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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Science summary

The main objective of the benthic program within TECFLUX is to determine the effect of widespread hydrate formation in the Cascadia margin (Figure 1) on element mobilization, transport and release at the seafloor. In this margin, we have an opportunity to study locations where fluids and gases from hydrate decomposition are escaping from the accretionary prism as well as sites in which hydrates appear to be undergoing very little decomposition. During the 1998 field program we concentrated at the sites of active gas discharge.

Decomposition of the hydrates at sites of localized flow is probably driven by temperature fluctuations within the accretionary prism caused by upward advection of warm fluids (Westbrook et al., 1994). Geochemical consequences of hydrate destabilization and venting of fluids include: 1) a large release of methane; 2) a release of water enriched in ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$; 3) a potential discharge of elements which are enriched in fluids found below the BSR; 4) the development of benthic biological communities; and 5) the precipitation of carbonate minerals.

The massive and rapid release of methane on the second accretionary ridge has been previously documented by mapping of a methane-rich plume in the water column (Suess et al., 1998). The only quantitative measurements of fluid expulsion and methane fluxes on the second accretionary ridge were those obtained by a submersible-deployed benthic barrel during Alvin dive 2283 (Linke et al., 1994).

### 1.2 Background information

### 1.2.1 Tectonic setting

The Juan de Fuca Plate is currently being subducted beneath the North American Plate along the Oregon-Washington continental margin (Riddihough, 1984; Duncan and Kulm, 1989). The incoming upper Miocene oceanic crust is covered by up to 3.5 km of turbidites and hemipelagic deposits. The lowermost portion of this sediment package is subducted beneath the continental slope, whereas the upper portion is accreted to the margin. Landward vergence prevails along the lower slope of Washington (Carson et al., 1974; Silver,


Figure 1. Bathymetric map (color panel) of the central Oregon coast and continental margin showing the location of the 1989 multichannel seismic site survey (McKay et al, 1992) and the general location of the gas hydrate study site of R/V SONNE Hydrotrace Expedition. Image produced by C. Goldfinger, COAS. Right-hand panel is a bathymetric map of the "second accretionary ridge" showing the location of the northern "bioherm" site, near ODP 892 and the SONNE sampling sites, and the southern "hydrate" site. Unpublished data presented by Suess et al, 1996.
1972), and northern Oregon (Kulm et al., 1973, Carson, 1977); seaward-vergence dominates central and southern Oregon (Kulm and Fowler, 1974, Seely et al., 1974). Multi-channel seismic (MCS) data collected across the central Oregon accretionary prism have been used to document how the structural style and stratigraphy of the prism control the pattern of fluid flow observed at the seafloor (Kulm et al., 1986). A complete analysis of the structure of the region based on a over 2000 km of MCS data collected in preparation for ocean drilling (Leg 146) has been presented by McKay et al. (1992). In an east-west transect along $44^{\circ} 38.66^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ fluid discharge has been documented by a series of Alvin dives associated with the frontal thrust and the backthrust, as well as along erosional exposures of sandy strata (Kulm et al., 1986; Moore et al., 1990; Orange and Breen, 1992). At $44^{\circ} 40.45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, venting of fluids was observed at a site where the backthrust intersects an erosional canyon on the second accretionary ridge in 675 m of water. These fluids were sampled in a time sequence using a benthic barrel deployed by Alvin over active discharge sites (Suess et al., 1998). The stations occupied for these experiments are located on the second accretionary ridge ( 675 m , Dive 2283), the deformation front ( $>2500 \mathrm{~m}$, Dive 2285) and the seaward accretionary wedge ( 2046 m , Dive 1907). The results have shown that fluxes of methane from the Cascadia seeps can reach values as high as $2000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{y}^{-1}$ (Carson et al., 1990; Linke et al., 1994). A fluid flow rate of $1765 \pm 20 \mathrm{I} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ $\mathrm{y}^{-1}$ was measured with a thermistor flow meter at site 2283 (Linke et al., 1994).

### 1.2.2 Drilling results

Deep sea drilling in this margin was conducted during ODP Leg 146 with the aim of further documenting the patterns of fluid flow and sediment deformation within the accretionary wedge (Westbrook et al., 1994). Active advection of fluids was documented by packet test results, as well as by geochemical and temperature anomalies. Thermogenic hydrocarbons detected at very shallow depths indicate migration of fluids from 1 to 4 km (Whiticar et al., 1995). Chemical analysis of interstitial water samples suggests a common deep source for the fluids recovered at drill sites off Vancouver and Oregon. This deep-seated fluid seems to be characterized by higher than seawater concentrations of $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ca}$ and Sr , a depletion of Cl relative to seawater, non-radiogenic strontium isotopes and depletion of d ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ (Kastner et al., 1995b; Kastner et al., 1998).

Recent advective flow at Site 892 is also documented by two in situ temperature measurements which lie 1.6 to $2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above the linear geothermal gradient of $51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{km}$ (Westbrook et al., 1994). Downhole logs and vertical seismic profiles (VSP) at Site 892 established that the BSR, commonly observed in sediments from many gas hydrate provinces, is caused by free gas below 71 mbsf . The presence of methane hydrates above this depth was inferred by methane and chlorinity measurements. No massive accumulations of gas hydrate were encountered at either site 889 or 892; rather, most of the hydrate appears to be disseminated within the pore space.
Temperature measurements and dilution of pore waters from these sites suggest that less than $10-40 \%$ of the pore space is filled with hydrates. Solid gas hydrate recovered at site 892 between 2 and 19 mbsf, was not associated with the BSR. This near-surface deposit contains up to $10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (Kastner et al., 1995a, Whiticar et al., 1995).

### 1.2.3 Sonne Hydrotrace program (SO110)

An international team of researchers, sailing on the German research vessel RV SONNE, recently completed a series of field studies on the convergent continental margin off Oregon. The expedition, coordinated by scientists at GEOMAR (Research Center for Marine Geoscience at the University of Kiel, Germany), included the Canadian remotely operated unmanned submersible "ROPOS", and other TV-guided instruments and samplers deployed from the SONNE. During this cruise, nearly 50 kg of solid methane gas hydrates was recovered from the seafloor on the south section of the 'second accretionary ridge' at a water depth of 785 m (Station SO110/18, Figure 1). Video surveys of the area indicate that the seafloor there is paved by hydrates. The pavement is extensive ( 100 's of $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and lined with bacterial mats. Communities of vent organisms and carbonate precipitates are absent. The trapped gas phase in the hydrate contained $93.7 \%$ methane, $5.1 \%$ carbon dioxide and $1.2 \%$ hydrogen sulfide. The carbon isotopic composition of the methane was $-67.2 \%$ and that of the carbon dioxide was $-31.9 \%$. The massive hydrate appears in layers up to 10 cm thick, with thin beds of hydrogen sulfide rich sediment and $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (Bohrmann et al., 1998). At the northern summit of the ridge ( 585 m water depth), decomposition of the gas hydrate supports a plume of methane extending at least $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~km}$ and with methane concentrations $>50,000 \mathrm{nl} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$. The plume is fed by methane bubbles which rise from vent fields at the northern ridge segment. This plume was
observed in July 1996 by video surveys from onboard the RV SONNE as well as by ROV-deployments using the ROPOS system. At the seafloor, gas vents support chemosynthetic communities which are typical of "cold seeps" at accretionary margins, along with large (100s of meters long; 1-5 m thick) calcium carbonate structures of highly varied morphology, mineralogy, and isotope composition. The fluids from the gas hydrate vents, sampled by ROPOS, are depleted in Cl and Mg by several percent compared to bottom water and are strongly enriched in methane (up to $400,000 \mathrm{nl} \mathrm{L}^{-1} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ). The composition of the exiting fluids clearly indicate active venting of a mixture of seawater, pore water, and fresh hydrate water.
Composition of the "pore-fluid" end-member suggests that the fluids have been transported from below the BSR via high-permeability pathways (Suess et al., 1996). This is the first time that fresh water and methane gas from hydrate decomposition were observed to freely exit from vents.

## 2. CRUISE NARRATIVE AND STATION SUMMARY

Marta E. Torres

ROPOS (Remotely Operated Platform for Ocean Sciences) was loaded onto the R/V R. H. BROWN in Esquimalt, Victoria, on August $15^{\text {th }}$, 1998. BROWN moved to the Coast Guard Pier in Victoria on August $16^{\text {th }}$. It departed Victoria on August $18^{\text {th }}$ at 1730 GMT; while in route to the Hydrate Ridge area, we conducted a CTD test cast and a ROPOS test dive at $44^{\circ} 22.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $123^{\circ} 27.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. Upon arrival to the Hydrate Ridge area ( $19^{\text {th }}$ Aug. 98, 2300 GMT), a preliminary Seabeam and 3.5 KHz survey was conducted to aid in locating optimal sites for transponder deployment (denoted as Station TFX98.00.SUR00; Table 1). The time and location of deployment is given in Section 6.2. To aid on calibration of the net, a sound velocity profile of the area was obtained by CTD deployments (TFX98.01.CTD01, TFX98.02.CTD02; see Section 4 for a description of the hydrocast program). The calibration was completed at 1430 GMT, on Aug $20^{\text {th }}$, but since ROPOS was not ready for deployment, we continued the geophysical survey of the area (TFX98.03.SUR01) and conducted another hydrocast (TXF98.04.CTD03). ROPOS was deployed at 1939 GMT on August $20^{\text {th }}$ (see Section 6 for dive summaries). During this dive (TFX98.05.R454) we conducted a test of the manifold water sampler
which indicated that this instrument had software problems, and no samples were collected. The dive was suspended due to air trapped in the new ROPOS tether, and ROPOS was returned to the ship at 2105 GMT. Upon retrieval new problems were identified with the submersible (motor to the pump was not operational); so, we repositioned the BROWN for another hydrocast (TFX98.06.CTD04), and for deployment of the Callenger Pump (TFX98.07.CP1; see Section 5). ROPOS was redeployed on the $21^{\text {st }}$ of August from 0935 to 1630 GMT (TFX98.08.R455). While preparing for the next ROPOS dive, we conducted a CTD cast (TFX98.09.CTD05) and a Seabeam survey (TFX98.10.SUR02). The new dive (TFX98.11.R456), included the deployment of an elevator with several instruments. ROPOS was deployed on the $22^{\text {nd }}$ of August at 0136 GMT. The elevator was released from the seafloor at 1920 GMT, and it was brought on board at 2000 GMT; unfortunately the instruments inside the elevator were lost during retrieval. The ROPOS dive continued to search for the lost instruments. One of the benthic barrels was found and recovered by ROPOS. Dive 456 ended on the $22^{\text {nd }}$ of August at 2216 GMT. During ROPOS turn-around time we conducted another hydrocast (TFX98.12.CTD06). ROPOS was redeployed on the $23^{\text {rd }}$ of August from 0122 to 1300 GMT (TXF98.13.R457). Several hours were spent looking for the lost barrel, while at the same time we conducted a video survey of the area and collected biologial specimens. A hydrocast station (TFX98.14.CTD07) was conducted during ROPOS turn-around time. ROPOS was re-deployed (TFX98.15.R458) at 1539 GMT on the $23^{\text {rd }}$ of August. The dive ended at 2145 GMT, followed by the last hydrocast (TFX98.16.CTD08). The transponders used for navidation were released and recovered (TFX98.17) between 2317 GMT on the $23^{\text {rd }}$ of August and 0145 GMT on the $24^{\text {th }}$ of August. ROPOS was deployed for its final dive (TFX98.18.R459) at 0347 GMT on the $24^{\text {th }}$ of August, and recovered at 0414 GMT because of telemetry loss from the ROV.

Because only five of the eight SIO flowmeters were deployed with ROPOS, the remaining three were deployed from the ship at the southern end of Hydrate Ridge (TFX98.18.FM.03; see section 6.4.2 for details). A 3.5 KHz survey was conducted in route to Newport, OR (TFX98.19.SUR3). The R/N BROWN docked at Newport at 1400 GMT on the $24^{\text {th }}$ of August, 1998.

Table 1. Station summary

| Station number | Position | Date | Water | Section/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| depth | Appendix |  |  |  |

*See Table 2

## 3. GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

Anne Trehu

During TECFLUX98 we took advantage of "down time" for ROPOS to collect Seabeam 2100 swath bathymetry and Bathy 20003.5 kHz seismic data along selected tracks in the region of Hydrate Ridge. SEABEAM was also used to survey the ROPOS study area prior to installation of the acoustic transponder array.

The Seabeam data acquired during this cruise are shown in Figure 2 along with the ship track. Although the region had already been covered by multibeam data, acquisition of new data during this cruise provided a realtime view of the seafloor in the immediate vicinity of the seafloor sampling work. This survey also revealed the presence of a hill that was not evident in the paper copies of the bathymetric maps we had with us on board (Figure 2) and resulted in modification of the originally planned transponder array configuration. The hill is part of a NW-trending alignment of hills that cross the northern part of Hydrate Ridge. This structure is parallel to the trend of seafloor methane vents that were mapped during this cruise and by the R/V SONNE in 1996.

The new Seabeam data will also be useful for evaluating the quality of the new and existing data and for detecting possible changes in seafloor morphology. The new data will be compared to itself along overlapping tracks at different azimuths to determine the internal consistancy of the data. They will also be compared to the existing data for quality control and to determine whether any detectable change in seafloor topography has occurred since the previous survey. If justified by this comparison, the new data will replace the existing data in our working database.

The 3.5 kHz data were collected with the objective of mapping pockets of sediment on Hydrate Ridge and to determine whether variations in low frequency seafloor reflectivity can be related to the amount and characteristics of hydrate. Several profiles also provide site survey information for a planned proposal to ODP. Examples of the data are shown in Figure 3. Amplitude as a function of time for each ping was saved on optical disk in SEGY format for further processing.


Figure 2. Multibeam bathymetry collected during the cruise. Tracklines are also shown. Depths range from 1800 m (dark blue) to 600 m (orange). Green is $\sim 1200 \mathrm{~m}$. Yellow is $\sim 1000 \mathrm{~m}$. Illumination is from the NW. Although this region was already covered by multibeam bathymetry, having these data collected on board was useful for placing the transponders for ROPOS navigation. These data also confirmed that features such as the apparent "mud volcano" SW of the southern crest of Hydrate Ridge is real and not an artifact of noisy data. This feature is the first evidence for seafloor venting recognized on the southern part of Hydrate Ridge. We also note a NW-trending alignment of hills along the western crest of Hydrate Ridge and anticlines at the base of the eastern flank of the ridge.


figure 4. Examples of 3.5 kHz data collected during August, 1998. These samples were scanned from paper records recorded during the cruise. Digital data are currently being processed. A shows tracklines along which Seabeam 2100 and digital 3.5 kHz data were collected. B is a crossing of the southern peak of Hydrate Ridge. C is a crossing of the northern peak of Hydrate Ridge. D is a crossing of the slope basin east of Hydrate Ridge. The locations of the data samples are shown by bold red lines. Acoustic energy penetrates up to 50 meters beneath the slope basin. The seafloor is a strong, but smooth, reflector on the southern peak of Hydrate Ridge. We attribute the long coda on the northern peak to scattering from a rough surface, as is observed, and infer that the smooth seafloor observed in camera tows over the southern peak is characteristic of the region, suggesting a favorable environment for drilling.

Table 2: Start and stop time of Seabeam2100 and Bathy2000 data acquisition.

| STATION | START TIME <br> day/hour/min | STOP TIME <br> day/hour/min | NO. of KM | DATA FILES | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TFX98-0- <br> SUR0 | $231 / 15 / 26$ | $232 / 03 / 12$ |  | Y0819-03.sgy <br> YO819-04.sgy <br> Y0819-05.sgy | survey during <br> transit to dive <br> sites and in the <br> general region <br> in preparation <br> for transponder <br> deployment. |
| TFX98-3- <br> SUR1 | $232 / 13 / 03$ <br> paper <br> $232 / 13 / 43$ <br> tape | $232 / 17 / 36$ |  | Y0820-01.sgy | survey from <br> dive site to <br> follow MCS3 <br> from 1989 ODP <br> site survey and <br> to cross MCS2. |
| TFX98-10- <br> SUR2A | $233 / 16 / 37$ | $233 / 17 / 58$ |  | at dive site. |  |
| TFX98-10- <br> SUR2B | $233 / 19 / 09$ | $233 / 22 / 49$ |  | Y0821-02.sgy | survey from <br> dive site to <br> follow MCS2 <br> from the the <br> 1989 ODP site <br> survey. |
| TFX98-19- | $236 / 04 / 32$ | $236 / 06 / 13$ |  | Y0824-01.sgy <br> SUR3 |  |
| Y0824-02.sgy profile |  |  |  |  |  |
| along the axis of |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydrate ridge. |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

## 4. WATER COLUMN SAMPLING

### 4.1 Hydrographic Program OSU Group <br> Robert Collier

During TFX98, we deployed 8 CTD casts and collected water from the 11 bottles mounted on the PMEL rosette (figure 4). The primary emphasis in station selection was to verify the location and characteristics of the primary gas input at the top of Hydrate Ridge. This location was also the target of nearly all the ROPOS dives.

Thus, most of the samples were clustered around the gas vents first seen on the Sonne cruise in 1996. Generally, the CTD's were deployed between the other activities related to the benthic program - primarily ROPOS deploments.

