

No Holes Barred: Invasion of the Intestinal Mucosa by Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis

John P. Bannantine, Luiz E. Bermudezb

National Animal Disease Center-USDA-ARS, Ames, Iowa, USAa; Departments of Biomedical Sciences and Microbiology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USAb

The infection biology of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* has recently crystallized, with added details surrounding intestinal invasion. The involvement of pathogen-derived effector proteins such as the major membrane protein, oxidoreductase, and fibronectin attachment proteins have been uncovered. Mutations constructed in this pathogen have also shed light on genes needed for invasion. The host cell types that are susceptible to invasion have been defined, along with their transcriptional response. Recent details have given a new appreciation for the dynamic interplay between the host and bacterium that occurs at the outset of infection. An initial look at the global expression pathways of the host has shown a circumvention of the cell communication pathway by *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, which loosens the integrity of the tight junctions. We now know that *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* activates the epithelial layer and also actively recruits macrophages to the site of infection. These notable findings are summarized along with added mechanistic details of the early infection model. We conclude by proposing critical next steps to further elucidate the process of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* invasion.

ycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis causes Johne's disease in cattle, sheep, goats, and other ruminant hosts. This disease takes a significant economic toll on livestock producers worldwide. And although many variables need to be accounted for, including prevalence, a more defined annual burden on the U.S. dairy industry is estimated in the millions of dollars of unrealized revenue (1). Much of the biology of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis and Johne's disease has been reviewed elsewhere (2, 3). M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis infects young livestock through the gastrointestinal tract by ingestion of milk or fecal material containing significant levels of viable bacteria. The bacteria are shed in the animal's feces before clinical signs develop, making it difficult to remove the disease from infected herds. Sophisticated transmission studies have emerged with the development of molecular epidemiological tools showing that many different strains can circulate within a herd as well as between herds, but one dominant strain is consistently observed in those settings (4, 5). The disease course generally takes 2 to 5 years before clinical signs appear. Two hallmarks of advanced disease are the thick, inflexible intestinal sections observed from the strikingly inflamed epithelial cell layer (6, 7) and granuloma formation in the small intestine and regional lymph nodes (8). This is due to the massive influx of proinflammatory cells at the site of infection. Visible signs of advanced-stage disease include weight loss and decreased milk production, which factor into the economic toll on the dairy industry. However, the initial events of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis interaction with the intestinal mucosa of cattle are the focus of this communication.

THE PROCESS OF INVASION

The intestinal epithelium acts as a primary barrier against pathogens through innate mechanisms such as the glycocalyx, tight junctions, and antimicrobial peptides. *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* is adept at circumventing these barriers and establishing a long, chronic infection in the host (9). Upon ingestion, *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* is ushered along the gastrointestinal tract until it reaches the mucosa lining the small intestine. At this point *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* has the ability to enter two cell

types to initiate infection: microfold cells (M cells) and enterocytes. One study suggests that M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis uptake by M cells is greater than that by enterocytes in ileal and jejunal sections of the sheep intestine (10). M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis is similar to other enteroinvasive pathogens in that it takes advantage of the specialized antigen-sampling cells present in the Peyer's patches of the small intestine (11, 12). M cells also serve as portals of entry for a number of other enteropathogenic bacteria, including Escherichia coli and Vibrio cholerae as well as Salmonella and Shigella species (13). M cells are located in the follicle-associated epithelium of Peyer's patches. They display β1 integrins at high density, and it has been postulated that these integrins may serve to target M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis to M cells (12, 14). A detailed pathology study clearly demonstrated M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis entry via M cells of the bovine intestine using electron microscopy (11). Another study suggests a defined interaction of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis proteins with M cell integrins through a fibronectin bridge (12).

However, it is clear from other studies that M cells are not the only means by which *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* invades the host (15–17). It is possible that Peyer's patches and M cells are not well developed in the neonatal calf, because of a lack of maturation and antigen exposure (18). The Peyer's patches of germfree mice are poorly developed for this reason (19). Therefore, this route may not be the primary method of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* entry at a stage when the animal is thought to be most susceptible, i.e., newborn (20). Furthermore, when M cells are absent, such as in B-cell knockout mice, enterocytes are actively invaded by *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (21). In addition, mucus-secreting goblet cells of the small intestine and goat enterocytes

Published ahead of print 12 August 2013

Editor: H. L. Andrews-Polymenis

Address correspondence to John P. Bannantine, john.bannantine@ars.usda.gov. Copyright © 2013, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/IAI.00575-13

have been involved in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* uptake (7, 17). This choice of cell type for entry likely contributes to the ability of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* to propagate in diverse hosts. Because *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* can only persist, not grow, once outside the host, this diversity is critical to its survival.

