertilizer's mulch x cultivar (2009–374)fa more

Bed type. Raised beds cost \$741ha more than flat beds (Table 3) to establish but increased gross returns by an average of 63% in 2008 to 2009 (Table 2) and cumulated that the statement of the s 0.576 in 2008 to 2009 (Table 2) and cumulative net returns by an average of \$286/la (Tables 4 and 5). In 2009, returns were similar across mulch types on raised beds but were greater for compost + sawdust and weed mat mulches when grown on flat ground

Table 3. Planting operation cash costs as affected mic returns (\$/ha) of 'Duke' and 'Liberty'

blueberry in the second	1 (2000)	and titlid (2009) gre			o).			Operation	Cost (\$/ha
				Retur	ns (\$/ha)				Forming raised beds	
			Year 2)		2009 (Year 3)				Hilling (custom	741
	Flat bed		Raised bed		Flat bed		Raised bed		equipment and labor)	
Fertilizer/mulch	Duke	Liberty	Duke	Liberty	Duke	Liberty	Duke	Liberty	Blueberry plants	15,128
Feather meal							- Country	Liberty	'Liberty' (at \$3.45 each)	13,813
29 kg·ha ⁻¹ N									'Duke' (at \$3.15 each)	13,613
Sawdust	1,177	3,396	1,588	4,620	16,434	14.018	20,422	19,214		
Compost + sawdust	1,904	5,128	2,780	5,981	21,147	16,555	20,180	22,235	Mulch treatments	
Weed mat	2,876	5,271	3,786	6,166	19,939	19,939	22,476		Weed mat	3,070
57 kg·ha ⁻¹ N				.,	17,000	17,737	22,470	25,497	Planting labor	
Sawdust	868	2.516	2,913	5,914	13,655	15,226	22.244		Weed mat	593 680
Compost + sawdust	1,705	4.641	4,010	7,655	21,389	16,555	22,356	25,618	Installation	
Weed mat	3,518	6.176	4,980	7,077	23,443		30,452	25,256	Cutting holes in	865
Fish emulsion		-,-,-	1,700	1,077	23,443	23,081	30,452	23,201	weed mat	
29 kg·ha-1 N									Appling sawdust mulch	593
Sawdust	5,172	5,453	5,747	6.154					in hole area	
Compost + sawdust	5,046	6,056	6,953	8,004	18,174	18,174	20,543	24,289	Sawdust	334
Weed mat	6,406	6,490	7,794	7,654	20,809	18,029	21,389	27,600		
57 kg·ha-1 N	0,400	0,490	7,794	7,654	21,171	21,776	25,280	27,818	Compost + sawdust ^y	
Sawdust	3,603	3,438	4,846	5.050					Planting labor	1,754
Compost + sawdust	3,802	4,494		5,859	11,117	12,809	20,180	21,026	Sawdust, raised bed	2,928
Weed mat	3,084	5,128	5,698	6,602	14,501	17,401	19,697	22,114	Compost, raised bed	2,724
Significance ²	3,084	5,128	5,334	6,151	12,930	20,543	12,809	21,026		2,483
(A) Raised vs. flat								21,020	Sawdust, flat bed	2,323
(B) Fertilizer		***				***			Compost, flat bed	
(C) Mulch		***				***				
(D) Cultivar						***			Sawdust*	1,722
A×C		***				NS			Planting labor	4,497
A×C B×D		NS							Sawdust, raised bed	3,830
		***				***			Sawdust, flat bed	
B×C×D		NS							*Investment of \$2965; 5-year life;	0 residue valu
Contrasts										
Feather vs. fish		***				*			\$556/ha for compact: the mulched	area for iai-
Low vs. high rate		NS							beds was greater than for flat,	requiring mo
Significance based on anal significant or significant at	ysis of va	riance by	ear. Onl	v significa	nt interact	ione chann				
	$P \le 0.0$	5, 0.01, or	0.001. n	esnectively		ous show	NS, *, **	*** non-	*Custom applied at any accinoso	ha sawdust; th
N = nitrogen.				,					mulched area for raised beds was	areaster than !

