

1 **TITLE:**

2

3 *ForestGEO: Understanding Forest Diversity and Dynamics through a Global Observatory*  
4 *Network*

5

6 **AUTHORS:**

7

8 Stuart J. Davies<sup>\*a</sup>, Iveren Abiem<sup>b</sup>, Kamariah Abu Salim<sup>c</sup>, Salomón Aguilar<sup>a</sup>, David Allen<sup>d</sup>,  
9 Alfonso Alonso<sup>e</sup>, Kristina Anderson-Teixeira<sup>f</sup>, Ana Andrade<sup>g</sup>, Gabriel Arellano<sup>h</sup>, Peter S. Ashton<sup>i</sup>,  
10 Patrick J. Baker<sup>j</sup>, Matthew E. Baker<sup>k</sup>, Jennifer L. Baltzer<sup>l</sup>, Yves Basset<sup>a</sup>, Pulchérie Bissiengou<sup>m</sup>,  
11 Stephanie Bohlman<sup>n</sup>, Norman A. Bourg<sup>o</sup>, Warren Y. Brockelman<sup>p</sup>, Sarayudh Bunyavejchewin<sup>q</sup>,  
12 David F.R.P. Burslem<sup>r</sup>, Min Cao<sup>s</sup>, Dairon Cárdenas<sup>t</sup>, Li-Wan Chang<sup>u</sup>, Chia-Hao Chang-Yang<sup>v</sup>,  
13 Kuo-Jung Chao<sup>w</sup>, Wei-Chun Chao<sup>x</sup>, Hazel Chapman<sup>y</sup>, Yu-Yun Chen<sup>z</sup>, Ryan Chisholm<sup>aa</sup>, Chengjin  
14 Chu<sup>ab</sup>, George Chuyong<sup>ac</sup>, Keith Clay<sup>ad</sup>, Liza S. Comita<sup>ae</sup>, Richard Condit<sup>af</sup>, Susan Cordell<sup>ag</sup>,  
15 Handanakere S. Dattaraja<sup>ah</sup>, Alexandre Adalardo de Oliveira<sup>ai</sup>, Jan den Ouden<sup>aj</sup>, Matteo Detto<sup>ak</sup>,  
16 Christopher Dick<sup>h</sup>, Xiaojun Du<sup>al</sup>, Álvaro Duque<sup>am</sup>, Sisira Ediriweera<sup>an</sup>, Erle C. Ellis<sup>k</sup>, Nestor  
17 Laurier Engone Obiang<sup>m</sup>, Shameema Esufali<sup>a</sup>, Corneille E.N. Ewango<sup>ao</sup>, Edwino S. Fernando<sup>ap, aq</sup>,  
18 Jonah Filip<sup>ar</sup>, Gunter A. Fischer<sup>as</sup>, Robin Foster<sup>at</sup>, Thomas Giambelluca<sup>au</sup>, Christian Giardina<sup>ag</sup>,  
19 Gregory S. Gilbert<sup>av</sup>, Erika Gonzalez-Akre<sup>f</sup>, I.A.U.N. Gunatilleke<sup>aw</sup>, C.V.S. Gunatilleke<sup>aw</sup>,  
20 Zhanqing Hao<sup>ax</sup>, Billy C.H. Hau<sup>ay</sup>, Fangliang He<sup>az</sup>, Hongwei Ni<sup>ba</sup>, Robert W. Howe<sup>bb</sup>, Stephen P.  
21 Hubbell<sup>bc</sup>, Andreas Huth<sup>bd</sup>, Faith Inman-Narahari<sup>bc</sup>, Akira Itoh<sup>bf</sup>, David Janík<sup>bg</sup>, Patrick A.  
22 Jansen<sup>aj, bh</sup>, Mingxi Jiang<sup>bi</sup>, Daniel J. Johnson<sup>n</sup>, Andrew Jones<sup>bj</sup>, Mamoru Kanzaki<sup>bk</sup>, David  
23 Kenfack<sup>a</sup>, Somboon Kiratiprayoon<sup>bl</sup>, Kamil Král<sup>bg</sup>, Lauren Krizel<sup>a</sup>, Suzanne Lao<sup>a</sup>, Andrew J.  
24 Larson<sup>bm</sup>, Yide Li<sup>bn</sup>, Xiankun Li<sup>bo</sup>, Creighton M. Litton<sup>bp</sup>, Yu Liu<sup>bq</sup>, Shirong Liu<sup>br</sup>, Shawn Lum<sup>bs</sup>,  
25 Matthew Luskin<sup>bt</sup>, James A. Lutz<sup>bu</sup>, Hong Truong Luu<sup>bv</sup>, Keping Ma<sup>al</sup>, Jean Remy Makana<sup>ao</sup>,  
26 Yadvinder Malhi<sup>bw</sup>, Adam Martin<sup>bx</sup>, Caly McCarthy<sup>a</sup>, Sean M. McMahon<sup>by</sup>, William J. McShea<sup>o</sup>,  
27 Hervé Memiaghe<sup>bz</sup>, Xiangcheng Mi<sup>al</sup>, David Mitre<sup>a</sup>, Mohizah Mohamad<sup>ca</sup>, Logan Monks<sup>cb</sup>, Helene  
28 Muller-Landau<sup>a</sup>, Paul M. Musili<sup>cc</sup>, Jonathan A. Myers<sup>cd</sup>, Anuttara Nathalang<sup>p</sup>, Kang Min Ngo<sup>bs</sup>,  
29 Natalia Norden<sup>ce</sup>, Vojtech Novotny<sup>cf</sup>, Michael J. O'Brien<sup>cg</sup>, David Orwig<sup>ch</sup>, Rebecca Ostertag<sup>ci</sup>,  
30 Konstantinos Papathanassiou<sup>cj</sup>, Geoffrey G. Parker<sup>ck</sup>, Rolando Pérez<sup>a</sup>, Ivette Perfecto<sup>cl</sup>, Richard P.  
31 Phillips<sup>cm</sup>, Nantachai Pongpattananurak<sup>cn</sup>, Hans Pretzsch<sup>co</sup>, Haibo Ren<sup>al</sup>, Glen Reynolds<sup>cp</sup>, Lillian  
32 J. Rodriguez<sup>cq</sup>, Sabrina E. Russo<sup>cr</sup>, Lawren Sack<sup>bc</sup>, Weiguo Sang<sup>cs</sup>, Jessica Shue<sup>by</sup>, Anudeep  
33 Singh<sup>a</sup>, Guo-Zhang M. Song<sup>ct</sup>, Raman Sukumar<sup>cu</sup>, I-Fang Sun<sup>z</sup>, Hebbalalu S. Suresh<sup>cu</sup>, Nathan G.  
34 Swenson<sup>cb</sup>, Sylvester Tan<sup>ca</sup>, Sean C. Thomas<sup>cv</sup>, Duncan Thomas<sup>cw</sup>, Jill Thompson<sup>cx</sup>, Ben Turner<sup>a</sup>,  
35 Amanda Uowolo<sup>ag</sup>, María Uriarte<sup>cy</sup>, Renato Valencia<sup>cz</sup>, John Vandermeer<sup>h</sup>, Aberto Vicentini<sup>g</sup>,  
36 Marco Visser<sup>ak</sup>, Tomas Vrska<sup>da</sup>, Xugao Wang<sup>ax</sup>, Xihua Wang<sup>db</sup>, George D. Weiblen<sup>dc</sup>, Timothy  
37 J.S. Whitfeld<sup>dd</sup>, Amy Wolf<sup>bb</sup>, S. Joseph Wright<sup>a</sup>, Han Xu<sup>bn</sup>, Tze Leong Yao<sup>de</sup>, Sandra L. Yap<sup>df</sup>,  
38 Wanhui Ye<sup>dg</sup>, Mingjian Yu<sup>dh</sup>, Minhua Zhang<sup>bq</sup>, Daoguang Zhu<sup>ba</sup>, Li Zhu<sup>al</sup>, Jess K. Zimmerman<sup>di</sup>,  
39 and Daniel Zuleta<sup>a</sup>

40

41

42

43

44 *\*Corresponding author (Stuart J. Davies). daviess@si.edu. +1-202-633-0961. Forest Global*  
45 *Earth Observatory (ForestGEO), Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, National Museum of*

46 *Natural History, West Loading Dock MRC-166, 10th and Constitution Ave. NW, Washington DC,*  
47 *20560*

48

49 <sup>a</sup>Forest Global Earth Observatory, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Republic of Panama

50 <sup>b</sup>Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, University of Jos, Nigeria

51 <sup>c</sup>Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Brunei

52 <sup>d</sup> Department of Biology, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT 05753

53 <sup>e</sup> Center for Conservation and Sustainability, Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute,  
54 National Zoological Park, Washington, DC 20008

55 <sup>f</sup> Forest Global Earth Observatory, Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, National  
56 Zoological Park, Washington, DC 20008

57 <sup>g</sup> Biological Dynamics of Forest Fragments Project, Coordenação de Dinâmica Ambiental,  
58 Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Brazil

59 <sup>h</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI,  
60 48109

61 <sup>i</sup> Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA  
62 02138

63 <sup>j</sup> School of Ecosystem and Forest Sciences, University of Melbourne, Australia

64 <sup>k</sup> Geography & Environmental Systems, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore,  
65 MD 21250

66 <sup>l</sup>Biology Department, Wilfrid Laurier University, Canada

67 <sup>m</sup> Herbar National du Gabon, Institut de Pharmacopée et de Médecine Traditionnelle, Gabon

68 <sup>n</sup> School of Forest Resources and Conservation, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611

69 <sup>o</sup> Conservation Ecology Center, Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute, National Zoological  
70 Park, Washington, DC 20008

71 <sup>p</sup> National Biobank of Thailand, National Science and Technology Development Agency, Thailand

72 <sup>q</sup> Department of National Parks, Wildlife and Plant Conservation, Ministry of Natural Resources  
73 and Environment, Thailand

74 <sup>r</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

75 <sup>s</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Forest Ecology, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden,  
76 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming

77 <sup>t</sup> Instituto Amazónico de Investigaciones Científicas Sinchi, Colombia

78 <sup>u</sup> Taiwan Forestry Research Institute, Taipei

79 <sup>v</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, National Sun Yat- sen University, Kaohsiung

80 <sup>w</sup> International Master Program of Agriculture, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung

81 <sup>x</sup> Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, National Chiayi University, Chiayi City

82 <sup>y</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, New Zealand

83 <sup>z</sup> Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, National Dong Hwa University,  
84 Hsinchu

85 <sup>aa</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore

86 <sup>ab</sup> School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou  
87 <sup>ac</sup> Department of Botany and Plant Physiology, University of Buea, Cameroon  
88 <sup>ad</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118  
89 <sup>ae</sup> School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520  
90 <sup>af</sup> Morton Arboretum, Lisle, IL 60532  
91 <sup>ag</sup> Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry, USDA Forest Service, Hilo, HI 96720  
92 <sup>ah</sup> Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, India  
93 <sup>ai</sup> Departamento Ecologia, University of São Paulo, Brazil  
94 <sup>aj</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands  
95 <sup>ak</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544  
96 <sup>al</sup> State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and Environmental Change, Institute of Botany, Chinese  
97 Academy of Sciences, Beijing  
98 <sup>am</sup> Departamento de Ciencias Forestales, Universidad Nacional de Colombia Sede Medellín,  
99 Colombia  
100 <sup>an</sup> Department of Science and Technology, Uva Wellassa University, Sri Lanka  
101 <sup>ao</sup> Centre de Formation et de Recherche en Conservation Forestière, Democratic Republic of Congo  
102 <sup>ap</sup> Biodiversity Research Laboratory, Institute of Biology, University of the Philippines – Diliman,  
103 Philippines  
104 <sup>aq</sup> Department of Forest Biological Sciences, University of the Philippines - Los Baños, Philippines  
105 <sup>ar</sup> Binatang Research Center, Papua New Guinea  
106 <sup>as</sup> Kadoorie Farm & Botanic Garden Corporation, Hong Kong  
107 <sup>at</sup> The Field Museum, Chicago, IL 60605  
108 <sup>au</sup> Water Resources Research Center, University of Hawai'i, Mānoa, Honolulu, HI 96822  
109 <sup>av</sup> Environmental Studies Department, University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
110 <sup>aw</sup> Department of Botany, University of Perdeniya, Sri Lanka  
111 <sup>ax</sup> Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Management, Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese  
112 Academy of Sciences, Shenyang  
113 <sup>ay</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong  
114 <sup>az</sup> Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, University of Alberta, Edmonton,  
115 Canada  
116 <sup>ba</sup> Institute of Natural Resources, Heilongjiang Academy of Sciences, Heilongjiang  
117 <sup>bb</sup> Department of Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay, Green Bay,  
118 WI 54311  
119 <sup>bc</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los  
120 Angeles, CA 90095  
121 <sup>bd</sup> Department of Ecological Modelling, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ,  
122 Germany  
123 <sup>be</sup> University of Hawai'i Mānoa, Honolulu, HI 96822  
124 <sup>bf</sup> Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Japan  
125 <sup>bg</sup> Department of Forest Ecology, Silva Tarouca Research Institute, Czech Republic

126 <sup>bh</sup> Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Republic of Panama  
127 <sup>bi</sup> Key Laboratory of Aquatic Botany and Watershed Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
128 Wuhan  
129 <sup>bj</sup> Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, College of Agricultural Sciences, Oregon State  
130 University, Corvallis, OR 97331  
131 <sup>bk</sup> Department of Biology, Osaka City University, Japan  
132 <sup>bl</sup> Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University (Rangsit), Thailand  
133 <sup>bm</sup> Department of Forest Management, W.A. Franke College of Forestry and Conservation,  
134 University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812  
135 <sup>bn</sup> Research Institute of Tropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Guangzhou  
136 <sup>bo</sup> Guangxi Key Laboratory of Plant Conservation and Restoration Ecology in Karst Terrain,  
137 Guangxi Institute of Botany, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region and Chinese Academy of  
138 Sciences, Guilin  
139 <sup>bp</sup> Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Management, University of Hawai'i,  
140 Mānoa, Honolulu, HI 96822  
141 <sup>bq</sup> East China Normal University, Shanghai  
142 <sup>br</sup> Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing  
143 <sup>bs</sup> Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore  
144 <sup>bt</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, Australia  
145 <sup>bu</sup> Wildland Resources Department, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322  
146 <sup>bv</sup> Southern Institute of Ecology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Vietnam  
147 <sup>bw</sup> Environmental Change Institute, School of Geography and the Environment, University of  
148 Oxford, United Kingdom  
149 <sup>bx</sup> Department of Physical and Environmental Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough,  
150 Canada  
151 <sup>by</sup> Forest Global Earth Observatory, Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, MD  
152 21037  
153 <sup>bz</sup> Institut de Recherche en Ecologie Tropicale, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et  
154 Technologique, Gabon  
155 <sup>ca</sup> Forest Department Sarawak, Malaysia  
156 <sup>cb</sup> Department of Biology, University of Maryland, College Park, College Park, MD 20742  
157 <sup>cc</sup> East African Herbarium, Botany Department, National Museum of Kenya, Kenya  
158 <sup>cd</sup> Department of Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63130  
159 <sup>ce</sup> Programa Ciencias de la Biodiversidad, Instituto de Investigación de Recursos Biológicos  
160 Alexander von Humboldt, Colombia  
161 <sup>cf</sup> Biology Centre, Institute of Entomology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic  
162 <sup>cg</sup> Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain  
163 <sup>ch</sup> Harvard Forest, Harvard University, Petersham, MA 01366  
164 <sup>ci</sup> Department of Biology, University of Hawaii, Hilo, HI 96720  
165 <sup>cj</sup> Microwaves and Radar Institute, German Aerospace Centre DLR, Germany

166 <sup>ck</sup> Forest Ecology Group, Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, MD 21037  
167 <sup>cl</sup> School of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI  
168 48109  
169 <sup>cm</sup> Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405  
170 <sup>cn</sup> Department of Forest Biology, Kasetsart University, Thailand  
171 <sup>co</sup> School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Germany  
172 <sup>cp</sup> The Royal Society SEARRP (UK/Malaysia), Malaysia  
173 <sup>cq</sup> Institute of Biology, University of the Philippines – Diliman, Philippines  
174 <sup>cr</sup> School of Biological Sciences and Center for Plant Science Innovation, University of Nebraska  
175 – Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588  
176 <sup>cs</sup> Minzu University of China, Beijing  
177 <sup>ct</sup> Department of Soil and Water Conservation, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung  
178 <sup>cu</sup> Centre for Ecological Sciences and Divecha Centre for Climate Change, Indian Institute of  
179 Science, Bangalore, India  
180 <sup>cv</sup> Institute of Forestry and Conservation, University of Toronto, Canada  
181 <sup>cw</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Vancouver, WA 98686  
182 <sup>cx</sup> UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, United Kingdom  
183 <sup>cy</sup> Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Environmental Biology, Columbia University, New  
184 York, NY 10027  
185 <sup>cz</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador, Ecuador  
186 <sup>da</sup> Department of Forest Ecology, Silva Tarouca Research Institute, Czech Republic  
187 <sup>db</sup> Tiantong National Forest Ecosystem Observation and Research Station, School of Ecological  
188 and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai  
189 <sup>dc</sup> Department of Plant & Microbial Biology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul. MN 55108  
190 <sup>dd</sup> Bell Museum, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108  
191 <sup>de</sup> Forestry and Environment Division, Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Malaysia  
192 <sup>df</sup> Institute of Arts and Sciences, Far Eastern University, Philippines  
193 <sup>dg</sup> Key Laboratory of Vegetation Restoration and Management of Degraded Ecosystems, South  
194 China Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou  
195 <sup>dh</sup> The Key Laboratory of Conservation Biology for Endangered Wildlife of the Ministry of  
196 Education, College of Life Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou  
197 <sup>di</sup> Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Puerto Rico, Puerto Rico

## 1 **Abstract**

2

3 ForestGEO is a network of scientists and long-term forest dynamics plots (FDPs) spanning the  
4 Earth's major forest types. ForestGEO's mission is to advance understanding of the diversity and  
5 dynamics of forests and to strengthen global capacity for forest science research. ForestGEO is  
6 unique among forest plot networks in its large-scale plot dimensions, censusing of all stems  $\geq 1$  cm  
7 in diameter, inclusion of tropical, temperate and boreal forests, and investigation of additional  
8 biotic (e.g., arthropods) and abiotic (e.g., soils) drivers, which together provide a holistic view of  
9 forest functioning. The 71 FDPs in 27 countries include approximately 7.33 million living trees  
10 and about 12,000 species, representing 20% of the world's known tree diversity. With more than  
11 1,300 published papers, ForestGEO researchers have made significant contributions in two  
12 fundamental areas: species coexistence and diversity, and ecosystem functioning. Specifically,  
13 defining the major biotic and abiotic controls on the distribution and coexistence of species and  
14 functional types and on variation in species' demography has led to improved understanding of  
15 how the multiple dimensions of forest diversity are structured across space and time and how this  
16 diversity relates to the processes controlling the role of forests in the Earth system. Nevertheless,  
17 knowledge gaps remain that impede our ability to predict how forest diversity and function will  
18 respond to climate change and other stressors. Meeting these global research challenges requires  
19 major advances in standardizing taxonomy of tropical species, resolving the main drivers of forest  
20 dynamics, and integrating plot-based ground and remote sensing observations to scale up estimates  
21 of forest diversity and function, coupled with improved predictive models. However, they cannot  
22 be met without greater financial commitment to sustain the long-term research of ForestGEO and  
23 other forest plot networks, greatly expanded scientific capacity across the world's forested nations,  
24 and increased collaboration and integration among research networks and disciplines addressing  
25 forest science.

26

27

28 *Keywords:* capacity strengthening, demography, forest plots, network science, species diversity,  
29 tree growth and mortality, tropical forests.

30

## 31 **Introduction**

32

33 Forests store about half of the world's carbon, take up 25% of all new anthropogenic carbon  
34 emissions (Keenan and Williams 2018), and control climatic and hydrological cycles (Immerzeel  
35 et al. 2020). They house about 50% of the world's known species, providing medicines, food, and  
36 fuel for a huge fraction of humanity. Forests are in the midst of the greatest transformation since  
37 the last great extinction through the combined anthropogenic effects of deforestation, forest  
38 degradation through overexploitation, the deposition of pollutants, invasive pests, and climate and  
39 atmospheric change. How forests respond to the Anthropocene has profound consequences for life  
40 on Earth, yet understanding these responses has proved challenging due to the diversity and  
41 complexity of forest ecosystems and the long timeframes over which forests develop and change.  
42 The current state of knowledge of the underlying processes regulating species distributions,  
43 population and community dynamics, and the resistance and resilience of forests to perturbations  
44 provides an incomplete basis from which to predict the future of the world's forest biomes. Even  
45 the fundamental biology of many forest species is unknown, yet is essential to predicting and  
46 mitigating anthropogenic impacts on forests at a global scale.

47 The diversity, structure and functioning of forests vary across a wide range of spatial and  
48 temporal scales and involve a plethora of interacting species beyond trees. In other words, while  
49 trees make up forests, forests are more than trees. Tree species can persist across diverse climatic  
50 conditions, and forests vary by orders of magnitude in species diversity across the Earth. The same  
51 area that supports roughly ten tree species in a *Sequoia* forest can support over a thousand in  
52 Borneo or the Amazon (Lee et al. 2002, Duque et al. 2017). Yet, we remain ignorant of how the  
53 ecological niches and demographic characteristics of the vast majority of tree species determine  
54 their specific geographic and environmental distributions, which underpin patterns of diversity.  
55 The dynamics of tree growth, mortality, and recruitment vary dramatically among forests and  
56 through time. Abiotic environmental drivers, like climate, soil, and disturbances, and biotic  
57 drivers, involving interactions with other taxa, shape the vital rates of trees, which ultimately  
58 determine carbon, water, and nutrient storage and fluxes. Yet, these processes and interactions  
59 remain obscure. Nowhere is this more true than in tropical forests, where knowledge of the myriad  
60 ways in which animals and microbiota interact with trees, and consequently forests, is in its  
61 infancy. A challenge for defining these interaction networks is not only identifying which taxa live  
62 in forests, but also quantifying what ecological roles they play and when, and with what impact.  
63 Integrating the entire forest biota into a holistic understanding of forest ecosystem function is  
64 daunting, yet is key to predicting the resilience or vulnerability of forests to change.

65 The enormous challenge for forest science is determining the controls on the structure,  
66 function, and diversity of forests across large spatial and temporal scales and linking these  
67 processes to the functioning of the Earth system. Meeting this challenge requires integration across  
68 levels of organization from the molecular to the ecosystem levels using detailed standardized data  
69 collected around the world and over decades to centuries. While ambitious, this knowledge is  
70 essential for building better predictive models and improving space-borne observation platforms

71 that can be used to monitor and predict the future of forested biomes globally. Such advances  
72 cannot be accomplished by a single research group, institution, or even country, but rather require  
73 a distributed network of scientists, representing many disciplines, and engaging in long-term  
74 collaborations, who are committed to capacity-strengthening in forest science globally and to  
75 seeking long-term financial support for these essential endeavors. The mission of the Forest Global  
76 Earth Observatory (ForestGEO) is to advance these fundamental and pressing research and  
77 training needs.

## 78 **ForestGEO**

79  
80 The Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO), administered by the Smithsonian Tropical  
81 Research Institute (STRI), is a worldwide network of scientists and long-term forest dynamics  
82 plots (FDPs) spread across Earth's major forests. ForestGEO is dedicated to understanding the  
83 diversity and dynamics of forests and strengthening global capacity for forest science research.  
84 Since 1980, the network has grown from a single forest research site in Panama into a collaboration  
85 of 71 sites in 27 tropical and temperate countries, including more than 100 partner organizations.  
86 ForestGEO collects standardized data on the recruitment, growth, and death of over 7 million trees  
87 of 12,000 unique species, approximately 20% of the world's known tree diversity (Table 1, Figure  
88 1). ForestGEO FDPs represent a very wide range of environmental conditions spanning ever-wet  
89 tropical to arid to boreal climates (see Figure 1 in Anderson-Teixeira et al. 2015). FDPs are  
90 distributed across gradients of soil fertility, topography, rainfall intensity and seasonality, and  
91 disturbance regimes. ForestGEO FDPs in the tropics are largely confined to intact "old-growth"  
92 forests. In the temperate zone, many ForestGEO FDPs are in regenerating forests of 30-150 years  
93 post-disturbance, though several in the western US are in old-growth forests (e.g., Yosemite).  
94 ForestGEO FDPs are also representative of global woody plant diversity. A recent analysis of 63  
95 of the 71 FDP species lists found that 59% of all plant families and 35% of all plant genera that  
96 contain woody species are found within the network (Figure 2). The sample of families and genera  
97 is unbiased with respect to the phylogeny of woody plants (Monks, Davies & Swenson,  
98 *unpublished data*). However, certain woody families are underrepresented by ForestGEO, for  
99 example, families constrained to geographical areas not currently sampled by ForestGEO (e.g.,  
100 Nothofagaceae and Araucariaceae), or primarily herbaceous families (e.g., Caryophyllaceae and  
101 Geraniaceae). A future goal of ForestGEO is to expand sampling to underrepresented areas,  
102 including Australia, southern Africa, and southern South America.