M. AVIUM SUBSP. PARATUBERCULOSIS AFFECTS THE INTEGRITY OF THE APICAL EPITHELIUM

How does the presence of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* affect enterocytes lining the mucosa? *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* shows a strong affinity for the apical surface of enterocytes and is efficiently internalized (16). Furthermore, the uptake of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* activates the intestinal mucosa layer by secretion of the proinflammatory chemokine MIP-2 along with an increase in the expression of intracellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1). This *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* internalization-dependent tissue activation is also accompanied by inflammation from infiltrating neutrophils and macrophages at the site of invasion (7, 10, 22). Of note is that when calves are infected, no inflammatory manifestation of clinical relevance takes place. Uptake is dependent on the small GTPase RhoA in combination with Cdc42 (16, 23).

M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis may have a more profound effect on the epithelial cell layer than simply activation by proinflammatory cytokines, regulating Cdc42, or using it to process interleukin 1β (IL- 1β) for efficient invasion. Khare and coworkers have recently examined how the early events of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis infection affect the global gene expression of the host (24). By examining RNA extracted from biopsied bovine Peyer's patch tissues on microarrays containing bovine sequences, they found that several host pathways were suppressed within the first hour, and there were multiple pathway changes detected up until the final (12-h) time point. An interesting finding from this study was that infection increased the intestinal permeability. This was shown experimentally by measuring transepithelial resistance, which showed an initial increase but then decreased after 30 min of exposure to M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis. This occurs by marked suppression in the cell communication pathways, which includes genes in the tight junction, gap junction, and adherens junction pathways. These pathways form the intercellular links that seal the apical epithelium and create a semipermeable diffusion barrier. Thus, the observed suppression of genes in these pathways suggests a weakening of the muscosal barrier following M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis infection. These findings underscore the complexity of the host response to M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis infection.

Using a permeable support system, Lamont and coworkers were able to artificially construct an epithelial cell layer from a bovine mammary gland epithelial cell line, MAC-T cells, along with bovine monocyte-derived macrophages (MDMs) immediately underneath to represent subepithelial macrophages (25). They used this system to answer questions about transepithelial migration of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis. In contrast with macrophages that have been shown to arrest phagosome acidification and subsequent maturation (26), M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis infection of MAC-T epithelial cells quickly leads to endosomal acidification (25). This acidification is necessary for IL-1 β expression, which leads to macrophage transepithelial migration. It is still not clear how the pathogen exits the enterocyte to

enter the subepithelial macrophages. Unlike the host gene expression study by Khare et al., which examined intestinal permeability (24), transepithelial resistance was not measured, but the authors acknowledge that MAC-T cells have more diffuse tight junctions which may lessen the barrier to transepithelial migration (25). In addition, the induction of apoptosis or pyroptosis by secreted IL-1 β was not examined as a possibility to explain the partial loss of membrane resistance.

M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis apparently traverses the epithelial layer quickly. Studies have shown that the apical and basolateral membranes are crossed with equal efficiencies in MAC-T cells (27). By 30 min, M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis is seen inside bovine and murine epithelial cells (16, 22). But how fast does it bypass the epithelial barrier and enter the lamina propria? To examine this, Wu and coworkers surgically deposited approximately 10⁷ M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis cells by injection into the lumen of the intestine of a cow (28). Passage through the epithelial layer occurred within 1 h, as shown by the ability to culture M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis from a regional lymph node of the ileocecal mesentery. This is much faster than observed in other studies, which only examined later time points. For example, Momotani et al. demonstrated the presence of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis in subepithelial macrophages by 5 h postinfection (11). Furthermore, M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis disseminated to the liver and mesenteric lymph node tissues shortly after breaching the intestinal lining and then at later time points settled into the ileum and lymph nodes to maintain the infection (28). Another study has shown translocation of polarized Madin-Darby bovine kidney (MDBK) cell monolayers by 2 h postinfection and that dissemination to other tissues in the mouse occurs at a low level (21). Since the study by Wu and colleagues (28) was performed using intestinal loops, in contrast to the others, it is possible that vasodilation and blood flow could explain the different observation.

