

## Seasonal patterns of alkenone production in the subtropical oligotrophic North Pacific

Brian N. Popp,<sup>1</sup> Fredrick G. Prahl,<sup>2</sup> Richard J. Wallsgrave,<sup>3</sup> and Jamie Tanimoto<sup>1</sup>

Received 7 April 2005; revised 18 August 2005; accepted 10 November 2005; published 14 February 2006.

[1] Seasonal alkenone concentrations, production rates, and unsaturation patterns ( $U_{37}^{K'}$ ) were measured at station ALOHA in the oligotrophic subtropical North Pacific. Highest alkenone concentration and production rates were found in (winter and fall) or just below (summer) the surface mixed layer. Lowest alkenone concentration and production rates were found within the deep chlorophyll maximum layer (DCML). In the DCML, which occurs at 80–120 m throughout the year,  $U_{37}^{K'}$  temperatures overestimated water temperatures by  $\sim 2^\circ$ – $4^\circ$ C. This result probably reflected the effect of light limitation on the physiology of alkenone-producing algae. At the depth of maximum alkenone production,  $U_{37}^{K'}$  temperatures underestimated water temperature by  $\sim 2^\circ$ – $4^\circ$ C in summer and fall but overestimated in situ temperatures by  $\sim 1^\circ$ – $2^\circ$ C in winter. The underestimate of measured water temperature in summer and fall most likely reflected a physiological response to limited nutrient availability. The  $U_{37}^{K'}$  temperature overestimate in winter was best explained by a change in the ecology of alkenone-producing algae.

**Citation:** Popp, B. N., F. G. Prahl, R. J. Wallsgrave, and J. Tanimoto (2006), Seasonal patterns of alkenone production in the subtropical oligotrophic North Pacific, *Paleoceanography*, 21, PA1004, doi:10.1029/2005PA001165.

### 1. Introduction

[2]  $C_{37-39}$  alkenones are biosynthesized by a select set of haptophyte algae, the most notable example being *Emiliania huxleyi* [Brassell, 1993]. *E. huxleyi* is eurythermal and consequently, found widely distributed in surface waters throughout the world ocean. Alkenone unsaturation patterns vary directly with algal growth temperature [Conte *et al.*, 1998], which underpins use of the  $U_{37}^{K'}$  index for paleothermometry [Brassell *et al.*, 1986]. Alkenone unsaturation patterns are thus now widely analyzed by the paleoceanographic community for reconstruction of sea surface temperature [e.g., Prahl *et al.*, 2000].

[3] Statistical calibration derived from analysis of alkenone unsaturation patterns in a large collection of core top sediments from the global ocean shows a significant linear correlation between  $U_{37}^{K'}$  and mean annual sea surface temperature (maSST) [Muller *et al.*, 1998]. This calibration agrees well with the first formal evaluation of cultures that showed  $U_{37}^{K'}$  varied linearly with growth temperature ( $\equiv 0.034T + 0.039$ ;  $r^2 = 0.97$ , Clone CCMP 1742) over the range  $8^\circ$ – $25^\circ$ C [Prahl *et al.*, 1988]. This agreement indicated the culture calibration clearly applied to the open ocean [Prahl and Wakeham, 1987]. Although these empirical findings justify use of  $U_{37}^{K'}$  for estimating paleotemperature, the global calibration of Muller *et al.* [1998] displays

considerable, yet unexplained scatter of up to  $\pm 3^\circ$ C around any specific  $U_{37}^{K'}$  measurement. Therefore there is reason for concern about the accuracy of reconstructed maSST through geologic time using global calibrations for  $U_{37}^{K'}$ .

[4] Scatter in the global calibration could be caused by geological as well as nondiagenetic biological factors [Prahl *et al.*, 2003]. Scatter caused by inclusion of sedimentary samples of non-Modern age (a geological factor) is perhaps not a serious concern and could be eliminated through use of compound specific radiocarbon dating techniques [Ohkouchi *et al.*, 2002]. However, contribution to the scatter by nondiagenetic biological factors is a more critical issue for which there is as yet no obvious resolution.

[5] A significant fraction of the scatter in the global calibration may also reflect ecological, genetic and nonthermal biological factors affecting alkenone-producing algae that are not readily apparent when  $U_{37}^{K'}$  is simply equated statistically to maSST. For example, subsurface production within the euphotic zone could potentially be an important source of alkenones preserved in sediments [e.g., see Prahl *et al.*, 2005, and references therein]. Alkenone-based temperatures would underestimate surface mixed layer (SML) temperatures if alkenone-producing algae lived within the thermocline and most of the signal recorded in sediments was exported from that water column location. There is also interest in reconstructing climatic variation in atmospheric  $pCO_2$  from down core stratigraphic analysis of alkenones in marine sediments [Pagani *et al.*, 1999, 2002]. The success of this application requires knowledge of where in the euphotic zone this biomarker signal preserved in sediment is produced. If the signal does not originate primarily from the SML, then algal growth in equilibrium with atmospheric  $pCO_2$  is unlikely.

[6] Biological factors can also potentially contribute to scatter in the global calibration. Not all alkenone-producing

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA.

<sup>2</sup>College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA.

algae isolated from the ocean display the same  $U_{37}^{K'}$  response to growth temperature [Conte *et al.*, 1998]. In addition, alkenone unsaturation can be influenced by environmental properties other than growth temperature such as nutrient and light availability [Epstein *et al.*, 1998, 2001; Versteegh *et al.*, 2001; Prahl *et al.*, 2003]. One goal of our present work is to evaluate the extent that scatter in the global calibration might reflect influence from these ecological, genetic and nonthermal physiological factors.

[7] In this paper, we evaluate how alkenone concentration, production rates and unsaturation patterns vary with upper water column depth (0 to  $\sim 160$  m) and season (summer, fall, winter) at station ALOHA in the oligotrophic subtropical North Pacific gyre near Hawaii. Alkenone production rate was determined using an in situ  $^{13}\text{C}$  incubation method modified after that employed previously in the Sea of Japan [Hamanaka *et al.*, 2000] and the Bering Sea [Shin *et al.*, 2002]. We test our improved method using laboratory culture experiments. We also evaluate how significantly alkenone-producing algae contribute to the haptophyte biomass in these waters. We show that this assessment can be done using a simple algorithm based on new observational data from culture experiments. We found that the alkenone-producing algae contributed very little to the overall haptophyte biomass at station ALOHA even though measurable alkenone concentrations were found in the water column throughout the year. Alkenone concentrations and production rates were at all times greatest within or just below the SML. Lowest alkenone concentrations and production rates were consistently found at the depth of the deep chlorophyll maximum layer (DCML) (in the sense of Longhurst and Harrison [1989]), a year-round persistent feature of the euphotic zone at station ALOHA. Measured  $U_{37}^{K'}$  values when converted into growth temperature estimates did not always match actual water temperatures at the depth of sample collection. These results are discussed in terms of the ecological and nonthermal, physiological factors that can influence alkenone unsaturation patterns in the central oligotrophic North Pacific.

## 2. Sampling and Analytical Methods

### 2.1. Sample Site Characteristics

[8] Samples were collected from the Hawaii Ocean Time-series (HOT) station ALOHA ( $22^{\circ}45'\text{N}$ ,  $158^{\circ}\text{W}$ ) [Karl and Lukas, 1996] on three different cruises: KOK-0111 (16–23 July 2001), HOT-131 (21–26 October 2001) and KOK-0303 (17–22 February 2003). KOK-0111 occurred just after HOT-128 (9–13 July 2001) and KOK-0303 occurred just before HOT-145 (24–28 February 2003); thus applicable ancillary data are available from these cruises. All cruises were aboard the R/V *Kaimikai-o-Kanaloa*. Station ALOHA is located 100 km north of the island of Oahu and has a total water column depth of 4700 m.

[9] Water column profiles of photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) were calculated assuming exponential decrease in PAR with increasing water depth, i.e.,

$$\text{PAR} = ae^{-k_{\text{PAR}}z}. \quad (1)$$

In equation (1),  $a$  is surface PAR,  $z$  is depth below the sea surface and  $k_{\text{PAR}}$  is the seasonal light attenuation coefficient determined for station ALOHA [Letelier *et al.*, 2004]. Surface PAR, measured using a PRR-610 surface reference radiometer (Biospherical Instruments), is available for most 1998–2004 HOT cruises (<http://hahana.soest.hawaii.edu/hot/hot-dogs/prrseries.html>). The wintertime  $k_{\text{PAR}}$  ( $0.044 \text{ m}^{-1}$ ) and the measured surface PAR were used to calculate PAR as a function of depth for HOT-131. We used the wintertime  $k_{\text{PAR}}$  and the average surface PAR measured during HOT-144 (15–19 January 2005) and HOT-145 to calculate the PAR depth profile for KOK-0303. Surface PAR was not measured during HOT-128. Consequently, the depth profile for PAR during our summer cruise (KOK-0111) was assessed using the average July surface PAR for 2000–2004 assuming the Letelier *et al.* [2004] summer bloom  $k_{\text{PAR}}$  value ( $0.0525 \text{ m}^{-1}$ ). Letelier *et al.* [2004] found that surface PAR measured seasonally did not differ from that predicted for climatological sea surface solar irradiance using a classical astronomical formula [Brock, 1981] and the appropriate correction for the solar constant [Duffie and Beckman, 1980].

### 2.2. Suspended Particulate Material and Water Sample Collection

[10] Sample collection followed the protocols and methods in our related study [Prahl *et al.*, 2005]. We present here only a brief description and refer the reader to that publication for further details. Suspended particulate material (SPM) for alkenone analysis was obtained on glass-fiber filters (GF/F) using Challenger Oceanic in situ high-volume pumps. The depth of in situ sample collection was estimated on KOK-0111 from temperature data obtained using a logger attached to each pump that was subsequently referenced to CTD profile data. On HOT-131 and KOK-0303, the depth of in situ sample collection was determined directly using data obtained from a temperature-pressure recorder deployed with the pump cast. SPM for alkenone analysis was also filtered onto GF/F from water collected using PVC sample bottles on dedicated CTD casts and at the surface ( $\sim 7$  m depth) using the ship's uncontaminated seawater system. Water samples were also taken from PVC sample bottles on dedicated CTD casts for determination of the isotopic composition of total dissolved inorganic carbon ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ ).

