AN ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION OF

Jeremy J. Rich for the degree of <u>Doctor of Philosophy</u> in <u>Soil Science</u> presented on June 27, 2003.

Title: <u>Community Composition and Activities of Denitrifying Bacteria in Soils</u> Abstract approved: **Redacted for privacy**_____

David D. Myrold

Few studies have directly compared denitrifying community composition and activities in soils by coupling molecular-genetic techniques and traditional measures of denitrification. I investigated communities of denitrifying bacteria from adjacent meadow and forest soils in the Cascade Mountains, Oregon. A key gene in the denitrification pathway, N₂O reductase (*nosZ*), served as a marker for denitrifying bacteria. Denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA) was an order of magnitude higher in meadow than in forest soils. Denitrifying community composition differed between vegetation types based on multivariate analyses of *nosZ* T-RFLPs. Screening 225 *nosZ* clones yielded 47 unique denitrifier genotypes. The majority of *nosZ* fragments sequenced from meadow or forest soils were most similar to *nosZ* from *Rhizobiaceae* in *a-Proteobacteria*.

In a second study, I examined denitrifying bacteria from three adjacent habitats in Oregon: agricultural soil that received N-fertilizer inputs, naturally vegetated riparian soil, and creek sediment. The ratio of N₂O produced as a result of denitrification in the presence of glucose (10 mM) and NO₃⁻ (5 mM) was higher for riparian soil (0.64 ± 0.02; mean ± standard error, n = 12) compared to agricultural soil (0.19 ± 0.02) or creek sediment (0.32 ± 0.03). Mean DEA was similar among habitats, but mean N₂O-reductase activity was about 70% higher in agricultural soil than in riparian soil or creek sediment. Denitrifying community composition differed among habitats based on *nosZ* T-RFLPs. The creek sediment community was unique. Communities in agricultural and riparian soil were more closely related but distinct. Sequences of *nosZ* obtained from riparian soil were closely related to *nosZ* from *Rhizobiaceae* or distantly related to *nosZ* from *Ralstonia* or *Azospirillum* spp.

Both studies indicated that denitrifying community composition differed among adjacent habitats. The same dominant denitrifying genotypes were found in all soils, but relative abundances of these genotypes differed among habitats. Less dominant genotypes were consistently only found in certain habitats. Denitrifying community composition and activities were correlated, but relationships between composition and activities differed between studies. Previously overlooked denitrifiers related to *Rhizobiaceae* may dominate in these soils. ©Copyright by Jeremy J. Rich June 27, 2003 All Rights Reserved

Community Composition and Activities of Denitrifying Bacteria in Soils

by

Jeremy J. Rich

A DISSERTATION

submitted to

Oregon State University

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Presented June 27, 2003

Commencement June 2004

Doctor of Philosophy dissertation of Jeremy J. Rich presented on June 27, 2003.

APPROVED:

Redacted for privacy

Major Professor, representing Soil Science

Redacted for privacy

Head of the Department of Crop and Soil Science

Redacted for privacy

Dean of the Graduate School

I understand that my dissertation will become part of the permanent collection of

Oregon State University libraries. My signature below authorizes release of my

dissertation to any reader upon request.

Redacted for privacy

/ Jeremy J. Rich, Author

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge my major advisor David Myrold for his support, availability, encouragement of independent thinking and pursuing of new ideas, ability to listen, his suggestion for studying the agricultural-riparian system, and quick turn-around time on manuscripts; also his lead-authorship on the National Science Foundation-Microbial Observatory (NSF-MO) grant, which funded the bulk of my research, in addition to the grant from the Oregon State University (OSU)-Agricultural Research Foundation (ARF). Thank you to Peter Bottomley and Kermit Cromack, Jr., co-PIs on the NSF-MO, for their input, patience, and collaborative spirit. Thank you to all the PIs on the NSF-MO for suggesting to examine adjacent meadow and forest soils in the H. J Andrews. Thank you to Peter Bottomley for helpful discussions and suggestions about specific aspects of my research. Thank you to my other committee members: Stephen Giovannoni for giving career advice and influencing my Ph.D. instruction through two courses, and Dan Arp for giving research advice and loaning equipment.

Thank you to student collaborators on the NSF-MO: Anne Mintie, Rachel Heichen, Kirk Waterstripe, and Kim Lamothe for sharing data, field work, and sample processing. I am grateful to Nancy Posavatz for her aid in PCR techniques, organization of NSF-MO work, PCR data in the OSU-ARF grant application, assistance in analyzing T-RFLP data, and making inorganic N measurements. Thank you to other colleagues that helped with NSF-MO field sampling. I acknowledge Bruce McCune for his invaluable instruction in using multivariate statistical techniques to analyze community data, through his course, coauthored book and software.

Thank you to colleagues at the Center for Gene Research and Biotechnology at OSU, particular Caprice Rosato for running fragment analyses, Mark Dasenko for DNA sequencing, Scott Givan for bioinformatics, and Doug Borngasser for computer support.

I acknowledge the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest and the Long Term Ecological Research network for facilitating the work in Chapter 2.

Thank you to Jenny Davis and Steve Griffith for advice about measuring denitrifying enzyme activities and information on the agricultural-riparian field site. Thank you to Don Wirth of Cala Farms, Inc. for his interest and cooperation in the agricultural-riparian study.

Thank you to my office-mates Shawna McMahon, Stacie Kageyama, and Stephanie Boyle for use of the office computer during my thesis writing. Also thank you to Shawna McMahon for help with soil profile descriptions and Stacie Kageyama for plant identifications. Thank you to all the participants of "journal club" over the years and Mark Williams for stimulating discussions. I am grateful to the Crop and Soil Science staff, particularly Jayne Smith and Tracy Mitzel for their office help, Marcia Brett for computer support, and Sara Griffith for posting web pages.

Thank you to friends and family for their support and friendship. In particular, I am grateful to my wife Heather Leslie for her understanding, scientific and personal advice, support, help, and critique of presentations.

CONTRIBUTION OF AUTHORS

David Myrold was my major advisor, and so he aided in most aspects of the thesis, from carrying out research to commenting on manuscripts. Peter Bottomley and Kermit Cromack, Jr. were co-PIs on the study described in Chapter 2 and they gave useful comments on the manuscript. For Chapter 2, Peter Bottomley aided in the discussion and Rachel Heichen provided nitrogen mineralization data.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
Chapter 1. Introduction to the Dissertation	1	
Chapter 2. Community Composition and Functioning of Denitrifying Bacteria from Adjacent Meadow and Forest Soils	6	
ABSTRACT	7	
INTRODUCTION	8	
METHODS	9	
RESULTS	18	
DISCUSSION	35	
Chapter 3. Community Composition and N ₂ O-reductase Activity of Denitrifying Bacteria from Adjacent Agricultural Soil, Riparian Soil, and Creek Sediment in Oregon, USA		
ABSTRACT	43	
INTRODUCTION	45	
METHODS	47	
RESULTS	53	
DISCUSSION	72	
Chapter 4. Conclusion to the Dissertation	79	
Bibliography	83	
Appendices	93	
Appendix A. Potential N ₂ O Consumption in H. J. Andrews Soils	94	
Appendix B. PCR Product and T-RF Size of 91 Overlapping <i>nosZ</i> Fragments	96	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

Appendix C. Dissimilarities in Mean nosZ Distribution Among	
Habitats	102

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		Page
2.1.	Spatial variation of denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA) from adjacent meadow and forest soils in the H. J. Andrews	19
2.2.	Example electropherograms of <i>nosZ</i> T-RFLP profiles for an H. J. Andrews soil sample from Carpenter meadow	21
2.3.	Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS) of denitrifier community composition based on combined <i>nosZ</i> T-RFLP profiles (<i>CfoI</i> , <i>MspI</i> , and <i>RsaI</i>) of H. J. Andrews transect soil samples	24
2.4.	Spatial variation of some key <i>nosZ</i> T-RFs from adjacent meadow and forest soils in the H. J. Andrews	28
2.5.	<i>nosZ</i> T-RFs that differed significantly between vegetation type or site, based on Indicator Species Analysis (<i>p</i> -value <0.05)	29
2.6.	Phylogenetic tree based on evolutionary distances among <i>nosZ</i> amino acid sequences (208 positions) from soils, marine sediment, and cultured bacteria	33
3.1.	Nitrous oxide formation or disappearance in anaerobic soil slurries that received 10 mM glucose, and either 5 mM NO_3^- (A) or 18 μ M N_2O (B), with and without C_2H_2	54
3.2.	Rates of N ₂ O formation, disappearance (negative values), or Nos activity in anaerobic slurries that received 10 mM glucose, and either 5 mM NO ₃ ⁻ (A) or 18 μ M N ₂ O (B), with and without C ₂ H ₂ .	56
3.3.	Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS) ordination of denitrifier community composition based on presence/absence of T-RFs in combined <i>CfoI</i> , <i>MspI</i> , and <i>RsaI nosZ</i> T-RFLP profiles	62
3.4.	UPGMA dendrogram of Sørensen's distance among habitats based on mean $nosZ$ distribution and rN_2O	64

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure		Page
3.5.	nosZ T-RFs that differed significantly between habitats, based on Indicator Species Analysis ($p < 0.05$)	67
3.6.	Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of <i>nosZ</i> amino acid sequences (177 positions) from soils, marine sediment, and cultured bacteria.	69

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1.	Properties of the H. J. Andrews mineral soil used in this study (mean of 0 to 10 cm depth)	11
2.2.	Number of terminal-restriction fragments (T-RFs) in the <i>nosZ</i> T-RFLP profiles of H. J. Andrews soils	22
2.3.	Multi-response Permutation Procedure (MRPP), testing for significant differences between pre-defined groups, based on <i>nosZ</i> T-RFLP profiles (<i>CfoI</i> , <i>MspI</i> , and <i>RsaI</i> combined)	25
2.4.	Top-ten correlation coefficients (Pearson's <i>r</i> -value) between <i>nosZ</i> T-RFs and NMS axes one or two, based either on proportional abundance or presence/absence	27
2.5.	Size of <i>nosZ</i> terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) or PCR products of <i>nosZ</i> clones based on sequencing or T-RFLP profiling	31
3.1.	Distribution of denitrifying enzyme and N ₂ O-reductase activities in agricultural and riparian soil and creek sediment	57
3.2.	Statistical differences in denitrifying enzyme and N_2O -reductase activities between habitats or positions	58
3.3.	Statistical differences in denitrifying enzyme and N ₂ O-reductase activities between dates	58
3.4.	Diversity indices for <i>nosZ</i> T-RFLP profiles in agricultural soil, riparian soil, and creek sediment	60
3.5.	Correlation coefficients (r^2) between denitrifier activities and non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS) ordinations of <i>nosZ</i> T-RFLP profiles	65
3.6.	Distribution of overlapping <i>nosZ</i> sequences analyzed in this study	71

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

<u>Table</u>

Page

3.7.	7. Distribution of <i>nosZ</i> indicator T-RFs in <i>nosZ</i> phylogenetic				
	clusters, based on 91 overlapping nosZ sequences	73			

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Table		Page
A1.	N ₂ O consumption and production by H. J Andrews soils during a 9 d incubation under denitrifying conditions	95
B1.	Characteristics of 91 overlapping <i>nosZ</i> sequences from this study and GenBank	97
C1.	Sørensen's distance among habitats based on mean <i>nosZ</i> distribution	102

Community Composition and Activities of Denitrifying Bacteria in Soils Chapter 1: Introduction to the Dissertation

Over the last 15 years, DNA-based studies have revealed tremendous prokaryotic diversity in soils (Curtis et al. 2002, Dunbar et al. 2002, Torsvik et al. 1990, Zhou et al. 2002). Most of this diversity was previously unknown because about 99% of bacterial taxa fail to grow under conditions of traditional culture-based surveys (Amann et al. 1995, Torsvik et al. 1990). As a result of finding high prokaryotic diversity in soils, the question has emerged: Does prokaryotic diversity influence ecosystem processes (Torsvik and Øvreås 2002)? A parallel debate regarding macroscopic communities has received considerable attention (Hector et al. 1999, Hooper and Vitousek 1997, McGrady-Steed et al. 1997, Naeem et al. 1994, Tilman and Downing 1994). However, despite tremendous advances in characterizing phylogenetic diversity of prokaryotes (Amann et al. 1995, Giovannoni et al. 1990, Hugenholtz et al. 1998, Pace 1997, Woese 1987), quantifying total numbers of bacterial taxa in natural systems remains a great challenge (Curtis et al. 2002, Torsvik and Øvreås 2002). A more tractable approach has been to examine community composition and activities of prokaryotic functional groups (Becker et al. 2001, Eller and Frenzel 2001, Fey and Conrad 2000, Gieske et al. 2001, King et al. 2001, Kleikemper et al. 2002, Mintie et al. 2003, Ramakrishnan et al. 2001, Ravenschlag et al. 2000). Qualitative relationships between community composition and process rates have been found for methanogens (Lueders and Friedrich 2002), sulfate reducers (Castro et al. 2002), and nitrifiers (McCaig et al. 1999), but not always (Phillips et al. 2000).

In contrast to other functional groups, little is known about the distribution of denitrifying bacteria in natural environments, and how their community structure relates to denitrification rates. The process of denitrification can limit primary productivity and contribute to global warming through both the production and consumption of nitrous oxide (N₂O). An essential component in the global nitrogen (N) cycle, denitrification is the reduction of nitrate (NO₃⁻) or nitrite (NO₂⁻) to N₂O or N₂ in the absence of O₂, in the pathway:

$$NO_3^- \xrightarrow{\text{Nar}} NO_2^- \xrightarrow{\text{Nir}} NO \xrightarrow{\text{Nor}} N_2O \xrightarrow{\text{Nos}} N_2,$$

with abbreviations for the individual N-oxide reductases shown above arrows, e.g., Nos for N_2O reductase. Denitrifying bacteria are defined solely by the facultative ability to denitrify. Most denitrifiers respire O_2 preferentially.

Denitrifying bacteria are metabolically and phylogenetically diverse (Zumft 1999). Over 130 species of denitrifiers in 50 genera are known (Zumft 1999). Most species belong in the α -, β -, and γ -Proteobacteria, but representatives of Gram-positive bacteria and halophilic Archaea have been isolated (Zumft 1999). The metabolic diversity found among denitrifiers includes lithotrophs that couple denitrification with S (e.g., Thiobacillus) or H₂ oxidation (e.g., Paracoccus), diazotrophs (e.g., Azospirillum or Bradyrhizobium), and phototrophs (e.g., Rhodopseudomonas) (Zumft 1997). Denitrifiers that mineralize monoaromotic compounds, such as toluene, have received more attention recently (Song et al. 1999, Zumft 1997). Of the denitrifiers, Pseudomonas spp. tend to be the most readily isolated organisms from soils or aquatic systems (Gamble et al. 1977, Tiedje 1988), leading to the notion that Pseudomonas

spp. are dominant denitrifiers in soils. However, limited reports indicate that *Rhizobium* spp. may be prevalent in some soils (Tiedje 1988), and *Rhizobium* spp. do not usually grow on the general complex medium used in typical denitrifier surveys (Tiedje 1988).

Because denitrification is scattered among different phylogenetic groups, functional genes in the denitrification pathway, such as NO_2^- reductase (*nirK* and *nirS*) and N₂O reductase (*nosZ*), have been used as markers for denitrifying communities. The first studies utilizing PCR to amplify denitrification genes from environmental samples focused on denitrifying communities in marine sediments (Braker et al. 2001, Braker et al. 1998, Braker et al. 2000, Michotey et al. 2000, Scala and Kerkhof 1998, Scala and Kerkhof 1999, Scala and Kerkhof 2000).

Few researchers have examined denitrifier community structure and functioning in soils. Avrahami et al. (2002) recently found that denitrifier community structure was related to ammonium (NH_4^+) addition and enhanced N_2O emissions from soil. Cavigelli and Robertson (2000) hypothesized that soils with different physiological responses under denitrifying conditions also had different community composition. The phylogenetic diversity of denitrifiers has been examined in a few soils (Priemé et al. 2002, Rösch et al. 2002). Philippot et al. (2002) found that communities of $NO_3^$ reducing bacteria differed between planted and unplanted soil.

Although many individual denitrifying bacteria reduce NO_3^- to N_2 , denitrification, in principle, consists of three modules of reactions that can occur independently (Zumft 1999), i.e.:

(1)
$$NO_3^- \rightarrow NO_2^-$$
, (2) $NO_2^- \rightarrow NO \rightarrow N_2O$, and (3) $N_2O \rightarrow N_2$.

For example, some denitrifiers have a truncated pathway, lacking Nos, whereas other strains grow with N₂O as the sole electron acceptor (Carlson and Ingraham 1983, Zumft 1999). *Wolinella succinogenes* is an intriguing organism that reduces NO_3^- to NH_4^+ , and N₂O to N₂, but not NO_2^- to N₂O (Wolin et al. 1961, Yoshinari 1980, Zumft 1997). In organisms that carryout complete denitrification, Nos synthesis and activity can be repressed and inhibited to a greater extant by O₂ compared to the other reductases (Betlach and Tiedje 1981, Otte et al. 1996), depending on the denitrifying strain (Zumft 1999). Similarly in soils, O₂ appears to inhibit Nos activity more than the other N-oxide reductases (Firestone et al. 1980, Firestone and Tiedje 1979).

Because denitrifier distribution in soils remains largely unknown and because of the important environmental role of denitrifiers, I investigated the community composition and functioning of denitrifying bacteria in soils and sediments. Examining relationships between denitrifier distribution and activities may improve understanding of denitrification, and provide insights into the functional role of microbial diversity.

My objectives were to:

Determine if and how denitrifying communities differed between adjacent habitats.
Examine relationships between denitrifying community composition and activities.
Analyze phylogenetic relationships among denitrifiers.

Habitats consisted of different vegetation types or different agricultural management regimes. To pursue these objectives, I conducted two separate studies in Oregon, at sites with contrasting levels of denitrification. I compared denitrifying

4

communities from relatively pristine meadow and forest soils in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest in the Western Cascade Mountains. This work, referred to as the H. J. Andrews study, was done in collaboration with researchers from microbiology, soil science, and forest ecology. A major goal of the H. J. Andrews study was to examine spatial variation in denitrifying communities from meadow to forest soils.

In an agroecosystem study, I focused on denitrifiers in the Willamette Valley, where the use of N fertilizer may influence water quality and N_2O emissions. The agroecosystem site consisted of three adjacent habitats: a fertilized agricultural field, naturally vegetated riparian area, and creek sediment. Environmental conditions at the sites favored low to moderate denitrification activities in the H. J. Andrews soils and high activities in the agroecosystem soils and sediments.