*Custom applied at cost of \$1050/ha sawdust; the mulched area for raised beds was greater than for flat requires

(Table 2), Plant growth and subsequent fruit production were greater on raised beds than on flat ground likely as a result of improved soil drainage and/or aeration (Larco, 2010; Scherm and Krewer, 2008).

Cultivar Liberty' is a patented cultivar and cost \$0.30 more per plant or \$1315 more per hectare than 'Duke' in 2006 (Table 3). **Duke' and 'Liberty' are popular cultivars for early and mid- to late-season fresh market production, respectively (Strik and Finn, 2008). **Liberty' required an average of 24% to 25% more time or labor cost to prune in 2008 to 2009 (Table 6) but on average produced 5% higher return than 'Duke' over the 2 years (Table 2), thus increasing net cumulative returns by \$16,441 and \$16,376\tau far raised and flat beds, respectively (Tables 4 and 5). The fruit from both Hoss-Scorme Med. 447(2), lux 2012. HortScience Vol. 47(7) July 2012

Table 4. Cash costs and returns for organic production of 'Duke' and 'Liberty' blueberry on raised beds.'

	Establis		2008				2009				
	costs (\$/ha)		Costs (\$/ha)		Returns (\$/ha)		Costs (S/ha)		Returns (\$/ha)		Cumulative net
Treatment	2006	2007	Production	Harvest	Gross	Net	Production	Harvest	Gross	Net	returns (S/ha)
'Duke'											Termino (Drina)
Feather meals											
Sawdust	24,628	7,784	9,305	1.374	2.913	-7,766	7,601	11,693	22.356	3.061	-37,116
Compost + sawdust	25,783	9,304	10,081	1,866	4.010	-7.936	8,960	15,903	30,452	5.589	-37,433
Weed mat	24,510	7,708	9,115	2,301	4.980	-6.435	6.914	15,903	30,452	7.635	-31,019
Fish emulsion*							-,	101,000	50,152	7,000	31,017
Sawdust	24,628	8,240	14,348	2,644	5,747	-11.245	12.667	10,750	20,543	-2.874	-46.986
Compost + sawdust	25,783	9,759	14,750	3,185	6,953	-10.982	14,025	11,190	21,389	-3.826	-50,352
Weed mat	24,510	8,164	14,157	3,562	7,794	-9.925	11.980	13,214	25,280	87	-42,513
'Liberty'									,		
Feather meal											
Sawdust	25,944	7,810	9,433	2,719	5.914	-6.238	7,787	13,389	25,618	4,442	-35,549
Compost + sawdust	27,099	9,329	10,258	3,500	7,655	-6.103	9.145	13,201	25,256	2,910	-39,621
Weed mat	25,826	7,734	7,213	3,241	7,077	-3,376	7,099	12,133	23,201	3,969	-32,967
Fish emulsion											
Sawdust	25,944	8,266	14,476	2,827	6,154	-11,149	12,852	12,698	24,289	-1,261	-46,619
Compost + sawdust	27,099	9,785	15,301	3,656	8,004	-10,953	14,210	14,420	27,600	-1,030	-48,867
Weed mat	25,826	8,190	12,256	3,499	7,654	-8,101	12,165	14,533	27,818	1,120	-40.997

'Cost and return data were collected during production and ha 'From planting in Oct. 2006 to the end of Year 3 in 2009. 'Feather meal was applied at a rate of 57 kg-ha⁻¹ of nitrogen. "Fish emulsion was applied at a rate of 29 kg-ha⁻¹ of nitrogen.

Table 5. Cash costs and returns for organic production of 'Duke' and 'Liberty' blueberry on flat beds.^z