The CTD used was from Dr. E. Baker, NOAA PMEL (SBE model 911plus, with an SBE rosette and 20L nisking bottles). The CTD was also outfitted with a Benthose bottom separations between $0-100 \mathrm{~m}$, SeaTech 25 cm beam transmissometer, and Seatech Nephelometer. Calibration data for the instruments are detailed in the seabird "*.con" files saved with each set of station data. For aquisition, we used the new Seabird Windows version of Seasave; for post processing we used the DOS Seasave versions 4.233. All data were plotted from the real-time cast (in Seasave) and were processed with Datcnv and Rossum to generate the bottle files listing the CTD properties for each sample. Only CTD1 was taken through the full Seabird-suggested post-processing path (including DATCNV, WILDEDIT, CELL, FILTER, SPLIT (downcast only), LOOPEDIT, BINAVG, and DERIVE. The CTD plots, the "bottle files", and the cast log with Niskin sample draw information are included in Appendix 2. All CTD data and ship logs during the CTD casts were taken off the ship and are available from Robert Collier (rcollier@oce.orst.edu).

Initially, a cast (TFX98.02.CTD1) was taken north of the ridge penetrating to 840 m . This station was intended as a "background" cast. The remaining stations were focused directly on the vents near TVG-9-1 (SONNE leg 110-1a, 1996). The second cast (stn TFX98.02.CTD2) was targeted SSE of the Sonne station TVG 9-1 assuming that the currents might be from that direction. These two CTD profiles also were used to characterize the sound velocity through the water column necessary for the long-baseline navigation calculations. Casts 3-7 were collected within 100 meters of the vents observed during the ROPOS dives.

In general, the surface waters were very warm (16-17 degrees) with a shallow mixed layer. For the first half of the cruise (through TFX98.09) there was a pronounced subsurface nepheloid layer at 200 m depth which we have previously attributed to a shelf-break origin. This layer appears to have elevated methane. By TFX98.12 (CTD6), the winds had come up at sea and this intermediate water feature disappeared for the balance of the stations. At several times, we positioned our casts to be "down stream" of the vents based on seafloor observations from the ROPOS pilots. At several


Figure 4. Location of hydrocast stations denoted CTD-01 to CTD-08 in Table 1.
times, the currents clearly switched from North to South (and back) suggesting fairly strong tidal components.

Samples collected from the rosette for shipboard analysis included methane; oxygen, total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and pH (Table 3). We also collected samples for He isotopes, nutrients, trace elements, salinity, carbon and oxygen isotopes, and methane oxidation rates. A detailed listing of the analysis planned (including analysts) is given in Appendix 3.

### 4.2 Total CO2 and oxygen analysis Jim McManus and Chi Meredith

4.2.1 Analysis of total dissolved $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. A coulimetric system was set up for measuring $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in seawater. The system consists of a KOH trap for CO 2 and a coulometric reaction chamber. An ascarite trap was used to remove any CO2 that might be in the nitrogen coming from the tank to the mixing chamber; and a AgNO 3 trap was used to remove any sulfides from the samples before they reach the reaction chamber. Final counts were recorded after 5 minutes. Several problems with the measurements occurred which need to be addressed before the next cruise:

1. The ascarite became moist, which changed the flow of nitrogen to the reaction chamber during the analytical runs, causing fluctuations in the counts. It was suggested that the ascarite may be unnecessary and could be removed from the system. This was not tried on this trip; however, the ascarite was changed daily.
2. The error in the standards increased over time, which indicated that the standard solutions may have been taking on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ even though care was taken to keep them sealed when not being used. New unopened standards need to be used for each run.
3. The system needs to be running all the time to provide the necessary stabilization time prior to running the samples. During this trip, the machine was turned off and the gas flow stopped after each run.

Because of these difficulties, the precision of the method was $2 \%$. Based on our experience we should be able to improve this precision by a factor of 10 . Total dissolved CO2 was measured only for CTD.

Table 3. Methane, oxygen and total dissolved $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in hydrocast stations.


Table 3 cont.

Niskin bottle Depth (m) CH4 (nl/l) O2 (ml/l) TCO2 (mM)
CTD05

CTD06

| 1 | 592 | 2536 | 0.464 | 2.30 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 592 |  | 0.482 |  |
| 3 | 582 | 2106 | 0.454 | 2.31 |
| 5 | 571 | 1185 | 0.469 | 2.27 |
| 7 | 562 | 728 | 0.503 | 2.24 |
| 9 | 552 | 697 | 0.518 | 2.33 |
| 11 | 542 | 514 | 0.542 | 2.29 |
| 13 | 532 | 517 | 0.626 | 2.31 |
| 15 | 517 | 636 | 0.644 | 2.27 |
| 17 | 502 | 403 | 0.715 | 2.29 |
| 19 | 487 | 130 | 0.834 | 2.24 |
| 21 | 472 | 179 | 0.936 | 2.26 |
| 21 | 472 |  | 0.929 |  |

CTD07

| 450 | 386 | 1.265 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 450 |  | 1.303 |
| 420 | 212 | 1.357 |
| 391 | 261 | 1.465 |
| 362 | 248 | 1.562 |
| 322 | 307 | 1.693 |
| 322 | 301 |  |
| 281 | 222 | 1.839 |
| 242 | 143 | 2.074 |
| 203 | 109 | 2.383 |
| 143 | 106 | 2.778 |
| 83 | 243 | 3.433 |
| 2 | 138 | 4.625 |
| 2 |  | 6.168 |
| 2 | 139 | 6.208 |

CTD08

| 3 | 596 | 95 | 0.538 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 596 |  | 0.551 |
| 5 | 576 | 103 | 0.529 |
| 7 | 556 | 98 | 0.555 |
| 9 | 536 | 100 | 0.571 |
| 9 | 536 | 101 |  |
| 11 | 516 | 104 | 0.568 |
| 13 | 496 | 105 | 0.654 |
| 15 | 476 | 102 |  |
| 17 | 457 | 107 | 0.973 |
| 19 | 431 | 106 | 1.113 |
| 21 | 406 | 108 | 1.266 |
| 21 | 406 | 109 | 1.274 |
| 21 | 406 |  | 1.271 |



Figure 5. Methane distribution in the water column over Hydrate Ridge. The location of the CTS is given in Table 1 and illustrated in Figure 4.


Figure 6. Total dissolved CO 2 and ddissolved oxygen in the water column over Hydrate ridge. The symbols used are the same as those in Figure 5.
stations 2 and 6. The results are listed in Table 3, and illustrated in Figure 5.
4.2.2 Oxygen measurements. Dissolved oxygen was measured using the modified Winkler technique of Carpenter (1965); which has been fully automated. The results for the hydrocast stations is given in Table 3, and illustrated in Figure 5.

### 4.3 Methane analysis Gregor Rehder and Katja Heeschen

4.3.1 Introduction. Methane concentrations in fluids resulting from dewatering of sediments on active continental margins are generally orders of magnitude larger than in seawater. The decomposition of methane hydrates, which are abundant in the research area of the TECFLUX98 expedition, also lead to enhanced CH4 concentrations in the water column. Recently, it has been argued that the destabilization of hydrates enhances fluid flow by adding fresh water from the hydrate to the fluid, increasing the buoyancy of the fluids. This process tends to augment the convergence generated overpressure and leads to local dewatering rates that are much higher than in the absence of hydrates (Suess et al., 1998)

On board analysis of methane in water samples is the fastest way to find evidence of fluid and/or gas sources on the seafloor and to trace the plume downstream. During Sonne cruise 110, enhanced $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ concentrations were traced up to a depth of 300 m , apparently due to bubble transport through the water column. Hence, two different transport ways for methane in the water column -i.e advective transport of dissolved $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ as well as upwards migration due to bubble transport- determine the distribution of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ in the research area. The ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratio of the dissolved methane may help to define its sources. Additionally, the isotopic signature of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ allows an estimate of the amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ which has been oxidized, as microbial oxidation leads to isotopic fractionation.
4.3.2 Methods. Methane was measured on board using a modification of the vacuum degassing method described by Lammers and Suess, (1994). The modification involved sampling of 400 ml of seawater using a large glass syringe and injecting into preevacuated 600 ml glass bottles. The air and water phases were equilibrated by shaking for at least 30 min . The gas phase was
subsequently recompressed to atmospheric pressure and the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ mole fraction of the extracted gas was determined from a 1 ml subsample by FID gas chromatography. The total gas content of the sample was calculated from the measured dissolved oxygen concentration and assuming that $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and Argon were $100 \%$ saturated relative to their atmospheric partial pressures (Weiss, 1970). The methane content was calculated as the product of the mole fraction in the extracted gas phase and the amount of total gas (STP) in the sample. The remaining gas was transferred into evacuated 5 ml Wheaton bottles and stored for shorebased analysis of the methanecarbon isotopic signature (CFMS).
4.3.3 Sampling. Samples for methane analysis were taken from all CTD hydrocasts at all depths. Some duplicates were sampled to check reproducibility of the measurements. For almost all of the samples, the extracted gas remaining after analysis of the $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ mole fraction was trapped and stored for isotopic analysis ashore (see sampling list). As the total gas content was comparatively low due to the very low oxygen content (see oxygen data), an underpressure remained in the vials. However, this should not affect sample quality.

### 4.3.4 Preliminary results. Except Station CTD 07,

 sampling at all hydrocasts focused on water depth greater than 300 m (Table 3). The data is illustrated in Figure 6. Station CTD 01 was intended to serve as a background station to be compared with the stations on top of the northern summit of the second ridge (Hydrate Ridge). The CH4 concentrations from the bottom up to a depth of 550 m were found to be fairly constant, showing a background concentration of $50-60 \mathrm{n} / / \mathrm{l}$. However, concentrations increased steadily to more than $350 \mathrm{n} / / \mathrm{l}$ at 200 m depth. The sample at 213 m was taken right in the middle of a nepheloid layer. The general increase of CH 4 in shallower water was also observed at hydrocast stations CTD 02, 03, and 04. The observed increase in CH4 concentrations above Hydrate Ridge might be caused by upward bubble transport of methane as suggested by Suess et al. (1998). However, the structure might be a less local phenomenon, as it was observed in at least four profiles.A profile of the water column above 450 m (CTD 07) revealed enhanced concentrations in the upper water column. CH 4 at the 200 $m$ level was 3 times lower at station CTD 07 than at station CTD 01, which seems to result from a change in the general flow pattern due
to changing wind fields, which lead to the disappearance of the nepheolid layer observed during hydrocasts CTD 1-5. The surface water concentration ( 3 m depth, CTD 07) was found to be oversaturated relative to the ambient atmospheric partial pressure by about a factor of 2 , an unexpected result, as open Pacific ocean surface waters are generally close to equilibrium with the atmosphere. Hence, the surface waters in the research area appear to be a source of atmospheric methane.

Plumes in the water column were most pronounced in the hydrocasts CTD 04 to 06, nearest to the active gas venting site found by ROPOS, where concentrations of up to $18000 \mathrm{nl} / \mathrm{I}$ were recorded. A high resolution profile of the plume was successfully sampled on CTD station CTD 06.

## 5. CHALLENGER PUMP <br> Chi Meredith

The Challenger in situ pump was deployed on 08/21/98 at 0305 at $44^{\circ} 40.21^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $125^{\circ} 05.84^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. A pinger was attached to the cable above the pump to monitor the depth. We monitored the depth at approximately 580 meters, which placed the pump approximately 20 meters off the bottom. The timer on the pump was set for two hours and triggered the pumping cycle at approximately 140 meters from the bottom.

Upon recovery, the flow meter on the pump indicated that 32 liters of seawater had passed through the filter in two hours. The condition of the filter was good, and there was a great deal of brown-colored particles spread around its surface. The filter was removed, washed with de-ionized water to remove salts, dried in a desiccator, folded and placed in a petri dish to be analyzed in the lab later.

## 6. ROPOS OPERATIONS

### 6.1 Introduction

ROPOS (Remotely Operated Platform for Ocean Sciences) is a thirty/forty horsepower electro-hydraulic remotely-operated deepsubmergence vehicle with complete sampling capabilities. It was designed and built by International Submarine Engineering. The ROPOS system consists of three major parts: deck unit, cage and ROV. The deck unit includes the winch, which hydraulics, power supply and consoles for remote operation of the vehicle. In deep water mode the vehicle is a component of a cage/vehicle system with full operational capability to 5000 m depth. In this configuration, the vehicle and cage are deployed as a unit to the dive target depth. At depth, the vehicle operates independent of the cage with 300 m of flying tether. In the deep mode ROPOS is a 30 Hp vehicle with an additional 10 hp available to cage systems. In shallow water mode, the vehicle "liveboats" or operates without the cage. In this configuration it routinely operates down to 350 m depth as a 40 Hp vehicle. For the Hydrate Ridge program, the ROV was placed in its cage for launch and recovery.

The vehicle is equipped with two video cameras, two manipulators, sonar, a variety of custom sampling tools and several digital data channels. The vehicle and cage are normally navigated with an acoustic long baseline tracking system that is calibrated with differential or p-code GPS.

To date the vehicle has over 1100 hours of operation during 320 dives in shallow and deep modes. ROPOS has worked five seasons offshore in up to 5000 metres of water.

Table 4. ROPOS specifications during Tecflux 98 program:
General
Electro-hydraulic ROV.
$30 / 40 \mathrm{hp}$ electric motor.
2 fore-aft, 2 vertical, and 2 lateral hydraulic thrusters.
Vehicle dimensions: $2.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.7 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.45 \mathrm{~m}$
3000m depth capability
Caged system w/ 300m flying tether.
30 Hp vehicle, 10 Hp cage.
3500 m electrical-optical cable mounted on a Hepburn winch

## Cameras

Vehicle: 1) Sony DXC-950 three CCD, broadcast quality color NTSC camera with $16 \times$ zoom; 2) Wide angle SIT low light NTSC camera.
Cage: One single-chip NTSC color camera.

## Manipulators

One Kodiak (Magnum) seven function arm.
One five function arm.
Either arm can be fitted with small double-acting stainless steel jaws or 'Pacman' (a clam sampler).
Both arms upgraded with hall-effect manipulator feedback sensors.
Will carry any tool with a standard $3 / 4$ inch ' $T$ ' bar handle such as a rope cutters, snap hooks and core tubes.
Manipulators are very strong, rated for 600 lb . lift at full extension.
Sonar
Mesotech 971 scanning, color imaging sonar, modified with a lower frequency and narrow-beam head for enhanced long range response.

Sampling tools
Rotating sample tray has four separate compartments that can be subdivided. Variable speed, reversible suction sampler capable of pumping 300 liters per minute gathers up to eight discreet, two liter samples. Filter sizes allow samples of bacteria through to large animals.
Hydraulically-actuated Lexan 'biobox' 80 cm (long) $\times 30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ (divisible). Laser scales permit video record of specimen size and population density. Falmouth Scientific 0-400 C temperature probe.

### 6.2 Navigation

Marta E. Torres
A long-baseline transponder net was established using the R/V BROWN P-code GPS navigation. Four transponders were deployed at the positions indicated in Table 5 and Figure 7; moored at approximately 125 m above the seafloor. Accurate sound velocities were obtained by two hydrocasts in the area. Calibration of the net, was conducted by towing an EDGETECH PS8000 deep-sea range meter. During calibration it was clear that one of the 4 transponder frequencies (ABS 1) did not respond to interrogation, and thus navigation for the ROPOS operation was conducted using only 3 transponders. During ROPOS deployment the EDGETECH PS8000 was transfered to the vehicle's cage and linked through the fiber optic cable with the onboard navigation PC and a DATASONICS transponder on the vehicle.


Figure 7. Location of the transponders used for ROPOS navigation.

Table 5. Position of transponders deployed on Hydrate Ridge

| X-ponder <br> ID | response <br> frequency <br> $(\mathrm{KHz})$ | latitude <br> 44 deg. N <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ | longitude <br> 125 deg W <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ | water <br> depth <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8.0 | 40.5559 | 5.7128 |  |
| ABS1 | 10.0 | 40.5552 | 6.8843 | 632 |
| ABS2 | 10.5 | 39.7124 | 6.6524 | 659 |
| ABS3 | 39.8785 | 5.6387 | 640 |  |
| ABS4 | 12.5 |  | 631 |  |

### 6.3 Dive summary Marta E. Torres

Detailed logs of every dive were obtained onboard and are included in Appendix 4.

### 6.3.1 Dive 454 (TFX98.05)

Target: Hydrate Ridge, north summit.
Objectives: Test manifold sampler and survey the area.
Summary: Soon after ROPOS left the cage, the hydraulic pressure to the vehicle dropped due to air trapped in the new tether. Software problems were identified in the manifold fluid sampler. ROPOS did not reach the bottom, thus no seafloor survey was possible.

Upon retrieval, we decided to replace the manifold sampler with a benthic barrel. The suction sampler and still camera were installed. There were some new problems identified with the ROPOS motor which delayed re-deployment for approximately 9 hours.

### 6.3.2 Dive 455 (TFX98.08)

Target: Hydrate Ridge, north summit.
Obiectives: Survey the area, deploy benthic barrel and collect bacterial mats and fluids with ROPOS suction sampler.

Summary: ROPOS was deployed approximately 200 meters east of presumed target, based on video tows obtained during the 1996 SONNE cruise 110-1a. The seafloor there is paved by
carbonates with extensive clam fields. It is noteworthy that there were lots of dead clams, and only few live specimens were observed. As we traveled westward, several bacterial mats were encountered. At $44^{\circ} 40.1816^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $125^{\circ} 05.8854^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ we observed intense bubbling at discrete vents within the seafloor. The benthic barrel was deployed in this area, but the gas discharge was too large for this sampler, so it was moved to a site next to the vents. During the 2-hour deployment of the barrel, we collected fluids, sediments, bacterial mats and a few clams with ROPOS suction sampler. During this period, the gas discharge was intense. We observed 4 distinct conduits within a $4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ area. After retrieval of the benthic barrel, ROPOS moved up the water column, following the bubble trace. Bubbles were observed from the seafloor ( 586 m ) up to a depth of 526 m !

### 6.3.3 Dive 456 (TFX98.11)

Target: Hydrate Ridge, north summit.
Objectives: To deploy a wide variety of benthic instruments using an elevator, and to collect gas, fluids and biological samples.

