

## Article

---

"The Paradox of Unemployment and Job Vacancies : Some Theories Confronted by Data"

M. L. Skolnik et F. Siddiqui

*Relations industrielles / Industrial Relations*, vol. 31, n° 1, 1976, p. 32-56.

Pour citer cet article, utiliser l'information suivante :

URI: <http://id.erudit.org/iderudit/028681ar>

DOI: 10.7202/028681ar

Note : les règles d'écriture des références bibliographiques peuvent varier selon les différents domaines du savoir.

---

Ce document est protégé par la loi sur le droit d'auteur. L'utilisation des services d'Érudit (y compris la reproduction) est assujettie à sa politique d'utilisation que vous pouvez consulter à l'URI <https://apropos.erudit.org/fr/usagers/politique-dutilisation/>

---

Érudit est un consortium interuniversitaire sans but lucratif composé de l'Université de Montréal, l'Université Laval et l'Université du Québec à Montréal. Il a pour mission la promotion et la valorisation de la recherche. Érudit offre des services d'édition numérique de documents scientifiques depuis 1998.

Pour communiquer avec les responsables d'Érudit : [info@erudit.org](mailto:info@erudit.org)

# The Paradox of Unemployment and Job Vacancies

## Some Theories Confronted by Data

**Michael L. SKOLNIK**  
**and**  
**Farid Siddiqui**

*The purpose of this paper is to see just how well available data enable us to come to grips with the apparent paradox of simultaneous job vacancies and unemployment.*

Recently there has been much concern over widespread indications of serious manpower shortages in Ontario occurring simultaneously with reports of persistent unemployment. In spite of the development of very sophisticated models of the labour market over the last decade, it remains nearly impossible to answer some of the most fundamental questions about the *actual* state of the labour market. The development of labour market information has not kept pace with the development of theory.

The purpose of this paper is to see just how well available data enable us to come to grips with the apparent paradox of simultaneous vacancies and unemployment. The paper begins by considering possible explanations of purported paradox, and then examines the data. The principal conclusions are stated in summary form at the end of the paper.

### POSSIBLE EXPLANATIONS OF THE PARADOX

There are four possible explanations of the apparent paradox.

#### **Over-reaction to specific instances of recruiting difficulties**

According to this explanation, there are not significant shortages of labour in general. There may be acute difficulty recruiting (and holding) specific types of labour in particular

SKOLNIK, M.L. Assistant Director,  
Research Branch, Ontario Ministry of  
Labour, Toronto (Ont.)

SIDDIQUI, F., Chief Economist, Man-  
power and Employment Opportunities,  
Research Branch, Ontario Ministry of  
Labour, Toronto (Ont.)

places, and these difficulties have led to erroneous generalizations about the state of the total labour market. A further part of this explanation is that many of the supposed vacancies do not actually exist *at present*, but are highly dependent upon uncertain capital investment plans. It is difficult to reconcile the reports of an urgent vacancy situation with the most recent evidence on the performance of the Canadian economy. By the middle of 1974 the economy had 'slowed sharply' (*Canadian Business Review*, Summer, 1974, p. 8), Gross National Product showed no change in the second quarter, and industrial production declined at a seasonally-adjusted rate by more than 11 per cent — the largest decline since the Fall of 1970 (with the exception of the rail strike induced decline of Summer 1973).

In spite of the recent economic slowdown, the existence of widespread job vacancies would be difficult to deny for anyone who has been exposed to the continual stream of reports over the last year from trade associations, business and industry spokesman, employment agencies, and local development groups. If the vacancy situation is becoming acute, that fact should be at least partially revealed in data from Statistics Canada's Job Vacancy Survey.

#### **Ambiguous measurement of unemployment**

The essence of this explanation is that the Labour Force Survey counts as unemployed many people who have only slight, if any, interest in working. If those persons who are «voluntarily» unemployed, or extremely «choosy» about what kind of job they accept were excluded from the count, then, it is argued, the unemployment rate would be lower. Proponents of this explanation maintain that the changes in the Unemployment Insurance Act in 1971 encourage short-term entrance into the labour force of persons with weak attachment to the work force. Some labour market analysts believe that changes in the unemployment insurance scheme could have caused the unemployment rate to be a half to one full percentage point higher than it would have been otherwise.<sup>1</sup> Unfortunately, in our view, the labour force and unemployment data presently available do not facilitate the kind of analysis necessary to resolve this question — although they do lend to some general impressions, discussed later.

---

<sup>1</sup> This is the conclusion of H. G. Grubel, D. Maki, and S. Sax, «Real and Insurance Induced Unemployment in Canada», mimeo, Simon Fraser University, 1974.

### **Increased friction in the labour market**

This explanation is closely related to the previous one, as it assumes an increase in voluntary turnover on the part of employees. It differs from the previous explanation in that it assumes an increase in turnover even for those with relatively strong labour force attachment. The argument is that a combination of increased turnover rates and longer search between jobs would result in increased average rates of unemployment and job vacancy. *Emphasis is clearly on the behaviour and attitudes of workers.* Factors contributing to increased turnover are said to be changes in the work ethic, increased concern over working conditions, and improvements in welfare and unemployment insurance. Also relevant would be the increase in the numbers of youth who have 'a pattern of job hopping and shopping'.

The significance of these factors is yet to be proved. However, it is accepted that increased turnover and longer search periods between jobs tend to occur naturally as the labour market becomes tighter. When the number of job opportunities per worker increases, it becomes more rational for workers to shop for the 'best' job. Thus, an increase in the duration of unemployment between jobs can be a *result* as well as a *cause* of increased difficulty filling vacancies. Unfortunately, no data on turnover rates are available, but there are data on duration of unemployment and vacancies.

### **Increased structural imbalance in the labour market**

Structural imbalance exists when the characteristics of labour supply — age, sex, skills, training, education, occupation, experience, location — do not fit those of the employers' labour requirements. There is some reason to believe that structural imbalance has worsened recently, resulting in the simultaneous increase of vacancies and unemployment. During the relatively slow growth period before 1973, the training, up-grading, and experience provided by employers may not have been adequate to assure the availability of sufficient skilled manpower for an expansion phase. Insofar as this explanation is valid, some of the blame for the vacancy problem can be placed on employers who regard qualified manpower as if it flowed from a tap to be turned on and off instantaneously in response to immediate needs rather than as a stock of human resources to be planned for and developed. Another aspect of the structuralist explanation would lie in the behaviour of the educational system, in particular over-reaction of students, planners, and institutions to the «surplus situation» which faced many graduates in 1971 and 1972. Also, contributing to structural imbalance, there

appear to have been significant sectoral and geographical shifts in industrial activity, and hence in the demand for qualified labour, to which the supply side has not yet caught up.