To examine denitrifier distribution, I analyzed a key gene in the denitrification pathway, N₂O reductase (*nosZ*), and generated community profiles of *nosZ* using the PCR and terminal-restriction fragment length polymorphisms (T-RFLPs). I applied multivariate statistics to determine community differences and correlations between community composition and activities. I used standard activity assays to quantify rates of denitrification. To identify putative denitrifiers from the soils and further elucidate T-RFLP profiles, I analyzed phylogenetic relationships among denitrifiers based on *nosZ* sequences. Nitrous oxide-reductase activity was examined in greater detail in the agroecosystem study, whereas more extensive *nosZ* cloning, screening, and sequencing was done in the H. J. Andrews study. The same *nosZ* T-RFLP measurements were made in both studies.

5

Chapter 2:

Community Composition and Functioning of Denitrifying Bacteria

from Adjacent Meadow and Forest Soils

Jeremy J. Rich, Rachel S. Heichen, Peter J. Bottomley, Kermit Cromack, Jr., and

David D. Myrold

Applied and Environmental Microbiology (in review)

American Society for Microbiology, Washington D. C.

ABSTRACT

We investigated communities of denitrifying bacteria from adjacent meadow and forest soils. Our objectives were to explore spatial gradients in denitrifier communities from meadow to forest, examine if community composition was related to ecological properties, such as vegetation type and process rates, and determine phylogenetic relationships among denitrifiers. A key gene in the denitrification pathway, nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ), served as a marker for denitrifying bacteria. Denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA) was measured as a proxy for function. Other variables, such as nitrification potential and soil C:N ratio were also measured. Soil samples were taken along transects that spanned meadow-forest boundaries at two sites in the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest in the Western Cascade Mountains of Oregon, USA. Results indicated strong functional and community differences between the meadow and forest soils. Levels of DEA were an order of magnitude higher in the meadow soils. Denitrifier community composition was related to process rates and vegetation type based on multivariate analyses of nosZ T-RFLP profiles. Denitrifier communities formed distinct groups according to vegetation type and site. Screening 225 nosZ clones yielded 47 unique denitrifier genotypes; the most dominant genotype occurred 31 times and half the genotypes occurred once. Several dominant and lessdominant denitrifying genotypes were more characteristic of either meadow or forest soils. The majority of nosZ fragments sequenced from meadow or forest soils were most similar to nosZ from the Rhizobiaceae group in α -Proteobacteria. Denitrifier community composition, as well as environmental factors, may contribute to denitrification rates in these systems.

INTRODUCTION

In addition to ameliorating eutrophication and removing excess nitrate (NO_3) from drinking water, the process of denitrification can limit primary productivity and contribute to global warming through the formation of nitrous oxide (N_2O). Despite the essential role of denitrification in the global nitrogen (N) cycle, little is known about how denitrifier community structure relates to denitrification rates in natural environments. Examining this relationship may improve understanding of denitrification, and provide insights into the functional role of microbial diversity.

Denitrifying bacteria are defined solely by the ability to reduce NO_3^- or nitrite (NO_2^-) to N_2O or N_2 in the absence of oxygen. Denitrification is not specific to any one phylogenetic group; the trait is found in about 50 genera, mostly in the *Proteobacteria* (Zumft 1999). Therefore, to analyze denitrifier diversity, functional genes in the denitrification pathway, such as nitrite reductase (*nirK* and *nirS*) and nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*), have been retrieved from environmental samples using PCR and cloning and sequencing (Braker et al. 2000, Rösch et al. 2002, Scala and Kerkhof 1999). Denitrifier community profiles have been generated using PCR-coupled terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms (T-RFLPs) (Avrahami et al. 2002, Braker et al. 2001, Scala and Kerkhof 2000). Avrahami et al. (2002) recently found that denitrifier community composition was related to NH_4^+ addition and enhanced N_2O emissions from soil.

Plant communities presumably have strong effects on belowground microbial community structure (Kowalchuk et al. 2002, Philippot et al. 2002). Therefore, we investigated denitrifier communities in two broadly contrasting plant communities of

meadow vegetation and adjacent coniferous forest in the Western Cascade Mountains of Oregon, USA. We hypothesized that because these vegetation types likely differed in N cycling processes, denitrifier communities would also differ. Differences in N cycling were assumed because net production of NO₃⁻ is higher in analogous meadow soils compared to coniferous forests (Davidson et al. 1992, Ingham et al. 1989). Although factors leading to vegetation differences are complex (Magee and Antos 1992, Miller and Halpern 1998), annual turnover and higher concentrations of N in meadow plant tissue likely contribute to differences in N cycling (Hunt et al. 1988, Ollinger et al. 2002).

The objective of this study was to examine spatial variation in denitrifier communities and N cycling processes from adjacent meadow and forest soils. We confirmed that N cycling processes differed between meadow and forest using standard activity assays. Community composition was measured with T-RFLP profiles of the *nosZ* fragment analyzed by Rösch et al. (2002). We assessed relationships between denitrifier communities and functioning with multivariate techniques, and we investigated phylogenetic relationships among *nosZ* clones. Recently, Mintie et al. (2003) examined nitrifier populations from the same soils.

METHODS

Site characteristics and sampling. Study sites were located at the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest (44.2°N, 122.2°W) in the Western Cascade Mountains of Oregon, USA. The Western Cascades consist of deeply eroded mountains formed by volcanic activity primarily five to forty million years ago (Orr et al. 1992). The dominant vegetation type is moist temperate coniferous forest. Adjacent meadow and

9

forest vegetation exist on well-drained soils at higher elevations with south facing slopes (Miller and Halpern 1998). We examined two sites, referred to as Carpenter and Lookout, with adjacent meadow and forest vegetation and similar soils. Aspect was 210°SW at Carpenter and 180°S at Lookout. Slope was approximately 50% at Carpenter and 35% at Lookout. Elevation at both sites was 1500 m. The mean air temperature range during the years of 1970 to 2000 was 10°C to 22°C for summer and -2°C to 4°C for winter (Smith 2002). Mean annual precipitation from 1980 to 1989 was approximately 3000 mm, falling mainly between November and March (http://www.fsl.orst.edu/lter/data/spatial/gislist.cfm). Basic characteristics of the surface soil are shown in Table 2.1. The soils at both sites were relatively young, poorly developed, well-drained, and rich in organic matter. The meadow soils at both sites were Lithic Cryandepts, Lucky Boy soil series (U.S. taxonomic designation), with 15% surface rock at Lookout and amorphous-very dark brown surface (A) horizons underlain with continuous, unconsolidated rocky material at a depth of about 100 cm at Carpenter and 40 cm at Lookout. The forest soils were Pachic Haplumbrepts, Blue River soil series with dark brown surface (A) horizons, 20 to 40 cm in depth, and poorly developed subsurface (B) horizons, to a depth of 70 to 80 cm. Meadow vegetation consisted of a variety of herbaceous plants, including the most dominant species at Carpenter of Rudbeckia occidentalis, bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinium), and pearly everlasting (Anaphalis margaritacea). At Lookout the dominant species were lupine (Lupinus polyphyllus), purple lovage (Ligusticum grayi), Oregon sunshine (Eriophyllum lanatum), and Indian paintbrush (Castilleja hispida). There is no record of human influence at the Lookout site, but a logging operation took place in the

10

	Carpenter site		Lookout site			
Measurement [†]	Meadow	Forest	Meadow	Forest	- Reference	
pH	5.9	5.8	5.8	5.7	Mintie et al. (2003)	
Total C (g C kg ⁻¹ soil)	94.7	131.8	115.9	142.3	Mintie et al. (2003)	
Total N (g N kg ⁻¹ soil)	6.8	5.8	9.3	9.2	Mintie et al. (2003)	
C:N	13.8	22.8	12.7	15.7	Mintie et al. (2003)	
Nitrate (mg N kg ⁻¹ soil)‡	2.9	1.5	3.5	1.9	This study	
Ammonium (mg N kg ⁻¹ soil)	4.6	1.4	2.6	1.7	This study	
Net Nitrification (mg N kg ⁻¹ soil day ⁻¹)*	2.60	0.04	2.15	0.20	This study	
Net Ammonification (mg N kg ⁻¹ soil day ⁻¹)	-0.42	0.43	-0.20	0.79	This study	
Net N mineralization (mg N kg ⁻¹ soil day ⁻¹)	2.18	0.47	1.96	0.99	Mintie et al. (2003)	
Nitrification potential (mg N kg ⁻¹ soil day ⁻¹)	8.90	0.26	8.67	0.74	Mintie et al. (2003)	
DEA (mg N kg ⁻¹ soil day ⁻¹)**	0.78	0.04	1.58	0.17	This study	

Table 2.1. Properties of the H. J. Andrews mineral soil used in this study (mean of 0 to 10 cm depth).

[†]Units are normalized by dry soil.

‡Nitrate and ammonium were measured with an autoanalyzer, as reported for N mineralization (Mintie et al. 2003).

*Net rates were measured over a 10-day laboratory incubation (Mintie et al. 2003).

**Denitrifying enzyme activity.

vicinity of the Carpenter site in 1962 (H. J. Andrews on-site archive). Dominant tree species in the forests at both sites were Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), noble fir (*Abies procera*), Pacific silver fir (*Abies amabilis*), and grand fir (*Abies grandis*). Mean tree age in the forest was 92 yr at Carpenter and 48 yr at Lookout.

Soil samples were taken along meadow-to-forest transects at the two sites. Three replicate transects were established at each site. The transects were distributed throughout the site at a distance of at least 20 m between transects. Each transect ran perpendicular to the meadow-forest boundary and consisted of eight, evenly spaced sampling points, four in the forest and four in the meadow. Sampling points were spaced at 20-m intervals at Carpenter and 10-m intervals at Lookout, with greater spacing at Carpenter to account for the wider meadow-to-forest transition at this site. We sampled the mineral soil (0 to 10 cm), under the organic-humus layer, in the meadow and forest by compositing five replicate soil cores (inner diameter, 6 cm) at each sampling point within a 0.5-m radius. The sample unit consisted of the composite soil sample; 48 soil samples were taken, and thus 48 sample units were analyzed in this study. Samples were taken 26 June 2000, corresponding to the early part of the growing season. The soil was brought back to the laboratory on ice, stored at 4°C, and sieved (4.75-mm mesh) about 24 h after sampling. Soil for DNA extractions was immediately frozen at -20°C after sieving. Soil for the denitrification potential measurement was kept at 4°C until analyzed within 72 h after sampling.

Denitrification potentials. Denitrification potentials, or denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA), measurements were carried out following Tiedje (1994). Fresh soil (10 g) was added to 125-ml Erlenmeyer flasks with 25 ml of solution containing glucose

(10 mM), NO₃⁻ (5 mM), and phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0). The flasks were sealed with neoprene stoppers and made anaerobic by repeated evacuation and flushing with Ar. Standard-grade acetylene was purified through an acid trap (Hyman and Arp 1987) and added to the headspace (10% v/v). The flasks were incubated on a rotary shaker (250 rpm, 21°C). Gas samples (4 ml) were taken at 15 and 75 min and stored in Vacutainers[®] (3 ml) until analyzed for N₂O by gas chromatography using a ⁶³Ni electron capture detector (Myrold 1988). Production of N₂O was linear during the 15-to-75-min interval, based on a subset of flasks sampled every 15 min (data not shown). Statistical differences in DEA were assessed using a two-factor ANOVA for site and vegetation type (SAS v. 7.0).

nosZ PCR and T-RFLPs. PCR was used to generate 700-bp *nosZ* fragments from the soil denitrifying community. Designed in 1999 based on available sequence data and Scala and Kerkhof (1998), our *nosZ* primers are almost identical to those independently developed by Rösch et al. (2002). Our primer sequences were: 5'-CGCTGTTCITCGACAGYCAG-3' [bold nucleotides differ from (Rösch et al. 2002)] for the forward primer (*nosZ*-F-1181) and 5'-ATGTGCAKIGCRTGGCAGAA-3' for the reverse primer (*nosZ*-R-1880; I=inosine, Y=T+C, K=T+G, R=A+G). Numbers in parentheses indicate nucleotide positions at the ends of the *Pseudomonas stutzeri* 700bp *nosZ* fragment (Genbank accession no. M22628).

DNA was extracted from soil samples (0.3 g fresh weight) or pure cultures using the FastDNA[®] kit for bacteria cells or soil (Bio101, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA extracts were checked on agarose gels (1.1%) stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μ g ml⁻¹). The DNA was quantified using a DNA

fluorometer TKO 100 (Hoefer Scientific) with calf thymus DNA standard. Reaction mixtures (50 μ l) contained soil DNA (100 ng), AmpliTaq[®] DNA polymerase (2.5 U), GeneAmp[®] PCR buffer (1x), MgCl₂ (2 mM), dNTPs (0.2 mM each), forward and reverse primers (0.2 μ M each), and bovine serum albumin (0.064 g ml⁻¹). The forward primer was fluorescently labeled with 6-Fam. For PCR amplification of soil DNA, the temperature profile on a PTC-100 hot bonnet thermocycler (MJ Research, Inc.) was 94°C for 3 min; 25 cycles of 94°C (45 sec), 56°C (1 min), and 72°C (2 min); followed by a final extension of 72°C for 7 min. For each sample, the PCR products of three reactions were pooled and purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions. PCR products were checked using agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. Fluorescently labeled PCR products were quantified on an ABI Prism[®] 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Inc.) with a known concentration of a 6-Fam labeled *nosZ* fragment obtained from the amplification of *P. stutzeri* DNA.

Samples for T-RFLP analysis consisted of approximately 1 ng of fluorescently labeled *nosZ* PCR products, digested in 25 µl aliquots with 6.25 U of restriction endonuclease (37°C, 3 h), followed by heat inactivation (65°C, 15 min). Three T-RFLP profiles were generated per sample unit in separate reactions with the endonucleases *CfoI* (isoschisimer of *HhaI*), *MspI*, or *RsaI* (Roche, Co.). Restriction digests (20 µl) were purified through SephadexTM G-50 (Amersham Bioscience) and dried as a pellet in a speed-vac centrifuge. Dried pellets were rehydrated with Hi-Di[®] formamide (9.92 µl) and X-Rhodamine MapMarkerTM 1000 (0.08 µl) internal lane size standard. The mixture was heated (96°C, 3 min) and cooled on ice (5 min) prior to running the samples in 96-well microtiter plates on an ABI Prism[®] 3100 Genetic Analyzer, with an injection time of 22 s, run time of 54 min, and a 36-cm capillary containing 3100 POP-4[®] polymer.

Analysis of T-RFLP data. Size and relative abundance of terminal-restriction fragments (T-RFs) was quantified using GeneScan[®] v3.5 software (Applied Biosystems, Inc.). Fragment peak heights above a detection limit of 10 relative fluorescent units were summed for each profile; only fragments with a signal above 1.5% of the sum were included in the analyses. The area of T-RFs that differed in size by ≤ 1.5 bp in an individual profile were summed and considered as one fragment. Sequencing of clones indicated that almost all individual T-RFs with this variation were the same size in base pairs. Each fragment was expressed as a percent of the total fragment abundance in each sample profile or scored as either present or absent. The T-RFLP profile data are available upon request.

PC-ORD v4.01 (McCune and Mefford 1999) was used for all multivariate statistical community analyses of the *nosZ* T-RFLP profiles. Differences in community composition were assessed graphically using the ordination method of Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS), based on Sørensen's distance (Kruskal 1964, McCune and Grace 2002). NMS approximates community relatedness among samples (based on the distance measure) with synthetic axes (McCune and Grace 2002). NMS was constrained to two ordination axes with a random starting configuration, 300 iterations, instability criterion of 0.0001, 40 runs with the real data and 50 runs with randomized data; Monte Carlo tests of the real data vs. the randomized data were used to assess axes significance. Functional parameters (e.g., DEA and nitrification potential) and individual T-RFs were correlated with the NMS axes to evaluate relationships between overall community composition, function, and individual T-RFs. Nitrification potential and DEA values were log transformed for the correlation analysis because the rates varied by an order of magnitude. Correlation coefficients between process rates (or environmental variables) and NMS axes were displayed as vectors radiating from the center of the plot. Each vector was calculated as the hypotenuse of a right triangle with each side of the triangle being the correlation coefficient of the process rate with axis one and two (McCune and Grace 2002). The vector angle was a function of the relative proportion between the correlation coefficients (McCune and Grace 2002). A Multi-response Permutation Procedure (MRPP), with Sørensen's distance and rank transformation, was used to test for significant differences in community composition between groups defined by vegetation type and site (McCune and Grace 2002, Mielke 1984). The MRPP Astatistic describes the effect size or with-in group relatedness relative to that expected by chance alone, and is somewhat analogous to an r^2 -value (McCune and Grace 2002). To identify T-RFs that differentiated denitrifier communities by vegetation type and site, Indicator Species Analysis (Dufrêne and Legendre 1997) was run with 1000 randomizations in the Monte Carlo test.

nosZ cloning, screening, and sequencing. *nosZ* fragments generated from the PCR of soil DNA were cloned using the pGEM[®]-T Easy Vector System (Promega), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Template DNA for the PCR was pooled from two representative samples of each vegetation type. The PCR was run as described above, except with 30 cycles. Prior to cloning, the 700-bp *nosZ* fragments

were excised from an agarose gel and purified (Qiagen). Clones were screened using *CfoI*, *MspI*, and *RsaI* T-RFLPs as described for direct soil profiles, defined here as T-RFLP profiling. Genotypic diversity was analyzed using species area curve analysis, treating a unique *nosZ* clone as a species, as implemented in PC-ORD v4.01 (McCune and Mefford 1999). Species area curve analysis consisted of randomly sub-sampling the clone library dataset 500 times at each level of clone screening, which is analogous to generating rarefaction curves.

Plasmids were prepared using QIAprep Minipreps (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Both strands of the *nosZ* fragment were sequenced for 34 clones, using the SP6 and T7-promoter primers flanking the PCR insert in the plasmid. Sequencing reactions were done with ABI Prism[®] BigDyeTM Terminator Cycle Sequencing and the samples were analyzed on an ABI Prism[®] 3100 Genetic Analyzer.

nosZ phylogenetic analyses. Analyses of *nosZ* sequences were carried out for the translated amino acid sequences using Phylip v3.573 (Felsenstein 1993) and TREE-PUZZLE v5.0 (Schmidt et al. 2002). Following the approach of Friedrich (2002), with the exception of *Ralstonia eutropha* ATCC 17699 (Goris et al. 2001), *nosZ* sequences were selected from cultured strains if their phylogenetic position could be confirmed using publicly available strain-specific SSU rRNA sequence data and the Ribosomal Database Project's (RDP) hierarchy browser and sequence match tool (Maidak et al. 2001). Amino acid sequences of *nosZ* were aligned using ClustalX (Thompson et al. 1997), excluding residues corresponding to the primer sequences. Distance, parsimony, and maximum likelihood methods were used to infer phylogenetic relationships. Dayhoff's 001 substitution matrix (Dayhoff et al. 1979) was used to measure

17

evolutionary distance in PROTDIST (Phylip), and trees were constructed using the neighbor-joining algorithm (Phylip). For parsimony, PROTPARS (Phylip) was used, and for maximum likelihood analysis, TREE-PUZZLE was applied, using the JTT (Jones et al. 1992) amino acid substitution matrix for evolutionary distance. Bootstrap analysis of 1000 replicates was carried out for neighbor-joining trees and 500 replicates for parsimony trees (Phylip).