103 Each ForestGEO FDP is in a protected area and is led and managed by one or more  
104 principal investigators based at host-country partner organizations. Principal scientists in each  
105 country lead the regular, long-term data collection, implement all research activities at the sites,  
106 and represent their sites in network-wide discussions and collaborative activities. An FDP is a  
107 large-scale forest plot with all stems  $\geq 1$  cm in diameter measured approximately every five years  
108 to obtain longitudinal data on the growth, survival, and recruitment of individual trees. This  
109 standardized protocol is essential to addressing a wide range of research questions in ecology,



110 evolution, and conservation because it captures much of the tree lifespan, as well as temporal  
111 variation in the community structure of forests across relatively large contiguous areas that  
112 encompass local diversity gradients.

113 The pivotal strengths of the ForestGEO network are: (1) standardized data and  
114 measurement protocols – the core tree data and ancillary data are collected and managed  
115 uniformly, facilitating data sharing and comparative analyses among sites; and (2) the  
116 strengthening of global scientific capacity – by engaging forest researchers from across all  
117 participating nations, the network has been able to train hundreds of scientists and build an  
118 integrated, collaborative community of forest ecologists in some of the most diverse and threatened  
119 forests in the world. ForestGEO researchers have published more than 1,300 papers that have made  
120 significant contributions and novel discoveries in forest science (Figure 3, *Section 5*). In this paper,  
121 we provide a brief overview of the ForestGEO network, including a short history, a description of  
122 the measurements and protocols implemented in the FDPs, a description of our approach to  
123 training, strengthening capacity and creating opportunities for early-career scientists, a brief  
124 overview of some of the key scientific achievements of the network, and suggestions for future  
125 priorities, opportunities, and challenges in forest science.

## 126 **1. History**

127  
128 The first large-scale forest dynamics plot was initiated on Barro Colorado Island (BCI) in Panama  
129 by Stephen Hubbell and Robin Foster in 1980 (Figure 1). The goals of this novel and ambitious  
130 undertaking were clearly stated in the first recensus grant proposal for the BCI 50-ha plot:

131  
132 "The long-range objectives are: (1) to obtain statistically adequate samples of many tropical  
133 trees species for horizontal life table analysis using large cohorts of individually tagged  
134 and mapped trees; (2) to document changes in forest composition directly with a sufficient  
135 data base to test competing equilibrium and non-equilibrium theories of tropical forest  
136 organization and dynamics; and (3) to provide detailed maps of tree populations to other  
137 researchers interested in diverse aspects of the biology of tropical tree species."

138 excerpt from: S.P. Hubbell & R.B. Foster (1982) unpublished NSF proposal "*The*  
139 *Structure and Dynamics of a Tropical Forest: A Demographic Analysis.*"

140  
141 FDPs in Pasoh Forest Reserve, Malaysia, and Mudumalai Wildlife Sanctuary, India, soon followed  
142 through partnerships with the Forest Research Institute of Malaysia and the Indian Institute of  
143 Sciences, respectively. In 1989, Peter Ashton, then Professor at the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard  
144 University, orchestrated a USAID and NSF-supported meeting of regional forest scientists in  
145 Bangkok, Thailand, during which a plan for expanding FDPs across edaphic, rainfall and  
146 biogeographic gradients within tropical Asia was discussed (Ashton et al. 1999). Recognizing the  
147 rapid transformation of Asian forests going on at that time, a key motivation for the meeting was

148 to develop the knowledge base needed to improve sustainable forest management of Asia's rapidly  
149 dwindling forests (Anon. 1989), or, to:

150  
151 “design a plan for utilizing the available scientific skills of the region in conducting policy-  
152 oriented research at the minimum level required for sound policy decision-making,  
153 covering the major tropical forest ... ecosystems of the region.”

154 excerpt from: Anon. (1989 unpublished USAID-NSF workshop report). “*Funding*  
155 *Priorities for Research Towards Effective Sustainable Management of Biodiversity*  
156 *Resources in Tropical Asia.*”

157  
158 Over the next few years, through opportunistic funding successes and the inspired leadership of  
159 site principal investigators, FDPs were initiated in Sri Lanka (Sinharaja), Thailand (Huai Kha  
160 Khaeng), Singapore (Bukit Timah), the Philippines (Palanan), and an additional site in East  
161 Malaysia (Lambir Hills). It was a busy time for plot development, with new sites also being  
162 established in Africa and the Neotropics (Figure 4). Researchers in Cameroon (Korup), the  
163 Democratic Republic of Congo (Ituri), Puerto Rico (Luquillo) and Ecuador (Yasuní) all initiated  
164 FDPs. While international partnerships were important for all of these developments, highly  
165 motivated individual researchers were critical to this phase of plot expansion.

166 In 1990, STRI and the Arnold Arboretum (AA) jointly established the Center for Tropical  
167 Forest Science (CTFS) to coordinate the expanding network of FDPs and help advance science  
168 and training opportunities among research partners. A series of graduate students working on the  
169 newly established plots helped drive the science output – perhaps serendipitously, this turned out  
170 to be a key element in the future strength of the network, as many of these students and fellows  
171 became future plot PIs and science leaders. In 1993, CTFS established a regional headquarters in  
172 Singapore at the National Institute for Education of Nanyang Technological University. The  
173 partnership with NTU, now through the recently formed Asian School of the Environment,  
174 continues today. Ashton (2014, 2020) provides a thorough overview of research achievements of  
175 the CTFS network in Asia in a physical and biogeographic context.

176 Throughout the 1990s, CTFS expanded, developing new partnerships across the tropics,  
177 obtaining funding to establish new plots in southern Thailand and Manaus, Brazil, and to recensus  
178 earlier established plots (BCI and Pasoh). An overview of the expanding network was published  
179 in 2004 (Losos & Leigh 2004). Despite the progress, the challenge of securing continued funding  
180 remained. In 2003, STRI and the Arnold Arboretum, with critical support from the Frank Levinson  
181 Family Foundation, signed a new memorandum of understanding in which the institutions would  
182 co-fund the expanding CTFS network, with the Arboretum forming the CTFS-AA Asia program  
183 based at Harvard, and STRI continuing to lead the global network. Confirmed annual funding led  
184 to much greater security for core CTFS staff, continued plot censuses, funds for postdoctoral  
185 fellowships and grants to early-career scientists, and supported the implementation of field courses  
186 in the Asian tropics, which helped develop further interest in long-term ecological research across  
187 that region.

188 In 2005, the headquarters of CTFS moved from AA to STRI in Panama. With the  
189 encouragement and financial support of the Frank Levinson Family Foundation, CTFS embarked  
190 on a scientific review. A series of workshops were held with the goal of defining broad new  
191 scientific directions for the network. Specifically, the idea was to build on the core tree censuses  
192 to transform CTFS into a global forest observatory, providing a more holistic view of all the biotic  
193 and abiotic drivers that combine to produce a functioning forest. The idea was that the FDPs had  
194 collected a small fraction of the data that were needed to transform forest science into a more  
195 predictive endeavor, encompassing interdisciplinary ecology, evolution, conservation, and Earth  
196 system functioning. Workshops covered abiotic conditions (e.g., climate and soils), paleobiology,  
197 ecosystem processes (including carbon dynamics and functional traits), genetic approaches, and  
198 monitoring of several animal groups (e.g., arthropods and vertebrates). Several of these initiatives  
199 were rapidly implemented across the network with standardized data collection (*Section 3*). In  
200 2007, the central Smithsonian endorsed the network, and by 2010 helped secure core federal funds  
201 from the US government in support of the network's expanding science mission.

202 Building on a workshop held in Beijing in 2004, ForestGEO also began a formal  
203 partnership with the Chinese Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network (CForBio) in 2008. By this  
204 time, several plots in China were already established and participating in the ForestGEO network,  
205 including in the far south (Xishuangbanna) and north (Changbaishan). The CForBio team was  
206 rapidly building new plots to sample the great diversity of forest types across China (Feng et al.  
207 2016). Matching grants in 2010 from the NSF-US, under the International Research Coordination  
208 Networks program, and NSF-China led to a series of analytical workshops in both countries that  
209 greatly advanced collaborative activities among participating researchers.

210 The network expanded beyond the tropics beginning in 2007 (Figure 4). The initial impetus  
211 for this expansion was through an initiative called the Global Climate Partnership, led and funded  
212 by HSBC Bank, UK. This led to the establishment of seven plots in North America and the UK.  
213 Soon after that, the core protocol, designed largely to answer questions about high-biodiversity  
214 tropical forests, proved valuable in extra-tropical forests. Long-term forest research employing  
215 large plots with measurements of small stems was a new approach in temperate forest monitoring.  
216 The initial seven temperate forest sites have grown to more than 25, including some of the highest  
217 biomass forests (Western US). Many of these new plots leveraged existing data by collocation with  
218 plots or other organized national environmental networks (e.g., NEON and LTER in the US). The  
219 expansion also enabled new synthetic investigations comparing forests at the global scale, in which  
220 fundamental ecological hypotheses (e.g., the diversity-ecosystem function relationship, the  
221 strength of species coexistence mechanisms, and determinants of life history variation), could be  
222 tested with comparable data, in many cases for the first time.

223 The Frank Levinson Family Foundation endowed the directorship of ForestGEO in 2013.  
224 This was timely as the Arnold Arboretum decided to end its support of the Asia program. To  
225 emphasize its global reach and expanding research scope, the network was renamed the Forest  
226 Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO). Its mission is to build and sustain a global network of  
227 large-scale forest dynamics plots and associated research activities to monitor and understand the

228 ecology, evolution, and conservation of forest biodiversity and its impact on Earth's functioning,  
229 to strengthen science capacity globally through professional training, and to develop scientific  
230 input for forest policy, conservation and management. Today, the strength of the network is a direct  
231 outcome of the dual mission of science and training. The continued commitment to early-career  
232 scientists across the world helps ensure continuity of the science and the individual FDPs, but is  
233 also the key source of the innovations required to tackle the challenges confronting forests.

## 234 **2. The ForestGEO Forest Dynamics Plot: core plot methods**

235  
236 A ForestGEO Forest Dynamics Plot (FDP) consists of a surveyed and mapped grid of typically  
237 16-50 hectares (average = 26 ha) in which all woody stems with a diameter  $\geq 1$  cm at 1.3 m above  
238 the ground (diameter at breast height, DBH) are mapped, measured, and identified to species  
239 (Manokaran et al. 1990, Condit 1998). This census is repeated at approximately five-year intervals,  
240 during which all prior stems are remeasured or recorded as dead, and all new stems  $\geq 1$  cm in DBH  
241 (recruits) are tagged, mapped, measured, and identified as in the original census. ForestGEO FDPs  
242 now collectively cover 1,833 ha (Table 1). In several sites, FDPs are smaller than 16-ha due either  
243 to local funding limitations or to constraints on available forest area, however, all other aspects of  
244 standardized data collection are followed in these sites. There have been 189 censuses among the  
245 71 plots. The oldest plot, BCI, is in the midst of its ninth census. There are approximately 7.33  
246 million living trees within the FDPs today, and there have been over 21 million tree measurements  
247 across the plots. To publicize the core plot data and provide detailed site-specific information on  
248 local field protocols, climate and soils, species identification, and other species information, many  
249 sites have published books describing the FDPs (Manokaran et al. 1993, Lee et al. 2003, Thomas  
250 et al. 2003, Gunatilleke et al. 2004, LaFrankie et al. 2005, Co et al. 2006, Su et al. 2007, Cao et al.  
251 2008, Bunyavejchewin et al. 2009, Chen et al. 2009, Li et al. 2010, Chang et al. 2012, Ding et al.  
252 2013, Cao et al. 2013, Lu et al. 2016, Wang et al. 2016, Xu et al. 2017, Yang et al. 2019). Several  
253 of these are also published online ([https://forestgeo.si.edu/research-](https://forestgeo.si.edu/research-programs/publications/forestgeo-stand-books)  
254 [programs/publications/forestgeo-stand-books](https://forestgeo.si.edu/research-programs/publications/forestgeo-stand-books)).

255 Species identification is one of the key imperatives in the ForestGEO methodology. A core  
256 goal of the FDP is to document the population dynamics of as many species as possible, so the  
257 FDPs all go to great lengths to identify their constituent species as thoroughly as possible using  
258 extensive collections, comparisons with herbarium specimens, and consultation with taxonomists  
259 specializing in particular taxonomic groups. While most species can be identified, 10-15% of  
260 species, including mostly rare tropical species that account for a small fraction of stems in the  
261 tropical FDPs, remain classified as distinct morphospecies but without a confirmed binomial.

### 262 **(i) Why large plots with the inclusion of small stems?**

263  
264 The ForestGEO FDP methodology pioneered two relatively unique features in forest science: the  
265 very large-scale plot dimensions, and the complete censusing of all stems of at least 1 cm DBH.

266 Previous plot-based studies in tropical forests mostly employed plots of either 1 ha in area using a  
267 DBH cut-off of 10 cm, or 0.1 ha with  $DBH \geq 2.5$  cm (e.g., Gentry 1988, Malhi et al. 2002). Both  
268 of these methodological innovations have significant logistical and financial consequences: a 50-  
269 ha plot including all stems  $\geq 1$  cm DBH may include 250,000-450,000 stems, compared to an  
270 average of about 500 stems  $\geq 10$  cm DBH in a typical 1-ha plot. Establishing a 50-ha plot in diverse  
271 tropical forest with  $\sim 350,000$  stems typically takes a team of 15 technicians two years, followed  
272 by at least two years for expert plant identification, and data processing and quality control. While  
273 measuring, mapping and sampling small stems is straightforward, albeit laborious, the  
274 identification of small stems, which are often juveniles of locally occurring adults, can be  
275 exceedingly challenging, as trees often undergo dramatic changes in morphology as they grow  
276 from the understory to the canopy. However, sampling larger areas and including small stems  
277 provides a series of benefits for sampling forest diversity, dynamics, and demography.

278 The spatial and temporal scale, and intensity of sampling in the ForestGEO FDP is  
279 especially valuable for studying population and community ecology in forests. The dynamics of  
280 most forests are, barring episodic disturbances, slow. Mortality rates typically range from 1-4%  
281 per year among forests, so detecting trends in mortality rates, and especially differential trends  
282 among species, size classes or habitats requires lots of individuals to be monitored over long  
283 periods (McMahon et al. 2019). Most tropical tree species are rare, so large plots are needed to  
284 achieve the goal of building robust demographic data for a large fraction of species at a particular  
285 site. Furthermore, a considerable portion of woody plant diversity is present at diameters less than  
286 10 cm, so studying trees down to 1 cm is important for capturing forest diversity (Memiaghe et al.  
287 2016). Large plots are useful for advancing understanding of how disturbances influence the  
288 diversity and dynamics of tropical forests since many (though certainly not all) disturbances in  
289 tropical forests occur on the scale of 0.25–5 hectares (e.g., tree falls, landslides, lightning, etc.).  
290 Large mapped plots provide a valuable resource for exploring the spatial patterns in forests,  
291 especially for large-diameter trees that occur at relatively low densities (Lutz et al. 2018, Engone-  
292 Obiang et al. 2019). Many of the mechanisms posited to maintain diversity and drive patterns of  
293 species turnover are spatial, including abiotic components of edaphic, hydrologic and light  
294 variation, and interactions among neighboring con- and heterospecific individuals. The inclusion  
295 of small stems (1–10 cm DBH) provides a window into the early life stages of tree species, a stage  
296 at which mortality rates are often higher and individuals are more sensitive to competition,  
297 disturbance and biotic effects. Finally, large plots have a large footprint and can be more easily  
298 "seen" from satellites and other remote observing instruments (Réjou-Méchain et al. 2014).

## 299 **(ii) Data management & analysis**

300  
301 ForestGEO designed and developed a complete data system for storing and managing tree census  
302 results for the network. The ForestGEO database model follows theories of data normalization by  
303 minimizing data redundancy, which can lead to anomalies during updates and is the source of  
304 many common errors (Condit et al. 2014). The database runs on the open-source MySQL server,

305 with interfaces written in HTML, PHP and JavaScript for online access via web portals. The data  
306 entry interface can be configured to mirror the field forms for plots using paper forms. The system  
307 screens and uploads data to the MySQL plot database. All data are in a standard format, facilitating  
308 sharing of software for data analysis, and fostering comparative data analysis (e.g., LaManna et al.  
309 2017, Lutz et al. 2018). Metadata and version control are maintained to ensure that researchers can  
310 reliably reference datasets. A data request system is linked to the database, including descriptions  
311 of the terms and conditions for data access, and details for acknowledgement  
312 (<https://forestgeo.si.edu/explore-data>). Several plot datasets are now publicly accessible (e.g.,  
313 Bourg et al. 2013) and many other FDP data are available following acceptance of the terms and  
314 conditions for data sharing, as described on the site pages of the ForestGEO website. To ensure  
315 uniform data quality across the partner sites, ForestGEO provides technical support to plot PIs.  
316 The protocol for this system is detailed in the "*ForestGEO Database Handbook*"  
317 ([https://forestgeo.si.edu/sites/default/files/database\\_handbook-final.pdf](https://forestgeo.si.edu/sites/default/files/database_handbook-final.pdf)).

318 To facilitate comparative data analysis across FDPs, ForestGEO developed a package of  
319 analytical software in the R programming language designed specifically for use with census data  
320 from the FDPs (Condit 2012). The package is available as either the "CTFS R package"  
321 <https://forestgeo.si.edu/explore-data/r-package-0> or the "fgeo" R package  
322 <https://forestgeo.si.edu/explore-data/r-package>. The package includes many tutorials, broadening  
323 access to a wide range of data analytical tools and facilitating research by forest scientists with a  
324 broad range of levels of experience with analyses of large datasets. Long used in the annual  
325 network-wide analytical workshops (*Section 4*), the CTFS R package has also served as an entry  
326 point for many young forest scientists to learn to develop the bespoke statistical analytical code  
327 necessary for testing ecological hypotheses within and across the network. Although the functions  
328 in the CTFS R package are designed for use with ForestGEO data, the source code for each  
329 function is freely available, and can be modified to accommodate any data format, which enables  
330 capacity building in forest science beyond the ForestGEO network.

### 331 **3. ForestGEO as a platform for forest research**

332  
333 The transition from CTFS to ForestGEO was motivated by the idea that a full understanding of the  
334 functioning of forests requires an integrated set of observations with greater biotic coverage,  
335 coupled with detailed measurements of the abiotic drivers that strongly influence forests. This led  
336 to greatly expanded data collection at many ForestGEO FDPs. The focus of the expanded field  
337 data collection program included sampling animal and microbial diversity, monitoring life stages  
338 from flowering through seedling recruitment, quantifying components of carbon stocks and fluxes,  
339 using genome-based approaches to forest diversity and lineage interactions, as well as detailed  
340 assessment of climatic and edaphic variation within sites. As with the core plot censuses, protocols  
341 for expanded data collection were standardized to facilitate local, regional and global comparative  
342 analyses. Here we present some examples of data being collected across the FDPs that are opening  
343 new avenues of forest science discovery. Full descriptions of these and other standardized

344 protocols and their implementation across ForestGEO FDPs are described in Anderson-Teixeira  
345 et al. (2015) and available on the ForestGEO website (<https://forestgeo.si.edu/protocols>; see also).

### 346 **(i) Arthropods**

347  
348 Arthropods influence key forest functions, including nutrient cycling, seed predation and dispersal,  
349 herbivory, and pollination. Given recent concerns about global insect decline (Sánchez-Bayo &  
350 Wyckhuys 2019; Wagner 2020), high quality data on the population dynamics of tropical  
351 arthropods are urgently needed to understand their responses to global changes and the functional  
352 implications for their ecosystems (Basset & Lamarre 2019; Wagner 2020). In 2009, ForestGEO  
353 developed a standardized protocol (<https://forestgeo.si.edu/node/145661/>) for monitoring common  
354 arthropod species with methods that can be applied consistently across the network. The protocol  
355 is now implemented in seven tropical FDPs representing a rare effort to monitor key arthropod  
356 assemblages in tropical forests (Lamarre et al. 2020; WWF, 2020). Monitoring data now represent  
357 more than 600,000 records, >6,000 species, 14,000 DNA barcoding sequences, and 100,000  
358 vouchered specimens. Taxonomic resolution is improved by building reference collections and  
359 DNA barcode libraries (e.g., Basset et al. 2015). Species traits (e.g., thermal tolerance) are being  
360 used to delineate functional groups to monitor population trends and overcome the challenge of  
361 studying thousands of individual species (Basset et al. 2017, Lamarre et al. 2020). DNA  
362 metabarcoding is also being used to monitor community dynamics (Gripenberg et al. 2019). The  
363 data are being used for a wide range of monitoring and interactions studies, including responses  
364 of insects to climate change (Basset et al. 2013, 2015, 2017; Lucas et al. 2016; Luk et al. 2019),  
365 and studies of seed predators (Basset et al. 2018, Gripenberg et al. 2019).

### 366 **(ii) Completing the tree life cycle from reproduction to recruitment**

367  
368 The core monitoring program at all ForestGEO FDPs focuses on trees and begins when a tree  
369 enters the 1 cm DBH size class and ends when the tree dies. Key aspects of a tree's life-history are  
370 overlooked with this protocol. Stems of 1 cm DBH may be decades old (Delissio et al. 2002), key  
371 processes affecting individual survival may be most intense in the smallest size classes, and  
372 patterns of flowering, fruiting, seed dispersal, germination, and recruitment strongly affect when  
373 and where species will occur. In 1987, the collection of data on seed production, seedling  
374 recruitment and seedling growth and survival was initiated on BCI to build complete life cycle  
375 information for tropical tree species using seedling plots and seed traps (Wright et al. 2005,  
376 <https://forestgeo.si.edu/research-programs/flowers-seeds-and-seedlings-initiative>). The  
377 implementation of these field protocols, coupled with intensive monitoring of the reproductive  
378 status of thousands of individual trees, has led to a new generation of studies that have integrated  
379 all life stages from seed to adult to evaluate: (1) relationships between vital rates and functional  
380 traits across the tree life cycle (Iida et al. 2014, Visser et al. 2016), (2) costs and benefits of dioecy  
381 (Bruijning et al. 2017), (3) the impact of lianas on population growth rates of host trees (Visser et

382 al. 2017), and (4) the processes that underlie liana-tree interactions including colonization,  
383 shedding, baseline mortality, and increased lethality (Visser et al. 2018). Today 26 FDPs have  
384 established seed/seedling monitoring programs using these standardized protocols (e.g., Chen et  
385 al. 2010, Chen et al. 2018, Jin et al. 2018).

386 Additional seedling plots have been added to many FDPs to improve spatial coverage and  
387 sample size for early-life stage demographic analyses (e.g., Comita et al. 2010). Seed traps, by  
388 collecting all fallen leaf and reproductive material have also been a source of information on seed  
389 dispersal patterns (Hubbell et al. 1999, Du et al. 2009, Marchand et al. 2020), and the phenology  
390 of reproduction and tissue turnover (e.g., Detto et al. 2018). Repeated observations of individual  
391 trees have also been implemented at ForestGEO FDPs, providing insights into patterns of  
392 vegetative and reproductive phenology (e.g., Williams et al. 2008; Kurten et al. 2018).

### 393 **(iii) Biomass and carbon storage and fluxes**

394  
395 ForestGEO FDP data have provided an excellent resource for quantifying aboveground woody  
396 biomass carbon stocks (AGB), woody productivity and woody mortality fluxes. The core  
397 ForestGEO FDP carbon measurement is tree diameter at breast height (DBH). These  
398 measurements are used in combination with allometric equations and sometimes also  
399 measurements of tree height and wood density, to estimate individual tree biomass (Chave et al.  
400 2014, Réjou- Méchain et al. 2017; Gonzalez-Akre et al. *in review*). Individual tree and stem AGB  
401 are then summed over area to estimate total AGB stocks per area. Repeat census data together with  
402 biomass allometric equations can be used to quantify woody productivity and woody mortality  
403 fluxes at the stand level. Numerous studies have quantified biomass stocks and fluxes in  
404 ForestGEO plots, and their variation over space and time (Chave et al. 2003, Chave et al. 2008,  
405 Valencia et al. 2009, Lin et al. 2012, Yuan et al. 2016, 2019, Zuleta et al. 2017, Ma et al. 2019,  
406 Rutishauser et al. 2019). A key advantage of ForestGEO plots for these studies is that their large  
407 size reduces sampling errors in these biomass stocks and fluxes, sampling errors which remain  
408 substantial even at the 1-ha scale (Wagner et al. 2010, Muller-Landau et al. 2014, Réjou-Méchain  
409 et al. 2014).

410 While woody productivity and mortality can be well characterized with a 5-yearly recensus  
411 interval, their responses to short-term environmental fluctuations, including droughts, storms and  
412 pest outbreaks require more frequent measurements (Lutz 2015, McMahon et al. 2019). To better  
413 characterize the causes and directionality of changes in growth and mortality rates, many  
414 ForestGEO plots have implemented annual or subannual measurements of growth and mortality.  
415 For growth, plots have subsampled trees with dendrometer bands at temporal frequencies ranging  
416 down to weeks (McMahon & Parker 2015) or even minutes (Herrmann et al. 2016), and many  
417 have also developed tree-ring chronologies that provide histories of annual growth over decades  
418 to centuries (e.g., Šamonil et al. 2013; Sniderhan & Baltzer 2016; Alfaro-Sánchez et al. 2017;  
419 Helcoski et al. 2019). For mortality in tropical FDPs, ForestGEO established a protocol for the  
420 assessment of tree damage and death (Arellano, Zuleta & Davies, *in review*), complementing



421 existing surveys in temperate FDPs (Furniss et al. 2020), and currently conducts annual mortality  
422 censuses at more than 10 sites.

