- **1** Organochlorine Contaminants in Blubber from Stranded Marine
- 2 Mammals Collected from the Northern Oregon and Southern
- 3 Washington Coasts; Implications for Re-introducing California
- 4 Condors, *Gymnogyps californianus*, in Oregon
- 6 Deke T. Gundersen¹, Deborah A. Duffield², Tina Randall¹, Nate Wintle², Dalin N.
- 7 D'Alessandro², James M. Rice³, David Shepherdson⁴
- ⁹ ¹Environmental Science Program, Pacific University, 2043 College Way, Forest
- 10 Grove, OR 97116, ²Department of Biology, Portland State University, P.O. Box
- 11 751, Portland, OR 97207, ³Marine Mammal Institute, Oregon State University,
- 12 Newport, OR 97365, ⁴Conservation Division, The Oregon Zoo, 4001 SW Canyon
- 13 Rd., Portland, OR 97221
- Corresponding author: Deke T. Gundersen Tel: (503) 352-2276, FAX (503) 3522933, Email deke@pacificu.edu

- 2)

- 45 Correspondence to: Deke T. Gundersen

1 ABSTRACT

2 Re-introduction of California condors into Oregon is currently being considered,

3 but there are concerns about the safety of potential food sources of this species.

4 Condors are opportunistic feeders and a largely available food source for this

- 5 species will be stranded marine mammal carcasses. We analyzed 37 blubber
- samples from 7 different marine mammal species collected from the Oregon and 6
- 7 Southern Washington coasts for 18 OC pesticides and 16 PCBs. DDE was the
- 8 most prevalent OC contaminant, making up more than 58% of the total OC
- 9 concentration measured. There were no significant differences in OC content
- 10 between species or sexes.
- 11

12 **KEY WORDS**

- 13 Marine mammals • DDE • PCBs
- 14

15 Oregon Zoo, Portland, OR, in partnership with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 16 Condor Recovery Team, has developed a successful captive condor breeding 17 facility in Oregon that has become the second largest of the four condor breeding 18 facilities in the U.S. There are concerns in all the release areas about the safety of 19 the potential food sources of this species. For example, establishment of viable 20 populations in the wild is currently being hampered by lead contamination when 21 condors fed on carcasses of animals that were shot with lead bullets or lead shot 22 (Finkelstein et al. 2012). As condors are opportunistic feeders, a principle food 23 source for reintroduced condor populations in the Pacific Northwest will likely be 24 the carcasses of stranded marine mammals (Walters et al. 2008). In Northern 25 Oregon and Southern Washington we have observed that large avian scavengers 26 (e.g., American bald eagles) consume all flesh on the carcasses, often including 27 substantial blubber layers. Due to the life history and trophic status of the marine 28 mammal species found stranded on local beaches in Oregon and Washington and 29 in the Columbia River, it is possible that condors feeding on them could 30 accumulate persistent organochlorine (OC) contaminants. Numerous studies have 31 shown that marine mammals from the Pacific coast of North America have levels 32 of Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (DDE) and polychlorinated biphenyls 33 (PCBs) that may affect the health of the marine mammals and/or the health of 34 scavengers that feed on the carcasses (Subramanian et al., 1987, Blasius and 35 Goodmanlowe 2008). Recent assessment of contaminants in pinnipeds commonly 36 found dead on the beaches of Southern California demonstrated the presence of 37 extremely high levels of DDE and PCBs in California sea lions and harbor seals 38 (Blasius and Goodmanlowe 2008). These values significantly exceed those known 39 to impair immune, reproductive, developmental and endocrine systems in harbor 40 seals (de Swart et al. 1996). 41 42

In the past, there were concerns over the decline in condor populations due to

43 eggshell thinning in DDE exposed birds (Snyder and Meretsky 2003) and

44 currently, the relationship between DDE exposure and reproductive failure of

- 45 wild condors which are feeding on beached marine mammals in Central
- 46 California is being investigated (Burnett et al. *in press*). However, an earlier study

