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I. Introduction

Consider the following traffic control problem: Given a network of two-way streets in a city, under what circumstances can we convert each street into a one-way street in such a way that it is possible to travel from any location to any other? Translating this problem into a graph theory problem: Can we give each edge of an undirected graph a direction (or an orientation), in such a way that in the resulting directed graph there is a directed path from each vertex $u$ to every other vertex $v$ ? Restated, our question asks: under what circumstances does the graph have a strongly connected orientation? Robbins (9) proved that a graph has a strong orientation if and only if it is connected and has no bridge. A bridge in a connected graph is an edge whose removal results in a disconnected graph. Robbins' proof constructed a strongly connected directed graph but did not consider the efficiency of the orientation. For example, Fig. 1(a) shows an undirected, connected, bridgeless graph and Fig. $1(\mathrm{~b})$ is a strongly connected, but inefficient, orientation of (a). If a person at location "a" wants to go to location "r", he must travel in a roundabout way. This assignment (Fig. 1(b)) meets the criteria, namely it gives a strongly connected orientation; but it does not give an efficient one.


Fig. 1

We will follow the standard graph-theoretical notation and terminology (see Harary (6) or Deo (3)). An undirected graph $G=(V, E)$ consists of a set of objects $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ called vertices, and another set $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ whose elements are called edges, such that each edge $e_{k}$ is identified with an unordered pair $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ of vertices. An edge is incident with both its end-vertices. A path $p$ in an undirected graph $G$, is a sequence of vertices and edges, beginning and ending with vertices, such that each edge is incident with the vertices preceding and following it and no vertex appears more than once in a path. A directed graph (or digraph for short) $G$ consists of a set of vertices $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, v_{n}\right\}$, a set of edges $E=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, and a mapping $f$ that maps every edge onto some ordered pair of vertices ( $u, v$ ). An undirected graph $G$ is connected if there is a path between every pair of vertices. In a digraph there are two different types of connectedness. A digraph is said to be strongly connected if there is at least one directed path from every vertex to every other vertex. A digraph is said to be weakly connected if its corresponding undirected graph is connected but $G$ is not strongly connected. The number of incident edges directed out of vertex $v$ is called the out-degree of $v$. The number of edges directed into the vertex $v$ is called the in-degree of vertex $v$. For any undirected graph $G$, we can assign each edge of $G$ some arbitrary direction. The resulting digraph is called an orientation of $G$. From now on whenever we mention the term "orientation", it means a strongly connected orientation unless otherwise specified. In an undirected (resp. directed) graph $G$, the distance from vertex $u$ to
vertex $v$, denoted by dist( $u, v ; G)$, is the number of edges in the shortest path (resp. directed path) from $u$ to $v$. We also postulate $\operatorname{dist}(u, u ; G)=0$. The eccentricity of vertex $v, E(v)$, in a graph $G$ is the distance from $v$ to the vertex farthest from $v$ in $G$; that is, $E(v)=$ $\max \{$ dist $(v, u ; G): u$ belongs to $G\}$. The diameter of $a$ graph $G$ is the maximal eccentricity in $G$ (i.e., dia(G) $=\max \{E(v): v$ belongs to $G\}$ ); the radius of $G$ is the minimal eccentricity of $G$ (i.e., $\operatorname{rad}(G)=\min \{E(v): v$ belongs to $G\}$ ). The diameter of an undirected graph $G$ is at least the radius and at most twice the radius of $G$. Note that the diameter and the radius are defined only for connected undirected graphs and for strongly connected directed graphs. A minimal strongly connected graph is a strongly connected digraph such that if any edge is removed the remaining graph is not strongly connected. A superfluous edge is a directed edge between two distinct vertices such that if the edge is removed the remaining graph is still strongly connected. A certain class of problems is called NP-complete. It consists all the problems for which no polynomial-bounded algorithm has been discovered, nor has it been possible to show that poly-nomial-bounded algorithms do not exist for these problems.
II. Metrics

Recall that the original problem was to find an efficient conversion of the two-way streets into one-way streets so that it is still possible to travel between any two locations. In this section we will develop a reasonable and easily computed measure for comparing two orientations of an undirected graph. Three possible metrics considered were the diameter, the sum of the eccentricities of all vertices, and the sum of all distances between pairs of vertices. We chose the latter.

One naturally suggested measure is the diameter of the orientation. It would seem that the smaller the diameter, the better the orientation. This does not follow because the diameter only indicates the greatest distance between a pair of vertices and not the number of pairs of vertices this distance apart. In an extreme case two orientations could have the same diameter, but in one orientation most of the vertex pairs are this distance apart and in the other orientation only one pair of vertices is this distance apart. Hence the first orientation is much worse than the second. It is also possible that for two different orientations of the same graph, one has larger diameter but smaller average distance than the other. This is shown in Fig. 2. Thus we must conclude that the diameter is not a good measure.

Another candidate for the measure is the sum of the eccentricities of all vertices. The eccentricity of a vertex $v$ is the maximum distance from $v$ to any other vertex. This measure is like the diameter except it measures
the longest distance for each vertex instead of just one vertex. Fig. 2 shows two orientations, one with smaller sum of eccentricities but larger total sum of distances of all vertices than the other. This measure only gives an estimated value of the worst case for each vertex and is not a good measure.

The last suggested measure is the sum of all distances between all pairs of vertices. This measure is easily computed from the distance matrix and if we divided the total sum of all distances of all vertices by $\left(n^{2}\right), n$ is the number of vertices in the graph, we will obtain the average distance between each pair of vertices. Since an efficient orientation should have a short as possible distance between any pair of vertices, one with a smallest average distance would seem to be the most efficient. Hence the smaller the sum of all distances, the smaller the average.

From a computation point of view, the diameter, the sum of eccentricities, and the sum of all distances can immediately be determined from the distance matrix. The ( $i, j$ ) entry of the distance matrix gives the distance from vertex $i$ to the vertex $j$. The distance matrix can be computed in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ time where $n$ is the number of vertices (Floyd (5)). Thus the sum of all distances is a reasonable and easily computed measure of the efficiency of an orientation.

diameter $=12$
sum of all distances=1972

| $E(1)=11$ | $E(11)=6$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $E(2)=10$ | $E(12)=7$ |
| $E(3)=9$ | $E(13)=8$ |
| $E(4)=12$ | $E(14)=11$ |
| $E(5)=11$ | $E(15)=10$ |
| $E(6)=10$ | $E(16)=9$ |
| $E(7)=9$ | $E(17)=9$ |
| $E(8)=5$ | $E(18)=11$ |
| $E(9)=8$ | $E(19)=8$ |
| $E(10)=7$ | $E(20)=8$ |

sum of eccentricities $=179$
(a)
(b)

Fig. 2
III. Initial orientation algorithm and heuristic

Our orientation algorithm is very similar to many iterative numerical methods such as the Newton-Raphson method. These algorithms work as follows: first an initial guess of a solution is made. Then a loop where the process of obtaining a new and improved guess from the previous guess is repeated until the improvement is below a certain value. Since it has been proven that the numerical method will converge to the solution, once the loop stops we know that the guess is very close to the solution.

Our orientation algorithm also has two parts: a good initial orientation and an iterative process in which the previous orientation is modified to obtain a better orientation. One major difference between our algorithm and the iterative numerical methods is that it has been proven that the numerical method will converge to the solution and our method only "converges" to a local minimum. The reason for this is that our algorithm would have to try all possible orientations in order to state that it converged to the minimum. To avoid having to try all possibilities, a heuristic is applied to the orientation to obtain a better orientation as computed by our measure, the sum of the distances of all pairs of vertices. Unlike the numerical method in which the modification of the guess yields a better guess, we cannot guarantee that the application of the heuristic will yield a better orientation.