423 Because living trees may account for less than half of total ecosystem carbon stocks  
424 (Anderson-Teixeira et al. 2018), and their woody productivity can represent <10% of gross  
425 primary production (Anderson-Teixeira et al. 2016), many FDPs are also censusing carbon stocks  
426 and/or fluxes in soil, fine roots, dead wood and lianas (Ngo et al. 2013, Wright et al. 2015, Gora  
427 et al. 2019, Janik et al. 2019). Annual or subannual measurements of litterfall and soil respiration  
428 are being used to estimate interannual variation in associated carbon fluxes in numerous plots  
429 (Rubio and Detto 2017, Detto et al. 2018).

#### 430 **(iv) Soils and climate**

431  
432 Soils and climate drive many aspects of the diversity and dynamics of forests. ForestGEO has  
433 designed protocols to assess their spatial and temporal variation. At the scale of a 50-ha plot there  
434 is often dramatic spatial heterogeneity in soil properties driven by variation in underlying parent  
435 material, topographic position, and water table depth and flow paths (Figure 5). This edaphic  
436 variation impacts spatial variation in carbon and biomass stocks and fluxes, and strongly affects  
437 species distributions (*Section 5*). ForestGEO has implemented a standard protocol to measure the  
438 physical and chemical properties of soils in over 25 tropical and temperate FDPs  
439 (<https://forestgeo.si.edu/protocols/soils>). Soils are sampled across the entire FDP resulting in maps  
440 of soil chemical and physical characteristics (John et al. 2007). Soil carbon is being quantified in  
441 FDPs to improve our understanding of factors controlling carbon stocks globally, and how this  
442 might change with future shifts in climate. Maps of soils and topography are also being used to  
443 investigate demographic patterns of individual tree species (e.g., Russo et al. 2005).

444 Meteorological data are collected at or near various ForestGEO sites. This information is  
445 fully described in Anderson-Teixeira et al. (2015) and is curated in the open-access ForestGEO  
446 Climate Data Portal (<https://forestgeo.github.io/Climate/>; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4041609), along  
447 with climate records extracted specifically for ForestGEO sites from global databases (e.g., CRU,  
448 Harris et al. 2020). The portal also hosts historical records of atmospheric pollution (CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>  
449 and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, Hoesly et al. 2020).

#### 450 **(v) Genome-based approaches to diversity and dynamics**

451  
452 The identification of species remains an impediment to advancing forest science. For trees, the  
453 available sample may have no flowers or fruits to enable a confirmed identification. For many  
454 other organisms, they may be microscopic (e.g., bacteria, fungi, etc.), they may be taxonomically  
455 very poorly known (e.g., many arthropod groups), and in still many cases, they remain unknown  
456 to science (Cardoso et al. 2017). By harnessing advances in molecular genetics, sequencing  
457 technology, and bioinformatics, DNA barcoding has become a valuable tool for exploring diversity  
458 in ForestGEO FDPs and has potential value in tracking changes in biodiversity (Kress et al. 2009).

459 More than 3,000 tree species in 25 ForestGEO FDPs and 14,000 arthropods in seven FDPs have  
460 now been DNA barcoded (Erickson et al. 2014, Basset et al. 2015). These barcodes are being used  
461 for the identification of tree roots (Jones et al. 2011) and seed predators (Gripenberg et al. 2019),  
462 and to build community-level phylogenies (Swenson et al. 2012, 2013, Erickson et al. 2014).

463 Metabarcoding, barcoding applied to mixed community samples, is now being widely used  
464 in ForestGEO plots to explore plant-animal and plant-microbe interactions, including studies of  
465 mycorrhizal symbionts in dipterocarp-dominated FDPs in Malaysia (Peay et al. 2010, 2015),  
466 microbial diversity along habitat gradients and in relation to the tree community (Russo et al. 2012,  
467 Barberán et al. 2015, Song et al. 2018) and food plant diversity in fecal material of vertebrate  
468 herbivores (Erickson et al. 2017, McShea et al. 2019). The potential to explore the functional basis  
469 of tree interactions with the environment are being further enhanced through the use of genomic  
470 approaches in FDPs (Swenson et al. 2017a), for example, to investigate the genetic basis of drought  
471 tolerance differences among tree species (Swenson et al. 2017b).

#### 472 **4. ForestGEO Education and Training Initiatives**

473  
474 Recognizing the global need for strengthening capacity in forest science, ForestGEO is deeply  
475 committed to training early-career scientists from all over the world spanning diverse professions  
476 in forest science, including researchers, academics, conservation biologists, and managers. By  
477 cultivating new skills, gaining research experience, and increasing accessibility to a network of  
478 data and shared expertise, ForestGEO's education and training initiatives ensure that benefits  
479 derived from the global syntheses are shared among diverse groups. Regular analytical and data  
480 workshops, individual research grants, internships, and postdoctoral fellowships are designed to  
481 train the next generation of scientists who will be the future stewards of Earth's forests.

482 For ForestGEO's hundreds of collaborating researchers around the world, dedicated time  
483 to meet in-person to share research findings, learn new analytical techniques, and discuss multi-  
484 plot research is invaluable. ForestGEO's analytical and data workshops bring researchers together  
485 to define global-scale science questions, address data gaps and network needs, and expand  
486 interdisciplinary collaboration. ForestGEO has convened 17 analytical workshops since 2001,  
487 each bringing together scientists from more than 20 countries (Figure 7). Analytical workshops  
488 consist of hands-on training, seminars, and discussions for approximately 60 individuals over two  
489 to three weeks in a location near a ForestGEO plot. Workshop participants consist of  
490 approximately 70% students and early-career scientists who are grouped by research interest with  
491 a designated mentor to foster research collaborations and receive direct training and support. These  
492 workshops have provided more than 350 early-career scientists with opportunities to gain  
493 international research experience, improve writing and editing skills, and expand data analysis  
494 expertise. The analytical workshops have resulted in peer-reviewed papers in a wide array of  
495 journals spanning disciplines, with 255 workshop-derived papers published since 2011. Many of  
496 the papers are coauthored by participants from multiple plots, reflecting the value of data sharing  
497 and analysis that is fostered through the workshops. The connections cultivated at analytical

498 workshops continue and develop into enduring mentorships and collaborations that allow  
499 workshop attendees to be conduits of research mentorship to others in their home institutions,  
500 exponentially expanding the network's training impact.

501 ForestGEO also holds focused data management workshops that cover a range of topics  
502 from basic data entry techniques and management utilizing Structured Query Language (SQL), to  
503 training in statistical analyses with the R programming language. ForestGEO database staff and  
504 scientists provide individual attention and training to plot managers, early-career scientists, and  
505 students, who then bring this knowledge back to their local plots and institutions.

506 To create direct opportunities for graduate students and postdoctoral fellows to conduct  
507 research in ForestGEO sites in collaboration with site Principal Investigators, ForestGEO holds an  
508 annual research grants program. Small grants enable investigations of a wide range of forest  
509 science questions and give opportunities to those who have not previously been involved in the  
510 network to build working relationships with senior scientists and begin long-term collaborations.  
511 These projects benefit the individual's continued training and education, and at the same time  
512 improve the depth of research at individual FDPs. Since 2002, more than 700 researchers have  
513 applied for these competitive grants, and ForestGEO has awarded more than \$1.1 million to 183  
514 individuals. These novel projects give ForestGEO the opportunity to fund innovative research that  
515 advances the strength of the ForestGEO network as a whole.

516 In addition to funding short-term grant projects, ForestGEO supports postdoctoral  
517 fellowships. These are independent research projects designed to answer a specific research  
518 question relevant to ForestGEO's science goals. Between two and five postdoctoral fellows per  
519 year conduct research on a variety of topics related to advancing the science of forest structure and  
520 dynamics, including plant-water relations and forest function, tree mortality, and above-ground  
521 biomass dynamics.

522 ForestGEO is also committed to training undergraduate students in learning new skills and  
523 gaining access to a network of data and shared expertise. In addition to training fellows,  
524 ForestGEO offers internships in fieldwork, data analysis, or data management at network sites or  
525 at ForestGEO offices. ForestGEO is committed to training interns from countries with developing  
526 forest science capacity, stationing them at plots with opportunities to develop their skills and  
527 expertise. Strengthening capacity through analytical and data workshops, individual research  
528 grants, and internships and fellowships are essential for ensuring network continuity and continued  
529 progress in forest science.

## 530 **5. Advances in Understanding Forest Diversity**

531  
532 The ForestGEO network has made major contributions in two broad areas of forest science: (i)  
533 Species coexistence and diversity, and (ii) Ecosystem processes and forest functioning. Other  
534 articles in this special issue have addressed many key accomplishments of the long-term tropical  
535 forest plot networks in the area of forest functioning and the impacts of altered climatic and  
536 atmospheric conditions (e.g., Phillips et al. *this volume*). Anderson-Teixeira et al. (2015) described

537 how the broad suite of measurements being made at ForestGEO sites is critical for addressing the  
538 complex responses of ecosystem processes and forest functioning to diverse global change drivers.  
539 What has been covered less are the insights gained from ForestGEO FDPs on the processes  
540 regulating species diversity, coexistence and dynamics. Here, we highlight a series of key findings  
541 linked to the biology of species and the role they play in forests. These findings are not exclusively  
542 attributable to the ForestGEO approach, however in several cases it is clear that the unique large-  
543 scale sampling of the FDP has provided critical insights in these areas. The following selection is  
544 also not intended to be comprehensive with respect to the diversity of topics addressed using the  
545 ForestGEO plots. Key areas of species diversity research conducted using ForestGEO FDPs that  
546 are not covered below include: geographical patterns of diversity among plots (e.g., Ren et al.  
547 2013, Ricklefs & He 2016, Sreekar et al. 2018, Chu et al. 2018), patterns of phylogenetic diversity  
548 (e.g., Mi et al. 2012), and relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem function (e.g.,  
549 Chisholm et al. 2013). A complete list of ForestGEO publications is available  
550 (<https://forestgeo.si.edu/research-programs/publications>;  
551 <https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=RFULppIAAAAJ&hl=en>).

#### 552 **(i) Spatial distribution of tree species and functional types with respect to habitat**

553  
554 ForestGEO FDPs have demonstrated that forests consist of highly spatially structured assemblages  
555 of species. The majority of tree species have strongly aggregated spatial distributions. Analyses  
556 across many ForestGEO plots have shown that 30-75% of species in any one plot have spatial  
557 distributions significantly aggregated with respect to soil nutrients, topographic position, moisture  
558 availability, and the history of local disturbance (e.g., Figure 6). This finding, first documented on  
559 BCI and several plots in Asia (Condit et al. 2000, Harms et al. 2001), has now been confirmed in  
560 many plots across the network (Davies et al. 2005, Gunatilleke et al. 2006, Lai et al. 2009, Chuyong  
561 et al. 2011, Lan et al. 2012, Bunyavejchewin et al. 2019). With the obvious exception of the  
562 distribution of pioneer species in relation to light gaps, the details of what specific resource  
563 requirements, e.g., water availability, soil nutrients, wind exposure, constrain species distributions  
564 across these topographic and edaphic gradients remains poorly understood, as many factors covary  
565 (John et al. 2007, Baldeck et al. 2013, Kupers et al. 2019). However, recent studies in BCI and  
566 across the Isthmus of Panama demonstrate the key roles played by soil moisture and phosphorus  
567 availability (Condit et al. 2013, Zemunik et al. 2018). It is an area where experimentation needs to  
568 be coupled with long-term monitoring (Engelbrecht et al. 2007, Baltzer et al. 2008). In addition to  
569 having fundamental consequences for the theories of species diversity in forests, the observation  
570 of pervasive habitat associations in tropical tree species has direct importance for forest  
571 conservation and management. Species-site matching remains an important requirement for  
572 successful ecological restoration by tree planting (Shono et al. 2007).

573 The patchy distribution of species across edaphic and topographic gradients is reflected in  
574 functional differences of the constituent species. Analyses of functional trait variation in species  
575 across many FDPs show strong relationships with underlying edaphic and hydrological conditions.

576 For example, within the extremely diverse 25-ha plot at Yasuní, Ecuador, species with lower  
577 average specific leaf area, smaller leaves and heavier seeds were more common on ridges  
578 (Valencia 2004, 2009, Kraft et al. 2008). Analogous patterns have been observed in many other  
579 large FDPs around the world (e.g., Katabuchi et al. 2012, Liu et al. 2013, Yang et al. 2014).  
580 Patterns of species-level functional trait covariance across habitat gradients provides a way of  
581 pooling hundreds of species into a smaller number of life-history types that can be more easily  
582 parameterized in Earth System Models (Scheiter et al. 2013, Fisher et al. 2015, Koven et al. 2020).  
583

### 584 **(ii) Seed dispersal, seedling recruitment and patterns of spatial aggregation**

585

586 Seed dispersal controls the ability of plants to reach preferred regeneration sites and to colonize  
587 new habitats. The seed trapping program implemented at many ForestGEO FDPs has demonstrated  
588 that mean seed dispersal distance varies by more than an order of magnitude among coexisting  
589 tree species (Muller-Landau et al. 2008). Life-history tradeoffs involving seed dispersal and  
590 fecundity can contribute to species niche differentiation and coexistence (Muller-Landau 2008,  
591 Muller-Landau 2010, Bin et al. 2019). Species dispersal strategies vary not only in distance  
592 distributions but also in directionality, efficacy in reaching canopy gaps, and clumping (Muller-  
593 Landau & Hardesty 2005, Wright et al. 2008, Puerta-Piñero et al. 2013, van Putten et al. 2012).  
594 Limited seed dispersal distances and clumped seed dispersal, often combined with low adult  
595 abundance, mean that many species fail to arrive in any given regeneration site (Hubbell et al.  
596 1999; Li et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2014), which can make overall community dynamics more  
597 stochastic (Hurt & Pacala 1995).

598 Since most seeds of most species fall close to the mother tree (Marchand et al. 2020),  
599 species-level spatial aggregation at local scales is in large part attributable to limited seed dispersal  
600 and is associated with seed dispersal syndromes (Condit et al. 2000, Seidler & Plotkin et al. 2006,  
601 Shen et al. 2009, Shen et al. 2013, Detto & Muller-Landau 2013, Clark et al. 2017, Wang et al.  
602 2018), which are often phylogenetically conserved (Russo et al. 2007). This has significant  
603 consequences for subsequent seedling recruitment and survival (Janzen 1970, Beckman et al.  
604 2012). While habitat suitability may be higher close to a parent tree, high conspecific seedling  
605 density and proximity to a conspecific adult may increase intraspecific competition and exposure  
606 to pest pressure, shifting seedling distributions farther from adults (Janzen 1970, Uriarte et al.  
607 2005, Murphy et al. 2017). Seed dispersal and seedling recruitment dynamics play important roles  
608 in regulating the diversity of tree communities.

### 609 **(iii) Neighborhood composition and the impacts on individual performance**

610

611 Given that most tree species exhibit spatially clumped distributions (Condit et al. 2000), ultimately  
612 owing to limited seed dispersal (Clark et al. 2017), tree local neighborhoods have enormous effects  
613 on recruitment, growth, and survival. Such neighborhood effects are not only mediated by  
614 competitive interactions with other plants, but also strongly by interactions with natural enemies

615 and mutualists. This is particularly the case when trees are surrounded by conspecifics or close  
616 relatives, which are more likely to share natural enemies (Gilbert 2002, Janzen 1970, Connell  
617 1971). Tropical forests are notorious for their long-tailed species rank-abundance distributions  
618 (Whittaker 1965), with most species being rare. A key focus of ForestGEO research has been the  
619 identification of possible mechanisms that facilitate a “rare species advantage”, ensuring no single  
620 tree species can “take over the world” or at least a local tree community on the scale of a 50-ha plot  
621 (Wills et al. 2006). In theory, this occurs when all species have positive invasion growth rates  
622 (Chesson 2000), a condition that is met when each species density affects itself more than it affects  
623 other species (Broekman et al. 2019). Although it has proven challenging to robustly measure such  
624 conspecific density effects (Detto et al. 2019), evidence of conspecific negative density  
625 dependence (CNDD) has been reported in many tropical, subtropical and temperate FDPs for  
626 growth and survival (e.g., Hubbell & Condit 1990, Harms et al. 2000, Uriarte et al. 2004, Jia et al.  
627 2020). In addition, rare species in at least one tropical FDP have been found to have stronger  
628 negative effects on their own growth or survival than common species, suggesting the hypothesis  
629 that rare species are rare at least in part because they suffer more from intraspecific competition or  
630 species-specific natural enemies (Comita et al. 2010, Mangan et al. 2010). However, results from  
631 manipulation studies on the prevalence of negative density dependence are mixed (Song et al. *in*  
632 *review*) and the hypothesis that species suffer more CNDD when rare has been challenged in other  
633 forests (e.g., Liu et al. 2015).

634         There is clearly much to learn regarding how species' populations are regulated and thereby  
635 prevent dominance. For example, soil microorganisms, including fungal and oomycete pathogens,  
636 are thought to be principal mediators of conspecific density dependence (Bever et al. 2015), but  
637 plant-soil feedback studies have shown that being near close relatives is not always bad,  
638 particularly for tree species hosting ectomycorrhizal fungi (Segnitz et al. 2020). While numerous  
639 factors contribute to variation in species' abundances in space and time, the prevalence of negative  
640 density dependence and interspecific variation in its strength has profound consequences for the  
641 maintenance of diversity in forests (Johnson et al. 2012, Stump & Comita 2018, Chisholm & Fung  
642 2020, Comita & Stump 2020).

#### 643 **(iv) Tree life-histories: demographic variation within- and among- forests**

644  
645 The balance between growth, recruitment and mortality largely determines the variation in carbon,  
646 water, and nutrient cycles, affects above and belowground trophic interactions, and underpins the  
647 coexistence and abundance of species. Quantifying how demographic rates vary within- and  
648 among- sites and through time is therefore important for predicting the future of Earth's forests  
649 and their role in regulating the Earth system. The ForestGEO FDPs have enabled the  
650 characterization of growth, mortality, and recruitment rates for thousands of tree species across the  
651 world's forests (e.g., Rüger et al. 2011, Johnson et al. 2018, Russo et al. 2020). Analyses of many  
652 plots have found an interspecific trade-off between a tree species' ability to grow fast in high  
653 resource conditions versus to survive in low resource conditions due to species' differences in

654 allocation (Russo et al. 2008, Wright et al. 2010, Rüger et al. 2018, Medeiros et al. 2019). The  
655 strength of this trade-off varies among edaphic habitats within a forest, reinforcing resource-based  
656 species' distribution patterns (Russo et al. 2008). The trade-off, however, is not equally strong in  
657 all forests. Although it was observed across tropical forests at the global scale, it was not observed  
658 in some forests where the local disturbance regime (e.g., frequent hurricane exposure) appears to  
659 have restricted the adaptive resource allocation strategies to a narrow range of those possible  
660 (Russo et al. 2020). While the growth-mortality trade-off can promote species' coexistence, the  
661 range of species' growth and mortality rates in a forest does not explain the massive differences in  
662 species richness of forests across the tropics: species-rich tropical forests actually have a narrower  
663 range of demographic variation and finer demographic niches than species-poor forests (Condit et  
664 al. 2006).

665         Analysis of the BCI FDP in Panama revealed an additional demographic trade-off  
666 contributing to tree species coexistence, a 'stature–recruitment' trade-off distinguishing between  
667 small-statured species with high recruitment success and large-statured species with low  
668 recruitment success (Rüger et al. 2018). In combination, the growth-mortality and stature-  
669 recruitment trade-offs have been used to accurately predict basal area and compositional changes  
670 during forest succession at BCI (Rüger et al. 2020), illustrating the importance of demographic  
671 data collected in ForestGEO plots for understanding and predicting consequences of human  
672 impacts on forest ecosystems.

673         The extensive demographic data from ForestGEO FDPs offer an alternative route to  
674 simplifying the challenge of high species diversity in dynamic vegetation models (e.g., Koven et  
675 al. 2020). These models typically rely on trait data to characterize plant functional types, a task  
676 that can be challenging in species-rich forests. Tree species across ForestGEO FDPs have been  
677 shown to cluster into demographic groups based on variation in size-dependent growth and  
678 survival. At least for survival, the relative abundance of these demographic groups varies among  
679 plots and is associated with climatic variation (Johnson et al. 2018). Size-structured demographic  
680 groupings are also likely to prove useful for extrapolating demography across unsampled forest  
681 regions to predict ecosystem processes, such as biomass change and response to climate (Johnson  
682 et al. 2018; Needham et al. 2018).

683

#### 684 **(v) Neutral Theory and the maintenance of species diversity in tropical forests**

685

686 Observations from ForestGEO FDPs and other tropical forests inspired the neutral theory of  
687 biodiversity (Hubbell 1979a, 1979b, 2001), which makes the bold assertion that many observed  
688 patterns in nature can be explained without invoking species differences. An initial wave of  
689 enthusiasm for neutral theory stemmed from its successful explanation of empirical patterns such  
690 as species abundance distributions (Volkov et al. 2003) and species–area relationships (Rosindell  
691 & Chisholm 2020). But this enthusiasm waned upon discovery that other predictions of neutral  
692 theory were less accurate, in particular its predictions of temporal change on both ecological and

693 evolutionary timescales (Nee 2005, Leigh 2007, Chisholm & O'Dwyer 2014). A study of 12  
694 ForestGEO sites revealed that fluctuations in the abundance of common tree species over  
695 timescales of 6–28 years were orders of magnitude larger than neutral predictions (Chisholm et al.  
696 2014).

697 These latter limitations of neutral theory are perhaps not surprising, given the lack of  
698 evidence for the species equivalence assumption. Nevertheless, neutral theory has played a  
699 valuable role in ecology in several ways. First, it serves as a null model for detecting when  
700 important non-neutral processes are operating. Second, it provides a rigorous mathematical  
701 framework for making falsifiable predictions about biodiversity patterns—a framework into which  
702 other processes, including non-neutral processes, can be incorporated. Lastly, neutral theory has  
703 shown that diversity of local communities, specifically tree communities in ForestGEO plots, can  
704 easily be maintained by immigration from beyond the plot boundaries, without invoking local  
705 niche stabilizing mechanisms (Condit et al. 2012). This latter point, though often overlooked,  
706 transcends the theory's assumptions about species equivalence and is arguably its greatest  
707 contribution to our understanding of forest dynamics--one that only emerged from the interplay of  
708 theory with ForestGEO data.

#### 709 **(vi) Temporal change in the species composition of old-growth tropical forests**

710  
711 The idea that the species composition of old-growth tropical forests is stable over time has been  
712 comprehensively refuted by the unique long-term data of ForestGEO plots. Forests are surprisingly  
713 dynamic with large changes due to annual mortality rates ranging from 1-4% per year (see Wright,  
714 *this volume*). Analyzing the dynamics of over 4,000 species in 12 ForestGEO FDPs, the largest  
715 and most comprehensive sample of species-level population dynamics from tropical forests across  
716 Africa, Asia and the Americas, Chisholm et al. (2014) found that the abundance of a majority of  
717 species is increasing or decreasing more than expected under a neutral model of community  
718 change. Natural disturbances, including fires, landslides, droughts, and hurricanes are a major  
719 cause of temporal fluctuations in species composition on decadal timescales in many tropical  
720 forests (Hubbell & Foster 1992, Hogan et al. 2018, Bunyavejchewin et al. 2019). Species-level  
721 studies across many ForestGEO FDPs have demonstrated differential sensitivity to drought (e.g.,  
722 Condit et al. 1995, Engelbrecht et al. 2007, Itoh et al. 2012, Zuleta et al. 2017). On century time  
723 scales, dendrochronological studies provide increasing evidence that current community  
724 composition of some ForestGEO FDPs reflects historical disturbance events (e.g., Baker et al.  
725 2005). Despite these cases, in many forests there is no obvious factor driving differential  
726 abundance changes among species (Chisholm et al. 2014). Further work is needed to understand  
727 whether these population changes are linked to specific shifts in climatic conditions, biotic  
728 interactions, or other drivers. Given the potential for climate-related changes at these sites, large  
729 FDPs are a critical baseline for understanding the impact of future natural and anthropogenic  
730 change on species populations.