The Genbank accession numbers of the H. J. Andrews *nosZ* sequences are AY259180 to AY259212.

RESULTS

Denitrification potentials. Strong functional differences were found between meadow and forest soils at the two sites (Table 2.1, Fig. 2.1). Denitrifying enzyme activity was generally an order of magnitude higher in the meadow compared to the forest. This difference was highly significant at both sites (p-value<0.0001 for vegetation type, two-factor ANOVA). DEA, in units of ng N₂O-N g⁻¹ dry soil h⁻¹ (mean \pm 1 standard error, n = 12), of the meadow soils were 32.4 ± 7.1 for Carpenter and 66.0 \pm 19.4 for Lookout; the forest soils were 1.6 ± 0.5 for Carpenter and 7.0 \pm 1.4 for Lookout. DEA showed a weak tendency to be higher at Lookout (p-value=0.07 for site, two-factor ANOVA). The mean activity along the transects only changed significantly after crossing the meadow-forest boundary (Fig. 2.1), within the sampling interval of 10 m at Lookout or 20 m at Carpenter.

Amplification of nosZ from pure cultures and soil DNA. The nosZ primers amplified the expected fragment from five positive controls possessing nosZ, including

18



Fig. 2.1. Spatial variation of denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA) from adjacent meadow and forest soils in the H. J. Andrews. Bars are the mean ± 1 standard error for each position along replicate transects at the Carpenter site (closed) and Lookout site (open) (n = 3). Distance between transect positions was 20 m at Carpenter and 10 m at Lookout (see methods).

Paracoccus denitrificans ATCC 17741, Ralstonia eutropha ATCC 17699,

"Achromobacter cycloclastes" ATCC 21921, Pseudomonas stutzeri ATCC 14405, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The primers did not amplify DNA from two negative controls lacking nosZ (Serratia marcescens and Burkholderia cepacia cep31^TgroupI). Yields of DNA extracted from the H. J. Andrews soil ranged from 7 to 35 µg DNA g⁻¹ dry soil. The PCR products that were amplified from the soil DNA were of the expected size (700 bp). Three of the 48 field replicates were excluded from subsequent analyses because of poor PCR amplification.

nosZ **T-RFLP profiles.** Each *nosZ* T-RFLP profile from the H. J. Andrews soil consisted of one or two dominant T-RFs and several less dominant T-RFs (Fig. 2.2). Overall, a total of 61 T-RFs were detected with 14 to 24 T-RFs for each endonuclease (Table 2.2). Four of the 61 T-RFs were dominant (i.e., *CfoI* 357 bp, *MspI* 111-112 bp, *RsaI* 666 bp and 700 bp), comprising 58% of the mean total fragment abundance of each profile. These four were the only T-RFs that occurred in all samples. The rest of the T-RFs comprised a mean of 2-12% of the total fragment abundance of each profile and occurred in 2-98% of the samples; of these, 21 T-RFs occurred only once. Each endonuclease produced a mean of 2.7 to 7.1 T-RFs per profile, depending on the endonuclease, vegetation type, and site (Table 2.2). *CfoI* and *RsaI* tended to produce more fragments than *MspI* (Table 2.2). The meadow samples tended to have slightly more fragments in each profile on average, but this result was inconsistent among endonucleases and sites (Table 2.2).



Fig. 2.2. Example electropherograms of *nosZ* T-RFLP profiles for an H. J. Andrews soil sample from Carpenter meadow. Each panel is identified by the restriction endonuclease used to generate the profile.
									Co	ombined
			CfoI		MspI		RsaI		T-RFLPs	
Site	Vegetation	n† -						· .		
	type		Total	Mean (SE)	Total	Mean (SE)	Total	Mean (SE)	Total	Mean (SE)
Carpenter	Meadow	12	12	7.1 (0.4)	6	4.2 (0.2)	13	4.9 (0.3)	31	16.2 (0.7)
	Forest	10	11	5.7 (0.5)	10	4.2 (0.5)	10	5.0 (0.2)	31	14.9 (1.1)
Lookout	Meadow	12	15	6.9 (0.6)	8	3.3 (0.4)	15	6.0 (0.7)	38	16.2 (1.4)
	Forest	11	11	5.1 (0.2)	5	2.7 (0.2)	7	4.5 (0.2)	23	12.4 (0.4)
All sample	S	45	24	6.2 (0.3)	14	3.6 (0.2)	23	5.1 (0.2)	61	15.0 (0.5)

Table 2.2. Number of terminal-restriction fragments (T-RFs) in the nosZ T-RFLP profiles of H. J. Andrews soils.

†Number of field replicates analyzed.

nosZ community composition, functional relationships, and individual T-**RFs.** Denitrifier community composition differed significantly between vegetation type and site, based on multivariate analyses of combined CfoI, MspI, and RsaI nosZ T-RFLP profiles (Fig. 2.3; Table 2.3). MRPP A-statistics of about 0.3 in Table 2.3 reflect strong differences between groups (McCune and Grace 2002). Differences were evident based on proportional abundance or presence/absence of nosZ T-RFs (Fig. 2.3A and 2.3B, Table 2.3). Four groups corresponding to vegetation type and site were observed based on proportional abundance (Fig. 2.3A, Table 2.3). Differences by site were less apparent for the forest based on presence/absence (Fig. 2.3B, Table 2.3). NMS axes one and two were statistically significant (p-value=0.02), and the axes explained 91% (Fig. 2.3A) and 63% (Fig. 2.3B) of the sample variation. Differences between vegetation type and site were also found by analyzing separate CfoI, MspI, or RsaI T-RFLP profiles, but these differences were not as strong as for the combined profiles, and relationships between vegetation type and site varied somewhat depending on the endonuclease (data not shown). Ordination plots of *CfoI* profiles appeared most similar to the combined profile plots.

Functional variables were related to denitrifier community composition, as demonstrated by plotting the relative magnitude and direction of correlation coefficients (r^2) between NMS axes and functional variables (Fig. 2.3). Examples of the strongest correlates were selected for Fig. 2.3. Nitrification potential and DEA rates tended to increase from forest to meadow along axis one (Fig. 2.3). DEA also tended to increase towards Lookout meadow in Fig. 2.3A. Net nitrification gave almost identical correlation coefficients as for nitrification potentials (data not shown). Accumulation of



Axis 1

Fig. 2.3. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMS) of denitrifier community composition based on combined *nosZ* T-RFLP profiles (*CfoI*, *MspI*, and *RsaI*) of H. J. Andrews transect soil samples. Panel (A) is based on proportional abundance of *nosZ* T-RFs, and panel (B) on presence/absence of *nosZ* T-RFs. Vectors show the direction and relative magnitude of correlation coefficients (r^2) between NMS axes and functional variables; r^2 -values are shown in parentheses for the correlation between the functional variable and axis one or two, in the order of $(r^2 \text{ axis } 1, r^2 \text{ axis } 2)$. Abbreviations of functional variables are nitrification for log₁₀ of nitrification potential, denitrification for log₁₀ of denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA), C:N for the soil carbon to nitrogen ratio, and NH₄⁺ accumulation for net NH₄⁺ production (i.e., ammonification).

 Table 2.3. Multi-response Permutation Procedure (MRPP), testing for significant differences between pre-defined groups, based on nosZ T-RFLP profiles (CfoI, MspI, and RsaI combined).

	A-statistic [†]		
	Proportional	Presence/	
Test	abundance	absence	
Difference by vegetation type:			
Carpenter meadow vs. Carpenter forest	0.29 (<i>p</i> <10 ⁻⁵)	0.23 (<i>p</i> <10 ⁻⁵)	
Lookout meadow vs. Lookout forest	0.26 (<i>p</i> <10 ⁻⁵)	0.34 (<i>p</i> <10 ⁻⁵)	
Difference by site:			
Carpenter meadow vs. Lookout meadow	0.18 (<i>p</i> <0.001)	0.17 (<i>p</i> <0.001)	
Carpenter forest vs. Lookout forest	0.24 (<i>p</i> <10 ⁻⁵)	0.05 (<i>p</i> =0.02)	
Randomized data*:			
Carpenter meadow vs. Carpenter forest	-0.04 (<i>p</i> =1.0)	-0.01 (<i>p</i> =0.7)	

[†]The A-statistic measures within group relatedness compared to that expected by chance; A-statistics of about 0.3 reflect strong differences between groups (McCune and Grace 2002).

*T-RFLP profiles from the Carpenter site were randomized among sample units.

 NH_4^+ (i.e., net ammonification) tended to increase from meadow to forest along axis one (Fig. 2.3). Soil C:N ratios increased from meadow to forest, particularly in the direction of Carpenter forest, where C:N ratios were highest (Fig. 2.3; Table 2.1).

Although denitrifier communities differed significantly based either on proportional abundance or presence/absence, different T-RFs contributed to separating the communities in each analysis (Table 2.4). This was determined by ranking correlation coefficients between individual T-RFs and NMS axes (Table 2.4). Only two T-RFs (CfoI 454 bp and RsaI 451 bp) were strongly correlated with NMS axes in both analyses (Table 2.4). Dominant T-RFs tended to contribute more to separating communities based on proportional abundance, whereas less dominant T-RFs were more significant based on presence/absence. Note that fragments present in every sample show no difference based on presence/absence. Relative abundances of RsaI 666 bp and RsaI 700 bp were most dominant for differentiating the communities along NMS axis one based on proportional abundance (Table 2.4). RsaI 666 bp declined from meadow to forest, whereas *RsaI* 700 bp increased from meadow to forest (Fig. 2.4). Based on presence/absence, RsaI 451 bp and CfoI 454 bp and 361 bp were most dominant for differentiating the communities along axis one (Table 2.4). CfoI 454 bp was found in every meadow sample but in none of the forest samples, except near the boundary at Lookout (Fig. 2.4). Conversely, RsaI 451 bp was found preferentially in the forest (Fig. 2.4).

Indicator species analysis was used to further identify T-RFs more prevalent in either vegetation type or site (Fig. 2.5). This procedure examines the relative abundance and presence/absence of individual T-RFs in a predefined group compared

presence/absence.							
Proportional	abundance	Presence/Absence					
T-RF	axis 1‡	axis 2*	T-RF	axis 1‡	axis 2*		
(1) RsaI 666 bp	-0.90†	0.24	(1) RsaI 451 bp	0.77	0.11		
(2) <i>Msp</i> I 111-112 bp	0.28	-0.84	(2) <i>Cfo</i> I 454 bp	-0.76	0.12		
(3) RsaI 700 bp	0.82	0.03	(3) <i>Cfo</i> I 361 bp	-0.72	-0.04		
(4) <i>Cfo</i> I 476 bp	-0.40	0.81	(4) <i>Rsa</i> I 672 bp	-0.71	0.00		
(5) <i>Msp</i> I 250 bp	-0.78	0.16	(5) <i>Rsa</i> I 457 bp	-0.64	-0.12		
(6) <i>Msp</i> I 78 bp	-0.08	0.73	(6) <i>Cfo</i> I 282 bp	0.61	-0.21		
(7) <i>Cfo</i> I 454 bp	-0.64	-0.13	(7) <i>Rsa</i> I 103 bp	0.57	-0.32		
(8) <i>Cfo</i> I 357 bp	0.60	-0.37	(8) <i>Rsa</i> I 660 bp	-0.55	0.09		

Table 2.4. Top-ten correlation coefficients (Pearson's *r*-value) between *nosZ* T-RFs and NMS axes one or two, based either on proportional abundance or presence/absence.

‡Negative *r*-values indicate that T-RFs decreased from meadow to forest along axis 1 (i.e., greater prevalence of T-RFs in meadow), whereas positive *r*-values indicate that T-RFs increased from meadow to forest along axis 1 (i.e., greater prevalence of T-RFs in forest).

-0.39

0.11

(9) RsaI 57 bp

(10) *Msp*I 340 bp

*Negative *r*-values indicate that T-RFs decreased from Lookout to Carpenter along axis 2 (i.e., greater prevalence of T-RFs at Lookout), whereas positive *r*-values indicate that T-RFs increased from Lookout to Carpenter along axis 2 (i.e., greater prevalence of T-RFs at Carpenter).

 $\dagger r^2$ -values ≥ 0.20 and T-RFs found in each list are highlighted in bold.

0.53

-0.44

(9) RsaI 451 bp

(10) *Msp*I 222 bp

-0.20

-0.21

-0.48

0.47



Fig. 2.4. Spatial variation of some key *nosZ* T-RFs from adjacent meadow and forest soils in the H. J. Andrews. Bars are the mean ± 1 standard error for each position along replicate transects at Carpenter site (closed) and Lookout site (open) (n = 3 for all positions, except n = 2 for position 5 at Lookout and positions 6 and 8 at Carpenter). Each panel shows an individual T-RF, identified by the corresponding restriction endonuclease and fragment size. Distance between transect positions was 20 m at Carpenter and 10 m at Lookout (see methods).



Fig. 2.5. *nosZ* T-RFs that differed significantly between vegetation type or site, based on Indicator Species Analysis (*p*-value <0.05). Each panel shows the restriction endonuclease used to generate the T-RFs. T-RFs marked with an asterisk (*) were present in sequenced *nosZ* clones.

to that expected by chance (McCune and Grace 2002). Twenty-nine significant indicator T-RFs (*p*-value <0.05) were found. Recognizing the several-orders-inmagnitude range in proportional abundance, indicator T-RFs are shown in Fig. 2.5 on a log₁₀ scale to allow for inspection of less abundant T-RFs. On this scale, relatively large differences in the proportional abundance of more dominant fragments appear small (Fig. 2.5).

nosZ clones. Forty-seven *nosZ* genotypes were identified among 225 clones based on *CfoI*, *MspI*, and *RsaI* T-RFLP profiles of each clone. Two-thirds of the library consisted of clones from meadow soils and one-third from forest soils. Twenty-four genotypes occurred once and eight occurred twice; the most dominant genotype occurred 31 times. Based on species area curve analysis, a mean of 21 genotypes were found after screening 50 *nosZ* clones, 31 genotypes after screening 100 clones, and 44 genotypes after screening 200 clones. Twenty-one of the 29 indicator T-RFs shown in Fig. 4 were present in sequenced *nosZ* clones. One out of the 34 sequenced clones was non-specific for *nosZ* (i.e., not identifiable using Genbank's BLAST search), but the T-RFs for this clone were not detected in direct soil profiles.

nosZ fragment size. Actual T-RF size was determined by sequencing *nosZ* clones. Fragment size based on T-RFLP profiling of the same clones was less precise and less accurate, but within a few base pairs or less (Table 2.5). In terms of accuracy, individual T-RFs >100 bp differed by <1 to 3 bp from sequencing (absolute mean difference 0.9 bp). T-RFs <100 bp were 3 to 7 bp smaller than expected (mean 5.5 bp; Table 2.5). In terms of precision, individual T-RFs varied in size by an average of 1.5 bp and a range of 0.3 to 5.1 bp. The dominant T-RF *Rsa*I 666 bp was identified as that

		Fragment size (bp)			
			T-RFLP profiling		
Nuclease	n†	Sequencing	Mean	Range	
CfoI	1	47	40.2	NA‡	
CfoI	4	52	45.5	44.4-46.1	
CfoI	4	54	47.5	47.3-47.9	
CfoI	2	282	279.9	279.8-280.1	
CfoI	10	357	355.0	354.5-355.3	
<i>Cfo</i> I	1	361	358.4	NA	
CfoI	7	454	452.6	451.5-453.1	
CfoI	3	476	475.7	474.8-476.3	
CfoI	1	700	697.9	NA	
MspI	1	46	41.3	NA	
MspI	1	50	45.1	NA	
MspI	2	78	74.6	74.4-74.8	
MspI	4	111	108.9	108.7-109.1	
MspI	21	112	109.5	107.9-110.1	
<i>Msp</i> I	2	222	222.3	222.0-222.5	
MspI	2	250	249.4	249.4	
RsaI	1	57	51.5	NA	
RsaI	1	105	102.5	NA	
RsaI	1	265	263.8	NA	
RsaI	1	295	294.0	NA	
RsaI	4	451	450.8	450.4-451.0	
RsaI	1	457	454.4	NA	
RsaI	1	531	531.6	NA	
RsaI	1	660	659.3	NA	
RsaI	15	666	666.7	664.0-669.1	
RsaI	1	669	671.7	NA	
RsaI	2	672	671.6	671.3-672.0	
RsaI	4	700	700.5	700.4-701.6	
none	29	700	700.8	697.9-703.2	
none	4	706	705.3	704.4-706.4	

Table 2.5. Size of *nosZ* terminal restriction fragments (T-RFs) or PCR products of *nosZ* clones based on sequencing or T-RFLP profiling.

†Number of clones with the T-RF; ‡NA, not applicable.

exact size in all fifteen sequencing cases, whereas with T-RFLP profiling, the T-RF varied by a range of 5.1 bp, from 664.0 to 669.1 bp (Table 2.5). Six T-RFs and the two undigested PCR products consistently varied by a range greater than 1 bp in T-RFLP profiling (Table 2.5). T-RFs of soil clones and direct soil T-RFLP profiles were in close agreement based on T-RFLP profiling, differing by an absolute mean of 0.4 bp (data not shown).

nosZ phylogeny. Phylogenetic analysis of nosZ sequences revealed five major clusters of denitrifying bacteria (Fig. 2.6). Phylogenetic relationships among the cultured strains were similar based either on nosZ or SSU rRNA, with the exception of cluster X (Fig. 2.6). Tree topology was supported by all the phylogenetic analyses, with some differences in bootstrap support (Fig. 2.6). All the H. J. Andrews nosZ sequences grouped in cluster A, along with α -Proteobacteria (except cluster X) and most clones from a German forest soil and representatives of uncultured marine bacteria (Fig. 2.6, cluster A). The majority of the H. J. Andrews clones were most closely related to nosZ sequences from the Rhizobiaceae group and the German forest soil (Fig. 2.6). Separate from the Rhizobiaceae nosZ, two minor branches of H. J. Andrews clones formed meadow or forest specific groups (Fig. 2.6). These clones had sequences with T-RFs found preferentially in meadow or forest in the direct soil T-RFLP profiles (Fig. 2.6). Two clones from the German forest soil formed a major cluster without any pure culture sequences (cluster Y, Fig. 2.6), and another clone grouped with the sequence of Azospirillum irakense (cluster X, Fig. 2.6). A number of meadow and forest nosZ clones from the H. J. Andrews grouped with Bradyrhizobium japonicum USDA110 and **Fig. 2.6.** Phylogenetic tree based on evolutionary distances among *nosZ* amino acid sequences (208 positions) from soils, marine sediment, and cultured bacteria. Clones from the H. J. Andrews soils are shown in bold, and CZ or VZ identify clones from a German forest soil (Rösch et al. 2002). Except for the H. J. Andrews clones, accession numbers are shown in parentheses. Phylogenetically defined taxonomic groups of cultured strains are identified to the subdivision and family level of *Proteobacteria*, respectively, based on SSU rRNA sequence analysis in the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP). Note that the suffix "-aceae" was dropped from the family name. Nodes with open ovals had >90% distance bootstrap support. Numbers above the branches are parsimony bootstrap values and below the branches are maximum likelihood quartet-puzzling support values (analogous to bootstrap values). Size of the PCR products and T-RFs of the H. J. Andrews *nosZ* clones are shown in parentheses in the order of (PCR product-*CfoI-MspI-RsaI*). T-RFs from direct soil T-RFLP profiles that were more prevalent in the meadow or forest are identified with a superscript m for meadow and f for forest. Scale bar represents an evolutionary distance of 0.1.