The problem of finding the best orientation has been shown to be NP-complete (Chvátal (2)). Our algorithm, which is a combination of a good initial orientation and the iterative application of a heuristic, will lead to an orientation that is relatively close to the best orientation in very few iterations.

In this chapter we will develop an algorithm for finding a good initial orientation and a general heuristic for an efficient orientation. Our choice of algorithm is based on the comparison of several algorithms on the graphs in Fig. 3. Each vertex in each of these graphs was used as the starting vertex for each algorithm. Our test data, although not representing random, undirected, connected, bridgeless graphs, were selected as difficult test cases for the algorithm tested. All of the resulting orientations were compared using the sum of all distances measure developed in Chapter 2 (Table 1). Our heuristic resulted from the observation that in the best orientations the in- and out-degree were equal or nearly equal.

In general the orientation algorithms we tested were variations of the Depth-First Search that used by Roberts (10) to prove that an undirected graph has a strongly connected orientation if and only if it has no bridges. The Depth-First Search (DFS) or backtracking on a graph was first formalized and used by Hoperoft and Tarjan (7) and was subsequently studied in some depth by Tarjan (11).


Fig. 3 test graphs


Fig. 3-continue test graphs

Step 1. Pick any vertex as the initial starting vertex and label it 1.
Step 2. Randomly choose an adjacent, un-explored vertex, label it 2, and orient the edge from 1 to 2 .
Step 3. Stand at the vertex v, labeled i, chosen by previous step, pick an adjacent, un-explored vertex $v^{\prime}$, assign next label, then direct the edge from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$. If there is no other adjacent, un-explored vertex, go back to the vertex labeled i-1.
Step 4. Repeat previous step until all vertices have been traveled.
Step 5. Orient all remaining edges from the vertex with a higher label to the one with a lower label.
Note that if the undirected graph is disconnected then we will stop before we label all vertices.

Selecting the next unvisited vertex and directing the remaining edges after all vertices have been visited are the two parts of the Depth-First Search algorithm that are candidates for modification. The algorithm (Roberts (10)) chose a randon unvisited vertex during its labeling phase and directed all of the remaining edges from a higher number vertex to a lower numbered one. Two modifications of the vertex labeling phase are to choose the vertex with
maximum or minimum degree instead of a random vertex; our modification of the directing all remaining edges phase is to attempt to balance the in- and out-degree of each vertex. Six algorithms that were all possible combinations of the original DFS algorithm and our modifications were compared.

Before we state our algorithms, we need some definitions.
(a) $\operatorname{MAX}(v)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\{u: u \text { is a vertex of } G \text { such that } u \text { is } \\ \text { adjacent to } v \text { and has largest vertex- }\end{array}\right.$ degree $\}$.
(b) $\operatorname{BAL}(v)=$ out-degree (v) - in-degree(v). Initially, BAL(v) is set to zero.
(c) PASS (v) is the vertex-explored status;
$\operatorname{PASS}(v)=0$ if vertex $v$ has only in-edges or only out-edges.
PASS ( $v$ ) $=1$ if vertex $v$ has both in-edges and outedges.
A. Modified Vertex-Degree Balance (MVDB) Algorithm
(* Choose un-explored vertex with maximum degree during labeling process and direct remaining edges according to vertex degree *)
Step 1. Choose a vertex $v$ which has maximum degree as the initial starting vertex and label it 1.
Step 2. Choose $v^{\prime}=\operatorname{MAX}(v)$, label it 2, and orient the edge from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$. Set $\operatorname{PASS}(v)=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{BAL}(v)=\operatorname{BAL}(v)+1 \\
& \operatorname{BAL}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(v^{\prime}\right)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3. Stand at the vertex $k$ which just been labeled $i$, check for $\operatorname{MAX}(k)$. If there exists one, say $k$ ', label it $i=1$ and direct the edge from $k$ to $k^{\prime}$. Set $\operatorname{PASS}(k)=1$, BAL(v) $=B A L(v)+1$ and $B A L\left(v^{\prime}\right)=B A L\left(v^{\prime}\right)-1$. If
there is no adjacent, un-explored vertex then go back to previous labeled vertex.
Step 4. Repeat step 3 until all vertices have been traveled.
Step 5. Check the end-vertex $v$ (one with $B A L=-1$ and PASS=0)
i. if $\operatorname{BAL}(v)=-1$ and $\operatorname{PASS}(v)=0$ and there is an undirected edge between $v$ and the starting vertex (one that has label 1) then direct the edge from $v$ to the starting vertex. $B A L(v)=B A L(v)+1$ $\operatorname{PASS}(v)=1$
ii. if $\operatorname{BAL}(v)=-1$ and $\operatorname{PASS}(v)=0$ but there is no undirected edge between $v$ and the starting vertex then we search for the vertex $v^{\prime}$ which has lowest label and adjacent to $v$ (that is, there is an undirected edge between $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ ) once we find $v^{\prime}$ then we direct the edge from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$.
$\operatorname{BAL}(v)=\operatorname{BAL}(v)+1$
$\operatorname{PASS}(v)=1$
$\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}\right)-1$
Repeat this step until all end-vertices have been adjusted.
Step 6. Stand at the vertex $k$ with highest label, check to see if there is an undirected edge incident to $k$. If there exists one which is incident to k and $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { i. if } B A L(k)<B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right) \text { then direct edge from } k \\
\text { to } k^{\prime} \text {. } \\
B A L(k)=B A L(k)+1 \\
B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right)=B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right)-1 \\
\text { ii. if } B A L(k)>B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right) \text { then direct edge from } \\
k^{\prime} \text { to } k \text {. } \\
B A L(k)=B A L(k)-1 \\
B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right)=B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right)+1 \\
\text { iii. if } B A L(k)=B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right) \text { then } \\
\text { if label of } k \text { is higher than the label } \\
\text { of } k^{\prime} \text { then direct the edge from } k \text { to } \\
k^{\prime} . \\
B A L(k)=B A L(k)+1 \\
B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right)=B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right)-1 \\
\text { else direct the edge from } k^{\prime} \text { to } k \text {. } \\
B A L(k)=B A L(k)-1 \\
B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right)=B A L\left(k^{\prime}\right)+1
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \text { If there is no undirected edge incident to } k \\
& \text { then we go to the vertex with label one less } \\
& \text { than } k \text {. } \\
& \text { Repeat this step until all remaining edges } \\
& \text { been oriented. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. During the labeling phase, if there are more than one vertex have same MAX value then we randomly choose next node.
Remark 2. Since the original undirected graph is bridgeless, each vertex must have at least two incident edges.
B. Modified Depth-First Search (MDFS) Algorithm (* Choose max-degree in labeling phase and orient remaining edges from higher label to lower *) Step 1. - Step 4. Same as the step 1 - 4 in MVDB algorithm.
Step 5. Same as the step 5 in DFS algorithm.
C. Depth-First Vertex Degree Balance (DVDB) algorithm (* Choose next vertex randomly in labeling phase and orient remaining edges according to vertex degree and vertex status *)
Step 1. - Step 4. Similar to step 1 - 4 in DFS algorithm, it also keep track of BALand PASS-values.
Step 5. - Step 6. Same as in MVDB algorithm.
D. Minimal Modified Vertex Degree Balance (MINMVDB) Algorithm
(* Similar to MVDB algorithm. This algorithm choose the min-degree in the labeling phase and orient remaining edges according to the vertex degree and vertex status *)
$\operatorname{MIN}(v)=u: u$ is a vertex of $G$ such that $u$ is adjacent to $v$ and has minimal degree.
Step 1. - Step 6. Same as in MVDB algorithm.
E. Minimal Modified Depth-First Search (MINMDFS) ,

Algorithm
(* Choose min-degree in the labeling phase and orient remaining edges from higher label to lower *)
Step 1. - Step 6. Same as in MDFS algorithm.