731 **(vii) Impact of changes in vertebrate populations on forest diversity and dynamics**

732

733 Hunting-induced defaunation is driving major changes in the diversity and functioning of forests  
734 around the world (Dirzo et al. 2014, Malhi et al. 2016, Osuri et al. 2016; Peres et al. 2016).  
735 However, defaunation outcomes are often idiosyncratic and site-specific because of the unique  
736 impacts of locally dominant vertebrates on herbivory, seed dispersal, predation and physical  
737 disturbance at each site (Wright 2003). For example, defaunation in three ForestGEO FDPs in Asia  
738 resulted in substantially different ecological outcomes. Defaunation of seed-dispersers in Khao  
739 Yai, Thailand, has reduced tree recruitment (Chanthorn et al. 2019). In Lambir, Malaysia,  
740 defaunation of seed predators and herbivores led to a dramatic increase in tree recruitment and  
741 shifts in the spatial aggregation of recruiting saplings (Harrison et al. 2013). In Pasoh, Malaysia,  
742 the loss of predators coupled with augmented food supplies from neighboring oil palm plantations  
743 have led to a hyperabundance of wild boar resulting in a 62% decline in sapling abundances (Ickes  
744 et al. 2005, Luskin et al. 2017) and an increase in the relative abundance of lianas, which are less  
745 preferred by wild boar (Luskin et al. 2019). Both the loss of and shifts in the relative abundance  
746 of top predators and megaherbivores results in trophic cascades that are severely affecting both  
747 tropical and temperate forests (Terborgh 2001, Estes et al. 2011, McGarvey et al. 2013). The long-  
748 term impacts of changes in faunal communities need to be explored more widely with increased  
749 standardized monitoring of vertebrate communities coupled with more manipulative experiments.

750 **6. Future Directions and Research Opportunities**

751

752 The ForestGEO network is one of many networks of forest plots that have collectively developed  
753 a powerful system of ground observations with which to monitor the dynamics of the world's  
754 forests and develop a detailed understanding of how the underlying drivers regulate the structure,  
755 composition and dynamics of these critical ecosystems (e.g., Malhi et al. 2002, Sist et al. 2015).  
756 In this section, we describe some of the most urgent challenges preventing a more complete  
757 understanding of the role of forests in the Earth System. While the focus in this discussion is on  
758 tropical forests, the arguments apply similarly to forests outside the tropics. A key element of our  
759 argument is that progress in understanding patterns and processes operating among forests will be  
760 greatly enhanced with much greater collaboration among forest plot networks, as well as broader  
761 integration among science disciplines actively engaged in the study of forests.

762 **(i) Standardizing tree taxonomies for improved understanding of tropical forest species**  
763 **diversity and distributions**

764

765 The total number of tropical tree species remains unknown, and many species remain unnamed by  
766 science (Slik et al. 2016, Cardoso et al. 2017). Moreover, the ecological roles, geographic  
767 distributions, and demographic characteristics of the vast majority of tropical tree species remain  
768 unknown (e.g., Chua et al. 2010, ter Steege et al. 2013, Johnson et al. 2018). Many prior findings

769 on tropical tree species' responses to abiotic and biotic conditions, such as soil nutrient availability  
770 or pathogen incidence, are derived from studies in single forests (e.g., John et al. 2007, Comita et  
771 al. 2010). Comparisons among sites are typically done at higher taxonomic levels (e.g., Esquivel-  
772 Muelbert, et al. 2019) or using functional traits (ter Steege et al. 2006) due to the lack of taxonomic  
773 standardization among sites. Studies at higher taxonomic levels provide more limited insights into  
774 ecological, evolutionary and biogeographic processes. Consequently, very little is known about  
775 the degree of phenotypic (e.g., ecological drought tolerance traits) or genotypic variation across  
776 species' geographic ranges in tropical forests (Brousseau et al. 2019). It therefore remains unclear  
777 whether individual species can adapt to global change in ways that would limit their risks of  
778 extinction (Fauset et al. 2012, Levine et al. 2016). This lack of knowledge impedes basic  
779 understanding of the diversity and distributions of tropical forests, and consequently, of how they  
780 are responding to changing environmental conditions (Baker et al. 2017). A range of new tools in  
781 taxonomy, imaging spectroscopy, genomics, and artificial intelligence and machine learning, will  
782 facilitate taxonomic standardization among plots, as well as greatly accelerating species discovery  
783 (e.g., Boyle et al. 2013, Durgante et al. 2013, Christin et al. 2019, Draper et al. 2020). Resolving  
784 these taxonomic issues would provide essential baseline data on the diversity of tropical forests,  
785 the distributions of individual species, and is fundamental to addressing how species composition  
786 in tropical forests is changing.

## 787 **(ii) Resolving the main drivers of forest dynamics**

788  
789 A robust understanding of how forest dynamics depend on environmental drivers like climate, soil  
790 properties, disturbance, and interactions with other taxa, such as seed-dispersing animals, is a  
791 critical precursor to predicting the future of forests under global change. Studies based on clusters  
792 of plots have documented patterns at local to regional scales, but results sometimes diverge across  
793 studies. For example, within the tropics some studies have found that forest biomass increases with  
794 soil fertility and others found that it decreases (Lewis et al. 2009, Schiatti et al. 2016, Laurance et  
795 al. 1999, Slik et al. 2010, Muller-Landau et al. 2020). Similarly, some studies have found elevated  
796 tree mortality in dry years (Phillips et al. 2010, Zuleta et al. 2017), and others in wet years or  
797 seasons (Aubry-Kientz et al. 2015, Fontes et al. 2018). Evidence for recent directional changes in  
798 tropical forest dynamics, potentially due to direct and indirect anthropogenic effects, is also mixed.  
799 Physiology-based hypotheses propose that rising atmospheric carbon dioxide and other aspects of  
800 global change should increase tropical forest productivity, turnover, and biomass (Lewis et al.  
801 2009). Consistent with this hypothesis, regional plot networks in Africa (Lewis et al. 2013), Asia  
802 (Qie et al. 2017) and Amazonia (Brienen et al. 2015) have found evidence for a substantial carbon  
803 sink in tropical forests. However, whether these plot networks are representative has been debated  
804 (Wright 2013, McMichael et al. 2017), and other studies have found little or no evidence of a  
805 carbon sink (Chave et al. 2008, Clark et al. 2013, Rutishauser et al. 2019, Walker et al. 2020).  
806 Likewise, lianas have been shown to be increasing in some, but not all, tropical forests, a pattern  
807 also hypothesized to be linked to global change (e.g., Phillips et al. 2002, Schnitzer & Bongers

808 2011, Wright et al. 2015). The degree to which these divergent results reflect differences in  
809 methodology, sampling error, or biologically meaningful variation among sites is unclear. This  
810 uncertainty impedes progress in forest science because it reduces the ability to make more  
811 generally applicable inferences that are necessary to advance understanding of the role of forests  
812 in the Earth System. Collaboration among forest plot networks would help resolve these  
813 conflicting findings through the synthesis of much larger datasets and the development and  
814 application of more powerful analytical techniques (e.g., Rutishauser et al. 2019).

815 **(iii) Scaling-up ground plots with remote sensing to assess forest biomass and diversity at a**  
816 **global scale**

817  
818 Projections of the feedbacks between forests and climate require accurate and precise estimates of  
819 current and future forest carbon stocks and fluxes (Friedlingstein et al. 2014). Carbon stocks vary  
820 enormously across tropical and temperate forests in relation to a range of environmental and  
821 anthropogenic drivers. While ground plots have advanced understanding of forest carbon stocks,  
822 they cover far less than 0.01% of forest area (Schimel et al. 2015). Remote-sensing technologies  
823 provide the potential to scale up ground-based observations of forest biomass (Avitabile et al.  
824 2016), structure (Yang et al. 2016, Krůček et al. 2020), productivity (Liu et al. 2017), and mortality  
825 (Clark et al. 2004) from local-to-global scales, and to be a key solution for estimating global carbon  
826 stocks and fluxes, and consequently, forest responses to anthropogenic change (Schimel et al.  
827 2015, Randin et al. 2020). Airborne remote sensing of hyperspectral reflectance can enable  
828 mapping of tree functional composition and diversity (Antonarakis et al. 2014, Asner et al. 2017,  
829 Durán et al. 2019), and quantification of their responses to anthropogenic impacts (Swinfield et al.  
830 2019). Future hyperspectral instruments on satellites will enable global surveys of plant functional,  
831 and perhaps even, species diversity (Schimel et al. 2013).

832 US and international space agencies are making significant investments in space-based  
833 global biomass and diversity monitoring (e.g., GEDI, Dubayah 2019, BIOMASS, Quegan et al.  
834 2019). Despite these investments, there has been limited coordination with coincident ground-  
835 based observations (Chave et al. 2019, but see Schepaschenko et al. 2019), which are essential for  
836 calibration and validation of remotely-sensed biomass and diversity estimates (NASA-ESA-  
837 Smithsonian workshop 2016, Duncanson et al. 2019). ForestGEO and other tropical plot networks  
838 have systematically collected the data needed for this calibration and validation, including data on  
839 tree size, growth, diversity and functional traits. Collaboration between remote sensing scientists  
840 and forest ecologists has the potential to transform our ability to monitor forest biomass and  
841 biodiversity at the global scale.

842 **(iv) Improving predictions of future tropical forest structure and function**

843  
844 Historically, Earth System Models (ESMs) have done a poor job of capturing patterns in tropical  
845 forest structure and dynamics (e.g., Johnson et al. 2016, Muller-Landau et al. 2020), and have

846 diverged greatly in their predictions for tropical forest responses to novel atmospheric and climate  
847 scenarios (Cavaleri et al. 2015). This is in part because most models represented vegetation as a  
848 “big leaf” and represented the diversity of tropical tree species with one or a few plant functional  
849 types (Levine et al. 2016). In recent years, ESMs have increasingly adopted vegetation  
850 demographic approaches that explicitly model size-structured competition, growth, survival, and  
851 reproduction of trees or cohorts of trees of different functional types (Fisher et al. 2018). These  
852 models have succeeded in better reproducing patterns within individual tropical sites, as well as  
853 general trends in among-site variation with precipitation (Seiler et al. 2014, Levine et al. 2016, Xu  
854 et al. 2016, Koven et al. 2020, Longo et al. 2019). Simulations with these models have also  
855 demonstrated the critical importance of better representing tropical tree functional diversity:  
856 models with more functional types have higher productivity and biomass, and greater resilience to  
857 environmental perturbations (Sakschewski et al. 2016, Koven et al. 2020). However, these models  
858 still require further development and improvements to remove large systematic errors (e.g.,  
859 excessively high tree mortality rates, Longo et al. 2019), and to better capture spatial and temporal  
860 variation in tropical forest dynamics in relation to environmental conditions and disturbance  
861 patterns (Powell et al. 2013).

862         Resolving key uncertainties in vegetation demographic models requires strong integration  
863 between model development and testing with plot-based observations of vegetation structure,  
864 dynamics, and composition (Fisher et al. 2018). Specifically, these models, with their higher-  
865 fidelity representation of vegetation structure, need to be evaluated against benchmark data for tree  
866 size distributions, growth, mortality, and recruitment, and their variation with site conditions and  
867 species traits. These are exactly the kinds of data that ForestGEO maintains. Recognizing the need  
868 for model development and integration with field data, the US Department of Energy funded the  
869 “*Next Generation Ecosystem Experiment - Tropics*” in 2015 to build a new process-rich tropical  
870 forest ecosystem model that accurately represents forest structure and function, and provides  
871 robust projections of tropical forest responses to global change. The first version of this model, the  
872 Functionally Assembled Terrestrial Ecosystem Simulator (FATES), was recently published and is  
873 currently undergoing rapid development (Koven et al. 2020).

## 874 **7. Conclusions: Addressing the challenges**

875  
876 Long-term networks of forest plots like ForestGEO, and many others in both tropical and  
877 temperate regions, have created an unprecedented knowledge base, a greatly expanded capacity  
878 for forest science, and a wide range of new data with which to advance the science of forests at a  
879 global scale. Solving the grand scientific challenges outlined in Section 6, requires four interrelated  
880 developments:

881  
882         (i) *Financial support*: In the tropics, more than anywhere, the future of the advances made  
883 by ForestGEO is at serious risk. Successfully maintaining the networks of FDPs, while a minor  
884 fraction of the cost of installing Earth-observing satellites (Phillips et al., *this volume*), is

885 expensive, labor-intensive, logistically complex and remains a major challenge for ForestGEO and  
886 other forest plot networks. Building on the successes of ForestGEO and sustaining the network of  
887 FDPs will require much more secure, long-term financial support. Despite the enthusiasm and  
888 willingness to continue this program, many ForestGEO partner sites have no long-term financial  
889 security for continued data collection. They do not have the resources to engage young scholars to  
890 use the existing data, and very few have the resources to advance and expand the science conducted  
891 at their sites. Without committed support for sites and the research teams that make up these sites,  
892 the long-term sustainability of ForestGEO is in jeopardy.

893  
894 *(ii) Training and capacity strengthening:* The future of the ForestGEO network requires  
895 continued and expanded commitment to strengthening scientific capacity across the network,  
896 particularly in the tropics. Leadership is required in each and every site to maintain and expand  
897 science at the sites. The benefits accrued by networks like ForestGEO need to be more broadly  
898 shared among sites, with increased opportunities for diverse participation in network activities.  
899 Without a concerted effort to fund and train new generations of scientists and science leaders  
900 within the partner countries of the ForestGEO network, the future conservation and sustainable  
901 management of the world's forests is at risk.

902  
903 *(iii) Collaboration among forest plot networks:* We recently estimated that there are  
904 currently in excess of 11,000 forest plots currently maintained around the tropics. These plots are  
905 coordinated through networks that span gradients in geography, elevation, land-use history, and  
906 scientific focus. No plot network individually provides the spatial intensity and extent required for  
907 global inferences about the structure, functioning and diversity of forests. It is therefore essential  
908 that the ground-based networks work together to address the major challenges outlined in Section  
909 6. The US NSF has recently awarded ForestGEO and partner networks with a grant to help advance  
910 a multi-network collaboration through the AccelNet Program. The opportunity exists to rapidly  
911 advance understanding of tropical (and temperate) forest science through network-network  
912 collaboration.

913  
914 *(iv) Interdisciplinary collaboration:* ForestGEO and the other networks of forest plots, on  
915 their own, are not sufficient to address scientific challenges outlined in Section 6. The diversity,  
916 structure, functioning and dynamics of tropical forests are currently being investigated by several  
917 largely independent scientific disciplines: biogeography, ecology, remote sensing, and modeling.  
918 These disciplines operate at different but complementary spatial and temporal scales. Greatly  
919 increased integration among these disciplines is urgently needed to achieve novel inferences about  
920 tropical forests that will accelerate our understanding of their role in the functioning of the Earth  
921 System.

922

## 923 **Acknowledgements**

924

925 ForestGEO acknowledges the incredible contributions of hundreds, perhaps thousands, of highly  
926 skilled and committed field and data technicians without whom the network of FDPs would not  
927 have been possible. We also acknowledge many local, regional and national agencies and  
928 institutions in each of the countries where ForestGEO works for their support in many aspects of  
929 the program, including protecting the valuable forests, making financial contributions, permitting  
930 researchers to access the forests to conduct these studies, and providing critical logistical support  
931 to enable the ambitious FDPs to be realized. ForestGEO acknowledges the role of the many  
932 students who have helped maintain the plots and provide vitality to the program. We acknowledge  
933 the important role of Liz Losos, first Director of CTFS/ForestGEO, Ira Rubinoff, Biff Bermingham  
934 and Matthew Larsen, past directors of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Bob Cook,  
935 past Director of the Arnold Arboretum, Lissy Coley, Jerome Chave and Cristián Samper,  
936 ForestGEO external advisory committee members, and Scott Miller, Kirk Johnson, Steve Monfort,  
937 Tuck Hines and Will Pitt, internal SI advisors. Financial support for the network has been received  
938 from the US National Science Foundation, the Frank H. Levinson Family Foundation, HSBC  
939 Climate Partnership, the Bromley Charitable Trust, the Stapper family, John Swire & Sons Inc.,  
940 the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University, the Next  
941 Generation Ecosystem Experiments-Tropics funded by the US Department of Energy, Office of  
942 Biological and Environmental Research, the MacArthur Foundation, Rockefeller Foundation, the  
943 Phileology Trust, the John Merck Fund, Jacqueline B. Mars, Jennifer and Greg Johnson,  
944 Christopher Davidson and Sharon Cristoph, the National Institute for Environmental Studies,  
945 Japan, and the Smithsonian Institution. Detailed site-specific acknowledgements are included as  
946 Supplementary Materials.

947

## 948 **References**

949

950 Alfaro-Sánchez, R., Muller-Landau, H. C., Wright, S. J., & Camarero, J. J. (2017). Growth and  
951 reproduction respond differently to climate in three Neotropical tree species. *Oecologia*, **184** (2),  
952 531–541.

953

954 Anderson-Teixeira, K.J., Davies, S.J., Bennett, A.C., Gonzalez-Akre, E.B., Muller-Landau, H.C.,  
955 Wright, S.J., Abu Salim, K., Almeyda Zambrano, A.M., Alonso, A., Baltzer, J.L., Basset, Y.,  
956 Bourg, N., Broadbent, E.B., Brockelman, W.Y., Bunyavejchewin, S., Burslem, D.F.R.P., Butt, N.,  
957 Cao, M., Cardenas, D., Chuyong, G., Clay, K., Cordell, S., Dattaraja, H.S., Deng, X., Detto, M.,  
958 Du, X., Duque, Á., Erikson, D.L., Ewango, C.E.N., Fischer, G.A., Fletcher, C., Foster, R.B.,  
959 Giardina, C.P., Gilbert, G.S., Gunatilleke, N., Gunatilleke, S., Hao, Z., Hargrove, W.W., Hart,  
960 T.B., Hau, B.C.H., He, F., Inman-Narahari, F.M., Jansen, P.A., Jiang, M., Johnson, D.J., Kanzaki,  
961 M., Kassim, A.R., Kenfack, D., Kibet, S., Kinnaird, M.F., Korte, L., Král, K., Kumar, J., Larson,  
962 A.J., Li, Y., Li, X., Liu, S., Lum, S.K.Y., Lutz, J.A., Ma, K., Maddalena, D.M., Makana, J.-R.,

963 Malhi, Y., Marthews, T., Mizuno, T., Morecroft, M., Myers, J.A., Novotny, V., de Oliveira, A.A.,  
964 Ong, P.S., Orwig, D.A., Ostertag, R., den Ouden, J., Parker, G.G., Phillips, R.P., Sack, L., Sainge,  
965 M.N., Sang, W., Sri-ngerntuang, K., Sukumar, R., Sun, I.-F., Sungpalee, W., Suresh, H.S., Tan,  
966 S., Thomas, S.C., Thomas, D.W., Thompson, J., Turner, B.L, Uriarte, M., Valencia, R., Vallejo,  
967 M.I., Vicentini, A., Vrška, T., Wang, X., Wang, X., Weiblen, G., Wolf, A., Xu, H., Yap, S., and  
968 Zimmerman, J. (2015) CTFS-ForestGEO: a worldwide network monitoring forests in an era of  
969 global change. *Global Change Biology*, **21**, 528-549.

970

971 Anderson-Teixeira, K.J., Wang, M.M.H., McGarvey, J.C. & LeBauer, D.S. (2016) Carbon  
972 dynamics of mature and regrowth tropical forests derived from a pantropical database (TropForC-  
973 db). *Global Change Biology*, **22**, 1690-1709.

974

975 Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., M. M. H. Wang, J. C. McGarvey, V. Herrmann, A. J. Tepley, B. P.  
976 Bond-Lamberty, and D. S. LeBauer (2018). ForC: a global database of forest carbon stocks and  
977 fluxes. *Ecology* **99** (6), 1507-1507. DOI: 10.1002/ecy.2229.

978

979 Anon. (1989) Funding Priorities for Research Towards Effective Sustainable Management of  
980 Biodiversity Resources in Tropical Asia. Report of a workshop sponsored by NSF and USAID  
981 held in Bangkok, Thailand, March 27–30, 1989. Unpublished report.

982

983 Antonarakis, A.S., Munger, J.W. & Moorcroft, P.R. (2014) Imaging spectroscopy- and lidar-  
984 derived estimates of canopy composition and structure to improve predictions of forest carbon  
985 fluxes and ecosystem dynamics. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **41**, 2535-2542.

986

987 Arellano, G., Zuleta, D. & Davies, S.J. (*in review*) Tree death and damage: a standardized protocol  
988 for frequent surveys in tropical forests.

989

990 Ashton, P.S., Boscolo, M., Liu, J. and LaFrankie, J.V. (1999) A global programme in  
991 interdisciplinary forest research: The CTFS perspective. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, **11**,  
992 180-204.

993

994 Ashton, P.S. (2014) "*On the forests of Tropical Asia: lest the memory fade.*" Kew Publishing,  
995 Royal Botanical Gardens, Kew, London, United Kingdom. 670 pages.

996

997 Ashton, P.S. & Lee, D.W. (2020) "*Exploring Tapovan. A natural history of tropical Asian forests.*"  
998 In Press, University of Chicago Press.

999

1000 Asner, G.P., Martin, R.E., Knapp, D.E., Tupayachi, R., Anderson, C.B., Sinca, F., Vaughn, N.R.,  
1001 and Llactayo, W. (2017) Airborne laser-guided imaging spectroscopy to map forest trait diversity  
1002 and guide conservation. *Science*, **355**, 385–389.

1003

1004 Aubry-Kientz, M., Rossi, V., Wagner, F., and Herault, B. (2015) Identifying climatic drivers of  
1005 tropical forest dynamics. *Biogeosciences*, **12**, 5583-5596.

1006

1007 Avitabile, V., Herold, M., Heuvelink G.B.M., Lewis, S.L., Phillips, O.L., Asner, G.P., Armston,  
1008 J., Ashton, P.S., Banin, L., Bayol, N., Berry, N.J., Boeckx, P., de Jong, B.H.J., DeVries, B.,

1009 Girardin, C.A., Kearsley, E., Lindsell, J.A., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Lucas, R., Malhi, Y., Morel, A.,  
1010 Mitchard, E.T.A., Nagay, L., Qie, L., Quinones, M.J., Ryan, C.M., Ferry, S.J.W., Sunderland, T.,  
1011 Laurin, G.V., Gatti, R.C., Valentini, R., Verbeek, H., Wijaya, A., and Willcock, S. (2016) An  
1012 integrated pan-tropical biomass map using multiple reference datasets. *Global Change Biology*,  
1013 **22**, 1406-1420.

1014

1015 Baker, P.J., Bunyavejchewin, S., Oliver, C.D. & Ashton, P.S. (2005) Disturbance history and  
1016 historical stand dynamics of a seasonal tropical forest in western Thailand. *Ecological*  
1017 *Monographs*, **75**, 317–343.

1018

1019 Baker, T.R., Pennington, R.T., Dexter, K.G., Fine, P.V.A., Fortune-Hopkins, H., Honorio, E.N.,  
1020 Huamantupa-Chuquimaco, I., Klitgård, B.B., Lewis, G.P., de Lima, H.C., Ashton, P., Baraloto,  
1021 C., Davies, S., Donoghue, M.J., Kaye, M., Kress, W.J., Lehmann, C.E.R., Monteagudo, A.,  
1022 Phillips, O.L., and Vasquez, R. (2017) Maximising synergy among tropical plant systematists,  
1023 ecologists, and evolutionary biologists. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **32**, 258-267.

1024

1025 Baldeck, C.A., Harms, K.E., Yavitt, J.B., John, R., Turner, B.L., Valencia, R., Navarrete, H.,  
1026 Davies, S.J., Chuyong, G.B., Kenfack, D., Thomas, D.W., Madawala, S., Gunatilleke, N.,  
1027 Gunatilleke, S., Bunyavejchewin, S., Kiratiprayoon, S., Yaacob, A., Supardi, M.N. & Dalling,  
1028 J.W. (2013) Soil resources and topography shape local tree community structure in tropical forests.  
1029 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **280**, 20122532.

1030

1031 Baltzer, J.L., Davies, S.J., Bunyavejchewin, S. & Noor, N.S. (2008) The role of desiccation  
1032 tolerance in determining tree species distributions along the Malay–Thai Peninsula. *Functional*  
1033 *Ecology*, **22**, 221-231.

1034

1035 Barberán, A., McGuire, K.L., Wolf, J.A., Jones, F.A., Wright, S.J., Turner, B.L., Essene, A.,  
1036 Hubbell, S.P., Faircloth, B.C., Fierer, N. and Casper, B. (2015) Relating belowground microbial  
1037 composition to the taxonomic, phylogenetic, and functional trait distributions of trees in a tropical  
1038 forest. *Ecology Letters*, **18**, 1397-1405.

1039

1040 Basset, Y., Barrios, H., Segar, S., Srygley, R. B., Aiello, A., Warren, A. D., Delgado, F., Coronado,  
1041 J., Lezcano, J., Arizala, S., Rivera, M., Perez, F., Bobadilla, R., Lopez, Y., and Ramirez, J.A.  
1042 (2015). The butterflies of Barro Colorado Island, Panama: local extinction since the 1930s. *PLoS*  
1043 *One*, **10**, e0136623.

1044

1045 Basset, Y., Eastwood, R., Sam, L., Lohman, D. J., Novotny, V., Treuer, T., Miller, S.E., Weiblen,  
1046 G.D., Pierce, N.E., Bunyavejchewin, S., Sakchoowong, W., Kongnoo, P., Osario-Arenas, M.A.  
1047 (2013). Cross- continental comparisons of butterfly assemblages in tropical rainforests:  
1048 implications for biological monitoring. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, **6**, 223-233.