The purpose of this paper is to confront these theoretical explanations with such data as are available. Before generating unrealistic expectations that definitive answers can be given, it should be pointed out that the data presently available are not adequate for the task. It simply is not possible to sort out satisfactorily the influence of the various possible explanatory factors, and thereby devise the optimal policy to alleviate the situation. However, some useful insight is provided and some tentative conclusions are suggested. While emphasizing *present* deficiencies in data, one should keep in mind that it *is* possible to improve our labour market information capabilities to the point where these theories could be tested and the problems analyzed sufficiently to provide a basis for the development of appropriate policy.

#### EXAMINATION OF THE DATA

##### **Trends in job vacancies**

The total number of job vacancies in Ontario has increased each year since the Statistics Canada Job Vacancy Survey began in 1970. However, as Table 1 shows, the percentage increase (based on the average for the first six months of each year) between 1973 and 1974 (28.6 per cent) was considerably less than the increase (76 per cent) for 1972 to 1973. In one occupational category — sales — the number of vacancies *decreased* between 1973 and 1974. In service and in construction, the increase in 1973-74 was quite small compared to the previous year. The largest increases in vacancies in 1973-74 were in the machining, managerial, and sciences categories.

These three occupational categories also had below average percentage increases in employment during 1973-74, in fact no increase at all for the sciences (see Table 2). This suggests a very sluggish supply response to increased demand. Three other occupational groups for which strong demand appeared to have been met by weak supply responses were medicine and health, product fabricating and assembly, and transport equipment operating. In contrast, sales and service occupations had two of the largest increases in employment. Large increases in employment were registered also for processing and materials handling, but these were outstripped by expanding demand.

**TABLE I**  
**First Half Year Average Full-Time Vacancies by Occupational Group**  
**Ontario. 1971-1974**

C.C.D.O. Code	Occupational Group	Number of Vacancies Monthly Average January-June				Change Between Years			Change as % of Previous Year		
		1971	1972	1973	1974	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74
1	— Managerial, Admin.	460	693	800	1256	233	107	456	50.7	25.4	57.0
1	— Natural Sciences, Eng. and Math.	945	703	1578	2401	-242	875	823	-25.6	124.5	52.2
1	— Medicine and Health	610	797	1153	1504	187	356	351	30.7	44.7	30.4
1	— Clerical	2355	2584	4176	5576	229	1592	1400	9.7	61.6	33.5
1	— Sales	1111	1534	2524	2233	423	990	-291	38.1	64.5	-11.5
1	— Service	797	1479	3354	3798	682	1875	444	85.6	126.8	13.2
$\frac{1}{2}$	— Processing	339	1171	1573	2178	832	402	605	245.4	34.3	38.5
1	— Machining	592	1157	2585	4329	565	1428	1744	95.4	123.4	67.5
1	— Prod. Fab. Assemb. and Repairs	912	2394	4746	6029	1482	2352	1283	162.5	98.2	27.0
1	— Construction Trades	592	716	1255	1441	124	539	186	20.9	75.3	14.8
1	— Transport Equip.	289	547	723	912	258	176	189	89.3	32.2	26.1
1	— Material Handling	355	756	935	1129	401	179	194	113.0	23.7	20.7
	Occupations n.e.c.	1328	846	1675	2039	-482	829	364	36.3	98.0	21.7
11 occupations		10685	15377	27077	34825	4692	11700	7748	43.9	76.1	28.6

SOURCE: Canada Department of Manpower and Immigration, *Monthly Report on Current Job Vacancies*, January 1971 to June 1974.

TABLE 2

**Change in Employment By Occupational Group  
First Half Year Average 1973-1974: Ontario**

<i>C.C.D.O. Code</i>	<i>Occupational Group</i>	<i>Number Employed (000's)</i>		<i>Change 1973-1974</i>	<i>Change as a % of 1973</i>	<i>Ratio of Average Number of Vacancies In First Half 1973 To Change in Average Employment 1973-1974</i>
		<i>Monthly Average 1973</i>	<i>January-June 1974</i>			
1	— Managerial and Admin.	204	207	3	1.47	.27
1	— Natural Sciences, Eng. and Math.	124	124	—	—	—
1	— Medicine and Health	144	145	1	0.69	1.15
1	— Clerical	595	627	32	5.38	0.13
1	— Sales	353	380	27	7.65	0.09
1	— Service	363	390	27	7.44	0.12
<sup>1</sup> / <sub>82</sub>	— Processing	141	155	14	9.93	0.11
3	— Machining	128	133	5	3.91	0.52
5	— Prod. Fab., Assemb. and Rep.	374	378	4	1.07	1.18
7	— Construction Trade	220	225	5	2.27	0.25
1	— Transport Equipment	136	137	1	0.74	0.72
3	— Material Handling	93	101	8	8.60	0.12
	Occupations n.e.c. (23, 25, 27, 33, 37, 71, 73, 75, 77)	438	452	14	3.20	0.12
11 occupations		3313	3454	141	4.23	0.19

SOURCE: Statistics Canada. Unpublished data from Labour Force Survey.

In terms of increases in vacancies and apparent responsiveness of employment, the various occupational groups can be divided into the following categories:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| I. LARGE INCREASE IN VACANCIES:<br>SMALL OR/NO INCREASE IN<br>EMPLOYMENT                    | — Managerial<br>— Natural Sciences,<br>Engineering, Math.<br>— Medicine and Health            |
| II. LARGE INCREASE IN VACANCIES:<br>AVERAGE INCREASE IN<br>EMPLOYMENT                       | — Machining   |
| III. SIGNIFICANT BUT DECELERATING<br>INCREASE IN VACANCIES: LARGE<br>INCREASE IN EMPLOYMENT | — Processing<br>— Clerical<br>— Material Handling   |
| IV. SIGNIFICANT BUT DECELERATING<br>INCREASE IN VACANCIES: SMALL<br>INCREASE IN EMPLOYMENT  | — Product Fabricating<br>and Assembly<br>— Transport Equipment<br>Operating<br>— Construction |
| V. DECREASE IN VACANCIES OR<br>SUBSTANTIAL DECELERATION:<br>LARGE INCREASE IN<br>EMPLOYMENT | — Sales<br>— Service  |

The underlying labour market problems may be different for each of these groups. For Group I the main problem may be an insufficient number of graduates, related to recent decreases in post-secondary enrolment. Similarly for Group II, there may be inadequate numbers of persons completing apprenticeship and other training programmes. Group III shows signs of self-correcting action, but the increase in vacancies suggests turnover problems. Group IV is similar to Group I, but with a substantially smaller rate of increase in vacancies. For two of the occupational categories (construction and transport equipment operating), apprenticeship and training may be the bottleneck, but the persistence of unfilled openings in fabricating and assembly requires a different explanation. The market appears to be working the best for Group V, especially sales. The service category, if further disaggregated, may reveal a variety of dissimilar labour market situations. Indeed, further dis-aggregation and more detailed analysis would be necessary to make definitive judgments about all of the Groups.