33



Rhodospeudomonas palustris CGA009. These clones had T-RFs indicative of forest or meadow but were not distinguishable phylogenetically (Fig. 2.6).

DISCUSSION

Based on *nosZ* distribution in the H. J. Andrews soils, denitrifier community composition appeared linked to differences in vegetation type and process rates. Analogous studies have demonstrated that shifts in the relative abundance of dominant organisms, and not complete turnover in community structure, can be associated with relatively large differences in biogeochemical processes (Eller and Frenzel 2001, Kleikemper et al. 2002, Lueders and Friedrich 2002, Ramakrishnan et al. 2001). Similarly, we found the same dominant denitrifier genotypes in meadow or forest soils, despite an order-of-magnitude greater denitrification activity in the meadow. Denitrifier community composition differed based on changes in relative abundance of genotypes or presence/absence of less dominant genotypes. But to what extent community changes are ecologically significant and how denitrifier communities influence process rates, remains unknown.

Based on a cross section of studies (Bohlen et al. 2001, Griffiths et al. 1998, Parsons et al. 1991, Vermes and Myrold 1992), mean DEA rates for temperate soils vary by four orders of magnitude (i.e., <1 to 3000 ng N g⁻¹ h⁻¹), with some of the lowest rates in mature coniferous forests in the Western Cascades (Vermes and Myrold 1992) and highest rates in wet agricultural systems receiving N inputs (Parsons et al. 1991). Although greater than forests in the H. J. Andrews, our meadow values are in the low to medium range of DEA (i.e., 10 to 100 ng N g⁻¹ h⁻¹). Intriguingly, DEA and net nitrification rates were of similar magnitude in meadow and forest soils (Table 2.1). Although major NO₃⁻ sinks were not evaluated in this study (e.g., denitrification vs. assimilatory NO₃⁻ reduction), potential rates suggest that available NO₃⁻ could be readily denitrified in these soils. In addition, because denitrification is notoriously variable, both spatially and temporally (Parkin 1990), and because these soils are high in organic matter and total N, more active denitrification could occur at anaerobic microsites or during transient conditions that favor anaerobic activity, such as during spring snow-melt or after the onset of autumn rains.

Denitrifier communities in the meadow were much more active under denitrifying conditions compared to the forest (Fig. 2.2). Similar differences between meadow and forest soils were found based on potential N₂O-reductase activities (Appendix A). Because NO_3 or an intermediate in the denitrification pathway is necessary for sustained denitrification activity (Härtig and Zumft 1999, Sabaty et al. 1999), lack of available NO3⁻ could explain low denitrification activity in the forest soils. Nitrate availability in the forest appeared limited for several reasons, including very low nitrification potential rates and accumulation of primarily NH₄⁺ in N mineralization incubations (Table 2.1). Denitrifiers were present in the forest probably because most denitrifiers are facultative aerobic heterotrophs, which do not depend on denitrification activity for growth (Tiedje 1988). Greater NO₃⁻ availability in the meadow may explain preferential selection of certain denitrifier genotypes in the meadow (e.g. CfoI 454 bp, MspI 250 bp, RsaI 666 bp), but the ability to denitrify may not provide a strong selective advantage in these soils. Differences in substrate quality, as indicated by differences in C:N ratios (Table 1, Fig. 2), may be more important for determining denitrifier community structure.

36

The DNA-based T-RFLP approach provides a genetic profile of the denitrifier community; it does not measure specific activities or absolute abundances of individual denitrifiers. In addition, T-RFLP profiles may not reflect the actual relative abundance of genotypes in starting DNA templates because of PCR bias associated with degenerative primers that target functional genes (Lueders and Friedrich 2003). This bias has been demonstrated for model methanogenic communities, but for real communities it is more difficult to quantify because these are complex and genotype abundances are not known (Lueders and Friedrich 2003). Therefore, although we cannot rule out the possibility that certain genotypes are artificially over- or underrepresented in our nosZ T-RFLP profiles, qualitative trends are valid (Lueders and Friedrich 2003), as are differences between communities because all samples were analyzed identically. In addition, strong differences between vegetation types based either on proportional abundance or presence/absence demonstrate that our T-RFLP results were robust, and not necessarily dependent on proportional abundance (Fig. 2.3, Table 2.3).

To simultaneously analyze the distribution of *nosZ* T-RFs, we systematically characterized the denitrifier communities by applying multivariate statistics. We evaluated differences in overall community composition between habitats, assessed relationships between communities and process rates, and identified potentially key *nosZ* genotypes. By using non-parametric multivariate techniques, such as NMS, we avoided errors associated with assumptions that are often not met with community data, such as whether community members are linearly related or normally distributed (McCune and Grace 2002). NMS allowed us to differentiate communities based on the

overall community composition and MRPP provided a statistical test for these differences. Furthermore, the MRPP statistics can be compared among studies.

We quantified the degree to which denitrifier community composition was related to process rates by correlating process rates to NMS axes. About 50% of the variation in process rates (or C:N ratio) was explained with denitrifier community composition, based on cumulative r^2 -values between rates and NMS axes (Fig. 2.3). King et al. (2001) constructed a more rigorous model for communities of sulfate-reducing bacteria, but their model did not appear to explain process variation any better. Environmental factors, such as soil water and NO₃⁻ content often explain less than 50% of DEA variation or *in situ* denitrification (Myrold 1988, Parsons et al. 1991). NMS also allowed us to quantify different trends between sites. For example, when analyzing only forest samples, cumulative r^2 -values with NMS axes (based on *nosZ* T-RF proportional abundance) were 0.95 for C:N ratios and 0.56 for DEA. Higher C:N ratios and lower DEAs at the Carpenter site likely reflect the older age structure of the forest at Carpenter compared to Lookout.

A potential problem with the PCR, and most methods for measuring diversity, is the inability to detect all the organisms of interest in a system. We amplified *nosZ* from pure cultures that represented a cross spectrum of *nosZ* sequences from *Proteobacteria*. In addition, we found divergent *nosZ* sequences from a poorly drained grassland soil that were most similar to *Azospirillum irakense* (53% similar based on amino acid sequences), *Ralstonia solanacearum* (64%), or *Pseudomonas stutzeri* (88%) (Chapter 3). Analogous to Friedrich (2002), we addressed lateral gene transfer of *nosZ* by comparing phylogenetic relationships of *nosZ* and taxonomic relationships based on SSU rRNA (Fig. 2.6). Although we detected a major discrepancy for two *Azospirillum* spp. (cluster X, Fig. 2.6), relationships based on *nosZ* and SSU rRNA were in reasonable agreement for the rest of the strains.

Therefore, the majority of denitrifiers detected in the H. J. Andrews soils may share taxonomic and functional similarities to *Rhizobiaceae* in the α -*Proteobacteria*. Other studies have found numerous denitrifier sequences from soils that group closely with representatives of Rhizobiaceae (Philippot et al. 2002, Priemé et al. 2002, Rösch et al. 2002). The *Rhizobiaceae* are metabolically diverse. Symbiotic N₂-fixing *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* spp. are known to denitrify (Tiedje 1988) and legumes are common in the meadow at each site. However, some *Rhizobium* spp. from soil lack symbiotic genes and behave as free-living saprophytes (Sullivan et al. 1996). The *Rhizobiaceae* strains shown in Fig. 2.6 utilize a wide range of organic substrates, such as aromatic constituents present in polyphenols and lignin (Parke and Ornston 1986, Paulsen 2002). Furthermore, 2,4-D degrading and oligotrophic bacteria that are closely phylogenetically related to Bradyrhizobium spp. have been isolated from soil (Kitagawa et al. 2002, Saito et al. 1998). None of the classical types of denitrifiers, such as pseudomonads or paracocci, were detected in the H. J. Andrews soils, nor were they prevalent in other soils or marine sediments (Philippot et al. 2002, Rösch et al. 2002, Scala and Kerkhof 1999).

H. J. Andrews *nosZ* clones with T-RFs indicative of meadow or forest (i.e., *CfoI* 454 bp and *RsaI* 451, 666, and 700 bp) did not necessarily form separate phylogenetic groups (Fig. 2.6). Other studies comparing different habitats or treatments have found similar results (Philippot et al. 2002, Priemé et al. 2002). In contrast, a few H. J. Andrews *nosZ* clones with T-RFs indicative of habitat (i.e., *CfoI* 52 and 282 bp and *RsaI* 57, 105, 457, and 672 bp) formed monospecific phylogenetic groups according to meadow or forest (Fig. 2.6). The role of these specific groups in differentiating meadow and forest denitrification processes remains unclear.

In conclusion, denitrifier community composition and functioning appeared linked across meadow and forest soils. Denitrification activity was an order of magnitude higher in the meadow compared to the forest and denitrifier communities differed between vegetation types. The use of multivariate statistics enhanced our ability to quantify differences between denitrifier communities and relationships between community composition and process rates. Based on *nosZ* phylogenetic analysis, denitrifiers related to *Rhizobiaceae* were prevalent in the H. J. Andrews soils. This result awaits confirmation by either using genomic techniques that link taxonomic and functional genes or by attempting to culture the strains from soil, and then measuring absolute population size using quantitative techniques (Grüntzig et al. 2001). In addition to environmental factors, denitrifier community composition appears to contribute to denitrification rates, but a cause and effect relationship remains to be determined.

40

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this work was provided by the National Science Foundation Microbial Observatory Program (MCB-9977933) and the Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station.

We are grateful to Caprice Rosato, Mark Dasenko, and Scott Givan at the Center for Gene Research and Biotechnology at Oregon State University for providing DNA fragment analysis, sequencing, and bioinformatics support. We acknowledge the H. J. Andrews staff and the National Science Foundation Long Term Ecological Research program for infrastructure. Thank you to Nancy Ritchie-Posavatz for contributing to study design and analytical measurements, Anne Mintie for nitrification potential data, Shawna McMahon for soil profile descriptions, Stacie Kageyama for plant identification, Kirk Waterstripe for tree ages, and a number of other colleagues for field assistance.

41

Chapter 3:

Community Composition and N2O-reductase Activity of

Denitrifying Bacteria from Adjacent Agricultural Soil, Riparian Soil,

and Creek Sediment in Oregon, USA

Jeremy J. Rich and David D. Myrold

Journal yet to be determined

ABSTRACT

We examined denitrifying bacteria in wet soils and creek sediment from an agroecosystem in Oregon, USA that received inputs of synthetic-N fertilizer. Our objective was to determine if and how denitrifier community composition and activity differed in three adjacent habitats: a fertilized agricultural field planted to perennial ryegrass, a naturally vegetated riparian area, and creek sediment. Using C₂H₂ inhibition, denitrifying enzyme and N2O-reductase activities were determined in shortterm incubations of anaerobic slurries. A key gene in the denitrification pathway, N₂O reductase (nosZ), served as a marker for denitrifiers. The ratio of N_2O produced as a result of denitrification in the presence of glucose (10 mM) and NO_3^- (5 mM) was substantially higher for riparian soil $(0.64 \pm 0.02; \text{ mean} \pm \text{ standard error}, n = 12)$ compared to agricultural soil (0.19 ± 0.02) or creek sediment (0.32 ± 0.03) . Mean denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA) was similar among habitats, ranging from 0.8 to 1.1 μ g N g⁻¹ dry soil h⁻¹. But in the absence of C₂H₂, the riparian soil produced over three times more N₂O than agricultural soil or creek sediment. In slurries that received N_2O (18 μ M) but not NO₃, mean N_2O -reductase activity ranged from 1.1 to 2.0 μ g N g^{-1} dry soil h^{-1} , with about 1.8 times higher activity in agricultural soil than in riparian soil or creek sediment. Community composition of denitrifiers differed significantly among habitats based on nosZ T-RFLPs. The creek sediment community was unique. Communities in the agricultural and riparian soil were more closely related but distinct. A number of unique genotypes were detected in creek sediment. Sequences of nosZ obtained from riparian soil were closely related to nosZ from Bradyrhizobium spp. or distantly related to nosZ from Ralstonia or Azospirillum spp. The results indicated that,

although community composition and activity differed among habitats, no simple correlation accurately described the relationship between activity and community composition.

INTRODUCTION

During the past 40 years, annual inputs of biologically available nitrogen (N) have about doubled, mostly due to increased production and use of synthetic-N fertilizers (Smil 2001). Undesirable runoff and leaching of N from agricultural fields can be ameliorated in some instances by naturally vegetated riparian areas (i.e., zones of land adjacent to bodies of water) between agricultural fields and aquatic ecosystems (Martin et al. 1999). Because denitrification can be a dominant sink for nitrate (NO₃⁻) and because riparian soils tend to be wetter and have more organic carbon compared to upland soils (i.e., conditions that favor denitrification), denitrification in riparian zones has received considerable attention (Martin et al. 1999). However, little is known about the community composition of denitrifying bacteria in riparian soils or adjacent agricultural and aquatic ecosystems. Whether denitrifier community composition contributes to differences in denitrification processes remains unknown. In addition, because the greenhouse gas nitrous oxide (N_2O) is both produced and consumed during denitrification, understanding links between denitrifier communities and N₂O evolution has relevancy to processes that effect global warming.

Denitrification is the reduction of NO_3^- or nitrite (NO_2^-) to N_2O or dinitrogen (N_2) in the absence of O_2 , in the pathway:

$$NO_3^- \xrightarrow{\text{Nar}} NO_2^- \xrightarrow{\text{Nir}} NO \xrightarrow{\text{Nor}} N_2O \xrightarrow{\text{Nos}} N_2,$$

with abbreviations for the individual N-oxide reductases shown above arrows, e.g., Nos for N_2O reductase. Denitrifying bacteria are defined solely by the facultative ability to denitrify. Most denitrifiers respire O_2 preferentially. Although many individual

denitrifying bacteria reduce NO₃⁻ to N₂, denitrification, in principle, consists of three modules of reactions [i.e., (1) $NO_3^- \rightarrow NO_2^-$, (2) $NO_2^- \rightarrow NO \rightarrow N_2O$, and (3)

 $N_2 O \rightarrow N_2$] that can occur independently (Zumft 1999). For example, some denitrifiers have a truncated pathway, lacking Nos, whereas other strains grow with N₂O as the sole electron acceptor (Carlson and Ingraham 1983, Zumft 1999). Furthermore, in organisms that carryout complete denitrification, Nos synthesis and activity can be repressed and inhibited to a greater extant by O₂ compared to the other reductases (Betlach and Tiedje 1981, Otte et al. 1996), depending on the denitrifying strain (Zumft 1999). Nitrous oxide is a free intermediate in the denitrification pathway (Zumft 1997). If N₂O production exceeds N₂O consumption, N₂O can diffuse away from the site of activity (Firestone et al. 1980). Therefore, the ratio of N₂O-to-N₂ that is evolved during denitrification can vary depending on the organisms involved and denitrifying conditions (Carlson and Ingraham 1983, Cavigelli and Robertson 2001, Zumft 1999). Generally for denitrifying soil communities, lower N2O/N2 ratios are associated with lower redox conditions (Firestone et al. 1980, Weier et al. 1993). Higher N₂O/N₂ ratios are associated with greater NO_3^- or NO_2^- concentrations, lower pH, or presence of O_2^- (Firestone et al. 1980, Firestone and Tiedje 1979, Weier et al. 1993).

Denitrification is not specific to any one phylogenetic group; the trait is found in about 50 genera, mostly in the *Proteobacteria* (Zumft 1999). Therefore, to analyze denitrifier communities, functional genes in the denitrification pathway, such as $NO_2^$ reductase (*nirK* and *nirS*) and N₂O reductase (*nosZ*), have been retrieved from environmental samples using PCR and cloning and sequencing [Ch. 2 and (Braker et al.

46

2000, Rösch et al. 2002, Scala and Kerkhof 1999)]. Denitrifier community profiles have been generated using PCR-coupled terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms (T-RFLPs) (Avrahami et al. 2002, Braker et al. 2001, Scala and Kerkhof 2000). Variation in denitrification processes has been related to denitrifier community composition in some soils [Ch. 2 and (Avrahami et al. 2002)].

The objective of this study was to determine if and how denitrifier community composition and activity differed in soil or sediment from three adjacent habitats: a fertilized agricultural field, naturally vegetated riparian area, and intermittent stream. Previous work at the same site found that little fertilizer N actually reached the riparian soil because of *in situ* denitrification in the agricultural field (Horwath et al. 1998) or because most water flow from the agricultural field to the stream by-passed riparian soils in low-lying swales and secondary-stream channels (Wigington et al. 2003). In this study, we used anaerobic incubations of slurries to assess denitrifying enzyme activities. Nos activity was determined with additions of NO₃⁻ or N₂O in the presence or absence of C₂H₂. The same *nosZ* fragment examined by Rösch et al. (2002) was used as a marker for denitrifier communities. A few *nosZ* sequences were retrieved from riparian soil, and compared to *nosZ* sequences from environmental clones and pure cultures.

METHODS

Site and sampling description. The study site was located in the Willamette Valley, Oregon, USA (44°32'N, 123°04'W, elevation 90 m). With 1100 mm of mean annual precipitation, this location has cool wet winters (mean temperature 6°C) and warm dry summers (mean temperature 19°C) (http://www.ocs.orst.edu). The site

47

consisted of a riparian area (60 m wide by 300 m long) surrounded by extensive agricultural fields (450 hectares) planted to perennial ryegrass. Lake Creek, an intermittent stream (1 to 3 m wide) that drained the surrounding agricultural fields, ran length-wise through the center of the riparian area. The site was fairly flat but sloped gradually (<1 m change in elevation) from the agricultural field to the riparian area. The riparian area had not been cultivated in 25 yr and was vegetated with native and non-native grasses (Wigington et al. 2003). Soils at the site consisted of poorly drained silt loams of the Dayton (Typic Albaqualfs) and Holcomb (Mollic Albaqualfs) soil series (Wigington et al. 2003). Soil pH was 5.4 for agricultural soils, 4.9 for riparian soils, and 5.6 for creek sediment.