	Establishment costs (\$/ha)			2008				2009			
Treatment			Costs (\$/ha)		Returns (S/ha)		Costs (\$/ha)		Returns (\$/ha)		Cumulative net
	2006	2007	Production	Harvest	Gross	Net	Production	Harvest	Gross	Net	returns (\$/ha) ^y
'Duke'											
Feather meal*											
Sawdust	23,220	7.777	9,274	457	868	-8,863	7,595	7,169	13,655	-1,108	-40,968
Compost + sawdust	24,196	9,297	9,636	832	1,705	-8,763	8,953	11,190	21,389	1,246	-41,010
Weed mat	23,769	7,670	9,063	1,645	3,518	-7,189	6,876	12,258	23,443	4,308	-34,320
Fish emulsion*		.,									
Sawdust	23,220	8,233	14,316	2,387	5,172	-11,530	12,660	9,519	18,174	-4,004	-46,987
Compost + sawdust	24,196	9.753	15,051	2,330	5,046	-12,334	14,018	10,889	20,809	-4,098	-50,381
Weed mat	23,769	8,126	14,105	2,940	6,406	-10,639	11,942	11,077	21,171	-1,848	-44,382
'Liberty'	23,707	0,120									
Feather meal											
Sawdust	24,535	7,803	9,430	1,196	2,516	-8,110	7,780	7,985	15,226	-539	-40,987
Compost + sawdust	25,511	9,323	10,172	2,148	4,641	-7,679	9,138	8,677	16,555	-1,260	-43,773
Weed mat	25,085	7,696	9.096	2,837	6,176	-5,756	7,062	12,070	23,081	3,949	-34,588
Fish emulsion	25,005	1,000									
Sawdust	24,535	8,259	14,472	2,512	5,453	-11,532	12.845	9,519	18,174	→4,190	-48,516
Compost + sawdust	25.511	9,779	15,214	2,783	6,056	-11,941	14,204	9,443	18,029	-5,617	-52,848
Weed mat	25,311	8 152	14.138	2.977	6,490	-10,625	12,127	11,391	21,776	-1,743	-45,605

weed mat 25,885 8,152 14,188 2971 0,490 -10,625 12,127 11,391 24,176 -1,176
Cost and return data were collected during production and harvest on a per plot basis and extrapolated to per hectare based on plot size.

Thom planting in Oct. 2006 to the end of Year 5 in 2000.

Thom planting in Oct. 2006 to the end of Year 5 in 2000.

This mediston was applied at a rate of 73 kg hs⁻¹ of introgen.

cultivars sold for \$3.20 kg in 2008 and \$2.76 kg in 2009. The was a significant interaction between cultivar and fertilizer applied in both years, because the higher rate of fish emulsion reduced gross returns considerably in 'Duke' but not in 'Liberty' (Table 2).

Fertilizer. The highest gross returns in each bed type, mulde, and cultivar were produced with either a low rate of fish emulsion or a high rate of feather meal (Table 2). Contrasts indicated that the source of fertilizer (feather meal produced higher returns than fish emulsion) was more important than the rate of fertilizer. Fish emulsion cost more than feather meal and was much more expensive to apply considering seven applications were needed compared with two in the feather meal treatment (Table 7). Also, fish emulsion do to be

applied with specialized equipment that would not corrode. To reduce application costs, fish emulsion could be applied more cost-effectively by fertigation through a drip irrigation system. In our study, the feather meal fertilizer treatment required additional N, applied using fish emulsion, in 2007, which increased costs by \$5757ha. Additional fish emulsion was not required in subsequent years when the first application of feather meal was done earlier in the season.

Fertilizer source or rate had no significant effect on weed presence or management costs (data not shown). In lowbash blueberry, an overhead-irrigated, non-mulched production system, higher trates of N fertilization increased weed presence (Smagula et al., 2009). In our study, the fertilizers were applied on top of the

HORTSCIENCE VOL. 47(7) JULY 2012

Table 6. Operation cash costs for organically grown blueberry established on raised and flat bods, Years 1 to 3 (2007 to 2009).

Costs (Sha)

Costs (Sha)