Summary: Using ROPOS elevator system, we carried to the seafloor 2 benthic barrels, 3 SIO flowmeters, 4 gas samplers, and a strobe beacon to aid in locating the sampling sites during the various trips planned to and from the elevator. The strobe was extremely useful in reducing the amount of time needed to find the sampling site; it was left as a marker on the active vent site.

One of the SIO flowmeters was lost during deployment of the elevator. However, soon after ROPOS reached the bottom, it was found, retrieved and deployed within a clam field. The remainder flowmeters were also deployed within the clam colony to obtain data on the spatial variability of this type of active site.

Next, we deployed two of the gas samplers over one of the active gas-discharge sites. In one of the deployments a small white deposit was observed within the gas sampler, which we believe was a gas-hydrate precipitate. While attempting to
collect a third sample over another bubbling site, the gas hydrate precipitation on the still camera was intense enough to block the view of the site. Unfortunately the third gas sampler was clogged by either bacterial mats which were resuspended or by sediment particles (sulfides?) and no sample was collected. Two barrels were deployed during this dive. One of them over the clam field, next to the SIO samplers and the other next to the active gas-discharge site. Bacterial samples and bottom water were also collected with ROPOS suction sampler. Before commencing the clam sampling for growth experiments, the elevator was released with the aim of recovering the gas samplers and benthic barrels well ahead of the next dive. The elevator cleared the surface successfully, with all the equipment in it. Unfortunately, both barrels and a gas sampler were lost during retrieval of the elevator. Thus, we delayed the clam sampling program to search for the lost equipment. One of the barrels was found on the seafloor after searching for about 1 hour. It was retrieved and brought back to the ship, concluding this ROPOS dive.

### 6.3.4 Dive 457 (TFX98.13)

Target: $\quad$ Hydrate Ridge, north summit.
Objectives: To look for the lost equipment and collect clams for the growth experiment.

Summary: During the search for the lost benthic barrel and gas sampler, a detailed survey of the area was also conducted. A second area of gas discharge was documented during the barrel search, this site lies in a line trending 111 degrees relative to the first gas discharge site. The area of gas discharge mapped during the SONNE cruise in 1996, also lies within this band of active ebullition; which suggests that the gas discharge is restricted to this narrow zone. This feature parallels larger mounds imaged by Seabeam as well as larger structures of the accretionary prism such as the Daisy bank. The area of intense bubbling is characterized by the presence of extensive bacterial mats. Large clam fields were observed to occur ten's of meters away from the gas seeps. A third province with carbonate blocks but no clams or bacterial mats was mapped for approximately 200 meters away from the seeps. After approximately 8 hours of search, the benthic
barrel \#2, was not found, but the gas sampler was recovered. We the abandoned the search and proceeded to collect live clams for the clam growth experiment. Clams were collected from two different fields and brought back to the surface for size determination, and tagging.

### 6.3.5 Dive 458 (TFX98.15)

Target: Hydrate Ridge, north summit.
Objectives: To implant the tagged clams in a corral for growth experiments, and to deploy two additional SIO flowmeters.

Summary: ROPOS was re-deployed within 2 hours, to maximize the chance for the clams to stay alive. A clam corral was deployed at a clam field and the tagged clams were implanted within the corral. During this dive, two SIO flowmeters were brought to the bottom and deployed next to the clam corral.

We then traveled to the active seep site, in an attempt to obtain better gas flow measurements over the seeps. When the site was reached, it was clear that the discharge rate had decreased tremendously. Of the 4 discharge conduits previously observed, only one was active and the ebullition was much reduced: clearly a time-dependent system.

The dive was then concluded, to allow time for a final dive to re-test the manifold sampler and the down-looking sonar. The navigation transponders were released and all four were recovered.

### 6.3.6 Dive 459 (TFX98.17)

Target: Hydrate Ridge, north summit.
Objectives: To test the manifold sampler and downlooking sonar.

Summary: The manifold sampler had software difficulties which were identified during dive 454. It was not clear whether the system was operational in conjunction with the

ROPOS telemetry system. The down-looking sonar (Imagenix), was supposed to be installed in ROPOS and tested prior to the cruise; however, the installation was not completed and it was unclear during the entire leg, as to how much time was required to make the system operational. It was not ready for deployment during this dive either. ROPOS was deployed but after a few minutes it lost telemetry completely and the dive had to be terminated.

### 6.4 Sampling devices

### 6.4.1 Benthic barrel from OSU group Marta Torres, Bill Rugh and Dale Hubbard

The OSU benthic barrel is a cylindrical chamber with a large opening at the bottom and a small opening at the top. The barrel is designed to sample sites that have active fluid flow, by placing the barrel over a vent site thereby channeling the effluent from the seafloor into a semiclosed environment (Figure 8). The bottom of the barrel is open and can be pushed into the sediments to assure a seal over the vent sites. The internal volume of the barrel is initially flooded with ambient seawater and is slowly replaced by venting fluids. Six Niskin water bottles ( 2 L ) are mounted vertically around a cylindrical polycarbonate frame, and they are tripped sequentially by a motor located in the center of the frame. Changes in the concentration of dissolved components in the sequentially timed water samples are then used to calculate their flux rates (Carson et al., 1990). The exhaust port at the top of the chamber carries a thermistor flowmeter which directly records the flow rate from the chamber. A description of this instrument and its operation can be found in Linke et al., 1994.

### 6.4.2 Flow meters from SIO group

Kevin Brown and Michael Tryon
The SIO flux meters were configured for this cruise with the specific purpose of measuring surface aqueous fluxes that occur at slow to intermediate rates (i.e. minimum rates of $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{y}$ and maximum rates a few $1000 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{y}$ ). These meters were deployed by ROV to examine the general nature of the heterogeneous pattern of diffuse flow around the main seep sites. The meter is illustrated in


Figure 8. Images illustrating the various tools and instruments used during TECFLUX 98. A. OSU Benthic Barrel; B. SIO Flow Meter; C. ROPOS Pac-Man claw used to collect live clams; D. Suction sampler used to collect bacterial mats, sediments and venting fluids; E. MBARI's Clam corral emplaced at the seafloor for clam growth experiments; F. USC gas sampler positioned over an active gas seep.

Figure 8 and described in Figure 9 (Brown, et al., 1995). The Brtracer dilution method has proven to be very robust in terms of the tracer's conservative nature. The use of the Br - tracer will also allow the measurement of other major dissolved chemical constituents and nutrients to be undertaken where appropriate.


Figure 9. Schematic figure showing the basic geometry of the flux meter. Within the WGF-meter a chemical tracer is injected by the osmotic pumps into the water stream as it moves through the outlet tubing. The osmotic pumps also simultaneously samples the labeled fluids on either side of the injection point by continuously drawing a portion of the labeled fluids into the sample coils. The flux rate is determined from the relative tracer concentrations in the two sample coils. Upper coil $=Y$, lower coil $=X$. Both flow into and out of the sediment can be measured

During TECFLUX 98 eight SIO Flux Meters were deployed, five on and around seep sites and three onto non-seep sites. On ROPOS dive 456 flux meters E, F, and G were deployed at a seep site located at $44^{\circ}$ 40.203 N by $125^{\circ} 05.867 \mathrm{~W}$ at approximately 0700 GMT on 8/22/98 (Figure 8). Meter E was deployed upon moderately sparse clam coverage near the edge of the site. Meter F was deployed upon dense clam coverage and white bacterial mats. Meter $G$ was equipped with gas sampling capabilities and was also situated upon dense clam coverage and white bacterial mats. On ROPOS dive 458 flux meters A and C were deployed at a small seep site located at $44^{\circ} 40.244 \mathrm{~N}$ by $125^{\circ} 05.804 \mathrm{~W}$ at 1800 GMT on $8 / 23 / 98$. Both were situated upon moderate density clam beds without apparent bacterial mats. This is also location of the clam corral reported in Section 6.8. Flux meters $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}$, and H were deployed from the surface and allowed to settle to the bottom. They were located along a 200 m transect from $44^{\circ} 34.52$ N by $125^{\circ} 08.77 \mathrm{~W}$ bearing $109^{\circ}$. This site shows a strong BSR but no apparent vent/seep sites and no carbonate pavement. Meter H is equipped for gas sampling and was deployed at the ridge top end point ( $44^{\circ} 34.52 \mathrm{~N}$ by $125^{\circ} 08.77 \mathrm{~W}$ ). Meters B and D were set up for very low flux rates and were deployed at $44^{\circ} 34.50 \mathrm{~N}$ by $125^{\circ} 08.70$ W and $44^{\circ} 34.48 \mathrm{~N}$ by $125^{\circ} 08.63 \mathrm{~W}$ respectively. The flux meters are equipped with acoustic releases and will be recovered in late September, during a Wecoma cruise to the area.

### 6.4.3 ROPOS suction samplers ROPOS Team

ROPOS is equipped with a variable speed, reversible suction sampler capable of pumping 300 liters per minute. This sampling device gathers up to eight discreet, two-liter samples. Different filter sizes can be outfitted to the sampling bottles to allow for collection of various types of fauna ranging from bacteria through to large animals. Fluid and sediment samples can also collected with this device.

### 6.4.4 Gas Samplers from USC group

Doug Hammond and Steven Colbert
For sampling the free gas at the methane seeps, a series of four samplers were designed and built by the USC group. They consist of a funnel connected through a PVC pipe to a gas-collection chamber (Figure 8). The performance of the new gas samplers was adequate. Three deployments were made. The first 2 instruments did a good job in collecting samples from a very rapidly-flowing gas seep. Based on visual estimates of the stream of bubbles and the rate at which the funnel on the bottom of the collector filled, it may have had a flow rate of 5 liters/minute (with an estimated uncertainty of a factor of 3). The third collector was deployed at another seep and clogged quickly, without obtaining a sample. During recovery, one valve on the first sample was cracked, causing the sample to become contaminated with air during the draw procedure, but analysis of sequential aliquots during the draw produced a very consistent ratio of $\mathrm{Rn} / \mathrm{CH} 4$ (Sections 6.6.1 and 6.6.3). The second sample was knocked off the elevator, sank to the sea floor, and was recovered on a subsequent dive. Despite this disturbance, the $\mathrm{Rn} / \mathrm{CH} 4$ ratio in the sample was very similar to the first sample. The basic design of the collector appears good, but the samplers and the mounting rack must be made much more durable.

### 6.5 Camera Survey

Marta Torres
A detailed survey of the seafloor revealed that the seeps line up within a narrow band trending 111 degrees (Figure 10). This feature approximately parallels larger mounds imaged by Seabeam (Figure 2) as well as strike-slip faults of the accretionary prism such as the Wecoma and Daisy Bank faults (Goldfinger et al., 1996). These
transverse strike-slip faults and associated folds cross the plate boundary and continue beneath the accretionary wedge to the continental shelf. These faults extend to the basaltic basement of the subducting Juan de Fuca plate (Goldfinger et al., 1992, Goldfinger et al., 1996). The observation that the gas seeps show the same WNW bearing as these faults suggests that the mechanisms for gas transport and discharge in Hydrate Ridge may be tied to the structural behavior of the margin.


Figure 10. Location of the active seep areas (closed squares), and clam sites (open circles) in the surveyed area (light gray box). TVG-9 denotes the location of a TV-guided grab collected during SO110; the scarp left by the grab was still clear in the seafloor. SO110-bubbles denotes the location of the active seep site imaged by the Explos towed camera1996 survey.

The sites of gas discharge along the active province are highly focused within conduits with an approximate cross-sectional area of $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ (Figures 11 A and B). Visual observations indicate that the gas discharge is highly episodic; the gas flow during the periods of intense bubbling was estimated to be in the order of 5 liters/minute. The area of active bubbling is characterized by the presence of extensive bacterial mats (Figure 11C). Large clam fields (Figure 11 E) were observed ten's of meters away from the gas seeps, and are


Figure 11. Examples of various characteristics of the three provinces identified during the camera survey. A and B illustrate the active seeps, where episodic gas discharge occurs within discreet conduits with an approximate cross sectional area of 5 cm 2 . C. Shows bacterial mats characteristic of areas of active seepeage. D and E. illustrate clam fields which occur tens of meters away from the active seeps (Figure 10), and are characterized by predominance of dead clam.s. F. shows the isolated clam field (clam 3, Fig 10) within the carbonate province(G.).
characterized by a predominance of dead clams, with small pockets of live specimens. A third province with extensive coverage of carbonate blocks (Figure 11G) but no clams or bacterial mats was mapped for approximately 200 metes away from the seeps (Figure 10). The only exception in this carbonate province is one small clam field (clam 3 in Fig 10), which, in contrast with the large fields of mostly dead clams mapped close to the gas seeps, is characterized by having a predominance of live individuals (Figure 11 F ). The characteristics of clam field 3 suggest that it is a younger field.

### 6.6 Water and gas chemistry

Samples collected with the benthic barrel and the gas samplers were analyzed on board for their methane, oxygen, radon, total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, sulfide and ammonium contents. Sub-samples from these as well as from the ROPOS suction samplers were taken for further shorebased analysis. The sample distribution is given in Appendix 5.

### 6.6.1 Methane analysis (Geomar group) Gregor Rehder and Katja Heeschen

Samples were taken from the OSU benthic barrel deployments 1 and 3 (BB1, BB3) as well as from the USC bubble gas sampler for methane analysis, as described in section 4.3.2. All of the samples were highly oversaturated at atmospheric pressure, leading to degassing out of the Niskin bottles before and during sampling. Degassing of the water collected with the benthic barrel BB1 resulted in volumes of up to 68 ml of gas (STP) out of a 400 ml water sample. Because of degassing, the gas contents derived from the barrel samples are surely lower than the in situ concentrations. The gas contains about $88 \%$ of methane; and although highest gas concentrations were detected in bottles 5 and 6 of the barrel, a time series trend can hardly be derived from the results. However, the barrel gas samples will enable the determination of the isotopic composition of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ before being introduced into the water column, where this isotopic fingerprint is subsequently altered due to oxidation.

### 6.6.2 Total dissolved $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and oxygen measurements Jim McManus and Chi Meredith

Samples were taken from the benthic barrel for measurements of total dissolved $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and oxygen, using the techniques described in
section 4.2. As with the case of methane, accurate measurements of these gasses were hindered by the active degassing of the water samples in the Niskin bottles. Bubbles formed in the sampling syringes prior $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ analysis: a $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ space of gas formed in the syringe from degassing. The samples were measured within 8 hours of sampling, and the space in the syringe was purged before loading the sample into the coulometric set-up. Results are given in Table 6.

Table 6: Concentration of total dissolved $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and oxygen in the Benthic Barrel samples, Station TFX98.08.R455.BB1

| Time since engagement <br> (minutes) | $\mathrm{TCO2}(\mathrm{mM})$ | $\mathrm{O} 2 \quad(\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{I})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 2.31 | 0.629 |
| 23 | 2.38 | 0.823 |
| 37 | 2.42 | 0.857 |
| 61 | 2.40 | 0.518 |
| 85 | 2.45 | 0.253 |
| 111 | 2.41 | 0.097 |

### 6.6.3 Radon measurements <br> Doug Hammond and Steven Colbert

The objective of this effort was to explore the use of $\mathrm{Rn}-222$ as a tracer of methane dynamics in a hydrate system. We hoped to determine the concentration of Rn in gas bubbles emanating from the sea floor, the concentration in fluids exiting the sediment, and the Rn emanation rate from solids in the vicinity of the vents. As part of our efforts, we utilized a newly designed device for sampling gases bubbling from the sea floor (Section 6.4.4). We obtained two in situ gas samples, fluids collected from the OSU benthic barrels, and some solids recovered with clam specimens (Section 6.8).

### 6.6.3.1 Barrel Samples. Results from the OSU barrels are

 listed in Table 7. The second barrel failed to function properly, tripping on deck and drawing surface water (see Section 6.6.2). These samples provide upper limits for any blank in our analytical system and demonstrate that it must be small. The first barrel indicates a consistent increase in concentration with time. Samples of the head space gas were drawn after the bottle was nearly drained and indicate that gas bubbles that formed in the samplers during recovery removed some dissolved gases from the samples. We have not yet attempted to correct our measurements for thiseffect. When these measurements are coupled with data on flow rates and barrel volume, we may be able to estimate the Rn in fluids entering the barrel. An additional complication is that the barrel also received some gas bubble streams, and this may have introduced a significant fraction of the radon increase.
6.6.3.2 Gas Seep Measurements. The results from the gas samples were quite interesting, as the $\mathrm{Rn} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ratio was very high, approximately $50 \mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{liter}(\mathrm{stp}) \mathrm{CH}_{4}$. If this ratio is used to calculate the Rn concentration in pore fluids that should be in equilibrium with this gas phase, the Rn should be about $1.5 \mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{cc}$ of pore fluid. This concentration is comparable to that in groundwaters of granitic bedrock and suggests the gas must be derived from a region with high (solid)/(gas + water). While the plumbing of the gas flow is unknown, the high flow rate of the sampled seep suggests a very short transit time from the gas source (presumably the base of the BSR at 70 mbsf ) to the sea floor. If plumbing acts as a straight pipe with cross-sectional area of $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ (a rough estimate of the orifice area emitting the sampled gas), approximately 1 hour should be required to flow 70 m at the seepage rate estimated above. We plan to obtain material from ODP to estimate Rn emanation rate from solids at the depths of the BSR zone, and with this information, we hope to estimate the solid/gas ratio in the zone producing methane. Of course, alternative interpretations may be possible, and we hope to explore these. For example, if methane is forming hydrates as it rises from the source zone and Rn is excluded from the hydrate structure, the gas could be enriched in Rn as it rises. We plan laboratory experiments to see if Rn and CH 4 are fractionated during hydrate formation.
6.6.3.3 Plans for future field work. In addition to solid phase analyses and experiments noted above, we plan to:

1. Improve the durability and ease of handling of the gas sampler.
2. Attempt to directly sample fluid flow and measure Rn concentration. This can provide information about the solid/fluid ratio and residence times of fluids in the units transmitting flow; Rn alone cannot separate the influence of these two effects. 3. Obtain additional gas samples to see if relationships exist between flow rate and Rn concentration that may permit estimates of transit times and/or variability in solid/gas ratios in seep source areas.