[11] Water samples ( $\sim 100$  L) for incubation experiments were transferred from PVC bottles on the CTD rosette to acid cleaned 25 L polycarbonate bottles at which time  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled bicarbonate was added. The bottles were then sealed and attached to an in situ array which was deployed during predawn hours and collected at sunrise the following day. The free-floating array design was used to replicate as closely as possible in situ light and temperature conditions from which the samples were collected. On KOK-0111 and KOK-0303, in situ experiments were also performed to determine the effect of light limitation on the physiology of alkenone-producing algae. During KOK-0111, water collected from the depth of the DCML (135 m) was incubated at a single depth in the SML ( $\sim 25$  m) while on KOK-0303, water collected from the DCML (120 m) was

incubated at four euphotic depths (40, 80, 100 and 120 m). During all other experiments, water samples were incubated at the depth of sample collection targeting the surface mixed layer (SML), the DCML and two depths in the thermocline between the base of the SML and the DCML. Upon retrieval of the in situ array,  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$  samples were taken from each carboy to confirm the level of isotopic enrichment. Remaining water from all four carboys was then pressure filtered sequentially through a single, precombusted GF/F and stored frozen until needed for alkenone analysis.

### 2.3. Culture Experiments

[12] Laboratory culture experiments were performed at the University of Hawaii (UH) to verify the alkenone labeling method and at Oregon State University (OSU) to evaluate effects of nutrient and light availability on alkenone and photosynthetic pigment concentrations. Cultures of *Emiliania huxleyi* (CCMP 1742), originally isolated from the temperate northeast Pacific Ocean and obtained from the Provasoli-Guillard National Center for Culture of Marine Phytoplankton, was used for all experiments. We are not aware of any clones of *E. huxleyi* that have been isolated from the oligotrophic North Pacific gyre. Although the clone used in our experiments was not isolated from the oligotrophic North Pacific Ocean, its  $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$  response to growth temperature change [Prahl *et al.*, 1988] is indistinguishable from that found throughout the open ocean [Muller *et al.*, 1998].

[13] At UH, *E. huxleyi* cells were grown isothermally (either 15.3°C or 19.5°C) in batch culture in 4.0 L polycarbonate bottles under nutrient-replete constant saturating light ( $318 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , where E is Einstein) conditions. Each culture consisted of 3.8 L of sterilized, 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  filtered seawater that had been amended with nutrients (f/2 media). Cultures were inoculated to obtain a starting cell density of 300 cells  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ . Growth rates, determined by daily change in cell density, varied from 0.32  $\text{d}^{-1}$  for the 15.3°C experiment to 0.47  $\text{d}^{-1}$  for the 19.5°C experiment. Initial samples of the cultures were taken at a cell density of  $\sim 30,000$  cells  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ . Harvesting cells at this density has been found to have a minimal effect on seawater inorganic carbon chemistry [Laws *et al.*, 2001]. Once cell density reached this level,  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled bicarbonate was added. Cell counts, alkalinity, total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and alkenone samples were subsequently taken at regular intervals (0 and 12 hours or 0, 12, and 24 hours) to monitor the uptake of the  $^{13}\text{C}$  label into alkenones. Cell density was determined using a Coulter Z1 dual threshold particle counter using a 50  $\mu\text{m}$  aperture tube and a 3–8  $\mu\text{m}$  threshold to optimize detection of these  $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$  diameter cells.

[14] At OSU, *E. huxleyi* cells were grown isothermally (15°C) in 0.5 L Pyrex flasks containing 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  filtered seawater that had been amended with nutrients (f/2-Si media, see [http://ccmp.bigelow.org/CI/CI\\_01e.html](http://ccmp.bigelow.org/CI/CI_01e.html)). Growth occurred under a 12:12 hour light:dark cycle with nonsaturating irradiance ( $\sim 50\text{--}60 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) provided by cool-white fluorescent lights. Culture flasks were stirred by gentle swirling at the same time each day and immediately subsampled using a sterile pipette for daily determination of

cell density, nitrate and phosphate concentrations, and cellular alkenone content and composition. At each time point, cell density was determined microscopically using a haemocytometer. Cells in known volumes of culture were also filtered onto two precombusted (450°C, 8 hours) GF/F (25 mm diameter) using gentle vacuum and stored frozen ( $-80^\circ\text{C}$ ) until needed for alkenone and photosynthetic pigment analysis. A volume of filtrate from each sample was also collected in an acid-cleaned, 25 mL polyethylene vial and stored frozen until needed for nutrient analysis.

### 2.4. Analytical Techniques

[15] The method for photosynthetic pigment analysis is described elsewhere [Prahl *et al.*, 2005]. Alkenone fractions were isolated at OSU using established ultrasonic solvent extraction and silica gel column chromatographic methods [Prahl *et al.*, 1989]. The alkenone content and composition of each fraction was analyzed quantitatively by a capillary gas chromatographic approach that is calibrated using internal standards (see Prahl *et al.* [2005] for further details). The precision of quantitative determinations was better than  $\pm 10\%$  for alkenone concentration and  $\pm 0.01$  or less for alkenone unsaturation patterns ( $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$ ).

[16] In preparation for compound specific carbon isotopic analysis, alkenone fractions were then saponified [Christie, 1973] to eliminate interference of a diunsaturated  $\text{C}_{36}$  methyl ester with the primary targets of interest, the diunsaturated and triunsaturated  $\text{C}_{37}$  methyl ketones. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of isotopically labeled and unlabeled alkenone samples was determined at UH by isotope-ratio-monitoring gas chromatography mass spectrometry (irmGCMS) [Hayes *et al.*, 1990]. The natural abundance of  $^{13}\text{C}$  atomic percent is required to calculate alkenone production rate (see equation (2)). Precision of replicate irmGCMS analyses of compounds with natural abundance carbon isotope composition was found in most cases to be  $\pm 0.3\%$  ( $\pm 0.0003 \text{ }^{13}\text{C}$  at. %) or better for individual peaks containing  $>5$  nmoles of carbon with no indication of bias or inaccuracy in the results. Measured precision for compounds with modest  $^{13}\text{C}$  enrichment ( $\delta$  values  $\sim 50\text{--}80\%$ ) was typically less than  $\pm 1.5\%$  ( $\pm 0.0017 \text{ }^{13}\text{C}$  at. %). The modest enrichment of  $^{13}\text{C}$  in the DIC pool ( $\sim 190\%$ ) provided a sufficiently unambiguous isotopic signal in the alkenones for determination of their production rate by irmGCMS within a 24-hour incubation period.

[17] Use of irmGCMS to determine alkenone production rate is markedly different from the pioneering method employed by Hamanaka *et al.* [2000] and Shin *et al.* [2002]. These workers used chemical ionization GCMS to quantify the relative ratios of the tracer-enriched suite of molecular ion peaks to the natural molecular ion peak for the  $\text{C}_{37:2}$  and  $\text{C}_{37:3}$  alkenones. Their method assumed that the intrinsic ionization efficiency of the molecular ion for the unlabeled alkenone and the quasi-molecular ions for the isotopically labeled compounds were identical. Their experimental design required enrichment of the ambient DIC concentration by up to 10% with 99% pure  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled bicarbonate. Our method required no more than 0.3% enrichment in the concentration of the ambient DIC pool. Analytical uncertainty for irmGCMS determination of iso-

topic enrichment in labeled samples is about an order of magnitude better than the uncertainty ( $\pm 0.02$   $^{13}\text{C}$  at. %) reported by *Hamanaka et al.* [2000] and *Shin et al.* [2002]. In addition, we used isotopic enrichment calculated for  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$  as opposed to that measured in total dissolved inorganic carbon [see *Hamanaka et al.*, 2000] to calculate alkenone production rates since  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$  is the primary inorganic carbon substrate utilized by *E. huxleyi* [*Rost et al.*, 2003]. Changes in temperature and the distribution of carbonate species in seawater affect isotopic fractionation between DIC (mainly bicarbonate) and  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$ . Although this effect is small with large additions of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeled bicarbonate, with small  $^{13}\text{C}$  additions the use of  $^{13}\text{C}$  at. % DIC can result in significant variation in calculated production rates between oceanic sites resulting simply from changes in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  activity in  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$  because of equilibrium isotope effects. Therefore we calculated alkenone production rate from the change in the  $^{13}\text{C}$  signal of the alkenones and  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$  measured after incubation using equation (2) (modified after *Hama et al.* [1993]):

$$\text{production rate} = \frac{(a_{is} - a_{ns})}{(a_{ic} - a_{ns})} \times \frac{\text{alkenone}(t)}{t}, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_{is}$  is the alkenone  $^{13}\text{C}$  at. % (either  $\text{C}_{37:2}$  or  $\text{C}_{37:3}$ ) at the end of the incubation,  $a_{ns}$  is the alkenone  $^{13}\text{C}$  at. % (either  $\text{C}_{37:2}$  or  $\text{C}_{37:3}$ ) in a concurrently collected natural (nonincubated) sample,  $a_{ic}$  is the  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$   $^{13}\text{C}$  at. % in the incubation bottle, alkenone ( $t$ ) is the alkenone concentration at the end of the incubation and  $t$  is the length of the incubation. Alkenone turnover rate was determined by dividing the daily production rate by alkenone concentration measured at time  $t$ . The  $^{13}\text{C}$  at. % of alkenones in natural and incubated alkenone samples was corrected for the expected 4.2‰ isotope offset between the alkenone and primary photosynthate that is associated with biosynthesis [*Popp et al.*, 1998]. Sufficient ship time was available only during KOK-0303 for replication of in situ production experiments at a single depth. On that cruise, replicate experiments at the depth of the DCML (120 m) yielded 0.10 and 0.14  $\text{ng L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ . This estimate of reproducibility ( $\pm 25\%$ ) is almost certainly the worst possible case since both alkenone concentration and the rate of  $^{13}\text{C}$  uptake were low at this depth.