1049

1050 Basset, Y., & Lamarre, G. P. (2019). Toward a world that values insects. *Science*, **364**, 1230-1231.

1051

1052 Basset, Y., Lamarre, G. P., Ratz, T., Segar, S. T., Decaëns, T., Rougerie, R., Miller, S.E., Perez,  
1053 F., Bobadilla, R., Lopez, Y., Ramirez, J.A., Aiello, A., and Barrios, H. (2017). The Saturniidae of



1054 Barro Colorado Island, Panama: A model taxon for studying the long- term effects of climate  
1055 change? *Ecology and Evolution*, **7**, 9991-10004.

1056

1057 Basset, Y., Dahl, C., Civrtecka, R., Gripenberg, S., Lewis, O.T., Segar, S.T., Klimes, P., Barrios,  
1058 H., Brown, J.W., Bunyavejchewin, S., Butcher, B.A., Cognato, A.I., Davies, S., Kaman, O.,  
1059 Knizek, M., Miller, S.E., Morse, G.E., Novotny, V., Pongpattananurak, N., Pramual, P., Quicke,  
1060 D.L.J., Robbins, R.K., Sakchoowong, W., Schutze, M., Vesterinen, E.J., Wang, W.z., Wang, Y.y.,  
1061 Weiblen, G. & Wright, J.S. (2018) A cross- continental comparison of assemblages of seed- and  
1062 fruit- feeding insects in tropical rain forests: Faunal composition and rates of attack. *Journal of*  
1063 *Biogeography*, **45**, 1395-1407.

1064

1065 Beckman, N. G., C. Neuhauser, and H. C. Muller-Landau. 2012. The interacting effects of clumped  
1066 seed dispersal and distance- and density-dependent mortality on seedling recruitment patterns.  
1067 *Journal of Ecology* **100**, 862-873.

1068

1069 Bever, J.D., Mangan, S.A., Alexander, H.M. 2015. Maintenance of plant species diversity by  
1070 pathogens. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **46**(1): 305-325.

1071

1072 Bin, Y., G. Lin, S. E. Russo, Z. Huang, Y. Shen, H. Cao, J. Lian, and W. Ye. 2019. Testing the  
1073 competition-colonization trade-off and its correlations with functional trait variations among  
1074 subtropical tree species. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 14942.

1075

1076 Bourg, N.A., McShea, W.J., Thompson, J.R., McGarvey, J.C. & Shen, X. (2013) Initial census,  
1077 woody seedling, seed rain, and stand structure data for the SCBI SIGEO Large Forest Dynamics  
1078 Plot. *Ecology*, **94**, 2111.

1079

1080 Boyle, B., Hopkins, N., Lu, Z., Raygoza Garay, J.A., Mozzherin, D., Rees, T., Matasci, N., Narro,  
1081 M.L., Piel, W.H., Mckay, S.J., Lowry, S., Freeland, C., Peet, R.K., and Enquist, B.J. (2013) The  
1082 taxonomic name resolution service: an online tool for automated standardization of plant names.  
1083 *BMC Bioinformatics*, **14**, 16.

1084

1085 Brien, R.J., Phillips, O.L., Feldpausch, T.R., Gloor, E., Baker, T.R., Lopez-Gonzalez, G.,  
1086 Monteagudo-Mendoza, A., Malhi, Y., Lewis, S.L., Vásquez Martínez, R., Alexiades, M., Álvarez  
1087 Dávila, E., Alvarez-Loayza, P., Andrade, A., Aragão, L.E.O.C., Araujo-Murakami, A., Arets,  
1088 E.J.M.M., Arroyo, L., Aymard C., G.A., Bánki, O.S., Baraloto, C., Barroso, J., Bonal D., Boot,  
1089 R.G.A., Camargo, J.L.C., Castilho, C.V., Chama, V., Chao, K.J., Chave, J., Comiskey, J.A.,  
1090 Cornejo Valverde, F., da Costa, L., de Oliveira, E.A., Di Fiore, A., Erwin, T.L., Fauset, S.,  
1091 Forsthofer, M., Galbraith, D.R., Grahame, E.S., Groot, N., Hérault, B., Higuchi, N., Honorio  
1092 Coronado, E.N., Keeling, H., Killeen, T.J., Laurance, W.F., Laurance, S., Licona, J., Magnussen,  
1093 W.E., Marimon, B.S., Marimon-Junior, B.H., Mendoza, C., Neill, D.A., Nogueira, E.M., Núñez,  
1094 P., Pallqui Camacho, N.C., Parada, A., Pardo-Molina, G., Peacock, M.J., Peña-Claros, M.,  
1095 Pickavance, G.C., Pitman, N.C.A., Poorter, L., Prieto, A., Quesada, C.A., Ramírez, F., Ramírez-  
1096 Angulo, H., Restrepo, Z., Roopsind, A., Rudas, A., Salomão, R.P., Schwarz, M., Silva, N., Silva-  
1097 Espejo, J.E., Silveira, M., Stropp, J., Talbot, J., ter Steege, H. Teran-Aguilar, J., Terborgh, J.,  
1098 Thomas-Caesar, R., Toledo, M., Torello-Raventos, Umetsu, R.K., van der Heijden, G.M.F., van

1099 der Hout, P., Guimarães Vieira, I.C., Vieira, S.A., Vilanova, E., Vos, V.A., and Zagt, R.J. (2015)  
1100 Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink. *Nature*, **519**, 344-348.  
1101

1102 Broekman, M.J.E., Muller- Landau, H.C., Visser, M.D., Jongejans, E., Wright, S.J., Kroon, H.,  
1103 2019. Signs of stabilisation and stable coexistence. *Ecology Letters* **22**, 1957–  
1104 1975. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13349>  
1105

1106 Brousseau, L., Fine, P.V.A., Dreyer, E., Vendramin, G.G., and Scotti, I. (2019) Genomics of  
1107 microgeographic adaptation in the Amazonian hyperdominant tree *Eperua falcata* Aubl.  
1108 (Fabaceae) [Preprint]. *BioRxiv*, preprint no.312843, 27 p.  
1109

1110 Bruijning, M., Visser, M.D., Muller-Landau, H.C., Wright, S.J., Comita, L.S., Hubbell, S.P., de  
1111 Kroon, H. & Jongejans, E. (2017) Surviving in a cosexual world: a cost-benefit analysis of dioecy  
1112 in tropical trees. *The American Naturalist*, **189**, 297-314.  
1113

1114 Bunyavejchewin, S., J.V. LaFrankie, P.J. Baker, S.J. Davies & P.S. Ashton (2009) *Forest Trees of*  
1115 *Huai Kha Khaeng Wildlife Sanctuary, Thailand: Data from the 50-Hectare Forest Dynamics Plot*.  
1116 342 pp. National Parks, Wildlife and Plant Conservation Department, Bangkok, Thailand.  
1117

1118 Bunyavejchewin, S., Sinbumroong, A., Turner, B.L. & Davies, S.J. (2019) Natural disturbance  
1119 and soils drive diversity and dynamics of seasonal dipterocarp forest in Southern Thailand. *Journal*  
1120 *of Tropical Ecology*, **35**, 95-107.  
1121

1122 Cao, H., Wu, L., Wang, Z., Huang, Z., Li, L., Wei, S., Lian, J., & Ye, W. (2013) Dinghushan  
1123 Lower Subtropical Forest Dynamics Plot : Tree Species and Their Distribution Patterns. In: Ma,  
1124 K. (ed.). Chinese Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network Series. China Forestry Publishing  
1125 House, Beijing.  
1126

1127 Cao, M., Zhu, H., Wang, H., Lan, G., Hu, Y., Zhou, S., Deng, X., and Cui, J. (2008)  
1128 *Xishuangbanna tropical seasonal rainforest dynamics plot: Tree distribution maps, diameter*  
1129 *tables and species documentation*. 266 pp. Yunnan Science and Technology Press, Kunming,  
1130 China.  
1131

1132 Cardoso, D., Särkinen, T., Alexander, S., Amorim, A.M., Bittrich, V., Celis, M., Daly, D.C.,  
1133 Fiaschi, P., Funk, V.A., Giacomini, L.L., Goldenberg, R., Heiden, G., Iganci, J., Kelloff, C.L.,  
1134 Knapp, S., Cavalcante de Lima, H., Machado, A.F.P., Manoel dos Santos, R., Mello-Silva, R.,  
1135 Michelangeli, F.A., Mitchell, J., Moonlight, P., de Moraes, L.R., Mori, S.A., Nunes, T.S.,  
1136 Pennington, T.D., Pirani, J.R., Prance, G.T., de Queiroz, L.P., Rapini, A., Riina, R., Rincon,  
1137 C.A.V., Roque, N., Shimizu, G., Sobral, M., Stehmann, J.R., Stevens, W.D., Taylor, C.M., Trovó,  
1138 M., van den Berg, C., van der Werff, H., Viana, P.L., Zartman, C.E., and Forzza, R.C. (2017)  
1139 Amazon plant diversity revealed by a taxonomically verified species list. *Proceedings of the*  
1140 *National Academy of Sciences*, **114**, 10695-10700.  
1141

1142 Cavaleri, M. A., Reed, S.C., Smith, W.K., and Wood, T.E. (2015) Urgent need for warming  
1143 experiments in tropical forests. *Global Change Biology*, **21**, 2111-2121.  
1144

1145 Chang, L.-W., Hwong, J.-L., Chen, Y.-T., Yeh, C.-Y., Lin, H.-C., Kuo, C.-C., Lin, C.-C., Sun, I.-  
1146 F., Yang, K.-C., Chen, Z.-S., and Wang, H.-H. (2012). *Lienhuachih Subtropical Evergreen*  
1147 *Broadleaf Forest Dynamics Plot: Tree Species Characteristics and Distribution Patterns*. 360  
1148 pp. Taiwan Forestry Research Institute, Taipei, Taiwan.

1149

1150 Chanthorn, W., Hartig, F., Brockelman, W.Y., Srisang, W., Nathalang, A. & Santon, J. (2019).  
1151 Defaunation of large-bodied frugivores reduces carbon storage in a tropical forest of Southeast  
1152 Asia. *Scientific Reports*, **9**, 1–9.

1153

1154 Chave, J., R. Condit, S. Lao, J. P. Caspersen, R. B. Foster, and S. P. Hubbell. 2003. Spatial and  
1155 temporal variation of biomass in a tropical forest: results from a large census plot in Panama.  
1156 *Journal of Ecology* **91**:240-252.

1157

1158 Chave, J., Condit, R., Muller-Landau, H.C., Thomas, S.C., Ashton, P.S., Bunyavejchewin, S., Co,  
1159 L.C., Dattaraja, H.S., Davies, S.J., Esufali, S., Ewango, C.E.N., Feeley, K.J., Foster, R.B.,  
1160 Gunatilleke, N., Gunatilleke, S., Hall, P., Hart, T.B., Hernández, C., Hubbell, S.P., Itoh, A.,  
1161 Kiratiprayoon, S., LaFrankie, J.V., Loo de Lao, S., Makana, J.-R., Noor, M.N.S., Kassim, A.R.,  
1162 Samper, C., Sukumar, R., Suresh, H.S., Tan, S., Thompson, J., Tongco, M.D.C., Valencia, R.,  
1163 Vallejo, M., Villa, G., Yamakura, T., Zimmerman, J.K., and Losos, E.C. (2008) Assessing  
1164 evidence for a pervasive alteration in tropical tree communities. *PLoS Biology*, **6**, 1-8.

1165

1166 Chave, J., Réjou-Méchain, M., Búrquez, A., Chidumayo, E., Colgan, M.S., Delitti, W.B.C.,  
1167 Duque, A., Eid, T., Fearnside, P.M., Goodman, R.C., Henry, M., Martínez-Yrizar, A., Mugasha,  
1168 W.A., Muller-Landau, H.C., Mencuccini, M., Nelson, B.W., Ngomanda, A., Nogueira, E.M.,  
1169 Ortiz-Malavassi, E., Pélissier, R., Ploton, P., Ryan, C.M., Saldarriaga, J.G. & Vieilledent, G.  
1170 (2014) Improved allometric models to estimate the aboveground biomass of tropical trees. *Global*  
1171 *Change Biology*, **20**, 3177-3190.

1172

1173 Chave, J., Davies, S.J., Phillips, O.L., Lewis, S.L., Sist, P., Schepaschenko, D., Armston, J., Baker,  
1174 T.R., Coomes, D., Disney, M., Duncanson, L., Hérault, B., Labrière, N., Meyer, V., Réjou-  
1175 Méchain, M., Scipal, K., and Saatchi, S. (2019) Ground Data are Essential for Biomass Remote  
1176 Sensing Missions. *Surveys in Geophysics*, **40**, 863-880.

1177

1178 Chen, B., Mi X., Fang, T., Chen, L., Ren, H., & Ma K. (2009) Gutianshan Forest Dynamic Plot:  
1179 Tree Species and Their Distribution Patterns. In : Ma, K. (ed.). Chinese Forest Biodiversity  
1180 Monitoring Network Series. China Forestry Publishing House, Beijing.

1181

1182 Chen, L., Mi, X., Comita, L.S., Zhang, L., Ren, H. & Ma, K. (2010) Community-level  
1183 consequences of density dependence and habitat association in a subtropical broad-leaved forest.  
1184 *Ecology Letters*, **13**, 695-704.

1185

1186 Chen, L., L. Wang, Y. Baiketuerhan, C. Zhang, X. Zhao, and K. von Gadow. (2014). Seed dispersal  
1187 and seedling recruitment of trees at different successional stages in a temperate forest in  
1188 northeastern China. *Journal of Plant Ecology* **7**, 337-346.

1189

1190 Chen, Y.-Y., Satake, A., Sun, I.F., Kosugi, Y., Tani, M., Numata, S., Hubbell, S.P., Fletcher, C.,  
1191 Nur Supardi, M.N., Wright, S.J. & Rafferty, N. (2018) Species-specific flowering cues among  
1192 general flowering *Shorea* species at the Pasoh Research Forest, Malaysia. *Journal of Ecology*, **106**,  
1193 586-598.

1194

1195 Chesson P. 2000. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology and*  
1196 *Systematics* **31**, 343-366.

1197

1198 Chisholm, R.A., Muller-Landau, H.C., Abdul Rahman, K., Bebbler, D.P., Bin, Y., Bohlman, S.A.,  
1199 Bourg, N.A., Brinks, J., Bunyavejchewin, S., Butt, N., Cao, H., Cao, M., Cárdenas, D., Chang, L.-  
1200 W., Chiang, J.-M., Chuyong, G., Condit, R., Dattaraja, H.S., Davies, S., Duque, A., Fletcher, C.,  
1201 Gunatilleke, N., Gunatilleke, S., Hao, Z., Harrison, R.D., Howe, R., Hsieh, C.-F., Hubbell, S.P.,  
1202 Itoh, A., Kenfack, D., Kiratiprayoon, S., Larson, A.J., Lian, J., Lin, D., Liu, H., Lutz, J.A., Ma, K.,  
1203 Malhi, Y., McMahon, S., McShea, W., Meegaskumbura, M., Mohd. Razman, S., Morecroft, M.D.,  
1204 Nytch, C.J., Oliveira, A., Parker, G.G., Pulla, S., Punchi-Manage, R., Romero-Saltos, H., Sang,  
1205 W., Schurman, J., Su, S.-H., Sukumar, R., Sun, I.F., Suresh, H.S., Tan, S., Thomas, D., Thomas,  
1206 S., Thompson, J., Valencia, R., Wolf, A., Yap, S., Ye, W., Yuan, Z., Zimmerman, J.K. & Coomes,  
1207 D. (2013) Scale-dependent relationships between tree species richness and ecosystem function in  
1208 forests. *Journal of Ecology*, **101**, 1214-1224.

1209

1210 Chisholm, R.A. and J.P. O'Dwyer (2014). Species ages in neutral biodiversity models. *Theoretical*  
1211 *Population Biology*, **93**, 85-94.

1212

1213 Chisholm, R.A., Condit, R., Rahman, K.A., Baker, P.J., Bunyavejchewin, S., Chen, Y.Y.,  
1214 Chuyong, G., Dattaraja, H.S., Davies, S., Ewango, C.E., Gunatilleke, C.V., Nimal Gunatilleke,  
1215 I.A., Hubbell, S., Kenfack, D., Kiratiprayoon, S., Lin, Y., Makana, J.R., Pongpattananurak, N.,  
1216 Pulla, S., Punchi-Manage, R., Sukumar, R., Su, S.H., Sun, I.F., Suresh, H.S., Tan, S., Thomas, D.,  
1217 & Yap, S. (2014) Temporal variability of forest communities: empirical estimates of population  
1218 change in 4000 tree species. *Ecology Letters*, **17**, 855-865.

1219

1220 Chisholm, R.A & Fung, T. 2020. Janzen-Connell effects are a weak impediment to competitive  
1221 exclusion. *American Naturalist* (in press)

1222

1223 Chu, C., Lutz, J.A., Král, K., Vrška, T., Yin, X., Myers, J.A., Abiem, I., Alonso, A., Bourg, N.,  
1224 Burslem, D.F.R.P., Cao, M., Chapman, H., Condit, R., Fang, S., Fischer, G.A., Gao, L., Hao, Z.,  
1225 Hau, B.C.H., He, Q., Hector, A., Hubbell, S.P., Jiang, M., Jin, G., Kenfack, D., Lai, J., Li, B., Li,  
1226 X., Li, Y., Lian, J., Lin, L., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Luo, Y., Ma, K., McShea, W., Memiaghe, H., Mi, X.,  
1227 Ni, M., O'Brien, M.J., de Oliveira, A.A., Orwig, D.A., Parker, G.G., Qiao, X., Ren, H., Reynolds,  
1228 G., Sang, W., Shen, G., Su, Z., Sui, X., Sun, I.F., Tian, S., Wang, B., Wang, X., Wang, X., Wang,  
1229 Y., Weiblen, G.D., Wen, S., Xi, N., Xiang, W., Xu, H., Xu, K., Ye, W., Zhang, B., Zhang, J.,  
1230 Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Zhu, K., Zimmerman, J., Storch, D., Baltzer, J.L., Anderson- Teixeira, K.J.,  
1231 Mittelbach, G.G. & He, F. (2018) Direct and indirect effects of climate on richness drive the  
1232 latitudinal diversity gradient in forest trees. *Ecology Letters*, **22**, 245-255.

1233

1234 Chua, L.S.L., Suhaida, M., Hamidah, M., and Saw, L.G. (2010) Malaysia plant Red List:  
1235 Peninsular Malaysian Dipterocarpaceae. *Research Pamphlet - Forest Research Institute Malaysia*,  
1236 129, 210.  
1237

1238 Chuyong GB, Kenfack D, Harms KE, Thomas DW, Condit R, Comita LS. (2011). Habitat  
1239 specificity and diversity of tree species in an African wet tropical forest. *Plant Ecology* 212(8):  
1240 1363-1374.  
1241

1242 Christin, S., Hervet, É., Lecomte, N., and Ye, H. (2019) Applications for deep learning in ecology.  
1243 *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **10**, 1632-1644.  
1244

1245 Clark, D. B., Castro, C.S., Alvarado, L. D. A., Read, J.M. (2004) Quantifying mortality of tropical  
1246 rain forest trees using high-spatial-resolution satellite data. *Ecology Letters*, **7**, 52-59.  
1247

1248 Clark, D.A., Clark, D.B., and Oberbauer, S.F. (2013) Field-quantified responses of tropical  
1249 rainforest aboveground productivity to increasing CO<sub>2</sub> and climatic stress, 1997-2009. *Journal of*  
1250 *Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, **118**, 783-794.  
1251

1252 Clark, A. T., M. Detto, H. C. Muller-Landau, S. A. Schnitzer, S. J. Wright, R. Condit, S. P.  
1253 Hubbell, and L. Gomez-Aparicio. 2017. Functional traits of tropical trees and lianas explain spatial  
1254 structure across multiple scales. *Journal of Ecology*, **106**, 795-806.  
1255

1256 Co, L., D. Lagunzad, K.A. Pasion, H. Consunji, N. Bartolome, S. Yap, J. Molina, D.C. Tongco,  
1257 U.F. Ferreras, J.V. LaFrankie, S.J. Davies & P.S. Ashton (2006) *Forest Trees of Palanan,*  
1258 *Philippines: A Study in Population Ecology*. 313 pp. Center for Integrative and Development  
1259 Studies, University of the Philippines – Diliman, Philippines.  
1260

1261 Comita, L.S., Muller-Landau, H.C., Aguilar, S., and Hubbell, S.P. (2010) Asymmetric density  
1262 dependence shapes species abundances in a tropical tree community. *Science*, **329**, 330-332.  
1263

1264 Comita, L.S. and Stump, S.M. (2020) Natural enemies and the maintenance of tropical tree  
1265 diversity: recent insights and implications for the future of biodiversity in a changing world. *Annals*  
1266 *of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, **105**, 377-392.  
1267

1268 Condit, R., Hubbell, S.P. & Foster, R.B. (1995) Mortality rates of 205 Neotropical tree and shrub  
1269 species and the impact of a severe drought. *Ecological Monographs*, **65**, 419-439.  
1270

1271 Condit, R. (1998) *Tropical Forest Census Plots: Methods and results from Barro Colorado Island,*  
1272 *Panama and a comparison with other plots*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.  
1273

1274 Condit, R., Ashton, P.S., Baker, P., Bunyavejchewin, S., Gunatilleke, S., Gunatilleke, N., Hubbell,  
1275 S.P., Foster, R.B., Itoh, A., LaFrankie, J.V., Lee, H.S., Losos, E., Manokaran, N., Sukumar, R. &  
1276 Yamakura, T. (2000) Spatial patterns in the distribution of tropical trees. *Science*, **288**, 1414-1418.  
1277

1278 Condit, R., Ashton, P., Bunyavejchewin, S., Dattaraja, H.S., Davies, S.J., Esufali, S., Ewango, C.,  
1279 Foster, R., Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N., Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Hall, P., Harms, K.E., Hart, T., Hernandez,

1280 C., Hubbell, S., Itoh, A., Kiratiprayoon, S., LaFrankie, J., Lao, S.L.d., Makana, J.-R., Noor,  
1281 M.N.S., Kassim, A.R., Russo, S., Sukumar, R., Samper, C., Suresh, H.S., Tan, S., Thomas, S.,  
1282 Valencia, R., Vallejo, M., Villa, G. & Zillio, T. (2006) The importance of demographic niches to  
1283 tree diversity. *Science*, **313**, 98-101.