Table 7. Analyses from Benthic Barrel Niskins

| ID | Coll date time | RnRn sig <br> (dpm/L) | Notes |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| BB1-2 | $8 / 21 / 98$ | $6: 42$ | 7.55 | 0.55 |  |
| BB1-2head | $8 / 21 / 98$ | $6: 42$ | 0.53 | 0.32 | needs corr. for <br> matrix |
| BB1-4 | $8 / 21 / 98$ | $7: 20$ | 25.75 | 1.29 |  |
| BB1-4head | $8 / 21 / 98$ | $7: 20$ | 2.42 | 0.46 | needs corr. for <br> matrix |
| BB1-6 | $8 / 21 / 98$ | $8: 10$ | 30.30 | 1.25 |  |
| BB1-6head | $8 / 21 / 98$ | $8: 10$ | 8.80 | 0.68 | needs corr. for <br> matrix |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BB2-5 | $8 / 21 / 98$ | $17: 00$ | 0.28 | 0.71 |  |
| BB2-2 | $8 / 21 / 98$ | $17: 00$ | 0.35 | 0.35 | eff and bkg for 32 <br> BB2-6 |
| $8 / 21 / 98$ | $17: 00$ | 0.29 | 0.72 | eff for 31 |  |

Table 8. Analyses from gas samplers. All need counting gas matrix correction. Methane data courtesy of GEOMAR. Methane analyses for GS2 have not yet been run, but this sampler is assumed to have not leaked.

| ID | Coll date time | Rn <br> $(\mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{L})$ | CH 4 | $\mathrm{Rn} / \mathrm{CH} 4$ <br> $(\mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{L})$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| GS1-0 | $8 / 22 / 98$ | $3: 55$ | 43.60 | 1.57 | 0.86 | 51 |
| GS1-1 | $8 / 22 / 98$ | $3: 55$ | 36.79 | 1.41 | 0.60 | 61 |
| GS1-2 | $8 / 22 / 98$ | $3: 55$ | 18.46 | 0.90 | 0.36 | 51 |
| GS1-3 | $8 / 22 / 98$ | $3: 55$ | 8.86 | 0.69 | $(0.12)$ | $(74)$ |
| GS2-0 | $8 / 22 / 98$ | $4: 45$ | 44.11 | 2.15 | $(1.00)$ | $(44)$ |
| GS2-1 | $8 / 22 / 98$ | $4: 45$ | 45.24 | 2.12 | $(1.00)$ | $(45)$ |

Correction of BB-1 for degassing during recovery
Assume that: 1)only water was lost and this water degassed to the extent of that sampled;
2) there was no gas exchange during draw; and 3) Niskin was essentially empty when head space was sampled.
$\mathrm{V} n=$ Niskin volume
$\mathrm{Vg}=$ gas volume in Niskin when recovered
$\mathrm{C}^{\prime}=$ dissolved gas conc in sample before degassing
C = dissolved gas conc measured
$\mathrm{Cg}=$ conc of gas in gas phase after degassing but before draw Cgm = conc of gas measured in head space after Niskin is empty $B=C g / C$ at equilibrium = dimensionless Henry's Law constant

Conservation of mass says:
$C^{\prime} V n=C V n+C g V g$
$\mathrm{CgVg}=\mathrm{CgmVn}$
Then for Rn
$C^{\prime} V n=C V n+C g m V n$
$C^{\prime}=C+C g m$
Note that we can use Rn to find
$\mathrm{Vg} / \mathrm{Vn}=\mathrm{Cgm} /(\mathrm{BCn})$
For any other gas,
$C^{\prime} / C=1+B V g / V n=1+(B / B r a d o n)(R n) g m /(R n)$
These corrections for methane relative to Rn would be about (Bmethane)/(Bradon) $=10$

| Niskin | $(R n) g m /(R n) C^{\prime} / C$ for $R n$ | $C^{\prime} / C$ for methane |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0.070 | 1.070 | 1.7 |
| 4 | 0.94 | 1.094 | 1.9 |
| 6 | 0.29 | 1.290 | 3.9 |

Note that the Rn gas phase measurements have not yet been corrected for counting matrix effects.

### 6.6.4 Ammonium and sulfide analysis (OSU group) Jim McManus, Marta Torres, and Richard Kovar

The ammonium and sulfide analyses were conducted immediately after sample retrieval, using standard spectrophotometric techniques (Grasshoff, 1976). The results are given in Table 9. Although the concentration data for the other gases measured in these samples suffered from severe degassing of the water during retrieval and sampling, the sulfide and ammonium data is affected to a much lesser degree by degassing, due to their speciation in seawater. The distribution in the benthic barrels with time is illustrated in Figure 12. This distribution is consistent with a period (app. 40 minutes) during which the ammonium and sulfide released at the vents are consumed by dissolved oxygen, after which the concentrations of these reduced species are observed to increase. This pattern was first described by Suess et al. (1998) who estimated the oxygen consumption -due to oxidation of reduced species associated with venting- to be more than 4 orders of magnitude greater than that normally found at the sea floor at comparable ocean depths.

Table 9: Concentration of dissolved sulfides and ammonium in the Benthic Barrel samples, Station TFX98.08.R455.BB1

| Niskin Pos. | local time <br>  <br> $6: 19$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $6: 29$ |
| 2 | $6: 42$ |
| 3 | $6: 56$ |
| 4 | $7: 20$ |
| 5 | $7: 44$ |
| 6 | $8: 10$ |

*value from bottom water


Figure 12. Ammonium (solid squares) and sulfide (circles) concentration in bottles tripped during a 2-hour deployment of the benthic barrel in Station TFX98.08.R455.BB1.

### 6.7 Microbiology program

The main objectives of the microbiology program of Tecflux 98 were:

1) To determine the specific oxidation rates of methane near the plume origin at the seep sites, and to evaluate whether the methanotroph biomass changes down plume as the stock and specific enzyme activity increase; and
2) To study microbial ecology of the seeps, with special enphasis on the community and diversity of microbial populations in unusual habitats. These systems will be compared with hydrothermal vents and other cold-seep localities.

### 6.7.1 Methane oxidation studies

Marie de Angelis -HSU
The objective of methane oxidation experiments is to evaluate the possibility that the hydrate systems support a rich population of methanotrophs at the interface which can "seed" the methane plume. These methanotrophs may be capable of removing a significant amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ by microbial oxidation. To this efffect, a total of 60 water samples were obtained from benthic barrel and CTD water column casts for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation. Samples were subsampled into glass septum vials and sealed without a headspace and injected with seawater which had been previously equilibrated with ${ }^{14} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$. Samples were incubated at $4.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for various periods ranging from 0 to 8 hours, before being killed with 6 N NaOH . Samples were then transferred to $20-\mathrm{ml}$ poly-sealed caps for analysis onshore. Samples will be treated with $6 \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to convert all oxidized ${ }^{14} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ to ${ }^{14} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ which will be collected on $\beta$-phenethylamine soaked filters and counted on a liquid scintillation counter (LSC). All treated samples will also be filtered through $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filters and counted on the LSC to determine incorporation of oxidized ${ }^{14} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ into ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ cell carbon.

### 6.7.2 Microbial ecology program

Craig L. Moyer - WWU
Samples were taken in order to examine the molecular microbial ecology through a study of community structure and diversity. Slurp
gun samples, including microbial mats, sediments, and sea water were collected from an area approximately $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ surrounding the most active methane venting area found by ROPOS. These will be used as the basis for enrichment culture selection, lipid analysis, and subjected to nucleic acid extraction and further molecular biological study.

From ROPOS Dive \# 455, slurp gun buckets \#2 through \#6 and \#8 were used to collect microbiology samples:
(1) Five 1 ml subsamples of microbial mats were fixed in $2.5 \%$ EM grade glutaraldehyde for subsequent examination with SEM, TEM and with FISH (fluorescent in situ staining hybridization). These were then moved to 4EC for storage and transfer.
(2) Five 1 ml subsamples of microbial mats were quick frozen in liquid nitrogen using $40 \%$ glycerol as a cryopreservative to be used for subsequent enrichment culturing techniques. These were then moved to -80EC for storage and transfer.
(3) Two subsamples of microbial mats (approx. 25 cc each) were transferred to 50 ml centrifuge tubes and maintained at 4 EC also to be used for subsequent enrichment culturing techniques.
(4) Five subsamples of microbial mats (approx. 35cc each) were transferred to 50 ml centrifuge tubes and quick frozen in liquid nitrogen to be used for future nucleic acid extractions. These were then moved to -80EC for storage and transfer.
(5) Four subsamples of sediments (approx. 35cc each) were transferred to 50 ml centrifuge tubes and quick frozen in liquid nitrogen to be used for future nucleic acid extractions. These were then moved to -80EC for storage and transfer.
(6) Several scale worms (polychaetes) found in the mats were transferred to a single 50 ml centrifuge tube and quick frozen in liquid nitrogen to be used for future examinations. These were then moved to -80EC for storage and transfer.
(7) Several snails found in the mats were also transferred to a single 50 ml centrifuge tube and quick frozen in liquid nitrogen to be used for examinations. These were then moved to -80EC for storage and transfer.

From ROPOS Dive \# 456, slurp gun buckets \#2 through \#7 were used to collect microbiology samples. Due the need for expedient turn around of the sample buckets, samples were pooled prior to processing.
(1) Three subsamples of microbial mats (approx. 35cc each) were transferred to 50 ml centrifuge tubes and quick frozen in liquid nitrogen to be used for future nucleic acid extractions. These were then moved to -80EC for storage and transfer.
(2) Three subsamples of sediments (approx. 35cc each) were transferred to 50 ml centrifuge tubes and quick frozen in liquid nitrogen to be used for future nucleic acid extractions. These were then moved to -80EC for storage and transfer.
(3) Several snails found in the mats were also transferred to a single 50 ml centrifuge tube and quick frozen in liquid nitrogen to be used for examinations. These were then moved to -80EC for storage and transfer.
(4) Several scale worms (polychaetes) found in the mats were transferred to a single 15 ml centrifuge tube and were fixed in 2.5\% EM grade glutaraldehyde for subsequent examination with SEM, TEM and with FISH. These were then moved to 4EC for storage and transfer.
(5) Several snails were found in the mats were also transferred to two 15 ml centrifuge tubes and were fixed in $2.5 \%$ EM grade glutaraldehyde for subsequent examination with SEM, TEM and with FISH. These were then moved to 4EC for storage and transfer.

### 6.8 Clam growth experiments

Patrick J. Whaling - M.B.A.R.I.
At Station TFX98.08.R455, near the gas seeps, we collected 2 live clams (c. pacifica) and 2 dead clams ( 1 c. pacifica and 1 c. kilmeri) with the suction sampler. Live clams were frozen for later gonad studies. At Station TFX98.13.R47 we collected 120 live clams (c. pacifica) of which 44 were tagged and returned to the bottom into a clam corral at 599 m at clam site \#3 (Figures 8,10 and 11). 70 clams collected live were frozen for gonad and other studies; 6 clams went to Carl Katsu for High school project. A couple hundred dead clam-shells will be measured for size distribution studies. 3 polychates were preserved for $\mathrm{C}^{14 / 13}$ measurements.
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## APPENDIX 1-BROWN (RB-98-03-LHEG2A) MARINE OPERATIONS ABSTRACTS SUMMARY

| day/mo/yr | Time (GMT) | Latitude | Longitude | Operation Description or Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18/08/98 | 1730 | $48^{\circ} 24.4$ | $123^{\circ} 24.2$ | depart Victoria, Canada |
| 18/08/98 | 1919 | $48^{\circ} 22.4$ | $123^{\circ} 26.9$ | test CTD in water |
| 18/08/98 | 1942 | $48^{\circ} 22.4$ | $123^{\circ} 26.9$ | CTD on deck |
| 18/08/98 | 2330 | $48^{\circ} 22.4$ | $123^{\circ} 27.3$ | ROPOS test dive |
| 18/08/98 | 0105 | $48^{\circ} 22.3$ | $123^{\circ} 27.4$ | underway for project site |
| 19/08/98 | 2330 | $44^{\circ} 42.3$ | $125^{\circ} 01.9$ | seabeam survey |
| 20/08/98 | 0105 | $44^{\circ} 40.53$ | 125005.72 | T1 deployed |
| 20/08/98 | 0140 | $44^{\circ} 40.48$ | $125^{\circ} 06.87$ | T2 deployed |
| 20/08/98 | 0215 | $44^{\circ} 39.63$ | $125^{\circ} 06.67$ | T3 deployed |
| 20/08/98 | 0246 | $44^{\circ} 39.87$ | $125^{\circ} 05.62$ | T4 depioyed |
| 20/08/98 | 0403 | $44^{\circ} 41.99$ | $125^{\circ} 06.00$ | TFX98.01.CTD01 CTD deployed at station \#1 |
| 20/08/98 | 0456 | $44^{\circ} 42.00$ | $125^{\circ} 06.00$ | CTD @ surface |
| 20/08/98 | 0538 | $44^{\circ} 40.1$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8$ | TFX98.02.CTD02 CTD station \#2 |
| 20/08/98 | 0632 | $44^{\circ} 40.12$ | $125^{\circ} 05.82$ | CTD on deck |
| 20/08/98 | 0700 | $44^{\circ} 40.1$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8$ | Hove to for hydrophone deployment |
| 20/08/98 | 0854 | $44^{\circ} 40.1$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8$ | start tow |
| 20/08/98 | 0933 | $44^{\circ} 40.3$ | $125^{\circ} 05.6$ | passing "C4" |
| 20/08/98 | 1000 | $44^{\circ} 39.8$ | $125^{\circ} 06.1$ | passing "C3" |
| 20/08/98 | 1025 | $44^{\circ} 40.1$ | $125^{\circ} 06.7$ | passing "C2" |
| 20/08/98 | 1051 | $44^{\circ} 40.6$ | $125^{\circ} 06.1$ | passing "C1" |
| 20/08/98 | 1115 | $44^{\circ} 40.3$ | $125^{\circ} 05.5$ | end tow |
| 20/08/98 | 1500 | $44^{\circ} 33.5$ | $125^{\circ} 08.4$ | TFX98.03 seabeam survey |
| 20/08/98 | 1747 | $44^{\circ} 40.2$ | $125^{\circ} 05.9$ | on sta TFX98.04.CTD 03 CTD in the water |
| 20/08/98 | 1900 | $44^{\circ} 40.2$ | $125^{\circ} 05.7$ | setting up for ROPOS station TFX98.05.R454 |
| 20/08/98 | 2035 | $44^{\circ} 40.2$ | $125^{\circ} 05.7$ | dive suspended, recovering ROPOS for maintenance |
| 20/08/98 | 2105 | $44^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 40.2$ | $125^{\circ} 05.7$ | ROPOS on deck |
| 21/08/98 | 0115 | $44^{\circ} 40.2$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8$ | TFX98.06.CTD 4 CTD deployed |
| 21/08/98 | 0153 | $44^{\circ} 40.2$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8$ | CTD on deck |
| 21/08/98 | 0300 | $44^{\circ} 40.2$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8$ | Chailenger pump deployed TXF98.07.CPI |
| 21/08/98 | 0700 | $44^{\circ} 40.19$ | $125^{\circ} 05.72$ | on station for ROPOS dive site, awaiting deployment |
| 21/08/98 | 0935 | $44^{\circ} 40.19$ | $125^{\circ} 05.72$ | ROPOS in the water TFX $98.08 . \mathrm{R} 455$ |
| 21/08/98 | 1635 | $44^{\circ} 40.18$ | $125^{\circ} 05.87$ | ROPOS at surface |
| 21/08/98 | 1643 | $44^{\circ} 40.18$ | $125^{\circ} 05.87$ | ROPOS on deck |
| 21/08/98 | 1806 | $44^{\circ} 40.15$ | $125^{\circ} 05.92$ | CTD at surface TFX98.09 CTD5 |
| 21/08/98 | 1857 | $44^{\circ} 40.15$ | $125^{\circ} 05.92$ | CTD on deck |
| 21/08/98 | 1925 | $44^{\circ} 40.1$ | $125^{\circ} 05.9$ | enroute to seabeam survey TFX98.10.SUR02 |
| 21/08/98 | 1941 | $44^{\circ} 38.8$ | $125^{\circ} 06.0$ | on survey TFX98-10, passing "A" |
| 21/08/98 | 1955 | $44^{\circ} 37.4$ | $125^{\circ} 05.2$ | passing Pt. "B" |