**Growth of aggregate labour supply**

In aggregate, vacancies increased at a substantially greater percentage rate than employment. This is not a surprising occurrence for an *expanding* economy. However, if the economy is operating near the limits of existing plant capacity, then the discrepancy between the rate of growth of vacancies and that of employment merits some explanation. One possible explanation which can be eliminated here is that of inadequate growth of aggregate labour supply. Table 3 shows that the 1973-74 growth in labour force for males was identical to the growth in employment, whereas in the two previous periods labour force growth lagged behind employment. The male labour force increased by substantially more in 1973-74 than 1972-73. Labour force growth appears to have been less of a constraint on employment growth during 1973-74 than during 1971-72 or 1972-73. However, there is no way of telling from the Table whether male employment growth might have been greater in 1973-74 had the labour force grown faster. For females though, it does not appear that labour force growth was a constraint on employment growth during 1973-74.

**TABLE 3**

**Changes in Total Labour Force, Employment and Unemployment,  
By Sex, Monthly Averages for First Half Year: Ontario  
(Thousands)**

	Sex	1971-72	1972-73	1973-74
Change in Labour Force	Male	84	55	73
	Female	54	75	72
Change in Employment	Male	99	74	73
	Female	58	76	68
Change in Unemployment	Male	-14	-20	0
	Female	-5	0	4

SOURCE: Statistics Canada. *The Labour Force*, monthly reports, catalogue 71-001.

**Unemployment and vacancies**

A helpful way of looking at the labour market which, to our knowledge, has not been used is to examine the ratio of unemployment to vacancies. Although each series comes from a different type of survey with different coverage, each should be consistent over a short

period of time, making trends in the ratio meaningful.<sup>2</sup> Trends in the aggregate U/V ratio are shown in Tables 4 and 5. A definite downward trend is evident in annual figures for 1971-73, or in first quarter figures for 1971-74. The ratio was four times as great in the first quarter of 1971 as in the first quarter of 1974. The most reasonable interpretation of this trend is a *substantial tightening of the labour market*.

**TABLE 4**  
**Vacancies and Unemployment: Annual Averages**  
**Ontario 1971-1973**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<i>Year</i>	<i>Total Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>Ratio</i>	<i>Index of</i> <i>1971 = 100 (3)</i>
1971	15,000	170,000	11.33	100
1972	26,400	162,000	6.14	54.2
1973	33,100	142,000	4.29	37.9
<i>Year</i>	<i>Full-Time Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>Ratio</i>	<i>Index of</i> <i>1971 = 100 (3)</i>
1971	13,100	170,000	12.98	100
1972	23,700	162,000	6.84	52.7
1973	30,200	142,000	4.70	36.2
<i>Year</i>	<i>Full-Time Longer-Term Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>Ratio</i>	<i>Index of</i> <i>1971 = 100 (3)</i>
1971	4,700	170,000	36.17	100
1972	6,900	162,000	23.48	64.9
1973	10,900	142,000	13.03	36.0

SOURCE: Statistics Canada. *Quarterly Report on Job Vacancies*, First Quarter, 1974, Catalogue 71-002; *The Labour Force*, monthly reports, Catalogue 71-001.

Perhaps the most striking feature of Tables 4 and 5 is that both vacancies and unemployment increased between 1973 and 1974, in contrast to 1971-72 and 1972-73 when a decrease in unemployment coincided with an increase in vacancies. Since there was not an extraordinary increase in the labour force between 1973 and 1974, the 1973-74

<sup>2</sup> The reliability and internal consistency of the various labour market indicators have been examined in numerous working papers in the Research Branch of Ministry of Labour, particularly, A. Cornwall, *Tests on the Unemployment and Job Vacancy Related Statistics Contained in the «Report on Registered Clients and Vacancies» of the Canada Department of Manpower and Immigration*. Research Branch, Ontario Ministry of Labour, October 1974. (Internal Document).

change in the labour market situation can be described as one of paradox. However, in view of the continual tightening of the labour market, the paradox probably results from a combination of the encountering in 1974 of structural bottlenecks *and* the quite rational increase in job search time induced by the tighter labour market situation.

Of course the pattern of shopping for the best job *is* supported by Unemployment Insurance. However, the changes in the Unemployment Insurance Act which some persons blame for the persistence of job vacancies in 1974 were made in 1971. Yet the perverse behaviour in the job vacancy and unemployment series did not occur until 1974, after a period of economic recovery and considerable tightening of the labour market. Moreover, it appears that application of unemployment insurance claimant control measures has been more stringent in 1974 than

**TABLE 5**  
**Vacancies and Unemployment: First Quarter Averages**  
**Ontario 1971-1974**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<i>Year</i>	<i>Total Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>Ratio</i>	<i>Index of 1971 = 100 (3)</i>
1971	10,200	200,000	19.61	100
1972	14,000	186,700	13.34	68.0
1973	27,100	167,300	6.17	31.5
1974	36,200	176,700	4.88	24.9
<i>Year</i>	<i>Full-Time Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>Ratio</i>	<i>Index of 1971 = 100 (3)</i>
1971	9,200	200,000	21.74	100
1972	12,400	186,700	15.06	69.3
1973	24,800	167,300	6.75	31.1
1974	31,700	176,700	5.57	25.6
<i>Year</i>	<i>Full-Time Longer-Term Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>Ratio</i>	<i>Index of 1971 = 100 (3)</i>
1971	3,000	200,000	66.67	100
1972	4,500	186,700	41.49	62.2
1973	7,800	167,300	21.45	32.2
1974	14,500	176,700	12.19	18.3

SOURCE: Statistics Canada. *Quarterly Report on Job Vacancies*, First Quarter 1974, Catalogue No. 71-002, Quarterly; Statistics Canada. *The Labour Force*, monthly reports, Catalogue No. 71-001.

during 1971-73. Why didn't the 1971 changes in the Unemployment Insurance Act produce the labour market paradox in 1972 or 1973? The likely answer is that there was too much slack in the labour market then. Unemployment Insurance would have little impact upon labour market<sup>3</sup> behaviour at a time when it is extremely difficult to get a job in the first place, or to regain one after leaving employment. On the other hand, when the labour market is tight, it is rational to shop for the best job, and Unemployment Insurance may be reinforcing that behaviour, rather than causing it. Recognition of this reinforcing role played by Unemployment Insurance should not lead us to lose sight of what are probably more important causes of the difficulties in filling vacancies — a general expansion of demand for labour, a lack of training and on-the-job experience during 1971-73, regional and sectoral shifts in labour demand, and a failure to learn how to utilize adequately women, youths, and various minorities.