1284  
1285 Condit, R. (2012) CTFS R Package. <http://ctfs.si.edu/Public/CTFSRPackage>.  
1286

1287 Condit, R., R.A. Chisholm, and S.P. Hubbell (2012) Thirty Years of Forest Census at Barro  
1288 Colorado and the Importance of Immigration in Maintaining Diversity. *PLOS ONE*, **7**, 11, e49826.  
1289

1290 Condit, R., Engelbrecht, B.M.J., Pino, D., Pérez, R. & Turner, B.L. (2013) Species distributions  
1291 in response to individual soil nutrients and seasonal drought across a community of tropical trees.  
1292 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA*, **110**, 5064-5068.  
1293

1294 Condit, R., Lao, S., Singh, A., Esufali, S. and Dolins, S. (2014) Data and database standards for  
1295 permanent forest plots in a global network. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **316**, 21-31.  
1296

1297 Connell, J. (1971) On the role of natural enemies in preventing competitive exclusion in some  
1298 marine animals and in rain forest trees. In: *Dynamics of Populations*. (ed. by P.J. Den Boer and  
1299 G.R. Gradwell), pp. 298-312. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation,  
1300 Wageningen, The Netherlands.  
1301

1302 Davies, S.J., M.N. Nur Supardi, J.V. LaFrankie & P.S. Ashton (2003) The Trees of Pasoh Forest:  
1303 Stand Structure and Floristic Composition of the 50-Hectare Forest Research Plot. In: *Pasoh:  
1304 Ecology and natural history of a Southeast Asian lowland tropical rain forest*. (Okuda, T., N.  
1305 Manokaran, S.C. Thomas & P.S. Ashton, eds.), pp. 35-50.  
1306

1307 Davies, S.J., S. Tan, J.V. LaFrankie & M.D. Potts (2005) Soil-related floristic variation in a  
1308 hyperdiverse dipterocarp forest. In: *Pollination Ecology and the Rain Forest (Sarawak Studies)*.  
1309 (Roubik, D., S. Sakai & A.A. Hamid Karim eds.). Springer Science, New York, pp. 22-34.  
1310

1311 Delissio, L., Primack, R.B., Hall, P. & Lee, H.S. (2002) A decade of canopy-tree seedling survival  
1312 and growth in two Bornean rain forests: persistence and recovery from suppression. *Journal of  
1313 Tropical Ecology*, **18**, 645-658.  
1314

1315 Detto, M., and H. C. Muller-Landau. 2013. Fitting ecological process models to spatial patterns  
1316 using scalewise variances and moment equations. *American Naturalist* **181**, E68-82.  
1317

1318 Detto, M., Wright, S.J., Calderon, O. and Muller-Landau, H.C. (2018) Resource acquisition and  
1319 reproductive strategies of tropical forest in response to the El Nino-Southern Oscillation. *Nature  
1320 Communications*, **9**, 1-8.  
1321

1322 Detto, M., Visser, M.D., Wright, S.J., Pacala, S.W. & Coulson, T. (2019) Bias in the detection of  
1323 negative density dependence in plant communities. *Ecology Letters*, **22**, 1923-1939.  
1324

1325 Ding, B., Chen, D., Luo, Z., Chen, X., Hu, R., & Ye, Z. (2013) Zhejiang Baishanzu Forest  
1326 Dynamics Plot: Tree Species and Their Distribution Patterns. In : Ma, K. (ed.). Chinese Forest  
1327 Biodiversity Monitoring Network Series. China Forestry Publishing House, Beijing.  
1328  
1329 Dirzo, R., Young, H.S., Galetti, M., Ceballos, G., Isaac, N.J.B. & Collen, B. (2014). Defaunation  
1330 in the Anthropocene. *Science*, **345**, 401–406.  
1331  
1332 Draper, F.C., Baker, T.R., Baraloto, C., Chave, J., Costa, F., Martin, R.E., Pennington, R.T.,  
1333 Vicentini, A. & Asner, G.P. (2020) Quantifying tropical plant diversity requires an integrated  
1334 technological approach. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **35**, 1100-1109.  
1335 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.08.003>  
1336  
1337 Du, Y., Mi, X., Liu, X., Chen, L. & Ma, K. (2009) Seed dispersal phenology and dispersal  
1338 syndromes in a subtropical broad-leaved forest of China. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **258**,  
1339 1147-1152.  
1340  
1341 Dubayah, R. (2019) The Global Ecosystem Dynamics Investigation: Mission status and first  
1342 results. AGU Fall Meeting 2019.  
1343  
1344 Duncanson, L., Armston, J., Disney, M., Avitabile, V., Barbier, N., Calders, K., Carter, S., Chave,  
1345 J., Herold, M., Crowther, T.W., Falkowski, M., Kellner, J.R., Labrière, N., Lucas, R., MacBean,  
1346 N., McRoberts, R.E., Meyer, V., Naesset, E., Nickeson, J.E., Paul, K.I., Phillips, O.L., Réjou-  
1347 Méchain, M., Román, M., Roxburgh, S., Saatchi, S., Schepaschenko, D., Scipal, K., Siqueira, P.R.,  
1348 Whitehurst, A., and Williams, M. (2019) The importance of consistent global forest aboveground  
1349 biomass product validation. *Surveys in Geophysics*, **40**, 979-999.  
1350  
1351 Durán, S.M., Martin, R.E., Díaz, S., Maitner, B.S., Malhi, Y., Salinas, N., Shenkin, A., Silman,  
1352 M.R., Wiczynski, D.J., Asner, G.P., Bentley, L.P., Savage, V.M., and Enquist, B.J. (2019)  
1353 Informing trait-based ecology by assessing remotely sensed functional diversity across a broad  
1354 tropical temperature gradient. *Science Advances*, **5**, eaaw8114.  
1355  
1356 Durgante, F. M., Higuchi, N., Almeida, A., and Vicentini, A. (2013) Species spectral signature:  
1357 Discriminating closely related plant species in the Amazon with near-infrared leaf-spectroscopy.  
1358 *Forest Ecology and Management*, **291**, 240–248.  
1359  
1360 Duque, A., Muller-Landau, H.C., Valencia, R., Cardenas, D., Davies, S., de Oliveira, A., Pérez,  
1361 Á.J., Romero-Saltos, H. & Vicentini, A. (2017) Insights into regional patterns of Amazonian forest  
1362 structure, diversity, and dominance from three large terra-firme forest dynamics plots. *Biodiversity  
1363 and Conservation*, **26**, 669-686.  
1364  
1365 Engelbrecht, B.M.J., Comita, L.S., Condit, R., Kursar, T.A., Tyree, M.T., Turner, B.L. & Hubbell,  
1366 S.P. (2007) Drought sensitivity shapes species distribution patterns in tropical forests. *Nature*, **447**,  
1367 80-82.  
1368

1369 Engone-Obiang, N. L., D. Kenfack, N. Picard, J. A. Lutz, P. Bissiengou, H. R. Memiaghe, and A.  
1370 Alonso. 2019. Determinants of spatial patterns of canopy tree species in a tropical evergreen forest  
1371 in Gabon. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **30**, 929-939. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12778>  
1372

1373 Erickson, D.L., Jones, F.A., Swenson, N.G., Pei, N., Bourg, N.A., Chen, W., Davies, S.J., Ge, X.-  
1374 j., Hao, Z., Howe, R.W., Huang, C.-L., Larson, A.J., Lum, S.K.Y., Lutz, J.A., Ma, K.,  
1375 Meegaskumbura, M., Mi, X., Parker, J.D., Sun, I.-F., Wright, S.J., Wolf, A.T., Ye, W., Xing, D.,  
1376 Zimmerman, J.K., and Kress, W.J. (2014) Comparative evolutionary diversity and phylogenetic  
1377 structure across multiple forest dynamics plots: a mega-phylogeny approach. *Frontiers in*  
1378 *Genetics*, **5**.

1379

1380 Erickson, D.L., Reed, E., Ramachandran, P., Bourg, N.A., McShea, W.J. and Ottesen, A. (2017)  
1381 Reconstructing a herbivore's diet using a novel rbcL DNA mini-barcode for plants. *AoB PLANTS*,  
1382 **9**, plx015.  
1383

1384 Esquivel-Muelbert, A., Baker, T.R., Dexter, K.G., Lewis, S.L., Brien, R.J.W., Feldpausch, T.R.,  
1385 Lloyd, J., Monteagudo-Mendoza, A., Arroyo, L., Álvarez-Dávila, E., Higuchi, N., Marimon, B.S.,  
1386 Marimon-Junior, B.H., Silveira, M., Vilanova, E., Gloor, E., Malhi, Y., Chave, J., Barlow, J.,  
1387 Bonal, D., Cardozo, N.D., Erwin, T., Fausset, S., Hérault, B., Laurance, S., Poorter, L., Qie, L.,  
1388 Stahl, C., Sullivan, M.J.P., ter Steege, H., Vos, V.A., Zuidema, P.A., Almeida, E., de Oliveira,  
1389 E.A., Andrade, A., Vieire, S.A., Aragão, L., Araujo-Murakami, A., Arets, E., Aymard C., G.A.,  
1390 Baraloto, C., Carmago, P.B., Barroso, J.G., Bongers, F., Boot, R., Carmago, J.L., Castro, W.,  
1391 Moscoso, V.C., Comiskey, J., Valverde, F.C., da Costa, A.C.L., del Aguila Pasquel, J., Di Fiore,  
1392 A., Duque, L.F., Elias, F., Engel, J., Llampazo, G.F., Galbraith, D., Herrera Fernández, R., Honorio  
1393 Coronado, E., Hubau, W., Jimenez-Rojas, E., Lima A.J.N., Keichi Umetsu, R., Laurance, W.,  
1394 Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Lovejoy T., Melo Cruz, O.A., Morandi, P.S., Neill, D., Núñez Vargas, P.,  
1395 Pallqui Camacho, N.C. Parada Gutierrez, A., Pardo, G., Peacock, J., Peña-Claros, M., Peñuela-  
1396 Mora, M.C., Petronelli, P., Pickavance, G.C., Pitman, N., Prieto, A., Quesada, C., Ramírez-  
1397 Angulo, H., Réjou-Méchain, M., Restrepo Correa, Z., Roopsind, A., Rudas, A., Saomão, R., Silva,  
1398 N., Silva Espejo, J., Singh, J., Stropp, J., Terborgh, J., Thomas, R., Toldeo, M., Torres-Lezama,  
1399 A., Valenzuela Gamarra, L., van de Meer, P.J., van der Jeijden, G., van der Hout, P., Vasquez  
1400 Martinez, R., Vela, C., Vieira, I.C.G., and Phillips, O.L. (2019) Compositional response of  
1401 Amazon forests to climate change. *Global Change Biology*, **25**, 39-56.  
1402

1403 Estes, J.A., Terborgh, J., Brashares, J.S., Power, M.E., Berger, J., Bond, W.J., et al. (2011). Trophic  
1404 downgrading of planet Earth. *Science*, **333**, 301–306.  
1405

1406 Faith, D.P. (1992). Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biological*  
1407 *conservation*, **61**, 1-10.  
1408

1409 Fauset, S., Baker, T.R., Lewis, S.L., Feldpausch, T.R., Affum-Baffoe, K., Foli, E.G., Hamer, K.C.,  
1410 and Swaine, M.D. (2012) Drought-induced shifts in the floristic and functional composition of  
1411 tropical forests in Ghana. *Ecology Letters*, **15**, 1120-1129.  
1412

1413 Feng, G., Mi, X., Yan, H., Li, F. Y., Svenning, J.- C., & Ma, K. (2016). CForBio: a network  
1414 monitoring Chinese forest biodiversity. *Science Bulletin*, **61**, 15, 1163–1170.



1415  
1416 Fisher, R.A., Koven, C.D., Anderegg, W.R.L., Christoffersen, B.O., Dietze, F., Farrior, C.E., Holm,  
1417 J.A., Hurtt, G.C., Knox, R.G., Lawrence, P.J., Lichstein, J.W., Longo, M., Matheny, A.M.,  
1418 Medvigy, D., Muller-Landau, H.C., Powell, T.L., Serbin, S.P., Sato, H., Shuman, J.K., Smith, B.,  
1419 Trugman, A.T., Viskari, T., Verbeeck, H., Weng, E., Xu, C., Xu, X., Zhang, T., and Moorcroft,  
1420 P.R. (2018) Vegetation demographics in Earth System Models: A review of progress and priorities.  
1421 *Global Change Biology*, **24**, 35-54.  
1422  
1423 Fisher, R.A., Muszala, S., Versteinstein, M., Lawrence, P., Xu, C., McDowell, N.G., Knox, R.G.,  
1424 Koven, C., Holm, J., Rogers, B.M., Spessa, A., Lawrence, D. & Bonan, G. (2015) Taking off the  
1425 training wheels: the properties of a dynamic vegetation model without climate envelopes,  
1426 CLM4.5(ED). *Geoscientific Model Development*, **8**, 3593-3619.  
1427  
1428 Fontes, C. G., Chambers, J. Q., and Higuchi, N. (2018) Revealing the causes and temporal  
1429 distribution of tree mortality in Central Amazonia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **424**, 177-  
1430 183.  
1431  
1432 Friedlingstein, P., Meinshausen, M., Arora, V.K., Jones, C.D., Anav, A., Liddicoat, S.K., and  
1433 Knutti, R. (2014) Uncertainties in CMIP5 Climate Projections due to Carbon Cycle Feedbacks.  
1434 *Journal of Climate*, **27**, 511-526.  
1435  
1436 Furniss, T.J., Larson, A.J., Kane, V.R. & Lutz, J.A. (2020) Wildfire and drought moderate the  
1437 spatial elements of tree mortality. *Ecosphere*, **11**  
1438  
1439 Gentry, A.H. (1988) Tree species richness of upper Amazonian forests. *Proceedings of the*  
1440 *National Academy of Science, USA*, **85**, 156-159.  
1441  
1442 Gilbert GS. (2002). Evolutionary ecology of plant diseases in natural ecosystems. *Annual Review*  
1443 *of Phytopathology* **40**: 13-43.  
1444  
1445 Gonzalez-Akre, EB, C. Piponiot, M. Lepore, V. Herrmann, J. A. Lutz,.... K.J. Anderson-Teixeira  
1446 (*in review*). An R database for biomass estimation at globally distributed extratropical forest  
1447 plots. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*  
1448  
1449 Gora, E.M., Kneale, R.C., Larjavaara, M. & Muller-Landau, H.C. (2019) Dead wood necromass  
1450 in a moist tropical forest: stocks, fluxes, and spatiotemporal variability. *Ecosystems*, **22**, 1189-  
1451 1205.  
1452  
1453 Gripenberg, S., Basset, Y., Lewis, O. T., Terry, J. C. D., Wright, S. J., Simón, I., Fernández, C.,  
1454 Cedeño-Sanchez, M., Rivera, M., Barrios, H., Brown, J.W. Calderón, O., Cognato, A.I., Kim, J.,  
1455 Miller, S.E, Morse G.E., Pinzón-Navarro, S., Quicke, D.L.J., Robbins, R.K., Salminen, J.-P., and  
1456 Vesterinen, E. (2019). A highly resolved food web for insect seed predators in a species- rich  
1457 tropical forest. *Ecology Letters*, **22**, 1638-1649.  
1458

1459 Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N, Euthugala, A.U.K., and Esufali, S. (2004). *Ecology of*  
1460 *Sinharaja rain forest and the forest dynamics plot in Sri Lanka's Natural World Heritage Site*. [221](#)  
1461 [pp.](#) WHT Publications (Pvt.) Ltd., Colombo, Sri Lanka.

1462

1463 Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N., Esufali, S., Harms, K.E., Ashton, P.M.S., Burslem,  
1464 D.F.R.P. & Ashton, P.S. (2006) Species–habitat associations in a Sri Lankan dipterocarp forest.  
1465 *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **22**, 371-384.

1466

1467 Harms, K.E., Wright, S.J., Calderon, O., Hernandez, A. & Herre, E.A. (2000) Pervasive density-  
1468 dependent recruitment enhances seedling diversity in a tropical forest. *Nature*, **404**, 493-495.

1469

1470 Harms, K.E., Condit, R., Hubbell, S.P. & Foster, R.B. (2001) Habitat associations of trees and  
1471 shrubs in a 50-ha neotropical forest plot. *Journal of Ecology*, **89**, 947-959.

1472

1473 Harris, I., Osborn, T.J., Jones, P. & Lister, D. (2020) Version 4 of the CRU TS monthly high-  
1474 resolution gridded multivariate climate dataset. *Scientific Data*, **7**.

1475

1476 Harrison, R.D., Tan, S., Plotkin, J.B., Slik, F., Detto, M., Brenes, T., Itoh, A. & Davies, S.J. (2013)  
1477 Consequences of defaunation for a tropical tree community. *Ecology Letters*, **16**, 687-94.

1478

1479 Helcoski, R., Tepley, A. J., Pederson, N., McGarvey, J. C., Meakem, V., Herrmann, V., Thompson,  
1480 J. R., and Anderson-Teixeira, K. J. (2019). Growing season moisture drives inter-annual variation  
1481 in woody productivity of a temperate deciduous forest. *New Phytologist*, **223**, 1204-1216.

1482

1483 Herrmann, V., McMahon, S.M., Detto, M., Lutz, J.A., Davies, S.J., Chang-Yang C.-H., and  
1484 Anderson-Teixeira, K.J. (2016) Tree Circumference Dynamics in Four Forests Characterized  
1485 Using Automated Dendrometer Bands. *PLoS ONE*, **11**, e0169020.

1486

1487 Hoesly, Rachel M., O'Rourke, Patrick R, Smith, Steven J., Feng, Leyang, Klimont, Zbigniew,  
1488 Janssens-Maenhout, Greet, ... Muwan, Presley. (2020). CEDS v\_2019\_12\_23 Emission Data  
1489 (Version v\_2019\_12\_23) [Data set].

1490

1491 Hogan, J., Zimmerman, J., Thompson, J., Uriarte, M., Swenson, N., Condit, R., Hubbell, S.,  
1492 Johnson, D., Sun, I., Chang-Yang, C.-H., Su, S.-H., Ong, P., Rodriguez, L., Monoy, C., Yap, S. &  
1493 Davies, S. (2018) The frequency of cyclonic wind storms shapes tropical forest dynamism and  
1494 functional trait dispersion. *Forests*, **9**, 404.

1495

1496 Hubbell, S.P. (1979a) Tree dispersion, abundance, and diversity in a tropical dry forest. *Science*,  
1497 **203**, 4387, 1299-1309.

1498

1499 Hubbell, S.P. (1979b). A unified theory of biogeography and relative species abundance and its  
1500 application to tropical rain forests and coral reefs. *Coral Reefs*, **16**, S9-S21.

1501

1502 Hubbell, S.P. and Foster, R.B. (1982). The Structure and Dynamics of a Tropical Forest: A  
1503 Demographic Analysis. Proposal to the National Science Foundation.

1504

1505 Hubbell, S.P., Condit, R. & Foster, R.B. (1990) Presence and absence of density dependence in a  
1506 neotropical tree community. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London, B.*, **330**,  
1507 269-281.

1508

1509 Hubbell, S.P. & Foster, R.B. (1992) Short-term dynamics of a neotropical forest: why ecological  
1510 research matters to tropical conservation and management. *Oikos*, **63**, 48-61.

1511

1512 Hubbell, S.P., Foster, R.B., O'Brien, S.T., Harms, K.E., Condit, R., Wechsler, B., Wright, S.J. &  
1513 Loo de Lao, S. (1999) Light-gap disturbances, recruitment limitation, and tree diversity in a  
1514 Neotropical forest. *Science*, **283**, 554-557.

1515

1516 Hubbell, S.P. (2001) *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography*. Princeton,  
1517 NJ: Princeton University Press.

1518

1519 Hurtt, G. C., and S. W. Pacala. 1995. The consequences of recruitment limitation - reconciling  
1520 chance, history and competitive differences between plants. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **176**,  
1521 1-12.

1522

1523 Ickes, K., Paciorek, C.J. & Thomas, S.C. (2005). Impacts of nest construction by native pigs (*Sus*  
1524 *scrofa*) on lowland Malaysian rain forest saplings. *Ecology*, **86**, 1540–1547.

1525

1526 Iida, Y., Kohyama, T.S., Swenson, N.G., Su, S.-H., Chen, C.-T., Chiang, J.-M., Sun, I.F. &  
1527 Zuidema, P. (2014) Linking functional traits and demographic rates in a subtropical tree  
1528 community: the importance of size dependency. *Journal of Ecology*, **102**, 641-650.

1529

1530 Immerzeel, W. W., Lutz, A.F., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., Hyde, S., Brumby,  
1531 S., Davies, B.J., Elmore, A.C., Emmer, A., Feng, M., Fernández, A., Haritashya, U., Kargel, J.S.,  
1532 Koppes, M., Kraaijenbrink, P.D.A., Kulkarni, A.V., Mayewski, P.A., Nepal, S., Pacheco, P.,  
1533 Painter, T.H., Pellicciotti, F., Rajaram, H., Rupper, S., Sinisalo, A., Shrestha, A.B., Viviroli, D.,  
1534 Wada, Y., Xiao, C., Yao, T., and Baillie, J.E.M. (2020) Importance and vulnerability of the world's  
1535 water towers. *Nature*, **577**, 364–369.

1536

1537 Itoh, A., Nanami, S., Harata, T., Ohkubo, T., Tan, S., Chong, L., Davies, S.J. & Yamakura, T.  
1538 (2012) The effect of habitat association and edaphic conditions on tree mortality during El Nino-  
1539 induced drought in a Bornean dipterocarp forest. *Biotropica*, **44**, 606-617.

1540

1541 Janik, D., Kral, K., Adam, D., Vrska, T., & Lutz, J. (2019). ForestGEO Dead Wood Census  
1542 Protocol. Smithsonian Institute, Utah State University. <https://doi.org/10.26078/VCDR-Y089>

1543

1544 Janzen, D. H. 1970. Herbivores and the number of tree species in tropical forests. *American*  
1545 *Naturalist* **104**, 501-528.

1546

1547 Jia, S., Wang, X., Yuan, Z., Lin, F., Ye, J., Lin, G., Hao, Z. & Bagchi, R. (2020) Tree species traits  
1548 affect which natural enemies drive the Janzen-Connell effect in a temperate forest. *Nature*  
1549 *Communications*, **11**, 286.

1550

1551 Jin, Y., Russo, S.E., & Yu, M. (2017) Effects of light and topography on regeneration and  
1552 coexistence of evergreen and deciduous tree species in a Chinese subtropical forest. *Journal of*  
1553 *Ecology*, **106**, 1634-1645.

1554

1555 John, R., Dalling, J.W., Harms, K.E., Yavitt, J.B., Stallard, R.F., Mirabello, M., Hubbell, S.P.,  
1556 Valencia, R., Navarrete, H., Vallejo, M. and Foster, R.B. (2007) Soil nutrients influence spatial  
1557 distributions of tropical tree species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA*, **104**,  
1558 864-869.

1559

1560 Johnson, D.J., Beaulieu, W.T., Bever, J.D. & Clay, K. (2012) Conspecific negative density  
1561 dependence and forest diversity. *Science*, **336**, 904-907.

1562

1563 Johnson, D.J., Needham, J., Xu, C., Massoud, E.C., Davies, S.J., Anderson-Teixeira, K.J.,  
1564 Bunyavejchewin, S., Chambers, J.Q., Chang-Yang, C.-H., Chiang, J.-M., Chuyong, G.B., Condit,  
1565 R., Cordell, S., Fletcher, C., Giardina, C.P., Giambelluca, T.W., Gunatilleke, N., Gunatilleke, S.,  
1566 Hsieh, C.-F., Hubbell, S., Inman-Narahari, F., Kassim, A.R., Katabuchi, M., Kenfack, D., Litton,  
1567 C.M., Lum, S., Mohamad, M., Nasardin, M., Ong, P.S., Ostertag, R., Sack, L., Swenson, N.G.,  
1568 Sun, I.F., Tan, S., Thomas, D.W., Thompson, J., Umaña, M.N., Uriarte, M., Valencia, R., Yap, S.,  
1569 Zimmerman, J., McDowell, N.G., and McMahon, S.M. (2018) Climate sensitive size-dependent  
1570 survival in tropical trees. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, **2**, 1436-1442.

1571

1572 Johnson, M. Galbraith, O., D., Gloor, M., De Deurwaerder H., Guimberteau, M., Rammig, A.,  
1573 Thonicke, K., Verbeeck, H., von Randow, C., Monteagudo, A., Phillips, O.L. Brien, R.J.W.,  
1574 Feldpausch, T.R., Lopez Gonzalez, G., Fauset, S., Quesada, C.A., Christoffersen, B., Ciais, P.,  
1575 Sampaio, G., Kruijt, B., Meir, P., Moorcroft, P., Zhang, K., Alvarez-Davila, E., de Oliveira, A.A.,  
1576 Amaral, I., Andrade, A., Aragao, L.E.O.C., Araujo-Murakami, A., Arets, E.J.M.M., Arroyo, L.,  
1577 Aymard, G.A., Baraloto, C. Barroso, J., Bonal, D., Boot, R., Camargo, J., Chave, J., Cogollo, A.,  
1578 Cornejo Valverde, F., da Costa, A.C.L., Di Fiore, A., Ferreira, L., Higuchi, N., Honorio, E.N.,  
1579 Killeen, T.J., Laurance, S.G., Laurance, W.F., Licona, J., Lovejoy, T., Malhi, Y., Marimon, B.,  
1580 Marimon Junior, B.H., Matos, D.C.L., Mendoza, C., Neill, D.A., Pardo, G., Roopsind, A., Rudas,  
1581 A., Salomao, R.P., Silveira, M., Stropp, J., ter Steege, H., Terborgh, J., Thomas, R., Toledo, M.,  
1582 Torres-Lezama, A., van der Heijden, G.M.F., Vasquez, R., Vieira, I.C.G., Vilanova, E., Vos, V.A.,  
1583 and Baker, T.R. (2016). Variation in stem mortality rates determines patterns of above-ground  
1584 biomass in Amazonian forests: implications for dynamic global vegetation models. *Global Change*  
1585 *Biology*, **22**, 3996-4013.

1586

1587 Jones, F.A., Erickson, D.L., Bernal, M.A., Bermingham, E., Kress, W.J., Herre, E.A., Muller-  
1588 Landau, H.C., and Turner, B.L. (2011) The Roots of Diversity: Below Ground Species Richness  
1589 and Rooting Distributions in a Tropical Forest Revealed by DNA Barcodes and Inverse Modeling.  
1590 *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e24506.

1591

1592 Katabuchi, M., Kurokawa, H., Davies, S.J., Tan, S. & Nakashizuka, T. (2012) Soil resource  
1593 availability shapes community trait structure in a species-rich dipterocarp forest. *Journal of*  
1594 *Ecology*, **100**, 643-651.

1595

1596 Keenan, T.F. and Williams, C.A. (2018). The terrestrial carbon sinks. *Annual Review of*  
1597 *Environment and Resources*, **43**, 219-243.

1598

1599 Koven, C.D., Knox, R.G., Fisher, R.A., Chambers, J., Christoffersen, B.O., Davies, S.J., Detto,  
1600 M., Dietze, M.C., Faybishenko, B., Holm, J., Huang, M., Kovenock, M., Kueppers, L.M.,  
1601 Lemieux, G., Massoud, E., McDowell, N.G., Muller-Landau, H.C., Needham, J.F., Norby, R.J.,  
1602 Powell, T., Rogers, A., Serbin, S.P., Shuman, J.K., Swann, A.L.S., Varadharajan, C., Walker, A.P.,  
1603 Wright, S.J., and Xu, C. (2020) Benchmarking and parameter sensitivity of physiological and  
1604 vegetation dynamics using the Functionally Assembled Terrestrial Ecosystem Simulator (FATES)  
1605 at Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, **17**, 3017-3044.