M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis encounters dendritic cells (21, 29, 30) and subepithelial macrophages (11) in the lamina propria after translocation across the intestinal epithelial layer. Dendritic cells may also transport M. avium subsp. paratubercu*losis* inside the lamina propria by sampling through tight junctions (9). Dendritic cells exist in these tissues in an immature state in which they capture antigens using highly flexible dendrites and pattern recognition receptors (31). With the antigen, dendritic cells are trafficked to the regional lymph nodes. This series of events is similar to that with the subepithelial macrophages, which engulf *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* and migrate to the lymph nodes. Both cell types either process and present antigens locally or migrate to adjacent mesenteric lymph nodes to interact with T cells. Several studies have shown that the bacteria are readily detected in mesenteric lymph nodes (32–34) before disseminating to other organs, such as the liver (28, 35). The early events of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis infection of enterocytes and M cells are summarized in Fig. 1.

HOST INVOLVEMENT ENHANCES INVASION

Initial interactions between pathogen and host occur through receptor molecules on the host cells. The extracellular matrix produced by host cells includes vitronectin and fibronectin, among other components. *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* uses fibronectin as a bridge between the pathogen and host cell cytoskeleton (36, 37). This 220-kDa host protein consists of 5 well-defined




FIG 1 Overview of the initial events of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP) infection. Shown are intestinal enterocytes and an M cell with the basolateral invagination. *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* interacts with the M cell through a fibronectin bridge. The bacteria (blue) are easily trafficked through the M cells, where they can be picked up by dendritic cells (purple) or macrophages (white) and carried to the mesenteric lymph nodes or other regional lymph nodes. In the enterocyte, the bacteria are taken up through the Cdc42-RhoA mechanism into an endosomal vacuole that becomes acidified (red-shaded vacuole). This signals IL-1β production and draws subepithelial macrophages. *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* disrupts cell communication pathways that loosen tight junctions, and thus the pathogen may also slip between enterocytes to gain access to the lamina propria (bottom half of figure). Epithelial cell nuclei are shown in green.

domains of which the 40-kDa domain interacts directly with *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (36). While *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* uses fibronectin for adherence, studies have shown that only a small percentage of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* organisms enter the epithelial mucosa by fibronectin-dependent mechanisms (21). Furthermore, the binding to vitronectin or other extracellular matrix proteins by *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* has not been studied and may provide additional means of access. As discussed below, a number of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*-derived proteins, when disrupted or blocked, reduce the ability of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* to invade cells, and not all of these are believed to interact with fibronectin.

The GTPase proteins Cdc42 and RhoA have been shown to be stimulated by *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in a manner that promotes invasion. Involvement of these proteins was uncovered through studies with *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* strains containing defined transposon mutations, as discussed in the following section.

Host compounds appear to stimulate *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* invasion. This is demonstrated when *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* is exposed to cow milk; it is then more adept at invasion of epithelial cells than *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* cultured in laboratory media. This was initially shown with *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* immersed in whole milk and then exposed to MDBK cells (21). There was a significantly higher percentage of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* organisms inside the MDBK cells when preexposed to the high-osmolarity conditions present in milk. The investigators followed up on this observation

by examining gene expression changes of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis in milk versus culture media. A LuxR regulator was identified that showed a 20- to 40-fold increase in transcription by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) analysis (38). Furthermore, the cell wall lipid profile of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis changes when exposed to milk. A newly defined nonpolar lipid (lipid 550) was present in M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis exposed to milk, while a well-characterized lipid, para-LP-01 (39), disappeared following incubation under these conditions (38). Although not demonstrated, it is possible that these lipid changes enable M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis to more efficiently enter epithelial cells or have a direct effect on the inflammatory response. Clearly, increased expression of the regulator LuxR was linked to the expression of several proteins, some of them previously shown with other pathogens to be involved with invasion of epithelial cells. Unfortunately, host factors that induce these changes in M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis remain unknown and need to be uncovered through additional studies.

Of note is the fact that infection by M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis is associated with suppression of the majority of inflammatory proteins. This observation has been recently confirmed with cattle; upon M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis infection in the intestinal mucosa, expression of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β , tumor necrosis factor (TNF), IL-6, and IL-12 is downregulated (24). The initial quietness of the immune system may help the bacterium to establish a niche. Additional studies are needed in the area to more fully document and understand why and how

3962 iai.asm.org Infection and Immunity

this subversion of the immune response is a pathogenicity-related event.