			Costs (\$/ha	
	Operation	2007	2008	200
rrigation				
	Irrigation system	568	568	50
	Labor, maintenance and repair	371	371	37
	Water-weed mat mulch, raised bed	122	122	12
	Water—compost + sawdust mulch, raised bed	53	53	:
	Water-weed mat mulch, flat bed	85	85	8
	Water-compost + sawdust mulch, flat bed	47	47	
Trellis				
	Installation labor	0	1853	
	System, amortization	0	99	
	Maintenance	0	0	13
Managemen	t of between-row, grass cover crop			
_	Hand-weeding edges	667	0	
	Weed control, hoeing	0	519	
	Weed control, power trimmer	ō	49	3
	Mowing, machine costs, 11 mowings	272	272	2
	Mowing, labor	408	408	4
	Acetic acid sprayer, equipment	315	189	*
	Acetic acid sprayer, operator labor	278	167	
	Acetic acid, product	474	598	2
	Spray application, hand labor	278	0	
Pruning	оргау аррисанов, напа ваон	276	U	
	'Liberty', raised bed-weed mat	519	549	
	'Liberty', raised bed—compost + sawdust	519		11
	'Liberty', raised bed—sawdust	519	734	11
	'Liberty', flat bed—weed mat	519	609	11
	'Liberty', flat bed—compost + sawdust	519	517	11
	'Liberty', flat bed—sawdust		654	11
	'Duke', raised bed—weed mat	519	613	11
	'Duke', raised bed—eeed mat 'Duke', raised bed—compost + sawdust	519	499	9
	'Duke', raised bed—compost + sawdust 'Duke', raised bed—sawdust	519	556	9
	'Duke', flat bed—weed mat	519	481	9.
	'Duke', flat bed—compost + sawdust	519	484	9
	'Duke', flat bed-sawdust	519	490	9
Other costs	Duke , hat bed-sawdust	519	456	9.
Juser costs	One Till One in Color of Array			
	Oregon Tilth, Organic Certification, \$624 for plot	77	0	
	Oregon Tilth, inspection	0	19	
	Oregon Tilth, base fee	0	75	
	Replant ('Liberty')-2% plant loss (at \$3.45 each)	298	0	
	Replant ('Duke')-2% plant loss (at \$3.15 each)	272	0	
	Replant (weed mat)—labor (at \$0.70 each)	61	0	
	Replant (Saw/comp-saw)—labor (at \$0.40 each)	35	0	
	Soil testing	Ī	ĭ	
	Bee hives	ō	371	3
	Bird control	Ö	124	1
	Tissue analysis	ő	25	1.
	1/2-t truck	334	43	

organic mulches and the sawdust around the plant ("planting hole") in the weed mat plots during the establishment years of this study. In a commercial planting, fish emulsion may be applied through the drip irrigation system. It is unlikely that fertilizer placement had an impact on weed presence (Broschat, 2007). Malch and weed management. We did not use mechanical methods for in-row weed control in this study. Sciarappa et al. (2008) were able to use a hand-operated rotary cultivator in the row, between blueberry plants, successfully for weed control on flat ground plantings in New Jersey. However, the superior performance of blueberry plants on raised beds in this study, likely as a result of improved soil acration and drainage (Lareo, 2010), led to greater returns on raised beds [Tables 2 and 4). Weed control on raised beds is limited to hard-pulling, mulching, or the use of herbicides to maintain integrity of the bed. Compost + sawdust mulch and weed mat 870.

produced higher yields and gross returns than sawdust only in both years (Table 2). In Georgia, rabbileye blueberry plants (V. virgatum Ait), established with organic mulches had a similar yield to those with weed mat had easimilar yield to those with weed mat had a similar yield to those with weed mat yield in Years 3 to 5 (Krewer et al., 2009). In our study, determining the long-term effect of mulch on gross and ner returns will be our study, determining the long-term effect of minorating the properties of mulch on gross and ner returns will be miproparate aspect of economic sustainability.

Establishment (Table 3) and weed mal properties of the product of anong much types. Total installation costs (product and labor) for the weed mat teatment were \$2130 had for weed mat (with product cost amortized over 5 years) plus \$397/ha for placing sawustar in the hole left for planting. The product and installation costs for sawdust and compost + sawdust mulches were \$4497 and \$5652/ha for the raised bed plantings, respectively. Planting on flat ground required less organic mulch, which reduced product cost (Table 3).

	Cost (\$/ha)					
Fertilizer treatment	2007	2008	2009			
Fish emulsion ^e			_			
Emulsion, sprayer machine	711	2843	284			
Emulsion, sprayer labor	627	2508	2508			
Emulsion, product	670	670	693			
Feather mealy						
Application, labor	448	448	449			
Feather meal, product	530	530	530			
Emulsion, sprayer machine	203	0	(
Emulsion, sprayer labor	179	0	- (
Emulsion, product	191	0	- (

Table 8. Weed management cash costs for ically grown blueberry as affected by mulch type, Years 1 to 3 (2007 to 2009).