[18]  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$  was determined at UH using a technique modified from *Kroopnick* [1985] (see *Laws et al.* [1995] for details). Concentrations of carbonate species were determined using the program developed by *Lewis and Wallace* [1998]. This program (available at <http://cdiac.ornl.gov/oceans/co2rprt.html>) calculates the concentration of  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$  from temperature, salinity, total alkalinity and the concentrations of DIC, phosphate, and silicate. Total alkalinity and the concentrations of DIC, phosphate, and silicate were collected and analyzed by HOT program personnel for HOT-131. These data were also obtained from HOT cruises (see <http://hahana.soest.hawaii.edu/hot/hot-dogs/bextraction.html>) occurring within 1–2 weeks of KOK-0111 and KOK-0303. The dissociation constants for carbonic and boric acids used in this calculation were from *Dickson* [1990a, 1990b] and *Roy et al.* [1993]. Apparent

constants were corrected for the effects of pressure [*Millero*, 1979]. The isotopic composition of  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$  was determined from the relative abundances of bicarbonate, carbonate, and  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$  and the temperature-fractionation relationships of *Deines et al.* [1974] and *Mook et al.* [1974]. As determined by replicate sample analysis, uncertainty in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  estimates for  $\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})$  was less than  $\pm 0.1\%$  for natural samples and less than  $\pm 0.002$   $^{13}\text{C}$  at. % for labeled samples. Phosphate, silicate and nitrate plus nitrite from our culture experiments were analyzed at OSU using the colorimetric techniques of *Strickland and Parsons* [1972] on an Alpkem “Flow Solution” Autoanalyzer continuous flow system.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Alkenone Concentration and the Contribution of Alkenone Producers to the Haptophyte Community at ALOHA

[19]  $\text{C}_{37}$  alkenone concentrations ( $\text{K}_{37}$ , sum of diunsaturated and triunsaturated  $\text{C}_{37}$  ketones) were consistently high throughout the SML during HOT-131 (fall) and KOK-0303 (winter) and decreased within the upper thermocline (Figure 1 and Table 1).  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentrations during KOK-0111 (summer) were also elevated in the SML, but reached a maximum ( $\sim 5\text{--}7$   $\text{ng L}^{-1}$ ) below the SML (Figure 1) within a zone of dissolved oxygen supersaturation [*Prahl et al.*, 2005], before decreasing with greater depth. The  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentrations during winter ( $\sim 9\text{--}16$   $\text{ng L}^{-1}$ ) in the SML were, however, approximately a factor of 2–4 higher than in summer ( $\sim 2\text{--}4$   $\text{ng L}^{-1}$ ) and fall ( $\sim 5$   $\text{ng L}^{-1}$ ). Throughout the year,  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentrations at the DCML were generally quite low ( $\sim 1\text{--}4$   $\text{ng L}^{-1}$ , but were up to  $\sim 10$   $\text{ng L}^{-1}$  in winter, Figure 1 and Table 1).

[20] The fact that  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentrations are generally low in the DCML and higher at shallower depths is surprising, at least on a cursory level. Throughout the year, the concentrations of 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin (19'Hex) and chlorophyll are well correlated at station ALOHA (see *Prahl et al.* [2005] and the Web archive for HOT program referenced in section 2.4). 19'Hex is a carotenoid often ascribed taxonomically to haptophyte algae at station ALOHA [*Letelier et al.*, 1993] of which *E. huxleyi* and potentially other alkenone-producing coccolithophores are prominent marine members [*Green and Leadbetter*, 1994]. In addition, as noted above subsurface alkenone production within the thermocline has been suggested as a potentially important source of alkenones preserved in sediments [*Prahl et al.*, 2005, and references therein]. On this basis, one might expect  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentration at station ALOHA to correlate with 19'Hex concentration and reach its maximum at the depth of the DCML. Although such correlation is not observed, this finding is perhaps not unexpected if the system is examined in finer detail. Although many haptophytes [*Jeffrey et al.*, 1997; *Van Lenning et al.*, 2004] as well as select dinoflagellates [*Moon-van der Staay et al.*, 2000] biosynthesize 19'Hex as a dominant carotenoid, alkenones are known to be produced in open oceanic waters like that at station ALOHA only by *E. huxleyi* and the closely related *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* [*Marlowe et al.*, 1984, 1990; *Brassell*, 1993; *Volkman et al.*, 1995]. Thus




Figure 1

lack of correlation could arise if alkenone-producing algae are minor contributors to the standing stock of  $^{19}\text{Hex}$  and the ecology of these organisms differs significantly from that of the major phytoplankton species contributing to the  $^{19}\text{Hex}$  distribution at ALOHA. To evaluate these observations, we compared our  $K_{37}$  concentrations with prior measurements of *E. huxleyi* cell density [Cortes et al., 2001] and quantitatively estimated how significantly alkenone-producing algae contribute to the haptophyte biomass using a simple algorithm calibrated using culture data.

[21] *E. huxleyi* synthesizes  $C_{37-39}$  alkenones as major biochemical components [Pond and Harris, 1996]. Total  $C_{37-39}$  alkenone concentrations in exponentially dividing cells are 1–2 pg cell<sup>-1</sup> [Prahl et al., 1988; Conte et al., 1998]. Of this amount, the  $C_{37}$  alkenones represent ~50% [Conte et al., 1998]. Assuming  $C_{37}$  alkenone concentrations in natural alkenone-producing populations are comparable (i.e., ~0.75 pg cell<sup>-1</sup>), simple algebra allows conversion of measured  $K_{37}$  concentration in a given water sample into an estimate of cell density. Using this approach,  $K_{37}$  concentrations measured at station ALOHA in the winter SML (~9–16 ng L<sup>-1</sup>) yield an estimate of ~12–21 × 10<sup>3</sup> cells L<sup>-1</sup> while  $K_{37}$  concentrations measured within the SML/thermocline in summer and fall (~2–7 ng L<sup>-1</sup>) yield an estimate of ~2–9 × 10<sup>3</sup> cells L<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1).

[22] Cortes et al. [2001] examined seasonal changes in coccolithophore abundance and community structure at station ALOHA over the period 1994–1996 with approximately monthly resolution. They found that *E. huxleyi* was present in most samples and when observed, made up >20% of the community. During their 3-year study period, *E. huxleyi* displayed peak abundance consistently in late winter to early spring at a surface to midwater depth within the euphotic zone, similar to features evident in our winter depth profile for  $K_{37}$  concentration (Figure 1c). Peak abundances determined by microscopic analysis varied between years and ranged from ~6 to 20 × 10<sup>3</sup> cell L<sup>-1</sup> [Cortes et al., 2001]. These values are within the cell density range we estimated from  $K_{37}$  concentrations measured throughout the year (Table 1).

[23] With knowledge of  $^{19}\text{Hex}$  and  $K_{37}$  concentrations in a water sample from the euphotic zone, equation (3) can be used to estimate the percent contribution of alkenone-producing cells to the total haptophyte community (%Hapto):

$$\% \text{Hapto} = \frac{[^{19}\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}} \times [K_{37}]_{\text{insitu}}}{[^{19}\text{Hex}]_{\text{insitu}}} \times 100. \quad (3)$$

In equation (3),  $[K_{37}]_{\text{insitu}}$  and  $[^{19}\text{Hex}]_{\text{insitu}}$  are the concentrations of total  $C_{37}$  alkenones and  $^{19}\text{Hex}$  measured in a water sample, respectively, and  $[^{19}\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}}$

represents the carotenoid to total  $C_{37}$  alkenone ratio of alkenone-producing cells. In batch culture experiments with *E. huxleyi*, the measured  $[^{19}\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}}$  value in exponentially growing cells is ~0.1 (Figure 2). Results from these experiments also show the value for  $[^{19}\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}}$  is not constant but decreases to ~0.04 in stationary phase, nutrient-limited cells (Figure 2c) and increases to ~0.3 when cells are exposed to complete darkness for multiple days (Figure 2d). Although culture data suggests the  $^{19}\text{Hex}$  quota may have some dependence on the cell's physiological status (Figures 2c and 2d), the primary cause for variation in  $[^{19}\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}}$  values is alkenone accumulation in nutrient-limited stationary phase cells ( $K_{37}$  ~ 1.85 pg cell<sup>-1</sup>) and depletion under conditions of long-term, dark stress ( $K_{37}$  ~ 0.25 pg cell<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 2) [see also Prahl et al., 2003].

[24] Given these constraints on  $[^{19}\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}}$  values and the assumption that the responses found in the clone used in our experiments is representative of the alkenone-producing community at station ALOHA, %Hapto estimates can be made from measured concentrations of  $^{19}\text{Hex}$  and  $K_{37}$  in the water column at station ALOHA. If cells at the depth of maximum alkenone concentration are growing exponentially, they would contribute only ~6–10% and ~2–5% to the total haptophyte community in winter and summer/fall, respectively (Table 1). Waters at the depth of maximum alkenone concentration are chronically nitrate-depleted [Karl et al., 2002; Letelier et al., 2004], however, so one might assume that cells at this depth are in a stationary growth phase. Although our <sup>13</sup>C-uptake experiments (see below) indicate that cells at this depth are producing alkenones, it is not possible from our results to determine if they are actually dividing. Assuming the stationary growth value of  $[^{19}\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}}$  (i.e., 0.04, Figure 2c) applies at the depth of the  $K_{37}$  concentration maximum, solution of equation (3) suggests the contribution of alkenone-producing cells to the total haptophyte community is less than ~4% in winter and less than ~2% in summer/fall (Table 1).

[25] The  $^{19}\text{Hex}$  concentrations are highly correlated with chlorophyll concentrations at station ALOHA and consequently maximize in the DCML. On the other hand,  $K_{37}$  concentrations at the depth of the DCML are generally quite low throughout the year (Figure 1). Assuming cells within the DCML are exponentially growing, %Hapto estimates for the DCML are <1–3% in all seasons. The depth of the 1% light level was ~110–120 m from June through August 2001 and ~100–110 m for October 2001 and January–February 2003. However, the DCML persists at a specific potential density surface, yet its depth below the sea surface can vary up to 50 m on the timescale of hours to days as a result of inertial period and semidiurnal tidal oscillations [Karl et al., 2002]. Consequently, cells at the DCML should

**Figure 1.** Profiles for  $K_{37}$  concentration (solid circles),  $K_{37:2}$  production rate (squares), and  $K_{37:2}$  turnover rate (open circles) with depth throughout the euphotic zone at station ALOHA for (a and d) KOK 0111 in July 2001, (b and e) HOT 131 in October 2001, and (c and f) KOK 0303 in February 2003. For reference purposes, plots Figures 1a–1c have been annotated with the profiles for temperature (dashed line) and chlorophyll *a* concentration (solid line) measured using the in situ conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) package and fluorometer, respectively, attached to the water sampling package, and Figures 1d–1f have been annotated for PAR (solid line) (see text for details).