MYCOBACTERIAL PROTEINS INVOLVED IN INVASION

In addition to the *luxR* gene mentioned above, a few other bacterium-derived mediators of internalization through surface proteins are now known for *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. These proteins have been linked in some way to a role in the initial invasion of bovine intestine. Among these are fibronectin attachment proteins (FAPs) and the major membrane protein. It is known that opsonization of bacteria with bovine fibronectin enhances both adherence to and invasion of epithelial cells (40). ModD and antigen 85 are among the known fibronectin attachment proteins in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*.

An M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis-expressed FAP was originally described by Secott and coworkers (37). They identified the sequence from a homolog in Mycobacterium avium subsp. avium and showed that whole M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis cells pretreated in pH 3 buffer bound fibronectin more efficiently. This acid pretreatment effect was never shown to be dependent on FAP. Although initially suggested to be embedded in the cell wall, but not surface exposed (37), FAP was later rediscovered in culture filtrate fractions and termed ModD (41) and then later Apa protein (42). The N-terminal signal sequence further suggests that the protein is secreted. MAP_1569 is the locus tag from the M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis strain K-10 genome for FAP and modD (43). This protein also has immunostimulatory effects and has been shown to induce the maturation of dendritic cells (44). A >70% reduction in M cell invasion was observed when FAP expression was attenuated, suggesting that this protein may be involved in targeting M cells (12). However, the same FAP mutant was able to invade epithelial cells with an efficiency equal to that of the wild-type strain. This experiment showed that M cells are not the only portal of entry and that other M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis proteins have an important role in the invasion process.

The antigen 85 complex consists of three additional fibronectin binding proteins that also catalyze the synthesis of a glycolipid in the mycobacterial cell wall (45). The genes encoding these three proteins are not linked on the M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis genome (MAP_0216 = Ag85A, MAP_1609c = Ag85B, and $MAP_3531c = Ag85C$); however, they do share sequence homology (46) and are thought to be secreted in M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis like in other mycobacteria (47). Recently, the interaction of Ag85 complex with fibronectin was characterized in molecular detail by measuring dissociation constants and through peptide inhibition assays (36). The investigators identified the 10amino-acid region within a 40-kDa domain of fibronectin that specifically binds Ag85B. Finally, they showed a 44% reduction in binding of recombinant Ag85 to Caco-2 cells transfected with small interfering RNA, which lowered fibronectin expression. Whole *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* cell binding was reduced by 10% in the same cell line, suggesting that Ag85-fibronectin binding is not the only means for adherence to host cells. Collectively, these results suggest an important adhesive role for Ag85.

The major membrane protein (MMP) has an important role in invasion of bovine epithelial cells. Originally discovered as an immunodominant antigen in leprosy patients (48), this protein is present in high relative abundance in *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* membrane preparations (49) and elicits a cellular immune response in mice (50). Under conditions known to exist in the

bovine intestine, such as low oxygen tension and high osmolarity, MMP transcription and translation were significantly increased in two independent studies (51, 52). When antibodies against this surface protein were used to block invasion of MDBK cells, the process was inhibited in a dose-dependent manner (51). In a subsequent study, the *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *hominissuis* equivalent of MMP was enriched from a library of *M. smegmatis* clones that had increased ability to invade HEp-2 cells (52). Furthermore, when MMP was constitutively expressed in *M. avium* subsp. *hominissuis*, the recombinant had an increased ability to invade HT-29 cells. It is expected that a mutation in this gene would lead to decreased invasion, but so far a knockout has not been created in this gene. Therefore, the precise role this protein plays in invasion has yet to be defined.

M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis invasion of the intestinal mucosa is dependent on the expression of bacterial proteins upon contact with the epithelial cell surface. The chief mechanism is associated with the expression of genes present in an "invasion region" for M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis, M. avium subsp. hominissuis, and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (53). A putative oxidoreductase (MAP_3464) is required to deliver an effector protein, MAP_3985c, into the host cell and trigger a rapid entry. The inactivation of MAP_3464 makes M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis 6-fold less invasive than the wild-type bacterium. MAP_3464 has a β barrel domain that suggests a membrane location at some time before invasion takes place. Inactivation of MAP_3464 is associated with the failure to activate Cdc42, preventing cytoskeleton reorganization. MAP_3464 is a key component in the secretion of MAP_3985c, a small protein that binds to Cdc42, activating it. A delayed mechanism of entry also exists, and it is dependent on the activation of the small GTPase RhoA (23).