The DFS algorithn first appeared in Tarjan's "DepthFirst Search and Linear Graph Algorithms" (11), and it was used in the proof in Roberts (10) that the DF'S algorithm will always generate a strongly connected orientation. MDFS algorithm and MINMDFS algorithm are special cases of DFS algorithm, so they will also generate a strongly connected orientation. The DVDB and MIN:IVDB algorithms, however, will not always produce a strongly connected orientation. To illustrate the non-strongly connected case of DVDB algorithm, let us examine the graph in Fig. 4.


Fig. 4

Fig. 4 (a) is our test graph 4, it is connected and bridgeless. Fig. 4(b) shows the depth-first spanning tree generated by DVDB algorithm, note that this spanning tree was created by choosing next adjacent vertex randomly. Fig. 4 (c) shows a completed orientation generated by DVDB algorithm, note that during the stage we orient the remaining edges, the DVDB algorithm step 5 will force the edge $(2,9)$ directed from 2 to 9 , this cause the orientation not strongly connected.

Fig. 5 shows a non-strongly connected orientation generated by MINMVDB algorithm, again, Fig. 5(a) is an undirected, connected, bridgeless graph. Fig. 5(b) is the depth-first spanning tree generated by the MINMVDB algorithm. Note that during the time we label all vertices, we choose MIN(v) to be the next point which creates a very long path and results in a non-strongly connected orientation.

(c) orientation (by MINMVDB algorithm)

Fig. 5

Table 1. Sum of all distances of all vertices

| Algorithms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 65 | 58 | 65 | 58 | 63 | 66 |
| 2 | 348 | 348 | 368 | 337 | 348 | 360 |
| 3 | 385 | 336 | 385 | 337 | 363 | 477 |
| 4 | 1988 | 1818 | 2012 | 2240 | 1850 | 2102 |
| 5 | 288 | 290 | 290 | 288 | 288 | 288 |
| 6 | 288 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 277 | 288 |
| 7 | 2958 | 2292 | 2976 | 2267 | 2411 | 3091 |
| 8 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 |
| 9 | 1107 | 1025 | 1049 | 992 | 1044 | 1129 |
| 10 | 236 | 220 | 286 | 216 | 220 | 268 |
| 11 | 34 | 30 | 34 | 30 | 30 | 34 |

* The DFS algorithm and DVDB algorithm were run over all vertices of data as starting vertex (see appendix B), then we took the best value to compare with other Four algorithms.
** The DVDB algorithm and MINMVDB algorithm may generate a non-strongly connected orientation (see appendix B for detail list).

Table 1 shows the results from our six algorithms. Our program (Appendix A) was designed for CDC Cyber 720 and the program needs little change from one algorithm to another. From Table 1 it seems the DVDB algorithm is the best one among these six algorithms, however, the DVDB algorithm sometimes produces non-strongly connected orientation (Fig. 4), so it can not be chosen to generate our initial orientation. The DFS and DVDB algorithms were tested with every vertex as the starting point, this is because these two algorithms were required to use the random number generator and we wanted to see if we obtained different results if we used a different starting point. We also found some interesting properties in Table 1.

1. The DFS algorithm will generate an orientation which has one vertex (initial starting vertex) with all but one in-edge and some vertices (end vertex of each path) with all but one out-edge. This characteristic makes the orientation very undesirable, because it can lead to very long distances between vertices.
2. The MINMVDB algorithm sometimes will also generate a non-strongly connected orientation. This is because during the time we generate the spanning tree, we are required to select an adjacent vertex with minimum degree which, in fact, will increase the length of path of the spanning tree. Then when we finish the spanning tree and try to orient those undirected edges, the step 4 of MINMVDB algorithm will make wrong decision and cause the orientation to be not strongly connected (Fig. 5).
3. During the time when MVDB algorithm, or MDFS algorithm, or MINMDFS algorithm generates its spanning tree, if there are more than one vertex adjacent to vertex $v$ and have same $\operatorname{MAX}(v)$ value (or MIN(v) value) it will choose next vertex randomly. This "random" choice will cause some different orientation. For example, the data 5 and data 6 (Peterson graph) have all vertices with same vertex-degree, so the way MVDB, MINMDFS, and MDFS algorithms generate the spanning tree will be the same as DFS and DVDB algorithms.

The DVDB and MINMVDB algorithms will not always generate strongly connected orientation, the MINMDFS algorithm seems to generate the worst orientation, the DFS and MDFS algorithms will generate results worse than the MVDB algorithm. All these seem that the MVDB algorithm is the best algorithm among all six algorithms tested. The algorithm that attempted to balance the inand out-degree generally produce better results than those that did not. This suggests that attempt to balance the in- and out-degree is a good general rule or heuristic for orienting a graph.
IV. Iteration algorithm

In this chapter we describe an iteration algorithm that obtains a good orientation. The algorithm uses the MVDB algorithm of Chapter 3 for an initial orientation. The iteration process involves applying a heuristic to the orientation to obtain a hopefully better orientation. In Chapter 3 we observed that in most good orientations, each vertex had approximately the same in- and out-degree. Attempting to balance the in- and out-degree is the heuristic used in our iteration algorithm. First we remove a maximal set of edges that still leaves the graph minimally strongly connected; then we re-insert the edges in the maximal set in such a way as to balance the vertices.

A minimally strongly connected graph is a digraph such that if any edge is removed the resulting graph is not strongly connected. Fig. 8 shows a minimally strongly connected graph. As we can see if any edge is removed the graph is not strongly connected. If the initial orientation is a minimally strongly connected graph, we know we cannot improve it. But if the graph is not minimal, we can certainly rearrange some edges' direction and balance the vertex degree.


Fig. 8

Our algorithm for finding a minimally strongly connected graph is similar to one in Hsu (8). It finds a set of superfluous edges, whose removal leaves the resulting graph strongly connected.

Description of Minimally Strongly Connected (MSC) Method:
Start at the vertex $v_{k}$ which was last-visited during the labeling phase.
a. Remove the out-edge which is incident with $v_{k}$ and vertex $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$.
b. Calculate the distance matrix.
c. If the distance from $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}$ to $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is equal to 999 (that is the value we used in our program to indicate no path between a certain pair of vertices), then we put that edge back and check next out-edge.
If the distance between $v_{k}$ and $v_{j}$ is not equal to 999, we have found a superfluous edge. Record the edge, set $\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{k}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{k}\right)-1, \operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{j}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{j}\right)+1$ and then check for the next out-edge.
d. If we have checked all out-edges then we go to next lower labeled vertex. Go to step $a$.

After we obtain the minimally strongly connected graph, we are now ready to put those removed edges back and in a manner that balances the vertex degree.

Description of Re-insertion Method:
a. Pick the edge $\left(v_{k}, v_{j}\right)$ which was first removed during the time we generated the minimally strongly
connected graph.
b. Compare $\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{k}\right)$ to $\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{j}\right)$.

If $\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)>\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ then we put this edge back and direct it from $v_{j}$ to $v_{k}$. Set $\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)-1, \operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+1$, Go to $c$. If $\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{k}\right)<\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{j}\right)$ then we put this edge back and direct it from $v_{k}$ to $v_{j}$. Set
$\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)+1, \operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-1$. Go to c .
If $\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{k}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(v_{j}\right)$ then we compute the eccentricities of both $v_{j}$ and $v_{k}$.
If $E\left(v_{k}\right)>=E\left(v_{j}\right)$ then we put this edge back and direct it from $v_{k}$ to $v_{j}$. Set $\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)+1, \operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-1$. Go to c .
Otherwise, put edge back and direct it from $v_{j}$ to $v_{k}$. Set
$\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)-1, \operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\operatorname{BAL}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+1$.
c. Pick next edge which been removed by MSC algorithm, go to b. Repeat until all removed edges have been put back.

