Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Carsten Heilmann, Juliane Marie Centre, Denmark

REVIEWED BY Kristy Rialon, Texas Children's Hospital, United States Takeshi Ninchoji, Harima Himeji General Medical Hospital, Japan

\*CORRESPONDENCE Kyung Taek Hong

⊠ hongkt@snu.ac.kr

RECEIVED 29 September 2023 ACCEPTED 03 November 2023 PUBLISHED 16 November 2023

#### CITATION

Kim M, Hong KT, Park HJ, Kim BK, Choi JY, Kim H-Y and Kang HJ (2023) Clinical effectiveness and safety of sirolimus in pediatric patients with complex vascular anomalies: necessitating personalized and comprehensive approaches. Front. Pediatr. 11:1304133.

doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1304133

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Kim, Hong, Park, Kim, Choi, Kim and Kang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Clinical effectiveness and safety of sirolimus in pediatric patients with complex vascular anomalies: necessitating personalized and comprehensive approaches

Minji Kim<sup>1</sup>, Kyung Taek Hong<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Hyun Jin Park<sup>1,2</sup>, Bo Kyung Kim<sup>1,2</sup>, Jung Yoon Choi<sup>1,2</sup>, Hyun-Young Kim<sup>3</sup> and Hyoung Jin Kang<sup>1,2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul National University Children's Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>2</sup>Seoul National University Cancer Research Institute, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>3</sup>Division of Pediatric Surgery, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea, <sup>4</sup>Wide River Institute of Immunology, Hongcheon, Republic of Korea

**Background:** Managing complex vascular anomalies in pediatric care requires comprehensive approaches. Sirolimus, an mTOR inhibitor with immunosuppressive and anti-angiogenic properties, offers promise. We evaluated sirolimus's effectiveness and safety in pediatric patients with complex vascular anomalies at a tertiary children's hospital.

**Methods:** Our study included 20 patients, aged 1 month to 19 years, with diverse vascular anomalies resistant to conventional therapies or located in high-risk areas precluding surgery. The evaluation of response encompassed measuring the reduction in the size of the targeted vascular or lymphatic lesions as observed on radiologic imaging, along with considering improvements reported by the patients.

**Results:** Patients used sirolimus for a median of 2.1 years, ranging from 0.6-4.3 years. Results indicated that 60% of patients achieved complete or partial response (CR/PR), whereas 40% had stable disease (SD). Notably, no disease progression occurred. Lesion size assessment was complex, yet patients' self-reported improvements were considered. Three patients reinitiated sirolimus after discontinuation due to worsening lesions. Sirolimus treatment demonstrated good tolerability, with minor complications except for one case of Pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia. Group comparisons based on response highlighted better outcomes in patients with vascular tumors (CR/PR group 58.0% vs. SD group 0.0%, P = 0.015) or localized measurable lesions (83.3% vs. 12.5%, P = 0.005).

**Conclusion:** Our study underscores sirolimus's potential for treating complex vascular anomalies in pediatric patients. Challenges associated with optimal treatment duration and concurrent interventions necessitate a comprehensive approach and genetic testing to optimize outcomes.

### KEYWORDS

sirolimus, vascular anomalies, pediatrics, child, lymphatic malformation, vascular tumor

# Introduction

Vascular anomalies, including vascular tumors and vascular or lymphatic malformations, pose a significant challenge in pediatric care due to their aggressive growth and potential complications (1). A multidisciplinary team approach is crucial, particularly for patients with inoperable or sclerotherapy- and medication-resistant vascular anomalies.

Sirolimus, a mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor, has emerged as a promising therapeutic agent for refractory vascular anomalies (2). Sirolimus demonstrates potent immunosuppressive and anti-angiogenic properties, inhibiting the mTOR pathway, critical in angiogenesis and vascular endothelial cell proliferation (3). In preclinical studies, sirolimus has shown efficacy in inhibiting hemangioma growth by reducing endothelial cell proliferation, inducing apoptosis, and suppressing pro-angiogenic factors (4). Furthermore, applying sirolimus in complex vascular anomalies in pediatric patients could potentially reduce lesion size, as reported by several previous studies (3, 5–8).

However, the diversity of vascular anomaly types makes standardizing treatment challenging, and potential racial differences that warrant further research exist (9, 10). In addition, the experience of sirolimus in treating vascular anomalies among Koreans is currently limited (7). This study investigated the clinical effectiveness and potential adverse events associated with sirolimus in patients aged <19 years. These patients underwent sirolimus treatment for > 6 months at a single tertiary children's hospital in Korea. Notably, all patients presented with vascular anomalies that were either inoperable or presented challenges for surgical removal or other local therapies, including sclerotherapy. Moreover, most of these anomalies had shown limited response to previous treatments. This study primarily aimed to evaluate the treatment's impact on the disease. This assessment encompassed measuring reductions in the size of targeted vascular or lymphatic lesions, as observed through radiologic imaging, while also considering self-reported improvements from the patients.