1 of stranded marine mammals in Oregon reported much lower concentrations of

- 2 these contaminants in most of the commonly stranded species (Hayteas and
- 3 Duffield 1997).
- 4
- 5 The aim of this study was to screen blubber samples taken from species of marine
- 6 mammals commonly stranded in Oregon for OC pesticides and PCBs. in order to
- 7 determine if consumption of these carcasses poses a potential risk for re-
- 8 introduced condors or other avian scavengers. In addition, we were also interested
- 9 in whether any relationship existed between pesticide levels in marine mammal
- 10 blubber samples, sex and disease status.
- 11

12 Materials and Methods

- 13
- 14 Blubber samples from 37 marine mammals collected by the Northern Oregon
- 15 Southern Washington Marine Mammal Stranding Network from 2007 through
- 16 2010 were examined: 8 California sea lions (Zalophus californianus), 8 Steller sea
- 17 lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*), 8 harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*), 10 harbor porpoises
- 18 (*Phocoena phocoena*) and one sample each from a sperm whale (*Physeter*
- 19 *macrocephalus*), a Dall's porpoise (*Phocoenoides dalli*) and an elephant seal
- 20 (Mirounga angustirostris). The strandings were concentrated near the north and
- south of the mouth of the Columbia River, Oregon. Blubber was removed from
- 22 the dorso-lateral or ventral subscapular region, wrapped in foil and placed on ice.
- 23 Samples were stored at -20° C until analysis. Morphological data taken during
- evaluation of the stranding included sex, length, age, decomposition code, gross
- 25 necropsy findings and histopathology, if the carcass was fresh.
- 26
- 27 Blubber samples were analyzed for 18 OC pesticides and 16 PCB congeners
- 28 (Table 1). Analysis of PCB congeners in blubber samples were selected based on
- 29 their toxicological significance and their prevalence in environmental samples
- 30 (McFarlane and Clarke 1989). Lipid extraction and cleanup procedures for
- 31 blubber samples were done based on procedures described by Feist et al. (2005).
- 32 Skin was removed from blubber samples and homogenized using a Brinkman
- 33 Polytron tissue homogenizer. Subsamples of blubber homogenates (approximately
- 34 5 g) were combined with anhydrous sodium sulfate and ground into a fine powder
- 35 using a mortar and pestle. Dried blubber homogenates were Soxhlet extracted for
- 3610 hours using spectral grade petroleum ether and hexane (1:1 vol/vol). Lipid
- 37 extracts were concentrated using a rotary evaporator, followed by evaporation
- 38 using a stream of pure nitrogen. Lipid content was determined gravimetrically.
- Lipid extracts were cleaned up using columns packed with florisil (20 g), and
- 40 PCBs and chlorinated pesticides were eluted using 6% ethyl ether/petroleum ether
- 41 (vol/vol). PCBs were separated from OC pesticides using columns packed with
- 42 silica (5 g), with the PCBs and DDE eluted with hexane (first fraction) and the
- remaining chlorinated pesticides (second fraction) eluted with hexane/diethyl
 ether (3:1 vol/vol). Elution volumes were determined by running PCB and
- 45 pesticides standards through columns and collecting fractions to determine elution
- 46 patterns.

Table 1. Chlorinated pesticides and PCBs measured in marine mammal

4 blubber samples collected from the Oregon and Washington coasts.

Chlorinated Pesticide	PCB (IUPAC no.)
Aldrin	3,3',4,4'-Tetrachlorobiphenyl (77)
α-BHC	3,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl (126)
β-ΒΗϹ	3,3',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl (169)
· γ-BHC	2,3,3',4,4'-Pentachlorobiphenyl (105)
, δ-BHC	2,2',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl (118)
p,p'DDD	2,2',3,3',4,4'-Hexachlorobiphenyl (128)
p,p'DDE	2,2',3,4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl (138)
p,p'DDT	2,3,3',4,4',5-Hexachlorobiphenyl (156)
Dieldrin	2,2',3,3',4,4',5-Heptachlorobiphenyl (170)
Endrin	2,2'3,4,5-Pentachlorobiphenyl (87)
Endrin aldehyde	2,2',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl (99)
Endrin ketone	2,2'4,5,5'-Pentachlorobiphenyl (101)
Endosulfan I	2,2',4,4',5,5'-Hexachlorobiphenyl (153)
Endosulfan II	2,2',3,4,4',5,5'-Heptachlorobiphenyl (180)
Endosulfan sulfate	2,2',3,4,4',5,6-Heptachlorobiphenyl (183)
Heptachlor	2,2',3,3',4,4',5,5'-Octachlorobiphenyl (194)
Heptachlor epoxide	
p,p'-Methoxychlor	