	C	Cost (\$/ha)				
Operation	2007	2008	2009			
Weed mat						
Hand-weeding	102	439	196			
Compost + sawdust ^e						
Hand-weeding	113	579	1535			
Propane flamer	0	372	0			
Acetic acid sprayer, equipment	517	121	0			
Acetic acid sprayer, operator labor	457	107	0			
Acetic acid, product	249	130	0			
Spray application, hand labor	457	107	0			
Weed control, product	0	0	428			
Spraying, hand labor	ō	0	348			
Sawdust						
Hand-weeding	273	715	953			
*Organically approved wee ucts were only used in t	d contro he comp	method ost + s	s/prod- awdust			

Planting into organic mulches was less expensive (\$0.40 per plant, labor) than planting through the holes cut into weed mat (\$0.75 per plant) (Table 3).

through the holes cut into weed mat (90.7)
per plant) (Table 3).
Weed management varied with the type of
mulch used. Only hand-pulling of weeds was
used in the sawdust and weed mat treatments,
whereas various organically approved methods
or products in addition to hand weeding, when
necessary, were used in the compost + sawdust
treatment. Overall, labor costs were lower
with weed mat, because weeds only energied
in the "planting hole" area around the crown
of the plant. Weed control costs for the weel
nat ween lighten in 2008 than in 2007 but lower
in 2009 as the plants aged and filled in the hole
cut in the weed mat for planting (Table 8), in
contrast, labor costs for weed control incress)
with planting age with sawdust mulch to
\$953.7ha in 2009.
Weeds were most prevalent in the compost +

Weeds were most prevalent in the compost+ sawdust treatment (Larco, 2010), increasing weed management costs (Fig. 4). Others have documented better documented better emergence and growth of weed seeds in compost as compared with HORTSCIENCE VOL. 47(7) JULY 2012



g. 1. Organic blueberry production systems planting in the first growing season, 21 Aug. 2007. 'Duke' on raised bed, weed mat mulch, and fertilized with high rate of feather meal on left and 'Duke' on flat ground with sawdust mulch and low rate of feather meal on right forerround.



Fig. 4. Symptoms of burn down of broadleaf weeds and edges of grass cover crop in an organic blueberty production systems planting in the first growing season, 20 June 2007. 'Duke' grown with compost ! sawdest mulch and fertilized with the high rate of feather meal.



Fig. 2. Organic blueberry production systems planting in the second growing season with trulls installed, 21 May 2008. 'Duck' or naised bed, weed mat mulch, and fertilized with high rate of feather meal on left and 'Duck' on flat ground, compost + sawdust, and fertilized with low rate of fish erulusion on right foreground. Second plots from the front are 'Liberty'.

Marie Marie S



on raised beds. Weed seeds likely germinated more readily in the moist, nutrient-rich compost layer.

The methods of weed control varied in their effectiveness. Propane flaming was effective on very small weeds but could not be used in the dry summer months in this dripringiated field as a result of risk of setting the mulch on fire. We stopped using this control method after 2008. Using a concentrated solution of acetic acid (vinegar) was effective against small weeds, especially broadlear species, when application was followed by at least 1 or 2 d of hot, dry weather. Although results seemed to indicate vinegar application scripts of the seement of the see

In Year 3 of the study, when weed pressure In Year 3 of the study, when weed pressure was greatest, total weed control costs were five to 12-fold greater in the sawdust mulch (hand-pulling only) and compost + sawdust mulch (various methods of weed control) as compared with the weed mar, respectively (Table 8). Although cumulative costs for establishing chemies were higher when using weed mat than for bare ground production systems, this was quickly offset by higher returns (Vin et al., 2007). Here we found that the much lower weed manaagement costs in addition to amortizing the cost of weed mat over its expected 5-year life offset any added establishment cost by the end of the first growing season when compared with the industry standard sawdust mulch treatment (Tables 4 and 5).

standard sawdust mulch treatment (Tables 4 and 5).

