Does Intensive Forest Management Affect Innate Immunity of Wild Deer Mice (Peromyscus maniculatus)?

Natalie M. Rogers¹, Rhea Hanselmann¹, and Anna E. Jolles^{1,2}. Oregon State University, Department of Zoology, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA; ² Oregon State University, Department of Biomedical Sciences, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA;



1) **Project Question**

Does intensive forest management affect innate immune function of wild deer mice?

2) Background: Environmental disturbance can impair wildlife health.

•Worldwide, ecosystems are being eroded by agricultural development.¹

•This includes intensive forest management (IFM), which uses methods such as clear-cutting and herbicide application.²

 Environmental changes resulting from these agricultural activities can act as stressors for wildlife inhabiting these environments.³

 Stress can negatively affect wildlife health and immune function.⁴

•Like all mammals, the wild deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus) relies on its innate immune system for defense against pathogens.⁵

•Deer mice also play an important role in many disease cycles.⁶

 Impairing immune function in deer mice could increase disease prevalence and risk of transmission.

Innate immune mechanisms are the first line of defense against invading pathogens.⁹



References Cited:

¹Matson, K. D., Parton, W.J., Power, A.G. and Swift M.J., 1997, Agricultural intensification and ecosystem properties, Science, v 277(5325), p. 504-509.

² Jurgensen, M.F., Harvey, A.E., Graham, R.T., Page-Dumroese, D.S., Tonn, J.R., Larsen, M.J. and Jane T.B., Impacts of timber harvesting on soil organic matter, nitrogen, productivity, and health of inland northwest forests, Forest Science, v.43(2), p. 234-251 ³ Tilman, D., Fargione, J., Wolff, B., D'Antonio, C., Dobson, A., Howarth, R., Shindler, D., Shlesinger, W.H., Simberloff, D. and Swakhamer D., 2001, Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change, *Science*, v. 292 (5515), p. 281-284 ⁴ Acevedo-Whitehouse, K. and Duffus A.L.J., 2009, Effects of environmental change on wildlife health, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 364(1534), p.3429-3438. ⁵ Martin, L.B., Weil, Z.M. and Nelson, R.J, 2008, Seasonal changes in vertebrate immune activity: mediation by physiological trade

offs, Philosophical Transactions of the. *Royal Society B: Departments of Psychology and Neuroscience*, v. 363(1490), p. 321-339. ⁶ Meerburg, B. G., Singleton, G. R., and Kijlstra A., 2009, Rodent-borne diseases and their risks for public health. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, v. 35(3), p. 221–270.

⁹ Beutler, B., 2004, Innate immunity: an overview, *Molecular Immunology*, v. 40 (12), p. 845-859. ² Martin, L.B, 2009, Stress and immunity in wild vertebrates: Timing is everything, General and Comparative Endocrinology, v 163(1-2), p. 70-76.



group for all of their support.

through the summer. I would also like to thank the entire Jolles field crew and lab





6) Discussion: Deer mouse innate immunity is affected by forest management intensity.

•Deer mice from most intensively managed plots have highest mean BCA compared to animals from less managed sites.

•Total white blood cell counts are inversely related to BCA.

 \rightarrow Up-regulation of 'cheap', non-cellular innate immune defenses (e.g. complement) could be due to stress associated with habitat loss.¹⁰

•Townsend's chipmunks have lower mean BCA compared to deer mice and BCA does not appear affected by forest management level.

→ Different species vary in their investment in different immune defenses and may be differentially affected by environmental disturbance.

Future Directions

 Increase sample size to confirm trends. •Examine effects of pathogens and macroparasites on immune function and relate to management intensity.