#### **Changes in vacancies and unemployment by occupation**

In aggregate between the first quarter of 1973 and 1974, the U/V ratio decreased slightly; vacancies increased by about 35.8 per cent; and the unemployed increased by about 5.6 per cent. Only in transport equipment operating (and a residual group of occupations) did unemployment go down while vacancies went up. These occupations had labour markets with well above average slack, and that might be why they behaved 'normally'. Sales had little change between first quarters, and as discussed earlier, the labour market for this group appeared to be adjusting adequately. Table 6 shows that the tightest occupational labour markets were those for managerial and professional, processing and machining, and clerical; the most slack labour markets were construction, transport equipment operating and service. The U/V ratios for construction and transport equipment operating show extreme seasonality, getting down near the average for all occupations during the third quarter.

#### **Duration of vacancies and unemployment**

Table 7 shows a very slight decrease in full-time vacancies as a proportion of total vacancies occurring early in 1974 — probably as employers resorted more to part-time workers in a tighter labour market.

---

<sup>3</sup> Part of the reason for the time lag might be that it took most people some time to become aware of the opportunities provided by the changes in the Unemployment Insurance Act.

TABLE 6

**Vacancies and Unemployment: Quarterly  
By Occupational Group, Ontario, 1973-1974**

*ALL OCCUPATIONS*

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<i>Year</i>		<i>Full-Time Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>U/V</i>	<i>Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)</i>
1973	1Q	24,806	153,633	6.19	100
	2Q	29,346	122,400	4.17	67.4
	3Q	36,337	110,333	3.04	49.1
	4Q	30,423	118,400	3.89	62.8
1974	1Q	31,750	164,267	5.17	83.5

*MANAGERIAL AND PROF.*

		<i>Full-Time Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>U/V</i>	<i>Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)</i>
1973	1Q	3,640	10,966	3.01	100
	2Q	3,423	12,366	3.61	119.9
	3Q	4,517	15,067	3.34	110.0
	4Q	5,970	10,467	1.75	58.1
1974	1Q	4,623	11,233	2.43	80.7

*CLERICAL*

		<i>Full-Time Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>U/V</i>	<i>Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)</i>
1973	1Q	4,453	21,800	4.90	100
	2Q	3,897	19,167	4.92	100.4
	3Q	4,893	18,300	3.74	76.3
	4Q	4,170	17,400	4.17	85.1
1974	1Q	5,807	22,667	3.90	79.6

*SALES*

		<i>Full-Time Vacancies</i>	<i>Unemployed</i>	<i>U/V</i>	<i>Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)</i>
1973	1Q	2,390	10,633	4.45	100
	2Q	2,657	7,433	2.80	62.9
	3Q	2,520	8,033	3.19	71.7
	4Q	2,160	9,633	4.46	71.7
1974	1Q	2,390	11,000	4.60	103.4

TABLE 6 (Continued)

SERVICE		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Year		Full-Time Vacancies	Unemployed	U/V	Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)
1973	1Q	2,370	16,933	7.14	100
	2Q	4,337	16,733	3.86	54.1
	3Q	4,340	14,467	3.33	46.6
	4Q	2,883	17,533	6.08	85.2
1974	1Q	2,873	20,300	7.07	99.0
<b>PROCESSING AND MACHINING</b>					
Year		Full-Time Vacancies	Unemployed	U/V	Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)
1973	1Q	9,790	25,767	2.63	100
	2Q	8,017	28,333	3.53	134.2
	3Q	12,327	25,133	2.04	77.6
	4Q	10,423	24,033	2.31	87.8
1974	1Q	10,807	35,633	3.30	125.5
<b>CONSTRUCTION</b>					
Year		Full-Time Vacancies	Unemployed	U/V	Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)
1973	1Q	887	33,400	37.66	100
	2Q	1,623	19,400	11.95	31.7
	3Q	3,000	12,333	4.11	10.9
	4Q	1,160	19,000	16.38	43.5
1974	1Q	1,017	33,400	32.84	87.2
<b>TRANSPORT</b>					
Year		Full-Time Vacancies	Unemployed	U/V	Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)
1973	1Q	727	10,167	13.98	100
	2Q	720	5,967	8.29	59.3
	3Q	1,197	5,333	4.46	31.9
	4Q	563	6,000	10.66	76.3
1974	1Q	980	9,333	9.52	68.1
<b>ALL OTHER OCCUPATIONS</b>					
Year		Full-Time Vacancies	Unemployed	U/V	Index of First Qtr. 1973 = 100 (3)
1973	1Q	2,323	21,400	9.21	100
	2Q	2,897	15,567	5.37	58.3
	3Q	3,200	11,667	3.65	39.6
	4Q	3,147	14,333	4.55	49.4
1974	1Q	3,247	20,700	6.38	69.3

SOURCE: Canada Department of Manpower and Immigration. *Monthly Report on Current Job Vacancies*, January 1971 to June 1974; and Statistics Canada. Unpublished data from Labour Force Survey.

TABLE 7

**Full-Time and Full-Time Longer-Term Vacancies  
As Proportions of Total Vacancies Ontario  
Annual Averages 1971-73 and First Quarter 1971-74**

<i>7A: FULL-TIME VACANCIES</i>		(1)	(2)	(3)
		<i>Full-Time Vacancies</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>(1) As % of (2)</i>
1971	Annual Average	13,100	15,000	90.17
1972	"	23,700	26,400	89.77
1973	"	30,200	33,100	91.24
1971	1Q	9,200	10,200	90.20
1972	1Q	12,400	14,000	88.57
1973	1Q	24,800	27,100	91.51
1974	1Q	31,700	36,200	87.57
<i>7B: FULL-TIME LONGER-TERM VACANCIES</i>				
1971	Annual Average	4,700	15,000	31.33
1972	"	6,900	26,400	26.14
1973	"	10,900	33,100	32.93
1971	1Q	3,000	10,200	29.41
1972	1Q	4,500	14,000	32.14
1973	1Q	7,800	27,100	28.78
1974	1Q	14,500	36,200	40.06

SOURCE: Statistics Canada. *Quarterly Report on Job Vacancies*, First Quarter 1974, Catalogue No. 71-002.