| 21/08/98 | 2035 | $44^{\circ} 34.9$ | $125^{\circ} 00.0$ | passing Pt. "C" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21/08/98 | 2136 | $44^{\circ} 35.1$ | $125^{\circ} 10.6$ | passing Pt. "D" |
| 21/08/98 | 2158 | $44^{4} 3735$ | $125^{\circ} 11.4$ | passing Pt. "E" |
| 21/08/98 | 2225 | $44^{\circ} 37.5$ | $125^{\circ} 07.0$ ט | passing Pt. "F" |
| 21/08/98 | 2236 | $44^{\circ} 38.4$ | $125^{\circ} 05.9$ | passing "G" |
| 21/22/08/98 | 2330 | $44^{\circ} 40.2$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8$ | on ROPOS site - awaiting dive- station TFX98.11. R 456 |
| 21/22/08/98 | 0137 | $44^{\circ} 40.193$ | $125^{\circ} 05.888$ | elevator deployed |
| 22/08/98 | 052u | $44^{\circ} 40.208$ | $125^{\circ} 05.891$ | ROPOS on deck |
| 22/08/98 | 1100 | $44^{\circ} 40.206$ | $125^{\circ} 05.886$ | on ROPOS dive |
| 22/08/98 | 1915 | $44^{\circ} 40.189$ | $125^{\circ} 05.861$ | Pos'n move for recovery of elevator |
| 22/08/98 | 1920 | $44^{\circ} 40.188$ | $125^{\circ} 05.860$ | elevator released |
| 22/08/98 | 1933 | $44^{\circ} 40.17$ | $125^{\circ} 05.86$ | elevator sighted @ surface |
| 22/08/98 | 2000 | $44^{\circ} 40.17$ | $125^{\circ} 05.82$ | elevator aboard w/o instruments which floated loose and sank |
| 22/08/98 | 2015 | $44^{\circ} 40.16$ | $125^{\circ} 05.81$ | on station for search w/ ROPOS |
| 22/08/98 | 2124 | $44^{\circ} 40.21$ | $125^{\circ} 05.80$ | on sta for recovery of lost barrel |
| 22/08/98 | 2216 | $44^{\circ} 40.20$ | $125^{\circ} 05.82$ | ROPOS on deck |
| 22/08/98 | 2234 | $44^{\circ} 40.24$ | $125^{\circ} 05.88$ | on CTD site TFX98.12. CTD6 |
| 22/23/08/98 | 2332 | $44^{\circ} 40.24$ | $125^{\circ} 05.88$ | CTD on deck |
| 22/23/08/98 | 0000 | $44^{\circ} 40.24$ | $125^{\circ} 05.81$ | on station awaiting ROPOS |
| 22/23/08/98 | 0122 | $44^{\circ} 40.240$ | $125^{\circ} 05.804$ | ROPOS depioyed TFX98.13.R457 |
| 23/08/98 | 1315 | $44^{\circ} 40.244$ | $125^{\circ} 05.841$ | ROPOS on deck |
| 23/08/98 | 1346 | $44^{\circ} 40.238$ | $125^{\circ} 05.899$ | TFX98.14 CTD7 CTD deployed |
| 23/08/98 | 1425 | $44^{\circ} 40.16$ | $125^{\circ} 06.02$ | CTD on deck |
| 23/08/98 | 1539 | $44^{\circ} 40.25{ }^{\circ}$ | $125^{\circ} 05.800$ | on station for dive TFX98.15.R458 |
| 23/08/98 | 2145 | $44^{\circ} 40.252$ | $125^{\circ} 05.810$ | ROPOS on deck |
| 23/08/98 | 2200 | $44^{\circ} 40.251$ | $125^{\circ} 05.795$ | enroute to TFX98.16 |
| 23/08/98 | 2211 | $44^{\circ} 40.49$ | $125^{\circ} 05.90$ | TFX98.16 CTD 08 CTD in the water |
| 23/08/98 | 2252 | $44^{\circ} 40.49$ | $125^{\circ} 05.89$ | CTD at depth 613 m |
| 23/24/08/98 | 2317 | $44^{\circ} 39.81$ | $125^{\circ} 05.6$ | xducer in water |
| 23/24/08/98 | 2336 | $44^{\circ} 39.8$ | $125^{\circ} 95.6$ | xponder \#1 released- station TFX98.17 |
| 23/24/08/98 | 0027 | $44^{\circ} 39.7$ | $125^{\circ} 06.6$ | xponder \#2 released |
| 23/24/08/98 | 0145 | $44^{\circ} 40.5$ | $125^{\circ} 06.9$ | xponder \#3 released |
| 23/24/08/98 | 0347 | $44^{\circ} 40.501$ | $125^{\circ} 05.733$ | ROPOS deployed-station TFX98-18-R459 |
| 23/24/08/98 | 0414 | $44^{\circ} 41.3$ | $125^{\circ} 04.7$ | ROPOS recovered |
| 24/08/98 | 0700 | $44^{\circ} 34.49$ | $125^{\circ} 08.76$ | approaching filowmeter deployment \#1 |
| 24/08/98 | 0709 | $44^{\circ} 34.533$ | $125^{\circ} 08.775$ | Fiowmeter \#\#1 depioyed |
| 24/08/98 | 0724 | $44^{\circ} 34.513$ | $125^{\circ} 08.690$ | Fiowmeter \#2 deployed |
| 24/08/98 | 0739 | $44^{\circ} 34.488$ | $125^{\circ} 08.622$ | Fiowmeter \#3 depioyed |
| 24/08/98 | 0809 | $44^{\circ} 34.61$ | $125^{\circ} 08.38$ | enroute to 3.5 Khz survey line-TFX98.19.SUR03 |
| 24/08/98 | 0830 | $44^{\circ} 34.5$ | $125^{\circ} 06.3$ | start survey @ 2.5 kts |
| 24/08/98 | 0900 | $44^{\circ} 35.9$ | $125^{\circ} 06.3$ | continue survey @ 2.5 kts |
| 24i08/98 | 1025 | $44^{\circ} 40.1$ | $125^{\circ} 06.3$ | cíc for Newport, 1/5 |
| 24/08/98 | 1400 | $44^{\circ} 35.8$ | $124^{\circ} 10.1$ | end of cruise |

Appendix 2
Hydrographic Data


TFX9801.dat: tecflux profiles




Sea-Bird sBe 9 Raw Data file
FileName = C: \CTDdata\TFX9B04.dat
SileName version 5.0
Temperature SN $=3211$
Number of Bytes Per Scan $=30$
Number of Bytes Per Scan
Number of Volcage Words $=4$ 1998 17:46:23
System UpLoad Time Ship: R/V Brown
TFX98
Station: TFX98-04.CTD3
Latitude: 4440.15
Longitude: 12505.950416667
interval $=$ seconds: 0.0416667
start time $=$ Aug 20 1998 17:46:23
start_rime $=$ Aug 20 1998 17:46:23.
sensor $0=$ Frequency 0 cemperature, primary, 32111, 09-Jul-1998
sensor $0=$ Frequency 0 cemperature, primary, 32111, 09-Jul-1996
sensor $1=$ Frequency 1 conductivity. primary, 394,
sensor $2=$ Frequency 2 pressure, 22003, 22-Jul-1997
sensor $2=$ Frequency 2 pressure, 22003, 22-Jul-1997, 09-Jul-1998
sensor ${ }^{2}=$ Frequency 3 temperature, secondary. 646, 09-Jol-1998
sensor $4=$ Frequency 4 conductivity, secondary., measured voltage
$\begin{aligned} & \text { sensor } 5=\text { Extrnl Volt } 1 \\ & \text { sensor } 6=\text { Extrnl Volt } 3 \text { userpoly } 0\end{aligned}$
sensor $7=$ Excrni Volt 5 altimeter
sensor $8=$ Extrnl Volt 7 transmissometer, 1001d. factory
datcnv date = Aug 21 1998 04:11:06, 4.233
datcnv_in $=$ TFX9804.DAT TFX9804.CON
rossum_date =Aug 21 199日 04:35:58. 4.233

- rossum_in = TFX9804.ROS TFX9804.CON

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bottle } \\ & \text { Position } \end{aligned}$ | Date | Deps | T068 | Sal | Sigma-é00 | Potemp068 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Aug 201998 | 580.326 | 4.6422 | 34.1985 | 27.0852 | 4.5964 | vg) |
|  | 18:02:39 | 0.082 | 0.0005 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | (sdev) |
| 3 | Aug 20 1998 | 575.133 | 4.8474 | 34.1704 | 27.0402 | 4. 80218 | (avg) |
|  | 18:04:28 | 0.081 | 0.0012 | 0.0004 | 0.0003 | 0.0012 | (sdev) |
| 5 | Aug 201998 | 550.511 | 5.1056 | 34.12 BE | 26.9777 | 5.0610 | (avg) |
|  | 18:06:20 | 0.041 | 0.0008 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0006 | (sdev) |
| 7 | Aug 201998 | 525.775 | 5.1874 | 34.1040 | 26.9484 | 5.1445 | (avg) |
|  | 18:07:49 | 0.069 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 9 | Aug 201998 | 500.752 | 5.3272 | 34.0906 | 26.9212 | 5.2859 | (avg) |
|  | 18:09:37 | 0.051 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 11 | Aug 201998 | 475.981 | 5.4639 | 34.0772 | 26.8942 | 5.4243 | (avg) |
|  | 18:11:33 | 0.036 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | (sdev) |
| 13 | Aug 201998 | 451.297 | 5.6429 | 34.0692 | 26.8661 | 5.6049 | (avg) |
|  | 18:13:04 | 0.068 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 15 | Aug 201998 | 426.222 | 5.8237 | 34.0618 | 26.8380 | 5.7872 | (avg) |
|  | 18:14:43 | 0.046 | 0.0007 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | dev) |
| 17 | Aug 201998 | 401.436 | 5.9464 | 34.0602 | 26.8213 | 5.9118 | (avg) |
|  | 18:16:28 | 0.111 | 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 | (sdev) |
| 19 | Aug 201998 | 376.449 | 6.1053 | 34.0482 | 26.7916 | 6.0724 | (avg) |
|  | 18:18:11 | 0.117 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 , | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 21 | Aug 201998 | 351.839 | 6.2271 | 34.0429 | 26.7716 | 6.1961 | (avg) |
|  | 18:19:59 | 0.073 | 0.0005 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 | (sdev) |

TFX9804.dat: tecflux profiles



TFX9806.dat: tecflux profiles


- Sea-Bird SBE 9 Raw Data File:
- Software version 5.0
- Temperature SN $=32111$
Number of Bytes Per Scan $=30$
- Number of Voltage Words $=1$
- System Uphoad Time = Aug 21 1998 18:11:06
- Ship: R/V Brown
- Cruise: TFX98
- Latitude: 4440.185
Longitude: 12505.885
interval $=$ seconds: 0.0416667
start_time $=$ Aug 21 1998 18:11:06
sensor $0=$ Frequency 0 temperature, primary, 32111, 09-Ju1-1998
sensor $1=$ Frequency 1 conductivity, primary, 394. 09-Jul-1998, cpcor $=\mathbf{- 9 . 5 7 0 0 e}-0$
sensor 2 = Frequency 2 pressure. 22003, 22-Jul-1997
sensor $3=$ Frequency 3 temperature, secondary. 646, 09-Ju1-1998
sensor 4 = Frequency conductivicy, secondary, cpcor $=-9.5700 e-08$
sensor $5=$ Extrnl Volt 1 nephelometer (IFREMER), measured voltage
sensor $6=$ Extrni Volt 3 userpoly 0
sensor 8 Extrnd Volt 7 transmissometer, 1001d. faccory
datenv_date $=$ Aug 21 1998 22:01:33, 4.233
datenv_in $=$ TFX9809. DAT TFX9809.CON
rossum_dace = Aug 21 1998 22:04:01, 4.233
rossum_in = TFX9809.ROS TFX9809.CON

| Botcle | Date | Deps | T068 | Sal00 | Sigma-éoo | Potemp068 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Position | Aug 211998 | 591.618 | 4.7092 | 34.1889 | $27.0703^{\circ}$ | c. 6628 | (avg) |
|  | 18:28:03 | 0.116 | 0.0043 | 0.0011 | 0.0013 | 0.0043 | (sdev) |
| 3 | Aug 211998 | 575.808 | 4.8229 | 34. 1651 | 27.0387 | 4.7774 | (avg) |
|  | 18:30:06 | 0.041 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | - 0.0003 | (sdev) |
| 5 | Aug 211998 | 550.536 | 5.0054 | 34.1293 | 26.9895 | 4.9612 | (avg) |
|  | 18:31:54 | 0.056 | 0.0011 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0011 | (sdev) |
| 7 | Aug 211998 | 526.131 | 5.1718 | 34.1115 | 26.9561 | 5.1290 | (avg) |
|  | 18:33:35 | 0.165 | 0.0008 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0008 | (sdev) |
| 9 | Aug 211998 | 501.177 | 5.2786 | 34.0939 | 26.9295 | 5.2375 | (avg) |
|  | 18:35:09 | 0.222 | 0.0010 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | (sclev) |
| 11 | Aug 211998 | 476.612 | 5.3716 | 34.0853 | 26.9116 | 5.3322 | (avg) |
|  | 18:36:50 | 0.131 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 13 | Aug 211998 | 451.470 | 5.4964 | 34.0696 | 26.8841 | 5.4588 | (avg) |
|  | 18:38:14 | 0.141 | 0.0004 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0004 | (scev) |
| 15 | Aug 211998 | 426.228 | 5.5242 | 34.0666 | 26.8781 | 5.4886 | (avg) |
|  | + 18:39:47 | 0.101 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 17 | Aug 211998 | 401.830 | 5.7351 | 34.0507 | 26.8398 | 5.7011 | (avg) |
|  | 18:41:31 | 0.208 | 0.0008 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | (sdev) |
| 19 | Aug 211998 | 377.215 | 6.1086 | 34.0497 | 26.7923 | 6.0756 | (avg) |
|  | 18:42:57 | 0.080 | 0.0006 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | (sdev) |
| 21 | Aug 211998 | 302.375 | 6.5291 | 33.9893 | 26.6896 | 6.5019 | (avg) |
|  | 18:46:16 | 0.072 | 0.6007 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0007 | (sdev) |

TFX9809.dat: tecflux profiles

FileName - C: ICTDdatalTFX9B11 dat

- Software Version 5.0
Temperature $\mathrm{SN}=32111$
Conductivity $\mathrm{SN}=394$
- Number of Bytes Per Scan $=30$
System UpLoad Time $=$ Aug 22 1998 22:52:16
- Ship: R/V Brown
- Cruise: TFX98
station: TFX9B.11.CTD
Latitude:
t interval = seconds : 0.0416667
C start_time $=$ Aug 22 1998 22:52:16
sensor $0=$ Frequency 0 temperature. primary. 32111, 09-Jul-1998
sensor 1 * Frequency 1 conductivity, primary. 394, 09-Jul-1998, cpcor $=-9.5700 \mathrm{e}-1$
| sensor 2 = Frequency 2 pressure. 22003. 22-Jul-1997
tsensor 3 = Frequency 3 temperature. secondary, 646, 09-Jul-1998
4ensor $4=$ Frequency 4 conductivity, secondary, cpcor $=-9.5700 e-08$
sensor $5=$ Extrni Volt 1 nephelometer (IFREMER), measured voltage
aensor 6 E Extrni Volt 3 userpoly 0
sensor 7 E Extrnl Volt 5 altimeter
sensor $8=$ Extrnl Volt 7 transmissometer, 1001d. factory
datcnv_date $=$ Aug 231998 01:38:13, 4.233
datcnv_in $=$ TFX9812.DAT TFX9812.CON
rossum_date $=$ Aug 231998 01:40:18, 4.233
rossumin $e$ TFX9812.ROS TFX9B12.CON

| Bottle | - Date | Deps | T068 | Sal 100 | Sigma-é00 | Potemp068 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Position | Time |  |  |  |  | Potemo6 |
| 1 | Aug 221998 | 592.339 | 4.7118 | 34.1865 | 27.0681 | 4.6654 (avg) |
|  | 23:10:19 | 0.072 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 (sdev) |
| 3 | Aug 221998 | 581.596 | 4.7103 | 34. 1867 | 27.0684 | 4.6548 (avg) |
|  | 23:11:41 | 0.176 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 (sdev) |
| 5 | Aug 221998 | 571.397 | 4.7519 | 34.1778 | 27.0566 | - 4.7071 (avg) |
|  | 23:12:41 | 0.088 | 0.0025 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 | 0.0025 (sdev) |
| 7 | Aug 221998 | 561.570 | 4.7788 | 34.1730 | 27.0498 | 4.7346 (avg) |
|  | 23:13:57 | 0.095 | 0.0003 | 0.00022 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 (sdev |
| 9 | Aug 221998 | 551.530 | 4.8121 | 34.1650 | 27.0395 | 4.7686 (avg) |
|  | 23:14:57 | 0.082 | 0.0045 | 0.0014 | -0.0015 | 0.0044 (sdev) |
| 11 | Aug 22 1998 | 541.933 | 4.8740 | 34.1576 | 27.0267 | 4.8311 (avg) |
|  | 23:15:56 | 0.137 | 0.0005 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 (sdev) |
| 13 | Aug 221998 | 532.308 | 4.9780 | 34.1432 | 27.0034 | 4.9354 (avg) |
|  | 23:16:57 | 0.089 | 0.6004 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0004 (sdev) |
| 15 | Aug 221998 | 517.075 | 5.0248 | 34.1310 | 26.9883 | 4.9833 (avg) |
|  | 23:18:14 | 0.174 | 0.0009 | 0.0007 | 0.0007 | 0.0009 (sdev) |
| 17 | Aug 221998 | 501.944 | 5.0977 | 34.1190 | 26.9704 | 5.0572 (avg) |
|  | 23:19:25 | 0.181 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 (sdev) |
| 19 | Aug 221998 | 487.104 | 5.2177 | 34.0926 | 26.9354 | 5.1780 (avg) |
|  | 23: $20: 38$ | 0.442 | 0.0025 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.0025 (sdev) |
| 21 | Aug 221998 | 472.048 | 5.3205 | 34.0800 | 26.9133 | 5.2817 (avg) |
|  | 23:22:15 | 0.087 | 0. 0005 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0005 (sdev) |

TFX9812.dat: tecflux profiles



Sea-Bird SBE 9 Raw Data file:

- FileName = C: ICTDdata ${ }^{\text {ITPX9814. dat }}$
- Software Version 5.0
- Temperature SN = 32111
- Conductivity SN = 394
- Number of Bytes Per Scan $=30$