The sample unit consisted of a core (6 cm diameter) of the top 10 cm of mineral soil or creek sediment. Twelve cores were taken in each habitat during the study period from 4 April to 16 May 2001, which coincided with peak growth of grass vegetation and moderate stream flow. The agricultural field received 65 kg N ha⁻¹ on 12 March as urea and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ and 95 kg N ha⁻¹ on 10 April as urea. The cores were taken randomly in 1 by 10 m plots (three cores per plot). Plots were placed at 60-m intervals in three positions (east, middle, and west) in the agricultural field and riparian area. The creek sediment had plots in the east and west positions, in addition to plots that were near (<1 m) and far (>20 m) from trees lining the creek. Two plots in the agricultural field and riparian area were sampled on different dates to assess temporal variation. Cores were kept at 4°C until analyzed for denitrification activity 1 to 5 d after sampling. Activity was stable over this period based on analyzing samples in random order (data not shown).

Denitrifying enzyme and N₂O-reductase activities. The following activities were determined using laboratory incubations of anaerobic slurries: denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA), the ratio of N₂O formed as an end product of denitrification (abbreviated as rN_2O), and maximum potential N₂O-reductase activity (abbreviated Nos_{maxp}).

Following standard procedures to determine DEA (Tiedje 1994), N₂O formation was measured in slurries that received glucose (10 mM), NO₃⁻ (5 mM), and acetylene (C₂H₂) (10% v/v). Nitrous oxide formation (N_2O_{den}) was also measured in duplicate slurries that did not receive C₂H₂. Based on previous work (Cavigelli and Robertson 2000, Firestone and Tiedje 1979, Hénault et al. 2001), rN_2O was calculated using the following equation: $rN_2O = N_2O_{den}$ / DEA. N₂O-reductase activity was calculated as the difference in N₂O flux rate with and without C₂H₂.

Analogous to Hénault et al. (2001), Nos_{maxp} was determined indirectly by measuring N₂O disappearance in slurries that received glucose (10 mM) and N₂O (approximately 18 μ M = 780 ppm v/v). Nitrous oxide formation was also measured in duplicate slurries that received C₂H₂ (10% v/v). Incubations were kept relatively short (60 to 85 min) to assure that reaction rates remained constant. To calculate *Nos_{maxp}*, we assumed that N₂O concentrations in the absence of C₂H₂ were dependent on the reaction: NO₃⁻ - $\frac{k_1}{2}$ > N₂O - $\frac{k_2}{2}$ > N₂, where $k_1 =$ NO₃⁻ reduction to N₂O and $k_2 =$ N₂O reduction to N₂. It follows that net N₂O disappearance reflected the difference between k_1 and k_2 , as expressed in the equation: $N_2O_m = k_2 - k_1$; where $N_2O_m =$ measured rate of N₂O disappearance. Based on reported K_m values of 0.1 to 0.4 μ M for N₂O reduction in soils (Holtan-Hartwig et al. 2000), we assumed that the concentration of N₂O did not limit k_2 . Therefore, k_2 was equal to Nos_{maxp} . Given our assay conditions, C₂H₂ probably did not affect the rate of NO₃⁻ reduction to N₂O (Knowles 1990). Therefore, we assumed that N₂O formation in the presence of C₂H₂ was equal to k_1 . Nos_{maxp} was calculated by adding the absolute rates of N₂O disappearance in the absence of C₂H₂ and N₂O formation in the presence of C₂H₂ (i.e., Nos_{maxp} = N₂O_m + k_1).

Slurries were prepared by vigorously homogenizing each core on a reciprocal shaker (10 min) in 2-1 flasks with two parts (based on fresh soil or sediment weight) phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0). The resulting pH for slurries was 6.2 for agricultural soil, 6.0 for riparian soil, and 6.3 for creek sediment. For each slurried core, samples (50 ml) were dispensed into four Erlenmeyer flasks (125 ml). Samples for DNA analysis were collected by centrifugation $(14,000 \times g, 10 \text{ min}, 4^{\circ}\text{C})$ of slurry aliquots (1.8 ml), and pellets were immediately frozen (-80°C). All the Erlenmeyer flasks received a concentrated solution of glucose and half the flasks, a concentrated solution of NO₃⁻. Flasks were immediately sealed with neoprene stoppers and made anaerobic by five cycles of evacuation and flushing with Ar. Nitrous oxide (ultra-high purity, 99.99%) was added to half of the flasks that did not receive NO₃⁻. Standard grade C_2H_2 was added to half of the flasks that received NO₃⁻ or N₂O. Identical results were obtained with C_2H_2 synthesized from calcium carbide under anaerobic conditions, or when cores and slurries were processed in an anaerobic glove bag with deoxygenated solutions (data not shown). Incubations were immediately initiated by placing the flasks (1 atm) on a rotary shaker (250 rpm, 25°C). During incubations of 60 or 85 min, gas samples were taken every 15 or 20 min and stored in Vacutainers® (3

ml) until analyzed for N₂O by gas chromatography using a 63 Ni electron capture detector (Myrold 1988). Rates of N₂O consumption or production were obtained by linear regression (e.g., Fig. 3.1).

Concentrations of inorganic N (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) in 2 N KCl extracts of soil cores (0 to 10 cm) were measured by standard colorimetric methods with an autoanalyzer.

*nos*Z PCR, T-RFLPs, and data analyses. Procedures for analyzing *nos*Z fragments from soil DNA, including PCR amplification and T-RFLP profiling, were performed as previously described (Chapter 2). Briefly, DNA was extracted from thawed and resuspended slurry pellets using the FastDNA[®] kit for soil (Bio101, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was quantified as previously described (Chapter 2), and yields were (in units of μ g DNA g⁻¹ dry soil) 6 for agricultural soil, 13 for riparian soil, and 7 for creek sediment. Three T-RFLP profiles were generated per sample-unit in separate reactions with the endonucleases *CfoI* (isoschisimer of *Hha*I), *Msp*I, or *RsaI* (Roche, Co.). Terminal-restriction fragments (T-RFs) with signals above a detection limit of 10 relative fluorescent units were summed for each profile; only fragments with a signal above 3% of the sum of all peaks were included in the analyses.

nosZ cloning, sequencing, and phylogenetic analysis. Fragments of *nosZ* were amplified from DNA that was obtained from riparian soil in July 1999. The *nosZ* fragments were excised from an agarose gel and cloned as previously described (Chapter 2). Clones were screened by sizing 6-FAM labeled PCR products before and after digestion with *CfoI* and *RsaI*. Amplified from clones, gel purified *nosZ* fragments

were sequenced using the forward *nosZ* primer. Sequencing reactions were done with ABI Prism[®] BigDye[™] Terminator Cycle Sequencing and the samples were analyzed on an ABI Prism[®] Genetic Analyzer. Phylogenetic analyses were performed as previously described (Chapter 2).

Statistical Analyses. SAS v7.0 (SAS Institute, Inc.) was used for statistical analysis of activity parameters or diversity indices. Differences between habitats were tested by one-factor ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test for mean multiple comparisons. Differences among habitats, accounting for differences among east, middle, and west, positions were examined by two-factor ANOVA. Differences between dates or other specific paired comparisons were evaluated with t-tests. Correlations between denitrification activity and diversity indices were assessed using linear regression.

PC-ORD v4.01 (McCune and Mefford 1999) was used for multivariate analyses of the *nosZ* T-RF profiles. Differences in community composition were assessed graphically using the ordination method of Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS), based on Sørensen's distance (Kruskal 1964, McCune and Grace 2002). Synonymous with Bray-Curtis distance, Sørensen's distance is a relative distance measure (McCune and Grace 2002). To quantify relationships between *nosZ* distribution and denitrifying activities, NMS ordinations were correlated with activities (Chapter 2). Cluster analyses, with Sørensen's and relative Euclidean distances and linkage methods of Ward's and flexible beta ($\beta = -0.5$) (McCune and Grace 2002), were used to assess distinct groups. To graphically display relative distances among habitats, mean *nosZ* T-RF distribution or activities were analyzed with Sørensen's distance and the weighted pair group method (UPGMA) (Swoffard et al. 1996). A Multi-response Permutation Procedure (MRPP) (McCune and Grace 2002, Mielke 1984), with Sørensen's distance and rank transformation, was used to test for significant differences in community composition among groups defined by habitat, position, date, or near and far from trees. The MRPP *A*-statistic describes the within and between group relatedness relative to that expected by chance (McCune and Grace 2002). It is somewhat analogous to an r^2 -value (McCune and Grace 2002). To identify T-RFs that differentiated denitrifier communities by habitat, Indicator Species Analysis (Dufrêne and Legendre 1997) was run with 1000 randomizations in a Monte Carlo test.

RESULTS

Denitrifying enzyme and N₂O-reductase activities. Nitrous oxide-reductase activity was greater in agricultural soil compared to riparian soil (Fig. 3.1). In slurries that received NO₃⁻ (5 mM) and C₂H₂, N₂O formation was similar (Fig. 3.1A), but in the absence of C₂H₂, N₂O formation was lower in the agricultural soil (Fig. 3.1A). In slurries that received N₂O (18 μ M) and C₂H₂ but not NO₃⁻, N₂O formation was greater in the agricultural soil (Fig. 3.1B). In duplicate slurries without C₂H₂, N₂O disappearance was detected, and more N₂O disappearance was found in agricultural soil (Fig. 3.1B). Except for N₂O disappearance, trends shown in Fig. 3.1 were consistent for all samples.

Based on all samples, the ratio of N₂O produced to DEA, in the presence of 5 mM NO₃, was substantially higher for riparian soil (0.64 ± 0.02; mean ± standard error, n = 12) compared to agricultural soil (0.19 ± 0.02) or creek sediment (0.32 ± 0.03). Habitat differences based on rN_2O were highly significant



Fig. 3.1. Nitrous oxide formation or disappearance in anaerobic soil slurries that received 10 mM glucose, and either 5 mM NO₃⁻ (A) or 18 μ M N₂O (B), with and without C₂H₂. Data are from cores obtained 24 April 2001 from the west position in the agricultural field and riparian area. Symbols are means (± SE, n = 3), lines fit by linear regression. In (B), y-axis units are expressed as percent initial N₂O, because initial concentrations varied by a SD of ± 1 μ M N₂O.

(p < 0.0001, one-factor ANOVA for habitat) and each habitat had a distinct rN_2O signature based on Tukey's multiple comparison among means (p < 0.01).

In contrast to rN_2O , DEA was similar among habitats (p = 0.12, Fig. 3.2A). In slurries that received NO₃⁻ (5 mM) but not C₂H₂, the riparian soil produced over three times more N₂O compared to the agricultural soil or creek sediment (p < 0.0001, Fig. 3.2A). Nos activity was about double in agricultural soil (p = 0.005, Fig. 3.2A) than in riparian soil or creek sediment. In slurries that received N₂O and C₂H₂ but not NO₃⁻, mean N₂O production was about two-fold higher in agricultural soil (p = 0.0003, Fig. 3.2B) than in riparian soil or creek sediment. Except for the noted exception in Fig. 3.1B, in duplicate slurries without C₂H₂, N₂O disappearance was not different among habitats (p = 0.55, Fig. 3.2B). Mean maximum potential Nos activity was about 70% greater in agricultural soil (p = 0.02, Fig. 3.2B) than riparian soil or creek sediment.

The distributions of rN_2O , DEA, and Nos_{maxp} as a function of habitat position or sampling date are shown in Table 3.1. Statistical differences in activities between habitats or positions were determined for each sampling date (Table 3.2) or for the same plots sampled on different dates (Table 3.3).

Within habitats, rN_2O showed little variation between plot position (Table 3.1; p > 0.1 for position, Table 3.2), and no difference between samples taken from the same plot on different dates (Table 3.1; p > 0.5, Table 3.3). In contrast, DEA and Nos_{maxp} were more variable within habitats or on different dates (Table 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3). Highest Nos_{maxp} was detected on 24 April 2001 in soil from the agricultural field in the west position (Table 3.1). On 24 April 2001, Nos_{maxp} differed significantly between the agricultural and riparian soil and between middle and west positions



Fig. 3.2. Rates of N₂O formation, disappearance (negative values), or Nos activity in anaerobic slurries that received 10 mM glucose, and either 5 mM NO₃⁻ (A) or 18 μ M N₂O (B), with and without C₂H₂. Bars are the mean (± SE, n = 12) for each habitat. Nos is an abbreviation for N₂O-reductase activity and *Nos_{maxp}* for maximum potential N₂O-reductase activity. Nos was calculated as the difference between N₂O flux rates, with and without C₂H₂.

			Activities [†] , mean (SD, $n = 3$)				
Sample	Location		$\mu g N g^{-1} dry soil h^{-1}$				
Date*	Habitat	Position	rN ₂ O	DEA	Nosmaxp		
4 April	Riparian	East	0.71 (0.06)	0.7 (0.2)	0.8 (0.8)		
		West	0.57 (0.08)	1.8 (0.2)	1.7 (0.4)		
	Creek	East	0.27 (0.05)	0.5 (0.1)	1.0 (0.4)		
		West	0.29 (0.13)	1.0 (0.5)	1.7 (0.8)		
17 April	Creek	Tree	0.39 (0.12)	0.7 (0.6)	0.5 (1.0)		
		No Tree	0.33 (0.03)	1.0 (0.2)	1.3 (0.4)		
24 April	Ag field	Middle	0.16 (0.06)	1.2 (0.4)	1.6 (0.7)		
		West	0.13 (0.03)	1.5 (0.3)	3.2 (0.1)		
	Riparian	Middle	0.66 (0.14)	0.8 (0.2)	0.8 (0.3)		
		West	0.60 (0.10)	1.3 (0.2)	1.5 (0.4)		
16 May	Ag field	East	0.29 (0.03)	0.5 (0.1)	1.1 (0.2)		
		West	0.17 (0.10)	1.1 (0.1)	2.1 (0.7)		

Table 3.1. Distribution of denitrifying enzyme and N_2O -reductase activities in agricultural and riparian soil and creek sediment.

†Abbreviations are $rN_2O = N_2O/(N_2O + N_2)$; DEA = denitrifying enzyme activity; Nos_{maxp} = maximum potential N₂O-reductase activity. *All samples were obtained in 2001.
			<i>p</i> -value	
Date	Factor	rN ₂ O	DEA	Nosmaxp
4 April (n‡ = 12)†	Habitat (riparian vs. creek)	<0.0001	0.05	0.8
	Position (east vs. west)	0.3	0.004	0.06
17 April (n = 6)*	Position (creek tree vs. no tree)	0.4	0.5	0.3
24 April (n = 12)†	Habitat (ag field vs. riparian)	<0.0001	0.05	0.002
	Position (east vs. west)	0.4	0.03	0.004
16 May (n = 6)*	Position (ag field east vs. west)	0.1	0.004	0.06
‡n = number of obse †Two-factor ANOV	ervations. A.			

Table 3.2. Statistical differences in denitrifying enzyme and N₂O-reductase activities between habitats or positions.

*t-test.

Table 3.3. Statistical differences in denitrifying enzyme and N_2O -reductase activities between dates.

	<i>p</i> -value						
Habitat (dates)	rN_2O	DEA	Nosmaxp				
Riparian (4 April vs. 24 April, $n \ddagger = 6$)*	0.7	0.02	0.6				
Ag field (24 April vs. 16 May, $n = 6$)*	0.5	0.1	0.05				
‡n = number of observations.			· ·				

*t-test.

(Table 3.2). DEA tended to differ more strongly between positions than between habitats (Table 3.2). Creek sediment that was sampled near or far from trees showed no difference in denitrification activity (Table 3.1 and 3.2).

Based on cores obtained on 24 April 2001, mean \pm SE (n = 3) bulk NO₃⁻¹ concentration (in units of μ g N g⁻¹ dry soil) for agricultural soil of 2.2 \pm 0.7 was twice that for riparian soil of 0.9 \pm 0.1. Mean NH₄⁺ values (in units of μ g N g⁻¹ dry soil) were 69.7 \pm 19.8 for agricultural soil and 2.2 \pm 0.2 for riparian soil. In soils obtained from the agricultural field on 16 May 2001, mean \pm SE (n = 3) inorganic N concentrations (in units of μ g N g⁻¹ dry soil) were 2.0 \pm 0.3 for NO₃⁻ and 1.5 \pm 0.1 for NH₄⁺ in the west position and 0.9 \pm 0.2 for NO₃⁻ and 1.3 \pm 0.1 for NH₄⁺ in the east position. Based on these NO₃⁻ values, concentrations of NO₃⁻-N in slurries that did not receive exogenous NO₃⁻ ranged from about 15 to 45 μ M. Less than one tenth of this N was reduced to N₂O during incubations.

nosZ T-RF profiles and diversity. Seventy-four *nosZ* T-RFs were detected in this study based on combined *CfoI*, *MspI*, and *RsaI* T-RFLP profiles. A mean of 21 T-RFs were detected in each sample-unit. In *MspI* and *RsaI* profiles, one dominant fragment (i.e., *MspI* 111-112 bp and *RsaI* 666 bp) was present in every sample, comprising 60% of the mean total abundance in each profile. In contrast, dominant T-RFs in *CfoI* profiles comprised 30% of the mean total abundance in each profile. Based on diversity indices, greater evenness was found for *CfoI* profiles compared to *MspI* and *RsaI* (Table 3.4). With about six T-RFs per profile, *MspI* profiles were less diverse compared to *CfoI* and *RsaI* (Table 3.4). Based on *RsaI* only, the agricultural field and creek sediment had greater T-RF richness, evenness, and Shannon's diversity compared

		Total No.		Mean (SE)√	
Enzyme	Habitat	of T-RFs	Richness [†]	Evenness‡	Diversity*
CfoI	Ag field	19	7.9 (0.6)	0.85 (0.01)	1.73 (0.07)
	Riparian	14	7.5 (0.3)	0.87 (0.01)	1.74 (0.05)
	Sediment	18	7.1 (0.3)	0.85 (0.03)	1.66 (0.08)
	All samples	29	7.5 (0.2)	0.86 (0.01)	1.71 (0.04)
MspI	Ag field	10	6.3 (0.4)	$0.77~(0.02)^a$ §	1.40 (0.06) ^a
	Riparian	13	5.8 (0.4)	$0.63 (0.03)^{b}$	1.10 (0.09) ^b
	Sediment	12	5.6 (0.3)	$0.54 (0.02)^{c}$	0.93 (0.06) ^b
	All samples	17	5.9 (0.2)	0.65 (0.02)	1.14 (0.05)
<i>Rsa</i> I	Ag field	20	9.7 $(0.5)^a$	$0.75 (0.01)^a$	1.70 (0.06) ^a
	Riparian	11	$6.0(0.4)^{b}$	$0.66 (0.02)^{b}$	1.18 (0.06) ^b
	Sediment	15	8.3 (0.5) ^a	0.72 (0.03) ^{ab}	$1.52 (0.08)^a$
	All samples	28	8.0 (0.4)	0.71 (0.01)	1.46 (0.05)
Combined	Ag field	49	$23.9(1.0)^a$	$0.86 (0.01)^a$	2.71 (0.05) ^a
	Riparian	38	19.3 (1.0) ^b	0.83 (0.01) ^{ab}	$2.44 (0.07)^{b}$
	Sediment	45	$21.0(0.7)^{ab}$	$0.81 (0.01)^{b}$	$2.47 (0.05)^{b}$
	All samples	74	21.4 (0.6)	0.83 (0.01)	2.54 (0.04)

Table 3.4. Diversity indices for *nosZ* T-RFLP profiles in agricultural soil, riparian soil, and creek sediment.