5

6 PCB and pesticide extracts were analyzed on a Varian CP-3800 gas

7 chromatograph equipped with an electron capture detector, CP-8200

Autosampler, a Star Chromatography Workstation (version 5), and a SPB-608
fused silica capillary column (30 mm x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm film thickness). The
carrier gas was helium (1.5 ml/min), and the makeup gas was nitrogen, with a
detector temperature of 300°C, and an injector temperature of 290 °C. For each

12 run the oven temperature was set at 150 °C (4 min) and ramped to 290 °C

13 (8°C/min). Quality assurance measures included the analysis of reagent blanks,

14 duplicates, matrix spikes, and surrogate spike samples. Percent recoveries of

surrogate spike samples were between 70 and 107%; therefore, samples extracts

were not corrected for percent recovery. Approximately 10% of the samples were

analyzed as duplicates. Method blanks were analyzed with every 10 samples. The

18 method detection limit for individual PCB congeners and chlorinated pesticides

19 was10 ng/g wet weight and this value was used for the reporting limit.

20

21 Interspecies differences in mean contaminant levels were tested by analysis of

22 variance (ANOVA), and a two-tailed, unpaired Student's t test was used to look

23 for differences in contaminant levels between sexes. Significance level was $p \le p$

24 0.05 for all analyses. Mean values were reported \pm SD (standard deviation). All

25 statistics were done using the Statgraphics[®] (Statistical Graphics, Rockville, MD,

26 USA) statistical software package.

2 **Results and Discussion**

3 The predominant species of pinnipeds and cetaceans found dead on the beaches in

4 northern Oregon and southern Washington are California sea lions (Zalophus

5 californianus), Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus), harbor seals (Phoca

6 *vitulina*) and harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*). These four species alone

7 accounted for approximately 95% of the marine mammal carcasses found in 2007

8 through 2010 by the Northern Oregon/Southern Washington Marine Mammal

9 Stranding Network, the time period covered by this study. Twenty-six of the

stranded mammals had obvious signs of trauma due to human interactions, with seven of these animals confirmed gunshot. Nine of the stranded mammals were

12 noticeably ill (parasitic and bacterial infections or tumors observed on internal

13 organs), with five of these animals being Steller sea lions (Table 2).

14

15 **Table 2.** Species (Sp), sex, estimated age, and pesticide levels (µg/g lipid weight)

16 in blubber samples from Steller sea lions (Ej), California sea lions (Zc), harbor

17 seals (Pv), and harbor porpoises (Pp).