Attenuated mutants of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* have also been identified that are unable to invade or colonize tissue. One of these mutants has a transposon insertion in the *gcpE* gene, which encodes a protein involved in isoprenoid biosynthesis (54). A second mutant had an insertion in *pstA*, a gene involved in biofilm formation (55). Both mutants were significantly less invasive than wild-type *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in a competitive-invasion assay (28, 55). An oxidoreductase (MAP_3464) mutant along with five other mutants also showed an impaired ability to invade (23). All known *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*-derived effector proteins are summarized in Table 1.

KNOWLEDGE GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

More mechanistic details have recently been uncovered about the initial interactions of M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis with the host. M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis plays an active role in invasion of the intestinal epithelium through expression of effector proteins and by taking advantage of host components such as fibronectin and compounds present in milk. Specifically what compounds these are still needs to be defined. M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis appears to affect cell pathways that form tight junctions, leading to the increase of intestinal permeability. The bacterium is then concentrated in mesenteric and ileocecal lymph nodes before disseminating to other tissues. Just how these M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis-derived effector proteins, listed in Table 1, promote invasion is unclear, and future studies should be performed to address this question. The molecular detail uncovered for Shigella and Salmonella invasion of epithelial cells is much more advanced than what we know for M. avium subsp. paratu-

TABLE 1 M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis proteins implicated in invasion

Locus tag	Protein	Host cell type	Evidence	Reference
MAP_2121c	Major membrane protein I	MDBK	Antibody against protein blocks invasion	51
MAP_3464	NADH-dependent oxidoreductase	MDBK	Gene knockout lowers invasion, and complementation restores invasion	23
MAP_3212	NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase	MDBK	Gene knockout lowers invasion	23
MAP_3607	Mycobacterial cell entry (Mce1D)	MDBK	Gene knockout lowers invasion	23
MAP_0941	Arginine deaminase (ArcA)	MDBK	Gene knockout lowers invasion	23
MAP_2808	Potassium transporter (TrkA)	MDBK	Gene knockout lowers invasion	23
MAP_2938c	Isoprenoid biosynthesis	Calf intestine	Gene knockout lowers invasion	28
MAP_1242	Lipotripeptide biosynthesis (PstA)	Calf intestine	Gene knockout lowers invasion	55
MAP_0482	Transcriptional regulator (LuxR)	MDBK	Gene overexpression increases invasion	38
MAP_3985c	Hypothetical protein	MDBK	Secreted protein. Binds Cdc42 and activates it	23

berculosis. Does M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis invade by the zipper mechanism, where bacterial proteins bind receptors on the host cell membrane, similar to that proposed for Listeria? Or does it invade by the trigger mechanism, where type III or IV secreted bacterial proteins are injected into the host cell and interact directly with cellular proteins as observed with Salmonella and Shigella (56)? Regarding host factors that may promote the invasion process, there is involvement of host Cdc42 and RhoA GTPases, but it is not known if increased GTPase activity is required and actin-based rearrangements result from this involvement or if those rearrangements are even required for invasion. Also, what additional host factors are required? Studies with the LuxR regulator suggest that a lipid component in the mycobacterial cell wall is involved in the invasion process, but what those lipids are and how they promote invasion remain to be defined. Experiments that clarify these points should be considered the next steps to further define the pathogenesis of this bacterium. Finally, much of the knowledge on M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis invasion is based on studies using cell lines such as MDBK and MAC-T cells. To avoid potential artifacts, we urge extending these studies to the bovine intestinal loop model when practical.