Table 2 shows the initial orientations from MVDB algorithm and the results of five iterations of the combination of MSC and Re-insert methods. As we can see from Table 2 , four out of eleven orientations were improved and the rest remained unchanged or oscillated. The iteration scheme did improve some but not all of the orientations.

Since MSC method requires $O\left(n^{5}\right)$ run-time, where $n$ is the number of vertices of the graph, and from the

Table 2 Iterative results (initial orientation generated by MVDB)

| Data | Initial Orientation | 1 | 2 | Iterations | 4 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Final } \\ \text { Results } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 2 | 348 | 348 | 348 | 348 | 348 | 348 | 348 |
| 3 | 336 | 341 | 336 | 336 | 336 | 336 | 336 |
| 4 | 1818 | 1796 | 1818 | 1796 | 1818 | 1796 | 1796 |
| 5 | 290 | 278 | 268 | 262 | 262 | 262 | 262 |
| 6 | 290 | 268 | 262 | 268 | 262 | 268 | 262 |
| 7 | 2292 | 2239 | 2248 | 2239 | 2248 | 2239 | 2239 |
| 8 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 |
| 9 | 1025 | 967 | 967 | 967 | 967 | 967 | 967 |
| 10 | 220 | 226 | 220 | 226 | 220 | 226 | 220 |
| 11 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |

test results of Table 2 indicate that if an orientation can not be improved it will remain unchanged or oscillated. This provides a stopping condition for our iteration algorithm. We will repeat the iteration until the orientation is worse than the previous one or until two consecutive iterations yield same value. We describe the iteration algorithm as following:

Step 1. Apply MVDB algorithm to obtain an initial orientation.
Step 2. Repeat
(a) Apply MSC method to remove all superfluous edges and to obtain the minimally strongly connected graph.
(b) Apply Re-insert method to put those removed edges back into the graph.
Until
(a) The result is worse than the previous result.
(b) The result oscillates over two consecutive iterations.
Step 3. Output the final orientation.

## V. Summary

We have developed an iteration algorithm for producing an "efficient" graph orientation. This algorithm requires $O\left(n^{5}\right)$ run-time where $n$ is the number of vertices of the given undirected graph.

The iteration algorithm which uses MVDB algorithm to generate an initial orientation then applies MSC and Re-insert methods repeatedly to produce the final orientation. It is very similar to iterative numerical methods. They both have an initial guess and a repeated process. However, unlike the numerical method which will converge to its solution, we cannot prove our algorithm will converge to the best orientation. Table 3 shows the results from our iteration algorithm by choosing different initial orientation. We can see that the initial orientation generated by MVDB algorithm produces better results.

For the future investigations we should consider the followings:

1. Other measures of the efficiency of an orientation.
2. Improvement of the MSC method.
3. Other heuristics for re-inserting superfluous edges.
4. Other stopping conditions.

The weighted graph which is not included in this paper may also use our iteration algorithm to generate an orientation.

| Data | DFS Algorithms MDS MINMDFS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 64 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| 2 | 343 | 348 | 348 | 348 |
| 3 | 343 | 336 | 358 | 364 |
| 4 | 1878 | 1796 | 1924 | 1850 |
| 5 | 262 | 262 | 262 | 268 |
| 6 | 283 | 262 | 274 | 262 |
| 7 | 2257 | 2239 | 2257 | 2472 |
| 8 | 216 | 216 | 216 | 216 |
| 9 | 966 | 967 | 995 | 975 |
| 10 | 238 | 220 | 224 | 220 |
| 11 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
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## Appendix A

## Fortran program <br> for graph orientation

PROGRAM GRAPH(INPUT, OUTPUT)


```
            DO 20 I=1,30
        DO 10 J=1,30
C
C..... SET G(I,J)=999 TO INDICATE "NO EDGE BETWEEN VERTICES I AND J".
C
            G(I,J)=999
            ADJACN(I,J)=0
            10 CONTINUE
            G(I,I)=0
20 CONTINUE
C
C..... INPUT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF VERTICES AND ADJACENT LIST.
C
    READ *,N
    DO 50 K=1,N
        READ*,DEGREE(K)
        NN=DEGREE(K)
        READ*,(ADJACN(K,J),J=1,NN)
C
C..... SET UP ADJACENCY MATRIX.
C
C
            DO 40 LL=1,NN
                        G(K,ADJACN (K,LL))=1
            40 CONTINUE
            50 CONTINUE
C
C
C..... FIND THE STARTING VERTEX.
C
C
    MAX =-999
    DO 60 I=1,N
        IF(DEGREE(I) .GT, MAX) MAX=I
        6 0 \text { CONTINUE}
    START=MAX
C
C
C..... IF WE WANT TO USE DFS (OR DVDB) ALGORITHM THEN USE
C START=IFIX(RANF(X)*N+1,0)
C
C..... CALL SUBROUTINE ORIENT TO GENERATE THE SPANNING TREE.
C
C
        CALL ORIENT(N,G,DEGREE,START,ADJACN)
    STOP
    END
```

SUBROUTINE ORIENT (N,M,NUM,START,ADJACN)

## C

C
C
C
C ADJACENT VERTEX WHO HAS MAXIMUM VERTEX~DEGREE.

SUBROUTINE USED.

```
CHOOSE(I,NUM, ADJACN,CHOICE) : CHOOSE THE NEXT VERTEX.
```

$\operatorname{VDBM}(N, M, B A L, P A S S, I N, S T A R T)$ : COMPLETE THE ORIENTATION.

VARIABLES USED.
$M(30,30) \ldots$....... THE ADJACENCY MATRIX. INPUT VALUE.
$N \ldots . . . . . . . .$.
IN $(30) \ldots$....................................
START............................................ STARTING VERTEX.
ADJACN........... ARRAY FOR THE ADJACENT VERTICES LABELS.
$\operatorname{PREV}(30) \ldots$............................... FOR BACKTRACKING THE VERTICES ORDER.
BAL (30) ......... ARRAY FOR IN OR OUT EDGES.
PASS (30) ....... ARRAY TO STORE THE STATUS OF VERTICES.
$\operatorname{PASS}(I)=0$ MEANS THE VERIEX I HAS ONLX IN-EDGE
OR OUT-EDGE.
$\operatorname{PASS}(I)=1$ MEANS VERTEX I HAS BOTH IN=EDGE
AND OUT-EDGE.
CHOICE........ THE NEXT VERTEX LABEL.

DIMENSION $M(30,30), \operatorname{IN}(30), \operatorname{NUM}(30), \operatorname{PREV}(30), \operatorname{BAL}(30)$ INTEGER ADJACN $(30,30)$, PREV, BAL, START, CHOICE, PASS (30)

## C

C..... INITIALIZE THE VARIABLES.

C
ICOUNT $=0$
DO $10 \mathrm{~K}=1,30$
$\operatorname{PREV}(K)=I N(K)=\operatorname{BAL}(K)=\operatorname{PASS}(K)=0$

## 10 CONTINUE

IFIRST=1
C
C..... SET UP THE STARTING VERTEX.

C
$I=S T A R T$
$\operatorname{IN}($ IFIRST $)=S T A R T$
C..... IF NUM(I) =O MEANS NO UN-EXPLORED VERTEX ADJACENT TO VERTEX I.