**Table 1.**  $K_{37}$  Concentrations, Cell Density Calculated From  $K_{37}$  Concentration, %Hapto Estimates, and Alkenone Unsaturation Patterns ( $U_{37}^K$ ) Determined From Analysis of Surface Waters at Station ALOHA<sup>a</sup>

Samples	Depth, m	Depth Zone	[ $K_{37}$ ], ng L <sup>-1</sup>	Density, cell L <sup>-1</sup>	%Hapto	$U_{37}^K$	CTD-T, °C	$\Delta T$ , °C
<i>KOK-0111, July 2001</i>								
Shipboard pump	7	SML	3.0	$4.0 \times 10^3$	2.7 (1.1) <sup>b</sup>	0.911	25.3	0.3
Shipboard pump	7	SML	3.2	$4.3 \times 10^3$	2.9 (1.2) <sup>b</sup>	0.846	25.3	-1.6
Shipboard pump	7	SML	2.3	$3.1 \times 10^3$	2.1 (0.8) <sup>b</sup>	0.904	25.3	0.1
Shipboard pump	7	SML	4.0	$5.3 \times 10^3$	3.6 (1.5) <sup>b</sup>	0.836	25.3	-1.9
Shipboard pump	7	SML	2.3	$3.1 \times 10^3$	2.1 (0.8) <sup>b</sup>	0.864	25.3	-1.0
In situ pump	20	SML	2.6	$3.5 \times 10^3$	2.4 (0.9) <sup>b</sup>	0.901	25.3	0.0
CTD rosette	25	SML	1.6	$2.1 \times 10^3$	1.5 (0.6) <sup>b</sup>	0.911	25.3	0.3
In situ pump	49	thermocline	5.5	$7.3 \times 10^3$	2.4 (1.0) <sup>b</sup>	0.795	24.8	-2.5
In situ pump	50	thermocline	5.3	$7.1 \times 10^3$	1.8 (0.7) <sup>b</sup>	0.809	24.7	-2.0
In situ pump	50	thermocline	4.5	$6.0 \times 10^3$	1.5 (0.6) <sup>b</sup>	0.812	24.7	-1.9
CTD rosette	50	thermocline	5.7	$7.6 \times 10^3$	1.9 (0.8) <sup>b</sup>	0.808	24.7	-2.0
In situ pump	53	thermocline	5.5	$7.3 \times 10^3$	1.8 (0.7) <sup>b</sup>	0.788	24.4	-2.3
In situ pump	55	thermocline	4.9	$6.5 \times 10^3$	1.6 (0.7) <sup>b</sup>	0.800	24.2	-1.8
In situ pump	63	thermocline	5.5	$7.3 \times 10^3$	1.8 (0.7) <sup>b</sup>	0.766	23.9	-2.5
In situ pump	65	thermocline	5.8	$7.7 \times 10^3$	1.9 (0.8) <sup>b</sup>	0.756	23.9	-2.8
In situ pump	70	thermocline	6.7	$8.9 \times 10^3$	1.5 (0.6) <sup>b</sup>	0.753	23.8	-2.8
CTD rosette	80	thermocline	4.5	$6.0 \times 10^3$	1.0 (0.4) <sup>b</sup>	0.762	23.2	-2.0
In situ pump	96	DCML	3.7	$4.9 \times 10^3$	0.7 (2.2) <sup>c</sup>	0.883	22.6	2.3
CTD rosette	100	DCML	1.8	$2.4 \times 10^3$	0.3 (0.9) <sup>c</sup>	0.871	22.5	1.9
CTD rosette	120	DCML	1.2	$1.6 \times 10^3$	0.2 (0.5) <sup>c</sup>	0.913	22.0	3.7
CTD rosette	135	DCML	0.8	$1.1 \times 10^3$	0.1 (0.3) <sup>c</sup>	0.894	21.3	3.8
CTD rosette	165	DCML	3.0	$0.7 \times 10^3$	0.2 (0.5) <sup>c</sup>	0.850	20.2	3.7
<i>HOT-131, October 2001</i>								
Shipboard pump	7	SML	4.9	$6.5 \times 10^3$	4.5 (1.8) <sup>b</sup>	0.825	25.4	-2.3
In situ pump	30	SML	5.0	$6.6 \times 10^3$	3.7 (1.5) <sup>b</sup>	0.804	25.4	-2.9
In situ pump	60	SML	4.8	$6.4 \times 10^3$	1.7 (0.7) <sup>b</sup>	0.823	25.4	-2.3
CTD rosette	80	DCML	1.2	$1.6 \times 10^3$	0.2 (0.7) <sup>c</sup>	0.884	22.1	2.8
In situ pump	82	DCML	3.8	$5.0 \times 10^3$	0.8 (2.3) <sup>c</sup>	0.882	22.0	2.8
In situ pump	92	DCML	2.3	$3.0 \times 10^3$	0.7 (2.2) <sup>c</sup>	0.897	21.7	3.5
In situ pump	102	DCML	1.2	$1.6 \times 10^3$	0.4 (1.1) <sup>c</sup>	0.898	21.3	4.0
CTD rosette	120	DCML	0.5	$0.7 \times 10^3$	0.1 (0.4) <sup>c</sup>	0.792	20.6	2.5
<i>KOK-0303, February 2003</i>								
Shipboard pump	7	SML	9.3	$12.4 \times 10^3$	7.6 (3.1) <sup>b</sup>	0.886	22.9	2.0
Shipboard pump	7	SML	8.8	$11.7 \times 10^3$	7.2 (2.9) <sup>b</sup>	0.876	22.9	1.7
Shipboard pump	7	SML	12.1	$16.2 \times 10^3$	9.9 (4.0) <sup>b</sup>	0.875	22.9	1.7
Shipboard pump	7	SML	12.5	$16.6 \times 10^3$	10.2 (4.1) <sup>b</sup>	0.866	22.9	1.4
In situ pump	17	SML	11.6	$15.5 \times 10^3$	9.5 (3.8) <sup>b</sup>	0.861	22.9	1.3
In situ pump	37	SML	11.7	$15.6 \times 10^3$	9.6 (3.8) <sup>b</sup>	0.854	22.9	1.1
CTD rosette	40	SML	9.0	$12.0 \times 10^3$	7.4 (3.0) <sup>b</sup>	0.898	22.9	2.3
In situ pump	57	SML	12.9	$17.3 \times 10^3$	9.1 (3.6) <sup>b</sup>	0.874	22.9	1.7
In situ pump	72	SML	12.4	$16.5 \times 10^3$	8.0 (3.2) <sup>b</sup>	0.855	22.9	1.1
In situ pump	77	SML	11.8	$15.7 \times 10^3$	7.6 (3.0) <sup>b</sup>	0.879	22.9	1.8
CTD rosette	80	SML	8.8	$11.8 \times 10^3$	5.7 (2.3) <sup>b</sup>	0.875	22.9	1.7
In situ pump	80	SML	15.5	$20.6 \times 10^3$	10.0 (4.0) <sup>b</sup>	0.859	22.9	1.2
In situ pump	97	DCML	8.3	$11.1 \times 10^3$	2.7 (8.0) <sup>c</sup>	0.861	22.9	1.3
CTD rosette	100	DCML	4.0	$5.3 \times 10^3$	1.3 (3.8) <sup>c</sup>	0.875	22.9	1.7
In situ pump	100	DCML	2.5	$3.4 \times 10^3$	0.8 (2.4) <sup>c</sup>	0.853	22.9	1.0
In situ pump	117	DCML	6.8	$9.1 \times 10^3$	1.3 (4.0) <sup>c</sup>	0.855	22.5	1.5
In situ pump	117	DCML	9.5	$12.6 \times 10^3$	1.8 (5.5) <sup>c</sup>	0.862	22.5	1.7
CTD rosette	120	DCML	2.7	$3.7 \times 10^3$	0.5 (1.6) <sup>c</sup>	0.896	22.1	3.1
In situ pump	120	DCML	4.9	$6.5 \times 10^3$	0.9 (2.8) <sup>c</sup>	0.877	22.7	2.5
In situ pump	133	DCML	2.7	$3.6 \times 10^3$	0.5 (1.6) <sup>c</sup>	0.819	22.2	1.2
In situ pump	137	DCML	1.4	$1.9 \times 10^3$	0.3 (0.8) <sup>c</sup>	0.836	21.5	1.8
In situ pump	140	DCML	2.6	$3.5 \times 10^3$	0.8 (2.5) <sup>c</sup>	0.867	22.2	2.7
In situ pump	153	DCML	2.9	$3.9 \times 10^3$	0.9 (2.8) <sup>c</sup>	0.798	21.6	1.1

<sup>a</sup>See text for details of cell density calculated from  $K_{37}$  concentration; see equation (3) and text for details of %Hapto estimates. CTD is conductivity-temperature-depth; SML is surface mixed layer; DCML is deep chlorophyll maximum layer; and  $\Delta T$  is defined as the difference between estimated ( $U_{37}^K$ -T) and measured (CTD-T) temperature, where  $U_{37}^K$ -T is calculated from  $U_{37}^K$  using the calibration equation of *Prahl et al.* [1988].

<sup>b</sup>Here  $[19\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}} = 0.04$ , value assumed to be appropriate for nutrient limitation.

<sup>c</sup>Here  $[19\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}} = 0.3$ , value assumed to be appropriate for light limitation.



**Figure 2.** Batch culture experiments conducted with *E. huxleyi* (CCMP 1742) to test the effect of (a and c) nutrient depletion (nutrient stress experiment) and (b and d) darkness (darkness stress experiment) on cellular pigment and alkenone contents. The shaded areas in Figures 2b and 2d indicate a 5-day period in the light limitation experiment when the culture flask was covered with sufficient aluminum foil to exclude all light but not to impede gaseous exchange between the culture media and the atmosphere. Figures 2a and 2b display growth curves for each experiment. Lines identify the portion of each curve used to calculate exponential growth rates (0.37–0.40 day<sup>-1</sup>). Data showing drawdown of nitrate and phosphate concentration in each experiment are also plotted.

experience fluctuating light levels and not constant darkness. Nevertheless, even assigning a  $[19\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}}$  value appropriate for cells in continuous darkness (i.e., 0.3, Figure 2) to yield a maximum %Hapto estimate ( $\sim 1\text{--}8\%$ , Table 1), one still must conclude that alkenone-producing cells contribute negligibly to  $19\text{Hex}$  concentrations at the depth of the DCML.

[26] Our conclusion that alkenone-producing haptophytes contribute so little to the  $19\text{Hex}$  signal anywhere in the euphotic zone at station ALOHA is potentially not without precedent. Using molecular probes, *Moon-van der Staay et al.* [2000] found that taxa other than haptophytes may have been responsible for the level of  $19\text{Hex}$  observed in waters from the open, oligotrophic subequatorial and equatorial regions of the Pacific.