Other maintenance costs. Pruning costs varied with mulch after the first year after planting (P < 0.0001) but were unaffected by mulch immediately after planting or following the second year after planting (Table 6). The irrigation system was installed in Year I and irrigation labor and maintenance was similar work present thinks and the planting that th

in the control of the

observation). The labor to install the trellis in the second growing season was \$1835/la.

The grass cover crop between the rows was mowed 11 times per growing season, and edges were maintained with a string trimmer, hand-hoeing, or targeted applications of vinegar (Fig. 4). Hand-hoeing to prevent encroachment of the grass cover crop into the planting row was done in 2007 and 2008 at a cost of \$519 to 667/ha. In all years, vinegar applied to the edges of the cover crop was

a cost of 3519 to 60://la. In all years, vinegar applied to the edges of the cover crop was successfully used but was expensive relative to using a power weed trimmer (Table 6).

Additional production costs included standard replanting costs (estimated 2% blueberry plant loss in 2008), organic certification and inspection costs (Oregon Titht, Corvallis,

Fig. 3. Organic blueberry production systems plant-ing in the third growing season, 16 June 2009. "Dake" with weed mat mulch and fertilized with the high rate of fish emulsion grown on flat ground (on left) and raised bed (on right). Note: white tubes in foreground were installed to observe root growth (data not shown).

sawdust or wood chip mulches (Burkhard et al., 2009). In our study, the integrity of the sawdust layer on top of the compost was not well maintained as a result of hand-pulling of weeds bringing compost to the surface and wind erosion of the sawdust layer, especially

HORTSCIENCE VOL. 47(7) JULY 2012

OR), annual soil and tissue analysis, equiva-lent land rent fees, and rental of bee hives and bird control measures during the fruit pro-duction years (2008 to 2009; Table 6). Production costs and returns. The cash costs and returns for 'Duck' and 'Liberty' grown with the three mulch treatments and fertilized with the best performing treatments and fertilized with the best performing treatments of certain the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of as feather meal, Table 2) on raised or flat or the cost of the cost of the cost of the cost of the proving assument costs for the first two growing assument costs for the first two growing assument costs for the first two growing assument costs for the first two for the cost of the cost of the cost of the growing assument costs for the first two growing assument costs for the first two growing assument costs for the first two growing assument costs for the first forming picking backets. ed yield.

harvested yield.

In the control of the control of

planting establishment (Julian et al., 2011a, 2011b).

Plantings established on raised bede had a greater cumulative net return than those grown on flat beds. Although "Duće 'had lower establishment and pruning costs, 'liberry' produced higher yield, which held hower establishment and pruning costs, 'liberry' produced higher yield, which held higher net returns in all treatment combinated tions except feather meal with either used tions except feather meal with either were found and or compost + sawdust multel (Tafue 5). When using raised beds, the highest net cumulative returns in this study returns in the study of the production of the same production of the same production of the same production costs for were disable with the same planting the same plant

Conclusions

In this young planting, the highest yielding treatments for 'Dabe' and 'Liberty' grown on raised beds were those fertilized with the low rate of fish emulsion and mulched with the low rate of fish emulsion and mulched with either weden to compost + sawdust. However, the net under district of the sawdust is the wear of the sawdust is a sawdust of the sawdust may be sawdust mulch over compost + sawdust mulch a Stripe of the sawdust mulch. Although the sawdust mulch a sawdust mul

commercial plantings. For example, in commercial plantings, "zippered" weed mat (overlapping sections pinned to remain in place) may be used allowing genular fertilizer products such as feather meal to be applied using a spreader and fish emulsion could be applied through drip irrigation systems reducing costs (Julian et al., 2011b). Although the long-term effect of these treatments on economic returns is not yet known, it is clear that choice of production system has significant effects on returns in organic blueburp production systems in the Pacific Northwest.

Literature Cited

Bañados, M.P., B.C. Srik, D.R. Byıla, and T.L. Righetti. 2012. Response of highbash blueherpy to nirrogen fertilizer during field establishment— I. Accumulation and allocation of fertilizer nitrogen and blomsus. HortScience 476-86-855. Brooelsa, T.K. 2007. Effects of mulch type and feetilizer placement on weed growth and soil feetilizer placement on weed growth and soil feetilizer placement on weed growth and soil published to the control of the contro

17:174-177.
Burkhard, N., D. Lynch, D. Percival, and M. Sharifi. 2009. Organic mulch impact on vegetation dynamics and productivity of highbush blueberry under organic production. HortScience 44:688-69.