REFERENCES

- Lombard JE. 2011. Epidemiology and economics of paratuberculosis. Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract. 27:525–535, v.
- Harris NB, Barletta RG. 2001. Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis in veterinary medicine. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 14:489–512.
- Chacon O, Bermudez LE, Barletta RG. 2004. Johne's disease, inflammatory bowel disease, and *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 58:329–363.
- Fritsch I, Luyven G, Kohler H, Lutz W, Mobius P. 2012. Suspicion of Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis transmission between cattle and wild-living red deer (Cervus elaphus) by multitarget genotyping. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78:1132–1139.
- Pradhan AK, Mitchell RM, Kramer AJ, Zurakowski MJ, Fyock TL, Whitlock RH, Smith JM, Hovingh E, Van Kessel JA, Karns JS, Schukken YH. 2011. Molecular epidemiology of Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis in a longitudinal study of three dairy herds. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49:893–901.
- 6. Allen AJ, Park KT, Barrington GM, Lahmers KK, Abdellrazeq GS, Rihan HM, Sreevatsan S, Davies C, Hamilton MJ, Davis WC. 2011. Experimental infection of a bovine model with human isolates of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 141:258–266.
- Golan L, Livneh-Kol A, Gonen E, Yagel S, Rosenshine I, Shpigel NY. 2009. Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis invades human smallintestinal goblet cells and elicits inflammation. J. Infect. Dis. 199:350–354.
- González J, Geijo MV, Garcia-Pariente C, Verna A, Corpa JM, Reyes LE, Ferreras MC, Juste RA, Garcia Marin JF, Perez V. 2005. Histopatho-

- logical classification of lesions associated with natural paratuberculosis infection in cattle. J. Comp. Pathol. 133:184–196.
- Coussens P, Lamont EA, Kabara E, Sreevatsan S. 2010. Host-pathogen interactions and intracellular survival of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*, p 109–125. *In* Behr MA, Collins DM (ed), Paratuberculosis: organism, disease, control. CAB International, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom.
- 10. Ponnusamy D, Periasamy S, Tripathi BN, Pal A. 2013. *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* invades through M cells and enterocytes across ileal and jejunal mucosa of lambs. Res. Vet. Sci. 94:306–312.
- 11. Momotani E, Whipple DL, Thiermann AB, Cheville NF. 1988. Role of M cells and macrophages in the entrance of *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* into domes of ileal Peyer's patches in calves. Vet. Pathol. 25:131–137.
- Secott TE, Lin TL, Wu CC. 2004. Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis fibronectin attachment protein facilitates M-cell targeting and invasion through a fibronectin bridge with host integrins. Infect. Immun. 72:3724-3732.
- 13. Kraehenbuhl JP, Neutra MR. 2000. Epithelial M cells: differentiation and function. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 16:301–332.
- 14. Clark MA, Hirst BH, Jepson MA. 1998. M-cell surface beta1 integrin expression and invasin-mediated targeting of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* to mouse Peyer's patch M cells. Infect. Immun. **66**:1237–1243.
- Sangari FJ, Goodman J, Petrofsky M, Kolonoski P, Bermudez LE. 2001. Mycobacterium avium invades the intestinal mucosa primarily by interacting with enterocytes. Infect. Immun. 69:1515–1520.
- Pott J, Basler T, Duerr CU, Rohde M, Goethe R, Hornef MW. 2009. Internalization-dependent recognition of Mycobacterium avium ssp. paratuberculosis by intestinal epithelial cells. Cell. Microbiol. 11:1802–1815.
- 17. Sigurdardóttir OG, Bakke-McKellep AM, Djonne B, Evensen O. 2005. *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* enters the small intestinal mucosa of goat kids in areas with and without Peyer's patches as demonstrated with the everted sleeve method. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 28:223–230.
- Neutra MR, Mantis NJ, Kraehenbuhl JP. 2001. Collaboration of epithelial cells with organized mucosal lymphoid tissues. Nat. Immunol. 2:1004–1009.
- Artis D. 2008. Epithelial-cell recognition of commensal bacteria and maintenance of immune homeostasis in the gut. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 8:411–420.
- Larsen AB, Merkal RS, Cutlip RC. 1975. Age of cattle as related to resistance to infection with *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. Am. J. Vet. Res. 36:255–257.
- Bermudez LE, Petrofsky M, Sommer S, Barletta RG. 2010. Peyer's patch-deficient mice demonstrate that *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* translocates across the mucosal barrier via both M cells and enterocytes but has inefficient dissemination. Infect. Immun. 78:3570

 3577
- Khare S, Nunes JS, Figueiredo JF, Lawhon SD, Rossetti CA, Gull T, Rice-Ficht AC, Adams LG. 2009. Early phase morphological lesions and transcriptional responses of bovine ileum infected with *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. Vet. Pathol. 46:717–728.
- Alonso-Hearn M, Patel D, Danelishvili L, Meunier-Goddik L, Bermudez LE. 2008. The Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis MAP3464 gene encodes an oxidoreductase involved in invasion of bovine