C GO BACK TO PREVIOUS VERTEX.
C
555 IF (NUM(I) ,NE, 0) GO TO 1000
$I=P R E V(I)$

```
0-
C..... IF WE STANDING AT THE STARTING VERTEX AND WE ALREADY TRAVELED
C ALL VERTICES, IT IS TIME TO STOP.
C
    IF((I .EQ. START) .AND. (ICOUNT .GE. (N-1))) GO TO 999
    GO TO 555
    1000 BAL(I) = BAL(I)+1
    PASS(I)=1
C
C..... CHOOSE NEXT ADJACENT VERTEX.
C
    CALL CHOOSE(I,NUM,ADJACN,CHDICE)
C
C CHOICE=IFIX(RANF(X)*NUM(I)*1.0)
C
    NEXT=ADJACN(I,CHOICE)
    IFIRST=IFIRST*1
C
C..... RECORD THE ORDER.
C
    IN(IFIRST)=NEXT
C
C
C..... DELETE THE VERTEX WHICH ALREADY BEEN EXPLORED.
L
    DO }1005\mathrm{ INDEX=1,N
        NN=NUM(INDEX)
        DO 1001 KK=1,NN
            IF(ADJACN(INDEX,KK) .NE. I) GO TO 1001
            DO }1002\textrm{KKK}=\textrm{KK},N
                ADJACN(INDEX,KKK)=ADJACN(INDEX,KKK+1)
    1002 CONTINUE
            NUM(INDEX)=NUM(INDEX)=1
    1001 CONTINUE
    1005 CONTINUE
C
C
C..... ORIENT THE EDGE AND RECORD THE VERTEX-STATUS.
C
            M(NEXT,I)=999
            BAL(NEXT)=BAL(NEXT)-1
    4000 PREV (NEXT)=I
        ICOUNT=ICOUNT+1
        I=NEXT
C
C
C..... CHECK IF THERE IS ANY UN=EXPLORED VERTEX.
C
    IF(NUM(I) .NE, 0) GO TO 555
```

```
C
C..... IF NO MORE UN=EXPLORED VERTEX ADJACENT TO CURRENT VERTEX THEN
C WE DELETE CURGENT VERTEX FROM ADJACENT-LIST AND GO BACK TO
C PREVIOUS VERTEX.
C
    6 1 0 ~ D O ~ 6 1 2 ~ I J = 1 , N
        INOEX2=NUM(IJ)
            DO 613 IK=1,INDEX2
                IF(ADJACN(IJ,IK) .NE, I) GO TO 613
                DO 614 IL=IK,INDEX2
                ADJACN(IJ,IL)=ADJACN(IJ,IL+1)
                CONTINUE
                NUM(IJ)=NUM(IJ)=1
    6 1 3 ~ C O N T I N U E ~
    6 1 2 \text { CONTINUE}
        I=PREV (I)
            GO TO 555
C
C..... CALL SUBROUTINE VDBM TO FINISH THE ORIENTATION.
C
    999 CALL VDBM(N,M,BAL,PASS,IN,START)
L
C..... IF DFS OR MDFS ALGORITHM THEN USE
C CALL DFS(M,N,IN)
C
    RETURN
    END ,
```

THIS SUBROUTINECOMPARE VERTEX STATUS TO DETERMINE EDGE DIRECTION.

SUBROUTINE VDBM(N,M,BAL,PASS,IN,START)
THIS SBROUTINE ISCALLE DBY ORIENT ROUTINE.
THE MAIN PURPOSE OF THISROUTINE IS TO DETERMINE THE DIRECTION OF edges after we obtain the spanning tree.
...... $N$ : TOTAL NUMBER OF VERTICES.
M(30,30) : ADJACENCY MATRIX.

PASS(30) : VERTEX STATUS, PASS8V) $=0$ IF VERTEX HAS ONLY IN-EDGES, OR ONLY OUT-EDGES, QR NOT YET EXPLORED.
$=1$ IF VERTEX HAS BOTH IN-EDGES AND OUT-EDGES.
IN(30) : LIST OF ORDER THAT VERTICES EXPLORED.
START : THE INITIAL STARTING VERTEX. IM $(30,30)$ : TEMPORARY SCRATCH ARRAY.

INTEGER $M(30, \operatorname{IM}(30,30), 30), \operatorname{BAL}(30), \operatorname{PASS}(30), \operatorname{IN}(30)$, START
DO $10 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
IF(M(K,START) .NE. 1 .OR. M(START,K) .NE, 1 .OR. PASS(K) .NE. O .OR. BAL(K) .GE. O) GO TO 10
M(START,K) $=999$
$B A G(K)=B A L(K)+1$
BAL(START) $=$ BAL(START) -1
PASS (K)=1
10 CONTINUE
*****CHECH OTHER END-NODE.

```
DO 30 K=1,N
    KK=IN(N-K+1)
    IF(PASS(KK) .NE. 0) GO TO 30
    IF(BAL(KK).GE. O) GO TO 30
    DO 20 I=1,N
        II=IN(I)
        IF(M(KK,II) .NE. 1 .OR. M(II,KK) .NE. 1) GO TO 20
    DO }15\textrm{IJ}=1,
        IF(IN(IJ) .EQ. KK) KKI=IJ
        IF(IN(IJ) .EQ. II) III=IJ
        CONTINUE
        IF(KKI .LT. III) GO TO 30
```

```
        M(II,KK)=999
        BAL(KK)=BAL(KK)+1
        BAL(II)=BAL(II)=1
        PASS(KK)=1
        KK=I I
        IF(KK .EQ. START) GO TO 30
20 CONTINUE
    30 CONTINUE
        DO }70\mathrm{ I=1,N
            DO 60 J=I,N
                IF(M(I,J) ,NE. 1 .OR.M(J,I) .NE. 1) GO TO 60
            IF(BAL(I) .GT. BAL(J)) GO TO 50
            IF(BAL(I) ,EQ. BAL(J)) GO TO 40
            CALL DIR(PASS,BAL,M,I,J)
            GO TO 60
40 DO 45 K=1,N
            IF(IN(K) .EQ. I) KI=K
            IF(IN(K) .EQ. J) KJ=K
45 CONTINUE
            IF(KI ,LE. KJ) GO TO 50
            CALL DIR(PASS,BAL,M,I,J)
            GO TO 60
```



```
60 CONTINUE
70 CONTINUE
```

    . CALL SUBROUTINE 'COMPL' TOCOMPUTE THE DISTANCE BETWEEN ANY PAIR
    OF VERTICES, THE DIAMETER OF ORIENTATION, THE RADIUS OF ORIENTATION,
        and the distance matrix.
    If We are using the iterative method then we should use
    THE LOOP 140
    CALL COMPL (M,N)
    140 DO $130 \quad \mathrm{I}=1,5$
DO $120 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
DO $120 \mathrm{~K}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$I M(J, K)=M(J, K)$
120 CONTINUE
CALL MEG (IM,N,IN)
CALL COMPL (IM,N)
130 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

```
C
SUBROUTINE DFS (M,N,IN) IS USED AFTER WE OBTAINED THE SPANNING TREE.
THE MAIN PURPOSE OF THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO ORIENT THOSE UNDIRECTED EDGE FROM VERTEX WITH HIGHER LABEL TO VERTEX WITH LOWER LABEL.
SUBROUTINE DFS (M,N,IN)
C
C..... M(30,30) IS THE IMCOMPLETED ADJACENT MATRIX.
C \(N\) IS THE NUMBER OF VERTICES.
C IN(30) IS THE LIST OF ORDER OF ORIENTED VERTICES,
DIMENSION \(M(30,30), \operatorname{IN}(30)\)
C
C..... SET UP VARIABLES.
\(\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{NN}=\mathrm{N}\)
C
C..... ORIENTS THOSE UN-DIRECTED EDGES ACCORDING TO THE VERTEX LABEL.
C
DO \(3 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}\)
\(K K=I N(K)\) DO \(2 \mathrm{~J}=1, \mathrm{NN}\)
IF ((M(KK,J) ,EQ. 1) .AND. (M(J,KK) .EQ. 1)) M(J,KK)=999
2 CONTINUE \(K=K-1\)
3 CONTINUE
```


## C

```
C.... ORIENTATION COMPLETE, CALL SUBROUTINE "COMPL" TO COMPUTES THE C TOTAL DISTANCES, DIAMETER, RADIUS AND GENERATES THE DISTANCE MATRIX. C
CALL COMPL \((M, N)\) RETURN END
```

```
C
C
```



```
C
C SUBROUTINE DLR(PASS,BAL,M,L1,L2) IS CALLED BY VDBM SUBROUTINE
C THE MAIN PURPOSE OF THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO ORIENT THE EDGE BETWEEN
C VERTEX LI AND VERTEX L2 ACCORDING TO THEIR STATUS.
C
C
C
C
    SUBROUTINE DIR(PASS,BAL,M,L1,L2)
C
C TO LI AND CHANGE THEIR STATUS.
C
    INTEGER M(30,30),BAL(30),PASS(30)
    M(L2,L1)=999
    PASS(L1)=1
    BAL(L1)=BAL(L1) +1
    BAL(L2)=BAL(L2)=1
    RETURN
    END
```

```
C
C SUBROUTINE PATH(M,N) USED TO CONPUTE THE DISTANCE BETWEEN ANY PAIR
C OF VERTICES.(IN OTHER WORDS, IT GENERATES THE DISTANCE MATRIX.
C
C REMARK. THIS SUBROUTINE IS BASE ON RGBERT W. FLOYD, SHORTEST PATH=\cdots-*
C ALGORITHM 97. [ ]
C THIS ALGORITHM REQUIRE O(N*N*N) RUN-TIME.
C
C
C
    SUBROUTINE PATH(M,N)
C
C INDICATE THIS CASE
C
    DIMENSION M(30,30)
    DO 20 I=1,N
        DO 30 J=1,N
            IF(M(J,I) .GE. 999) GO TO 30
            DO 40 K=1,N
                IF(M(I,K) .GE. 999) GO TO 40
            IS =M(J,I)+M(I,K)
            IF(IS .LT. M(J,K)) M(J,K)=IS
            CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
    30 CONTIN
    RETURN
    END
```

```
C
C
```



```
SUBROUTINE CHOOSE(I,NUM,ADJACN,NCH) COMPARE THE VERTEX-DEGREE AND
CHOOSE THE ONE WITH MOST VERTEX-DEGREE AS THE NEXT POINT.
C
C
C
C
C NUM(30): ARRAY OF VERTEX-DEGREE.
C TEMP(30) : ARRAY OF TEMPORARY STORAGE AREA.
C COUNT : COUNTER OF VERTICES WHICH HAS SAME VERTEX-DEGREE.
C
    INTEGER ADJACN(30,30),NUM(30),TEMP(30),COUNT
C
C..... INITIALIZATION.
C
    DO 100 K=1,30
    100 TEMP(K)=0
        COUNT=1
            NO=NUM(I)
C
C..... INITIALIZE THE MAXIMUM VALUE.
C
    MAX=NUM(ADJACN(I,1))
C
    NCH=1'
C
C..... CHECK TO SEE HOW MANY UN-EXPLORED VERTEX ADJACENT TO VERTEX I.
C
    IF(NO.EQ. 1) RETURN
C..... THERE ARE MORE THAN ONE UN-EXPLORED VERTICES ADJACENT TO I, WE
C
C
    DO 3 J=2,NO
        IF(NUM(ADJACN(I,J))-MAX) 3,1,2
    1 TEMP(COUNT) =NCH
        COUNT=COUNT +1
        NCH=J
        GO TO 3
    2. MAX=NUM(ADJACN(I,J))
        COUNT=1
        NCH=J
        GO TO 3
    3 CONTINUE
        IF(COUNT .EO. 1) RETURN
```

```
C.....IF MORE THAN ONE VERTICES HAS SAME MOST-DEGREE THAN WE RANDOMLY
C CHOOSE ONE.
C
    KILL=IFIX(RANF(X)*COUNT*1,0)
C..... IF THE RANDOM NUMBER EQUALS TO THE ONE LAST FOUND THEN RETURN.
C
    IF(KILL,EQ, COUNT) RETURN
C....EELSE FIND THE VERTEX EROM THE TEMPORARY STORAGE AREA.
C
    NCH=TEMP(KILL)
    RETURN
    END
```

C
C SUBROUTINE COMPL (M,N) IS USED TO COMPUTE THEDISTANCE BETWEEN ANY
C PAIR OF VERTICES, THE DIAMETER OF ORIENTATION, THERADIUS OF
C ORIENTATION, AND THE DISTANCE MATRIX.
C
C
SUBROUTINE COMPL(M,N)
C..... MAXD (30): MAXIMUM VALUE OF EACH ROW IN THE DISTANCE MATRIX.
C IND(30): INDEX FOR OUTPUT.
C ISUM(30): TOTAL SUM OF EACH ROW(COLUMN).
C
DIMENSION MAXD (30)
DIMENSION M(30,30), IN(30), IND(30), ISUM(30)
C
C..... INITIALIZATION.
C
DO $10 \quad \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
$\operatorname{IND}(I)=I$
$\operatorname{ISUM}(I)=0$
10 CONTINUE
C
C..... TITLE.
C
PRINT 11
11 FORMAT(///,5X,10(1 H*) , DIRECTED ADJACENT MATRIX $\left., 10\left(1 \mathrm{H}^{*}\right), 1\right)$
PRINT 12,(IND(I), $I=1, N)$
12 FORMAT (//,11X,3014)
PRINT 45
C
C
C..... OUTPUT THE DIRECTED ADJACENCY MATRIX.
C
DO 13 I=1,N
PRINT $50, I,(M(I, J), J=1, N)$
13 CONTINUE
C
C
C..... COMPUTETHEDISTANCE BETWEEN ANY PAIR OF VERTICES,
C

CALL PATH(M,N)

```
C
C..... COMPUTETHE TOTAL SUMS.
C
    DO 20 I=1,N
        DO 15 J=1,N
                        ISUM(I)=ISUM(I)+M(I,J)
        15 CONTINUE
    20 CONTINUE
C
C
C.....TITLE.
C
PRINT 30
    30 FORMAT(///,10(1H*), DISTANCE MATRIX AND SUM *,10(1H*),/)
        PRINT 40,(IND(K),K=1,N)
            40 FORMAT(//11X,30(I4))
        PRINT 45
    45 FORMAT (2X,120(1H-),1)
C
C
C.... OUTPUT THE DISTANCE MAIRIX AND TOTAL SUMS..
C
            DO 60 I=1,N
                PRINT 50,I,(M(I,J),J=1,N),ISUM(I)
        50 FORMAT(2X,I4,2X,1H;,2X,30(I4))
        60 CONTINUE
C
C.... COMPUTE THE COLUMN TOTAL AND THE GRAND IOTAL(TOTAL SUM).
C
    ITOTAL=0
    DO BO' I=1,N
            IND(I)=0
            DO }75\textrm{K}=1,
                IND(I)=IND(I)+M(K,I)
            75 CONTINUE
            ITOTAL=ITOTAL+ISUM(I)
            80 CONTINUE
C
C..... PRINT COLUMN TOTALS AND GRAND TOTAL.
C
            PRINT 85,(IND(K),K=1,N),ITOTAL
            85 FORMAT(11X,30(I4))
C
C..... COMPUTE THE DIAMETER AND RADIUS.
C FIRST GET THE MAXIMUM VALUES FOR EACH ROW.
    DO 101 II=1,N
        MAXD(II) =-999
        DO 102 JJ=1,N
            IF(M(II,JJ),GT,MAXD(II))MAXD(II)=M(II,JJ)
    102 CONTINUE
    101 CONTINUE
```

C..... GET DIAMETER AND RADIUS.
C
$M A D=-999$
MIR $=999$
DO $103 \mathrm{KK}=1, \mathrm{~N}$
IF (MAXD (KK),GT,MAD)MAD=MAXD(KK)
$\operatorname{IF}(M A X D(K K), L T, M I R) M I R=M A X D(K K)$
103 CONTINUE
C
C..... QUTPUT DIAMETER AND RADIUS.
C
PRINT 105,MAD,MIR

88 RETURN
END

```
C
C
C THIS SUBRDUTINE FINDS THE MINIMAL STRONGLY CONNECTEO DIGRAPH.
C
C-n--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
C
    SUBROUTINE MEG(M,N,IN)
C
C.... M(30,30) : DIRECTED ADJACENCY MATKIX.
C IN(30) : LIST OF ORDER OF VERTICES TRAVELED.
C DIS (30,30) : TEMPORARY STOFAGE AREA.
C SP(30,30) : TEMPORARY STORAGE AREA.
C
    DIMENSION M(30,30),IN(30),DIS(30,30),SP(30,30)
    INTEGER DIS,SP
C
C
C.... INITIALIZE SP ARRAY.
C
    DO 20 I=1,N
        DO 10 J=1,N
            SP(I,J)=999
        10 CONTINUE
    20 CONTINUE
C
C
C.... ELIMINATES THE SUPERFLUOUS EDGES.
C THE IDEAL OF THIS SUBROUTINE IS: IF REMOVAL OF EDGE CAUSE
C THE GRAPH NOT STRONGLY CGNNECTED THEN WE PUT THE EDGE BACK,
C OTHERWISE, WE FOUND A SUPERFLUOUS EDGE. REMOVE ALL SUPERFLUOUS
C
C
C
    DO 80 ISA=1,N
    I=IN(N-ISA+1)
    DO }70\textrm{J}=1,\textrm{N
C
C
C.... WE START AT THE VERTEX WHICH WAS LAST VISITED.
C
C
C
C.... IF M(I,J) = 1 THAT MEANS NO EDGE GOES FROM VERTEX I TO VERTEX J
C WE WILL NOT CONSIDER THIS CASE.
C
    IF(M(I,J) .NE, 1) GO TO 70
    SP(I,J)=1
C
C
C.... GET A COPY OF CURRENT ADJACENCY MATRIX OF THE DIGRAPH.
C
    DD 50 K=1,N
        DO 40 L=1,N
                        nIS(K,L)=\mu(K,L)
        CONTINUE
        CONIINUE
```

```
                    DIS (I,J)=999
C
C.... COMPUTE THE DISTANCE MATRIX.
C
                CALL PATH(DIS,N)
C
C
C.... IF DIS(I,J) NOT EQUALS TO 999 THAT MEANS WE FOUND A SUPERFLUQUS EDGE.
C
                IF(DIS(I,J) ,NE, 999) GO TO 60
                SP(I,J)=999
                GO TO }7
            60 M(I,J)=999
C
C
C.... CHECK DIS(J,I) TO MAKE SURE IT IS A SUFERFLUOUS EDGE.
C
                    IF(DIS(J,I) ,NE. 999) GO TG 70
                    SP(I,J)=9990
                    M(I,J)=1
        70 CONIINUE
        80 CONIINUE
C
C
C.... AFTER WE OBTAINED THE MINIMAL STRONGLY CONNECTED DIGRAPH,
C
C
C
C
    CALL EDGE(N,M,SP.)
    RETURN
    END
```

```
C
C
C SUBROUTINE EDGE(N,M,MS) WILL PUT THOSE REMOVED EDGES BACK TO THE
C MINIMAL STRONGLY CONNECTED DIGRAPH ACCORDING TO THE VERTEX STATUS
AND/OR THE DISTANCE BETWEEN EACH PAIR OF VERTICES.
C
SUBROUTINE EDGE (N,M,MS)
C
C....M(30,30): ADJACENT MAPRIX OF THE MINIMAL STRONGLY CONNECPED DIGRAPH.
C MS (30,30) : TEMPORARY SCRATCH ARRAY.
C MM(30,30) : TEMPORARY SCRATCH ARRAY.
C ROW(30) : VERTEX STATUS(RON(1) = [NUMBER OF OUT-EDGES - NUMBER OF IN-EDGF
C
    DIMENSION M(30,30),MS(30,30),MM(30,30),ROW(30)
    INTEGER ROW
C
C
C.... FIND THE VERTEX WITH MOST OUT-DEGREE.
C
    DO 20 I=1,N
        ROW (I) =0
        DO 10 J=1,N
                IF(M(I,J) ,NE. 1) GO TO 5
                ROW(I)=RON(I)+1
        5 IF(M(J,I) .NE. 1) GO TO 10
        ROW(I)=ROW(I)=1
        CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
Z
    PUT THE REMOVED EDGE BACK.
    DO 40 I=1,N
        DU 30 J=1,N
:...IF MS (I,J)=1 INDICAIES WE FOUND A REMOVED EDGE.
    IF(MS(I,J) .NE. 1) GO TO 30
    COMPARE THE VERTEX STATUS IN ORDER TU DETERMINE THE DIRECTION.
    IF(ROW(I) ,GT. ROW(J)) GO TO 25
    IF(ROW(I) EEQ. ROW(J)) GO TO 21
    - ROW(I)=ROW(I)+1
    ROW(J)=ROW(J)=1
    M(I,J)=1
    MS (I,J) =999
    MS (J,I) =999
    M(U,I)=999
    GO TO 30
```

.... IF IWO VERTICES BOTH HAS SAME VERTEX-STATUS THEN WE NEED TO COMPUTE THE ECCENTRICITIES OF THOSE VERTICES.

21
DO $22 M K=1, N$ DO $22 N K=1, N$ $M M(M K, N K)=M(M K, N K)$
CONTINUE
CALL PATH (MM,N) MAXI $=-999$ MAXJ $=-999$
DO $23 \mathrm{KKK}=1$, N IF (MAXI . LE. $M M(I, K K K)) \quad M A X I=M M(I, K K K)$ IF (MAXJ. LEE GM(J,KKK)) MAXJ=MM(J,KKK)
23 CONTINUE

COMPARE THE ECCENTRICTIES TO DETERMINE THE EDGE DIRECTION.

```
IF(MAXI ,GE. MAXJ) GO TO 24
```

ROW (J) $=$ ROW (J) +1
ROW (I) $=$ ROW (I) $=1$
$M(J, I)=1$
$M(I, J)=999$
$\operatorname{MS}(I, J)=999$
$\operatorname{MS}(J, I)=999$
GO TO 30
24 ROW (I) $=$ ROW (I) +1
ROW $(J)=$ RO: (J) -1
$M(I, J)=1$
$M(J, I)=999$
$\operatorname{MS}(I, J)=999$
$\operatorname{MS}(J, I)=999$
GO TO 30
ROW (I) $=$ ROW (I) $=1$
ROW (J) $=$ ROW (J) +1
$M(I, J)=999$
$M(J, I)=1$
$\operatorname{MS}(I, J)=999$
$\operatorname{MS}(J, I)=999$
30 CONTINUE
40 CONTINUE
RETURN
END

## Appendix B

Test results of DFS, DVDB, and AINMDFS algorithms

Appendix B. Tables of tested results of DFS and DVDB algorithms.
(1) Total sum of DFS algorithm

| Starting vertex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Data } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 66 | 350 | 409 | 2070 | 288 | 288 | 3230 | 216 | 1107 | 286 | 34 |
| 2 | 66 | 375 | 443 | 2036 | 292 | 288 | 3195 | 216 | 1137 | 295 | 34 |
| 3 | 66 | 375 | 431 | 1988 | 290 | 288 | 3138 | 216 | 1122 | 268 | 34 |
| 4 | 65 | 375 | 396 | 2402 | 290 | 288 | 3153 | 216 | 1110 | 254 | 34 |
| 5 | 68 | 368 | 451 | 2012 | 290 | 288 | 3103 | 216 | 1183 | 236 | 34 |
| 6 | 65 | 375 | 439 | 2272 | 288 | 292 | 3598 | 216 | 1035 | 241 |  |
| 7 |  | 375 | 431 | 2202 | 288 | 290 | 3371 | 216 | 1129 | 255 |  |
| 8 |  | 375 | 399 | 2206 | 290 | 292 | 3065 | 216 | 1213 | 236 |  |
| 9 |  | 368 | 395 | 2288 | 292 | 288 | 3437 | 216 | 1183 | 275 |  |
| 10 |  | 348 | 402 | 2206 | 290 | 290 | 3298 |  | 1125 | 252 |  |
| 11 |  | 348 | 396 | 2044 |  |  | 3280 |  | 1149 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 385 | 2030 |  |  | 3138 |  | 1234 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | 2232 |  |  | 3130 |  | 1193 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  | 2298 |  |  | 3157 |  | 1183 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 2094 |  |  | 3249 |  | 1215 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | 2604 |  |  | 3297 |  | 1175 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  | 2106 |  |  | 3023 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 2428 |  |  | 2958 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | 2064 |  |  | 3260 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  | 2246 |  |  | 3220 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2964 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3153 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3160 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3486 |  |  |  |  |
| Best value | 65 | 348 | 385 | 1988 | 288 | 288 | 2958 | 216 | 1035 | 236 | 34 |