[27] For purposes of comparison with station ALOHA, we used the data set of *Shin et al.* [2002] to make %Hapto

estimates for surface waters from the Bering Sea during a major *E. huxleyi* bloom in 2000. In those waters,  $K_{37}$  concentration ranged from 190 to 3120 ng L<sup>-1</sup> and  $19\text{Hex}$  concentration ranged from 30 to 810 ng L<sup>-1</sup> at 0–5 m depth for the four stations sampled. Assuming cells were growing exponentially under nutrient-replete conditions, %Hapto estimates ranged from a minimum of 7% (station BR5) to 104% (station BR 10). However, the concentrations of nitrate + nitrite + ammonium at station BR 10 was only 0.15  $\mu\text{M}$  and the total C<sub>37</sub> turnover rate was the lowest measured [*Shin et al.*, 2002] suggesting that *E. huxleyi* cells at this site were likely nitrogen-limited and either in a late logarithmic or stationary growth phase. Assuming a stationary growth value for  $[19\text{Hex}/K_{37}]_{\text{apc}}$  ( $\sim 0.04$ , Figure 2), %Hapto at station BR 10 was estimated to be 42%. Despite the range of variability, our calculations show alkenone-producing algae contribute quite significantly to the total



**Table 2.** Summary of Measured Variables in Batch Culture Experiments to Investigate the  $^{13}\text{C}$  Labeling of Alkenones

$\mu$ , $\text{d}^{-1}$	t, d	$\Sigma\text{CO}_2$ , $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$	Alkalinity, $\mu\text{eq kg}^{-1}$	$\delta_{\text{DIC}}$ , ‰	$[\text{CO}_2(\text{aq})]$ , $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$	$\delta_{\text{CO}_2}$ , ‰	$\text{K}_{37:2}$ , $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	$\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$	$\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$ T, $^{\circ}\text{C}$	$\delta_{37:2}$ , ‰	$\delta_{37:3}$ , ‰	$\text{K}_{37:2}$ PR, $\mu\text{g L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$	$\text{K}_{37:3}$ PR, $\mu\text{g L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$	PR- $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$	PR- $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$ T, $^{\circ}\text{C}$
<i>E. huxleyi</i> (CCMP 1742), Temperature = 15.3°C															
0.32 ( $\pm 0.03$ )	0.00	1.77	2.29	209.4	4.1	198.3	14.3	0.569	15.6	-36.1	-34.1				
0.32 ( $\pm 0.03$ )	0.50	1.77	2.29	209.4	4.1	198.3	22.4	0.566	15.5	-0.7	-5.6	6.9	4.3	0.615	17.0
0.32 ( $\pm 0.03$ )	1.00	1.77	2.29	209.4	4.1	198.3	27.1	0.556	15.2	29.9	20.7	7.8	5.2	0.599	16.5
0.32 ( $\pm 0.03$ )	0.00	1.73	2.28	239.6	3.7	228.2	15.8	0.553	15.1	-36.2	-33.4				
0.32 ( $\pm 0.03$ )	0.50	1.73	2.28	239.6	3.7	228.2	22.9	0.547	14.9	2.5	-1.5	6.8	4.7	0.592	16.3
0.32 ( $\pm 0.03$ )	1.00	1.73	2.28	239.6	3.7	228.2	26.6	0.566	15.5	38.4	27.3	7.6	4.8	0.614	16.9
<i>E. huxleyi</i> (CCMP 1742), Temperature = 19.5°C															
0.47 ( $\pm 0.06$ )	0.00	1.83	2.29	125.9	6.2	116.0	19.1	0.724	20.1	-33.1	-33.8				
0.47 ( $\pm 0.06$ )	0.50	1.83	2.29	125.9	6.2	116.0	22.7	0.731	20.4	-3.7	-11.2	9.3	8.6	0.781	21.8
0.47 ( $\pm 0.06$ )	1.00	1.83	2.29	125.9	6.2	116.0	34.6	0.724	20.2	22.4	9.2	13.0	13.2	0.770	21.5
0.47 ( $\pm 0.06$ )	2.00	1.83	2.29	125.9	6.2	116.0	62.2	0.725	20.2	52.4	40.3	18.3	23.6	0.753	21.0
0.47 ( $\pm 0.13$ )	0.00	1.84	2.30	126.0	6.3	116.1	17.2	0.725	20.2	-18.1	-20.6				
0.47 ( $\pm 0.13$ )	0.50	1.84	2.30	126.0	6.3	116.1	24.3	0.723	20.1	-0.7	-8.7	6.5	9.4	0.795	22.2
0.47 ( $\pm 0.13$ )	1.00	1.84	2.30	126.0	6.3	116.1	32.4	0.721	20.1	21.6	10.2	9.9	12.5	0.773	21.6
0.47 ( $\pm 0.13$ )	2.00	1.84	2.30	126.0	6.3	116.1	50.1	0.731	20.3	47.8	36.1	12.7	18.5	0.763	21.3

haptophyte biomass under *E. huxleyi* bloom conditions. This intuitively expected finding for waters experiencing a major *E. huxleyi* bloom suggests that the %Hapto model provides a realistic semiquantitative sense of how significantly alkenone-producing algae contribute to the haptophyte biomass in given regions.

### 3.2. Alkenone Production at ALOHA

[28]  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentration in the water column is conceptually a function of production and loss, one mode of which is export to sediments [Parsons *et al.*, 1984]. Therefore  $\text{K}_{37}$  standing stock at any given depth could be low if alkenone losses greatly exceeded production rate or conversely, high if alkenone loss was much lower than its production rate. Consequently, in addition to determining depth profiles for alkenone standing stock in the euphotic zone, we also determined the net alkenone production rates with depth using our in situ  $^{13}\text{C}$  incubation method. Results showed that production rate was clearly depth-dependent and the depth of maximum alkenone standing stock coincided with that of maximum alkenone production (Figure 1).

[29] We tested assumptions underlying alkenone production rate calculations based on  $^{13}\text{C}$  labeling using results from laboratory batch culture experiments (Table 2). Regression analysis of production rates determined using alkenone  $^{13}\text{C}$  labeling versus production rates determined from the change in  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentrations in these experiments yielded a slope and intercept not significantly different ( $p < 0.01$ ) from one and zero, respectively. These results imply that short-term (24 hours) incubations provided a reasonable measure of alkenone production rate. Hamanaka *et al.* [2000] and Shin *et al.* [2002] suggested that the  $\text{K}_{37:2}$  and  $\text{K}_{37:3}$  production rates could be used to determine the instantaneous  $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$  (PR- $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$ ) or the  $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$  set by the cells during the course of the incubation. Although conventional  $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$  measurements during our laboratory experiments matched the actual isothermal experimental temperatures well, PR- $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$  consistently yielded overestimates (Table 2). Under isothermal conditions, PR- $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$  would match the culture temperature

only if the rates of  $\text{K}_{37:2}$  and  $\text{K}_{37:3}$  labeling are identical. In our experiments, the rate of  $^{13}\text{C}$  labeling of  $\text{K}_{37:2}$  consistently exceeded that of  $\text{K}_{37:3}$  (Table 2), a pattern also displayed in experimental samples from in situ incubations conducted in our study at station ALOHA (data not shown) and in the Bering Sea [Shin *et al.*, 2002]. On the basis of these findings, we conclude that the proposed  $^{13}\text{C}$ -labeling method of assessing instantaneous  $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$  values [Hamanaka *et al.*, 2000] is not a realistic prospect. The observed differential labeling rate of the  $\text{K}_{37}$  compounds is a consequence of the pulse-chase  $^{13}\text{C}$  tracer approach and reflects cellular dynamics of the alkenone biosynthetic process. Therefore we have not calculated PR- $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K}}$  values in our field study. Furthermore, our findings indicate that the  $^{13}\text{C}$  labeling method would provide a nearly equivalent but nonetheless not identical assessment of alkenone production rates if calculated using data for  $\text{K}_{37:2}$  or  $\text{K}_{37:3}$ . Our reported results are based on analysis of data for  $\text{K}_{37:2}$ , the predominant alkenone in samples from station ALOHA.

[30] Although  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentration and  $\text{K}_{37:2}$  production rate maxima occurred at similar depths, highest production rate did not equate with highest standing stock in our seasonal data set at station ALOHA (Figure 1). We measured highest alkenone production rates in summer (KOK-0111), a time when  $\text{K}_{37}$  concentration was a factor of 2–3 lower than in winter (KOK-0303). The implication of this finding is that measurement of standing stock alone does not allow conclusive interpretation of alkenone production rates or sedimentary export [see also Prah *et al.*, 2005]. On the other hand, surprisingly consistent  $\text{K}_{37:2}$  turnover rates were observed in the SML throughout the year (Figures 1d–1f).  $\text{K}_{37:2}$  turnover rates should reflect the physiological state of the alkenone-producing algae. Therefore these results indicate that cellular alkenone production rates are reasonably uniform throughout the year and that the seasonal change in  $\text{K}_{37}$  standing stock is controlled mainly by loss. However, it is not possible given available data to determine any more specifically how alkenone loss on a seasonal basis is apportioned between recycling within the upper water

**Table 3.** Total C<sub>37</sub> Alkenone Concentrations (K<sub>37</sub>), U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup>-Derived Water Temperature Estimates (U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup>-T), Stable Carbon Isotopic ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) Composition of the Diunsaturated C<sub>37</sub> Alkenone (K<sub>37:2</sub>) Determined From Analysis of Natural and  $^{13}\text{C}$  Incubated Waters at Station ALOHA in Three Different Seasons, Summer (KOK-0111, July 2001), Fall (HOT-131, October 2001), and Winter (KOK-0303, February 2003), Calculated K<sub>37</sub> Production, and Turnover Rates<sup>a</sup>