3964 iai.asm.org Infection and Immunity

- epithelial cells through the activation of host cell Cdc42. Infect. Immun. 76:170–178.
- 24. Khare S, Lawhon SD, Drake KL, Nunes JE, Figueiredo JF, Rossetti CA, Gull T, Everts RE, Lewin HA, Galindo CL, Garner HR, Adams LG. 2012. Systems biology analysis of gene expression during in vivo Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis enteric colonization reveals role for immune tolerance. PLoS One 7:e42127. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042127.
- Lamont EA, O'Grady SM, Davis WC, Eckstein T, Sreevatsan S. 2012. Infection with *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* results in rapid interleukin-1beta release and macrophage transepithelial migration. Infect. Immun. 80:3225–3235.
- 26. Kuehnel MP, Goethe R, Habermann A, Mueller E, Rohde M, Griffiths G, Valentin-Weigand P. 2001. Characterization of the intracellular survival of *Mycobacterium avium ssp. paratuberculosis*: phagosomal pH and fusogenicity in J774 macrophages compared with other mycobacteria. Cell. Microbiol. 3:551–566.
- 27. Patel D, Danelishvili L, Yamazaki Y, Alonso M, Paustian ML, Bannantine JP, Meunier-Goddik L, Bermudez LE. 2006. The ability of Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis to enter bovine epithelial cells is influenced by preexposure to a hyperosmolar environment and intracellular passage in bovine mammary epithelial cells. Infect. Immun. 74:2849–2855
- Wu CW, Livesey M, Schmoller SK, Manning EJ, Steinberg H, Davis WC, Hamilton MJ, Talaat AM. 2007. Invasion and persistence of *Myco-bacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* during early stages of Johne's disease in calves. Infect. Immun. 75:2110–2119.
- Byun EH, Kim WS, Kim JS, Won CJ, Choi HG, Kim HJ, Cho SN, Lee K, Zhang T, Hur GM, Shin SJ. 2012. *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* CobT activates dendritic cells via engagement of Toll-like receptor 4 resulting in Th1 cell expansion. J. Biol. Chem. 287:38609–38624.
- Lei L, Hostetter JM. 2007. Limited phenotypic and functional maturation of bovine monocyte-derived dendritic cells following *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* infection in vitro. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 120:177–186.
- Granucci F, Zanoni I. 2009. The dendritic cell life cycle. Cell Cycle 8:3816–3821.
- Subharat S, Shu D, Wedlock DN, Price-Carter M, de Lisle GW, Luo D, Collins DM, Buddle BM. 2012. Immune responses associated with progression and control of infection in calves experimentally challenged with Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 149:225–236.
- 33. Janagama HK, Lamont EA, George S, Bannantine JP, Xu WW, Tu ZJ, Wells SJ, Schefers J, Sreevatsan S. 2010. Primary transcriptomes of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* reveal proprietary pathways in tissue and macrophages. BMC Genomics 11:561. doi:10.1186/1471 -2164-11-561.
- Mutharia LM, Klassen MD, Fairles J, Barbut S, Gill CO. 2010. Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis in muscle, lymphatic and organ tissues from cows with advanced Johne's disease. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 136:340–344.
- Dennis MM, Antognoli MC, Garry FB, Hirst HL, Lombard JE, Gould DH, Salman MD. 2008. Association of severity of enteric granulomatous inflammation with disseminated *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *para-tuberculosis* infection and antemortem test results for paratuberculosis in dairy cows. Vet. Microbiol. 131:154–163.
- Kuo CJ, Bell H, Hsieh CL, Ptak CP, Chang YF. 2012. Novel mycobacteria antigen 85 complex binding motif on fibronectin. J. Biol. Chem. 287:1892–1902.
- Secott TE, Lin TL, Wu CC. 2001. Fibronectin attachment protein homologue mediates fibronectin binding by Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis. Infect. Immun. 69:2075–2082.
- Alonso-Hearn M, Eckstein TM, Sommer S, Bermudez LE. 2010. A Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis LuxR regulates cell envelope and virulence. Innate Immun. 16:235–247.
- Eckstein TM, Chandrasekaran S, Mahapatra S, McNeil MR, Chatterjee D, Rithner CD, Ryan PW, Belisle JT, Inamine JM. 2006. A major cell