## Methods

The data was retrospectively reviewed from 20 patients with vascular tumor, venous malformation (VM), and lymphatic malformation (LM) treated with sirolimus between April 2019 and August 2023 at Seoul National Children's Hospital. Patients' sex, diagnosis, lesion location, previous treatment history, age at initiation of sirolimus, duration of sirolimus, treatment response, and adverse events were reviewed from the medical records.

In this study, vascular anomalies were diagnosed through clinical assessment and confirmed using imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasonography, or computed tomography scans. We used nomenclature based on the disease classification system according to the ISSVA (International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomaly) (10, 11).

Between April 2019 and August 2023, 23 patients were treated with sirolimus for diagnoses including LM, VM, kaposiform hemangioendothelioma (KHE), congenital hemangioma (CH), and Gorham-Stout disease (GSD). Notably, most patients did not respond to previous treatments such as other medications (propranolol, prednisolone), interventions (sclerotherapy or embolization), or surgery. Similarly, some patients had lesions in risky areas, making them unsuitable candidates for surgery. The study specifically focused on children and adolescents aged  $\leq$ 19. The inclusion criterion was undergoing sirolimus treatment for a minimum duration of 6 months. Consequently, the analysis did not include patients who used sirolimus for <6 months. In addition, patients who were transferred to other institutions were excluded from the study population. The sirolimus treatment typically began with an initial oral dose of  $0.8 \text{mg/m}^2$ , administered twice daily. The desired target trough level for sirolimus in the bloodstream ranged from 5 to 15 ng/ml.

The optimal measure of disease response in patients with complex vascular anomalies has not been established. To provide a more comprehensive assessment, we employed two primary criteria to assess disease response, both of which relied on imaging results and changes in patients' symptoms in our study (7, 8). A "Complete Response" meant there was no evidence of disease on imaging, no organ dysfunction due to the disease, and a return to a normal quality of life. "Partial Response" (PR) was when the size of the vascular lesion reduced by more than 20% on imaging, or there was at least a one-grade improvement in organ dysfunction. "Progressive Disease" (PD) was when the vascular lesion increased by more than 20% on imaging or there was a one-grade worsening in organ dysfunction. If none of these criteria were met, the patient was considered to have "Stable Disease" (SD). Additionally, we also used a "Good/Intermediate/Poor Response" system. "Good Response" meant an improvement of over 70% on imaging or the absence of a visible lesion. "Intermediate Response" was an improvement between 30% and 70% on imaging or self-reported improvement of the lesion. "Poor Response" was when the improvement on imaging was less than 30% or the disease remained stable, or there was selfreported worsening of the lesion (Table 1).

At our institution, we regularly hold a comprehensive meeting involving a diverse team of experts, including pediatric surgeons, plastic and orthopedic surgeons, radiologists, dermatologists, pathologists, pediatric hemato-oncologists, and other specialized professionals. This collaborative approach is crucial for deliberating and selecting the most appropriate treatment options for patients with complex vascular anomalies. Within this team, pediatric radiologists play a vital role in evaluating these anomalies and precisely measuring their size to inform treatment decisions. Ultimately, the attending clinician, who evaluates both the patient's symptoms and radiological changes, makes the final determination of clinical responses.

Data analysis was conducted using the statistical software SPSS 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY). We performed chi-square tests and Fisher's exact tests for nominal variables. However, we compared the mean values of the PR/Intermediate and SD/Poor groups for quantitative variables and conducted a t-test. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital, and the requirement for consent was waived (H-2308-136-1459).

## Results

### Patient population

Three of the initial 23 patients did not meet the inclusion criteria and were therefore excluded. Consequently, 20 patients were enrolled in the study. One patient, aged 48, who presented with extensive

A. Complete or response system	Partial Response/Stable or Progressive disease n (8)					
Disease respons	e will be established by changed in at least 1					
<ul> <li>parameter, coded by using the following criteria</li> <li>Response by imaging</li> <li>Assessment of other clinical measures (quality of life)</li> <li>Clinical criteria and functional impairment</li> </ul>						
Complete	No evidence of disease on radiologic imaging and					
Remission	No evidence of organ dysfunction due to disease and					
	Normalization of quality of life criteria					
Partial Response	>20% reduction in size of target vascular lesion evident on radiologic imaging or					
	Improvement in target organ dysfunction by at least 1 grade or					
	Improvement of self-report PedsQL by >4.4 or proxy-report PedsQL by >4.5 compared with baseline; FACT-G by >3.99					
Progressive Disease	>20% increase in size of target vascular lesion evident on radiologic imaging or					
	Worsening in target organ dysfunction by at least 1 grade or					
	Worsening of self-report PedsQL by >4.4 or proxy-report PedsQL by >4.5 compared with baseline; FACT-G by >3.99					
Stable Disease	None of the above					
B. Good/Interme	ediate/Poor response system (7)					
Response	Description					
Good	Improvement in radiologic imaging findings of >70% or remnant lesion in radiologic imaging, but no gross lesion identified					
Intermediate	Improvement in radiologic imaging findings of ${\leq}70\%$ and ${>}30\%$					
	Or self-reported improvement of gross lesion					
Poor	Improvement in radiologic imaging findings of <30%, or stable disease status					
	Or self-reported worsening of gross lesion					

TABLE 1 Criteria of disease response.

venous malformation on the right arm was excluded due to not meeting the age criteria specified in the study despite reporting symptomatic improvement. The remaining patients were excluded due to transferring to another hospital before evaluation and using sirolimus for <6 months, respectively.

A group of 20 patients was selected for the study. Fourteen were male, and the median age for starting sirolimus treatment was 6.9 years old, ranging from 1 month to 19 years. Among the cases, 35% were classified as LM, 25% as VM, 30% as KHE, and 10% as GSD. The head and neck were the areas most frequently affected by the lesions, followed by the lower extremities, back, chest, and upper extremities. Patients used sirolimus for a median of 2.1 years, with durations ranging from 0.6–4.3 years. Among the 20 patients we studied, 15 (75%) had previously undergone surgical excision, embolization, sclerotherapy, or medical treatment (propranolol or prednisolone). Eleven out of the 15 patients showed a poor response to pre-sirolimus medical or surgical treatments. The remaining patients had lesions located in challenging areas like the neck or orbit area, unsuitable for surgery and procedures.

## Response and reinitiation of sirolimus

According to Table 2, one patient was evaluated to have achieved complete remission and a good response, with all

lesions having disappeared. Of the 20 patients, 11 (55%) showed partial and intermediate responses, and eight (40%) showed stable disease and poor responses. There was no case of progressive disease (Figures 1A–E). Unfortunately, measuring the exact size of lesions was challenging due to the extensive and/or diffuse shape. Therefore, self-reported symptom changes were also considered important indicators of the sirolimus response.

Three patients (two with LM and one with KHE) reinitiated sirolimus after discontinuation due to worsening lesions. Patient 1 showed marked improvement in swelling and heat sensation of the lesion and discontinued the medication due to lesion stability and inactivity for 1 year. However, the lesion worsened after 9 months off the medication, with swelling and heat sensation reoccurring, which improved after reinitiating sirolimus. Patient 2 had an extensive LM affecting major lymphatic vessels, including the thorax. Sirolimus was discontinued due to prolonged stable status and possible adverse effects, such as general weakness and gastrointestinal problems. However, the patient experienced severe pleural effusion and dyspnea after 6 months of discontinuation. Sirolimus was reinitiated in combination with lymphatic embolization. Patient 5 had a large LM on the neck, the size of which decreased with sirolimus treatment. Subsequently, mass excision was performed. However, the mass could not be completely excised, and recurrent lymphatic fluid collection led to the reinitiation of sirolimus. Six patients (30%) discontinued sirolimus. Among them, four patients had a poor response to sirolimus, leading to medication discontinuation (median duration of 1.1 years). In two patients, the lesions improved due to the effects of sirolimus, and as the lesions were no longer active, the medication was discontinued.

### Adverse events

Regarding adverse events, sirolimus treatment was generally tolerable, and 12 patients (60%) experienced potential complications, including oral mucositis, recurrent upper respiratory infections, hyperglycemia, and hypercholesterolemia. All of these complications were graded at  $\leq 2$ , except for one case of Pneumocystis jiroveci infection, which required 2 weeks of intravenous antibiotic treatment (Table 2). In response to this event, we initiated sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim prophylaxis, specifically targeting patients who were either concurrently using sirolimus and prednisolone or had a history of recurrent infections (7 out of 20 patients). Following the introduction of this prophylactic measure, there were no instances of Pneumocystis jiroveci infections.

# Comparison between the CR/PR and SD groups

When we compared the CR/PR group (12 patients) to the SD group (eight patients), we found that a significantly larger portion of patients in the CR/PR group were diagnosed with vascular tumors compared to the SD group (58.0% vs. 0.0%, P = 0.015).

No.         Control         Frequoids         Control         Additional         Control         Additional         Control         Contro         Control         Control		of patient chi	aracteri	stics and treatme	nt response.					i		
Learner         Pred (Ae), PL (AeV)         3.5         NAA         Researce with the section of the sectin of the sectin of the section of the section of the section of t	Age at se initiation of sirolimus (years)	S O	×	Location of lesions	Previous treatment (duration) / Disease response of previous treatment	Duration of sirolimus (years)	Additional treatment with sirolimus	Target mass size change (% of compared to the previous lesion size)	Self-reported changes in symptoms	Disease Response (Ref 8 / Ref 7)	Adverse Effects (CTCAE Grade)	Current status
Index         Index         Index         Substants         Substants         Index         Substants	0.2 F	ц		Lt arm	Pred (3wk), PPL (2wk) / Poor	3.5	N/A	$8.6 \times 4.3 \times$ 6.8 cm $\rightarrow 2.2 \times$ 2.0 $\times$ 6.9 cm (12.1%)	The swelling, redness and heat sensation on the lesion improved.	PR/ Intermediate	Pneumocystis Jiroveci infection (3)	Reinitiation after D/C <sup>a</sup> , Ongoing
Weth beth the 	6.1 F	ц		Lung, pericardium, abdomen, spleen	IVIG #1, Pred,(7mo) Embolization #2 / Poor	3.4	N/A	Unmeasurable due to extensive and diffuse lesions	No reported specific change.	SD/ Poor	dyspepsia (1), fatigue (2)	Reinitiation after D/C <sup>b</sup> , Ongoing
Forehead, oth the formed, oth 	0.1 M	M		Neck	None	3.3	Sclerotherapy #1	4.5 × 3.0 × 2.7 cm → 6.2 × 1.9 × 3.2 cm (103.4%)	Initial respiratory difficulty improved; otherwise, stationary.	SD/ Poor	recurrent upper respiratory infection (2)	D/C
NeckSectorberapy \$3 / Foor2.8Excision of pynbiagiona \$158 × 55 × anse.No reported specificPIVRecurrent upperRetrinctionR	W 1'1	M		Forehead, orbit	None	3.3	Sclerotherapy #3	1.4 × 4.9 × 3.3 cm → 0.8 × 4.5 × 2.8 cm (44.5%)	Right eye opened wider.	PR/ Intermediate	none	ongoing
ButtockMass excision #1 / 12.7N/A $32 \times 4.4 \times$ Buttock painPlVnoneoptimationIntermediateIntermediate0.00 cm $- 8.6 \times$ Buttock painPlVPlVpremediateprem	2.4 F	FI.		Neck	Sclerotherapy #3 / Poor	2.8	Excision of lymphangioma #1	$5.8 \times 5.5 \times$ $3.7 \text{ cm} \rightarrow 2.7 \times$ $5.0 \times 3.1 \text{ cm}$ $(35.5\%)$	No reported specific change.	PR/ Intermediate	recurrent upper respiratory infection (2)	Reinitiation after D/C <sup>c</sup> Ongoing
I         Buttock thigh, betandate         mass excision #2, lectocauterization #2         0.6         N/A         Unmeasurable due diffuse lesions         No reported specific diffuse lesions         SD / Poor         Hyperglycemia (1)         D/C           I         R check         Pred (1m0), PL (1m0)         2.2         Pred (6m), VCR         61.4.40.×41         The swelling, mermediate         PK/         hypercholesterolemia         D/C           I         R check         Pred (1m0), PL (1m0)         2.2         (2m)         VCR         61.400.×14         The swelling, mersately due         PK/         hypercholesterolemia         D/C           I         N col, tongue         Excision#2, Selerothenary         1.1         N/A         Unmeasurable due         Tongue disconfort         PK/         hypercholesterolemia         D/C           I         N col, tongue         Excision#2, Selerothenary         1.1         N/A         Unmeasurable due         Tongue disconfort         PK/         PK         D/C           R col, tongue         Excision#2, Selerothenary         1.1         N/A         Unmeasurable due         The swelling, mortowed         PK/         PK         D/C           R col, tongue         Excision#2, Selerothenary         1.1         N/A         Unmeasurable due         Tongue disconfort	16.1 F	ц		Buttock	Mass excision #1 / Intermediate	2.7	N/A	$9.2 \times 4.4 \times$ 10.0 cm $\rightarrow 8.6 \times$ $3.3 \times 9.2$ cm (64.5%)	Buttock pain improved	PR/ Intermediate	none	ongoing
Rt checkPred (1 m0), PL (1 m0) / 22.2Pred (6m), VCR6.1 × 4.0 × 4.4The swelling, redness and heatPK / inpercholeterolemiaD/CPoor(2m)(2m)(2m)(2m) $(m \rightarrow 3.3 \times 2.3 \times $	11.6 M	X		Buttock, thigh, perianal area	mass excision #2, Electrocauterization #2 / Intermediate	0.6	N/A	Unmeasurable due to extensive and diffuse lesions	No reported specific change.	SD/ Poor	Hyperglycemia (1)	D/C
Neck, tongueExcision#2, Sclerotherapy #2, glossoplasty #1 / Poor1.1N/AUnmeasurable due to diffuse lesions and bleeding didCorral edicomfortSD / Poororal mucositis (2)D/C#2, glossoplasty #1 / Poor#2, glossoplasty #1 / Poor4.3Pred (5mo) $2.5 \times 1.0 \times 2.3 \text{ cm} \rightarrow 1.9 \times 0.9 \times 1.4 \text{ cm} \rightarrow 2.6 \times 0.9 \times 1.4 \text{ cm} \rightarrow 2.6 \times 0.0 $	0.2 M	M		Rt cheek	Pred (1 mo), PPL (1 mo) / Poor	2.2	Pred (6m), VCR (2m)	$6.1 \times 4.0 \times 4.4$ $cm \rightarrow 3.3 \times 2.3 \times$ 2.4  cm (17.0%)	The swelling, redness and heat sensation on the lesion improved.	PR/ Intermediate	hypercholesterolemia (1)	D/C
Iseapula and acromionPred (4m0) /4.3Pred (5m0) $2.5 \times 1.0 \times 2.3  \text{cm} - 1.9 \times 0.9 \times 1.4  \text{cm} \rightarrow$ CPV Goodherpatic gingvostomatits (2)D/CacromionIntermediate $0.0000$ $0.00000$ $0.00000$ $0.00000$ $0.000000$ $0.000000$ IRt. Back, flankPred (2 m0), PPL (2 m0) / $1$ $N/A$ $6.0 \times 5.0  \text{cm} \rightarrow$ $1 \text{The swelling}$ , $PN'$ nonePoorPoor $0.000000$ $0.000000$ $0.000000$ $0.000000$ $0.0000000$ $0.00000000000$ Rt. Check, lipLaser treatment, topical $1$ $N/A$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Rt. Check, lipPPL / Poor $1$ $N/A$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Rt. Check, lipPPL / Poor $1$ $N/A$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Rt. Check, lipPPL / Poor $1$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$	13.8 N	Z	_	Neck, tongue	Excision#2, Sclerotherapy #2, glossoplasty #1 / Poor	1.1	N/A	Unmeasurable due to diffuse lesions	Tongue discomfort and bleeding did not change.	SD/ Poor	oral mucositis (2)	D/C
$M$ Rt. Back, flankPred (2 mo), PPL (2 mo) /1N/A $60 \times 50  \mathrm{cm}^4$ The swelling,PNnoneonoePoorPoor $5.0 \times 5.0  \mathrm{cm}^4$ $60 \times 5.0  \mathrm{cm}^4$ $60 \times 5.0  \mathrm{cm}^4$ $60 \times 5.0  \mathrm{cm}^4$ $1$ $1$ $100 \times 10^{-10}$ <td< td=""><td>1.1</td><td>~</td><td>7</td><td>scapula and acromion</td><td>Pred (4 mo) / Intermediate</td><td>4.3</td><td>Pred (5mo)</td><td><math>2.5 \times 1.0 \times 2.3 \text{ cm} \rightarrow</math> none</td><td><math>1.9 \times 0.9 \times 1.4 \text{ cm} \rightarrow</math></td><td>CR/ Good</td><td>herpatic gingivostomatitis (2)</td><td>D/C</td></td<>	1.1	~	7	scapula and acromion	Pred (4 mo) / Intermediate	4.3	Pred (5mo)	$2.5 \times 1.0 \times 2.3 \text{ cm} \rightarrow$ none	$1.9 \times 0.9 \times 1.4 \text{ cm} \rightarrow$	CR/ Good	herpatic gingivostomatitis (2)	D/C
Rt. Check, lip     Laser treatment, topical     1     N/A     Unmeasurable due     No reported specific     SD/ Poor     Oral mucositis (2)     D/C       PPL / Poor     to diffuse lesions     change.     change.     change.     D/C	0.4	4	Ŧ	Rt. Back, flank	Pred (2 mo), PPL (2 mo) / Poor	1	N/A	$6.0 \times 5.0 \text{ cm} \rightarrow 5.0 \times 5.0 \text{ cm}^{d}$	The swelling, redness and heat sensation on the lesion improved.	PR/ Intermediate	none	ongoing
	2 F	Щ.		Rt. Check, lip	Laser treatment, topical PPL / Poor	1	N/A	Unmeasurable due to diffuse lesions	No reported specific change.	SD/ Poor	Oral mucositis (2)	D/C

Kim et al.

Duratior	of	sirolimu	(years)	
Previous treatment	(duration) / Disease	response of	previous treatment	
Location of	lesions			
Sex				
Ade at	initiation	of	sirolimus	(vearc)
Diagnose				
Patient	number			

ontinued	Diagno
TABLE 2 Co	Patient number

Frontiers in Pediatrics

Current status	ongoing	ongoing	ongoing	ongoing	ongoing	ongoing	ongoing	ongoing
e Effects : Grade)		ositis (2)					liac chest pain tachycardia (1)	ositis (1)
Advers (CTCAE	none	oral muc	none	none	none	none	non-card (1), sinus	oral muc
Disease Response (Ref 8 / Ref 7)	PR/ Intermediate	PR/ Intermediate	PR/ Intermediate	PR/ Intermediate	PR/ Intermediate	SD/ Poor	SD/ Poor	SD/ Poor
Self-reported changes in symptoms	The swelling, redness and heat sensation on the lesion improved.	Oral pain improved	Pain improved	No reported specific change.	The overall pain and heaviness in the right leg have improved.	Pleural effusion and lymphedema waxed and waned.	Pelvic pain waxed and waned	The overall red color has lightened, but the extent of the lesion remains similar
Target mass size change (% of compared to the previous lesion size)	$2.7 \times 1.7 \times$ $2.0 \text{ cm} \rightarrow 2.3 \times$ $1.2 \times 1.9 \text{ cm}$ $(57.1\%)$	Unmeasurable due to extensive and diffuse lesions	$6.0 \times 3.0 \times 10.0 \rightarrow$ 3.9 \times 3.6 \times 8.8 cm (68.7%)	$6.0 \times 3.6 \times 2.8 \text{ cm}  \rightarrow 4.0 \times 3.2 \times 2.7 \text{ cm} (57.1\%)$	Unmeasurable due to extensive and diffuse lesions	Unmeasurable due to extensive and diffuse lesions	Unmeasurable due to extensive and diffuse lesions	Unmeasurable due to diffuse skin lesions
Additional treatment with sirolimus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sclerotherapy #4	Pamidronate, Lymphovenous anastomosis, radiotherapy	N/A	N/A
Duration of sirolimus (years)	2.1	1	1.1	1.4	2.1	3.6	1	0.6
Previous treatment (duration) / Disease response of previous treatment	Pred (1 mo), PPL (1 mo) / Intermediate	None	Curettage #1 / Poor.	Pred (4 mo), PPL (3 mo) / Poor	None	Thoracic duct embolization #1 / Poor	None	Laser treatment, topical PPL / Poor
Location of lesions	cheek mass	Rt. neck, mandible, mouth floor, oropharynx	Thigh, Foot	neck mass	Rt. Leg. Hip, Foot	Pelvic cavity, Abdomen, Rt. Leg to Axilla	Rt iliac bone, lumbosacral vertebral bodies, inguinal	Chest, shoulder
sex	M	щ	M	M	M	M	M	W
Age at initiation of sirolimus (years)	4	18.8	12.8	0.2	6.6	14	8.6	14.5
Diagnose	KHE	MV	KHE	KHE	MV	GSD	GSD	WM
Patient number	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20

CH, Congenital Hemangioma; CR, Complete Response; GSD, Gorham-Stout Disease; D/C, discontinued: IVIG, Intravenous immunoglobulin; KHE, Kaposiform Hemangioendothelioma; LM, Lymphatic Malformation; N/A, not applicable; PD, Progressive Disease; PR, Partial Response; Pred, Prednisolone; PPL, propranolol; SD, Stable Disease; VCR, Vincristine; VM, Venous Malformation.

<sup>b</sup>Restarted sirolimus after 6-month period off due to relapse of pleural effusion. <sup>c</sup>Restarted sirolimus after 3-month period off due to an increase in the size of the lesion.

<sup>d</sup>Evaluated by physical exam. Depth could not be measured, however the previously swollen lesion has significantly flattened.



### FIGURE 1

Patient 1 had a large kaposiform hemangioendothelioma on her left upper arm (A), and the mass showed improvement after nine months of sirolimus administration (B). In this T2-weighted MRI, patient 3, who presented with a large cystic mass on the lower left neck and around the airway (indicated by the arrow) at birth (C), experienced relief from airway compression after 5 months of sirolimus treatment (D). Patient 4 showed a protruding mass suspected to be a lymphatic malformation on the right forehead and eyelid (E), and a T2-weighted MRI was obtained after 6 months of sirolimus treatment (F).

Specifically, the CR/PR group had a notably higher number of measurable lesions than the SD group (83.3% vs. 12.5%, P = 0.005) (**Table 3**). No statistically significant associations were

discerned between the two groups concerning variables including age at sirolimus initiation, gender, lesion location, duration of sirolimus use, or mean trough level. Additionally, there were no

TABLE 3 Comparison between groups according to treatment response.

Variables	CR/PR group ( <i>n</i> = 12)	SD group ( <i>n</i> = 8)	<i>p</i> value
Age (year)	1.8 (0.2–18.8)	5.1 (0.1-18.8)	0.285
Sex			1.000
Male	8 (66.7%)	6 (75.0%)	
Female	4 (33.3%)	2 (25.0%)	
Diagnosis			0.015
Vascular tumor	7 (58.3%)	0 (0.0%)	
VM or LM	5 (41.7%)	8 (100%)	
Location			0.083
Head or Neck	6 (50.0%)	3 (37.5%)	
Extremities	3 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Trunk	3 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	
Extensive lesions	0 (0.0%)	3 (37.5%)	
Measurable lesions (yes)	10 (83.3%)	1 (12.5%)	0.005
Duration of sirolimus treatment (year)	2.2 (1.0-4.3)	1.1 (0.6–3.6)	0.399
Mean serum trough level of sirolimus (ng/mL)	7.9 (3.6–10.3)	7.0 (6.0–14.0)	0.492

CR, Complete Response; LM, Lymphatic Malformation; PR, Partial Response; SD, Stable Disease; VM, Venous Malformation.

differences in the proportion of patients achieving CR/PR between the two groups with and without previous treatment; both groups had a CR/PR proportion of 60%. Nonetheless, it is significant that all three patients exhibiting extensively dispersed lesions across their bodies were categorized within the SD group (Table 2).

## Discussion

This study conducted a retrospective analysis of the clinical effectiveness of sirolimus in pediatric patients with complex vascular anomalies, revealing a 60% rate of CR or PR. This rate is lower than the response rates reported in previous studies (2, 3, 6-8). The presence of selection bias in retrospective studies and potential ethnic differences should be considered. Furthermore, it is essential to acknowledge the challenge of comparing responses to vascular anomalies using standardized assessments, given the significant variation in treatment response evaluations across different studies. In our study, although 40% of patients were categorized as SD or poor responders based on the criteria, most patients in the SD group continued sirolimus treatment due to lesion stability. Patient 3, who had LM around the neck since birth and was classified into the SD group, experienced relief from respiratory distress symptoms during infancy by initiating sirolimus treatment at 1 month. This enabled the avoidance of emergent surgical intervention. Sirolimus treatment served as a bridge, allowing the patient to undergo concurrent sclerotherapy safely at the age of three (Figures 1C,D).

Unfortunately, a definitive consensus regarding the optimal duration of sirolimus treatment was not established. Given the challenging nature of treating complex vascular anomalies, the continuation of sirolimus treatment often depended on clinical improvements and the patient's tolerance to the medication. Notably, three patients (two with PR and one with SD) in our study resumed sirolimus treatment after discontinuation. One patient, who did not meet the response criteria, ceased sirolimus treatment based on the choice of the patient's guardian. However, after a 6-month discontinuation, this patient developed significant pleural effusion and hemothorax related to lymphatic malformation in the thorax. Sirolimus was reinitiated alongside additional lymphatic embolization, resulting in the overall stabilization of lymphatic malformation until the last follow-up. This observation implies that even within the SD patient group, sirolimus might exhibit clinical utility by partially inhibiting disease progression. It will be essential to personalize the timing of drug administration for individual patients through meticulous clinical monitoring.

Careful monitoring of adverse events is crucial when administering sirolimus. Cases of potential adverse effects, such as Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia infection, have been reported; however, this is rare. In addition, hyperlipidemia and hyperglycemia have been observed, underscoring the importance of frequent lipid and blood glucose level assessments. As the benefits and risks of sirolimus use require delicate balancing, an informed evaluation is necessary to determine the most suitable treatment duration.

In our study, patients with measurable local lesions or vascular tumors, compared with those with VM or LM, exhibited more favorable treatment responses. Age and the mean serum trough level of sirolimus were not associated with treatment outcomes. Patients with more severe and extensive vascular anomalies tended to respond less effectively to sirolimus treatment. Considering the potential diversity of therapeutic targets for vascular anomalies (12), these patients should undergo molecular analysis and could be regarded as candidates for alternative medications, such as alpelisib (13) or trametinib (14). These medications have also shown promising responses in patients who did not respond well to sirolimus. However, longer followup to assess these new medications' sustained response and longterm adverse events is essential, particularly for pediatric patients.

Due to the heterogeneity of diagnoses, a limited number of patients, and the retrospective nature of the analysis, the interpretation of our study is constrained. Including five patients who received supplementary sirolimus treatment for improved disease control posed challenges in accurately assessing the effectiveness of sirolimus alone. Nonetheless, our study offers valuable real-world data, highlighting the necessity for comprehensive treatment. Considering the functional and cosmetic concerns in pediatric patients with complex vascular anomalies, a multidisciplinary team approach becomes imperative for enhancing disease control and promoting normal growth and development.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated promising outcomes and a well-tolerated safety profile of sirolimus in pediatric patients with complex vascular anomalies at a tertiary children's hospital in Korea. Nevertheless, specific unresolved issues pertain to treatment duration and the timing of concurrent interventions. A multidisciplinary team approach and genetic testing of the lesions would be imperative to enhance long-term outcomes.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/ institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because this study was considered to be a study in which there was no reason to estimate refusal of consent from the research subjects, and even if consent was exempted, the impact on the research subjects was deemed to be extremely low. It was practically impossible to obtain consent from all research subjects for this retrospective analysis study.

## Author contributions

MK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. KH: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. HP: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

## References

1. Gibson CR, Barnacle AM. Vascular anomalies: special considerations in children. *CVIR Endovasc.* (2020) 3(1):60. doi: 10.1186/s42155-020-00153-y

2. Triana P, Dore M, Cerezo VN, Cervantes M, Sánchez AV, Ferrero MM, et al. Sirolimus in the treatment of vascular anomalies. *Eur J Pediatr Surg.* (2017) 27 (1):86–90.

3. Karastaneva A, Gasparella P, Tschauner S, Crazzolara R, Kropshofer G, Modl M, et al. Indications and limitations of sirolimus in the treatment of vascular anomaliesinsights from a retrospective case series. *Front Pediatr.* (2022) 10:857436. doi: 10.3389/ fped.2022.857436

4. Zheng N, Ding X, Jahan R. Low concentration of rapamycin inhibits hemangioma endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and vascular tumor formation in mice. *Curr Ther Res Clin Exp.* (2014) 76:99–103. doi: 10.1016/j. curtheres.2014.09.004

5. Nadal M, Giraudeau B, Tavernier E, Jonville-Bera AP, Lorette G, Maruani A. Efficacy and safety of mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors in vascular anomalies: a systematic review. *Acta Derm Venereol.* (2016) 96(4):448–52. doi: 10. 2340/00015555-2300

6. Freixo C, Ferreira V, Martins J, Almeida R, Caldeira D, Rosa M, et al. Efficacy and safety of sirolimus in the treatment of vascular anomalies: a systematic review. *J Vasc Surg.* (2020) 71(1):318–27. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2019.06.217

7. Cho YJ, Kwon H, Kwon YJ, Kim SC, Kim DY, Namgoong JM. Effects of sirolimus in the treatment of unresectable infantile hemangioma and vascular malformations in

BK: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JC: Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. HK: Writing – review & editing. HK: Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

children: a single-center experience. J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord. (2021) 9 (6):1488–94. doi: 10.1016/j.jvsv.2021.03.014

8. Adams DM, Trenor CC 3rd, Hammill AM, Vinks AA, Patel MN, Chaudry G, et al. Efficacy and safety of sirolimus in the treatment of complicated vascular anomalies. *Pediatrics*. (2016) 137(2):e20153257. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-3257

9. Mohnasky M, Brondon J, Lee SY, Kim KR. Sociodemographic characteristics of pediatric patients with vascular malformations: results of a single site study. *Front Pediatr.* (2023) 11:1078611. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1078611

10. Martinez-Lopez A, Salvador-Rodriguez L, Montero-Vilchez T, Molina-Leyva A, Tercedor-Sanchez J, Arias-Santiago S. Vascular malformations syndromes: an update. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* (2019) 31(6):747–53. doi: 10.1097/MOP.00000000000812

11. Wassef M, Blei F, Adams D, Alomari A, Baselga E, Berenstein A, et al. Vascular anomalies classification: recommendations from the international society for the study of vascular anomalies. *Pediatrics*. (2015) 136(1):e203–14. doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-3673

12. Nguyen HL, Boon LM, Vikkula M. Genetics of vascular anomalies. Semin Pediatr Surg. (2020) 29(5):150967. doi: 10.1016/j.sempedsurg.2020.150967

13. Delestre F, Venot Q, Bayard C, Fraissenon A, Ladraa S, Hoguin C, et al. Alpelisib administration reduced lymphatic malformations in a mouse model and in patients. *Sci Transl Med.* (2021) 13(614):eabg0809. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abg0809

14. Dori Y, Smith C, Pinto E, Snyder K, March ME, Hakonarson H, et al. Severe lymphatic disorder resolved with MEK inhibition in a patient with noonan syndrome and SOS1 mutation. *Pediatrics*. (2020) 146(6). doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-0167