Longer-term vacancies showed a significant increase in the first quarter of 1974.<sup>4</sup> This suggests a worsening of structural problems *and/or* an increase in job search periods, but it does not support the hypothesis of increased turnover.

In order to assess the relative importance of these two factors — worsening structural imbalances or lengthened job search patterns — it is necessary to look at the longer-term unemployment ratio as well as the longer-term vacancy ratio.

Table 8 shows that longer-term unemployment as a proportion of total unemployment decreased from 1971 to 1973 back to about the 1970 level. Looking at averages for the first eight months of each year, we see that the decline in the longer-term proportion of unemployment has continued into 1974, although at a decreasing rate. The fact that

<sup>4</sup> Unfortunately, at present, it is not possible to get tabulations of longer-term vacancies as a proportion of total full-time vacancies by occupation for Ontario.

the long-term unemployment ratio decreased tends to support the hypothesis that worsening structural imbalance, rather than increases in job search time, is the main cause of the paradox. If people were spending a longer time searching between jobs, the longer-term unemployment ratio would go up, not down. Unfortunately, the period of time which the data source forces us to use for defining 'longer-term' unemployment — four months may be too long to pick up an increase in job search time, e.g. from five weeks to six weeks. Yet, it is not too long a period to pick up increases in unemployment that are induced by Unemployment Insurance, for which twenty weeks might be the likely duration.

TABLE 8

**Duration of Unemployment: Ontario**  
**Annual Averages 1970-73 and Eight Month**  
**Averages (January to August) for 1970-1974**

		<i>Unemployed Less Than 4 Months</i>		<i>Unemployed 4 Months and Over</i>		<i>Total</i>	
		<i>Number</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>%</i>
	1970	93	69.9	40	30.1	133	100.0
Annual	1971	105	62.1	64	37.9	169	100.0
Average	1972	107	66.0	55	34.0	162	100.0
	1973	99	69.2	44	30.8	143	100.0
	1970	98	71.0	40	29.0	138	100.0
Average	1971	114	61.6	71	38.4	185	100.0
Jan.-Aug.	1972	112	65.5	59	34.5	171	100.0
	1973	102	68.5	47	31.5	149	100.0
	1974	100	70.5	46	29.5	156	100.0

SOURCE: Statistics Canada. *The Labour Force*, monthly reports, Catalogue 71-001.

Turning again to the bottom of Table 8, we note that the ratio of longer-term unemployment to total unemployment for 1974 is still slightly above the 1970 figure, even though the labour market appeared to be considerably tighter in 1974 than in 1970. This suggests that we may be pressing against the limit to which long-term unemployment can be reduced (about 25 to 30 per cent of total) without major changes in the way we utilize manpower. The notion that the labour market presently is very tight and that the remaining unemployment results mainly from serious structural problems is further supported by evidence on the composition of unemployment presented in the next section.



TABLE 9

**Labour Force, Employment, Unemployment and Unemployment Rates  
By Age Group, Monthly — January 1973 — September 1974: Ontario**

	1974																						
	(In Thousands)												Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.
<b>LABOUR FORCE</b>																							
14-19	305	301	300	326	347	468	499	476	323	340	349	358	347	351	354	362	395	448	538	535	369		
20-24	475	482	475	473	508	540	535		470	481	476	485	487	489	491	508	549	564	559	569	509		
25-44	1517	1529	1527	1547	1539	1546	1526	1530	1562	1582	1586	1586	1577	1590	1602	1613	1634	1651	1636	1639	1645		
45-64	1023	1033	1038	1036	1040	1026	1011	1016	1022	1043	1047	1049	1050	1049	1051	1045	1041	1042	1035	1046	1062		
65+	69	65	70	71	71	69	64	66	65	67	68	66	70	69	65	65	65	63	58	61	65		
<b>TOTAL*</b>	3460	3491	3473	3504	3485	3532	3471	3515	3493	3555	3567	3578	3598	3616	3628	3639	3662	3646	3667	3742	3701		
<b>EMPLOYED</b>																							
14-19	269	266	269	293	319	409	461	446	296	308	323	327	313	310	316	330	361	395	493	498	334		
20-24	438	445	440	437	474	505	506	503	436	453	450	455	447	451	460	475	513	530	525	535	477		
25-44	1458	1472	1470	1495	1499	1507	1485	1486	1518	1541	1548	1544	1520	1526	1536	1562	1589	1610	1596	1593	1600		
45-64	988	992	1004	1002	1013	1010	992	999	1000	1019	1022	1019	1013	1012	1016	1014	1018	1023	1016	1024	1039		
65+	67	62	67	69	69	67	62	65	64	65	66	63	66	65	62	63	64	61	56	59	63		
<b>TOTAL*</b>	3315	3349	3336	3365	3353	3404	3344	3371	3328	3389	3426	3423	3449	3461	3475	3508	3520	3515	3520	3579	3536		
<b>UNEMPLOYED</b>																							
14-19	36	35	31	33	28	59	38	30	27	32	26	31	34	41	38	32	34	53	45	37	35		
20-24	37	37	35	36	34	35	29	31	34	28	26	30	40	38	31	33	36	34	24	34	32		
25-44	59	57	57	52	40	39	41	44	44	41	38	42	57	64	66	51	45	41	40	46	45		
45-64	35	41	34	34	27	16	19	17	22	24	25	30	37	37	35	31	23	19	19	22	23		
65+	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	3	4	4	3	2	1	2	2	2	2		
<b>TOTAL*</b>	145	142	137	139	132	128	127	144	165	166	141	155	149	155	153	131	142	131	147	163	165		
<b>UNEMPLOYMENT RATE</b>																							
14-19	11.8	11.6	10.3	10.1	8.1	12.6	7.6	6.3	8.4	9.4	7.5	8.7	9.8	11.7	10.7	8.8	8.6	11.8	8.4	6.9	9.5		
20-24	7.8	7.7	7.4	7.6	6.7	6.5	5.4	5.8	7.2	5.8	5.5	6.2	8.2	7.8	6.3	6.5	6.6	6.0	4.3	6.0	6.3		
25-44	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.4	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.6	4.0	4.1	3.2	2.8	2.5	2.4	2.8	2.7		
45-64	3.4	4.0	3.3	3.3	2.6	1.6	1.9	1.7	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.9	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.0	2.2	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.2		
65+	2.9	4.6	4.3	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.1	1.5	1.5	3.0	2.9	4.6	5.7	5.8	4.6	3.1	1.5	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.1		
<b>TOTAL*</b>	(4.2)	(4.1)	(3.9)	(4.0)	(3.8)	(3.6)	(3.7)	(4.1)	(4.7)	(4.0)	(4.3)	(4.1)	(4.3)	(4.2)	(3.6)	(3.9)	(3.6)	(4.0)	(4.4)	(4.5)			

SOURCE: Unpublished data from Labour Force Survey.  
\*/ Seasonally-Adjusted.

### The composition of unemployment

Examination of the composition of unemployment indicates which groups have the most serious unemployment problems. Table 9 giving monthly figures for 1973 and 1974, shows the preponderance of youth among the unemployed. The unemployment rate for persons aged 25-64 has been below 3 per cent since May, and the rate for the 45-64 has gone below 2 per cent in each of the past two summers. By contrast, the rate for the 14-19 group exceeds 9 per cent, and the 20-24 rate is over 6 per cent.

Also important, but less publicized, is unemployment of females, shown in Table 10. Between 1971 and 1974, as the labour market grew tighter over-all, the number of unemployed females (over 25 years of age) increased while the number of unemployed males decreased substantially. In 1969, the overall unemployment rate was just above 3 per cent, regarded as full employment. The rate for males 25 and over in 1974 is only a fraction above what it was in 1969. However, the unemployment rate for females is about one and a half percentage points higher in 1974 than it was in 1969.

**TABLE 10**

**Unemployed and Unemployment Rates by Age and Sex For Ontario  
Average for January-September 1969-1974**

	<i>(Thousands)</i>						<i>Change between</i>	
	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1969-71	1971-74
UNEMPLOYED								
<i>Men</i>	69	98	124	114	96	97	55	-27
Under 25	25	40	54	51	43	44	29	-10
Over 25	44	58	70	63	53	53	26	-17
<i>Women</i>	28	38	53	53	51	57	25	4
Under 25	15	20	28	27	27	28	13	0
Over 25	13	18	25	26	24	29	12	4
TOTAL	97	136	177	167	147	154	80	-23
UNEMPLOYMENT RATE								
<i>Men</i>	3.4	4.7	5.8	5.1	4.2	4.1	2.4	-1.7
Under 25	6.1	9.3	12.2	10.6	8.6	8.3	6.1	-3.9
Over 25	2.7	3.5	4.1	3.6	3.0	2.9	1.4	-1.2
<i>Women</i>	2.8	3.7	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.3	2.0	-0.5
Under 25	5.1	6.5	8.4	7.7	7.3	6.9	3.3	-1.5
Over 25	1.9	2.5	3.3	3.2	2.8	3.2	1.4	-0.1
TOTAL	3.2	4.4	5.5	4.9	4.2	4.2	2.3	-1.3

SOURCE: Unpublished data from Labour Force Survey.

It has been argued that youths and females do not have the degree of labour force attachment that mature males have, and that this explains their higher unemployment rates. It is perhaps more likely that the weaker labour force attachment of these groups is a *consequence* of their higher unemployment rates than a cause of it.<sup>5</sup> They have not had the opportunity to develop labour attachment. (See Table 11).

The five years preceding the present tight labour market conditions were years of very low economic growth, during which persons without work experience and skills in high demand could not break into good jobs even if they tried. Table 12 taken from a paper by Sylvia Ostry, shows how little growth took place in Canada, particularly in manufacturing, forestry, and construction, during 1967-72, compared to the upsurge in 1973. It is doubtful that adequate training or experience was provided during 1967-72 for the expansion needs of 1973-74. At the same time, the difficulties which graduates in many fields encountered finding appropriate jobs discouraged students from entering or continuing post secondary studies. Thus the 'manpower pipeline' was broken; and when broken, it cannot be repaired overnight.

**TABLE 11**  
**Annual Unemployment Rates for Ontario 1968-1973**  
**By Age and Sex**

								<i>Change between</i>	
	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1969-71	1971-74	
Under 25									
Male	7.5	6.0	9.0	9.8	10.4	8.3	+3.8	-1.5	
Female	5.7	4.8	6.2	7.8	7.1	7.1	+2.0	-0.7	
TOTAL	6.7	5.5	7.9	9.1	9.0	7.8	+3.6	-1.3	
Over 25									
Male	2.8	2.6	3.5	3.9	3.7	2.9	+1.3	-1.0	
Female	2.2	1.8	2.5	3.3	3.1	2.9	+1.5	-0.4	
TOTAL	2.7	2.4	3.2	3.8	3.5	2.9	+1.4	-0.9	
Total									
Male	3.7	3.3	4.5	5.5	5.1	4.1	+2.2	-1.4	
Female	3.3	2.8	3.7	4.7	4.3	4.1	+1.9	-0.6	
TOTAL	3.6	3.1	4.3	5.2	4.8	4.1	+2.1	-1.1	

SOURCE: Unpublished data from Labour Force Survey.

<sup>5</sup> To be more accurate, the weaker labour force attachment of these groups is a consequence of the fact that they have faced generally less attractive job opportunities than have prime age males.

TABLE 12

**Annual Average Rates of Growth of  
Employment and Selected Economic Indicators  
Canada 1963-73**

	1963-66 (per cent)	1967-72 (per cent)	1972 (per cent)	1973 (per cent)
Gross National Product (constant 1961 \$)	6.8	4.8	5.8	7.1
Gross Fixed Capital Formation (constant 1961 \$ per capita)	9.97	3.5	3.6	10.4
Index of Industrial Production	8.2	5.4	6.8	8.3
<i>Employment In</i>				
Manufacturing	5.2	.05	1.7	4.9
Durables	7.1	.05	2.7	6.5
Non-Durables	3.4	.05	0.8	3.1
Forestry	3.2	-5.4	-4.1	13.7
Construction	8.9	-2.7	-5.0	0.4
Trade	5.7	3.1	4.2	6.0
Commercial Services	9.5	5.7	3.8	6.2
Public Administration	2.8	4.9	5.0	7.2

SOURCE: Sylvia Ostry, «How Tight Are Labour Markets?»  
Speech to Conference Board of Canada,  
April 16, 1974, Tables 1 and 2.

An additional piece of information which supports the 'broken pipeline' view and which casts doubt on the 'unwillingness to work' hypothesis is the dramatic increase in the rate of rejection by employers of persons referred by Canada Manpower Centres. Table 13 shows the ratio of placements made through the centres to referrals from the centres. There has been a continual decrease in this ratio, especially during the first four months of 1974.

This table raises some interesting questions as to why employers are turning down so many people when they are having a hard time filling vacancies. An alternative explanation is that an increasing proportion of the referrals are people who do not want the jobs for which they are referred and are making only token appearances in order to continue receiving Unemployment Insurance. No doubt some of the referrals fit this description. However, if an increase in token appearances were the main reason for the decline in the placement ratio, one would have

expected to find a substantial increase in referrals in 1974. In fact, the increase in referrals in 1974 was very small compared to the longer-term trend in referrals — even though the average unemployment for January to April went up between 1973 and 1974. The decline in placements was so large that the placement-referral ratio would have fallen below 32 per cent even if referrals had remained constant in 1974. In short, this alternative explanation is not supported by the data, and we are left with the conclusion that most of the people who are now unemployed are not regarded by employers as worth hiring, in spite of what many claim is a desperate vacancy situation.

## CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions of the preceding analysis can be summarized as follows:

1. *The labour market in Ontario as of mid-1974 appears to be very tight.*

- Job Vacancy data go back only to 1970. The labour market is tighter than at any time since at least 1970, and probably since 1966.
- The tightest occupational labour markets are for the managerial, medicine and health, natural sciences, and processing and machining occupational groups.

**TABLE 13**

**Referrals and Placements  
Canada Manpower Centres, Ontario 1969-1974**

		<i>Referrals</i>	<i>Placements</i>	<i>Placements as % of Referrals</i>
Annual	1969	639,814	289,112	45.2
	1970	577,501	235,036	40.7
	1971	749,314	316,627	42.3
	1972	923,301	386,086	41.8
	1973	1,115,504	424,422	38.0
January to	1972	273,867	112,655	41.1
	1973	325,050	123,233	37.9
April	1974	363,582	106,502	29.3

SOURCE: Canada Department of Manpower and Immigration, *Canada Manpower Review*, Vol. 7, No. 3, Third Quarter, 1974. pp. 34-35.

TABLE 14

**Percentage Distributions of Labour Force, Unemployed and  
Unemployment Insurance Claimants By Age,  
Ontario: First and Second Quarters of 1974**

Age	Unemployment Insurance Claimants						Percentage Distribution of Labour	
	Male		Female		Total		Force	Unemployed
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
<b>FIRST QUARTER</b>								
0 -20	19.7	13.7	12.3	12.3	32.0	13.2	12.6	25.6
21-35	60.3	42.1	46.2	46.4	106.5	43.9	37.6	52.8
36-45	23.6	16.5	17.4	17.5	41.0	16.9	20.2	
46-64	33.0	23.1	21.9	22.0	54.9	22.6	27.7	
65+	6.5	4.6	1.8	1.8	8.3	3.4	1.9	2.3
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>143.1</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>99.6</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>242.8</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>SECOND QUARTER</b>								
0 -20	12,285	13.8	10,289	12.2	22,574	13.0	13.85	32.0
21-35	39,815	44.7	41,073	48.8	80,888	46.7	36.13	51.0
36-45	12,974	14.6	13,854	16.5	26,828	15.5	21.37	
46-64	18,914	21.3	17,444	20.7	36,358	21.0	26.91	
65+	5,018	5.6	1,494	1.8	6,512	3.8	1.75	1.2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>89,006</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>84,154</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>173,160</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>100.0</b>

NOTE: The data for labour force and unemployed by age were adjusted by the authors to correspond to the age categories for which unemployment insurance claimants are reported.

Source for claimants data is confidential information from the Unemployment Insurance Commission.

- If the economic expansion which began in 1973 continues into 1975, the labour market is likely to become even tighter, particularly for the occupational groups already in shortest supply.

2. *The unemployment rate for males 25 years of age and over is under 3 per cent and close to what it was during the 'last near full-employment' year. It is likely that we now have full employment of prime age males.*

- The unemployment rate for Ontario is now one to one-and-a-half percentage points higher than what was regarded as the 'full-employment rate' during the 1960's, namely 3 per cent. (See Table 14).

- The main unemployment problem continues to be that of youth. The rate for the 14-19 group is about 3 times the over-all average and the rate for the 20-24 group about double the over-all rate.

TABLE 15

**Percentage Distributions of Labour Force,  
Unemployed and Unemployment Insurance Claimants by Sex,  
Ontario, First Quarter and Second Quarter, 1974**

(Thousands)

Sex	Unemployment Insurance Claimants		Labour Force		Unemployed	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
<b>FIRST QUARTER</b>						
Male	143.1	58.9	2,279	64.3	117.0	66.2
Female	99.7	41.1	1,263	35.7	59.7	33.8
TOTAL	242.8	100.0	3,542	100.0	176.7	100.0
<b>SECOND QUARTER</b>						
Male	89.0	51.4	2,364	64.2	93.3	64.0
Female	84.2	48.6	1,318	35.8	52.3	35.9
TOTAL	173.2	100.0	3,682	100.0	145.7	100.0
<b>CHANGE BETWEEN QUARTERS</b>						
Male	-54.1		85		-23.7	
Female	-15.5		55		- 7.4	
TOTAL	-69.6		140		-31.3	
<b>PERCENTAGE CHANGE BETWEEN QUARTERS</b>						
Male		-37.8%		3.7%		-20.3%
Female		-15.5		4.4		-12.4
TOTAL		-28.7		4.0		-17.6

● The relative employment situation of women has been growing worse. While the number of unemployed males decreased substantially between 1971 and 1974 (based on first eight month average for each year), the number of unemployed females actually increased. The increase was concentrated in the group aged 25 and over. In 1973-74, the female unemployment rate surpassed the male rate. The female unemployment rate is now about one-and-a-half percentage points higher than it was during the last 'near-full-employment' year. (See Table 15).

3. *The data examined suggest that Unemployment Insurance and autonomous changes in attitudes toward work are not the main causes of the present occurrence of vacancies and unemployment.*

4. *The main causes of the present situation appear to lie in:*

- The suddenness of recent changes in the level and composition of economic activity.
- Inadequacies in the way we facilitate entry into the labour market.

- Failure to utilize adequately women, youths, various minorities, and persons in lagging regions.
- Reluctance of employers and social planners to treat labour as a resource to be developed and planned for over a long-term horizon, rather than as if it flowed from a tap to be turned on and off instantaneously in response to immediate economic conditions.
- As a consequence, during the slow growth period of 1967-72, the 'manpower pipeline' was effectively broken. Once broken, it could not be repaired in time for the sudden expansion which began in 1973.