Collection Method	Collection Depth, m	Incubation Depth, m	K <sub>37</sub> , ng L <sup>-1</sup>	U <sub>37</sub> <sup>K'</sup> -T, °C	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{37:2}$ Versus VPDB, ‰	SD (n)	K <sub>37:2</sub> -PR, ng L <sup>-1</sup> d <sup>-1</sup>	Turnover, day <sup>-1</sup>
<i>KOK-0111: Natural</i>								
Shipboard pump	7		4.0	23.4	-26.5	±0.3 (3)		
Shipboard pump	7		2.3	24.3	-26.3	±0.0 (2)		
In situ pump	53		5.5	22.1	-27.1	±0.2 (2)		
In situ pump	63		5.5	21.4	-26.2	±0.1 (3)		
In situ pump	65		5.8	21.1	-25.8	±1.2 (3)		
In situ pump	96		3.7	24.9	-27.3	±0.2 (2)		
<i>KOK-0111: In Situ <sup>13</sup>C Incubations</i>								
Free-floating array	25	25	1.6	25.6	6.5	±0.5 (2)	0.22	0.14
Free-floating array	50	50	5.7	22.7	46.7	±0.1 (2)	1.50	0.37
Free-floating array	120	120	1.2	25.7	-12.3	(1)	0.07	0.06
<i>KOK-0111: In Situ <sup>13</sup>C Incubations (Depth Shift Experiments)</i>								
Free-floating array	135	25	1.1	24.0	43.8	(1)	0.48	0.44
<i>HOT-131: Natural</i>								
Shipboard pump	7		4.9	23.1	-25.2	±0.7 (3)		
In situ pump	30		5.0	22.5	-23.1	±0.7 (2)		
In situ pump	60		4.8	23.1	-23.1	±0.2 (2)		
In situ pump	82		3.8	24.8	-24.5	±0.7 (3)		
In situ pump	92		2.3	25.2	-23.9	±0.3 (2)		
In situ pump	102		1.2	25.3	-19.8	±1.5 (2)		
<i>HOT-131: In situ <sup>13</sup>C Incubations</i>								
Free-floating array	30–60	30–60	3.4	22.8	12.7	±1.8 (3)	0.45	0.13
Free-floating array	80	80	1.2	24.9	19.3	(1)	0.21	0.18
Free-floating array	120	120	0.5	23.1	-1.4	(1)	0.04	0.08
<i>KOK-0303: Natural</i>								
Shipboard pump	7		9.3	24.9	-27.0	(1)		
In situ pump	37		11.7	24.0	-27.3	±0.3 (4)		
In situ pump	80		15.5	24.1	-26.4	±0.1 (2)		
In situ pump	97		8.3	24.2	-26.7	±0.4 (6)		
In situ pump	120		4.9	25.2	-26.9	±0.4 (4)		
<i>KOK-0303: In situ <sup>13</sup>C Incubations</i>								
Free-floating array	40	40	9.0	25.2	-1.0	±0.1 (2)	1.05	0.12
Free-floating array	80	80	8.8	24.6	-13.8	±0.2 (3)	0.50	0.06
Free-floating array	100	100	4.0	24.6	-20.7	±0.3 (2)	0.11	0.03
Free-floating array	120	120	2.7	25.2	-18.6	±0.4 (2)	0.10	0.04
<i>KOK-0303: In Situ <sup>13</sup>C Incubations (Depth Shift Experiments)</i>								
Free-floating array	120	40	10.3	24.3	87.1	±0.4 (3)	5.25	0.51
Free-floating array	120	80	5.5	24.8	52.5	±0.4 (2)	1.94	0.35
Free-floating array	120	100	1.9	23.2	7.0	±0.2 (2)	0.29	0.15
Free-floating array	120	120	2.2	23.9	-12.9	(1)	0.14	0.06


<sup>a</sup>See text for details of U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup>-Derived Water Temperature Estimates (U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup>-T). SD (n) represents the standard deviation of the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  measurement on K<sub>37:2</sub> and the number of injections for the sample (n) on the irmGCMS.

column and sedimentary export, the latter process being of key interest to paleoceanographic studies.

### 3.3. Alkenone Unsaturation Patterns in the Euphotic Zone

[31] U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup> values recorded in particles from each sampling period (Table 1) were converted into water temperature estimates (U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup> = 0.034T + 0.039 [Prahl *et al.*, 1988]) and plotted versus depth for each season (Figure 3). U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup>-estimated temperatures are nearly always different from measured in situ temperatures with systematic seasonal

differences apparent. U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup>-derived temperatures overestimated in situ wintertime (KOK-0303) temperatures by ~1.5°C in the SML, where K<sub>37</sub> concentration and K<sub>37:2</sub> production rate reach their maximum (Figures 1c, 1f, and 3c). This overestimate has been ascribed to a change in the haptophyte ecology at ALOHA to alkenone-producing species that exhibit different U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup> responses to growth temperature [Prahl *et al.*, 2005]. In that study, we showed that only a small change in the calibration equation used to calculate growth temperature from U<sub>37</sub><sup>K'</sup> would be required to explain the uniform ~1.5°C overestimate of in situ




**Figure 3.** Profiles for  $U_{37}^K$ -estimated water temperatures (circles) with depth throughout the euphotic zone at station ALOHA in (a) summer (KOK 0111, July 2001), (b) fall (HOT 131, October 2001), and (c) winter (KOK 0303, February 2003).  $U_{37}^K$  temperatures were estimated using the calibration of *Prahl et al.* [1988] (see text for details). For reference purposes these plots have been annotated with the profiles for temperature (dashed line) and chlorophyll *a* concentration (solid line) measured using the in situ CTD package and fluorometer, respectively, attached to the water sampling package.

temperatures. On the other hand,  $U_{37}^K$ -derived temperatures significantly underestimated summer (KOK-0111) and fall (HOT-131) in situ temperatures at the depth where  $K_{37:2}$  production rates reached their maximum (Figures 3a and 3b). Underestimation occurred in the upper 20 m of the thermocline in summer and in the SML in fall. Within the SML in summer (KOK-0111) where production rates are lower than in the upper thermocline, while considerable scatter in  $U_{37}^K$  exists, the average  $U_{37}^K$ -derived temperatures were not significantly (two-sample *t* test assuming unequal variance,  $p = 0.088$ ,  $n = 7$ ) different from in situ temperatures (Figure 3a and Table 1). Finally,  $U_{37}^K$ -derived temperatures overestimated in situ temperatures at and below the DCML throughout the year. The overestimate of in situ DCML temperatures is obvious in summer and fall but is even apparent in the wintertime where the average difference between  $U_{37}^K$ -derived temperatures and in situ temperatures are larger below the SML ( $2.0 \pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$ ) than they are within the SML ( $1.5 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ ; Figure 3 and Table 1).

[32] *Prahl et al.* [2005] suggested that the  $U_{37}^K$ -derived overestimate of in situ temperatures at and below the DCML throughout the year was a physiological response to light limitation on the growth rate of alkenone-producing

algae and hence  $K_{37:2}$  production rates [see also *Prahl et al.*, 2003]. To further investigate this prospect, we tested experimentally for light limitation on alkenone production rates. We examined  $K_{37:2}$  production rate as a function of PAR at the depth of incubation (Figure 4). At depths greater than  $\sim 80$  m, PAR is consistently less than  $\sim 60 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  throughout the year and  $K_{37:2}$  production rates are correlated with PAR (solid line in Figure 4). On the other hand, the correlation is improved if the production rate at 50 m (PAR =  $131 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) measured during KOK-0111 is also included. Saturating light intensities reported for laboratory cultures of *E. huxleyi* range from 72 to  $>600 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for photosynthesis ( $107 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  [*Paasche*, 1964];  $72\text{--}114 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  [*Nielsen*, 1995];  $117\text{--}299 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  [*Nielsen*, 1997] (depending on the acclimation light intensity);  $351\text{--}1000 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  [*Balch et al.*, 1992] (depending on temperature and nitrate concentration); and  $\sim 80 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  [*Zondervan et al.*, 2002]). The observed correlation with PAR is consistent with alkenone production being light-limited and is likely responsible for the  $U_{37}^K$ -derived overestimate of in situ temperatures in the DCML. Furthermore, constraint of this correlation to  $\text{PAR} \leq 100 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  indicates the lower limit on saturating irradiances defined by



**Figure 4.** Plot of the photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) as a function of the  $K_{37:2}$  production rate at station ALOHA. The shaded box represents the approximate lower limits on saturating irradiances for *E. huxleyi* based on culture experiments (see text for details). The solid line in the plot represents a linear least squares regression analysis fit to the data with  $\text{PAR} < 80 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  from all three cruises ( $y = 0.007x + 0.04$ ,  $r^2 = 0.64$ ). The dashed line in the plot represents a linear least squares regression analysis fit to the data with  $\text{PAR} < 130 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  from all three cruises ( $y = 0.011x - 0.02$ ,  $r^2 = 0.95$ ).


results from laboratory culture experiments with *E. huxleyi* is characteristic of that for natural populations at station ALOHA.

[33] We also investigated light limitation effects on alkenone production rates by collecting water at the DCML on two cruises and incubating it at a shallower depth where PAR was higher. The DCML at station ALOHA is situated near the nitracline [Letelier *et al.*, 2004] and thus close to an abundant supply of fixed inorganic nitrogen. Our assumption was that relief of light limitation on cells residing in the nutrient-replete DCML would stimulate  $K_{37:2}$  production rate. In all cases, incubating DCML water at a shallower depth stimulated  $K_{37:2}$  production rate (Table 3). During KOK-0111, incubating water collected from the DCML ( $\sim 3 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) at 25 m ( $\sim 490 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) increased  $K_{37:2}$  production rates by a factor of  $\sim 7$ . During KOK-0303, incubating water from the DCML ( $\sim 7 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) at 100 m ( $\sim 17 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ), 80 m ( $\sim 42 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and 40 m ( $\sim 245 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) increased  $K_{37:2}$  production rates by a factor of 2, 14 and 38, respectively. Clearly, these results indicate that the physiology of the alkenone-producing cells residing in the DCML was in some way affected by incubations at a shallower depth. Although the increase in  $K_{37:2}$  production rates could have been due to the effect of the small increase in temperature ( $\sim 2.5^\circ\text{C}$  in summer experiment;  $\leq 1^\circ\text{C}$  in winter) on growth rate [Eppley, 1972], the results of our depth shift experiments taken in conjunction with the apparent dependence of  $K_{37:2}$  production rate on PAR (Figure 4) strongly suggest that relief of light limitation is the key explanation for the noted increase

in  $K_{37:2}$  production rates. Since several workers [Epstein *et al.*, 2001; Versteegh *et al.*, 2001; Prahl *et al.*, 2003] have shown that low light can increase significantly  $U_{37}^K$ , it follows that the overestimate of  $U_{37}^K$ -derived temperatures at the DCML throughout the year could be at least partially due to the effects of low light. An alternative hypothesis is that alkenones at the depth of the DCML are in part derived from sinking particles with  $U_{37}^K$  values representing growth in warmer, shallower water. We reject this hypothesis based on results from KOK-0111. Highest alkenone production during that cruise occurred just below the SML where  $U_{37}^K$ -derived temperatures are equal to or lower than the in situ temperature at the DCML. Sinking particles derived from this depth of greatest production would bias  $U_{37}^K$  values in the DCML to lower, not higher temperatures.