Sp	Sex	Age	DDE	DDT _{tot}	Pest _{tot}	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCBtot
						1a	1b	2	
Ej*	F	А	0.32	0.32	0.48	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""></rl<>
Ej*	F	А	6.73	6.98	7.71	0.82	0.94	1.12	2.88
Ej*	F	А	0.20	0.20	0.55	<rl< td=""><td>0.09</td><td><rl< td=""><td>0.09</td></rl<></td></rl<>	0.09	<rl< td=""><td>0.09</td></rl<>	0.09
Ej*	F	А	1.46	1.46	1.46	<rl< td=""><td>0.09</td><td><rl< td=""><td>0.09</td></rl<></td></rl<>	0.09	<rl< td=""><td>0.09</td></rl<>	0.09
Ej	F	А	1.14	1.14	1.14	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""></rl<>
Ej	F	А	0.56	0.56	0.56	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""></rl<>
Ej*	Μ	А	10.03	14.72	19.11	6.29	6.90	9.01	22.20
Ej	Μ	А	14.69	16.83	19.28	3.46	6.91	4.26	14.63
Zc	М	А	16.26	19.37	20.95	6.60	1.17	1.53	9.30
Zc	М	А	8.62	9.36	10.36	0.93	2.07	1.24	4.25
Zc*	Μ	А	34.37	40.08	45.11	11.23	7.68	5.95	24.86
Zc	М	А	6.53	7.02	8.65	0.24	0.51	4.25	5.00
Zc	М	А	2.89	3.45	4.06	0.65	0.53	1.69	2.87
Zc	Μ	А	1.70	1.72	1.83	<rl< td=""><td>0.24</td><td>2.10</td><td>2.34</td></rl<>	0.24	2.10	2.34
Zc	М	А	3.43	3.79	4.86	<rl< td=""><td>0.51</td><td>1.27</td><td>1.78</td></rl<>	0.51	1.27	1.78
Zc	Μ	Y	4.55	4.75	4.97	0.16	0.76	0.74	1.66
Pv	F	SA	2.23	2.41	2.51	<rl< td=""><td>1.23</td><td>0.09</td><td>1.32</td></rl<>	1.23	0.09	1.32
Pv	F	А	12.00	15.89	23.48	4.85	4.17	6.66	15.68
Pv	F	А	2.33	3.00	4.31	0.76	0.16	0.99	1.91
Pv	Μ	Y	3.44	3.89	4.41	1.29	<rl< td=""><td>1.31</td><td>2.60</td></rl<>	1.31	2.60
Pv	М	А	5.01	5.80	6.55	0.81	1.98	0.91	3.70
Pv*	Μ	А	12.75	16.45	34.45	3.30	2.95	6.44	12.69
Pv	М	А	4.58	6.13	15.56	2.34	1.40	4.07	7.81
Pv	Μ	А	3.71	5.51	6.98	1.38	0.62	3.48	5.48
Рр	F	SA	11.88	13.42	14.88	7.76	6.45	4.09	18.30
Рр	F	SA	8.14	9.58	11.32	6.92	7.22	3.72	17.86
Pp*	F	А	5.99	6.14	7.51	0.75	0.46	3.87	5.08

Pp*	F	А	13.52	15.01	15.90	5.61	7.02	11.99	24.62
Рр	F	С	10.75	15.35	16.61	3.53	3.58	5.21	12.32
Рр	Μ	А	0.55	0.72	0.95	0.11	<rl< td=""><td>0.59</td><td>0.70</td></rl<>	0.59	0.70
Рр	Μ	С	0.63	0.79	0.94	0.07	0.26	0.63	0.96
Рр	Μ	А	0.50	0.69	0.77	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td>0</td></rl<></td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td><rl< td=""><td>0</td></rl<></td></rl<>	<rl< td=""><td>0</td></rl<>	0
Рр	М	С	1.44	1.91	1.94	0.69	0.40	2.28	3.37
Рр	Μ	Y	4.89	7.44	8.36	0.79	0.68	3.33	4.80

* = noticeable disease observed for this animal; M = male; F = female; A = adult; SA = subadult; Y = yearling; C = calf;

NT = not taken; $\langle RL =$ less than method reporting limit; DDTtot = $\sum p,p'$ DDT, p,p' DDD, p,p' DDE; PCB 1a = PCB

3 congeners 77, 126, 169; PCB 1b = PCB congeners 105, 118, 128, 138, 156, 170; PCB 2 = PCB congeners 87, 99, 101, 153, 4

6

7 Four of the ill animals had total pesticide and PCB levels greater than 15 ug/g and 8 12 ug/g respectively (lipid weight basis). One of the sick animals had the highest 9 total pesticide and PCB levels (45.11 and 24.86 ug/g respectively) of any of the

10 animals examined (Table 2). Research on the effects of OCs on marine mammal

11 health indicates that DDE and PCB concentrations similar to those found in our

12 study can cause lowered testosterone levels in male Dall's porpoises

13 (Subramaniam et al. 1987), increased risk of infectious disease in harbor

14 porpoises (Hall et al. 2006), and suppressed immune function in harbor seals and

15 harbor porpoises (de Swart et al. 1996, Beineke et al. 2005). However, further

16 studies are needed in order to establish a clear cause and effect between

17 contaminants and health in marine mammals.