Wumber of Voltage Words $=4$

- System UpLoad Time $=$ Aug 23 1998 13:46:20
- Ship: R/V Brown
- Cruise: TFX9日
* Station: TFX99.14.CTD7
- Latitude: 1440.23
- note that the SCS data file is tagged as CTD 6 but CTD 6 was not recorded.
- THis site is on top of the previous - just north of the bubble field ("meth")
interval $=$ seconds: 0.0416667
start_rime = Aug 23 1998 13:46:20
sensor $0=$ Frequency 0 temperature, primary, 32111. 09-Jul-1998
${ }_{08}$
sensor $2=$ Frequency 2 pressure. 22003. 22-Jul-1997
sensor 3 = Frequency 3 temperature, secondary. 646, 09-Jul-1998
1 sensor $4=$ Frequency 4 conductivity, secondary, cpcor $=-9,5700 e-00$
sensor $5=$ Extrnl Volt 1 nephelometer (IFREMER), measured voltage
sensor $6=$ Extral Volt 3 userpoly 0
sensor $7=$ Extmi Volt 5 altimeter
sensor $\quad=$ Extrnl Volt 7 transmissometer, loold, factory
datcnv_date $=$ Aug 23 1998 16:36:21, 4.233
datcnv_in $=$ TFX9814.DAT TFX9814.CON
rossum_date $=$ Aug 23 1998 17:29:38, 4.233
rossum_in $=$ TFX9814.ROS TFX9日14.cON

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Botrle } \\ \text { Position } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | DateTime | Deps | T068 | Sal00 | Sigma-e00 | Potemp068 | (avg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug 231998 | 450.325 | 5.8090 | 34.0647 | 26.8424 | 5.7704 |  |
| 3 | 14:02:38 | 0.118 | 0.0005 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | (sdev) |
|  | Aug 23 1998 | 420.066 | 5.9221 | 34.0465 | 26.8136 | 5.8859 | (avg) |
|  | 14:03:50 | 0.054 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 5 | Aug 231998 | 391.331 | 6.1544 | 34.0483 | 26.7856 | 6.1200 | (avg) |
|  | 14:05:12 | 0.094 | 0.0022 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0022 | (sdev) |
| 7 | Aug 231998 | 361.667 | 6.2718 | 34.0439 | 26.7668 | 6.2397 | (avg) |
|  | 14:06:52 | 0.282 | 0.0039 | 0.0003 | 0.0007 | 0.0039 | (sdev) |
| 9 | Aug 231998 | 321.988 | 6.4280 | 34.0341 | 26.7384 | 6.3992 | (avg) |
|  | 14:08:21 | 0.229 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 11 | Aug 231998 | 281.180 | 6.7564 | 34.0264 | 26.6886 | 6.7306 | (avg) |
|  | 14:09:57 | 0.094 | 0.0004 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | . 0.0004 | (sdev) |
| 13 | Aug 231998 | 242.226 | 7.0475 | 34.0036 | 26.6307 | 7.0249 | (avg) |
|  | 14:11:49 | 0.071 | 0.0031 | 0.0004 | 0. 0004 | 0.0031 | (sdev) |
| 15 | Aug 23 1998 | 203.005 | 7.2687 | 33.9693 | 26.5726 | 7.2494 | (avg) |
|  | 14:14:01 | 0.185 | 0.0011 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0011 | (sdev) |
| 17 | Aug 231998 | 142.824 | 7.9737 | 33.8 .588 | 26.3838 | 7.9594 | (avg) |
|  | 14:16:20 | 0.084 | 0.0018 | 0.0007 | 0.0006 | 0.0018 | (sdev) |
| 19 | Aug 231998 | 83.495 | 8.7814 | 33.4614 | 25.9493 | 0.7726 | (avg) |
|  | 14:18:27 | 0.083 | 0.0006 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0. 0006 | (sdev) |
| 21 | Aug 231998 | 2.461 | 16.3881 | 31.2506 | 22.7797 | 16.3677 | (avg) |
|  | 14:22:05 | 0.094 | 0. 0048 | 0.0005 | 0.0014 | 0. 0048 | (sciev) |

Tfx9814. dat: tecflux profiles

(altFX9816.da
Software Version 5.0
Teraperature SN = 3211
Conductivity SN = 394
Number of bytes Per Scan $=30$
Number of Voltage Words $=4$
System UpLoad Time $=$ Aug 23 1998 22:12:23
Ship: R/V Brown
Station: TFX98.16.CTD
Latitude: 4440.38
interval $=$ seconds: 0.041666
start_time = Aug 23 1998 22:12:23
1 sensor $0=$ Frequency 0 temperature, primary. 32111, 09-Jul-1998
sensor $1=$ Frequency 1 conductivity. primary, 394, 09-Jul-1998. cpcor $=-9.5700 \mathrm{e}-0$
sensor 2 = Frequency 2 pressure, 22003, 22-Jul-1997
sensor $3=$ Frequency 3 temperature, secondary. 646, 09-Jul-1998
sensor $4=$ Frequency 4 conductivity, secondary, cpcor $=-9.5700 e-08$
sensor $5=$ Extrnl Volt 1 nephelometer (IFREMER), measured voltage
sensor $6=$ Extrnl Volt 3 userpoly 0
sensor $7=$ Extrnl Volt 5 altimeter
sensor $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{E}}=$ Extrnl Volt 7 transmissometer, 1001d, factory
datcnv_date $=$ Aug $23199822: 55: 54$, 4.233
datenv_in = TFX9816.DAT TFX9816.CON
rossum_date $=$ Aug 231998 22:58:44, 4.233
1 rossum_in $=$ TFX9816.ROS TFX9日16.CON

| Bottle | Date | Deps | T068 | Sal00 | Sigma-e00 | Potemp068 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| position | Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Aug 231998 | 611.327 | 4.7732 | 34.1755 | 27.0528 | 4.7249 | (avg) |
|  | 22:30:28 | 0.051 | 0.0079 | 0.0028 | 0.0031 | 0.0078 | (sdev) |
| 3 | Aug 231998 | 595.922 | 4.8689 | 34.1573 | 27.0275 | 4.8215 | (avg) |
|  | 22:31:54 | 0.075 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 5 | Aug 231998 | 576.150 | 4.8615 | 34.1580 | 27.0287 | 4.8157 | (avg) |
|  | 22:33:16 | 0.223 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 7 | Aug 231998 | 555.766 | 4.8742 | 34.2559 | 27.0254 | 4.8301 | (avg) |
|  | 22:34:26 | 0.048 | 0.0009 | 0.00033 | 0.0003 | 0.0009 | (sdev |
| 9 | Aug 231998 | 535.909 | 4.8852 | 34.2549 | 27.0232 | 4.8427 | (avg) |
|  | 22:36:01 | 0.108 | 0.0020 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0020 | (sdev) |
| 11 | Aug 231998 | 516.374 | 4.9196 | 34.1506 | 27.0158 | 4.8786 | (avg) |
|  | 22:37:24 | 0. 183 | 0.0040 | 0.0011 | 0.0012 | 0.0040 | (sdev) |
| 13 | Aug 231998 | 496.304 | 5.0750 | 34.1245 | 26.9772 | 5.0351 | (avg) |
|  | 22:38:30 | 0.049 | 0.0013 | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | 0.0013 | (sdev) |
| 15 | Aug 231998 | 476.291 | 5.1675 | 34.1043 | 26.9504 | 5.1290 | (avg) |
|  | 22:39:32 | 0.109 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 17 | Aug 231998 | 456.521 | 5.4074 | 34.0780 | 26.9014 | 5.3697 | (avg) |
|  | 22:40:40 | 0.073 | 0.0040 | 0.0012 | 0.0014 | 0.0040 | (sdev) |
| 19 | Aug 231998 | 431.121 | 5.5871 | 34.0633 | 26.8680 | 5.5510 | (avg) |
|  | 22:42:04 | 0.085 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | (sdev) |
| 21 | Aug 231998 | 406.274 | 5.8638 | 34.0525 | 26.8254 | 5.8290 | (avg) |
|  | 22:43:35 | 0.151 | 0.0024 | 0.0009 | 0.0007 | 0.0024 | (sdev) |

TFX9816.dat: tecflux profiles


Appendix 3
Sample Listings for Hydrographic Stations

Appendix 3. Sample list for hydrographic stations
Ronald H. Brown TECFLUX98 Benthic Program . August 18-24, 1998

| STATION | LOCATION | DATE | TIME | DEPTH <br> (meters) | INSTIT. | INVESTIGATOR | QUANTITY | ANALYSIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEX98.01.CTD. 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\circ} 42.10 \mathrm{~N} \\ & 125^{\circ} 06.0 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | 18/08/98 | 04:56 | 854 | GEOMAR | Rehder | $11 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | McManus | $12 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Collier | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL} \\ & 2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL} \end{aligned}$ | Trace Elements Salts |
|  |  |  |  |  | HSU | deAngelis | $1 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation |
| TFX98.02.CTD. 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\circ} 40.1 \mathrm{~N} \\ & 125^{\circ} 05.8 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | 18/08/98 | 05:49 | 599 | GEOMAR | Rehder | $11 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GEOMAR } \\ & \text { OSU } \end{aligned}$ | Winkler McManus | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \\ & 11 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{He} \\ & \mathrm{O}_{2} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 11 \times & 20 \mathrm{~mL} \\ 22 \times & 20 \mathrm{~mL} \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { Total CO }}{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Collier | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL} \\ & 2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL} \end{aligned}$ | Trace Elements Salts |
| TEX98.04.CTD. 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\circ} 40.10 \mathrm{~N} \\ & 125^{\circ} 05.9 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | 19/08/98 | 18:28 | 600 | GEOMAR | Rehder | $12 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | McManus | $15 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $10 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | HSU | deAngelis | $10 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation |
| TEX98.06.CTD. 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\circ} 40.20 \mathrm{~N} \\ & 125^{\circ} 05.83 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | 20/08/98 | 01:53 | 610 | GEOMAR | Rehder | $12 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | McManus | $15 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $12 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $12 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | HSU | deAngelis | $11 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation |


| STATION | LOCATION | DATE | TIME | DEPTH <br> (meters) | INSTIT. | INVESTIGATOR | QUANTITY | ANALYSIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TEX98.09.CTD. 5 | $44^{\circ} 40.20 \mathrm{~N}$ | 20/08/98 | 18:10 | 603 | GEOMAR | Rehder | $11 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
|  | $125^{\circ} 05.89 \mathrm{~W}$ |  |  |  | OSU | McManus | $14 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 18 O |
|  |  |  |  |  | HSU | deAngelis | $11 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Collier | $11 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ | Trace Elements |
| TFX98.12.CTD. 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\circ} 40.24 \mathrm{~N} \\ & 125^{\circ} 05.86 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | 22/08/98 | 23:25 | 608 | GEOMAR | Rehder | $11 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GEOMAR } \\ & \text { OSI } \end{aligned}$ | Winkler <br> McManus | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \\ & 13 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{He} \\ \mathrm{O}_{2} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | Total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $11 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ | pH |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 18 O |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | HSU | deAngelis | $11 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Collier | $11 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ | Trace Elements |
| TEX98.14.CTD. 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\circ} 40.19 \mathrm{~N} \\ & 125^{\circ} 05.91 \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ | 23/08/98 | 14:00 | 606 | GEOMAR | Rehder | $13 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | McManus | $14 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $11 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 180 |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Collier | $\begin{aligned} & 08 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL} \\ & 07 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL} \end{aligned}$ | Trace Elements Salinity |
| TEX98.16.CTD. 8 | $44^{\circ} 40.49 \mathrm{~N}$ | 24/08/98 | 02:10 | 626 | GEOMAR | Rehder | $13 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ |
|  | $125^{\circ} 05.49 \mathrm{~W}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GEOMAR } \\ & \text { OSU } \end{aligned}$ | Winkler <br> McManus | $\begin{aligned} & 03 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \\ & 14 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{He} \\ \mathrm{O}_{2} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | OSU | Torres | $11 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 18 O |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSU } \\ & \text { HSU } \end{aligned}$ | McManus deAngelis | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL} \\ & 31 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{pH}}{\mathrm{CH}} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation |


| TFX98.05.R454 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME (GMT) | $44^{\circ} \mathbf{4 0 . X X}$ | $125^{\circ} 05 . \mathrm{XXX}$ | Depth | Comments |  |
| 8/20/98 19:39 |  |  |  | Ropos in the water |  |
| 19:53 |  |  |  | manifold pumping |  |
| 19:56 |  |  |  | going down |  |
| 20:07 |  |  |  | manifold spling |  |
| 20:12 |  |  | 400 | man. spling |  |
| 20:17 |  |  | 500 | man. spling |  |
| 20:21 | 44*40 | 125*05 | 569 | stop |  |
| 20:25 |  |  |  | power to man off |  |
| 20:25 |  |  |  | back on |  |
| 20:34 |  |  |  | Ropos back in cage |  |
| 20:35 |  |  |  | Coming up - oil leak on R |  |
| 20:44 |  |  |  | Lost telemetry |  |
| 20:45 |  |  |  | Back on |  |
| 20:47 |  |  |  | Picture grab - reflector |  |
| 20:59 |  |  |  | Cameras off |  |
| 21:04 |  |  |  | Surface |  |
| 21:05 | ****** | ****** | ***** | On Deck-oil change |  |


| TFX98.08.R455 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME (GMT) | $44^{\circ} 40 . \mathrm{XXX}$ | $125^{\circ} 05 . \mathrm{XXX}$ | Depth | Comments |  |  |  |
| 8/21/98 9:36 |  |  |  | ROPOS in water |  |  |  |
| 9:37 |  |  |  | all stop |  |  |  |
| 9:37 |  |  |  | going down |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | bottles 6 \& 7 got surface seawater in them |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  | one of ropes securing barrel broke; all stop |  |  |  |
| 10:03 |  |  |  | other rope securing barrel worn through; holding onto bridle |  |  |  |
| 10:05 |  |  |  | imanuvering to grab barrel and secure |  |  |  |
| 10:07 |  |  |  | grabbed another rope to secure barrel; starting down again |  |  |  |
| 10:07 |  |  |  | stop |  |  |  |
| 10:11 |  |  |  | ROPOS out of cage;continuing down |  |  |  |
| 10:21 |  |  |  | cage stopped at 570 m ;ROPOS heading down |  |  |  |
| 10:23 |  |  |  | bottom sighted;camera color adjustment |  |  |  |
| 10:28 |  |  |  | heading west |  |  |  |
| 10:33 |  |  |  | setting down to reposition (regrip) barrel |  |  |  |
| 10:39 |  |  |  | skirt observed to be pulling away when barrel held by clamp |  |  |  |
| 10:43 |  |  |  | recommencing search westward |  |  |  |
| 10:51 |  |  |  | moving ship 150 meters to the wset |  |  |  |
| 10:56 |  |  |  | bacterial mats; image grabbed |  |  |  |
| 11:01 |  |  |  | archive 2, tape 2 in |  |  |  |
| 11:04 |  |  |  | Moving ship to place stern over site |  |  |  |
| 11:05 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B1 |  |  |  |
| 11:07 |  |  |  | Craft nav restarted |  |  |  |
| 11:10 |  |  |  | Frame Grabbed B2 |  |  |  |
| 11:11 |  |  |  | Frame Grabbed B3 |  |  |  |
| 11:11 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B4 |  |  |  |
| 11:12 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B5 Anenomie, shells |  |  |  |
| 11:14 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B6 distr. clam shells |  |  |  |
| 11:14 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B7 distr. clam shells |  |  |  |
| 11:17 |  |  |  | cage is 60 m N of ROV |  |  |  |
| 11:18 |  |  |  | \|Frame grabbed B8 clams sighted |  |  |  |
| 11:18 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B9 |  |  |  |
| 11:19 |  |  |  | \|Frame grabbed B10 |  |  |  |
| 11:21 |  |  |  | Starting VHS highlight tape |  |  |  |
| 11:29 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B12 |  |  |  |
| 11:30 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B13 |  |  |  |
| 11:30 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B14 |  |  |  |
| 11:31 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B15 |  |  |  |
| 11:34 |  |  |  | SDS failure no com from Methane probe |  |  |  |
| 11:38 |  |  |  | highlight video off |  |  |  |
| 11:42 |  |  |  | highlight video on |  |  |  |
| 11:44 |  |  |  | highlight video off |  |  |  |
| 11:45 |  |  |  | returning to cagel |  |  |  |
| 11:48 |  |  |  | ready to move 50 m West |  |  |  |
| 11:49 |  |  |  | repeated ground faults on ROV |  |  |  |
| 11:49 |  |  |  | nav indicated that it has been using local time |  |  |  |
| 11:50 |  |  |  | ROV sighted by cage camera |  |  |  |
| 11:53 |  | . |  | moving ship 50 m West |  |  |  |
| 12:01 |  |  |  | ROV returned to floor |  |  |  |
| 12:01 |  |  |  | heading West |  |  |  |
| 12:03 |  |  |  | sun star and crab sighted |  |  |  |
| 12:04 |  |  |  | bacterial mat sighted |  |  |  |
| 12:05 |  |  |  | highlight video on |  |  |  |
| 12:05 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B16 |  |  |  |
| 12:06 |  |  |  | excellent bacterial mat sighted |  |  |  |
| 12:06 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B17 |  |  |  |
| 12:07 |  |  |  | Beta tape on |  |  |  |