[34] If our interpretation of the effect of light limitation on the physiology of alkenone-producing algae residing in the DCML is correct, it follows that growth under the extreme nutrient limitation characteristic of station ALOHA at the depth of the alkenone production maximum may have affected the  $U_{37}^K$  of cells at depths where light was not limited. Phytoplankton growth in the upper region (0–90 m) of the euphotic zone at station ALOHA is thought to be almost permanently under nutrient-limiting conditions [e.g., Letelier *et al.*, 2004]. The historic nitrate and phosphate levels at this site are consistent with nutrient limitation on the growth of the alkenone-producing algae (see web archive for HOT program referenced above).  $U_{37}^K$  temperatures underestimated measured temperatures at the depth of the  $K_{37:2}$  production maximum by  $1.8^\circ\text{--}2.3^\circ\text{C}$  in the summer and  $2.3^\circ\text{--}2.9^\circ\text{C}$  in the fall (Figure 3 and Table 2). Several authors [Epstein *et al.*, 1998; Versteegh *et al.*, 2001; Prahl *et al.*, 2003] have shown that growth of alkenone-producing haptophytes under nutrient limitation can alter values of  $U_{37}^K$  by amounts equivalent to a  $2^\circ\text{--}3^\circ\text{C}$  change in growth temperature. Assuming these experimental findings for laboratory cultures apply to natural populations, the underestimate of in situ temperature could reflect the communities' physiological response to the highly limited availability of nutrients at these depths. Although inclusion of the production rate measurement at 50 m during KOK-0111 improved the correlation between  $K_{37:2}$  production rate and PAR (dashed line, Figure 4) suggesting light limitation on  $K_{37:2}$  production rate even at 50 m, the underestimate of  $U_{37}^K$  throughout the thermocline in summer is consistent with the effects of nutrient limitation. The 50 m datum may fall in the transition between first-order and zero-order kinetic control of light on production rate and therefore the improved correlation was simply fortuitous.

[35] Despite the complexities in alkenone biogeochemistry,  $U_{37}^K$  and CTD temperature for all water column samples at station ALOHA plot within  $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$  of the global ocean  $U_{37}^K$  – maSST calibration equation now established by Muller *et al.* [1998] from analysis of core top sediments (Figure 5). It should also be kept in mind that our sediment trap study at station ALOHA [Prahl *et al.*, 2005] showed that the  $U_{37}^K$  temperature estimates derived from the flux-weighted average  $U_{37}^K$  estimated temperatures were only slightly warmer than maSST during 1992–1993 and essentially the same in 2000–2001. Therefore, while our station ALOHA



**Figure 5.** Plot of  $U_{37}^{K'}$  as a function of CTD temperature for all water column samples measured (KOK 0111, July 2001; HOT 131, October 2001; and KOK 0303, February 2003). The solid line in the plot represents the  $U_{37}^{K'}$  correlation with mean annual sea surface temperature from global ocean core top sediments of *Muller et al.* [1998], whereas the dashed lines represent a  $\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$  window of uncertainty surrounding the calibration line.

studies strongly suggest that the genetic and nonthermal physiological factors identified previously in laboratory experiments can be apparent in water column field data, the average  $U_{37}^{K'}$  value transmitted to the paleorecord at this site still provide a reasonable estimate of mean annual sea surface temperature.

#### 4. Conclusions and Implications

[36] Our seasonal study at station ALOHA indicated that the alkenone-producing algae were a small fraction of the haptophyte community and implied that their ecology differed significantly from that of the major phytoplankton species contributing to the 19'Hex distribution at this site. Results showed that the  $K_{37:2}$  production rate was depth-dependent and that the depth of maximum  $K_{37}$  standing stocks and  $K_{37:2}$  production rates are essentially coincident. Nevertheless, we found significant seasonal variations in  $K_{37}$  concentrations. Since  $K_{37:2}$  turnover rates were reasonably constant throughout the year, our results imply that alkenone loss controlled the seasonal change in  $K_{37}$  concentrations and indicate that  $K_{37}$  standing stock measurements alone do not allow conclusive interpretation of alkenone production and loss either via in situ recycling or export to sediments.

[37] We found that  $U_{37}^{K'}$ -estimated and measured in situ temperatures are nearly always different with systematic seasonal differences quite apparent. We propose that this discrepancy is explained by ecological and now recognized nonthermal physiological effects on  $U_{37}^{K'}$ . A change in ecology at station ALOHA to a dominance of alkenone-producing haptophytes that display a  $U_{37}^{K'}$  response to growth temperature slightly different from the commonly used calibration is perhaps the most straightforward explanation for the uniform  $\sim 1.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  overestimate of in situ temperatures throughout the SML during wintertime. On the other hand,

nonthermal physiological factors best account for discrepancies in measured and estimated temperatures noted above during summer and fall as well as at the DCML throughout the year. In the DCML where production rates were always lowest, the lack of light apparently affected the physiology of alkenone-producing algae such that the  $U_{37}^{K'}$ -estimated growth temperature overestimated actual water temperature by  $\sim 2^{\circ}\text{--}4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In the fall and summer when production rates were high in or just below the SML, the  $2^{\circ}\text{--}3^{\circ}\text{C}$  underestimate of actual water temperature probably reflected the communities' physiological response to the highly limited availability of nutrients at these depths.

[38] Using predictions of alkenone export depth derived from  $U_{37}^{K'}$  measurements in a recent study of sediment trap time series from 2800 m at station ALOHA, *Prahl et al.* [2005] showed that alkenone export events were synchronized with the seasonal pattern for total export production and appear controlled by two fundamentally different processes during the year: export production from  $\text{N}_2$  fixation which is greatest when surface waters are most highly stratified (June to August), and more traditional, nitrate-supported export production which maximizes in winter (February to March) when surface mixing penetrates deepest. Our present study also indicated that the observed wintertime overestimate of  $U_{37}^{K'}$ -derived temperatures in the SML was consistent with inferences from the wintertime alkenone signal recorded in both a 1992–1993 and 2000–2001 sediment trap time series. On the other hand, the depth of alkenone export estimated from  $U_{37}^{K'}$  measurements in the sediment trap time series matched well the depth of subsurface oxygen supersaturation throughout summer [*Prahl et al.*, 2005]. During our summer cruise, the  $K_{37}$  concentrations and  $K_{37:2}$  production rates were indeed maximized within the depth zone of oxygen supersaturation.

[39] From the paleoceanographic viewpoint, it is important to emphasize that for station ALOHA both the  $U_{37}^{K'}$  temperature estimates derived from the flux-weighted average  $U_{37}^{K'}$  from the sediment trap time series [*Prahl et al.*, 2005] and the  $U_{37}^{K'}$ -CTD temperature relationship for the water column samples fall within the apparent scatter of the global calibration for  $U_{37}^{K'}$  versus maSST [*Muller et al.*, 1998]. Therefore the average  $U_{37}^{K'}$  value transmitted to the paleorecord at this site still provide a reasonable mean annual sea surface temperature estimate even though results from our study of living alkenone producers in surface waters at station ALOHA strongly suggest that the genetic and nonthermal physiological factors previously identified in laboratory culture experiments are well expressed in natural waters at station ALOHA and potentially many others sites.

[40] **Acknowledgments.** We wish to thank David M. Karl, John E. Dore, and the entire HOT Program personnel for accommodation on HOT-131 and for access to ancillary oceanographic data from station ALOHA; Francis J. Sansone (chief scientist on KOK-0111) who allowed us ample time in the middle of the night for our experiments and sample collection; Donielle Chittenden, Bryan Deschenes, Denby Fern, Terri Rust, Margaret Sparrow, Katsumasa Tanaka, and Dana Vukajlovich for assistance in the laboratory and on the cruises; and Dave Gravatt and the Captains and crew of the R/V *Kaimikai-o-Kanaloa* for facilitating our fieldwork at station ALOHA. This research was supported by National Science Foundation grants OCE-0094272 (B.N.P.), OCE-9986306 and OCE-0094329 (F.G.P.), and OCE-9986306 and OCE-0094637 (B.N.P., R.R. Bidigare and E.A. Laws). This is SOEST contribution number 6657.