- wall lipopeptide of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis*. J. Biol. Chem. **281**:5209–5215.
- Secott TE, Lin TL, Wu CC. 2002. Fibronectin attachment protein is necessary for efficient attachment and invasion of epithelial cells by Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis. Infect. Immun. 70:2670 –2675.
- Cho D, Sung N, Collins MT. 2006. Identification of proteins of potential diagnostic value for bovine paratuberculosis. Proteomics 6:5785–5794.
- 42. Souza GS, Rodrigues AB, Gioffre A, Romano MI, Carvalho EC, Ventura TL, Lasunskaia EB. 2011. Apa antigen of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* as a target for species-specific immunodetection of the bacteria in infected tissues of cattle with paratuberculosis. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 143:75–82.
- 43. Li L, Bannantine JP, Zhang Q, Amonsin A, May BJ, Alt D, Banerji N, Kanjilal S, Kapur V. 2005. The complete genome sequence of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102:12344–12349.
- 44. Lee JS, Shin SJ, Collins MT, Jung ID, Jeong YI, Lee CM, Shin YK, Kim D, Park YM. 2009. *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* fibronectin attachment protein activates dendritic cells and induces a Th1 polarization. Infect. Immun. 77:2979–2988.
- 45. Belisle JT, Vissa VD, Sievert T, Takayama K, Brennan PJ, Besra GS. 1997. Role of the major antigen of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in cell wall biogenesis. Science 276:1420–1422.
- Dheenadhayalan V, Shin KS, Chang CF, Chang CD, Wang SJ, Mc-Donough S, McDonough P, Stehman S, Shin S, Torres A, Chang YF.
 2002. Cloning and characterization of the genes coding for antigen 85A,
 85B and 85C of Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis. DNA Seq. 13:287–294.
- 47. Rosseels V, Marche S, Roupie V, Govaerts M, Godfroid J, Walravens K, Huygen K. 2006. Members of the 30- to 32-kilodalton mycolyl transferase family (Ag85) from culture filtrate of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* are immunodominant Th1-type antigens recognized early upon infection in mice and cattle. Infect. Immun. 74:202–212.
- 48. Triccas JA, Roche PW, Winter N, Feng CG, Butlin CR, Britton WJ. 1996. A 35-kilodalton protein is a major target of the human immune response to *Mycobacterium leprae*. Infect. Immun. **64**:5171–5177.
- 49. Radosevich TJ, Reinhardt TA, Lippolis JD, Bannantine JP, Stabel JR. 2007. Proteome and differential expression analysis of membrane and cytosolic proteins from *Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis* strains K-10 and 187. J. Bacteriol. 189:1109–1117.
- Basagoudanavar SH, Goswami PP, Tiwari V. 2006. Cellular immune responses to 35 kDa recombinant antigen of Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis. Vet. Res. Commun. 30:357–367.
- 51. Bannantine JP, Huntley JF, Miltner E, Stabel JR, Bermudez LE. 2003. The *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* 35 kDa protein plays a role in invasion of bovine epithelial cells. Microbiology 149:2061–2069.
- 52. Miltner E, Daroogheh K, Mehta PK, Cirillo SL, Cirillo JD, Bermudez LE. 2005. Identification of *Mycobacterium avium* genes that affect invasion of the intestinal epithelium. Infect. Immun. 73:4214–4221.
- 53. Harriff MJ, Danelishvili L, Wu M, Wilder C, McNamara M, Kent ML, Bermudez LE. 2009. Mycobacterium avium genes MAV_5138 and MAV_3679 are transcriptional regulators that play a role in invasion of epithelial cells, in part by their regulation of CipA, a putative surface protein interacting with host cell signaling pathways. J. Bacteriol. 191:1132–1142.
- 54. Kemp LE, Bond CS, Hunter WN. 2002. Structure of 2C-methyl-Derythritol 2,4-cyclodiphosphate synthase: an essential enzyme for isoprenoid biosynthesis and target for antimicrobial drug development. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99:6591–6596.
- 55. Wu CW, Schmoller SK, Bannantine JP, Eckstein TM, Inamine JM, Livesey M, Albrecht R, Talaat AM. 2009. A novel cell wall lipopeptide is important for biofilm formation and pathogenicity of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis*. Microb. Pathog. 46:222–230.
- Ham H, Sreelatha A, Orth K. 2011. Manipulation of host membranes by bacterial effectors. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 9:635–646.