18

19 DDE was the most prevalent organochlorine contaminant detected in blubber 20 samples, with the average DDE content making up more than 58% of the total 21 organochlorine pesticide concentration measured for each species (Figure 1). 22 Mean DDE concentrations ranged from $4.39 + 5.49 \,\mu g/g$ (lipid weight) for Steller 23 sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*) to $9.79 + 10.95 \,\mu g/g$ (lipid weight) for California 24 sea lions (Zalophus californianus). Total PCBs ranged from $4.99 + 8.59 \,\mu g/g$ 25 (lipid weight) for Steller sea lions to $8.80 + 8.81 \,\mu g/g$ (lipid weight) for harbor 26 porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*). There were no significant differences in the 27 mean levels of contaminants between species (Figure 1), suggesting that there is 28 negligible difference in risk of contaminant accumulation for consumption of a 29 particular marine mammal species by avian scavengers. However, there was considerable individual variation in OC levels within species and across the data 30 31 set (Table 2), ranging from a low of 0.20 μ g/g DDE in an adult female Steller sea 32 lion to a high of 34.37 μ g/g DDE in an adult male California sea lion and a low of 33 $<10 \ \mu g/g \ PCB_{tot}$ in several of the Steller sea lions and a harbor porpoise to 24.86 34 $\mu g/g PCB_{tot}$ in an adult male California sea lion. DDE levels (lipid weight) in 35 blubber samples from the sperm whale, the Dall's porpoise and the elephant seal 36 were 2.04, 1.06, and 3.78 μ g/g respectively. Total PCBs for these species were 37 1.11, 1.23, and 0.44 μ g/g respectively. Mean OC contaminant levels were higher 38 in males versus females but this difference was not statistically significant likely 39 due to the individual variability in OC levels in blubber samples. It will be

^{180, 183, 194} 5

- 1 important to take this individual variation into account when calculating potential
- 2 long-term risk for avian scavengers. An earlier study of PCBs and DDE (based on
- 3 wet weight) in harbor seals stranded on the Oregon coast also detected both OC
- 4 contaminants, with DDE levels ranging from 0.4 to 12.5 μ g/g, and total PCBs
- 5 ranging from non-detectable to 6.1 μ g/g wet weight (Hayteas and Duffield 1997).
- 6 They also noted a high degree of intra-species variability. For example, the two
- 7 female Steller sea lions evaluated in this earlier study varied from $1.7-31.3 \mu g/g$,
- 8 wet weight DDE, and from 1.1-8.7 μ g/g, wet weight total PCBs, and 12 harbor
- 9 porpoises varied from non-detectable levels to 9.4 μ g/g, wet weight total DDT
- 10 and 24 μ g/g, wet weight total PCBs.

Figure 1





Figure 1. Mean (\pm standard deviation) organochlorine pesticide (A) and PCB (B) concentrations in blubber samples from Steller sea lions (Ej), California sea lions (Zc), harbor seals (Pv), and harbor porpoises (Pp). Tot DDT = DDT, DDD, and DDE; Tot Pest = total of all detected chlorinated pesticides; 1a = PCB congeners that are 3 methyl cholanthrene type inducers; 1b = PCB congeners that are mix type inducers; 2 = PCB congeners that are phenobarbitol type inducers.

1 Previous research on OC contaminants for pinnipeds along the California coast 2 also indicated that DDE was the most prevalent contaminant in blubber and 3 demonstrated intra-species individual variability from very low to very high levels 4 (Blasius and Goodmanlowe 2008). All reported levels were substantially greater 5 than those found in our study. For example, Blasius and Goodmanlowe (2008), 6 found mean total DDT and PCB levels of 594 µg/g and 87 µg/g respectively (lipid 7 weight) in blubber samples from California sea lions from the Southern California 8 Bight. Harbor seals in the Southern California Bight had mean total DDT and PCB levels of 1041 µg/g and 123 µg/g respectively (lipid weight), The difference 9 10 in contaminant levels between harbor seals and California sea lions was attributed 11 in part to the fact that harbor seals tend to have localized areas of residence while 12 California sea lion males move more widely through their range. Initial testing of 13 condor eggs from nests in Central California raised concerns that the extremely 14 high mean OC contaminant levels found in mammal carcasses from the California 15 Bight may pose a serious threat to condor populations in this area (Walters 2008). 16 Mean OC contaminants found in marine mammal blubber samples in our study 17 are substantially lower than those found by the three California studies suggesting 18 that condors released in Oregon are at lower risk for OC bioaccumulation than 19 condors residing in Central California. However, the impact of accumulated 20 contaminant loads in eagles nesting in the Lower Columbia River, Oregon has 21 been shown to include significant thinning of eggshells and a drop in reproductive 22 productivity to 30-50% that of eagles nesting in other parts of Oregon (Buck et al. 23 2005). Clearly further investigation of this issue is warranted to determine if 24 bioaccumulation of these contaminants pose a risk to released condors in Oregon. 25 In addition, evaluating whether the cumulative effects of both PCBs and DDE 26 together would have a synergistically increased adverse effect on avian scavenger 27 species feeding on beached carcasses is needed particularly when looking at 28 immune suppression and endocrine disruption. 29 30 Acknowledgements 31 Funding for this research was provided by a Future of Wildlife grant, provided by 32 Oregon Zoo, Portland Oregon. 33