These conclusions have the following policy implications:

- The most pressing need is for improvements in the way we develop and utilize Ontario's human resources, particularly those of youth, women, and minorities. Both employers and the education and training system must be guided by longer term considerations in their planning for manpower development. We must do a lot better job of facilitating entry into satisfactory employment for youth, women, and minorities. It is easier, to point to the need for such improvements than it is to identify specific policy measures which will bring them about. However, a few suggestions can be given.
- Anything that would facilitate longer term operational budgeting for both educational institutions and employers would remove one of the major obstacles to manpower planning.
- More imaginative approaches to subsidized on-the-job training and experience, particularly for youths, should be considered. Subsidized employment has a somewhat tarnished record, but it has been used most during *slack times*, and may be far more successful in a tight labour market.
- The government might provide technical assistance to help employers develop methods of human resource planning, and to sell them on the benefits of such planning.
- Affirmative action programmes for women and minorities will likely make positive contributions to the utilization of the labour potential of these groups. As such, the manpower justification of affirmative action programmes should be emphasized as well as the social justification.
- Unemployment Insurance does contribute to the unemployment-vacancy problem, but its contribution has been over-emphasized by the press. Attacks on the Unemployment Insurance System serve mainly to divert attention away from more fundamental manpower concerns.
- Immigration may be appropriate as a selective tool to deal with specific occupational shortages where the 'manpower pipeline' cannot



be established or re-established quickly enough. It should not be regarded as a general answer to vacancy problems. Doing so would perpetuate the 'turning-the-tap-on-and-off' approach to manpower utilization that has contributed so much to the present manpower problems.

- In view of the near full-employment situation that exists presently for prime age males, the extent to which we should use immigration for meeting manpower needs depends upon the extent to which we wish to encourage the employment of youths and females in jobs formerly filled by prime age males.
- The tight labour market conditions, now and expected for 1975, causes us to question the appropriateness of establishing large job creation programmes. It is difficult to see how such programmes could fail to make the vacancy situation worse, unless they are extremely discriminatory. The urgent need is to bring those presently excluded into the main labour market, not to create a separate sector for them.

## Chômage et postes vacants

Les causes principales du paradoxe résultant de la simultanéité du chômage et de la disponibilité d'emplois sont les suivantes: les changements subits du niveau et des composantes de l'activité économique, l'imperfection des voies d'accès aux marchés du travail, la répugnance des employeurs et des planificateurs sociaux à considérer le travail comme une ressource à développer et à planifier à long terme plutôt qu'à le traiter « comme un robinet qu'on ouvre et qu'on ferme à discrétion suivant les conditions économiques immédiates ».

Une des conséquences de cette dernière attitude fut que le « pipe-line » de la main-d'œuvre s'est en réalité rompu au cours de la période de faible croissance qui a marqué les années 1967 à 1972. « Une fois ainsi brisé, il devenait impossible de le remettre en état au moment de l'expansion soudaine qui a commencé en 1973 ».

L'article souligne que l'assurance-chômage favorise quelque peu le paradoxe précédent, mais que la presse a vraiment amplifié son importance. Les attaques contre le régime d'assurance-chômage visaient surtout à détourner l'attention des véritables problèmes.

Les chercheurs n'ont découvert aucune confirmation statistique qu'une proportion accrue des gens conseillés par les centres de main-d'œuvre du Canada aux employeurs éventuels ne s'y rendaient que pour la forme en vue de conserver leurs droits aux prestations. La confirmation de ce fait aurait été de nature à affermir la prétention que l'assurance-chômage favorisait le chômage de personnes qui ne veulent pas travailler.

Parmi les personnes qui avaient été recommandées aux employeurs, il ne s'en trouvait qu'un très petit nombre dans le premier quart de 1974 qui refusaient des emplois, alors que le chômage s'accroissait et que les réclamations étaient attentivement scrutées.

Toutefois, les chercheurs ont découvert des signes d'une augmentation considérable du taux des rejets de la part des employeurs. En effet, entre 1969 et la première moitié de 1974, le pourcentage des candidats embauchés est tombé de 45.2 à 29.3.

Aussi, conclure que la plupart des travailleurs alors en chômage n'étaient pas considérés comme acceptables, comme beaucoup le prétendent, traduit un état quasi désespéré de postes vacants.

En d'autres mots, le marché du travail était déséquilibré structurellement. Les caractéristiques de la main-d'œuvre (âge, sexe, qualification, formation, métier, expérience, perfectionnement, lieu de résidence) ne correspondaient pas aux besoins des employeurs.

Au sujet de la qualification, par exemple, on observait de fortes hausses dans la disponibilité des emplois, mais peu ou pas d'accroissement des embauchages dans les postes administratifs, les sciences naturelles, le génie, les mathématiques, la médecine et les autres sciences de la santé. Par contre, il y avait diminution des emplois vacants dans le cas des commis-vendeurs où les embauchages furent considérables.

Le taux de chômage était continuellement plus haut chez les femmes que chez les hommes, chez les jeunes que chez les adultes. Le groupe d'âge de moins de 21 ans comptait pour 32 pour cent de l'ensemble des sans-travail au milieu de 1974, mais il ne représentait que 13 pour cent des réclaments.

La raison en était qu'une forte proportion des jeunes chômeurs n'avaient pas encore réussi à obtenir un premier emploi et qu'ils n'étaient pas admissibles aux prestations d'assurance-chômage.

Ce qui en ressort, c'est que l'assurance-chômage n'a guère de signification lorsqu'il s'agit pour elle d'aider ceux pour qui le chômage est un problème aigu. Ceci contredit le reproche que l'on fait aux jeunes de tirer profit du régime d'assurance-chômage.

L'immigration peut aider à résoudre les postes vacants dans certains cas particuliers, mais elle ne saurait y répondre d'une façon globale. Y croire équivaudrait à perpétuer l'approche actuelle qui consiste à ouvrir et à fermer le robinet au besoin, source principale des problèmes actuels en matière de main-d'œuvre.

Ce qui importe le plus, c'est améliorer les façons d'utiliser les ressources humaines, en particulier, les jeunes, les femmes et les groupes minoritaires. Il nous faut beaucoup plus pour leur faciliter la découverte d'emplois qui les satisfassent. Tout ce qui faciliterait tant pour les maisons d'enseignement que pour les employeurs un budget de fonctionnement à plus long terme ferait disparaître un des obstacles majeures à la planification de la main-d'œuvre. Il suffirait d'un peu plus d'imagination pour remplacer par quelque formule originale, surtout pour les jeunes, la formation en usine et l'expérience acquise.

Postes vacants et chômage élevé peuvent coexister, mais l'assurance-chômage n'est pas la raison principale de ce paradoxe.