1606

1607 Kraft, N.J.B., Valencia, R. & Ackerly, D.D. (2008) Functional traits and niche-based tree  
1608 community assembly in an Amazonian forest. *Science*, **322**, 580-582.

1609

1610 Kress, W.J., Erickson, D.L., Jones, A., Swenson, N.G., Perez, R., Sanjur, O., and Bermingham, E.  
1611 (2009) Plant DNA barcodes and a community phylogeny of a tropical forest dynamics plot in  
1612 Panama. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA*, **106**, 18621-18626.

1613

1614 Krůček, M., Král, K., Cushman, K.C., Missarov, A. and Kellner, J.R. (2020) Supervised  
1615 segmentation of ultra-high-density drone Lidar for large-area mapping of individual trees. *Remote*  
1616 *Sensing*, **12**, 3260.

1617

1618 Kupers, S. J., C. Wirth, B. M. J. Engelbrecht, and N. Rüger. (2019) Dry season soil water potential  
1619 maps of a 50 hectare tropical forest plot on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *Scientific Data* **6**, 63.

1620

1621 Kurten, E.L., Bunyavejchewin, S., and Davies, S.J. (2018) Phenology of a dipterocarp forest with  
1622 seasonal drought: Insights into the origin of general flowering. *Journal of Ecology*, **106**, 126-136.

1623

1624 LaFrankie, J.V., S.J. Davies, L.K. Wang, S.K.Y. Lum & S.K. Lee (2005) *Forest Trees of Bukit*  
1625 *Timah, Singapore: Population Ecology in a Forest Fragment*. 178 pp. Simply Green, Singapore.

1626

1627 Lai, J., Mi, X., Ren, H. & Ma, K. (2009) Species-habitat associations change in a subtropical forest  
1628 of China. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **20**, 415-423.

1629

1630 LaManna, J.A., Mangan, S.A., Alonso, A., Bourg, N.A., Brockelman, W.Y., Bunyavejchewin, S.,  
1631 Chang, L.-W., Chiang, J.-M., Chuyong, G.B., Clay, K., Condit, R., Cordell, S., Davies, S.J.,  
1632 Furniss, T.J., Giardina, C.P., Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N., Gunatilleke, C.V.S., He, F., Howe, R.W.,  
1633 Hubbell, S.P., Hsieh, C.-F., Inman-Narahari, F.M., Janík, D., Johnson, D.J., Kenfack, D., Korte,  
1634 L., Král, K., Larson, A.J., Lutz, J.A., McMahon, S.M., McShea, W.J., Memiaghe, H.R., Nathalang,  
1635 A., Novotny, V., Ong, P.S., Orwig, D.A., Ostertag, R., Parker, G.G., Phillips, R.P., Sack, L., Sun,  
1636 I.-F., Tello, J.S., Thomas, D.W., Turner, B.L., Vela Díaz, D.M., Vrška, T., Weiblen, G.D., Wolf,  
1637 A., Yap, S. and Myers, J.A. (2017) Plant diversity increases with the strength of negative density  
1638 dependence at the global scale. *Science*, **356**, 1389-1392.

1639

1640 Lan, G., Getzin, S., Wiegand, T., Hu, Y., Xie, G., Zhu, H. & Cao, M. (2012) Spatial distribution  
1641 and interspecific associations of tree species in a tropical seasonal rain forest of China. *PLoS ONE*,  
1642 **7**, e46074.

1643

1644 Lamarre, G. P. A., Fayle T. M., Segar, S .T., Laird-Hopkins, B., Nakamura, A., Souto-Vilarós, D.,  
1645 Watanabe, S., and Basset, Y. (2020). Monitoring tropical insects in the 21st century. *Advances in*  
1646 *Ecological Research*, **62**, 295-330.

1647

1648 Laurance, W. F., Fearnside, P. M., Laurance, S. G., Delamonica,P., Lovejoy, T.E., Rankin-de  
1649 Merona, J.M., Chambers, J.Q., and Gascon, C. (1999) Relationship between soils and Amazon  
1650 forest biomass: A landscape-scale study. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **118**, 127-138.

1651

1652 Lee, H.S., Davies, S.J., LaFrankie, J.V., Tan, S., Itoh, A., Yamakura, T., Ohkubo, T. & Ashton,  
1653 P.S. (2002) Floristic and structural diversity of 52 hectares of mixed dipterocarp forest in Lambir  
1654 Hills National Park, Sarawak, Malaysia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, **14**, 379-400.

1655

1656 Lee, H.S., P.S. Ashton, T. Yamakura, S. Tan, S.J. Davies, A. Itoh, T. Ohkubo & J.V. LaFrankie.  
1657 (2003). *The 52-Hectare Forest Dynamics Plot at Lambir Hills, Sarawak, Malaysia: Tree*  
1658 *Distribution Maps, Diameter Tables and Species Documentation*. Sarawak Forest Department.  
1659 621 pp. Lee Ming Press, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia.

1660

1661 Leigh, E.G. (2007). Neutral theory: a historical perspective. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **20**,  
1662 2075-2091.

1663

1664 Levine, N.M., Zhang, K., Longo, M., Baccini, A., Phillips, Lewis, S.L., Alvarez-Dávila, E., de  
1665 Andrade, A.C.S., Brienen, R.J.W., Erwin, T.L., Feldpausch, T.R., Monteagudo Mendoza, A.L.,  
1666 Vargas, P.N., Prieto, A., Silva-Espejo, J.E., Malhi, Y., and Moorcroft, P.R. (2016) Ecosystem  
1667 heterogeneity determines the ecological resilience of the Amazon to climate change. *Proceedings*  
1668 *of the National Academy of Science, USA*, **113**, 793-797.

1669

1670 Lewis, S.L., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Sonke, B., Affum-Baffoe, K., Baker, T.R., Ojo, L.O., Phillips,  
1671 O.L., Reitsma, J.M., White, L., Comiskey, J.A., Djuikouo, M.-N., Ewango, C.E.N., Feldpausch,  
1672 T.R., Hamilton. A.C., Gloor, M., Hart, T., Hladik, A., Lloyd, J., Lovett, J.C., Makana, J.-R., Malhi,  
1673 Y., Mbago, F.M., Ndangalasi, H.J., Peacock, J., Peh, K., S.-H., Sheil, D., Sunderland, T., Swaine,  
1674 M.D., Taplin, J., Taylor, D., Thomas, S.C., Votere, R., and Wöll, H. (2009) Increasing carbon  
1675 storage in intact African tropical forests. *Nature*, **457**, 1003-1006.

1676

1677 Lewis, S. L., Sonke, B., Sunderland, T., Begne, S.K., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., van der Heijden,  
1678 G.M.F., Phillips, O.L., Affum-Baffoe, K., Baker, T.R., Banin, L., Bastin, J.-F., Beeckman, H.,  
1679 Boeckx, P., Bogaert, J., De Cannière, C., Chezeaux, E., Clark, C.J., Collins, M., Djagbletey, G.,  
1680 Djuikouo, M.N.K., Droissart, V., Doucet, J.-L., Ewango, C.E.N., Fauset, S., Feldpausch, T.R.,  
1681 Foli, E.G., Gillet, J.-F., Hamilton, A.C., Harris, D.J., Hart, T.B., de Haulleville, T., Hladik, A.,  
1682 Hufkens, K., Huygens, D., Jeanmart, P., Jeffery, K.J., Kearsley, E., Leal, M.E., Lloyd, J., Lovett,  
1683 J.C., Makana, J.-R., Malhi, Y., Marshall, A.R., Ojo, L., Peh, K.S.-H., Pickavance, G., Poulsen,  
1684 J.R., Reitsma, J.M., Sheil, D., Simo, M., Steppe, K., Taedoung, H.E., Talbot, J., Talpin, J.R.D.,  
1685 Taylor, D., Thomas, S.C., Toirambe, B., Verbeeck, H., Vleminckx, J., White, L.J.T., Willcock,S.,

1686 Woell, H., and Zemagho, L. (2013) Above-ground biomass and structure of 260 African tropical  
1687 forests. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **368**, 20120295.  
1688

1689 Li, B., Wang, X., Zhang, J., Bai, X., Ye, J., & Hao, Z. (2010) Changbaishan Temperate Forest  
1690 Dynamic Plots: Broad-leaved Korean Pine Mixed Forest and Secondary Poplar-birch Forest  
1691 Species Composition and Their Spatial Patterns. In : Ma, K. (ed.). Chinese Forest Biodiversity  
1692 Monitoring Network Series. China Forestry Publishing House, Beijing.  
1693

1694

1695 Li, B., Z. Hao, Y. Bin, J. Zhang, and M. Wang. 2012. Seed rain dynamics reveals strong dispersal  
1696 limitation, different reproductive strategies and responses to climate in a temperate forest in  
1697 northeast China. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **23**, 271-279.  
1698

1699 Lin, D. M., J. S. Lai, H. C. Muller-Landau, X. C. Mi, & K. P. Ma. 2012. Topographic variation in  
1700 aboveground biomass in a subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest in China. *Plos One* **7**.  
1701

1702 Liu, J., Bowman, K.W., Schimel, D.S., Parazoo, N.C., Jiang, Z., Lee, M., Bloom, A.A., Wunch,  
1703 D., Frankenberg, C., Sun, Y., O'Dell, C.W., Gurney, K.R., Menemenlis, D., Gierach, M., Crisp,  
1704 D., and Eldering, A. (2017) Contrasting carbon cycle responses of the tropical continents to the  
1705 2015–2016 El Niño. *Science*, **358**, eaam5690.  
1706

1707 Liu, X., Swenson, N.G., Zhang, J., Ma, K. & Thompson, K. (2013) The environment and space,  
1708 not phylogeny, determine trait dispersion in a subtropical forest. *Functional Ecology*, **27**, 264-272.  
1709

1710 Liu, Y., Fang, S., Chesson, P. & He, F. (2015) The effect of soil-borne pathogens depends on the  
1711 abundance of host tree species. *Nature Communications*, **6**, 10017.  
1712

1713 Longo, M., Knox, R. G., Levine, N. M., Swann, A. L. S., Medvigy, D.M., et al. (2019) The  
1714 biophysics, ecology, and biogeochemistry of functionally diverse, vertically and horizontally  
1715 heterogeneous ecosystems: the Ecosystem Demography model, version 2.2 – Part 2: Model  
1716 evaluation for tropical South America. *Geosciences Model Development*, **12**, 4347-4374.  
1717

1718 Losos, E.C. and E.G. Leigh, Jr (eds.) (2004) *Tropical forest diversity and dynamism: Findings*  
1719 *from a large-scale plot network*. [645 pp.](#) University of Chicago Press, Chicago.  
1720

1721 Lu, Z., Bao, D., Liu, H., Xu, Y., Huang, H., Jiang, M., & Gu, Z. (2016) Hunan Badagongshan  
1722 Forest Dynamics Plot: Tree Species and Their Distribution Patterns. In: Ma, K. (ed.). Chinese  
1723 Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network Series. China Forestry Publishing House, Beijing.  
1724

1725 Lucas, M., Forero, D., and Basset, Y. (2016). Diversity and recent population trends of assassin  
1726 bugs (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *Insect Conservation and*  
1727 *Diversity*, **9**, 546-558.  
1728

1729 Luk, C.-L., Basset, Y., Kongnoo, P., Hau, B.C.H., and Bonebrake, T.C. (2019). Inter- annual  
1730 monitoring improves diversity estimation of tropical butterfly assemblages. *Biotropica*, **51**, 519-  
1731 528.

1732  
1733 Luskin, M.S., Brashares, J.S., Ickes, K., Sun, I.-F., Fletcher, C., Wright, S.J., et al. (2017). Cross-  
1734 boundary subsidy cascades from oil palm degrade distant tropical forests. *Nature Communications*,  
1735 **8**, 1–7.  
1736  
1737 Luskin, M.S., Ickes, K., Yao, T.L. & Davies, S.J. (2019). Wildlife differentially affect tree and  
1738 liana regeneration in a tropical forest: An 18-year study of experimental terrestrial defaunation  
1739 versus artificially abundant herbivores. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **56**, 1379–1388.  
1740  
1741 Lutz, J. A. 2015. The evolution of long-term data for forestry: large temperate research plots in an  
1742 era of global change. *Northwest Science* **89**, 255-269. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3955/046.089.0306>  
1743  
1744 Lutz, J.A., Furniss, T.J., Johnson, D.J., Davies, S.J., Allen, D., Alonso, A., Anderson-Teixeira,  
1745 K.J., Andrade, A., Baltzer, J., Becker, K.M.L., Blomdahl, E.M., Bourg, N.A., Bunyavejchewin,  
1746 S., Burslem, D.F.R.P., Cansler, C.A., Cao, K., Cao, M., Cárdenas, D., Chang, L.-W., Chao, K.-J.,  
1747 Chao, W.-C., Chiang, J.-M., Chu, C., Chuyong, G.B., Clay, K., Condit, R., Cordell, S., Dattaraja,  
1748 H.S., Duque, A., Ewango, C.E.N., Fischer, G.A., Fletcher, C., Freund, J.A., Giardina, C., Germain,  
1749 S.J., Gilbert, G.S., Hao, Z., Hart, T., Hau, B.C.H., He, F., Hector, A., Howe, R.W., Hsieh, C.-F.,  
1750 Hu, Y.-H., Hubbell, S.P., Inman-Narahari, F.M., Itoh, A., Janík, D., Kassim, A.R., Kenfack, D.,  
1751 Korte, L., Král, K., Larson, A.J., Li, Y., Lin, Y., Liu, S., Lum, S., Ma, K., Makana, J.-R., Malhi,  
1752 Y., McMahon, S.M., McShea, W.J., Memiaghe, H.R., Mi, X., Morecroft, M., Musili, P.M., Myers,  
1753 J.A., Novotny, V., de Oliveira, A., Ong, P., Orwig, D.A., Ostertag, R., Parker, G.G., Patankar, R.,  
1754 Phillips, R.P., Reynolds, G., Sack, L., Song, G.-Z.M., Su, S.-H., Sukumar, R., Sun, I.F., Suresh,  
1755 H.S., Swanson, M.E., Tan, S., Thomas, D.W., Thompson, J., Uriarte, M., Valencia, R., Vicentini,  
1756 A., Vrška, T., Wang, X., Weiblen, G.D., Wolf, A., Wu, S.-H., Xu, H., Yamakura, T., Yap, S. &  
1757 Zimmerman, J.K. (2018) Global importance of large-diameter trees. *Global Ecology and*  
1758 *Biogeography*, **27**, 849-864.  
1759  
1760 Ma, L., W. Li, N. Shi, S. Fu, J. Lian, and W. Ye. 2019. Temporal and spatial patterns of  
1761 aboveground biomass and its driving forces in a subtropical forest: a case study. *Polish Journal of*  
1762 *Ecology* **67**:95-104.  
1763  
1764 Malhi, Y., Phillips, O.L., Lloyd, J., Baker, T., Wright, J., Almeida, S., Arroyo, L., Frederiksen, T.,  
1765 Grace, J., Higuchi, N., Killeen, T., Laurance, W.F., Leão, C., Lewis, S., Meir, P., Monteagudo,  
1766 A., Neill, D., Núñez Vargas, Panfil, S.N., Patiño, S., Pitman, N., Quesada, C.A., Rudas-Ll., A.,  
1767 Salomão, R., Saleska, S., Silva, N., Silveira, M., Sombroek, W.G., Valencia, R., Vásquez  
1768 Martínez, R., Vieira, I.C.G. & Vinceti, B. (2002) An international network to monitor the structure,  
1769 composition and dynamics of Amazonian forests (RAINFOR). *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **13**,  
1770 439-450.  
1771  
1772 Malhi, Y., Doughty, C.E., Galetti, M., Smith, F.A., Svenning, J.C. & Terborgh, J.W. (2016)  
1773 Megafauna and ecosystem function from the Pleistocene to the Anthropocene. *Proceedings of the*  
1774 *National Academy of Science, USA*, **113**, 838-46.  
1775



1776 Mangan, S.A., Schnitzer, S.A., Herre, E.A., Mack, K.M.L., Valencia, M.C., Sanchez, E.I. & Bever,  
1777 J.D. (2010) Negative plant–soil feedback predicts tree-species relative abundance in a tropical  
1778 forest. *Nature*, **466**, 752-755.

1779  
1780 Manokaran, N., La Frankie, J.V., Kochummen, K.M., Quah, E.S., Klahn, J.E., Ashton, P.S. &  
1781 Hubbell, S.P. (1990) Methodology for the fifty hectare research plot at Pasoh Forest Reserve. In.  
1782 Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong, Malaysia.

1783  
1784 Manokaran, N., LaFrankie, J.V., Kotchummen, K.M., Quah, E.S., Ashton, P.S., and Hubbell, S.P.  
1785 (1993) *Stand tables and distribution of species in the fifty-hectare research plot at Pasoh Forest*  
1786 *Reserve*. FRIM Research Data Series 1. [454 pp.](#) Forest Research Institute of Malaysia, Kepong,  
1787 Malaysia.

1788  
1789 Marchand, P., Comita, L.S., Wright, S.J., Hubbell, S.P. & Beckman, N. (2020) Seed-to-seedling  
1790 transitions exhibit distance-dependent mortality but no strong spacing effects in a Neotropical  
1791 forest. *Ecology*, **101**, e02926.

1792  
1793 McGarvey, J.C., Bourg, N.A., Thompson, J.R., McShea, W.J. & Shen, X. (2013). Effects of twenty  
1794 years of deer exclusion on woody vegetation at three life-history stages in a Mid-Atlantic  
1795 Temperate Deciduous forest. *Northeastern Naturalist*, **20**, 451–468.

1796  
1797 McMahan, S.M. & Parker, G.G. (2015) A general model of intra-annual tree growth using  
1798 dendrometer bands. *Ecology and Evolution*, **5**, 243-254.

1799  
1800 McMahan, S.M., Arellano, G., and Davies, S.J. (2019) The importance and challenges of detecting  
1801 changes in forest mortality rates. *Ecosphere*, **10**, e02615.

1802  
1803 McMichael, C.N., Matthews-Bird, F., Farfan-Rios, W., and Feeley, K.J. (2017) Ancient human  
1804 disturbances may be skewing our understanding of Amazonian forests. *Proceedings of the*  
1805 *National Academy of Science, USA*, **114**, 522-527.

1806  
1807 McShea, W.J., Sukmasuang, R., Erickson, D.L., Herrmann, V., Ngoprasert, D., Bhumpakphan, N.,  
1808 and Davies, S.J. (2019) Metabarcoding reveals diet diversity in an ungulate community in  
1809 Thailand. *Biotropica*, **51**, 923-937.

1810  
1811 Medeiros, C., Scoffoni, C., John, G.P., Bartlett, M., Inman-Narahari, F., Ostertag, R., Cordell, S.,  
1812 Giardina, C., Sack, L. 2019. An extensive suite of functional traits distinguishes wet and dry  
1813 Hawaiian forests and enables prediction of species vital rates. *Functional Ecology* **33**, 712-734.

1814  
1815 Memiaghe, H.R., Lutz, J.A., Korte, L., Alonso, A. & Kenfack, D. (2016) Ecological importance  
1816 of small-diameter trees to the structure, diversity and biomass of a tropical evergreen forest at  
1817 Rabi, Gabon. *Plos One*, **11**, e0154988.

1818  
1819 Mi, X., Swenson, N.G., Valencia, R., Kress, W.J., Erickson, D.L., Pérez, Á.J., Ren, H., Su, S.-H.,  
1820 Gunatilleke, N., Gunatilleke, S., Hao, Z., Ye, W., Cao, M., Suresh, H.S., Dattaraja, H.S., Sukumar,

1821 R. & Ma, K. (2012) The contribution of rare species to community phylogenetic diversity across  
1822 a global network of forest plots. *The American Naturalist*, **180**, E17-E30.  
1823  
1824 Muller-Landau, H. C., and B. D. Hardesty. (2005). Seed dispersal of woody plants in tropical  
1825 forests: concepts, examples, and future directions. Pages 267-309 in D. F. R. P. Burslem, M. A.  
1826 Pinard, and S. Hartley, editors. *Biotic Interactions in the Tropics*. Cambridge University Press,  
1827 Cambridge.  
1828  
1829 Muller-Landau, H. C. (2008). Colonization-related tradeoffs in tropical forests and their role in the  
1830 maintenance of plant species diversity. Pages 182-195 in W. P. Carson and S. A. Schnitzer, editors.  
1831 *Tropical Forest Community Ecology*. Blackwell Scientific.  
1832  
1833 Muller-Landau, H.C., Wright, S.J., Calderón, O., Condit, R., and Hubbell, S.P. (2008) Interspecific  
1834 variation in primary seed dispersal in a tropical forest. *Journal of Ecology*, **96**, 653-667.  
1835  
1836 Muller-Landau, H. C. 2010. The tolerance-fecundity trade-off and the maintenance of diversity in  
1837 seed size. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **107**, 4242-4247.  
1838  
1839 Muller-Landau, H. C., M. Detto, R. A. Chisholm, S. P. Hubbell, and R. Condit. (2014). Detecting  
1840 and projecting changes in forest biomass from plot data. Pages 381-415 in D. A. Coomes and D.  
1841 F. R. P. Burslem, editors. *Forests and Global Change*. Cambridge University Press.  
1842  
1843 Muller-Landau, H.C., K.C. Cushman, E.E. Arroyo, I.Martinez-Cano, K.J. Anderson-Teixeira &  
1844 B. Backiel (2020) Patterns and mechanisms of spatial variation in tropical forest productivity,  
1845 woody residence time, and biomass. *New Phytologist* DOI: 10.1111/nph.17084 (in press).  
1846  
1847 Murphy, S.J., Wiegand, T. and Comita, L.S. (2017) Distance- dependent seedling mortality and  
1848 long- term spacing dynamics in a neotropical forest community. *Ecology Letters*, **20**, 1469-  
1849 1478. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12856>  
1850  
1851 NASA-ESA-Smithsonian Workshop on Calibration and Validation of Upcoming Satellite  
1852 Missions on Forest Structure and Biomass, Washington, DC (2016)  
1853 ([https://nisar.jpl.nasa.gov/files/nisar/NISAR\\_Vegetation\\_Biomass\\_Workshop\\_Report.pdf](https://nisar.jpl.nasa.gov/files/nisar/NISAR_Vegetation_Biomass_Workshop_Report.pdf)).  
1854  
1855 Nee, S. (2005) The neutral theory of biodiversity: do the numbers add up? *Functional Ecology*,  
1856 **19**, 173-176.  
1857  
1858 Needham, J., Merow, C., Chang-Yang, C.-H., Caswell, H. & McMahon, S.M. (2018) Inferring  
1859 forest fate from demographic data: from vital rates to population dynamic models. *Proceedings of*  
1860 *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **285**, 20172050.  
1861  
1862 Ngo, K.M., Turner, B.L., Muller-Landau, H.C., Davies, S.J., Larjavaara, M., Nik Hassan, N.F.b.  
1863 & Lum, S. (2013) Carbon stocks in primary and secondary tropical forests in Singapore. *Forest*  
1864 *Ecology and Management*, **296**, 81-89.  
1865

1866 Osuri, A.M., Ratnam, J., Varma, V., Alvarez-Loayza, P., Astaiza, J.H., Bradford, M., et al. (2016).  
1867 Contrasting effects of defaunation on aboveground carbon storage across the global tropics. *Nature*  
1868 *Communications*, **7**, 11351.

1869  
1870 Peay, K.G., Kennedy, P.G., Davies, S.J., Tan, S., and Bruns, T.D. (2010) Potential link between  
1871 plant and fungal distributions in a dipterocarp rainforest: community and phylogenetic structure of  
1872 tropical ectomycorrhizal fungi across a plant and soil ecotone. *New Phytologist*, **185**, 529-42.

1873  
1874 Peay, K.G., Russo, S.E., McGuire, K.L., Lim, Z., Chan, J.P., Tan, S., Davies, S.J., and Chave, J.  
1875 (2015) Lack of host specificity leads to independent assortment of dipterocarps and  
1876 ectomycorrhizal fungi across a soil fertility gradient. *Ecology Letters*, **18**, 807-816.

1877  
1878 Peres, C.A., Emilio, T., Schiatti, J., Desmoulière, S.J. & Levi, T. (2016). Dispersal limitation  
1879 induces long-term biomass collapse in overhunted Amazonian forests. *Proceedings of the National*  
1880 *Academy of Sciences*, **113**, 892–897.

1881  
1882 Pérez, Á. J., Hernández, C., Romero-Saltos, H., and Valencia, R. (2014) *Árboles emblemáticos*  
1883 *de Yasuní, Ecuador*. 395 pp. Escuela de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica del  
1884 Ecuador, Quito.

1885  
1886 Phillips, O.L., Martinez, R.V., Arroyo, L., Baker, T.R., Killeen, T., Lewis, S.L., Malhi, Y.,  
1887 Monteagudo Mendoza, A., Neill, D., Núñez Vargas, P., Alexiades, M., Cerón, C., Di Fiore, A.,  
1888 Erwin, T., Jardim, A., Palacios, W., Saldias, M., and Vinceti, B. (2002) Increasing dominance of  
1889 large lianas in Amazonian forests. *Nature*, **418**, 770-774.

