# **Examining The Quality of Parent Interaction With Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder in Distinctly Different Play Environments** Gregory Heinonen, Megan MacDonald Ph.D.

### Introduction

- Approximately 1 in 68 children are diagnosed with ASD though it is 4.5 times more common in boys<sup>2</sup>
- Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is characterized by:
- Problems in social interaction<sup>1</sup>
- Limited interest in activities<sup>1</sup>
- Lack of motor skills and decreased physical activity<sup>4</sup>
- A Lack of physical activity in childhood increases the likelihood of childhood obesity and has negative implications on child development and self-efficacy <sup>5,6</sup>
- Play is integral to childhood development and allows children to use their creativity while developing their imagination, dexterity, and physical, cognitive, and emotional strength.<sup>8</sup>
- Parents involvement in their child's play is important in creating a strong bond so parents learn to communicate effectively with their children.<sup>8</sup>.
- Currently a variety interventions for ASD exist but generally many consist of encouraging social interaction with a parent or caregiver.<sup>3</sup>
- However, the lack of motor skills present in children with ASD is commonly not addressed in these interventions and could be a beneficial addition to current interventions for children with ASD

### Purpose

The purpose of this study is to examine the differences in quality of parent interaction with their child in two distinctly different play settings. The specific aim is to see if parent interactions with their child are different if the child is typically developing or has been diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. Since limited interventions for children with ASD take place in motor skill setting, it was hypothesized that parents would show a lower quality of parenting in the motor setting than the social setting in comparison to peers with typically developing children.

### Methods

- Children between the ages of 2 and 7 with and without autism spectrum disorder and their parent or caregiver were recruited for this study
- Each child and parent spent time in two distinctly different settings
- The social play setting promoted tactile play and included toys commonly seen in a free-play setting such as blocks, toy trucks, puzzles, etc.
- The motor play setting contained toys that could be easily associated with movement-activities such as mats, wedges, tricycles, balls, and scooters

Figure 1. Example of social play setting



# Methods (cont.)

- The participants were and told to ignore the video camera and act/play as they would normally.
- The child and caregivers actions were recorded for 10 minutes
- Parental behaviors observed in the video clips were then coded using 5 constructs:
  - L. Parent Encourages Stimulating Environment
  - Degree to which the parent facilitates the play to foster the child's interest, learning, understanding, and development.
  - 2. Parent Negativity Degree to which the parent displays expressions of anger or rejects/discounts the child.
  - Parent Sensitivity
  - Degree to which the parent observes and responds to the child's cues during times of distress as well as non-distress.
  - 4. Parental Detachment
    - Degree of the parent's awareness of, attention to, and engagement with the child.
  - 5. Parent Intrusiveness
- Degree of the parent's control of the child's behaviors and play. After coding, the data was analyzed using independent T-
- tests, in this case comparing the two groups (ASD and typically developing) and the quality of parenting.
- This analysis was done for both the social and motor play settings.

Figure 2. Example of motor play setting



 Table 1. Descriptive Characteristics of Participants

Table 2. Mean differences in parent behaviors by group and based on type of play setting (*df* = 16).

Acknowledgements This project was completed through the Undergraduate Research Awards Program (URAP) within the College of Public Health and Human Sciences. I completed this project under the supervision and with the help of of Dr. Megan MacDonald and Dr. Bridget Hatfield and graduate students Byung Mo Ku and Erica Twardzik.

### Results

• Table one shows the descriptive characteristics of the participants from both the ASD (n=9) and Typically developing (n=9) groups.

- In total, 18 parent-child participants were recruited
- 9 parents with children with ASD
- 9 with typically developing children
- The table includes data from the parents (education level, parent age) and from the children (age, verbal and nonverbal IQ levels)
- Table two shows the difference in parent-child interactions in both the TD and ASD groups in both settings.
- With p values of .04 and .01 there was a statistically significant decrease in parent encouraging a stimulating environment and sensitivity within the ASD group.

	ASD (	(n=9)	TD (n=9)	
	Mean (SD)/ Frequency	Range	Mean (SD)/ Frequency	Range
(months)	62.1 (16.8)	44, 91	51.9 (18.9)	30, 94
Parent (years)	34.8 (2.1)	32- 39	32.7 (3.3)	28- 37
ternal Ed. o degree ED sociate achelor aster D/MD bal IQ	2 1 1 5 0 0 69.2 (34.4)	- - - - - - 8.33, 109.32	2 0 0 2 3 2 101.2 (28.2)	- - - - - - 69, 141
-verbal IQ	76.4 (26.7)*	31, 108	110.3 (19.9)*	87.96, 150

	TD	ASD	t	р
cial Play				
rent Encouragement	3.22	3.56	0.63	0.53
rent Negativity	1.67	2.11	0.75	0.46
rental Sensitivity	3.44	2.89	-1.14	0.27
rent Detachment	2.00	1.89	-0.26	0.79
rental Intrusiveness	1.89	2.00	0.31	0.75
otor Skill Play				
rent Encouragement	4.00	3.11	2.28	0.04*
rent Negativity	1.89	2.22	0.70	0.50
rental Sensitivity	3.89	2.67	-3.14	0.01*
rent Detachment	1.33	1.89	1.42	0.17
rental Intrusiveness	1.56	2.00	1.83	0.09

## Discussion

- settings.

- ASD.

## Conclusion

The results from this project suggest an overall decreased quality of parenting of parents of children with ASD in a motor play setting. Parents of children with ASD were overall less sensitive (p=.01) and less encouraging of a stimulating environment (p=.04) when playing in an environment promoting physical activity when compared to parents of typically developing children.

These results highlight the importance of education for parents of children with ASD regarding the promotion of physical activity for their child considering the known benefits of exercise for children. Additionally, future research should explore the idea of creating motor-skill based interventions for parent-child dyads considering the multitude of benefits.

### References

- 1. Autism Spectrum Disorder. (March 2016). Retrieved April 01, 2016, from http://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/autism-spectrum-disorders-asd/index 2. Luyster, R., Gotham, K., Guthrie, W., Coffing, M., Petrak, R., Pierce, K., . . . Lord, C. (2009). The Autism Diagnostic Observational Schedule- Toddler module: A new module of a standardized diagnostic measure of autism spectrum disorders. Journal of Autism & Developmental Disorders, 39, 1305-
- 1320. doi: 10.1007/s10803-009-0746-z Macdonald, Megan, Phil Esposito, and Dale Ulrich. "The Physical Activity Patterns of Children with Autism."BMC Research Notes BMC Res Notes 4.1 (2011): 422. Web.
- 4. Strauss, Richard S., Daria Rodzilsky, Gail Burack, and Michelle Colin. "Psychosocial Correlates of
- Physical Activity in Healthy Children." Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine 155.8 (2001): 897. Web. Sallis, J. F., Prochaska, J. J., & Taylor, W. C. (2000). A Review of Correlates of Physical Activity of
- Children and Adolescents. Journal of The American College of Sports Medicine, 32(5). Brady-Smith, C., O'Brien, C., Berlin, L., Ware, A., & Brooks-Gunn, J. (1999). Early Head Start Research & Evaluation Project: Child-Parent Interaction Rating Scales for the Three-Bag Assessment 24-Month *Wave*. New York, NY: National Center for Children & Families.

• It was found that parents of children with ASD showed a lower quality of parenting than parents of typically developing children.

• Interrater reliability of .71-.98 show validity of results. • The results agree with hypothesis, considering most parent interventions for children with ASD are done in social

Previous studies have shown differences in child behaviors in motor settings when compared to their typically developing peers.<sup>4</sup>

• Limitations of this study include a small sample size. Future studies could include more participants to improve the quality and generalizability of the results. Additionally, this was a cross-sectional study and therefore children or parents could have had an abnormal day and the behaviors coded could not be representative of their true selves. • This data is important in highlighting potential benefits of motor play setting interventions for parents of children with