| 12:08 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B18 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:08 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B19 |  |  |
| 12:08 |  |  |  | seeking reference location from | age with sonar |  |
| 12:10 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B20 |  |  |
| 12:10 |  |  |  | ROV 50m West of Cage 44.40.1 | 174 125.05.867 |  |
| 12:12 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B21 |  |  |
| 12:12 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B22 |  |  |
| 12:12 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B23 |  |  |
| 12:13 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B24 |  |  |
| 12:15 |  |  |  | Beta video off |  |  |
| 12:17 |  |  |  | Beta video on |  |  |
| 12:18 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B25 |  |  |
| 12:19 |  |  |  | extensive clam fields with localized | d mats |  |
| 12:20 |  |  |  | Beta video off, then on |  |  |
| 12:21 |  |  |  | Beta video off |  |  |
| 12:22 |  |  |  | Highlight video off |  |  |
| 12:28 |  |  |  | Changing Archive tape 1 |  |  |
| 12:32 |  |  |  | Changed Archive tape 3 |  |  |
| 12:33 |  |  |  | Highlight and Beta Video on |  |  |
| 12:34 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B26 |  |  |
| 12:34 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B27 |  |  |
| 12:34 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B28 |  |  |
| 12:34 |  |  |  | Archive 6 changed |  |  |
| 12:35 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B29,B30 |  |  |
| 12:35 |  |  |  | Noted excellent vents |  |  |
| 12:35 |  |  |  | Frame grabbed B31 |  |  |
| 12:36 |  |  |  | three bubble holes located |  |  |
| 12:40 |  |  |  | setting Benthos Barrel |  |  |
| 12:41 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B32,B33 |  |  |
| 12:42 |  |  |  | trame grabbed B34 pic of barrel o | ver plume |  |
| 12:42 |  |  |  | still photo taken S2 |  |  |
| 12:45 |  |  |  | intense bacterial mats field of dead | clams |  |
| 12:46 |  |  |  | Beta Cam off |  |  |
| 12:48 |  |  |  | barrel skirt fell off |  |  |
| 12:53 |  |  |  | gas discharge out of barrel seam, | flow too great for | niskin sple |
| 12:54 |  |  |  | frame grab B35 leak of gas from top | op of barrel |  |
| 12:55 |  |  |  | tape archive 2 changed |  |  |
| 12:55 |  |  |  | tape 3 in |  |  |
| 12:55 |  |  |  | attempting to aright barrel for pla | cement |  |
| 13:04 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B36 |  |  |
| 13:04 |  |  |  | Beta video on |  |  |
| 13:05 |  |  |  | frame grabbed B37 |  |  |
| 13:06 |  |  |  | barrel placed |  |  |
| 13:07 |  |  |  | frame grab B38 barrel bottom inte | race |  |
| 13:07 |  |  |  | Beta video off |  |  |
| 13:10 |  |  |  | frame grab B39 |  |  |
| 13:19 |  |  |  | barrel sampler engaged |  |  |
| 13:22 |  |  |  | highlight video off (SVHS) |  |  |
| 13:23 |  |  |  | frame grab B40 |  |  |
| 13:25 |  |  |  | highlight video off |  |  |
| 13:26 |  |  |  | ROV 40 m West of Cage -- ROV | 44.40.171 125. | 5.856 |
| 13:27 |  |  |  | depth 584m |  |  |
| 13:34 |  |  |  | frame grab 40 and 41 |  |  |
| 13:34 |  |  |  | frame grab42 |  |  |
| 13:36 |  |  |  | frame grab 43 |  |  |
| 13:39 |  |  |  | highlight video on |  |  |
| 13:40 |  |  |  | highlight video off |  |  |
| 13:41 |  |  |  | frame grab 44 and 45-algal mats |  |  |


| 13:41 |  |  | highlight video on |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:47 |  |  | frame grab 46 | and 47-algal mats |  |  |
| 13:48 |  |  | Algal sample collected bottle 6 (orange algae) |  |  |  |
| 13:48 |  |  | frame grab 48 |  |  |  |
| 13:52 |  | , | frame grab 49 |  |  |  |
| 13:55 |  |  | Algal sample collected-Bottle 5 |  |  |  |
| 13:55 |  |  | highlight video off |  |  |  |
| 13:55 |  |  | frame grab 49 |  |  |  |
| 14:02 |  |  | Algal sample collected-bottle 4 |  |  |  |
| 14:14 |  |  | Algal sample collected-bottle 3 |  |  |  |
| 14:23 |  |  | little animals in bottle 3 |  |  |  |
| 14:25 |  |  | Algal sample collected-bottle 2 |  |  |  |
| 14:25 |  |  | change archive 6-tape 3 |  |  |  |
| 14:27 |  |  | frame grab 50-neck of clam? |  |  |  |
| 14:28 |  |  | frame grab51 |  |  |  |
| 14:33 |  |  | Algal sample collected-bottle 1;dirrectly over bubbles |  |  |  |
| 14:40 |  |  | frame grab 51-scenic of barrel, clams |  |  |  |
| 14:41 |  |  | highlight video on |  |  |  |
| 14:41 |  |  | frame grab 52 |  |  |  |
| 14:42 |  |  | frame grab 53 |  |  |  |
| 14:56 |  |  | highlight video off |  |  |  |
| 15:02 |  |  | changing tape (to 4) on Arca 2 |  |  |  |
| 15:04 |  |  | filled large sample chamber with water and bacterial |  |  |  |
| 15:10 |  |  | grabed a clam |  |  |  |
| 15:11 |  |  | frame grab 54 |  |  |  |
| 15:11 |  |  | frame grab 55 |  |  |  |
| 15:25 |  |  | frame grab 56, looking west carbonate blocks plus clams |  |  |  |
| 15:26 |  |  | frame grab 57, looking west carbonate blocks plus clams |  |  |  |
| 15:27 |  |  | three still photos looking east |  |  |  |
| 15:27 |  |  | frame grab 58, looking east |  |  |  |
| 15:28 |  |  | frame grab 59, looking south |  |  |  |
| 15:28 |  |  | frame grab 56, looking south |  |  |  |
| 15:29 |  |  | logging position |  |  |  |
|  | $44^{\circ} 40.1847$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8848$ | methane seepsite position |  |  |  |
| 15:49 |  |  | grab the barrel |  |  |  |
| 15:53 |  |  | ROPOS leaves the bottom following |  |  |  |
| 15:53 |  |  | still having bubbles, 578 m |  |  |  |
| 15:55 |  |  | still having bubbles, 564 m |  |  |  |
| 15:56 |  |  | still having bubbles, 546 m |  |  |  |
| 15:58 |  |  | still having bubbles, 537 m |  |  |  |
| 15:59. |  |  | still having bubbles, 526 m |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  | no bubbles anymore, 525 m |  |  |  |
| 16:29 |  |  | ROPOS back in garage |  |  |  |
| 16:30 |  |  | Arca 3 video (tape 4) stoped |  |  |  |
| 16:38 |  |  | ROPOS hits the surface, all videos off |  |  |  |


| TFX98.11.R456 |  | $125^{\circ} 05 . \mathrm{XXX}$ | Depth | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME (GMT) | 44* $40 . \mathrm{XXX}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $44^{\circ} 40.1847$ | $125^{\circ} 05.8848$ |  | seep site |  |
| 8/22/98 1:42 | 1596 | 9060 | a | ropos in, 1 SIO meter escaped, archive tapes 1,2,4,6 on |  |
| 2:43 |  |  | 541 | ROPOS out of cage |  |
| 2:46 | 1796 | 8455 |  | elevator spotted, everything still there |  |
| 2:52 |  |  |  | 5 grabs of elevator |  |
| 3:12 | 1841 | 8869 | 590 | elevator location |  |
| 3:37 |  |  |  | current is $.5(\mathrm{~N})$ |  |
| 3:41 |  |  |  | found flow meter that fell off |  |
| 3:49 |  |  |  | SQUID |  |
| 3:50 |  |  |  | tapes changed |  |
| 3:55 |  |  |  | 589 onthe bottom |  |
| 3:57 | 2029 | 9025 |  |  |  |
| 4:04 |  |  |  | grab 9 |  |
| 4:06 |  |  |  | still of elevater |  |
| 4:07 |  |  |  | teapot out ele. |  |
| 4:09 |  |  |  | grab9,still |  |
| 4:10 |  |  |  | grab10,11 |  |
| 4:11 | 2027 | 8691 | 592 | grab11-15;still clam patch approx 4 m wide |  |
|  |  |  |  | with scattered clams up to 10 m away. Several live clams |  |
| 4:16 |  |  |  | yellow line to elevator in view |  |
| 4:18 |  |  | 591 | grab 16; temporarily place beacon; pick up flow meter |  |
| 4:31 |  |  |  | elevator line caught in thrusters; coming back up; |  |
|  |  |  |  | shutting down video; returning to cage |  |
| 4:39 |  |  |  | ropos in cage; going up |  |
| 5:58 |  |  |  | ropos repaired, back in the water |  |
| * note |  |  |  | substation site 1 is called clam 1 by Ropos team |  |
| 7:05 |  |  | 592 | SIO flowmeter "F" sited among clams |  |
|  |  |  |  | Tapes, highlight tapes on during deployment |  |
|  |  |  |  | grabs 1-29 of the area, installation of flowmeter |  |
| 7:06 | 2031 | 8668 | 592 | site 1 location |  |
| 7:14 |  |  |  | SVHS highlites tape off |  |
| 7:21 |  |  |  | grap 30 and 31 of elevator |  |
| 7:16 |  |  |  | grab 32 and still photo of elevator |  |
| 7:23 |  |  |  | grabs 33-36 of reach for flow meter |  |
| 7:24 |  |  |  | grab 37-39 of grasp |  |
| 7:26 |  |  |  | flow meter E in hand (not gas sampler) |  |
| 7:30 |  |  |  | change archive tape 1 |  |
| 7:31 |  |  |  | view of meter $F$ in operation |  |
| 7:37 |  |  |  | Deposit meter E near F while umbilical corrd is cleared |  |
|  |  |  |  | About here highlite tapes were restarted |  |
| 7:38 |  |  |  | Change tapes, archives 2,3, and 6 |  |
| 7:40 |  |  |  | grab 41 of bottom, still photo also |  |
| 7:41 |  |  |  | grab 42, F deployed and E on arm |  |
| 7:42 |  |  |  | Try deployment of E, still photo |  |
| 7:44 |  |  |  | $E$ is now deploued, work on arrangement |  |
| 7:47 |  |  |  | grab 43 of E being set |  |
| 7:48 |  |  |  | grab 44, still photo of E |  |
| 7:49 |  |  |  | Stop 2 highlite tapes |  |
| 7:54 |  |  |  | back at cage |  |
| 8:00 |  |  |  | searching for a lelevator |  |
| 8:03 |  |  |  | llarge crab |  |
| 8:06 |  |  |  | spot elevator |  |
| 8:14 |  |  |  | Seep meter G in grasp |  |
| 8:20 |  |  |  | sponge in view, sandstorm swirling |  |
| 8:22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:28 |  |  |  | storm clears and we are caught next to elevator anchor |  |






| 18:32 |  |  |  | sight elevator |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:35 |  |  |  | barrel placed in elevator |  |
| 18:38 |  |  |  | retrieved radon sampler but dropped it when putting it |  |
|  |  |  |  | into the elevator |  |
| 18:40 |  |  |  | retrieved radon sampler 3 sucessfully |  |
| 18:46 |  |  |  | preparing to retrieve elevator |  |
| 19:11 |  |  |  | ship moved 30 meters to make room for elevator to surface. |  |
| 19:19 |  |  |  | Ropos releases elevator. |  |
| 19:20 |  |  |  | Elavator in ascent. Should surface off port bow of Brown. |  |
| 19:23 |  |  |  | Brown moves another10 meters east, to stay clear of elevat |  |
| 19:27 |  |  |  | Archives 2 and 6 changed to tape 9; tape 8 finished. |  |
| 19:31 |  |  |  | archive 1: tape 7 taken out and tape 8 put in. |  |
| 19:33 |  |  |  | elevator on surface. Sighted by Brown 20 meters off port sid |  |
|  |  |  |  | Recovery operation commences. |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | Elevator recovered, but both barrels fell out when |  |
|  |  |  |  | RIB tried to get the elevator on board. |  |
| 20:14 |  |  |  | archive 3: tape 9 removed |  |
| 20:19 | 44.44.1623 | 125.80 .8 | 591 | Bubbles sighted. Rough carbonate and algal matsighted. |  |
| 20:19 |  |  |  | Grab 8 and still photo taken of above mentioned site. |  |
| 20:27 |  |  |  | Grab 9 of beds of clams |  |
| 20:30 | ? | 8199 |  |  |  |
| 20:31 | 1708 | 8077 |  | Rough topography and low density of clams. Algal mats also |  |
|  |  |  |  | sighted. Archive 3, tape 10 started ( gap from previous tape |  |
| 20:38 | 1699 | 7423 |  |  |  |
| 20:39 |  |  |  | scattered carbonate boulders. Very low density clams. |  |
| 20:45 | 1947 | 8052 |  | Moderately intense clams |  |
| 20:48 |  |  |  | grab 10 |  |
| 20:50 | 2047 | 8343 |  |  |  |
| 20:52 | 2146 | 8435 |  | relatively smooth carbonate pavement and few |  |
|  |  |  |  | clams. Localized clam fields 2 to 3 meters wide. |  |
| 21:00 | 1839 | 7473 |  |  |  |
| 21:02 | 1995 | 7594 |  | Video clock turned on; it had been off |  |
| 21:06 | 2160 | 8112 |  | barrel sighted. grab 11 |  |
| 21:07 |  |  |  | grab 12 of barrel |  |
| 21:19 | 2268 | 8050 | 597 | fix on barrel |  |
| 21:25 |  |  |  | ARCHIVE 9: remove tape 9, insert tape 10 |  |
| 21:28 |  |  |  | archive 1: remove tape 8, insert tape 9 |  |
| 21:47 |  |  |  | lift off bottom with barrel |  |
| 21:52 |  |  | 540 | Ropos docks with cage |  |
| 22:01 |  |  | 300 | Coming up |  |
| 22:12 |  |  | 0 | surface EOD |  |
| 22:29 |  |  |  | archive 3, tape 10 complete |  |
| 22:32 |  |  |  | stopped archive 2, tape 10; archive 6,tape 10; |  |
| 22:35 |  |  |  | stopped archive 1, tape 9 |  |


| TFX98.13.R457 |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME (GMT) | $44^{\circ} 40 . \mathrm{XXX}$ | $125^{\circ} 05 . \mathrm{XXX}$ | Depth | Comments |  |  |
| 8/23/98 1:23 | 223 | 784 |  | Ropos in water |  |  |
| 1:26 |  |  |  | Archive 2 and 6 tape 1 start |  |  |
| 1:32 |  |  |  | Archive 1 tape 1 start |  |  |
| 1:34 |  |  |  | Archive 3 tape 1 starts |  |  |
| 1:54 |  |  |  | on bottom, near site |  |  |
| 2:02 | 2033 | 8040 | 592 | scattered boulders very low density of shells |  |  |
| 2:07 | 2294 | 7567 |  | start of west-bound transect, smal rocky debris, some larger |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | boulders, widely scattered clams |  |  |
| 2:13 | 2345 | 7777 | 597 | lots of carbonate rubble no signs of anything active |  |  |
| 2:18 | 2241 | 8533 | 594 | lots of carbonate rubble no signs of anything active |  |  |
| 2:21 | 2425 | 8118 |  | small patch of clams 1-2 meters across |  |  |
| 2:27 | 2453 | 7618 |  | carbonates, no clams |  |  |
| 2:37 | 2348 | 7858 |  | carbonate rubble, no clams |  |  |
| 2:44 | 2219 | 8038 |  | under the cage |  |  |
| 2:56 | 2440 | 7809 | 595 | "trombone" gas sampler found |  |  |
| 3:09 |  |  |  | archive 1, tape 2 starts |  |  |
| 3:11 |  |  |  | archive 2 \& 6 tape 2 begin |  |  |
| 3:14 |  |  | 596 | passing over ridge of carbonate |  |  |
| 3:31 |  |  |  | archive 3 tape 2 starts |  |  |
| 4:05 | 2481 | 8686 |  | back surveying, turn point |  |  |
| 4:13 | 2386 | 7791 |  | hole in the ground, gas sampler seen near it |  |  |
| 4:27 |  |  |  | small dense clam patch |  |  |
| 4:28 | 2475 | 7992 | 598 | grab3, "shrimp from hell", grab 4 |  |  |
| 4:29 |  |  |  | egg fish grab 5-7 |  |  |
| 4:30 |  |  |  | grab 8 of patch |  |  |
| 4:53 |  |  |  | 3 grabs of "surface dwellers suck" |  |  |
| 5:12 |  |  |  | change tape on archive \#1, start of tape \#3 |  |  |
| 5:15 |  |  |  | change tape on archive \#2 and 6, start of tape \#3 |  |  |
| 5:18 |  |  |  | change tape on archive \#3, start of tape \#3 |  |  |
| 5:38 |  |  | 602 | same old same old: sea snow, rocks, mud, sea pens, |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | mushroom corals, starfish, red fish |  |  |
| 5:40 |  |  |  | start tape 4 for archive 4 |  |  |
| 5:54 |  |  | 599 | clam \#4, grab 1 |  |  |
| 6:00 |  |  |  | black stones, grabs 2, 3, 4 |  |  |
| 6:11 |  |  |  | grab \#5, white shelf-like layer |  |  |
| 6:20 |  |  |  | grab \#6, gas sampler grabbed literally by Ropos |  |  |
| 7:00 |  |  |  | changing of the guard as pilot |  |  |
| 7:02 |  |  |  | Doug takes over |  |  |
| 7:05 |  |  |  | rocky bottom, large rocks |  |  |
| 7:07 |  |  |  | pallet jack in sight |  |  |
| 7:14 |  |  |  | clam cemetary |  |  |
| 7:38 |  |  |  | layered sheets |  |  |
| 7:43 |  |  |  | gnarly carbonates, holes and irregular |  |  |
| 7:45 |  |  |  | grabs 7,8 of large hole in crust |  |  |
| 7:46 |  |  |  | mats of spider web like stuff, grabs 9-11 |  |  |
| 7:48 |  |  |  | lose surface control, start up |  |  |
| 7:50 |  |  |  | Stop all archive tapes |  |  |
| 7:53 |  |  |  | Recover signals, restart tapes, start descent to bottom |  |  |
| 8:05 |  |  |  | problem or disk with grabs. save frame and seems OK |  |  |
| 8:07 |  |  |  | more sediment and few rocks exposed |  |  |
| 8:10 |  |  |  | start another transect in grid, heading west |  |  |
| 8:11 |  |  |  | flounder |  |  |
| 8:15 |  |  |  | metal plate?, possible rudder, larger rocks now |  |  |
| 8:20 |  |  |  | few more gnarly gocks |  |  |
| 8:30 |  |  |  | large orange jelly fish |  |  |
| 8:41 |  |  | - | bottom still in sight, small rocks NS MUS |  |  |
| 8:43 |  |  |  | starfish, crab, clamshells, all nead? grab s 14-16 |  |  |