## References

- Balch, W. M., P. M. Holligan, and K. A. Kilpatrick (1992), Calcification, photosynthesis and growth of the bloom forming coccolithophore, *Emiliania huxleyi*, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, *12*, 1353–1374.
- Brassell, S. C. (1993), Applications of biomarkers for delineating marine paleoclimatic fluctuations during the Pleistocene, in *Organic Geochemistry: Principles and Applications*, edited by M. H. Engel and S. A. Macko, pp. 699–738, Springer, New York.
- Brassell, S. C., G. Eglinton, I. T. Marlowe, U. Pflaumann, and M. Sarnthein (1986), Molecular stratigraphy: A new tool for climatic assessment, *Nature*, *320*, 129–133.
- Brock, T. D. (1981), Calculating solar radiation for ecological studies, *Ecol. Modell.*, *14*, 1–19.
- Christie, W. W. (1973), *Lipid Analysis: Isolation, Separation, Identification and Structural Analysis of Lipids*, Elsevier, New York.
- Conte, M. H., A. Thompson, D. Lesley, and R. Harris (1998), Genetic and physiological influences on the alkenone/alkenoate versus growth temperature relationship in *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica*, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, *62*, 51–68.
- Cortes, M. Y., J. Bollmann, and H. R. Thierstein (2001), Coccolithophore ecology at the HOT station ALOHA, Hawaii, *Deep Sea Res., Part II*, *48*, 1957–1981.
- Deines, P., D. Langmuir, and R. S. Harmon (1974), Stable carbon isotope ratios and the existence of a gas phase in the evolution of carbonate ground waters, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, *38*, 1147–1164.
- Dickson, A. G. (1990a), Standard potential of the reaction:  $\text{AgCl}(s) + 1.2\text{H}_2(g) = \text{Ag}(s) + 11\text{Cl}(aq)$ , and the standard acidity constant of the ion  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  in synthetic seawater from 273.15 to 318.15°K, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, *22*, 113–127.
- Dickson, A. G. (1990b), Thermodynamics of the dissociation of boric acid in synthetic seawater 273.15 to 318.15°K, *Deep Sea Res., Part A*, *37*, 755–766.
- Duffie, J. A., and W. A. Beckman (1980), *Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes*, 2nd ed., 919 pp., John Wiley, New York.
- Eppley, R. W. (1972), Temperature and phytoplankton growth in the sea, *Fish Bull.*, *70*, 1063–1085.
- Epstein, B. L., S. d'Hondt, J. G. Quinn, J. Zhang, and P. E. Hargraves (1998), An effect of dissolved nutrient concentrations on alkenone-based temperature estimates, *Paleoceanography*, *13*, 122–126.
- Epstein, B. L., S. d'Hondt, and P. E. Hargraves (2001), The possible metabolic role of C<sub>37</sub> alkenones in *Emiliania huxleyi*, *Org. Geochem.*, *32*, 867–875.
- Green, J. C., and B. S. C. Leadbetter (1994), *The Haptophyte Algae*, Clarendon, Oxford, U. K.
- Hama, T., J. Hama, and N. Handa (1993), <sup>13</sup>C tracer methodology in microbial ecology with special reference to primary production processes in aquatic environments, in *Advances in Microbial Ecology*, edited by J. Gwynfryn, pp. 39–83, Springer, New York.
- Hamanaka, J., K. Sawada, and E. Tanoue (2000), Production rates of C<sub>37</sub> alkenones determined by <sup>13</sup>C-labeling technique in the euphotic zone of Sagami Bay, Japan, *Org. Geochem.*, *31*, 1095–1102.
- Hayes, J. M., K. H. Freeman, B. N. Popp, and C. H. Hoham (1990), Compound-specific isotopic analyses: A novel tool for reconstruction of ancient biogeochemical processes, *Org. Geochem.*, *16*, 1115–1128.
- Jeffrey, S. W., R. F. C. Mantoura, and S. W. Wright (Eds.) (1997), *Phytoplankton Pigments in Oceanography: Guidelines to Modern Methods*, UNESCO, Paris.
- Karl, D. M., and R. Lukas (1996), The Hawaii Ocean Time-series (HOT) Program: Background rationale and field implementation, *Deep Sea Res., Part II*, *43*, 129–156.
- Karl, D. M., R. R. Bidigare, and R. M. Letelier (2002), Sustained and aperiodic variability in organic matter production and phototrophic microbial community structure in the North Pacific subtropical gyre, in *Phytoplankton Productivity: Carbon Assimilation in Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, edited by P. J. L. Williams, D. N. Thomas, and C. S. Reynolds, chap. 9, pp. 222–264, Blackwell Sci., Malden, Mass.
- Kroopnick, P. (1985), The distribution of <sup>13</sup>C in ΣCO<sub>2</sub> in the world oceans, *Deep Sea Res., Part I*, *32*, 57–84.
- Laws, E. A., B. N. Popp, R. R. Bidigare, M. C. Kennicutt, and S. A. Macko (1995), Dependence of phytoplankton carbon isotopic composition on growth rate and [CO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>aq</sub>: Theoretical considerations and experimental results, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, *59*, 1131–1138.
- Laws, E. A., B. N. Popp, R. R. Bidigare, U. Riebesell, S. Burkhardt, and S. G. Wakeham (2001), Controls on the molecular distribution and carbon isotopic composition of alkenones in certain haptophyte algae, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, *2*(1), doi:10.1029/2000GC000057.
- Letelier, R. M., R. R. Bidigare, D. V. Hebel, M. Ondrusek, C. D. Winn, and D. M. Karl (1993), Temporal variability of phytoplankton community structure based on pigment analysis, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, *38*, 1420–1437.
- Letelier, R. M., D. M. Karl, M. R. Abbott, and R. R. Bidigare (2004), Light driven seasonal patterns of chlorophyll and nitrate in the lower euphotic zone of the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, *49*, 508–519.
- Lewis, E., and D. W. R. Wallace (1998), Program developed for CO<sub>2</sub> system calculations, *Rep. ORNL/CDIAC-105*, Carbon Dioxide Inf. Anal. Cent., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., Oak Ridge, Tenn.
- Longhurst, A. R., and W. G. Harrison (1989), The biological pump: Profiles of plankton production and consumption in the upper ocean, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, *22*, 47–123.
- Marlowe, I. T., J. C. Green, A. C. Neal, S. C. Brassell, G. Eglinton, and P. A. Course (1984), Long-chain (C<sub>37</sub>–C<sub>39</sub>) alkenones in the Prymnesiophyceae: Distribution of alkenones and other lipids and their taxonomic significance, *Br. Phycol. J.*, *19*, 203–216.
- Marlowe, I. T., S. C. Brassell, G. Eglinton, and J. C. Green (1990), Long-chain alkenones and alkyl alkenoates and the fossil coccolith record of marine sediments, *Chem. Geol.*, *88*, 349–375.
- Millero, F. J. (1979), The thermodynamics of the carbonic acid system in seawater, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, *43*, 1651–1661.
- Mook, W. G., J. C. Bommerson, and W. H. Staberman (1974), Carbon isotope fractionation between dissolved bicarbonate and gaseous carbon dioxide, *Earth Planet Sci. Lett.*, *22*, 169–176.
- Moon-van der Staay, S. Y., G. W. M. van der Staay, L. Guillou, D. Vaulot, H. Claustre, and L. K. Medlin (2000), Abundance and diversity of prymnesiophytes in the picoplankton community from the equatorial Pacific Ocean inferred from 18S rDNA sequences, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, *45*, 98–109.
- Muller, P. J., G. Kirst, G. Ruhland, I. von Storch, and A. Rosell-Mele (1998), Calibration of the alkenone paleotemperature index U<sub>37</sub><sup>K</sup> based on core-tops from the eastern South Atlantic and the global ocean (60°N–60°S), *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, *62*, 1757–1772.
- Nielsen, M. V. (1995), Photosynthetic characteristics of the coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi* (Prymnesiophyceae) exposed to elevated concentrations of dissolved inorganic carbon, *J. Phycol.*, *31*, 715–719.
- Nielsen, M. V. (1997), Growth, dark respiration and photosynthetic parameters of the coccolithophorid *Emiliania huxleyi* (Prymnesiophyceae) acclimated to different day length-irradiance combinations, *J. Phycol.*, *33*, 818–822.
- Ohkouchi, N., T. I. Eglinton, L. D. Keigwin, and J. M. Hayes (2002), Spatial and temporal offsets between proxy records in a sediment drift, *Science*, *298*, 1224–1227.
- Paasche, E. (1964), A tracer study of the inorganic carbon uptake during coccolith formation and photosynthesis in the coccolithophorid *Coccolithus huxleyi*, *Physiol. Plant. Suppl.*, *3*, 1–82.
- Pagani, M., M. A. Arthur, and K. H. Freeman (1999), Miocene evolution of atmospheric carbon dioxide, *Paleoceanography*, *14*, 273–292.
- Pagani, M., K. H. Freeman, N. Ohkouchi, and K. Caldeira (2002), Comparison of water column [CO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>aq</sub> with sedimentary alkenone-based estimates: A test of the alkenone-CO<sub>2</sub> proxy, *Paleoceanography*, *17*(4), 1069, doi:10.1029/2002PA000756.
- Parsons, T. R., M. Takahashi, and B. Hargrave (1984), *Biological Oceanographic Processes*, Elsevier, New York.
- Pond, W. D., and R. P. Harris (1996), Lipid composition of the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* and its possible ecophysiological significance, *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K.*, *76*, 579–594.
- Popp, B. N., F. Kenig, S. G. Wakeham, E. A. Laws, and R. R. Bidigare (1998), Does growth rate affect ketone unsaturation and intracellular carbon isotopic variability in *Emiliania huxleyi*?, *Paleoceanography*, *13*, 35–41.
- Prahl, F. G., and S. G. Wakeham (1987), Calibration of unsaturation patterns in long-chain ketone compositions for palaeotemperature assessment, *Nature*, *330*, 367–369.
- Prahl, F. G., L. A. Muehlhausen, and D. L. Zahnle (1988), Further evaluation of long-chain alkenones as indicators of paleoceanographic conditions, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, *52*, 2303–2310.
- Prahl, F. G., L. A. Muehlhausen, and M. Lyle (1989), An organic geochemical assessment of oceanographic conditions at MANOP Site C over the past 26,000 years, *Paleoceanography*, *4*, 495–510.
- Prahl, F. G., T. Herbert, S. C. Brassell, N. Ohkouchi, M. Pagani, D. Repeta, A. Rosell-Mele, and E. Sikes (2000), Status of alkenone paleothermometer calibration: Report from Working Group 3, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, *1*(11), doi:10.1029/2000GC000058.
- Prahl, F. G., G. V. Wolfe, and M. A. Sparrow (2003), Physiological impacts on alkenone pa-

- leothermometry, *Paleoceanography*, 18(2), 1025, doi:10.1029/2002PA000803.
- Prahl, F. G., B. N. Popp, D. M. Karl, and M. A. Sparrow (2005), Ecology and biogeochemistry of alkenone production at station ALOHA, *Deep Sea Res., Part I*, 52, 699–719.
- Rost, B., U. Riebesell, S. Burkhardt, and D. Sültemeyer (2003), Carbon acquisition of bloom-forming marine phytoplankton, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 48, 55–67.
- Roy, R. N., L. N. Roy, K. M. Vogel, C. P. Moore, T. Pearson, C. E. Good, F. J. Millero, and D. M. Cambell (1993), Determination of the ionization constants of carbonic acid in seawater, *Mar. Chem.*, 44, 249–268.
- Shin, K.-H., N. Tanaka, N. Harada, and J.-C. Marty (2002), Production and turnover rates of C<sub>37</sub> alkenones in the eastern Bering Sea: Implication for the mechanism of a long duration of *Emiliania huxleyi* bloom, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 55, 113–129.
- Strickland, J. D. H., and T. R. Parsons (1972), *A Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis*, 2nd ed., bull. 167, 310 pp., Fish. Res. Board of Can., Ottawa, Ont.
- Van Lenning, K., I. Probert, M. Latasa, M. Estrada, and J. R. Young (2004), Pigment diversity of coccolithophores in relation to taxonomy, phylogeny and ecological preferences, in *Coccolithophores: From Molecular Processes to Global Impact*, edited by H. Thierstein and J. Young, pp. 51–73, Springer, New York.
- Versteegh, G. J. M., R. Riegman, J. W. deLeeuw, and J. H. F. Jansen (2001), U<sub>37</sub><sup>K</sup> values for *Isochysis galbana* as a function of culture temperature, light intensity and nutrient concentrations, *Org. Geochem.*, 32, 785–794.
- Volkman, J. K., S. M. Barrett, S. I. Blackburn, and E. L. Sikes (1995), Alkenones in *Gephyrocapsa oceanica*: Implication for studies of paleoclimate, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 59, 513–520.
- Zondervan, I., B. Rost, and U. Riebesell (2002), Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on the PIC/POC ratio in the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* grown under light-limiting conditions and different daylengths, *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 272, 55–70.

---

B. N. Popp and J. Tanimoto, Department of Geology and Geophysics, University of Hawaii, 2525 Correa Road, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA. (popp@soest.hawaii.edu)

F. G. Prahl, College of Oceanic and Atmospheric Sciences, Oregon State University, Oceanography Administration Building 104, Corvallis, OR 97331-5503, USA.

R. J. Wallsgrove, Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA.