Authors

Benjamin J. Chapman, Eric I. Rosenthal, Joseph Kerckhoff, Bradley A. Moores, Leila R. Vale, J. A. B. Mates, Kevin Lalumière, Alexandre Blais, and K.W. Lehnert

Widely Tunable On-Chip Microwave Circulator for Superconducting Quantum Circuits

Benjamin J. Chapman,^{1,*} Eric I. Rosenthal,¹ Joseph Kerckhoff,^{1,†} Bradley A. Moores,¹ Leila R. Vale,²

J. A. B. Mates,² Gene C. Hilton,² Kevin Lalumière,^{3,‡} Alexandre Blais,^{3,4} and K. W. Lehnert¹

 1 JILA, National Institute of Standards and Technology and the University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

and Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

²National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado 80305, USA
³Département de Physique, Université de Sharbrocke, Sharbrocke, Québec UK 2PL, C

 3 Département de Physique, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec J1K 2R1, Canada

⁴ Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1Z8, Canada

(Received 13 July 2017; published 22 November 2017)

We report on the design and performance of an on-chip microwave circulator with a widely (GHz) tunable operation frequency. Nonreciprocity is created with a combination of frequency conversion and delay, and requires neither permanent magnets nor microwave bias tones, allowing on-chip integration with other superconducting circuits without the need for high-bandwidth control lines. Isolation in the device exceeds 20 dB over a bandwidth of tens of MHz, and its insertion loss is small, reaching as low as 0.9 dB at select operation frequencies. Furthermore, the device is linear with respect to input power for signal powers up to hundreds of fW $(\approx 10^3$ circulating photons), and the direction of circulation can be dynamically reconfigured. We demonstrate its operation at a selection of frequencies between 4 and 6 GHz.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevX.7.041043](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.041043) Subject Areas: Condensed Matter Physics, Quantum Physics, Quantum Information

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, experiments on one or several superconducting qubits have shown that the circuit quantum electrodynamics architecture [1] is a viable platform for the realization of a quantum information processor [2,3]. This success is in part due to the advent of high-quality microwave amplifiers [4–6], which allow for nearquantum-limited amplification and single-shot, quantumnondemolition readout of quantum states [7,8].

As superconducting qubit experiments scale in complexity, further signal processing innovations are needed to preserve the high level of control demonstrated in few-qubit experiments. One bottleneck in this area is the task of unidirectional signal routing. Enforcing one-way signal propagation is critical, for example, in the separation of incoming and outgoing fields for quantum-limited reflection amplifiers, or the isolation of sensitive quantum devices from the backaction of the microwave receiver (Fig. 1).

Currently, these tasks are performed by commercial ferrite junction circulators. These devices violate Lorentz reciprocity—the symmetry, in an electrical network, under exchange of source and detector [10]—with large permanent magnets (\approx 1 mT stray fields) and the Faraday effect [11]. Unfortunately, their size and reliance on these magnets make ferrite circulators difficult to integrate on-chip with superconducting circuits, and unattractive for long-term applications in networks with many superconducting qubits.

Recognition of the need for a scalable circulator has therefore motivated the investigation of alternate means for generating nonreciprocity using, for example, the quantum

FIG. 1. A four-port circulator (gray cylinder) routes fields incident on one of its ports out a neighboring port, as indicated by the arrow. In circuit quantum electrodynamics, these devices are used to separate incoming and outgoing fields for quantum reflection amplifiers, and to isolate fragile quantum systems from the backaction of classical amplifiers. Here, we use "quantum" and "classical" to mean amplifiers with added noise equal to and much greater than half a photon, respectively [9].

^{*} benjamin.chapman@colorado.edu

[†] Present address: HRL Laboratories, LLC, Malibu, CA 90265, USA.

[‡]Present address: Anyon Systems Inc., Dorval, Québec H9P 1G9, Canada.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

Hall effect [12–14] and active devices [15–30]. All of these approaches are chip based or can be adapted for chipbased implementations. Scalability, however, requires more than miniaturization. An ideal replacement technology would be both monolithic and operable without highbandwidth control lines, which are a limited resource in cryogenic microwave experiments. It would also be low loss, linear at power levels typical for qubit readout and amplification, and flexible, in the sense that it should be either broadband, like a commercial circulator, or tunable over a wide frequency range, like some Josephson parametric amplifiers [31,32].

Here, we present the performance of a superconducting microwave circulator proposed in Ref. [19], which meets these stringent requirements. The nonreciprocity is created with a combination of frequency conversion and delay, which ultimately preserves the frequency of the input signal. Its operation requires no microwave frequency control tones, its center frequency may be tuned over a range of several GHz, and the device is realized on a 4-mm chip fabricated with a high-yield $Nb/AlO_x/Nb$ trilayer process [33,34]. We first describe its theory of operation and its superconducting realization. We then present experimental results, including measurements of the circulator's scattering matrix elements and a characterization of its transmission spectrum and linearity. These measurements are performed over a range of different operation frequencies and with the circulator configured for clockwise and counterclockwise circulation, highlighting the device's tunability and the capability to dynamically reconfigure its sense of circulation in situ.

II. THEORY OF OPERATION

The circulator we present in this paper may be understood in terms of "synthetic rotation" created by the active modulation of the circuit, and analyzed with lumpedelement circuit theory or an input-output formalism [19]. Here, we provide a complementary explanation of its operation based on the frequency-domain dynamics of an analogous model system.

The model is a lumped-element network of multipliers and delays [Fig. 2(a)] which creates the most fundamental nonreciprocal circuit element: a gyrator [35]. In a framework where electromagnetic fields propagate in guided modes into and out of a bounded network at ports, the effect of a network can be described by its scattering matrix element $S_{\mu\nu}$, the ratio of the outgoing field at port μ to the incident field at port ν [10]. Gyrators are linear two-port networks defined by the scattering matrix [10]

$$
\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (1)

In a gyrator, nonreciprocity is manifest in the form of a phase shift. Fields incident on port 2 are transmitted with their phase unchanged, but fields incident on port 1 are transmitted with a π phase shift.

Gyration in the model system arises from the noncommutation of successive translations in frequency and time [36]: the multiplying circuits operate as frequency converters, translating an input signal up and down in frequency, and the delays translate fields forward in time. As frequency and time are Fourier duals, the time ordering

FIG. 2. Conceptual diagram of nonreciprocity generated with frequency conversion and delay. (a) Lumped-element network that forms a gyrator. The insets show how fields are transformed by the network's two components: multiplying elements and delays. In the upper inset, an input field at frequency ω_p (top panel) is multiplied by $\cos(\Omega t)$ to create a field with spectral components at $\omega_p \pm \Omega$ (bottom panel). The real and imaginary axes of the plot show the phase of these spectral components in a frame rotating at ω_p . In the lower inset, a delay of length $\tau = \pi/2\Omega$ advances or retards the phase of spectral components at $ω_p + Ω$ or $ω_p - Ω$ by $\pi/2$. (b) Calculation of the forward-scattering parameter for the network in (a), by following an incident field at frequency ω_p as it propagates through the device. Purple (green) arrows indicate fields propagating in the left (right) arm of the network. Fields are forward transmitted with amplitude and frequency unchanged, but phase shifted by π . (c) Backward transmission through the network in (a). Fields are transmitted with amplitude, frequency, and phase unchanged.

of these translations matters (the two operations do not generally commute). Transmission through the network thus depends on the propagation direction of the incident signal, breaking Lorentz reciprocity.

To see that nonreciprocity explicitly, frequency-phase diagrams are used to calculate the model's scattering parameters. The diagrams follow an incident signal at frequency ω_p as it propagates through the device, tracking its amplitude, frequency, and phase in a frame rotating at ω_p .

The insets in Fig. 2(a) depict the way that the model system's two constituent elements, multipliers and delays, transform input fields to output fields. In the multiplying elements, that transformation occurs via multiplication by a bias signal—in this case, $cos(\Omega t)$. In the frequency domain, this multiplication creates two sidebands, each detuned from $ω_p$ by the bias frequency $Ω$. Importantly, the phases of these sidebands depend on the phase of the multiplier's bias signal. We choose a phase reference such that multiplication by $cos(\Omega t)$ creates two sidebands with the same phase.

In the delay elements, inputs are transformed to outputs by way of a phase shift. In the rotating frame, a delay of length $\tau = \pi/2\Omega$ advances the phase of spectral components in the upper sideband $\omega_p + \Omega$ by $\pi/2$ and retards the phase of components in the lower sideband $\omega_p - \Omega$ by $\pi/2$.

With the action of the multiplying and delay elements defined, calculation of the scattering parameters is straightforward. Forward transmission through the model system is shown in Fig. 2(b). A signal incident on port 1 with frequency ω_p [Fig. 2(b)(i)] is first divided equally into the network's two arms. Fields in both arms encounter a first multiplying element, a delay, a second multiplying element, and are then recombined.

Critically, the modulation sidebands at $\omega_p \pm 2\Omega$ created in the network's two arms are π out of phase and interfere destructively at the device's output [Fig. $2(b)(iv)$]. Conversely, the components at the frequency ω_p interfere constructively. Comparison of Fig. $2(b)(iv)$ with Fig. $2(b)(i)$ shows that the incident signal has been transmitted through the device with its frequency and amplitude unchanged, but its phase shifted by π . The scattering parameter S_{21} for the network is therefore -1 .

The reverse path is traced out in Fig. 2(c) for a signal incident on the network's second port. As with forward transmission, destructive interference occurs at $\omega_p \pm 2\Omega$ [Fig. $2(c)(iv)$]. Likewise, this is accompanied by constructive interference at the frequency ω_p . Now, however, comparison of Fig. $2(c)(iv)$ with Fig. $2(c)(i)$ shows that the frequency, amplitude, and phase of the incident signal are unchanged by the network. Therefore, in contrast to the forward transmission, the backwards transmission is characterized by a scattering parameter $S_{12} = 1$. The network in Fig. 2(a) is thus described by the scattering matrix of Eq. (1) and forms an ideal gyrator.

The convert-delay-convert process happens simultaneously in both arms of the network. Consequently, each arm is individually nonreciprocal. Alone, though, a single arm creates unwanted modulation sidebands. To create an ideal gyrator, two arms, with the bias signals of their multiplying elements separated in phase by $\pi/2$, are connected in parallel. This balanced architecture engineers destructive interference of the spectral components at $\omega_p \pm 2\Omega$.

Such a strategy for suppressing the creation of spurious sidebands, which we refer to as "coherent cancellation," may be contrasted with that used in nonreciprocal devices that operate with the parametric coupling of resonant modes in the resolved-sideband limit [20–29]. In that scheme, parametric modulation of a resonant system creates sidebands at the parametric drive frequency, and a second resonant mode is used to enhance the density of states at the desired frequency, while simultaneously diminishing it at the undesired frequency. To work in the resolved sideband limit, however, the parametric modulation must be many times the resonant system's linewidth. In microwave frequency implementations, this typically requires GHz modulation tones. In contrast, the coherent cancellation approach lifts the resolved-sideband constraint, and can therefore be used with lower-frequency control tones.

III. SUPERCONDUCTING IMPLEMENTATION

We make use of the unique properties of superconducting circuitry to realize compact on-chip multiplier and delay elements. Specifically, Josephson junctions form widely tunable inductors, while vanishing conductor loss permits on-chip high-quality microwave resonators. Figure 3 shows how a single arm of the model system [Fig. $3(a)$] is made with a network of capacitors and dynamically tunable inductors [Fig. 3(b)].

A. Multiplying elements

The multiplying elements in the circuit representation are created with reactive bridge circuits, built with two tunable pairs of nominally identical inductors l_+ and l_- arranged opposite one another [gray box in Fig. 3(b)]. Two differential ports are defined by the left-and-right and top-andbottom bridge nodes. Importantly, the inductors tune in a coordinated fashion: when one pair of inductors increases, the other pair decreases. We parametrize this tuning with a base inductance l_0 and an imbalance variable δ , by writing

$$
l_{\pm} = l_0/(1 \pm \delta). \tag{2}
$$

As the imbalance in the bridge determines its transmission, changing δ allows the circuit to act as a switch or a multiplying element [37,38].

The bridge circuit's tunable inductors are realized with series arrays of superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUIDs), formed by the parallel arrangement of two Josephson junctions. Arrays are used in place of individual SQUIDs to increase the linearity of the inductors

FIG. 3. Multiplying elements and delays realized in a superconducting lumped-element circuit. (a) The model system [Fig. $2(a)$] is constructed from two parallel instances of this network. (b) A lumped-element version of the network in (a), created with capacitors and tunable inductors arranged in a bridge geometry. (c) To create an inductive bridge circuit in a superconducting microwave environment, four series arrays of SQUIDs are arranged in a figure-eight geometry and tuned with an off-chip magnetic coil producing a uniform flux Φ_u and an onchip bias line creating a gradiometric flux $\pm \Phi_{g}$. (d) Simulated group delay for the circuit in (b) when its ports are connected to 50 ohm transmission lines. The bridge inductors are parametrized according to Eq. (2), with $c = 1$ pF, $l_0 = 1$ nH, and $\delta = 0.2$.

[19]. The inductance l of an N SQUID array depends on the magnetic flux Φ that threads through each SQUID [39]:

$$
l = N \frac{\varphi_0}{2I_0} \left| \sec \left(\frac{\Phi}{2\varphi_0} \right) \right| + \mathcal{O}(I/I_0)^2.
$$
 (3)

Here, $\varphi_0 = \hbar/2e$ is the reduced flux quantum, I_0 is the Josephson junction critical current, and the junctions and SQUIDs are assumed to be identical.

To realize the coordinated tuning of inductors described in Eq. (2), we arrange the SQUID arrays in a figure-eight geometry [Fig. $3(c)$]. Two flux controls determine the imbalance in the bridge. First, an off-chip coil threads a uniform magnetic flux Φ_u through all the SQUIDs. Second, an on-chip bias line, which bisects the figure-eight, threads a gradiometric flux Φ_{q} through the SQUIDs. SQUIDs on the left-hand side of the bias line therefore experience an overall magnetic flux which is the sum of the uniform and gradiometric contributions, whereas SQUIDs to the right of the line are threaded by the difference of the uniform and gradiometric fluxes.

When the gradiometric bias line is driven with a sinusoidal current source at frequency $Ω$, the flux through

the SQUIDs varies in time as $\Phi = \Phi_u \pm \Phi_g \cos(\Omega t + \phi)$. This process creates a bridge of inductors which tune according to Eq. (2), with a simple sinusoidal variation in the imbalance $\delta = \delta_0 \cos(\Omega t + \phi)$ and a rescaling of the base inductance l_0 . Appendix A describes the mapping between the flux controls Φ_u , Φ_g and the circuit parameters l_0 , δ_0 .

B. Delays

The second primitive needed for the model system is a delay, realized in our circuit with a resonant mode. Conveniently, the SQUIDs in the bridge circuits are inductive, so the addition of a single capacitor is enough to create a resonance. This resonance delays fields near its center frequency by a time scale τ characterized by the inverse of its linewidth. More quantitatively, when a harmonic field incident on port ν of a resonant network is scattered to port μ , it acquires a group delay $\tau =$ $d\angle S_{\mu\nu}/d\omega$ [10]. Here, ω is the frequency of the harmonic field and $\angle S_{\mu\nu}$ is the phase of $S_{\mu\nu}$. Figure 3(d) shows delay as a function of frequency for the resonant circuit in Fig. 3(b). Fields near the circuit's resonant frequency experience a delay of several nanoseconds.

Delays realized with resonant modes allow for a deeply subwavelength implementation, which is critical for the coherent cancellation approach. While these lumpedelement delays are necessarily narrower in bandwidth than those created with a length of transmission line, their finite bandwidth is mitigated by the tunable inductance of the bridge circuits, which allows the frequency ω_0 of the resonant delay to be tuned (over several GHz) with the uniform magnetic flux Φ_{μ} . As the multiplying elements are broadband [38], this tunability of the delay is inherited by the full circulator. Likewise, the duration τ of the delay depends on the imbalance in the bridges, and may be tuned with the gradiometric flux Φ_q , facilitating satisfaction of the requirement that $\tau = \pi/2\Omega$.

Tuning of the resonant delay takes a simple form when expressed in terms of the circuit parameters l_0 and δ_0 . When two of the arms in Fig. 3(b) are combined in parallel to create the fully assembled circuit shown in Fig. 4(a), the resonant delay occurs at the frequency [19]

$$
\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{4 - \delta_0^2}{2l_0 c}},\tag{4}
$$

and its duration τ is approximately the inverse of the resonant mode's linewidth,

$$
\tau \approx \frac{8Z_0 c}{\delta_0^2}.\tag{5}
$$

Here, Z_0 is the characteristic impedance of the surrounding transmission lines.

FIG. 4. Superconducting realization of a four-port circulator. (a) Lumped-element schematic of the circulator, constructed with four inductive bridge circuits and two capacitors. Bias lines (not shown) tune the imbalance δ of the four bridge circuits dynamically. (b) The schematic in (a) redrawn to better match the device layout. The rearrangement allows biasing of the bridge circuits with a pair of straight flux-control lines. (c) False-color optical micrograph of the circulator chip. A dashed black box indicates one of the four bridge circuits, created with four 12-SQUID arrays (green) arranged in the figure-eight geometry described in Fig. 3(c). Parallel-plate capacitors (purple) provide the capacitance needed for the resonant delay. Bias lines (red and blue) traverse the chip and imbalance the bridge circuits with a gradiometric flux.

C. Circulator

Assembly of a superconducting version of the full circuit requires the parallel combination of two arms like the one shown in Fig. 3(b). Figure 4(a) shows a lumped-element schematic of the complete network. When ports 1 and 3 and ports 2 and 4 are driven differentially, the circuit in Fig. 4(a) creates a gyrator that functions in the same way as the model system [Fig. 2(a)]. If instead, however, ports are defined by comparison of voltages to a common ground [as shown in Fig. $4(a)$], the circuit forms a reconfigurable fourport circulator, with the (ideal) clockwise scattering matrix,

$$
\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}
$$

or the counterclockwise scattering matrix S^T.

The transformation between gyrator and circulator can be understood in the following way: driving any one of the device's four ports involves simultaneously exciting the common and differential modes of the circuit. The gyrating differential mode is nonreciprocal, whereas the prompt scattering of the nonresonant common mode is reciprocal. The interference of these two scattering processes results in circulation [19].

For fabrication, the circuit is laid out as illustrated in Fig. 4(b). This rearrangement leaves the connectivity of the circuitry unchanged, but allows two pairs of parallel fluxcontrol bias lines to bisect the four inductive bridges. Figure 4(c) shows a false-color optical micrograph of the device laid out in this way.

The SQUID arrays that comprise the circuit's multiplying elements are colored dark green in the micrograph and oriented horizontally. Capacitors, to make the resonant delays, are realized in a parallel-plate, metal-insulatormetal geometry, and colored purple. Finally, normal metal (Au) is used in the layout to break supercurrent loops which can trap flux. These sections are colored gold, and have a resistance of approximately 10 milliohms, which increases insertion loss by 0.1 dB. Further details on the layout design considerations are provided in Appendix D.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To test the device, two of its four ports are terminated in 50 ohm loads and the circuit is mounted at the base of a ³He cryostat. A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 5. Two switches and a directional coupler allow for measurement of the four accessible scattering parameters.

Appendix B describes the tune-up procedure, which involves choice of the modulation frequency Ω and the delay τ , as well as selection of the phases ϕ_{cw} and ϕ_{ccw} that best accomplish clockwise and counterclockwise circulation. Figure 6 shows the results of this process when the device is tuned to operate near 4 GHz. Four of the device's 16 scattering parameters are plotted in Fig. 6(a) for both the clockwise and counterclockwise operation modes. Different ports were probed in a separate cooldown, with similar results. (Calibration of network parameter measurements is discussed in Appendix C.)

In the transmission measurements [top right and bottom left plots of Fig. 6(a)], high transmission $(>-1$ dB) and robust isolation (>20 dB) are observed in a 50-MHz window around 4.044 GHz. These features are approximately coincident with −11-dB dips in the reflection measurements [top left and bottom right plots of Fig. 6(a)]. Together, power collected in the transmission and reflection measurements account for 90% of the injected signal power.

To determine if the remaining power is dissipated or scattered to other frequencies, a spectrum analyzer is used to measure the transmission of the circulator at sidebands of the modulation frequency $\omega_p \pm m\Omega$. Figure 6(b) shows the power of these spectral components, relative to the transmitted spectral component at ω_p . The device suppresses spurious sidebands by more than 20 dB. The spectral purity of the output—in particular, the suppression of spectral components at $\omega_p \pm 2\Omega$ —is a testament to the high degree of symmetry in the circuit. From this measurement, we conclude that the remaining 10% of input power is dissipated into heat or other radiation modes.

Finally, Fig. 6(c) displays the dependence of clockwise and counterclockwise transmission on the power of the probe signal. Fixing the probe frequency at

FIG. 5. Simplified experimental schematic for circulator measurements in a ³He cryostat (attenuation, filtering, and isolation omitted). Two switches and a directional coupler allow measurement of four of the circulator's scattering parameters with a vector network analyzer (VNA).

 $\omega_p = 2\pi \times 4.044$ GHz, the measurement is repeated for both clockwise and counterclockwise operation. In both cases, 1-dB compression of the transmitted signal occurs at input powers around 1 pW. As the input power approaches this value, we also observe a degradation in the circulator's isolation, which drops below 20 dB at a power again roughly equal to 1 pW. In analogy with the 1-dB compression point, we refer to this power as the 20-dB expansion point of the circulator. Expressed in terms of photon number, this linearity allows the circulator to process over $10³$ photons per inverse of its bandwidth.

For reference, the typical power in a microwave tone used for dispersive readout [1] of a superconducting qubit

FIG. 6. Performance of an on-chip superconducting circulator tuned to operate near 4 GHz. (a) Frequency dependence of four of the circulator's 16 scattering parameters, when configured as a counterclockwise circulator (blue traces) and a clockwise circulator (orange traces). (b) Transmission spectrum of the circulator at $\omega_p = 2\pi \times 4.044$ GHz, measured at frequencies $\omega_p \pm m\Omega$ with m a positive integer. Spectral components are normalized by the power transmitted at ω_p . Spurious sidebands are suppressed by approximately 20 dB. (c) Transmission as a function of probe power, with probe frequency fixed at $\omega_p = 2\pi \times 4.044$ GHz. 1-dB compression occurs around 1 pW.

is between 100 and 1000 aW (few photon level) [40]. The 3 orders of magnitude that separate this power scale from the 1-dB compression and 20-dB expansion points of the device are critical for one attractive application of a monolithic superconducting circulator: on-chip integration with a quantum-limited reflection amplifier, such as a Josephson parametric amplifier. The high-power handling of the circulator allows it to route qubit readout tones even after they reflect off a Josephson parametric amplifier and are amplified by 20 dB.

To demonstrate the circulator's tunability, we operate the device at a variety of frequencies between 4 and 6 GHz and repeat the measurements shown in Fig. 6. Figure 7 summarizes the performance of the device across this tunable range. Insertion loss is shown in Fig. 7(a). Transmission is greatest at the lowest frequency, and decreases with

FIG. 7. Performance of a widely tunable on-chip circulator. The insertion loss [(a), solid lines], dissipation [(a), dotted lines], maximum isolation (b), isolation bandwidth (c), sideband suppression (d), and power handling (e) are plotted at operation frequencies between 4 and 6 GHz when the device is configured as a clockwise (orange rectangles) or counterclockwise (blue circles) circulator. Isolation bandwidth refers to the bandwidth over which isolation exceeds 20 dB. Sideband suppression refers to the contrast between transmitted spectral components at the input frequency ω_p and the largest spurious sideband of the form $\omega_p \pm n\Omega$. In (e), dotted lines indicate the device's 1-dB compression point and solid lines indicate the 20-dB expansion point, where isolation drops below 20 dB.

frequency until it approaches −3 dB. We attribute this trend to the geometric inductance present in the circuit, which limits the degree to which the bridges can be imbalanced. This inhibits impedance matching and reduces the degree to which the resonant differential modes are overcoupled. At lower operation frequencies, the Josephson inductance comprises a greater fraction of the bridge's total inductance, mitigating this effect.

This interpretation is supported by the power dissipation that we estimate at each operation frequency [Fig. $7(a)$, dashed lines], computed as the sum of the reflection and transmission coefficients $-10 \log (R^2 + T^2)$. (Power transmitted to sidebands of the modulation frequency is suppressed by over 20 dB, and is therefore neglected in this accounting.) Reflections, visible in the discrepancy between insertion loss and transmission, are larger at higher frequencies, where the role of geometric inductance is more pronounced. Dissipation is also greater at higher operation frequencies, where the external coupling of the resonant mode is lower. One of the principal dissipation sources in the circuit is the dielectric loss of $SiO₂$, which results in a frequency-dependent loss that we estimate ranges from 0.3 to 0.8 dB.

The circulator's maximum isolation is plotted in Fig. 7(b). Below 5.5 GHz, isolation exceeds 35 dB for both device configurations. Critically, isolation is achieved over a bandwidth of several tens of MHz, much greater than the bandwidths typical for strongly coupled cavity ports in dispersive qubit readout, which range up to several MHz [$2,3,41$]. Figure $7(c)$ shows the frequency interval over which the isolation exceeds 20 dB.

It should be noted that this isolation is achieved concurrent with the performance shown in the rest of Fig. 7: all specifications are measured at two fixed operation phases, which realize clockwise and counterclockwise circulation. To select these operation phases in a quantitative manner, we write a cost function to simultaneously balance the benefits of low insertion loss, high isolation, and broad bandwidth, for both clockwise and counterclockwise operation. Ultimately, different applications will prioritize the relative importance of these specifications in different ways, allowing trade-offs in performance specifications, for example, between insertion loss and isolation. Similarly, if the device's reconfigurability is not needed, performance will generally exceed that shown in Fig. 7.

Figure 7(d) characterizes the spectral purity of transmitted fields at each operation frequency. It shows the size of the largest spurious sideband (relative to the power transmitted at the probe frequency), which we call the sideband suppression. Spurious sidebands are strongly suppressed across the operation range, typically by about 20 dB.

Lastly, Fig. 7(e) shows how the power handling of the circulator depends on the operation frequency. Frequencies between 4 and 5 GHz have 1-dB compression points and 20-dB expansion points around 1 pW.

V. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we realize the on-chip superconducting circulator proposed in Ref. [19]. Lorentz reciprocity is broken in the circuit with sequential translations in frequency and time, which we show with a simple model system composed of just two components: multiplying elements and delays. We describe how both elements can be created in a cryogenic microwave environment, and then characterize the performance of a circulator built from these components. We observe low insertion loss and over 20 dB of isolation over a bandwidth of approximately 50 MHz. The device is linear with respect to input power for fields up to 1 pW in power, and its transmission spectrum is spectrally pure, in the sense that spurious harmonics created by the device's rf control tones are suppressed by more than 20 dB. Finally, we demonstrate that all of these performance specifications can be achieved over a tunable operating range approaching 2 GHz, and in clockwise or counterclockwise configurations.

As the device is controlled with radio frequency tones [which are (a) easily phase locked and (b) require none of the limited high-bandwidth transmission lines in a dilution refrigerator], and as it is orders of magnitude more compact than commercial ferrite circulators, this superconducting circulator is a scalable alternative to signal routing with ferrite junction circulators. We estimate that with superconducting twisted pairs carrying the low-frequency control tones, $10³$ of these circulators could be operated in a single dilution refrigerator (see Appendix F).

Looking forward, the work suggests several immediate extensions. In a future design, layout changes could improve device performance: dielectric loss can be reduced with the use of low-loss dielectrics like amorphous silicon [24] or interdigitated capacitors. Similarly, dividing the power in the gradiometric flux lines off-chip and delivering them on-chip in four dedicated bias lines removes layout constraints and enables the design of a circuit with approximately half the geometric inductance. Even with the device's existing performance, another obvious extension is on-chip integration of the circulator with a quantumlimited amplifier for measurements of added noise. Finally, the essential concept of frequency conversion and delay can be adapted to a lossless and broadband design, using nonresonant delays [36,42,43]. Prospects for such a device are extremely attractive given the high power handling of these SQUID-array-based devices, as their integration with a broadband low-noise amplifier [6] could enable scalable frequency-domain multiplexing of many-qubit systems with near-unit measurement efficiency.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the ARO under Contract No. W911NF-14-1-0079 and the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1125844.

APPENDIX A: FLUX CONTROL

The proposal in Ref. [19] analyzes a lumped-element model of the circulator, formed with dynamically tunable inductors parametrized according to Eq. (2). The following relations connect that parametrization with the experimental flux-control parameters Φ_u and Φ_a [44]:

$$
\delta_0 = -2 \tan(\alpha) \frac{J_1(\beta)}{J_0(\beta)} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2),
$$

\n
$$
l_0 = N \frac{\varphi_0}{2I_0} \frac{1}{\cos(\alpha)J_0(\beta)} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2),
$$
 (A1)

with

$$
\alpha \equiv \pi \frac{\Phi_u}{\Phi_0},
$$

$$
\beta \equiv \pi \frac{\Phi_g}{\Phi_0},
$$
 (A2)

and J_n the *n*th Bessel function of the first kind.

APPENDIX B: TUNE-UP PROCEDURE

Three straightforward steps are required to prepare the circulator for operation. First, the frequency of the resonant delay ω_0 is tuned to the desired operation frequency. Second, the duration of the resonant delay τ is set to $\pi/2\Omega$. Finally, the phase difference ϕ between the gradiometric flux-control drives is set to $\pm \pi/2$.

We illustrate the first two of these steps in Fig. 8, which shows in color the group delay τ acquired during transmission through the device at different probe frequencies ω_p , and for different values of a static gradiometric flux Φ_q applied to all four of the inductive bridges. The measurement is shown for three different values of the uniform flux Φ_{μ} .

Two features are immediately evident in the data. First, the resonant nature of the delay is clear: for fixed values of Φ_u and Φ_o , fields at most probe frequencies are off resonance and their group delays are less than 5 ns, as visible in the black background of the color plot. Against this background, three arches are visible, which show the resonant delay tuning with Φ_q for the three measurements at distinct Φ_{μ} . The shapes of these arches are qualitatively captured by the theoretical predictions in dashed gray lines, which are made with Eq. (4) and the relations in Appendix A that map Φ_g and Φ_u to δ_0 and l_0 .

Second, when $|\Phi_{q}|$ approaches 0, the group delay vanishes. A gradiometric bias with magnitude much less than $\Phi_0 = 2\pi\varphi_0$ results in approximately balanced bridges $(\delta \ll 1)$. As the external coupling of the resonant mode depends on δ^2 [Eq. (5)], balanced bridges result in undercoupled resonant modes, which strongly attenuates transmission through the resonant differential modes.

FIG. 8. Measurements of the circulator's group delay τ (color, log scale) as a function of the probe frequency and a static gradiometric flux Φ_u applied to all four of the bridge circuits. The duration and center frequency of the resonant delay depend on the uniform and gradiometric flux, allowing the circulator's operation frequency to be tuned between 4 and 6 GHz. Dashed gray lines are predictions of Eq. (4) which use the mapping in Appendix A. To account for geometric inductance in the circuit (which is not present in the model), the dashed lines are calculated with effective uniform fluxes $\tilde{\Phi}_u/\Phi_0 = 0.38, 0.33,$ and 0.28 chosen to match the frequency of the measured and predicted delays when $\Phi_q = 0$.

(The internal quality factor of the circuit is estimated to be 400 when the resonant delay is tuned to 5 GHz.) Power is still transmitted through the nonresonant common mode, but without acquiring resonant delay.

As circulation bandwidth scales with the linewidth of the resonant delay, for the measurements in this paper, we operate the device with a relatively brief delay on the order of several nanoseconds, with $\Omega = 2\pi \times 120$ MHz. This choice has the additional benefit of reducing the influence ofinternal losses by keeping the circuit strongly overcoupled.

The final step of the tune-up procedure involves selection of the relative phase ϕ between the gradiometric flux controls. Figure 9 shows a sweep of ϕ when the resonant delay ω_0 is set near $2\pi \times 4$ GHz and the duration of the delay τ is fixed at several ns with $\Omega = 2\pi \times 120$ MHz. The color scale in Fig. 9(a) shows the magnitude of S_{21} as a function of this phase and the probe frequency; S_{12} is shown in Fig. 9(b).

The color plots in Fig. 9 reveal two regions of parameter space in which operating points can be chosen. At these phases, the insertion loss is less than 1 dB and the isolation exceeds 30 dB. They can therefore be interpreted as the phases which realize a clockwise or counterclockwise circulator. To illustrate this, Fig. 9(c) shows frequency line cuts at 4.044 GHz from both transmission measurements. Importantly, the line cuts show that high transmission in the counterclockwise (clockwise) direction is accompanied by strong isolation in the clockwise (counterclockwise) direction. They also illustrate how toggling the phase ϕ allows

FIG. 9. Measurements of a dynamically reconfigurable circulator. The phase ϕ between the gradiometric flux lines determines the direction of circulation. Counterclockwise (a) and clockwise (b) transmission as a function of the probe frequency and the phase ϕ . Vertical and horizontal lines indicate the location of line cuts plotted in (c) and Fig. 6(a). (c) Counterclockwise (dashed line) and clockwise (solid line) transmission at probe frequency $\omega_p = 2\pi \times 4.044$ GHz.

dynamical reconfiguration of the device's sense of circulation. Interestingly, one can see that the strongest nonreciprocity is observed at phases near but distinct from the expected operating points at $\pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$. Appendix E describes how geometric inductance in the circuit causes this discrepancy.

APPENDIX C: CALIBRATION OF NETWORK PARAMETER MEASUREMENTS

1. Transmission calibration

To remove the gain of the measurement chain in transmission measurements, a bypass switch is mounted at the base of the cryostat, which routes fields through a 5-cm coaxial transmission line instead of the circulator. We also use dedicated through measurements (made in a separate cooldown) in which the circulator chip is exchanged for a like-sized circuit board traversed by a single 50 ohm transmission line. Using these techniques, the reference plane for transmission measurements is moved (approximately) to the edge of the chip.

2. Reflection calibration

To remove the gain G from reflection measurements, we measure the reflection R_{bal} off the circulator when no bias current is applied to the on-chip bias lines. In this unbiased state, all four inductor bridges are balanced, and the reflection coefficient Γ_{bal} is the diagonal entry in each row of the balanced scattering matrix S_{bal} . The matrix S_{bal} may be calculated by substituting the lower-right block matrix of Eq. (8) in Ref. 19 into Eq. (19) of that reference. This procedure yields

$$
\Gamma_{\text{bal}} = \frac{i\omega l + 2Z_0}{i\omega l - 4Z_0}.\tag{C1}
$$

As

$$
R_{\text{bal}} = G\Gamma_{\text{bal}},\tag{C2}
$$

and the gain G of the reflection measurement chain is assumed to be independent of the circulator's state, the reflection coefficient Γ_{op} at arbitrary operation points is related to the measured reflection R_{op} by

$$
\Gamma_{\rm op} = \frac{R_{\rm op}}{G} = \Gamma_{\rm bal} \frac{R_{\rm op}}{R_{\rm bal}}.\tag{C3}
$$

To account for geometric inductance in the bridges, the inductance l in Eq. (C1) is estimated using measurements of the unbalanced circuit's resonant frequency, the capacitance design value of 1 pF, and Eq. (4).

3. Calibration of group delay

Preparing the circulator for operation requires correctly setting the duration τ of the resonant delay. Measurements of the circulator's group delay are used for this purpose. To separate the nonresonant delays of the finite-length measurement chain from the resonant delay τ , we multiply the measured transmission data by $e^{i\omega \tau_d}$, where $\tau_d = 62$ ns is the time required for an off-resonant microwave field to propagate through the measurement chain. In the absence of circuit resonances, this multiplication makes the phase of the transmission flat as a function of frequency, zeroing the group delay.

APPENDIX D: CIRCUIT LAYOUT

The circuit we discuss in this work presents several design challenges, some of which are specific to superconducting circuits.

1. Capacitor design

To realize the capacitors c in the circulator's lumpedelement representation [Fig. 4(a)], we lay out parallel-plate capacitors in a metal-insulator-metal geometry with Nb plates sandwiched around the dielectric $SiO₂$ [Fig. 10(a)]. In the frequency range of 4–8 GHz, roughly pF capacitances are required to create capacitor impedances near 50 ohms. Making a pF capacitor with $SiO₂$ in the Nb trilayer process requires capacitor plates that are roughly 100 μ m on a side—large enough to trap magnetic flux vortices when cooled through niobium's superconducting transition temperature T_c in Earth's magnetic field [45].

To avoid trapping flux vortices, we pattern slots in the capacitor electrodes, such that the Nb strips that form the electrodes never exceed a width $w \ll 100 \ \mu m$. This ability to suppress vortices in nonzero magnetic fields is important for our layout, as the circulator is actuated with flux controls which can be spoiled by a static and unremovable flux gradient. Choosing $w = 5 \mu m$ ensures that the capacitor electrodes trap no magnetic flux vortices when the capacitor is cooled through T_c in our experiment's modestly shielded magnetic environment.

To further symmetrize the circuit, each parallel-plate capacitor is then divided into two capacitors of capacitance $c/2$, and connected in parallel, such that the upper plate of the first (second) capacitor is galvanically linked to the

FIG. 10. (a) Schematic illustrating the symmetrization of the parallel-plate capacitors used in the device. To create a capacitor c, two capacitors with half the desired capacitance are connected in parallel, such that the upper (lower) plate of the first capacitor is galvanically connected to the lower (upper) plate of the second. This procedure gives each side of the capacitor the same parasitic capacitance to the ground plane. (b) False-color scanning electron microscope image showing one of the $c/2$ capacitors used in the device (blue), and the joint connecting it to another $c/2$ capacitor (green), as described in (a). The plates in both capacitors are formed from narrow niobium strips of width $w = 5 \mu m$ to prevent trapping flux vortices [45].

lower plate of the second (first) capacitor [Fig. 10(b)]. This procedure gives each side of the composite capacitor the same parasitic capacitance to ground, and is essential for preserving the symmetry on which the concept of the device relies.

A scanning electron microscope image of a capacitor is shown in Fig. 10(b), which shows the Nb strips that form the top plate of one of the $c/2$ parallel-plate capacitors. In the right side of the image, the capacitor is connected to a second parallel-plate capacitor (mostly out of view) in the manner described above.

2. Use of normal metal

Superconducting loops in the circulator can trap magnetic flux and lead to an unstable flux environment, interfering with the flux biasing used to control the device. To avoid trapping unwanted flux, we lay out the circulator using small amounts of a normal metal (Au). The thickness (height) of the gold layer is $d = 225$ nm, giving it a sheet resistance of $R_n = 60$ milliohms/square. To reduce resistive losses in this layer, 11 Au squares are placed in parallel, yielding a total film resistance of about 10 milliohms. Four of these resistors are placed in each Wheatstone bridge [yellow rectangles in Fig. 4(c) and resistor symbols in Fig. 11(b)] to break supercurrent loops and maintain the symmetry required by the circuit. Estimates with time-domain numerical simulations (Simulink) indicate that the addition of these resistors

FIG. 11. (a) Schematic showing the position of normal metal resistors in the inductive bridges and the routing of a quadrupolesource bias line that couples strongly to the SQUIDs it encloses and weakly to surrounding loops of the circuit. (b) False-color scanning electron microscope image showing two adjacent SQUID arrays (green) and the microstrip lines (pink) that flux bias them. (c) Schematic illustrating the challenge of coupling a bias line strongly to a small SQUID loop while coupling it weakly to a larger circuit loop.

causes the dissipation in the circuit to increase by 0.1 dB, limiting the internal Q of the circuit to be less than 2000.

To design the normal metal resistors in a way that prevents proximitization by the nearby niobium, the resistor lengths l—defined as its dimension parallel to the flow of current—is constrained to be $l \gg \xi_d$. Here, ξ_d is the coherence length of Au calculated in a dirty limit, where the metal film's mean free path l_n is less than the clean-limit coherence length [46]:

$$
\xi_c = \frac{\hbar v_F}{2\pi k_B T}.
$$
 (D1)

In the above, v_F is the Fermi velocity of the metal, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, and T is the metal's temperature. We justify this treatment with the observation that the Au film's mean free path $l_n \approx 600 \text{ nm} \ll 6 \mu \text{m} \approx \xi_c$. This estimate for ξ_c is made with the assumption that $v_F = 1.4 \times 10^6$ m/s in Au [47], and the temperature T set to 300 mK. The mean free path is calculated with the Drude model [47] and the film's resistivity.

In the dirty limit, the coherence length ξ_d is essentially a geometric mean of the clean-limit coherence length and the metal's mean free path [46],

$$
\xi_d = \sqrt{\frac{l_n \xi_c}{3}},\tag{D2}
$$

which comes out to $\xi_d \approx 1$ μm for the above values of ξ_c and l_n . This value is comparable with measurements of the dirty-limit coherence length in thin films of a similar elemental metal, copper, when one corrects for sample thickness [48,49].

The condition $l \gg \xi_d$ can be made quantitative with consideration of the superconducting-normalsuperconducting (SNS) junction physics which govern the Nb-Au-Nb interface. Unlike a superconductinginsulator-superconducting junction, which is governed by an energy scale set by the superconducting gap, the natural energy scale for the proximity effect in a SNS junction is the Thouless energy [49]. For junctions of reasonable size $(l > \xi_d)$, the critical current of the SNS junction is

$$
I_n = \frac{2\pi k_b T}{R_n q} \left(\frac{\xi_d}{l}\right)^2 e^{-l/\xi_d} e^{-l/l_\phi}.
$$
 (D3)

Here, q is the electron charge, $l_{\phi} \approx 2 \mu m$ is the inelastic scattering length of gold at 300 mK [50], and the Thouless energy is expressed in terms of the coherence length ξ_d and the junction length l . The critical current I_n sets the Josephson energy $E_J = \varphi_0 I_n$ of the SNS junction, and the resistance R of the junction scales in relation to the Josephson energy and the energy in the thermal environment:

$$
R = R_n e^{-E_J/k_B T}.
$$
 (D4)

Along with the Josephson inductance of a SQUID array [Eq. (3)], the resistance in Eq. (D4) sets an L/R time which characterizes the time required for trapped flux to dissipate out of the circuit. Choosing $l > 5.5 \xi_d$ ensures that L/R time is less than 1s. In preliminary designs, we therefore set $l = 10 \mu$ m. In later designs, we find experimentally that $l = 5 \mu$ m also prevents flux trapping, likely due to a dirtylimit coherence length which is less than our $1-\mu m$ estimate. The device we present here has $l = 5 \mu m$.

3. Bias line design

The circulator's active components are actuated with flux controls created by a pair of on-chip bias lines. Design of these bias lines involves two important layout considerations: namely, isolating the microwave fields from the bias lines and preventing the rf bias signals from interfering with the operation of the microwave circuit.

Isolating the circulator's microwave fields from the bias lines is important because, from the perspective of the microwave circuit, coupling to the bias lines acts as an additional loss channel. To reduce losses of this kind, lowpass filters (20-nH spiral inductors) are inserted into the bias lines as they enter and exit the chip [pink inductor symbols in Fig. $11(a)$]. These simple filters present an impedance of approximately 15 ohms to the bias signals at $\Omega = 2\pi \times 120$ MHz, whereas at microwave frequencies in the 4–8-GHz band, their impedance exceeds 500 ohms. Simulations using commercial planar method-of-moments solvers (AWR Microwave Office) indicate that these filters limit microwave transmission out the bias lines to less than -20 dB.

The challenge of the second consideration—preventing bias signals from interfering with the circuit's microwave operation—is illustrated in Fig. 11(c). The lumped-element representation of the circulator [Fig. 4(a)] contains tunable inductors, realized with flux-modulated SQUIDs, as well as larger circuit loops which are (partly) composed of SQUIDs. For simplicity, we consider the effect of a bias line on one such loop of area A that includes a SQUID with area a inside it [Fig. 11(c)].

To operate the circulator, the bias line must dynamically thread a flux through the SQUID, on the order of a tenth of a flux quantum $\Phi_{g} \approx \Phi_{0}/10$, at a rate Ω. If the mutual inductance between the bias line and the SQUID loop is denoted as M_a , this requires an ac bias current with amplitude $I_a \approx \Phi_0/(10M_a)$.

The time-dependent gradiometric flux, however, also threads through the larger circuit loop of area A. Faraday's law describes the electromotive force induced around this loop, which for a cosinusoidal bias current is $\mathcal{E} = \Omega M_A I_g \sin(\Omega t)$. We assume the impedance of the loop Z_A is entirely inductive in origin. The loop inductance L_A is the sum of its geometric L_g and Josephson inductance L_J , which we write in terms of the participation ratio $p \equiv$ L_A/L_J as $L_A = pL_J$, yielding $Z_A = i\Omega pL_J$. Ohm's law then allows a calculation of the ac current induced around the loop of area A , which with the appropriate substitutions has an amplitude of

$$
I_{\rm ind} \approx \frac{2\pi}{10p} \frac{M_A}{M_a} I_s,\tag{D5}
$$

with I_s the critical current of the SQUID.

When the induced currents approach the SQUID critical currents in magnitude, the higher-order corrections in Eq. (3) become significant, and when it exceeds the critical currents, the SQUIDs become dissipative elements. From Eq. (D5) one can see that the bias signals will couple to the microwave circuit and interfere with the circulator's operation unless the prefactor on the equation's right-hand side is much less than one. The circulator's performance (in particular, the ability to impedance match the device) requires that the participation ratio p not be much greater than one. The only way to satisify the requirement, then, is to engineer the mutual inductances such that $(M_A/M_a) \ll 1$. This is challenging, as the size of the parallel-plate capacitors and the SQUID arrays mandates that $A/a \approx 10^3$.

To overcome the disparity in loop areas and satisfy the coupling condition $M_A/M_a \ll 1$, we lay out the bias lines in a symmetric way, such that their currents create magnetic quadrupoles. The layout of the shielded bias lines is shown schematically in Fig. 11(a), and is also visible in the SEM image in Fig. 11(b), as well as Fig. 4(c). The central bias line, bisecting the bridge, carries the full bias current I_q across the chip, and then splits into two parallel arms, each carrying a current $I_q/2$ on the outside edges of the bridge. As the currents in these lines flow in opposite directions, the magnetic field B_q from this shielded configuration scales as

$$
B_g = \frac{\mu_0 I_g}{2\pi r} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{r}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon}{r}\right)^4,\tag{D6}
$$

where ϵ is the separation between the inner and outer bias lines and μ_0 is the vacuum permeability. We make ϵ as small as possible in our layouts, given the requirement that the SQUID arrays must reside between the inner and outer bias lines. These constraints result in the choice $\epsilon = 17.5 \mu m$.

APPENDIX E: CIRCULATOR NONIDEALITIES

Here, we discuss nonidealities observed in the circulator, in which the network parameter measurements depart from the theoretical predictions of the scattering matrix, obtained with the analytical model in Ref. [19]. That reference predicts the dependence of S on the parameters l_0 , δ , and Ω , and using the relations in Appendix A, l_0 and δ can be mapped to the flux controls Φ_u and Φ_a . To facilitate this comparison, Fig. 12 shows measured and predicted

transmission parameters as a function of the probe frequency and the gradiometric flux Φ_q .

Qualitatively, the experiment and model agree fairly well: all four plots show a pair of resonant modes split by twice the modulation rate $\Omega/2\pi$, in analogy with a Sagnac interferometer [51]. As Φ_q increases, these modes shift down in frequency and broaden. Furthermore, the device's nonreciprocity is evident both in the model and in experiments: at the lower frequency mode, S_{21} is large in magnitude at the same frequency and gradiometric flux that S_{12} is small.

One can also see aspects of the experimental data which are not captured in the model. For example, as Φ_{q} approaches 0 the resonant modes become increasingly difficult to perceive in the experimental data. In the model, though, the modes become narrower and Φ_{q} decreases, but remain well distinguished from the off-resonant transmission. This discrepancy is a result of the fact that internal losses are not included in the theoretical model. In the measurement, the presence of loss means that for small enough Φ_{q} , the modes become undercoupled and are difficult to detect.

Another discrepancy is the slight splitting $(2g \approx$ $2 \times 2\pi \times 17$ MHz), visible in the experimental plots [Figs. 12(a) and 12(b)], of each resonant mode. We attribute this splitting to a hybridization of the circuit's two degenerate resonant modes, which is not included in the model.

The sharp "edge" visible at large $\Phi_{q}/\Phi_{0} \approx 0.15$ in the measurements is an additional difference between the

FIG. 12. Measurements (a),(b) and theoretical predictions (c), (d) of $|S_{21}|$ and $|S_{12}|$, as a function of probe frequency and amplitude of the oscillatory gradiometric flux, when the device is configured as a counterclockwise circulator. Theoretical predictions are made with the expressions in Ref. [19] and the mapping in Appendix A. Circuit parameters are fixed at their design targets $(l_0 = 1 \text{ nH}, c = 1 \text{ pF})$ and the flux controls are set to match the measurements in (a) and (b): $\Omega = 2\pi \times 120$ MHz, $\Phi_u = 0.38\Phi_0$.

model and experiments. In dedicated studies of this feature, we observe that the location of the edge depends on both the frequency Ω and the phase ϕ between the gradiometric flux drives. When the inverse of the edge's location is plotted as a function of ϕ , it scales as $sin(\phi/2)$, which is precisely the scaling one would expect if the edge resulted from the total flux (i.e., the interference between the two gradiometric flux lines) through a large loop in the circuit exceeding some critical value—for example, a value set by the SQUID critical currents, in the manner discussed in Appedix D 3. This observation supports the conjecture that the edge is caused by induced currents in the microwave circuit which exceed the critical current of the SQUIDs.

Refinements in the layout can reduce these induced currents, though device operation would still be limited in the amplitude of the applied gradiometric flux; the application of a total external flux $\Phi_u \pm \Phi_g$ with magnitude greater than $\Phi_0/2$ causes a deviation from the simple fluxtunable circuit model described in Fig. 3. When the total flux exceeds this threshold, further increase in Φ_{q} serves to balance the inductive bridges, rather than imbalance them, and a departure from the model is expected in this regime.

A final difference between the model and experiments is visible in the scaling of the resonant modes with the gradiometric flux. The modes in the theory plots are more sensitive to Φ_{α} , bending down to lower frequencies than the measured modes. They also broaden and merge to a degree that is not apparent in the measurements. We attribute this discrepancy to geometric inductance in the circuit that reduces the tunability of the resonant delay and restricts the modal linewidth.

This interpretation is supported by our observation of optimal circulator performance at drive phases ϕ distinct from the theoretically expected values at $\pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$. When geometric inductance restricts the linewidths of the circulator's resonant modes, it prevents the creation of the brief (2-ns) resonant delay needed to satisfy the convertdelay operation condition: $\Omega \tau = \pi/2$. The condition can be met with reduction of Ω, but this is undesirable for two reasons. First, the circulator's bandwidth is proportional to Ω. Second, device performance requires that the modulation rate Ω exceed the internal splitting g of the hybridized resonant modes: $\Omega \gg g$.

A simple extension of the theory discussed in Sec. II shows how the circulator's transmission depends on ϕ and $\Omega \tau$ in the general case when $\Omega \tau$ takes values other than $\pi/2$:

$$
S_{21} \propto \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos(\Omega \tau + \phi)],
$$

\n
$$
S_{12} \propto \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos(\Omega \tau - \phi)].
$$
 (E1)

From these expressions, it is clear that if $\Omega \tau$ is forced to take values greater than $\pi/2$, improved counterclockwise (clockwise) circulation can be obtained with phases greater (less) than $\pi/2$ (3 $\pi/2$). Our observation of optimal control phases at $\approx 2\pi/3$ and $4\pi/3$ corresponds to a minimum achievable delay of about 3 ns.

APPENDIX F: FILTERING, ATTENUATION, AND POWER-CONSUMPTION CONSIDERATIONS

One of the costs associated with replacing passive ferrite circulators with active on-chip circulators is the power consumption of the control tones and the heat loads this creates in a dilution refrigerator. Estimating that power consumption requires a discussion of the attenuation and filtering of the control lines.

To determine the attenuation required to keep the added noise below half a photon, the added noise is estimated as a function of the temperature T to which the control lines are thermalized. Scaling and filtering considerations are then discussed in light of this result.

For simplicity, consider the noise added by the circulator during transmission from its first port to its second port. Fluctuations of the bias current amplitude and relative phase between the two bias signals will modulate a transmitted tone, thus creating noisy modulation sidebands of the tone. The sideband noise powers caused by amplitude fluctuations S_I^{AN} and phase fluctuations S_I^{PN} are (at most)

$$
S_{I}^{\text{AN}} = \left(\frac{\partial S_{21}}{\partial I_g} I_{1 \text{ dB}}\right)^2 S_{I},
$$

$$
S_{I}^{\text{PN}} = \left(\frac{\partial S_{21}}{\partial \phi} \frac{I_{1 \text{ dB}}}{I_g}\right)^2 S_{I}.
$$
 (F1)

Here, $I_{1 \text{ dB}}$ is the signal current in the device at its 1-dB compression point and $S_I = 4k_B T/Z_0$ is the current spectral density of the Johnson noise (in the bias lines) at a temperature T [52,53]. Because we operate near a maximum in $|S_{21}|$, the dominant effect of noise in both the amplitude and phase of the bias currents is to modulate the phase of the transmitted tone; i.e., both S_I^{AN} and S_I^{PN} are predominantly phase noise in the transmitted tone.

The partial derivatives in Eq. (F1) can be calculated directly from measurements of the scattering parameters, made as a function of the bias current amplitude I_q and the phase between the bias lines ϕ (shown, for example, in Figs. 12 and 9). After these numerical derivatives are calculated, the sideband noise powers may be divided by $2\hbar\omega_p/Z_0$ to convert them to photon numbers. In our measurements, where the bias lines are thermalized to $T \approx 300$ K, this results in $n = 14$ photons of added noise, with S_I^{AN} accounting for 95% of the noise.

Positioning 40 db of attenuation at room temperature and 20 dB at the four Kelvin stage of a dilution refrigerator would result in a noise temperature of $T \approx 7$ K, or in units of photons, $n = 0.3$. This level of attenuation is reasonable for modern dilution refrigerators, as the circulator operates with gradiometric currents I_g on the scale of 100 μ A: the

TABLE I. Power budget for an active circulator with control lines thermalized to $T \approx 7$ K as described in the text. In this configuration, the circulator adds 0.3 photons of noise. A contact resistance of 10 milliohms is assumed at the chip interface. Cited cooling powers are for an Oxford cryogen-free dilution refrigerator and are approximate.

T [K]	I_a [A]	Heat load [W]	Cooling power [W]
300	10^{-1}	5×10^{-1}	
$\overline{4}$	10^{-3}	5×10^{-5}	7.5×10^{-1}
0.05	10^{-4}	10^{-10}	5×10^{-5}

heat load caused by a 20-dB attenuator at the four-K stage is 50 μ W, which is much less than the Watt-scale cooling power available at that stage.

With superconducting twisted pairs to carry the bias currents from the four-K stage to the mixing chamber plate, and a contact resistance of 10 milliohms at the chip interface, the heat load on the mixing chamber plate is 100 pW. This load is also much less than the roughly 50 μ W of available cooling power on a 50-mK mixing chamber plate. These considerations are summarized in Table I, which presents a power budget for an active circulator with control lines thermalized as described above.

This analysis indicates the feasibility of operating $10³$ onchip circulators in a single dilution refrigerator, each with less than half a photon of added noise. We emphasize that it is one of many possible design choices and it is possible to reduce the added noise and dissipated power in several different ways. For example, the bias lines could be filtered to reject the noise below 50 MHz, which adds noise in the circulator's band, while still passing 100-MHz bias tones.

- [1] A. Blais, R.-S. Huang, A. Wallraff, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics for Superconducting Electrical Circuits: An Architecture for Quantum Computation, Phys. Rev. A 69[, 062320 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.69.062320).
- [2] J. Kelly et al., State Preservation by Repetitive Error Detection in a Superconducting Quantum Circuit, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14270) (London) 519[, 66 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14270)
- [3] N. Ofek, A. Petrenko, R. Heeres, P. Reinhold, Z. Leghtas, B. Vlastakis, Y. Liu, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, L. Jiang, M. Mirrahimi, M. H. Devoret, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Extending the Lifetime of a Quantum Bit with Error Correction in Superconducting Circuits, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18949) 536, 441 (2016).
- [4] M. A. Castellanos-Beltran, K. D. Irwin, G. C. Hilton, L. R. Vale, and K. W. Lehnert, Amplification and Squeezing of Quantum Noise with a Tunable Josephson Metamaterial, Nat. Phys. 4[, 929 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1090).
- [5] N. Bergeal, R. Vijay, V. E. Manucharyan, I. Siddiqi, R. J. Schoelkopf, S.M. Girvin, and M.H. Devoret, Analog Information Processing at the Quantum Limit with a Josephson Ring Modulator, Nat. Phys. 6[, 296 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1516).
- [6] C. Macklin, K. O'Brien, D. Hover, M. E. Schwartz, V. Bolkhovsky, X. Zhang, W. D. Oliver, and I. Siddiqi, A

Near–Quantum-Limited Josephson Traveling-Wave Para-metric Amplifier, Science 350[, 307 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8525).

- [7] R. Vijay, D. H. Slichter, and I. Siddiqi, Observation of Quantum Jumps in a Superconducting Artificial Atom, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106[, 110502 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.110502)
- [8] D. Ristè, J. G. van Leeuwen, H.-S. Ku, K. W. Lehnert, and L. DiCarlo, Initialization by Measurement of a Super-conducting Quantum Bit Circuit, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.050507) 109, [050507 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.050507)
- [9] C.M. Caves, Quantum Limits on Noise in Linear Amplifiers, Phys. Rev. D 26[, 1817 \(1982\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.26.1817).
- [10] D. M. Pozar, Microwave Engineering, 4th ed. (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2012).
- [11] C. E. Fay and R. L. Comstock, Operation of the Ferrite Junction Circulator, [IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.1965.1125923) 13[, 15 \(1965\).](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.1965.1125923)
- [12] G. Viola and D. P. DiVincenzo, Hall Effect Gyrators and Circulators, Phys. Rev. X 4[, 021019 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.4.021019)
- [13] A. C. Mahoney, J. I. Colless, S. J. Pauka, J. M. Hornibrook, J. D. Watson, G. C. Gardner, M. J. Manfra, A. C. Doherty, and D. J. Reilly, On-Chip Microwave Quantum Hall Circulator, Phys. Rev. X 7[, 011007 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.011007)
- [14] A. C. Mahoney, J. I. Colless, L. Peeters, S. J. Pauka, E. J. Fox, X. Kou, Lei Pan, D. Goldhaber-Gordon, K. L. Wangand D. J. Reilly, Zero-Field Edge Magnetoplasmons in a Magnetic Topological Insulator, [arXiv:1703.03122.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1703.03122)
- [15] B.D.O. Anderson and R.W. Newcomb, On Reciprocity and Time-Variable Networks, IEEE Proc. 53[, 1674 \(1965\).](https://doi.org/10.1109/PROC.1965.4321)
- [16] B. Anderson and R. Newcomb, The Time-Variable Lattice and Nonreciprocal RLC Networks, [IEEE Trans. Circuit](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1966.1082569) Theory 13[, 233 \(1966\)](https://doi.org/10.1109/TCT.1966.1082569).
- [17] A. Kamal, J. Clarke, and M. H. Devoret, Noiseless Non-Reciprocity in a Parametric Active Device, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1893) 7, [311 \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1893).
- [18] A. Metelmann and A.A. Clerk, Nonreciprocal Photon Transmission and Amplification via Reservoir Engineering, Phys. Rev. X 5[, 021025 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.021025)
- [19] J. Kerckhoff, K. Lalumière, B. J. Chapman, A. Blais, and K. W. Lehnert, On-Chip Superconducting Microwave Circulator from Synthetic Rotation, [Phys. Rev. Applied](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.4.034002) 4[, 034002 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.4.034002).
- [20] B. Abdo, K. Sliwa, S. Shankar, M. Hatridge, L. Frunzio, R. J. Schoelkopf, and M. H. Devoret, Josephson Directional Amplifier for Quantum Measurement of Superconducting Circuits, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112[, 167701 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.167701)
- [21] N. A. Estep, D. L. Sounas, J. Soric, and A. Alù, *Magnetic*-Free Non-Reciprocity and Isolation Based on Parametrically Modulated Coupled-Resonator Loops, [Nat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3134) 10, [923 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3134).
- [22] L. Ranzani and J. Aumentado, Graph-Based Analysis of Nonreciprocity in Coupled-Mode Systems, [New J. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/2/023024) 17, [023024 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/2/023024)
- [23] K. M. Sliwa, M. Hatridge, A. Narla, S. Shankar, L. Frunzio, R. J. Schoelkopf, and M. H. Devoret, Reconfigurable Josephson Circulator/Directional Amplifier, [Phys. Rev. X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.041020) 5[, 041020 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.041020).
- [24] F. Lecocq, L. Ranzani, G. A. Peterson, K. Cicak, R. W. Simmonds, J. D. Teufel, and J. Aumentado, Nonreciprocal Microwave Signal Processing with a Field-Programmable Josephson Amplifier, [Phys. Rev. Applied](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.7.024028) 7, 024028 (2017).
- [25] B. Abdo, M. Brink, and J. M. Chow, Gyrator Operation Using Josephson Mixers, [Phys. Rev. Applied](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.8.034009) 8, 034009 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.8.034009)
- [26] N. R. Bernier, L. D. Toth, A. Koottandavida, M. A. Ioannou, D. Malz, A. Nunnenkamp, A. K. Feofanov, and T. J. Kippenberg, Nonreciprocal Reconfigurable Microwave Optomechanical Circuit, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00447-1) 8, 604 (2017).
- [27] G. A. Peterson, F. Lecocq, K. Cicak, R. W. Simmonds, J. Aumentado, and J.D. Teufel, *Demonstration of Efficient* Nonreciprocity in a Microwave Optomechanical Circuit, Phys. Rev. X 7[, 031001 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.7.031001)
- [28] S. Barzanjeh, M. Wulf, M. Peruzzo, M. Kalaee, P. B. Dieterle, O. Painter, and J. M. Fink, Mechanical On-Chip Microwave Circulator, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01304-x) 8, 953 (2017).
- [29] A. Metelmann and H.E. Türeci, Nonreciprocal Signal Routing in an Active Quantum Network, [arXiv:1703.04052.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1703.04052)
- [30] Sina Khorasani, Diamond Configuration for Non-Reciprocal Transmission, [IEEE J. Quantum Electron.](https://doi.org/10.1109/JQE.2017.2711246) 53, 9300306 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1109/JQE.2017.2711246)
- [31] M. A. Castellanos-Beltran and K. W. Lehnert, Widely Tunable Parametric Amplifier Based on a Superconducting Quantum Interference Device Array Resonator, [Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2773988) Lett. 91[, 083509 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2773988)
- [32] J. Y. Mutus et al., Design and Characterization of a Lumped Element Single-Ended Superconducting Microwave Parametric Amplifier with On-Chip Flux Bias Line, [Appl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4821136) Lett. **103**[, 122602 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4821136).
- [33] J. E. Sauvageau, C. J. Burroughs, P. A. A. Booi, M. W. Cromar, R. P. Benz, and J. A. Koch, Superconducting Integrated Circuit Fabrication with Low Temperature ECR -Based PECVD SiO₂ Dielectric Films, [IEEE Trans.](https://doi.org/10.1109/77.403046) [Appl. Supercond.](https://doi.org/10.1109/77.403046) 5, 2303 (1995).
- [34] J. A. B. Mates, G. C. Hilton, K. D. Irwin, L. R. Vale, and K. W. Lehnert, Demonstration of a Multiplexer of Dissipationless Superconducting Quantum Interference Devices, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2803852) 92, 023514 (2008).
- [35] B.D.H. Tellegen, The Gyrator, A New Electric Network Element, Philips Res. Rep. 3, 81 (1948).
- [36] E. I. Rosenthal, B. J. Chapman, A. P. Higginbotham, J. Kerckhoff, and K. W. Lehnert, Breaking Lorentz Reciprocity with Frequency Conversion and Delay, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.147703) 119[, 147703 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.147703)
- [37] B. J. Chapman, B. A. Moores, E. I. Rosenthal, J. Kerckhoff, and K. W. Lehnert, General Purpose Multiplexing Device for Cryogenic Microwave Systems, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4952772) 108, [222602 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4952772)
- [38] B. J. Chapman, E. I. Rosenthal, J. Kerckhoff, L. R. Vale, G. C. Hilton, and K. W. Lehnert, Single-Sideband Modulator for Frequency Domain Multiplexing of Superconducting Qubit Readout, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4981390) 110, [162601 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4981390)
- [39] K. K. Likharev, Dynamics of Josephson Junctions and Circuits (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1986).
- [40] D. Riste, M. Dukalski, C. A. Watson, G. de Lange, M. J. Tiggelman, Ya M. Blanter, K. W. Lehnert, R. N. Schouten, and L. DiCarlo, Deterministic Entanglement of Superconducting Qubits by Parity Measurement and Feedback, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12513) 502, 350 (2013).
- [41] S. Hacohen-Gourgy, L. S. Martin, E. Flurin, V. V. Ramasesh, K. B. Whaley, and I. Siddiqi, Quantum Dynamics of

Simultaneously Measured Non-Commuting Observables, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19762) 538, 491 (2016).

- [42] C. R. Doerr, N. Dupuis, and L. Zhang, Optical Isolator Using Two Tandem Phase Modulators, Opt. Lett. 36[, 4293 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.36.004293)
- [43] Y. Yang, C. Galland, Y. Liu, K. Tan, R. Ding, Q. Li, K. Bergman, T. Baehr-Jones, and M. Hochberg, Experimental Demonstration of Broadband Lorentz Non-Reciprocity in an Integrable Photonic Architecture Based on Mach-Zehnder Modulators, Opt. Express 22[, 17409 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.22.017409)
- [44] K. Lalumière, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Sherbrooke, 2015.
- [45] G. Stan, S. B. Field, and J. M. Martinis, Critical Field for Complete Vortex Expulsion from Narrow Superconducting Strips, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**[, 097003 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.097003)
- [46] T. Van Duzer and C. W. Turner, Principles of Superconductive Devices and Circuits, 2nd ed. (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1981).
- [47] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, Solid State Physics (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1976).
- [48] H. Pothier, S. Guéron, D. Esteve, and M. H. Devoret, Flux-Modulated Andreev Current Caused by Electronic Interference, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.2488) 73, 2488 (1994).
- [49] P. Dubos, H. Courtois, B. Pannetier, F. K. Wilhelm, A. D. Zaikin, and G. Schön, Josephson Critical Current in a Long Mesoscopic s-n-s Junction, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.064502) 63, 064502 [\(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.064502)
- [50] P. Mohanty and R.A. Webb, High-Field Measurements of Electron Decoherence Time in Metallic Nanowires: Switching Off Magnetic Impurity Spins, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.066604) 91[, 066604 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.066604)
- [51] M.O. Scully and M.S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1997), pp. 101–104.
- [52] J. B. Johnson, Thermal Agitation of Electricity in Conductors, Phys. Rev. 32[, 97 \(1928\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.32.97)
- [53] H. Nyquist, Thermal Agitation of Electric Charge in Conductors, Phys. Rev. 32[, 110 \(1928\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.32.110)