34 **References**

35

36	Beineke A, Siebert U, McLachlan M, Bruhn R, Thron K, Failing K, Muller G,
37	Baumgartner W (2005) Investigations of potential influence of
38	environmental contaminants on the thymus and spleen of harbor porpoises
39	(Phocoena phocoena). Environ Sci Technol 39:3933-3938
40	Blasuis ME, Goodmanlowe GD (2008) Contaminants still high in top-level
41	carnivores in the Southern California Bight: levels of DDT and PCBs in
42	resident and transient pinnipeds. Mar Poll Bul 56:1973-1982
43	Burnett, LJ, Sorenson KJ, Brandt J, Sandhaus EA, Ciana D, Clark M, David C,

Theule J, Kasielke S, Risebrough R (in press). Eggshell thinning and
depressed hatching success of California condors reintroduced to central
California. The Condor

1	Buck JA, Anthony RG, Schuler CA, Isaacs FB Tillitt DE (2005) Changes in
2	productivity and contaminant in bald eagles nesting along the lower
3	Columbia River. Envir Toxicol Chem 24:1779-1792
4	de Swart RL, Ross PS, Vos JG, Osterhaus ADME (1996) Impaired immunity in
5	harbour seals (<i>Phoca vitulina</i>) exposed to bioaccumulated environmental
6	contaminants: review of a long-term feeding study. Environ Health
7	Perspect 104:823-828
8	Feist GW, Webb MAH, Gundersen DT, Foster EP, Schreck CB, Maule AG,
9	Fitzpatrick MS (2005) Evidence of Detrimental Effects of Environmental
10	Contaminants on Growth and Reproductive Physiology of White Sturgeon
11	in Impounded Areas of the Columbia River. Environ Health Perspect.
12	113:1675 – 1682.
13	Finkelstein, ME, Doak DF, George D, Burnett J, Brandt J, Church M, Grantham
14	J, Smith DR (2012) Lead poisoning and the deceptive recovery of the
15	critically endangered California condor. Proc Natl Acad of Sci USA
16	(online early edition): 6
17	Hall AJ, Hugunin K, Deaville R, Law RJ, Allchin CR, Jepson PD (2006) The risk
18	of infection from polychlorinated biphenyl exposure in the harbor
19	porpoise (Phocoena phocoena): a case-control approach. Environ Health
20	Perspect 114:704-711
21	Hayteas DL, Duffield DA (1997) The determination by HPLC of PCB and p,p'-
22	DDE residues in marine mammals stranded on the Oregon coast, 1991-
23	1995. Mar Poll Bull 34:844-848
24	McFarlane VA, Clarke JU (1989) Environmental occurrence, abundance, and
25	potential toxicity of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners: considerations
26	for a congener specific analysis. Environ Health Perspect 81:225-239
27	Snyder NR, Meretsky VJ (2003) California condors and DDE: a re-evaluation.
28	Ibis 145:136-151.
29	Subramanian AN, Tanabe S, Tatsukawa R, Saito S, Miyazaki N (1987) Reduction
30	in the testosterone levels by PCBs and DDE in Dall's Porpoises of
31	Northwestern North Pacific. Mar Poll Bull 18:643-646
32	Walters JR, Derrickson SR, Fry DM, Haig SM, Marzluff JM, Wunderle JM
33	(2008) Status of the California condor and efforts to achieve its recovery.
34	Joint initiative of The American Ornithologists' Union and Audubon
35	California.