 \sqrt{SE} = standard error; n = 12 for habitat, n = 36 for all samples.

Richness = S = number of T-RFs detected in a profile.

$$\ddagger$$
Evenness = $\frac{H}{\ln S}$

*Diversity = Shannon's diversity index = $H' = -\sum_{i}^{s} p_i \log p_i$; where $p_i = \text{T-RF}$ abundance divided by total abundance in a profile.

§Significant differences (p < 0.05) between habitats are shown with superscripts a, b, or c, based on Tukey's procedure for multiple comparisons among means.

to the riparian area (Table 3.4). *Rsa*I T-RF richness or Shannon's diversity was negatively correlated with rN_2O ($r^2 = 0.5$, p < 0.0001). Diversity indices based on *Cfo*I profiles showed no difference between habitats, and *Msp*I showed different patterns in evenness compared to *Rsa*I (Table 3.4). Diversity indices showed no difference on different dates or little to no difference between positions within habitats.

Differences in nosZ distribution. Denitrifier communities formed three distinct groups according to habitat, based on presence/absence of T-RFs in combined CfoI, MspI, and RsaI nosZ profiles (Fig. 3.3). Creek sediment communities were unique (Fig. 3.3). Agricultural and riparian soil communities were more closely related but still distinct, with the exception of one agricultural sample-unit that grouped among riparian sample-units (Fig. 3.3). Based on cluster analyses, three distinct groups of denitrifying communities were also found according to habitat, with the exception of the same agricultural sample-unit (data not shown). MRPP p-values indicated that community differences between habitats were highly significant. MRPP A-statistics (pvalue) for the following pair-wise comparisons were: 0.45 ($p < 10^{-6}$) for agricultural soil vs. creek sediment, 0.23 ($p < 10^{-5}$) for agricultural vs. riparian soil, and 0.44 ($p < 10^{-6}$) for riparian soil vs. creek sediment. MRPP A-statistics above about 0.3 reflect distantly related groups (McCune and Grace 2002). Similar results were obtained with individual or combined profiles based on presence/absence or proportional abundance of T-RFs (data not shown).

MRPP was used further to examine differences in *nosZ* distribution on different dates or by positions within habitats. Communities showed no difference on different dates in either the agricultural or riparian soil ($A \le 0.03$, p > 0.3; 6 observations for



Fig. 3.3. Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling (NMS) ordination of denitrifier community composition based on presence/absence of T-RFs in combined *CfoI*, *MspI*, and *RsaI nosZ* T-RFLP profiles. Points represent samples taken in this study. The percent variation explained by each axis is shown in parentheses.

each test). Within the agricultural field or riparian area, communities differed between positions (A = 0.26, p = 0.005 for agricultural soil; A = 0.27, p = 0.004 for riparian soil; 12 observations for each test). In the agricultural soil, communities from the west position were in between eastern and middle positions in the NMS plot (data not shown). Riparian communities from the west position tended to group more closely to communities from the agricultural soil in the NMS plot (data not shown). Communities from different positions in the creek showed no difference (A = 0.09, p =0.14).

Relationships between *nosZ* distribution and activities. If relationships between *nosZ* distribution and denitrifying activities were consistent across habitats, then relative differences among habitats should be similar based either on *nosZ* distribution or activities. However, this was not the case, as demonstrated by UPGMA analysis of mean *nosZ* distribution and rN_2O (Fig. 3.4). Agricultural and riparian soil were more closely related based on *nosZ* (Fig. 3.4), but agricultural soil and creek sediment were more closely related based on rN_2O (Fig. 3.4). Relationships among habitats also did not agree based on *nosZ* distribution and other denitrifying activities.

To quantify differing relationships between denitrifier activities and community composition across habitats, activities were correlated with each of four NMS ordinations, one for all samples (i.e., ordination shown in Fig. 3.3) and three ordinations for each combination of paired habitats (Table 3.5; ordinations for paired habitats not shown). Based on all samples, rN_2O was more strongly correlated with *nosZ* distribution than other activities (Table 3.5). However, correlations between rN_2O and NMS ordinations were stronger for paired habitats of agricultural and riparian soil



Fig. 3.4. UPGMA dendrogram of Sørensen's distance among habitats based on mean *nosZ* distribution and rN_2O . *nosZ* distribution was based on presence/absence of T-RFs in combined *CfoI*, *MspI*, and *RsaI* T-RFLP profiles. rN_2O is an abbreviation for $N_2O/(N_2O + N_2)$.

	Ag field,			
	Riparian, and	Ag field and	Ag field and	Riparian and
Activity‡	Creek NMS	Riparian NMS	Creek NMS	Creek NMS
rN_2O	0.402†	0.661	0.239	0.743
$NO_3^C_2H_2$	0.307	0.288	0.153	0.665
$NO_3^- + C_2H_2$ (DEA)	0.211	0.181	0.325	0.223
NO ₃ ⁻ Nos	0.276	0.469	0.330	0.153
$N_2O - C_2H_2$	0.129	0.191	0.147	0.115
$N_2O + C_2H_2$	0.170	0.202	0.357	0.027
Nos _{maxp}	0.196	0.287	0.295	0.111

Table 3.5. Correlation coefficients (r^2)	between denitrifier activities and non-
metric multidimensional scaling (NMS)) ordinations of <i>nosZ</i> T-RFLP profiles.*

 r^2 -values are from correlations between each activity parameter and NMS ordination of presence/absence T-RFs in combined *CfoI*, *MspI*, and *RsaI nosZ* profiles.

[‡]Abbreviations are: $rN_2O = N_2O/(N_2O + N_2)$; $NO_3^- - C_2H_2 = N_2O$ formation in the presence of 5 mM NO₃⁻; DEA = denitrifying enzyme activity; NO₃⁻ Nos = N_2O -reductase activity in the presence of 5 mM NO₃⁻; $N_2O - C_2H_2 = N_2O$ disappearance in slurries that received 18 μ M N₂O; $N_2O + C_2H_2 = N_2O$ formation in the presence of 18 μ M N₂O and C₂H₂; and *Nos_{maxp}* = maximum potential N₂O-reductase activity.

 r^2 -values > 0.4 are highlighted in bold.

or riparian soil and creek sediment than for all samples or agricultural soil and creek sediment (Table 3.5).

Individual nosZ T-RFs. Indicator species analysis was used to identify nosZ T-RFs that differentiated habitats (Fig. 3.5). This procedure examines the relative abundance and presence/absence of individual T-RFs in a predefined group compared to that expected by chance (McCune and Grace 2002). T-RFs that were found preferentially in different habitats, as shown in Fig. 3.5, are defined here as indicator T-RFs.

The distribution of proportionally abundant T-RFs differed between habitats (i.e., *CfoI* 357 bp 476 bp, 700 bp, *MspI* 111-112 bp, and *RsaI* 666 bp) (Fig. 3.5). The T-RF *CfoI* 700 bp was more prevalent in creek sediment (Fig. 3.5). A number of other T-RFs were found preferentially in creek sediment, including: *CfoI* 233 bp; *MspI* 111-112 bp and 250 bp; and *RsaI* 105 bp, 265 bp, 271 bp, 316 bp, and 457 bp (Fig. 3.5). Three T-RFs (i.e., *CfoI* 233 bp and *RsaI* 271 bp and 457 bp) were detected in 11 of 12 creek samples but not in any agricultural or riparian samples. The T-RFs *MspI* 222 bp and *RsaI* 95 bp were found preferentially in agricultural soil (Fig. 3.5). *RsaI* 95 bp was detected in 10 of 12 agricultural soil samples. The distribution of *MspI* 78 bp was similar in agricultural soil and creek sediment, but it was not detected in any riparian samples (Fig. 3.5). *CfoI* 476 bp was more prevalent in riparian soil than in agricultural soil or creek sediment (Fig. 3.5).

nosZ sequences. Twenty-nine distinct nosZ genotypes were identified among 63 clones that were screened based on size of 6-FAM labeled PCR products and CfoI and RsaI T-RFs. The most abundant genotype appeared 12 times and 30% of the



Fig. 3.5. *nosZ* T-RFs that differed significantly between habitats, based on Indicator Species Analysis (p < 0.05). Each panel shows the restriction endonuclease used to generate the T-RFs. Bars are the mean (\pm SE, n = 12) for each habitat. *T-RFs *MspI* 111-112 and *MspI* 114-115.

genotypes appeared once. Representatives from each of the nine most abundant genotypes were chosen randomly for sequencing. In addition, two genotypes that only appeared once were sequenced.

Sequences of *nosZ* from the riparian soil were distributed throughout *nosZ* phylogenetic trees (e.g., Fig. 3.6). Based on Chapter 2, five major clusters were identified (i.e., A, G, Y, X, and B; Fig. 3.6). Riparian soil *nosZ* sequences were found in all major clusters except Y, which consists of only two clones from a German forest soil (Fig. 3.6). Cluster A was further differentiated into phylogenetic clusters (Fig. 3.6). Each riparian clone contained at least one indicator *nosZ* T-RF (Fig. 3.6) that was detected in direct soil profiles (Fig. 3.5).

To characterize a broader set of *nosZ* fragments, 91 overlapping sequences were subjected to *in silico* sizing of PCR products and *CfoI*, *RsaI*, and *MspI* T-RFs (see Appendix B for complete dataset). In addition to the 11 clones from riparian soil, the dataset consisted of full-length *nosZ* fragments from pure cultures, a German forest soil (Rösch et al. 2002), upland meadow and forest soils in Oregon (Chapter 2), and marine sediments (Scala and Kerkhof 1998). The distribution of these *nosZ* sequences among *nosZ* phylogenetic clusters is shown in Table 3.6. About half of the sequences from cultured denitrifiers were found to belong in phylogenetic cluster A. Of the sequences from Rösch et al. (2002), 70% were distributed evenly between A1a and A2. Eighty percent of the sequences from the H. J. Andrews study were in cluster A1a. With 39 sequences, cluster A1a had the most sequences (Table 3.6), of which 95% were environmental clones from soils. Among the *nosZ* PCR fragments, discrete sizes of 670, 673, 703, 700, and 706 bp were found, reflecting the natural length-heterogeneity

Fig. 3.6. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of *nosZ* amino acid sequences (177 positions) from soils, marine sediment, and cultured bacteria. The tree was generated using TREE-PUZZLE (Schmidt et al. 2002) v5.0 for Unix with default settings and the JTT (Jones et al. 1992) model of amino acid substitution. Maximum likelihood quartet puzzling support values (analogous to bootstrap values) are shown above branch nodes, and bootstrap values (1000 replicates) for evolutionary distance (Dayhoff et al. 1979, Felsenstein 1993) below branch nodes. Clones from this study are shown in bold, followed by parentheses with size (bp) of PCR and T-RFs in the order of (PCR-*CfoI-MspI-RsaI*), with indicator T-RFs marked with asterisks. Clones from Rösch et al. (2002) are identified with CZ and clones from Chapter 2 with HJA. Except for riparian soil clones, accession numbers are shown in parentheses. Scale bar represents an evolutionary distance of 0.1.



		no. of nosz sequences											
			phylogenetic cluster*										
Reference	Source	Total	A1a	A1b	A1c	A2	A3	A4a	A4b	G	Y	X	B
†	Cultures	25	2	4	0	1	4	3	0	6	0	2	3
Rösch et al. (2002)	Soil	20	7	0	1	7	0	0	0	1	2	2	0
Rich et al. (Ch. 2)	Soil	33	26	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
This study	Soil	11	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Scala and Kerkhof	Marine sediment	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Total	91	39	6	5	12	4	3	2	8	2	5	5

Table 3.6. Distribution of	overlapping nosZ sequence	s analyzed in this study

*nosZ clusters are based on phylogenetic analyses of nosZ sequences as shown in Fig. 3.5 and Chapter 2. †Too many to name. of *nosZ* genes among denitrifiers. The 700 bp size was most common. The more divergent *nosZ* sequences in clusters X and B contained 673 bp or 670 bp *nosZ* PCR fragments.

The dataset of 91 overlapping *nosZ* sequences was analyzed for the presence of indicator T-RFs (Table 3.7) that were found in agricultural and riparian soil or creek sediment (Fig. 3.5). The proportionally more abundant indicator T-RFs *CfoI* 357 bp and 476 bp were found only in group A1a and *CfoI* 700 bp only in A1a and A1b (Table 3.7). The T-RF *RsaI* 666 bp was mostly found in A1a. In contrast, *MspI* 111-112 bp was found in all major clusters except X and B. Less abundant T-RFs, but nevertheless strong indicators among habitats, were not well represented among the *nosZ* sequences. Of the 26-indicator *nosZ* T-RFs, ten were minimally represented (≤ 2 sequences) and five not represented in the database (Table 3.7). Strong indicator *nosZ* T-RFs for creek sediment (*CfoI* 233 bp) or agricultural soil (*RsaI* 95 bp) were not represented (Table 3.7).

DISCUSSION

Although *nosZ* distribution and rN_2O differed among agricultural soil, riparian soil, and creek sediment, denitrifying community composition and activities appeared uncoupled across the agroecosystem. This was because *nosZ* distribution and rN_2O gave different results in terms of similarities among habitats (Fig. 3.4) or relationships between *nosZ* and rN_2O across habitats (Table 3.5). In addition, mean DEA was similar among habitats. In contrast, Rich et al. (Chapter 2) found tighter coupling between denitrifying community composition and functioning in soils from two vegetation types of meadow and adjacent coniferous forest in the Western Cascade Mountains

•	T-RF	No. of sequences in each nosZ phylogenetic cluster* with T-RF											
Enzym	e Size (bp)	Ala	A1b	A1c	A2	A3	A4a	A4b	G	Y	X	В	Total
CfoI	233†	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	0
CfoI	317	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
CfoI	339†	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
CfoI	357	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
CfoI	380	-	1	-	· _ ·	-	-	-	· _	-	-	-	1
CfoI	454	6	2	-	-	-	-	<u> </u>	1		-	-	9
CfoI	476	5	-	-	-	· _	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
CfoI	700	1	2	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
MspI	78	2		-	1	-	-	-	-	_		-	3
MspI	111-112	30	5	5	6	3	-	2	7	1	-	-	59
<i>Msp</i> I	114-115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	5
MspI	222	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	4
MspI	250	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2

Table 3.7. Distribution of nosZ indicator T-RFs in nosZ phylogenetic clusters, based on 91 overlapping nosZ sequences.

(continued)

Table 3	.7 (continue	d)											
]	C-RF	No. of sequences with T-RF in each nosZ phylogenetic cluster*											
Enzyme	Size (bp)	Ala	A1b	A1c	A2	A3	A4a	A4b	G	Y	X	В	Total
RsaI	95†	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		0
RsaI	105	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	3	-	-	-	7
RsaI	165	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
RsaI	265	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
RsaI	271	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	· _	-	- ,	1
RsaI	316	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
RsaI	457	- -	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. 1
RsaI	583†	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
RsaI	639†	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
RsaI	660	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
RsaI	666	23	2	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	· -	29
RsaI	669	1	-	-	1	. –	_	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	2
RsaI	700	5	3	-	5	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	14

*nosZ clusters are based on phylogenetic analyses of nosZ sequences as shown in Fig. 3.5 and Chapter 2. †No match.

of Oregon. Mean DEA was generally an order of magnitude greater in meadow than in forest soils (Chapter 2). Differences in *nosZ* distribution between meadow and forest soils were in a similar range as for differences in *nosZ* distribution between agricultural or riparian soil and creek sediment (Appendix C). Other factors in the agroecosystem, such as availability of fertilizer-N or contrasting hydrologic regimes may have contributed more to denitrifying activities than community composition. These studies suggest that relationships between denitrifying community structure and functioning may be ecosystem specific.

Urea- and NH_4^+ -based fertilizers were applied to the agricultural field that we sampled. In a previous year with a similar crop and fertilizer-N application, Horwath et al. (1998) concluded that nitrification in the agricultural field exceeded *in situ* denitrification by more than ten-fold at the same site. In addition, Horwath et al. (1998) showed that concentrations of NO_3^- were four-to-ten-fold greater in agricultural soil than in riparian soil and that *in situ* denitrification was more than an order of magnitude greater in agricultural soil than in riparian soil. Nevertheless, riparian soil had similar DEA compared to agricultural soil [this study and (Davis 2003)]. In addition, riparian soil had lower redox potentials compared to agricultural soil during spring (Wigington et al. 2003). We also found three-times greater CO_2 production by riparian soil than agricultural soil in anaerobic slurries amended with only NO_3^- (5 mM), and incubated for 15 d (data not shown), suggesting greater carbon availability in the riparian soil.

Work by Firestone et al. (1980) and Weier et al. (1993) suggested that greater carbon availability, lower redox potentials, and lower NO_3^- concentrations, as found in riparian soil, would favor lower rN_2O . Therefore, our results of two-to-three times

higher rN_2O in riparian soil compared to agricultural soil or creek sediment were unexpected. Based on the current study and similar observations of three-times lower rN_2O in agricultural soil compared to adjacent grassland soil (Hénault et al. 2001), differences in carbon and NO₃⁻ concentrations and redox do not sufficiently explain differences in rN_2O . Another factor may be greater repression of Nos than the other reductases leading to N₂O formation (Firestone and Tiedje 1979). However, because DEA and Nos_{maxp} were similar in riparian soil (Fig. 3.2), concentrations of Nos appeared similar to the other N-oxide reductases. Higher rN_2O in riparian soil may have resulted from lower affinity (i.e., higher K_m) for N₂O in riparian soil than in agricultural soil or creek sediment. Betlach and Tiedje (1981) showed that variation in N₂O accumulation during denitrification was due to variation in enzyme kinetics among individual N-oxide reductases and not due to inhibition of Nos activity by NO₃⁻ (10 mM) or NO₂⁻ (0.5 mM).

Based on *nosZ* distribution, several proportionally abundant denitrifying genotypes (i.e., T-RFs *CfoI* 357 bp, 476 bp, and 700 bp) were only associated with *nosZ* phylogenetic clusters A1a or A1b (Table 3.6). Cluster A1a and A1b were previously shown to be specific to *Rhizobiaceae* in α -*Proteobacteria* (Chapter 2). Therefore, denitrifiers related to *Rhizobiaceae* may be abundant in the agricultural and riparian soil and creek sediment. Similarly, *Rhizobiaceae*-like denitrifiers may dominate in meadow and forest soils (Chapter 2). However, absolute abundances of individual denitrifying genotypes remain unknown. In addition, the extent of *nosZ* phylogenetic diversity in soil or freshwater habitats is only beginning to be determined, especially for the less proportionally abundant *nosZ* T-RFs that we detected. Unique *nosZ* T-RFs detected in low abundance in upland soils were specific for *nosZ* based on sequencing (Chapter 2). Although beyond the scope of this study, more comprehensive sequence data is necessary to better identify denitrifying genotypes that differed in distribution among habitats.