| 8:45 |  |  | mats and pink mushroom things, grab 17 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:49 |  |  | small cobbles, approaching clam 2 |  |  |
| 8:54 |  |  | bacterall mats |  |  |
| 8:55 |  |  | clam 1 in sight |  |  |
| 9:13 |  |  | archive 1 missing 9 minutes; archive 5 out - 4 in |  |  |
| 9:17 |  |  | grab 20; more clams |  |  |
| 9:18 | . 1930 | . 7948 | grab 21; former grab hole? (tv grab); grab 22,23 |  |  |
| 9:24 |  |  | grab 24 |  |  |
| 9:28 |  |  | tape 4 on archive 3 finished; tape 5 in |  |  |
| 9:43 | . 1865 | . 8364 | grab 25; clam 5 |  |  |
| 10:02 |  |  | clam 6 (small field) |  |  |
| 10:02 |  |  | grab 26, 27 |  |  |
| 10:05 |  |  | grab 28-algal mat |  |  |
| 10:12 |  |  | clam 7; grab 30 |  |  |
| 10:14 |  |  | mats |  | . |
| 10:18 |  |  | large clam field (clam 8) |  |  |
| 10:24 |  |  | grab 34 |  |  |
| 10:37 |  |  | grab 35; beginning of the great clam hunt at clam 8 |  |  |
| 10:38 |  |  | grab 36, 37 |  |  |
| 10:40 |  |  | grab 39 |  |  |
| 10:41 |  |  | grab 40 |  |  |
| 10:43 |  |  | grab 41 |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  | log personel change |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  | Attempting to sample clam specimens with the "pac-man" a |  |  |
| 11:02 |  |  | sample dig |  |  |
| 11:03 |  |  | image grab 42 |  |  |
| 11:04 |  |  | sample transferred to box |  |  |
| 11:07 |  |  | setting position to sample floor fauna with "pac-man" again |  |  |
| 11:08 |  |  | sample taken |  |  |
| 11:10 |  |  | sample transferred to box |  |  |
| 11:11 |  |  | highlight video 5 changed for 6 |  |  |
| 11:13 |  |  | image grab 43,44 |  |  |
| 11:15 |  |  | archive 6 tape 6 |  |  |
| 11:16 |  |  | headed to clam site 3 |  |  |
| 11:20 |  |  | archive 1,2,86 changed |  |  |
| 11:24 |  |  | reached clam 4, still seeking clam 3 |  |  |
| 11:25 |  |  | reached clam field 3 |  |  |
| 11:25 |  |  | image grab 45 |  |  |
| 11:26 |  |  | highlight video on, same tape from last dive |  |  |
| 11:27 |  |  | image 46 taken, closeup of clam 3 |  |  |
| 11:27 |  |  | image 47 grabbed |  |  |
| 11:29 |  |  | image 48 grabbed |  |  |
| 11:30 |  |  | new position for clam 3 called clam 3b .2356-.7998 |  |  |
| 11:32 |  |  | image 49, 50 taken |  |  |
| 11:33 |  |  | image 51 -green piece of material, does not appear organic |  |  |
| 11:37 |  |  | sample taken, Image 52 taken |  |  |
| 11:42 |  |  | sample transferred to box |  |  |
| 11:45 |  |  | another pac-man sample of clams taken from clam site 3 |  |  |
| 11:47 |  |  | sample transferred to box |  |  |
| 11:53 |  |  | image taken 53, pac-man grab \#3 from clam site 3b |  |  |
| 11:57 |  |  | pac-man sample taken, missed most of the target |  |  |
| 12:01 |  |  | pac-man sample taken |  |  |
| 12:02 |  |  | sample transferred to box |  |  |
| 12:05 |  |  | clam samples finished |  |  |
| 12:07 |  |  | clam site 3 depth 599 m |  |  |
| 12:12 |  |  | seeking lost barrel |  |  |
| 12:15 |  |  | highlight video off |  |  |
| 12:16 |  |  | archive 6 was found not to be recording |  |  |
| 12:30 |  |  | bridge notified, ROPOS preparing to surface |  |  |


| TFX98.15.R458 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME (GMT) | 44* 40.XXX | $125^{\circ} 05 . \mathrm{XXX}$ | Depth | Comments |  |  |  |
| 8/23/98 $15: 46$ | 2321 | 8123 |  | Ropos in the water |  |  |  |
| 8/23/98 $15: 47$ |  |  |  | starting all videos, ARCADE 1,2,3,6 all signed tape 1 |  |  |  |
| 8/23/98 15:57 |  |  |  | rebooting ROPOS main software, all screens down |  |  |  |
| 16:01 |  |  |  | rebooting successful, all screens working |  |  |  |
| 16:45 |  |  | 581 | reaching final depth |  |  |  |
| 16:45 |  |  |  | ROPOS starts moving towrds north |  |  |  |
| 16:52 | 2566 | 8088 |  | Ropos position |  |  |  |
| 17:07 |  |  |  | anne on watch; frame 1 - rock that looks like half eaten loaf |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | of bread; rearranging hold on flow meter so it doesn't |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | obstruct view as much |  |  |  |
| 17:29 |  |  |  | frame 2-big white starfish |  |  |  |
| 17:39 | 2471 | 8052 | 600 | frame 3-arriving in region of scattered clams and algal mats |  |  |  |
| 17:43 |  | - |  | frame 4-8; 3 photos - overview of flowmeter site |  |  |  |
| 17:46 |  |  |  | frame 9-12-closeup of clam bed next to flowmeter site |  |  |  |
| 17:52 |  |  |  | frame 13-overview of flowmeter site |  |  |  |
| 17:53 | 2466 | 8074 | 599 | positioning flowmeter $A$; change archive 1,2,3,6 to tape 2 |  |  |  |
| 17:59 |  |  |  | frame 15 - lowering flowmeter |  |  |  |
| 18:12 |  |  |  | heading to site for clam corral |  |  |  |
| 18:59 |  |  |  | pass over sign |  |  |  |
| 19:18 | 2428 | 8020 | 599 | arrive at site for clam corral; close to flowmeter A |  |  |  |
| 19:21 |  |  |  | frame 17, 18 of hole for clam corral |  |  |  |
| 19:23 | 2423 | 7998 | 599 | update location for clam corral |  |  |  |
| 19:27 |  |  |  | highlight tape on |  |  |  |
| 19:31 |  |  |  | grab 21 - installation of clam corral |  |  |  |
| 19:46 |  |  |  | frames 22-27-clams successfully dumped into corral. |  |  |  |
| 19:52 |  |  |  | frames 28-30 - closeups of corral with clams; still photo too |  |  |  |
| 19:53 |  |  |  | archives 1,2,3,6 start tape 3. highlight tape off. |  |  |  |
| 19:53 |  |  |  | starting to find site for last flowmeter |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | watch flowmeter C freefall from cage |  |  |  |
| 20:03 | 2305 | 8066 | 601 | position of flowmeter on bottom. A long white line is trailing |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | from the instrument and will be left behind. Can be used in 99 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | as a reference for finding clam corral. distance and bearing |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | of line to the clam corral are 36 m and 016, respectively. |  |  |  |
| 20:03 |  |  |  | from bosun sign to clam corral, range and bearing are |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 47.5m 186, respectively. Note: sign may drift. Take picture |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | for reference in 99. |  |  |  |
| 20:30 |  |  |  | highlight video on for flowmeter C deployment |  |  |  |
| 20:31 |  |  |  | frame 33-another shot of clam corral |  |  |  |
| 20:36 | 2433 | 8054 | 600 | flowmeter C installed next to clam corral. frame 34-35; |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2 still photos |  |  |  |
| 20:41 |  |  |  | approx 7 scenic still photos and frames 35-42 of flowmeter C |  |  |  |
| 20:48 |  |  |  | turn off highlights |  |  |  |
| 20:51 |  |  |  | head to meth site to try Hammond's flow meter idea |  |  |  |
| 20:58 |  |  |  | sight teapot. Flasher still going; teapot on its side |  |  |  |
| 20:59 |  |  |  | turned on highlight tape |  |  |  |
| 21:01 |  |  |  | frame 43-overview of meth site |  |  |  |
| 21:08 |  |  |  | frame 44-view of vent. vent flow rate appears much lower |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | than during dive 456. New vent started. Clearly |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | time-dependent |  |  |  |
| 21:14 |  |  |  | frame 47,48 Close up shot of a vent. looks like there is |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | hydrate forming around it. |  |  |  |
| 21:14 |  |  |  | frame 49 as we back up. Also many still photos |  |  |  |
| 21:15 |  |  |  | All tapes shut down |  |  |  |
| 21:25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:03 |  |  |  | ropos back in cage ropos back on board |  |  |  |

Sample Distribution for Materials Collected During ROPOS Dives

## ROPOS SAMPLES

TFX98,05.R454 no samples were taken

TFX98.08.R455 $\quad 44^{\circ} 40.18 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.89 \mathrm{~W} \quad 21 / 8 / 98 \quad 16: 30: 00 \quad 586$ meters

OSU, Torres
Film, Slides

TFX98.08.R455.BB1 $44^{\circ} 40.18 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.89 \mathrm{~W} \quad 21 / 8 / 98 \quad 16: 30: 00 \quad 586$ meters

GEOMAR, Rehder
6x $400 \mathrm{~mL} \quad \mathrm{CH}_{4}$
OSU, McManus
$6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL} \quad \mathrm{O}_{2}$
6x 50mL Sufides
$6 x \quad 30 \mathrm{~mL} \quad$ Total $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
6x 20mL pH
$6 x \quad 30 \mathrm{~mL} \quad \mathrm{NH}_{4}$
OSU, Torres
$3 \mathrm{x} 30 \mathrm{~mL} \quad{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$
$3 \mathrm{x} 30 \mathrm{~mL} \quad 18 \mathrm{O}$

HSU, deAngelis $\quad 18 \mathrm{x} 125 \mathrm{~mL} \quad \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation
USC, Hammond
3x 120 mL Radon

TFX98.08.R455.SS $\quad 44^{\circ} 40.18 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.89 \mathrm{~W} \quad 21 / 8 / 98 \quad 16: 30: 00 \quad 586$ meters
MBARI, Whaling Clams collected

TFX98.11.R456.FM1 $44^{\circ} 40.18 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.89 \mathrm{~W} \quad 21 / 8 / 98 \quad 04: 39: 00 \quad 586$ meters
OSU, Torres Film, Slides
SIO, K.Brown 3 flow meters deployed (E,F,G)

TFX98.11.R456.TB1 $44^{\circ} 40.18 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.89 \mathrm{~W} \quad 21 / 8 / 98 \quad 04: 39: 00 \quad 586$ meters OSU, Torres transponder beacon was deployed

ROPOS SAMPLES, cont.

TEX98.13.R457 $\quad 44^{\circ} 40.23 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.81 \mathrm{~W} \quad 23 / 8 / 98 \quad 00: 45: 00 \quad 590$ meters OSU, Torres Films, Slides

Search for missing barrel, unsuccessful

TEX98.13.R457.CC1 $44^{\circ} 40.23 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.81 \mathrm{~W} \quad 23 / 8 / 98 \quad 12: 30: 00 \quad 606$ meters
MBARI, Whaling Clams collected

TEX98.15.R457
OSU, Torres
44040.23 N, $125^{\circ} 05.81 \mathrm{~W}$ 23/8/98 15455:00 606 meters

Films, Slides

ROPOS SAMPLES, cont.

| TEX98.15.R458 | $44^{\circ} 40.23 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.81 \mathrm{~W}$ | $23 / 8 / 98$ | $15: 45: 00$ | 606 meters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OSU, Torres | Search for lost barrel |  |  |  |

TEX98.15.R458.FM $\quad 44^{\circ} 40.23 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.81 \mathrm{~W} \quad 23 / 8 / 98 \quad 15: 45: 00 \quad 606$ meters
SIO, Brown Flow meters A, C deployed

TFX98.15.R458.CC2 $44^{\circ} 40.23 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.81 \mathrm{~W} \quad 23 / 8 / 98 \quad 15: 45: 00 \quad 606$ meters
MBARI, Whaling Clams corral replaced

TFX98.17.R459.TB2 $44^{\circ} 40.18 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.89 \mathrm{~W} \quad 24 / 8 / 98 \quad 02: 45: 00 \quad 586$ meters
OSU, Torres recover transponder beacons

TFX98.18.R460.MS1 $44^{\circ} 40.32 \mathrm{~N}, 125^{\circ} 05.81 \mathrm{~W} \quad 24 / 8 / 98 \quad 03: 45: 00 \quad 30$ meters
NOAA, Butterfield test of manifold sampler
$\mathrm{BB}=$ benthic barrel (OSU) $\mathrm{FM}=$ flow meter (SIO) . $\mathrm{CC}=$ clam corral (MBARI)
$\mathrm{TB}=$ transponder beacon (OSU) MS=manifold sampler (Butterfield)
$\mathrm{SS}=$ suction sampler (ROPOS) TS=titanium sampler (ROPOS)
$\mathrm{BS}=$ biology sampler (clams, mats) GS=gas sampler (USC)
$\mathrm{PC}=$ pushcore (USC)

Appendix 6
Cruise Participants

|  |  |  |  | Phone | Fax | email |
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| 13. Dick Kovar (M) | Chem. Tech | OSU | U.S. | 541-737-3649 | 541-737-2064 | kovarr@ucs.orst.edu |
| 14. Dale Hubbard (M) | Chem Tech | OSU | U.S. | 541-737-4365 | 541-737-2064 | dhubbard@oce.orst.edu |
| 15. Gregor Rehder (M) | Methane | Geomar | German 49- | 431-600-2122 49 | 431-600-2928 | grehder@geomar.de |
| 16. Katja Heeschen (F) | Methane | Geomar | German 49- | 431-600-2122 49 | 431-600-2928 | kheeschen@geomar.de |
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| 18. Bob Embley (M) |  | NOAA | U.S. | 541-867-0275 | 541-867-3907 | embley@pmel.noaa.gov |
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| 21. Carl Katsu (M) | Teacher | Smithsonian | U.S. | 717-642-6600 |  | ckatsu@aol.com |
| 22. Josh Fischman (M) | Reporter | Discovery | U.S. | 414-224-7071 | 414-272-5329 | jfischman@ nasw.org |
| 23. Keith Shepherd (M) | Pilot | ROPOS | Canada | 250-363-6332 | 250-363-6357 | shepherd@ropos.com |
| 24. Bob Holland (M) | Pilot | ROPOS | Canada | 250-363-6332 | 250-363-6357 | shepherd@ropos.com |
| 25. Mike Dempsey (M) | Pilot | ROPOS | Canada | 250-656-0535 | 250-656-0533 | mdempsey@vanisle.net |
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Active gas discharge resulting from decomposition of
gas hydrates on Hydrate Ridge, Cascadia Margin.
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A massive release of methane on the Cascadia Hydrate Ridge was documented during a ROPOS program in August 1998, consistent with previously reported observations in 1996. An extensive survey of the seafloor revealed that the seeps lie within a narrow band trending 109 degrees. This feature parallels larger mounds imaged by Seabeam as well as larger structures of the accretionary prism such as the Daisy bank. The area of intense bubbling is characterized by extensive bacterial mats. Large clam fields were observed ten's of meters away from the gas seeps. A third province with carbonate blocks but no clams or bacterial mats was mapped approximately 200 meters away from the seeps. To constrain fluid flow through the sediments, we deployed 8 osomotic flow meters. The areas of gas discharge are discrete and highly focussed within conduits with an approximate cross-sectional area of 5 cm 2 . We estimate the gas flow rate to be on the order of 5 liters/minute. While the subsurface plumbing is unknown, the high flow rate of the sampled gas seep suggests a very short transit time from the gas source (presumably the base of the BSR at 70 mbsf ) to the sea
floor. The $\mathrm{Rn} / \mathrm{CH} 4$ ratio in gas samples collected from the gas vents is very high, approximately $50 \mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{liter}$ (stp) CH4. Using these values, we estimate that the time required for the fluids to transit 70 m is approximately 1 hour. To further constrain the nature of the discharging fluids, we will analyze samples for their elemental and isotopic composition. Methane hydrate should be stable at the temperature and pressure conditions at the seafloor on Hydrate Ridge. Indeed, solid hydrate was observed to form within the gas samplers as well as on the camera itself, supporting the conclusion that methane is rapidly transported to the seafloor from beneath the BSR within discrete conduits, most likely separated from significant amounts of pore water. When discharged at the seafloor, some of the methane precipitate as hydrate and some continues to rise within the water column. Bubbles were observed with the ROV up to 50 meters above the seafloor. This methane generates a plume in the water column, which was first documented during the 1996 GEOMAR survey. The most pronounced methane plumes observed during 1998 occur nearest to the active discharge sites, where methane concentrations up to $800 \mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{l}$ were recorded.