Shanfil. 2009. Organic mulch impact on vegatation dynamics and productivy of highbast
bulectery under organic production. HortScience
the production of the production of the control
and growth of the control of the control
and growth of bluebreity and the control
and growth of bluebreity and such and and
and growth of bluebreity and such and
and growth of bluebreity and southern highpart of the control of the control of the control
and growth of the control of the control
and growth of the control
and production of the control
and control of the control
and the co

Univ. Pub. AEB 0023, http://arec.oregonsus.edu/oaeb/files/pdFAEB0023.pdf. ewer, G., M. Tertuliano, P. Andersen, O. Libud, G., Fonsah, H. Serri, and B. Mullinix. 2009. Effect of mulches on the establishment organically grown blueberries in Georgia. Acta Hort. 810:483–488.

Effect of mulches on the establishment of organically grown bluebrries in Georgia. Acta Hors. 810-483-488.

Larco, H.O. 2010. Effect of planting method, weed management, and fertilizer on plant growth and yield of newly established organic highbad blueberries. MS thesis, Oregon State Univ. Covallas, One. http://littleray.organistacklub.covallas, One. http://littleray.organistacklub.covallas, One. http://littleray.organistacklub.covallas, One. http://littleray.organistacklub.covallas, One. http://littleray.organistacklub.covallas.cov.las. http://littleray.organistacklub.cov.las.cov.la

J. Fruit Sci. 8:1-22. app. J. Barry, P. Oudemas, M. Eheneldt, G. Pavlis, D. Polk, and R. Holdrad. 2008. Developing an organic production system for highbush blueberry. HortScience system for highbush blueberry. HortScience Str. 1-57. Sikora, L.J. and R.A.K. Szmidt. 2001. Nitrogen Sources, mineralization rates, and nitrogen assureds, membershits to plants from composit, pp. 283–295. In: Stofella, P.J. and B.A. Kalm clearly propose utilization in horticularial crop. Propose utilization in horticularial crop. Propose utilization in horticularia Crop. Propose utilization in horticularia. Propose utilization in horticularia.

(eds.). Compost utilization in horieutural cropping systems. (RCP Press, Boce Rakuo, Smagla, J.M., D.E. Yaborough, F. Drummond, and S. Maria. 2009 Organic production of wild below the composition of the production of the product

Takele, E., B. Faber, M. Gaskell, G. Nigani, and I. Sharabeen. 2008. Sample costs to establish and produce organic blueberries in the coastal region of Southern California, San Luis Obi-so, Santa Barbara, and Ventura Counties, 2007. Univ. Cal. Coop. Ext., BR-SC-07-O. 2008-A-MSN-NDV. 2011. Program landbooks and infunctions for accredited certifying agents. And California C

as an organic burn-down herbicide, p. 168–172. Proc. 24th Annual Oklahoma and Arkansas Hort Industry Show. Fort Smith, Abuncia, L.D. 2006. The effect of pre-plant incorpation with savekas, sawdast mulch, and nitrogen feutilizer. 485 thesis, Oregon State Univ., Cornalis, OK, 4thpt/full.nadince/1957/1363-millan, OK, 4thpt/full.nadince/1957/1363-liberty soil management, until the property of th Manland. 2006. Blueberry soil management, nutrition and irrigation. In: Childers, N.F. and P.M. Lyrene (eds.). Blueberries for growers.

gardeners and promoters. E.O., Gainesville,

gardeners and promoters. E. O., Unificavities, F. L., F. L., F. Sewert, J. Turner, R. Nidez-Elites, in, X., C. F. Seavert, J. Turner, R. Nidez-Elites, and H. Cahn. 2007. Effects of polypropylene groundcover on soil nutrient waitability, sweet herry nutrition, and each rost and returns. HortScience 42:147–151.

HortScience 42:147–151.

Journel, S. L. 2004. Avairal product herbicides for control of annual vegetation along roadsides. Weed Technol. 18:580–587.