In conclusion, N₂O-reductase activity and *nosZ* distribution differed among habitats. Community composition of denitrifiers was more unique in creek sediment compared to agricultural and riparian soil. A number of unique *nosZ* T-RFs were found preferentially in creek sediment. The diversity of *nosZ* T-RFs detected in this system was poorly represented in the sequence database, including pure-culture denitrifiers. Relatively few terrestrial and aquatic environments have been examined for both *nosZ* T-RF distribution and phylogenetic diversity. Denitrifiers that differed in distribution among habitats have yet to be identified and characterized.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for this work was provided by the Oregon State University Agricultural Research Foundation and the National Science Foundation Microbial Observatory Program (MCB-9977933).

We are grateful to Steve Griffith, Jenny Davis, and colleagues at the United States Department of Agriculture, Corvallis for establishing the study site. Also, thank you to Don Wirth for his cooperation at the study site.

Community Composition and Activities of Denitrifying Bacteria in Soils Chapter 4: Conclusions to the Dissertation

Few studies have directly compared denitrifying community composition and activities in natural environments by coupling molecular-genetic techniques and traditional measures of denitrification. Because denitrifying community composition was related to denitrification processes, this work provided impetus for better understanding denitrifying community structure and functioning. In addition, insight was provided into how denitrifying community composition may vary among different soil habitats. By comparing *nosZ* phylogenetics and T-RF profiles, this work gave a starting point for evaluating which denitrifiers might be important in soils. However, much work remains to identify and characterize denitrifiers that differed in distribution among habitats, and to determine specific activities and absolute abundances of individual denitrifiers.

As a first-cut approach, the T-RFLP method appears useful for examining denitrifying communities in previously unstudied ecosystems. Up-and-coming approaches, such as microarray techniques, show promise for quantifying absolute gene abundances (Taroncher-Oldenburg et al. 2003, Wu et al. 2001) or specific activities of individual denitrifiers. However, a prerequisite for using microarrays is comprehensive knowledge of phylogenetic diversity in a system. Coupled with cloning and sequencing, T-RFLP profiles may serve as a guide for microarray design in terms of achieving adequate sequence coverage for target bacterial communities. Other techniques that show promise for examining denitrifying gene abundances or expression include quantitative PCR (Grüntzig et al. 2001) or reverse transcriptasePCR (Nogales et al. 2002), but as with microarrays, progress is necessary for these techniques to be generally applicable.

The current sequence database for *nosZ* is limited to *Proteobacteria*. As more functional gene sequences from pure-culture denitrifiers are added to the database, PCR primers and conditions will likely need to be modified accordingly. To detect denitrifiers other than *Proteobacteria* (e.g., *Archaea* and Gram-positive bacteria), T-RFLP approaches may require a number of primer-pairs. Evaluating PCR and T-RFLP biases and detection sensitivity may allow for more quantitative applications of the T-RFLP method.

A major finding of this thesis was that denitrifier community composition differed among habitats. Differences were due to changes in the relative abundance of T-RFs and the presence/absence of less abundant T-RFs. Overall, denitrifying community composition appeared fairly well conserved among habitats because the same dominant T-RFs (*CfoI* 357, *MspI* 111-112, and *RsaI* 666 bp) were detected in all soils. The T-RF *CfoI* 700 bp was more abundant in creek sediment compared to the other habitats.

Individual T-RFs represented a number of distinct denitrifier genotypes. For example, in the H. J. Andrews study, *Rsa*I 666 bp represented at least 14 distinct denitrifier genotypes based on screening 225 *nosZ* clones. Of the clones with *Rsa*I 666 bp, sequenced representatives from H. J. Andrews soil were closely phylogenetically related in clusters A1a and A1b. In contrast, *Msp*I 111-112 bp was found among most known *nosZ* phylogenetic groups. Whether *Cfo*I 700 bp represents similar denitrifiers in the riparian soil and creek sediment remains unknown. A few T-RFs, present in low abundance, were consistently found only in certain habitats. These T-RFs were equally strong indicators of different habitats as the more abundant T-RFs. For example, the T-RF *CfoI* 454 bp was detected in relatively low abundance in all H. J. Andrews meadow soils but generally not detected in forest soils. *CfoI* 454 bp was present in about half the agroecosystem samples, irregardless of habitat. T-RFs *CfoI* 233 bp and *RsaI* 271 bp were detected in all but one creek sediment sample, but in none of the soil samples in either study. Because of uncertainties associated with using T-RFLP profiles to determine relative abundances of denitrifying genotypes, organisms represented by less abundant T-RFs should not be neglected in future attempts to quantify absolute abundances of individual denitrifiers. Whether organisms represented by these low abundance T-RFs are disproportionately more active than organisms represented by dominant T-RFs remains unknown.

Both studies yielded different results regarding relationships between denitrifying community composition and activities. In the H. J. Andrews study, meadow and forest soils showed strong differences based either on DEA or *nosZ* distribution. In contrast, in the agroecosystem study, habitats showed similar DEA but differences in *nosZ* distribution. Dissimilarities in *nosZ* distribution between meadow and forest soils were of a similar range as for dissimilarities in *nosZ* distribution between agricultural or riparian soil and creek sediment. In the agroecosystem study, correlations between $N_2O/(N_2O + N_2)$ and NMS ordinations were in a similar range or higher compared to correlations between DEA and NMS ordinations in the H. J. Andrews study. However, relationships between community composition and activities varied across the agroecosystem habitats. A mechanism explaining these results remains unknown. Determining population size and specific activity of individual denitrifiers may enable a better explanation for relationships between denitrifier distribution and denitrification processes.

In both studies, denitrifiers related to *Rhizobiaceae*, particular *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, appeared dominant in the soils. Taxonomic relationships among cultured denitrifiers based on SSU rRNA were in reasonable agreement with phylogenetic relationships based on *nosZ*. However, because of high phenotypic diversity in *Rhizobiaceae*, it is difficult to predict the life-styles of these newly appreciated soil denitrifiers without further study. New culturing approaches, coupled with extensive metagenome analyses of soil DNA, may yield insights into linkages between taxonomic and functional markers of soil denitrifiers.

Bibliography

- Amann, R., W. Ludwig, and K. Schleifer. 1995. Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. *Microbiol. Rev.* 59: 143-169.
- Avrahami, S., R. Conrad, and G. Braker. 2002. Effect of soil ammonium concentration on N₂O release and on the community structure of ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 5685-5692.
- Becker, J. G., G. Berardesco, B. E. Rittmann, and D. A. Stahl. 2001. Successional changes in an evolving anaerobic chlorophenol-degrading community used to infer relationships between population structure and system-level processes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 5705-5714.
- Betlach, M. R., and J. M. Tiedje. 1981. Kinetic explanation for accumulation of nitrite, nitric oxide, and nitrous oxide during bacterial denitrification. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 42: 1074-1084.
- Bohlen, P. J., P. M. Groffman, C. T. Driscoll, T. J. Fahey, and T. G. Siccama. 2001. Plant-soil-microbial interactions in a northern hardwood forest. *Ecology* 82: 965-978.
- Braker, G., H. L. Ayala-del-Rio, A. H. Devol, A. Fesefeldt, and J. M. Tiedje. 2001. Community structure of denitrifiers, *Bacteria*, and *Archaea* along redox gradients in Pacific Northwest marine sediments by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of amplified nitrite reductase (*nirS*) and 16S rRNA genes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 1893-1901.
- Braker, G., A. Fesefeldt, and K.-P. Witzel. 1998. Development of PCR primer systems for amplification of nitrite reductase genes (*nirK* and *nirS*) to detect denitrifying bacteria in the environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64: 3769-3775.
- Braker, G., J. Zhou, L. Wu, A. H. Devol, and J. M. Tiedje. 2000. Nitrite reductase genes (*nirK* and *nirS*) as functional markers to investigate diversity of denitrifying bacteria in Pacific Northwest marine sediment communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66: 2096-2104.
- Carlson, C. A., and J. L. Ingraham. 1983. Comparison of denitrification by Pseudomonas stutzeri, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Paracoccus denitrificans. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45: 1247-1253.
- Castro, H., K. R. Reddy, and A. Ogram. 2002. Composition and function of sulfatereducing prokaryotes in eutrophic and pristine areas of the Florida everglades. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 6129-6137.

- Cavigelli, M. A., and G. P. Robertson. 2000. The functional significance of denitrifier community composition in a terrestrial ecosystem. *Ecology* 81: 1402-1414.
- Cavigelli, M. A., and G. P. Robertson. 2001. Role of denitrifier diversity in rates of nitrous oxide consumption in a terrestrial ecosystem. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 33: 297-310.
- Curtis, T. P., W. T. Sloan, and J. W. Scannell. 2002. Estimating prokaryotic diversity and its limits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99: 10494-10499.
- Davidson, E. A., S. C. Hart, and M. K. Firestone. 1992. Internal cycling of nitrate in soils of a mature coniferous forest. *Ecology* 73: 1148-1156.
- Davis, J. H. 2003. Role of a grass riparian zone in controlling the fate of nitrogen in a poorly drained agricultural landscape. *Crop and Soil Science*. Oregon State University, Corvallis.
- Dayhoff, M. O., R. M. Schwartz, and B. C. Orcutt. 1979. A model of evolutionary change in proteins. Pages 345-352 in M. O. Dayhoff, ed. Atlas of protein sequence and structure. National Biomedical Research Foundation, Washington, D. C.
- Dufrêne, M., and P. Legendre. 1997. Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecol. Monogr.* 61: 53-73.
- Dunbar, J., S. M. Barns, L. O. Ticknor, and C. R. Kuske. 2002. Empirical and theoretical bacterial diversity in four Arizona soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 3035-3045.
- Eller, G., and P. Frenzel. 2001. Changes in activity and community structure of methane-oxidizing bacteria over the growth period of rice. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 2395-2403.
- Felsenstein, J. 1993. PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package). Distributed by the author. Department of Genetics, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Fey, A., and R. Conrad. 2000. Effect of temperature on carbon and electron flow and on the archaeal community in methanogenic rice field soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66: 4790-4797.
- Firestone, M. K., R. B. Firestone, and J. M. Tiedje. 1980. Nitrous oxide from soil denitrification: factors controlling its biological production. *Science* 208: 749-751.

- Firestone, M. K., and J. M. Tiedje. 1979. Temporal change in nitrous oxide and dinitrogen from denitrification following onset of anaerobiosis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 38: 673-679.
- Friedrich, M. W. 2002. Phylogenetic analysis reveals multiple lateral transfers of adenosine-5'-phosphate reductase genes among sulfate-reducing microorganisms. J. Bacteriol. 184: 278-289.
- Gamble, T. N., M. Betlach, R., and J. M. Tiedje. 1977. Numerically dominant denitrifying bacteria from world soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 33: 926-939.
- Gieske, A., U. Purkhold, M. Wagner, R. Amann, and A. Schramm. 2001. Community structure and activity dynamics of nitrifying bacteria in a phosphate-removing biofilm. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67.
- Giovannoni, S. J., T. B. Britschgi, C. L. Moyer, and K. G. Field. 1990. Genetic diversity in Sargasso Sea bacterioplankton. *Nature* 345: 60-63.
- Goris, J., P. De Vos, T. Coenye, B. Hoste, D. Janssens, H. Brim, L. Diels, M. Mergeay, K. Kersters, and P. Vandamme. 2001. Classification of metal-resistant bacteria from industrial biotopes of *Ralstonia campinensis* sp. nov., *Ralstonia metallidurands* sp. nov. and *Ralstonia basilensis* Steinle et al. 1998 emend. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51: 1773-1782.
- Griffiths, R. P., P. S. Homann, and R. Riley. 1998. Denitrification enzyme activity of Douglas-fir and red alder forest soils of the Pacific Northwest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 30: 1147-1157.
- Grüntzig, V., S. Nold, J. Zhou, and J. M. Tiedje. 2001. *Pseudomonas stutzeri* nitrite reductase gene abundance in environmental samples measured by real-time PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 760-768.
- Härtig, E., and W. G. Zumft. 1999. Kinetics of nirS expression (cytochrome cd₁ nitrite reductase) in *Pseudomonas stutzeri* during the transition from aerobic respiration to denitrification: evidence for a denitrification-specific nitrate- and nitrite-responsive regulatory system. J. Bacteriol. 181: 161-166.
- Hector, A. et al. 1999. Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. *Science* 286: 1123-1127.
- Hénault, C., D. Chèneby, K. Heurlier, F. Garrido, S. Perez, and J.-C. Germon. 2001. Laboratory kinetics of soil denitrification are useful to discriminate soils with potentially high levels of N₂O emissions on the field scale. Agronomie 21: 713-723.

- Holtan-Hartwig, L., P. Dörsch, and L. R. Bakken. 2000. Comparison of denitrifying communities in organic soils: kinetics of NO₃⁻ and N₂O reduction. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32: 833-843.
- Hooper, D. U., and P. M. Vitousek. 1997. The effect of plant composition and diversity on ecosystem processes. *Science* 277: 1302-1305.
- Horwath, W. R., L. F. Elliott, J. J. Steiner, J. H. Davis, and S. M. Griffith. 1998. Denitrification in cultivated and noncultivated riparian areas of grass cropping systems. J. Environ. Qual. 27: 225-231.
- Hugenholtz, P., B. M. Goebel, and N. R. Pace. 1998. Impact of culture-independent studies on the emerging phylogenetic view of bacterial diversity. *J. Bacteriol.* 180: 4765-4774.
- Hunt, H. W., E. R. Ingham, D. C. Coleman, E. T. Elliott, and C. P. P. Reid. 1988. Nitrogen limitation of production and decomposition in prairie, mountain meadow, and pine forest. *Ecology* 69: 1009-1016.
- Hyman, M. R., and D. J. Arp. 1987. Quantification and removal of some contaminating gases from acetylene used to study gas-utilizing enzymes and microorganisms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 53: 298-303.
- Ingham, E. R., D. C. Coleman, and J. C. Moore. 1989. An analysis of food-web structure and function in a shortgrass prairie, a mountain meadow, and a lodgepole pine forest. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 8: 29-37.
- Jones, D. T., W. R. Taylor, and J. M. Thornton. 1992. The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences. *Bioinformatics* 8: 275-282.
- King, J. K., J. E. Kostka, M. E. Frischer, F. M. Saunders, and R. A. Jahnke. 2001. A quantitative relationship that demonstrates mercury methylation rates in marine sediments are based on the community composition and activity of sulfatereducing bacteria. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35: 2491-2496.
- Kitagawa, W., S. Takami, K. Miyauchi, E. Masai, Y. Kamagata, J. M. Tiedje, and M. Fukuda. 2002. Novel 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid degradation genes from oligotrophic *Bradyrhizobium* sp. strain HW13 isolated from a pristine environment. J. Bacteriol. 184: 509-518.
- Kleikemper, J., M. H. Schroth, W. V. Sigler, M. Schmucki, S. M. Bernasconi, and J. Zeyer. 2002. Activity and diversity of sulfate-reducing bacteria in a petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated aquifer. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 1516-1523.

- Knowles, R. 1990. Acetylene inhibition technique: development, advantages, and potential problems. Pages 151-166 in N. P. Revsbech and J. Sørensen, eds. *Denitrification in soil and sediment.* Plenum Press, New York.
- Kowalchuk, G. A., D. S. Buma, W. de Boer, P. G. L. Klinkhamer, and J. A. van Veen. 2002. Effects of above-ground plant species composition and diversity on the diversity of soil-borne microorganisms. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 81: 509-520.
- Kruskal, J. B. 1964. Non-metric multidimensional scaling: a numerical method. *Psychometrika* 29: 115-129.
- Lueders, T., and M. W. Friedrich. 2002. Effects of amendment with ferrihydrite and gypsum on the structure and activity of methanogenic populations in rice field soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 2484-2494.
- Lueders, T., and M. W. Friedrich. 2003. Evaluation of PCR amplification bias by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of small-subunit rRNA and mcrA genes by using defined template mixtures of methanogenic pure cultures and soil DNA extracts. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69: 320-326.
- Magee, T. K., and J. A. Antos. 1992. Tree invasion into a mountain-top meadow in the Oregon Coast Range, USA. J. Veg. Sci. 3: 485-494.
- Maidak, B. L., J. R. Cole, T. G. Lilburn, C. T. J. Parker, P. R. Saxman, R. J. Farris, G. M. Garrity, G. J. Olsen, T. M. Schmidt, and J. M. Tiedje. 2001. The RDP-II (Ribosomal Database Project). *Nucleic Acid Res.* 29: 173-174.
- Martin, T. L., N. K. Kaushik, J. T. Trevors, and H. R. Whiteley. 1999. Review: denitrification in temperate climate riparian zones. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 111: 171-186.
- McCaig, A. E., C. J. Phillips, J. R. Stephen, G. A. Kowalchuk, S. M. Harvey, R. A. Herbert, T. M. Embley, and J. I. Prosser. 1999. Nitrogen cycling and community structure of proteobacterial beta-subgroup ammonia-oxidizing bacteria within polluted marine fish farms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65: 213-220.
- McCune, B., and J. B. Grace. 2002. Analysis of Ecological Communities. MjM Software, Gleneden Beach, Oregon.
- McCune, B., and M. J. Mefford. 1999. PC-ORD for Windows. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data. MjM Software, Gleneden Beach, Oregon USA.
- McGrady-Steed, J., P. M. Harris, and P. J. Morin. 1997. Biodiversity regulates ecosystem predictability. *Nature* 390: 162-165.