Note: These results were run over all eleven data and corresponded to every vertex as starting vertex.
(2) Diameters of DFS algorithm

| Starting vertex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | $?$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 20 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 4 |
| 3 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 15 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 18 | 8 | 9 | 19 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | $?$ | 10 | 12 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 4 |
| 6 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 21 | 6 | 11 | 6 |  |
| $?$ |  | 8 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 8 | 21 | 6 | 13 | 8 |  |
| 8 |  | 8 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 14 | 6 |  |
| 9 |  | 8 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 9 |  |
| 10 |  | 7 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 20 |  | 12 | 8 |  |
| 11 |  | 7 | 7 | 14 |  |  | 21 |  | 13 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 5 | 13 |  |  | 19 |  | 14 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 18 |  | 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 15 |  | 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 16 |  | 12 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 17 |  | 14 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  | 15 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Best value | 4 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 4 |

Note: These results were run over all eleven data and corresponded to every vertex as starting vertex.
(3) Total sum of DVDB algorithm

| Starting vertex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Data } \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 58 | 337 | 369 | * | 290 | 290 | 2422 | 216 | * | 220 | 30 |
| 2 | 58 | 367 | 365 | 1798 | 292 | 292 | 2290 | 216 | 997 | 220 | 30 |
| 3 | 58 | 348 | * | 1908 | 290 | 292 | 2348 | 216 | 992 | 216 | 30 |
| 4 | 58 | 348 | 343 | 1900 | 290 | 290 | 2365 | 216 | 1095 | 216 | 30 |
| 5 | 58 | 348 | 349 | 2010 | 290 | 292 | 2304 | 216 | 1021 | 226 | 30 |
| 6 | 58 | 368 | * | * | 288 | 290 | 2428 | 216 | 1023 | 217 |  |
| 7 |  | 348 | 337 | 1848 | 288 | 290 | 2307 | 216 | 1061 | 222 |  |
| 8 |  | 348 | 370 | 1800 | 290 | 292 | 2264 | 216 | 1041 | 232 |  |
| 9 |  | 348 | * | 2006 | 292 | 292 | 2380 | 216 | 1111 | 231 |  |
| 10 |  | 348 | * | 1884 | 290 | 290 | 2240 |  | 1023 | 216 |  |
| 11 |  | 348 | * | 2124 |  |  | 2351 |  | 1065 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 368 | 1830 |  |  | 2318 |  | * |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2373 |  | * |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  | 1834 |  |  | 2382 |  | 1108 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 1916 |  |  | 2289 |  | 1047 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | * |  |  | 2337 |  | 1063 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  | * |  |  | 2350 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 1852 |  |  | 2307 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | * |  |  | 2316 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  | 1878 |  |  | 2355 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2295 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2318 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2271 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2300 |  |  |  |  |
| Best value | 58 | 337 | 337 | 1798 | 288 | 290 | 2240 | 216 | 992 | 216 | 30 |

* A non-strongly connected orientation.

Note: These results were run over all eleven data and corresponded to every vertex as starting vertex.
(4) Diameters of $\operatorname{DVDB}$ algorithm

| Starting vertex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Data $5$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | * | 8 | 8 | 14 | 6 | * | 5 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 | 7 | * | 12 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 3 | $?$ | 5 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 2 |
| 6 | 3 | 8 | * | * | 9 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 4 |  |
| 7 |  | 7 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 5 |  |
| 8 |  | 7 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 6 |  |
| 9 |  | 7 | * | 13 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 13 | 6 |  |
| 10 |  | 7 | * | 12 | 8 | 8 | 12 |  | 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  | 7 | * | 14 |  |  | 12 |  | 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 5 | 11 |  |  | 12 |  | * |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  | * |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  | 10 |  | 11 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 10 |  | 10 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | * |  |  | 13 |  | 11 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  | * |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | * |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Best } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 2 |

* A non-strongly connected orientation.

Note: These results were run over all eleven data and corresponded to every vertex as starting vertex.
(5) Total sum of MINMDFS algorithm

| Starting vertex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Data } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 68 | 375 | 477 | 2202 | 288 | 288 | 3233 | 216 | 1215 | 331 | 34 |
| 2 | 68 | 368 | 485 | 2324 | 288 | 288 | 3197 | 216 | 1183 | 331 | 34 |
| 3 | 66 | 368 | 485 | 2102 | 288 | 288 | 3224 | 216 | 1183 | 288 | 34 |
| 4 | 68 | 361 | 485 | 2324 | 288 | 288 | 3092 | 216 | 1203 | 274 | 34 |
| 5 | 68 | 368 | 485 | 2200 | 288 | 288 | 3124 | 216 | 1201 | 268 | 34 |
| 6 | 66 | 368 | 485 | 2266 | 288 | 288 | 3268 | 216 | 1201 | 270 |  |
| 7 |  | 367 | 477 | 2324 | 288 | 288 | 3204 | 216 | 1129 | 277 |  |
| 8 |  | 375 | 485 | 2322 | 288 | 288 | 3594 | 216 | 1207 | 277 |  |
| 9 |  | 361 | 485 | 2322 | 288 | 288 | 3124 | 216 | 1231 | 290 |  |
| 10 |  | 360 | 485 | 2202 | 288 | 288 | 3144 |  | 1217 | 310 |  |
| 11 |  | 382 | 477 | 2324 |  |  | 3224 |  | 1224 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 485 | 2096 |  |  | 3197 |  | 1163 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | 2324 |  |  | 3233 |  | 1203 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  | 2200 |  |  | 3197 |  | 1203 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 2256 |  |  | 3290 |  | 1210 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | 2322 |  |  | 3479 |  | 1211 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  | 2322 |  |  | 3235 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 2272 |  |  | 3348 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | 2400 |  |  | 3233 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  | 2432 |  |  | 3208 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3091 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3479 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3271 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3227 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Best } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | 66 | 360 | 477 | 2102 | 288 | 288 | 3091 | 216 | 1129 | 268 | 34 |

Note: These results were run over all eleven data and corresponded to every vertex as starting vertex.

| Starting vertex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Data 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 23 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 19 | 9 | 9 | 23 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 4 |
| 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 23 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 19 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 4 |
| 6 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 19 | 9 | 9 | 20 | 6 | 15 | 9 |  |
| 7 |  | 8 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 20 | 6 | 12 | 9 |  |
| 8 |  | 8 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 23 | 6 | 15 | 9 |  |
| 9 |  | 8 | 11 | 19 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 14 | 9 |  |
| 10 |  | 8 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 18 |  | 15 | 9 |  |
| 11 |  | 8 | 11 | 19 |  |  | 23 |  | 14 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 11 | 16 |  |  | 23 |  | 14 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 23 |  | 14 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 23 |  | 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 23 |  | 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 23 |  | 15 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Best } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 7 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 16 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 4 |