- Michotey, V., V. Méjean, and P. Bonin. 2000. Comparison of methods for quantification of cytochrome *cd*₁-denitrifying bacteria in environmental marine samples. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66: 1564-1571.
- Mielke, P. W., Jr. 1984. Meteorological applications of permutation techniques based on distance functions. Pages 813-830 in P. R. Krishnaiah and P. K. Sen, eds. *Handbook of Statistics*. Elsevier Science Publishers, New York.
- Miller, E. A., and C. B. Halpern. 1998. Effects of environment and grazing disturbance on tree establishment in meadows of the central Cascade Range, Oregon, USA. J. Veg. Sci. 9: 265-282.
- Mintie, A. T., R. S. Heichen, K. J. Cromack, D. D. Myrold, and P. J. Bottomley. 2003. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria along meadow-to-forest transects in the Oregon Cascade Mountains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69: 3129-3136.
- Myrold, D. D. 1988. Denitrification in ryegrass and winter wheat cropping systems of Western Oregon. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 52: 412-416.
- Naeem, S., L. J. Thompson, S. P. Lawler, J. H. Lawton, and R. M. Woodfin. 1994. Declining biodiversity can alter the performance of ecosystems. *Nature* 368: 734-737.
- Nogales, B., K. N. Timmis, D. B. Nedwell, and A. M. Osborn. 2002. Detection and diversity of expressed denitrification genes in esturarine sediments after reverse transcription-PCR amplification from mRNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 5017-5025.
- Ollinger, S. V., M. L. Smith, M. E. Martin, R. A. Hallett, C. L. Goodale, and J. D. Aber. 2002. Regional variation in foliar chemistry and N cycling among forests of diverse history and composition. *Ecology* 83: 339-355.
- Orr, E. L., W. N. Orr, and E. M. Baldwin. 1992. *Geology of Oregon*. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque, Iowa.
- Otte, S., N. G. Grobben, L. A. Robertson, M. S. M. Jetten, and J. G. Kuenen. 1996. Nitrous oxide production by *Alcaligenes faecalis* under transient and dynamic aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 62: 2421-2426.
- Pace, N. 1997. A molecular view of microbial diversity and the biosphere. *Science* 276: 734-740.
- Parke, D., and L. N. Ornston. 1986. Enzymes of the beta-ketoadipate pathway are inducible in *Rhizobium* and *Agrobacterium* spp. and constitutive in *Bradyrhizobium* spp. J. Bacteriol. 165: 288-292.

- Parkin, T. B. 1990. Characterizing the variability of soil denitrification. Pages 213-228 in N. P. Revsbech and J. Sørensen, eds. *Denitrification in soil and sediment*. Plenum Press, New York.
- Parsons, L. L., R. E. Murray, and M. S. Smith. 1991. Soil denitrification dynamics: spatial and temporal variations of enzyme activity, populations, and nitrogen gas loss. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 55: 90-95.
- Paulsen, I. T. et. al. 2002. The Brucella suis genome reveals fundamental similarities between animal and plant pathogens and symbionts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 13148-13153.
- Philippot, L., S. Piutti, F. Martin-Laurent, S. Hallet, and J. C. Germon. 2002. Molecular analysis of the nitrate-reducing community from unplanted and maize-planted soils. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 6121-6128.
- Phillips, C. J., D. Harris, S. L. Dollhopf, K. L. Gross, J. I. Prosser, and E. A. Paul.
 2000. Effects of Agronomic Treatments on Structure and Function of Ammonia-Oxidizing Communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66: 5410-5418.
- Priemé, A., G. Braker, and J. M. Tiedje. 2002. Diversity of nitrite reductase (nirK and nirS) gene fragments in forested upland and wetland soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68: 1893-1900.
- Ramakrishnan, B., T. Leuders, P. F. Dunfield, R. Conrad, and M. W. Friedrich. 2001. Archeal community structure in rice soils from different geographical regions before and after initiation of methane production. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 37: 175-186.
- Ravenschlag, K., K. Sahm, C. Knoblauch, B. B. Jørgensen, and R. Amann. 2000. Community structure, cellular rRNA content, and activity of sulfate-reducing bacteria in marine arctic sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66: 3592-3602.
- Rösch, C., A. Mergel, and H. Bothe. 2002. Biodiversity of denitrifying and dinitrogenfixing bacteria in an acid forest soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 3818-3829.
- Sabaty, M., C. Schwintner, S. Cahors, P. Richaud, and A. Verméglio. 1999. Nitrite and nitrous oxide reductase regulation by nitrogen oxides in *Rhodobacter* sphaeroides f. sp. denitrificans IL106. J. Bacteriol. 181: 6028-6032.
- Saito, A., H. Mitsui, R. Hattori, K. Minamisawa, and T. Hattori. 1998. Slow-growing and oligotrophic soil bacteria phylogenetically close to *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. *FEMS Microbiol*. *Ecol*. 25: 277-286.

- Scala, D. J., and L. J. Kerkhof. 1998. Nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ) gene-specific PCR primers for detection of denitrifiers and three nosZ genes from marine sediments. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 162: 61-68.
- Scala, D. J., and L. J. Kerkhof. 1999. Diversity of nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ) genes in continental shelf sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65: 1681-1687.
- Scala, D. J., and L. J. Kerkhof. 2000. Horizontal heterogeneity of denitrifying bacterial communities in marine sediments by terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66: 1980-1986.
- Schmidt, H. A., K. Strimmer, M. Vingron, and A. von Haeseler. 2002. TREE-PUZZLE: maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis using quartets and parallel computing. *Bioinformatics* 18: 502-504.
- Smil, V. 2001. Enriching the Earth: Fritz Haber, Carl Bosch, and the transformation of world food production. The MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Smith, J. W. 2002. Mapping the thermal climate of the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest, Oregon. *Geosciences*. Oregon State University, Corvallis.
- Smith, M. S., and J. M. Tiedje. 1979. Phases of denitrification following oxygen depletion in soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 11: 261-267.
- Song, B., M. M. Häggblom, J. Zhou, J. M. Tiedje, and N. J. Palleroni. 1999. Taxonomic characterization of denitrifying bacteria that degrade aromatic compounds and description of *Azoarcus toluvorans* sp. nov. and *Azoarcus toluclasticus* sp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 49: 1129-1140.
- Sullivan, J. T., B. D. Eardly, P. van Berkum, and C. W. Ronson. 1996. Four unnamed species of nonsymbiotic rhizobia isolated from the rhizosphere of *Lotus* corniculatus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62: 2818-2825.
- Swoffard, D. L., G. J. Olsen, P. J. Waddell, and D. M. Hillis. 1996. Phylogenetic Inference. Pages 407-514 in D. M. Hillis, C. Moritz, and B. K. Mable, eds. *Molecular Systematics*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Mass.
- Taroncher-Oldenburg, G., E. M. Griner, C. A. Francis, and B. B. Ward. 2003. Oligonucleotide microarray for the study of functional gene diversity in the nitrogen cycle in the environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69: 1159-1171.
- Thompson, J. D., T. J. Gibson, F. Plewniak, F. Jeanmougin, and D. G. Higgins. 1997. The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24: 4876-4882.

- Tiedje, J. M. 1988. Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium. Pages 170-244 in A. J. B. Zehnder, ed. *Biology of Anaerobic Microorganisms*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Tiedje, J. M. 1994. Denitrifiers. Pages 245-265 in R. W. Weaver, J. S. Angle, and P. J. Bottomley, eds. *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2-Microbiological and Biochemical Properties*. Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Madison, Wisc.
- Tilman, D., and J. Downing. 1994. Biodiversity and stability in grasslands. *Nature* 367: 363-365.
- Torsvik, V., J. Goksøyr, and F. L. Daae. 1990. High diversity in DNA of soil bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 56: 782-787.
- Torsvik, V., and L. Øvreås. 2002. Microbial diversity and function in soil: from genes to ecosystems. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 5: 240-245.
- Vermes, J.-F., and D. D. Myrold. 1992. Denitrification in forest soils of Oregon. Can. J. For. Res. 22: 504-512.
- Weier, K. L., J. W. Doran, J. F. Power, and D. T. Walters. 1993. Denitrification and the dinitrogen/nitrous oxide ratio as affected by soil water, available carbon, and nitrate. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 57: 66-72.
- Wigington, P. J., Jr., S. M. Griffith, J. A. Field, J. E. Baham, W. R. Horwath, J. Owen, J. H. Davis, S. C. Rain, and J. J. Steiner. 2003. Nitrate removal effectiveness of a riparian buffer along a small agricultural stream in Western Oregon. J. Environ. Qual. 32: 162-170.
- Woese, C. R. 1987. Bacterial Evolution. Microbiol. Rev. 51: 221-271.
- Wolin, M. J., E. A. Wolin, and N. J. Jacobs. 1961. Cytochrome-producing anaerobic vibrio, Vibrio succinogenes, sp. n. J. Bacteriol. 81: 911-917.
- Wu, L., D. K. Thompson, G. Li, R. A. Hurt, J. M. Tiedje, and J. Zhou. 2001. Development and evaluation of functional gene arrays for detection of selected genes in the environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67: 5780-5790.
- Yoshinari, T. 1980. N₂O reduction by Vibrio succinogenes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 39: 81-84.
- Zhou, J., B. Xia, D. S. Treves, L.-Y. Wu, T. L. Marsh, R. V. O'Neill, A. V. Palumbo, and J. M. Tiedje. 2002. Spatial and resource factors influencing high microbial diversity in soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68: 326-334.

Zumft, W. G. 1997. Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. *Microbiol. Molec. Biol. Rev.* 61: 533-616.

Zumft, W. G. 1999. The denitrifying prokaryotes in M. Dworkin et al., ed. *The* prokaryotes: an evolving electronic resource for the microbiological community. Springer-Verlag, New York, www.prokaryotes.com.

Appendices
Appendix A: Potential N₂O Consumption in H. J. Andrews Soils

To determine if similar differences between meadow and forest soils were observed based on N_2O -reductase activities, potential N_2O consumption was estimated for H. J. Andrews soils.

Methods. The capacity for N₂O consumption was determined in long-term incubations of a sub-set of 12 samples, representing each site and vegetation type. These incubations were similar to the DEA conditions, with the following modifications. Sieved soils were kept at 4°C for 17 d prior to the incubation. No nitrate was added to any of the flasks. Each sample was incubated in duplicate. Ultra-high purity N₂O was added to half the duplicates (2200 ppm v/v, final concentration), to measure net N₂O consumption in the absence of acetylene. To the other half of duplicates, acetylene was added to determine background N₂O production from endogenous nitrate. Total N₂O consumption was estimated by adding the absolute mean values of net N₂O consumption in the absence of acetylene, and net N₂O production in the presence of acetylene, over 0.25 h and 216 h.

Results. The capacity for N₂O consumption under denitrifying conditions, presumably via nitrous oxide reductase (Nos), showed similar differences between vegetation types (Table A1). The meadow soils consumed about 9-fold greater N₂O in 9 d compared to the forest soils (Table A1). Nos activity in the meadow soils was only apparent after the first 17 to 35 h of the incubation, and N₂O levels in the presence of acetylene reached equilibrium at about 24 h (data not shown).

		μ g N ₂ O-N g ⁻¹ dry soil‡			
	Vegetation	Net	Net	Total	
Site	type	consumption*	production [†]	consumption	
Carpenter	Meadow	21.51 (6.01)	7.76 (0.19)	29.27	
	Forest	3.42 (0.68)	0.03 (0.00)	3.45	
Lookout	Meadow	34.93 (4.80)	4.46 (0.41)	39.39	
	Forest	4.35 (5.42)	0.07 (0.02)	4.41	

Table A1. N_2O consumption and production by H.	J Andrews soils
during a 9 d incubation under denitrifying condition	ns.√

 $\sqrt{\text{Anaerobic conditions, glucose was added (10 mM) but not nitrate.}}$ $\pm \text{Mean (\pm 1 standard error) are shown, n=3.}$ *Approximately 50 μ g N₂O-N g⁻¹ dry soil was added at the beginning of the experiment.

 $^{\dagger}A$ parallel set was incubated with acetylene (10%); no N₂O was added.

Appendix B: PCR Product and T-RF Size of 91 Overlapping nosZ Fragments.

					Fragmen	t size (bp)	
						T-RFs	
<u>No.</u>	Accession No.	Organisms or clone	nosZ* cluster	PCR	CfoI	MspI	RsaI
1	AY259203	HJACMZB05	Ala	700	52	78	700
	NZ_AAAF						
2	01000001	Rhodopseudomonas palustris CGA009	Ala	700	52	112	660
3	AY259184	HJALMZD07	Ala	700	52	112	666
4		LCRIPE01	Ala	700	52	112	666
5	AY259187	HJALMZE02	Ala	700	54	111	531
6	AY259196	HJALFZB11	Ala	700	54	112	451
7	AY259198	HJALFZF09	Ala	700	54	112	451
8	AF315443	CZ1439	Ala	700	54	112	666
9	AF315445	CZ1429	Ala	700	54	112	666
10	AF315446	CZ1459	Ala	700	54	112	666
11	AY072231	CZ1496	Ala	700	54	112	666
12	AY259210	HJACMZF05	Ala	700	54	112	666
13	AY259199	HJALFZG03	Ala	700	357	112	265
14	AY259194	HJALFZB02	Ala	700	357	112	451
15	AF315444	CZ013B	Ala	700	357	112	666
16	AY072241	CZ0135	Ala	700	357	112	666
17	AY259193	HJALFZA08	Ala	700	357	112	666
18	AY259206	HJACMZD07	Ala	700	357	112	666
19		LCRIPZH03	Ala	700	357	112	666
20	AY259189	HJALMZE07	Ala	700	357	112	700
21	AY259200	HJALFZG09	Ala	700	357	112	700

Table D1. Characteristics of 91 overhapping nosz sequences from this study and Gen	enBar	nB:
---	-------	-----

					Fragment	size (bp)	
				_		T-RFs	
No.	Accession No.	Organisms or clone	nosZ* cluster	PCR	CfoI	MspI	
22		LCRIPZC04	Ala	700	357	112	700
23	AY259205	HJACMZD03	Ala	700	357	222	451
24	AJ002531	Bradyrhizobium japonicum USAD110	Ala	700	357	222	666
25	AY259209	HJACMZE12	Ala	700	357	222	666
26	AY259188	HJALMZE03	Ala	700	357	250	666
27	AY259207	HJACMZE04	Ala	700	357	250	666
28	AY259191	HJALMZH12	Ala	700	454	46	666
29	AY259190	HJALMZE12	Ala	700	454	111	700
30	AY259185	HJALMZC01	Ala	700	454	112	295
31	AY259211	HJACMZG11	Ala	700	454	112	660
32	AY259186	HJALMZB02	Ala	700	454	112	666
33	AY259204	HJACMZC03	Ala	700	454	112	666
34	AY259201	HJALFZH03	Ala	700	476	78	666
35	AF315442	CZ011H	Ala	700	476	111	666
36	AY259195	HJALFZB03	Ala	700	476	112	666
37	AY259212	HJACMZH06	Ala	700	476	112	666
38		LCRIPZB08	Ala	700	476	112	669
39	AY259208	HJACMZE05	Ala	700	700	112	666
40	AE009730	Brucella melitensis 16M	A1b	700	52	111	700
41	AE014528	Brucella_suis 1330	Alb	700	52	111	700

					Fragmen	t size (bp)	
						T-RFs	
<u>No.</u>	Accession No.	Organisms or clone	nosZ* cluster	PCR	CfoI	MspI	RsaI
42	AY259202	HJACMZB02	A1b	700	454	50	666
43	AY072229	Ochrobactrum anthropi LMG3331	A1b	700	454	112	700
44		LCRIPZE06	A1b	700	700	111	666
45	AE007253	Sinorhizobium meliloti 1021	A1b	700	700	112	265
46	AY259180	HJALMZB06	A1c	706	47	112	672
47	AY259181	HJALMZC03	A1c	706	52	112	57
48	AY259182	HJALMZE05	Alc	706	52	112	457
49	AF315441	CZ0140	A1c	706	52	112	672
50	AY259183	HJALMZC12	A1c	706	361	111	672
51	AF315454	CZ011C	A2	700	47	112	700
52	AF315455	CZ0143	A2.	700	47	112	700
53	AF315450	CZ011J	A2	700	52	61	700
54	AF361793	Azospirillum lipoferum DSM1691	A2	700	52	61	700
55		LCRIPG03	A2	700	52	61	700
56	AF315457	VZ0004	A2	700	52	112	666
57	AF315452	CZ1441	A2	700	54	61	700
58		LCRIPZD09	A2	700	54	78	105
59	AF315451	CZ014H	A2	700	282	61	316
60	AY259197	HJALFZD11	A2	700	282	111	105

					Fragmen	t size (bp)	
						T-RFs	
<u>No.</u>	Accession No.	Organisms or clone	nosZ* cluster	PCR	CfoI	MspI	RsaI
61	AY259192	HJALFZA01	A2	700	282	112	669
62	AF315453	CZ014D	A2	700	355	112	666
63	AF361794	Azospirillum halopraeferens DSM3675	A3	700	47	111	531
64	AY074762	Azospirillum sp. A1-3	A3	700	47	112	105
65	AF361792	Azospirillum brasilense DSM2298	A3	700	52	93	666
66	AF361791	Azospirillum brasilense DSM1690	A3	700	52	111	666
67	AF047429	Achromobacter cycloclastes NCIMB11015	A4a	706	54	61	672
68	X74792	Paracoccus denitrificans NCIMB8944	A4a	706	54	61	706
69	AF125260	Rhodobacter sphaeroides IL106	A4a	703	173	50	105
70	AF016055	S321195A marine sediment	A4b	703	380	111	468
71	AF016057	S321195C marine sediment	A4b	700	386	112	700
72	AE004760	Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA01	G	700	52	111	105
73	AB054991	Pseudomonas sp. MT-1	G	700	54	111	49
74	AF315434	CZ0X04	G	700	54	111	49
75	AF361795	Alcaligenes faecalis A15	G	700	54	111	49
76	AF197468	Pseudomonas fluorescens C7R12	G	700	54	111	105
<u>77</u>	X65277	Pseudomonas aeruginosa DSM50071	G	700	54	111	459

					Fragmen	t size (bp)	
						T-RFs	
<u>No.</u>	Accession No.	Organisms or clone	nosZ* cluster	PCR	CfoI	MspI	RsaI
78		LCRIPZC01	G	700	317	208	105
79	M22628	Pseudomonas stutzeri ATCC14405	G	700	454	111	271
80	AF315435	CZ011E	Y	706	85	222	672
81	AF315436	CZ013A	Y	706	145	112	672
82	AF315438	CZ0137	х	673	75	70	673
83	AY072230	Azospirillum irakense KA3	Х	673	75	70	673
84	AF315437	CZY015	Х	673	75	115	108
85	AY072228	Azospirillum largimobile ACM2041	Х	670	325	81	670
86		LCRIPZH05	X	673	325	92	165
87		LCRIPZD03	В	673	148	114	673
88		LCRIPZG05	В	673	275	114	673
89	AL646084	Ralstonia solanacearum GMI1000	В	673	325	50	81
	NZ_AAAI						
90	01000322	Ralstonia metallidurans CH34	В	673	325	114	81
<u>91</u>	<u>X65278</u>	Ralstonia eutropha ATCC17699	B	673	356	115	81

*nosZ clusters are based on phylogenetic analyses of nosZ sequences as shown in Fig. 3.5 and Chapter 2

Appendix C: Dissimilarities in Mean nosZ Distribution Among Habitats

Habitat comparison	Sørensen's distance		
Carpenter meadow vs. Carpenter forest	0.30		
Lookout meadow vs. Lookout forest	0.38		
Agricultural soil vs. Riparian soil	0.23		
Agricultural soil vs. Creek sediment	0.41		
Riparian soil vs. Creek sediment	0.38		

*nosZ distribution was based on presence/absence of nosZ T-RFs in combined CfoI, MspI, RsaI profiles.

102