1890  
1891 Phillips, O. L., van der Heijden, G., Lewis, S.L., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Aragão, L.E.O.C., Lloyd,  
1892 J., Malhi, Y., Monteagudo, A., Almeida, S., Alvarez Dávila, Amaral, I., Andelman, S., Andrade,  
1893 A., Arroyo, L., Aymard, G., Baker, T.R., Blanc, L., Bonal, D., de Oliveira, Á.C.A., Chao, K.-J.,  
1894 Dávila Cardozo, N., da Costa, L., Feldpausch, T.R., Fisher, J.B., Fyllas, N.M., Freitas, M.A.,  
1895 Galbraith, D., Gloor, E., Higuchi, N., Honorio, E., Jiménez, E., Keeling, H., Killeen, T.J., Lovett,  
1896 J.C., Meir, P., Mendoza, C., Morel, A., Núñez Vargas, P., Patiño, S., Peh, K. S.-H., Peña Cruz, A.,  
1897 Prieto, A., Quesada, C.A., Ramírez, F., Ramírez, H., Rudas, A., Salamão, Schwarz, M., Silva, M.,  
1898 Slik, J.W.F., Sonké, B., Sota Thomas, A., Stropp, J., Taplin, J.R.D., Vásquez, and Vilanova, E.  
1899 (2010) Drought-mortality relationships for tropical forests. *New Phytologist*, **187**, 631-646.

1900  
1901 Powell, T.L., Galbraith, D.R., Christoffersen, B.O., Harper, A., Imbuzeiro, H.M., Rowland, L.,  
1902 Almeida, S., Brando, P.M., da Costa, A.C.L., Costa, M.H., Levine, N.M., Malhi, Y., Saleska, S.R.,  
1903 Sotta, E., Williams, M., Meir, P., and Moorcroft, P.R. (2013) Confronting model predictions of  
1904 carbon fluxes with measurements of Amazon forests subjected to experimental drought. *New*  
1905 *Phytologist*, **200**, 350-365.

1906  
1907 Puerta-Piñero, C., H. C. Muller-Landau, O. Calderón, and S. J. Wright. 2013. Seed arrival in  
1908 tropical forest treefall gaps. *Ecology* **94**, 1552-1562.

1909  
1910 Quegan, S., Toan, T.L., Chave, J., Dall, J., Exbrayat, J.-F., Minh, D.H.T., Lomas, M.,  
1911 D'Alessandro, M.M., Paillou, P., Papathanassiou, K., Rocca, F., Saatchi, S., Scipal, K., Shugart,

1912 H., Smallman, T.L., Soja, M.J., Tebaldini, S., Ulander, L., Villard, L. and M. Williams (2019) The  
1913 European Space Agency BIOMASS mission: Measuring forest above-ground biomass from space.  
1914 *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **227**, 44-60.

1915

1916 Qie, L., Lewis, S.L., Sullivan, M.J.P., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Pickavance, G.C., Sunderland, T.,  
1917 Ashton, P., Hubau, W., Abu Salim, K., Aiba, S.-I., Banin, L.F., Berry, N., Brearley, F.Q., Burslem,  
1918 D.F.R.P., Dančák, M., Davies, S.J., Fredriksson, G., Hamer, K.C., Hédli, R., Kho, L. K., Kitayama,  
1919 K., Krisnawati, H., Lhota, S., Malhi, Y., Maycock, C., Metali, F., Mirmanto, E., Nagy, L., Nilus,  
1920 R., Ong, R., Pendry, C.A., Poulson, A.D., Primack, R.B., Rutishauser, E., Samsodin, I., Saragih,  
1921 B., Sist, P., Slik, J.W.F., Sukri, R.S., Svátek, M., Tan, S., Tjoa, A., van Nieuwstadt, M.,  
1922 Vernimmen, R.R.E., Yassir, I., Kidd, P.S., Fitriadi, M., Ideris, N.K.H., Serudin, R.M., Lim,  
1923 L.S.A., Saparudin, M.S., and Phillips, O.L. (2017) Long-term carbon sink in Borneo's forests  
1924 halted by drought and vulnerable to edge effects. *Nature Communications*, **8**, 1966.

1925

1926 Randin, C.F., Ashcroft, M.B., Bollinger, J., Cavender-Bares, J., Coops, Dullinger, S., Dirnböck,  
1927 T., Eckert, S., Ellis, E., Fernández, N., Giuliani, G., Guisan, A., Jetz, W., Joost, S., Karger, D.,  
1928 Lembrechts, J., Lenoir, J., Luoto, M., Morin, X., Price, B., Rocchini, D., Schaepman, M., Schmid,  
1929 B., Verburg, P., Wilson, A., Woodcock, P., Yoccoz, N., and Payne, D. (2020) Monitoring  
1930 biodiversity in the Anthropocene using remote sensing in species distribution models. *Remote*  
1931 *Sensing Environment*, **239**, 111626.

1932

1933 Ren, H., Condit, R., Chen, B., Mi, X., Cao, M., Ye, W., Hao, Z. & Ma, K. (2013) Geographical  
1934 range and local abundance of tree species in China. *PLoS ONE*, **8**, e76374.

1935

1936 Réjou-Méchain, M., Muller-Landau, H.C., Detto, M., Thomas, S.C., Le Toan, T., Saatchi, S.S.,  
1937 Barreto-Silva, J.S., Bourg, N.A., Bunyavejchewin, S., Butt, N., Brockelman, W.Y., Cao, M.,  
1938 Cárdenas, D., Chiang, J.M., Chuyong, G.B., Clay, K., Condit, R., Dattaraja, H.S., Davies, S.J.,  
1939 Duque, A., Esufali, S., Ewango, C., Fernando, R.H.S., Fletcher, C.D., Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N., Hao,  
1940 Z., Harms, K.E., Hart, T.B., Hérault, B., Howe, R.W., Hubbell, S.P., Johnson, D.J., Kenfack, D.,  
1941 Larson, A.J., Lin, L., Lin, Y., Lutz, J.A., Makana, J.R., Malhi, Y., Marthews, T.R., McEwan, R.W.,  
1942 McMahan, S.M., McShea, W.J., Muscarella, R., Nathalang, A., Noor, N.S.M., Nytych, C.J.,  
1943 Oliveira, A.A., Phillips, R.P., Pongpattananurak, N., Punchi-Manage, R., Salim, R., Schurman, J.,  
1944 Sukumar, R., Suresh, H.S., Suwanvecho, U., Thomas, D.W., Thompson, J., Uriarte, M., Valencia,  
1945 R., Vicentini, A., Wolf, A.T., Yap, S., Yuan, Z., Zartman, C.E., Zimmerman, J.K., and Chave, J.  
1946 (2014) Local spatial structure of forest biomass and its consequences for remote sensing of carbon  
1947 stocks. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, **11**, 5711-5742.

1948

1949 Réjou- Méchain, M., Tanguy, A., Piconiot, C., Chave, J., and Hérault, B. (2017) BIOMASS: an  
1950 R package for estimating above-ground biomass and its uncertainty in tropical forests. *Methods in*  
1951 *Ecology and Evolution*, **8**, 1163-1167.

1952

1953 Ricklefs, R.E. & He, F. (2016) Region effects influence local tree species diversity. *Proceedings*  
1954 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113**, 674-679.

1955

1956 Rosindell, J. and R.A. Chisholm, The Species–Area Relationships of Ecological Neutral Theory,  
1957 in The Species–Area Relationship: Theory and Application, T.J. Matthews, K.A. Triantis, and R.J.  
1958 Whittaker, Editors. 2020, Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK. p. 259-288.  
1959

1960 Rubio, V. E., and M. Detto. 2017. Spatiotemporal variability of soil respiration in a seasonal  
1961 tropical forest. *Ecology and Evolution* **7**, 7104-7116.  
1962

1963 Rüger, N., Berger, U., Hubbell, S.P., Vieilledent, G., and Condit, R. (2011) Growth strategies of  
1964 tropical tree species: disentangling light and size effects. *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e25330.  
1965

1966 Rüger, N., Comita, L.S., Condit, R., Purves, D., Rosenbaum, B., Visser, M.D., Wright, S.J., Wirth,  
1967 C. & Salguero-Gomez, R. (2018) Beyond the fast-slow continuum: demographic dimensions  
1968 structuring a tropical tree community. *Ecology Letters*, **21**, 1075-1084.  
1969

1970 Rüger, N., Condit, R., Dent, D.H., DeWalt, S.J., Hubbell, S.P., Lichstein, J.W., Lopez, O.R., Wirth,  
1971 C. & Fariior, C.E. (2020) Demographic trade-offs predict tropical forest dynamics. *Science*, **368**,  
1972 165-168.  
1973

1974 Russo, S.E., Davies, S.J., King, D.A. & Tan, S. (2005) Soil-related performance variation and  
1975 distributions of tree species in a Bornean rain forest. *Journal of Ecology*, **93**, 879-889.  
1976

1977 Russo, S.E., Potts, M.D., Davies, S.J. & Tan, S. (2007) Determinants of tree species distributions:  
1978 comparing the roles of dispersal, seed size, and soil specialization in a Bornean rain forest. *Seed*  
1979 *Dispersal: Theory and its Application in a Changing World* (eds A. Dennis, R. Green, E.W.  
1980 Schupp, D. Wescott), pp. 499– 518.  
1981

1982 Russo, S.E., Brown, P., Tan, S. & Davies, S.J. (2008) Interspecific demographic trade-offs and  
1983 soil-related habitat associations of tree species along resource gradients. *Journal of Ecology*, **96**,  
1984 192-203.  
1985

1986 Russo, S.E., Legge, R., Weber, K.A., Brodie, E.L., Goldfarb, K.C., Benson, A.K., and Tan, S.  
1987 (2012) Bacterial community structure of contrasting soils underlying Bornean rain forests:  
1988 Inferences from microarray and next-generation sequencing methods. *Soil Biology and*  
1989 *Biochemistry*, **55**, 48-59.  
1990

1991 Russo, S., McMahon, S.M., Detto, M., Ledder, G., Wright, S.J., Condit, R., Davies, S.J., Ashton,  
1992 P.S., Bunyavejchewin, S., Chang-Yang, C.H., Ediriweera, S., Ewango, C., Fletcher, C., Foster,  
1993 R.B., Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N., Hart, T., Hsieh, C.F., Hubbell, S., Itoh, A.,  
1994 Kassim, A.R., Yao, T.Z., Lin, Y., Makana, J.-R., Mohamad, M., Ong, P., Sugiyama, A., Sun, I.F.,  
1995 Tan, S., Thompson, J., Yamakura, T., Yap, S. & Zimmerman, J.K. (2020) The interspecific  
1996 growth-mortality trade-off is not a general framework for understanding tropical forest community  
1997 structure. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01340-9>  
1998

1999 Rutishauser, E., Wright, S.J., Condit, R., Hubbell, S.P., Davies, S.J., and Muller-Landau, H.C.  
2000 (2019) Testing for changes in biomass dynamics in large-scale forest datasets. *Global Change*  
2001 *Biology*, **26**, 1485-1498.

2002  
2003 Sánchez-Bayo, F., and Wyckhuys, K. A. (2019). Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review  
2004 of its drivers. *Biological Conservation*, **232**, 8-27.  
2005  
2006 Sakschewski, B., von Bloh, W., Boit, A., Poorter, L., Peña-Claros, M., Heinke, J., Joshi, J., and  
2007 Thonicke, K. (2016) Resilience of Amazon forests emerges from plant trait diversity. *Nature*  
2008 *Climate Change*, **6**, 1032-1036.  
2009  
2010 Šamonil, P., Doleželová, P., Vašíčková, I., Adam, D., Valtera, M., Král, K., Janík, D., and  
2011 Šebková, B. (2013). Individual-based approach to the detection of disturbance history through  
2012 spatial scales in a natural beech-dominated forest. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **24**, 1167–1184.  
2013  
2014 Scheiter, S., Langan, L., and Higgins, S.I. (2013) Next-generation dynamic global vegetation  
2015 models: learning from community ecology. *New Phytologist*, **198**, 957-69.  
2016  
2017 Schepaschenko, D., Chave, J., Phillips, O.L., Lewis, S.L., Davies, S.J., Réjou-Méchain, M., Sist,  
2018 P., Scipal, K., Perger C., Herault, B., Labrière, Hofhansl, F., Affum-Baffoe, K., Aleinikov, A.,  
2019 Alonso, A., Amani, C., Araujo-Murakami, A., Armston, J., Arroyo, L., Ascarrunz, N., Azevedo,  
2020 C., Baker, T., Bałazy, R., Bedeau, C., Berry, N., Bilous, A.M., Bilous, S.Y., Bissiengou, P., Blanc,  
2021 L., Bobkova, K.S., Braslavskaya, T., Brienen, R., Burslem, D.F.R.P., Condit, R., Cuni-Sanchez,  
2022 A., Danilina, D., del Castillo Torres, D., Derroire, G., Lauren, D., Doff Sotta, E., d’Oliveira,  
2023 M.V.N., Dresek, C., Erwin, T., Evdokimenko, M.D., Falck, J., Feldpausch, T.R., Foli, E.G., Foster,  
2024 R., Fritz, S., Garcia-Abril, A.D., Gornov, A., Gornova, M., Gothard-Bassébé, E., Gourlet-Fleury,  
2025 S., Guedes, M., Hamer, K.C., Herry Susanty, F., Higuchi, N., Honorio Coronado, E.N., Hubau,  
2026 W., Hubbell, S., Ilstedt, U., Ivanov, V.V., Kanashiro, M., Karlsson, A., Karminov, V.N., Killeen,  
2027 T., Konan Koffi, J.-C., Konovalova, M., Kraxner, F., Krejza, J., Krisnawati, H., Krivobokov, L.V.,  
2028 Kuznetsov, M.A., Lakyda, I., Lakyda, P.I., Licona, J.C., Lucas, R.M., Lukina, N., Lussetti, D.,  
2029 Malhi, Y., Manzanera, J.A., Marimon, B., Marimon Junior, B.H., Vasquez Martinez, R.,  
2030 Martynenko, O.V., Matsala, M., Matyashuk, R.K., Mazzei, L., Memiaghe, H., Mendoza, C.,  
2031 Monteagudo Mendoza, A., Moroziuk, O.V. Mukhortova, L., Musa, S., Nazimova, D.I., Okuda, T.,  
2032 Oliveira, L.C., Ontikov, P.V., Osipov, A.F., Pietsch, S., Playfair, M., Poulsen, J., Radchenko, V.G.,  
2033 Rodney, K., Rozak, A.H., Ruschel, A., Rutishauser, E., See, L., Schepashchenko, M., Shevchenko,  
2034 N., Shvidenko, A., Silveira, M., Singh, J., Sonké, B., Souza, C., Stereńczak, Stonozhenko, L.,  
2035 Sullivan, M.J.P., Szatniewska, J., Taedoumg, H., ter Steege, H., Tikhonova, E., Toledo, M.,  
2036 Trefilova, O.V., Valbuena, R., Valenzuela Gamarra, L., Vasiliev, S., Vedrova, E.F., Verhovets,  
2037 S.V., Vidal, E., Vladimirova, N.A., Vleminckx, J., Vos, V.A., Vozmitel, F.K., Wanek, W., West,  
2038 T.A.P., Woell, H., Woods, J.T., Wortel, V., Yamada, T., Hajar, Z.S.N., and Zo-Bi, I.C. (2019) The  
2039 Forest Observation System, building a global reference dataset for remote sensing of forest  
2040 biomass. *Scientific Data*, **6**, 198.  
2041  
2042 Schiatti, J., Martins, D., Emilio, T., Souza, P.F., Levis, C., Baccaro, F.B., da Veiga Pinto, J.L.P.,  
2043 Moulatlet, G.M., Stark, S.C., Sarmiento, K., de Araújo, R.N.O., Costa, F.R., Schöngart, J.,  
2044 Quesada, C.A., Saleska, S.R., Tomasella, J., and Magnusson, W.E. (2016) Forest structure along  
2045 a 600 km transect of natural disturbances and seasonality gradients in central-southern Amazonia.  
2046 *Journal of Ecology*, **104**, 1335-1346.  
2047

2048 Schimel, D.S., Asner, G.P., and Moorcroft, P. (2013) Observing changing ecological diversity in  
2049 the Anthropocene. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **11**, 129-137.  
2050

2051 Schimel, D., Pavlick, R., Fisher, J.B., Asner, G.P., Saatchi, S., Townsend, P., Miller, C.,  
2052 Frankenberg, C., Hibbard, K., and Cox, P. (2015) Observing terrestrial ecosystems and the carbon  
2053 cycle from space. *Global Change Biology*, **21**, 1762-1776.  
2054

2055 Schnitzer, S.A. and Bongers, F. (2011) Increasing liana abundance and biomass in tropical forests:  
2056 emerging patterns and putative mechanisms. *Ecology Letters*, **14**, 397-406.  
2057

2058 Segnitz, R.M., Russo, S.E., Davies, S.J. & Peay, K.G. (2020) Ectomycorrhizal fungi drive positive  
2059 phylogenetic plant-soil feedbacks in a regionally dominant tropical plant family. *Ecology*,  
2060 doi:10.1002/ecy.3083.  
2061

2062 Seidler, T.G. & Plotkin, J.B. (2006) Seed dispersal and spatial pattern in tropical trees. *PLoS*  
2063 *Biology*, **4**, e344.  
2064

2065 Seiler, C., Hutjes, R.W.A., Kruijt, B., Quispe, J., Añez, S., Arora, V.K., Melton, J.R., Hickler, T.,  
2066 and Kabat, P. (2014) Modeling forest dynamics along climate gradients in Bolivia. *Journal of*  
2067 *Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences*, **119**, 758-775.  
2068

2069 Shen, G., Yu, M., Hu, X.-S., Mi, X., Ren, H., Sun, I.-F. & Ma, K. (2009) Species area relationships  
2070 explained by the joint effects of dispersal limitation and habitat heterogeneity. *Ecology*, **90**, 3033-  
2071 3041.  
2072

2073 Shen, G., He, F., Waagepetersen, R., Sun, I.-F., Hao, Z., Chen, Z.S. & Yu, M. (2013) Quantifying  
2074 effects of habitat heterogeneity and other clustering processes on spatial distributions of tree  
2075 species. *Ecology*, **94**, 2436-2443.  
2076

2077 Shono, K., Davies, S.J. & Chua, Y.K. (2007) Performance of 45 native tree species on degraded  
2078 lands in Singapore. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, **19**, 25-34.  
2079

2080 Sist, P., Rutishauser, E., Peña-Claros, M., Shenkin, A., Hérault, B., Blanc, L., Baraloto, C., Baya,  
2081 F., Benedet, F., da Silva, K.E., Descroix, L., Ferreira, J.N., Gourlet-Fleury, S., Guedes, M.C., Bin  
2082 Harun, I., Jalonen, R., Kanashiro, M., Krisnawati, H., Kshatriya, M., Lincoln, P., Mazzei, L.,  
2083 Medjibé, V., Nasi, R., d' Oliveira, M.V.N., de Oliveira, L.C., Picard, N., Pietsch, S., Pinard, M.,  
2084 Priyadi, H., Putz, F.E., Rodney, K., Rossi, V., Roopsind, A., Ruschel, A.R., Shari, N.H.Z.,  
2085 Rodrigues de Souza, C., Susanty, F.H., Sotta, E.D., Toledo, M., Vidal, E., West, T.A.P. & Wortel,  
2086 V., Yamada, T. (2015) The Tropical managed Forests Observatory: a research network addressing  
2087 the future of tropical logged forests. *Applied Vegetation Science*, **18**, 171-174.  
2088

2089 Slik, J. W. F., Aiba, S.-I., Brearley, F.Q., Cannon, C.H., Forshed, O., Kitayama, K., Nagamasu,  
2090 H., Nilus, R., Payne, J., Paoli, G., Poulsen, A.D., Raes, N., Sheil, D., Sidiyasa, K., Suzuki, E., and  
2091 van Valkenburg, J.L.C.H. (2010) Environmental correlates of tree biomass, basal area, wood  
2092 specific gravity and stem density gradients in Borneo's tropical forests. *Global Ecology and*  
2093 *Biogeography*, **19**, 50-60.

2094  
2095 Slik, J.W.F., Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Aiba, S.-I., Alvarez-Loayza, P., Alves, L.F., Alves, L.F.,  
2096 Ashton, P., Balvanera, P., Bastian, M.L., Bellingham, P.J., van den Berg, E., Bernacci, L., da  
2097 Conceição Bispo, P., Blanc, L., Böhning-Gaese, K., Boeckx, P., Bongers, F., Boyle, B., Bradford,  
2098 M., Brearley, F.Q., Breuer-Ndoundou Hockemba, M., Bunyavejchewin, S., Calderado Leal Matos,  
2099 D., Castillo-Santiago, M., Catharino, E.L.M., Chai, S.-L., Chen, Y., Colwell, R.K., Chazdon, R.L.,  
2100 Clark, C., Clark, D.B., Clark, D.A., Culmsee, H., Damas, K., Dattaraja, H.S., Dauby, G., Davidar,  
2101 P., DeWalt, S.J., Doucet, J.-L., Duque, A., Durigan, G., Eichorn, K.A.O., Eisenlohr, P.V., Eler, E.,  
2102 Ewango, C., Farwig, N., Feeley, K.J., Ferreira L., Field, R., de Oliveira Filho, A.T., Fletcher, C.,  
2103 Forshed, O., Franco, G., Fredriksson, G., Gillespie, T., Gillet, J.-F., Amarnath, G., Griffith, D.M.,  
2104 Grogan, J., Gunatilleke, N., Harris, D., Harrison, R., Hector, A., Homeier, J., Imai, N., Itoh, A.,  
2105 Jansen, P.A., Joly, C.A., de Jong, B.H.J., Kartawinata, K., Kearsley, E., Kelly, D.L., Kenfack D.,  
2106 Kessler, M., Kitayama, K., Kooyman, R., Larney, E., Laumonier, Y., Laurance, S., Laurance,  
2107 W.F., Lawes, M.J., do Amaral, I.L., Letcher, S.G., Lindsell, J., Lu, X., Mansor, A., Marjokorpi,  
2108 A., Martin, E.H., Meilby, H., Melo, F.P.L., Metcalfe, D.J., Medjibe, V.P., Metzger, J.P., Millet, J.,  
2109 Mohandass, M.D., Montero, J.C., de Morisson Valeriano, M., Mugerwa, B., Nagamasu, H., Nilus,  
2110 R., Ochoa-Gaona, S., Page, N., Parolin, P., Parren, M., Parthasarathy, N., Paudel, E., Permana, A.,  
2111 Piedade, M.T.F., Pitman, N.C.A., Poorter, L., Poulsen, A.D., Pouslen, J. Powers, J., Prasad, R.C.,  
2112 Puyravaud, J.-P., Razafimahaimodison, J.-C., Reitsma, J., dos Santos, J.R., Spironello, W.R.,  
2113 Romero-Saltos, H., Rovero, F., Rozak, A.H., Ruokolainen, K., Rutishauser, E., Saiter, F., Saner,  
2114 P. Santos, B.A., Schöngart, J., Schulze, M., Suganuma M.S, Sheil, D., da Silva Pinheiro, E., Sist,  
2115 P., Stevart, T., Sukumar, R., Sun, I.-F., Sunderland, T., Suresh, H.S, Suzuki, E., Tabarelli, M.,  
2116 Tang, J., Targhetta, N., Theilade, I., Thomas, D.W., Tchouto, P., Hurtado, J., Valencia, R., van  
2117 Valkenburg, J.L.C.H., Van Do, T., Vasquez, R., Verbecck, H., Adekunle, V., Vierira, S.A., Webb,  
2118 C.O., Whitfeld, T., Wich, S.A., Williams, J., Wittmann, F., Wöll, H., Yang, X., Yao, C.Y.A., Yap,  
2119 S.L., Yoneda, T., Zahawi, R.A., Zakaria, R., Zang, R., de Assis, R., Garcia Luize, B., and  
2120 Venticinque, E.M. (2016) An estimate of the number of tropical tree species. *Proceedings of the*  
2121 *National Academy of Science, USA*, **112**, 7472-7477.  
2122  
2123 Sniderhan, A. E., & Baltzer, J. L. (2016). Growth dynamics of black spruce ( *Picea mariana* ) in a  
2124 rapidly thawing discontinuous permafrost peatland: Growth Dynamics Boreal Peatlands. *Journal*  
2125 *of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, **121** (12), 2988–3000.  
2126  
2127 Song, M., Peng, W., Zeng, F., Du, H., Peng, Q., Xu, Q., Chen, L. & Zhang, F. (2018) Spatial  
2128 patterns and drivers of microbial taxa in a karst broadleaf forest. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **9**  
2129  
2130 Song X., Lim, J.Y., Jie, Y. & Luskin, M.S. (in review) When do Janzen-Connell effects matter? A  
2131 meta-analysis.  
2132  
2133 Sreekar, R., Katabuchi, M., Nakamura, A., Corlett, R.T., Slik, J.W.F., Fletcher, C., He, F.,  
2134 Weiblen, G.D., Shen, G., Xu, H., Sun, I.F., Cao, K., Ma, K., Chang, L.-W., Cao, M., Jiang, M.,  
2135 Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N., Ong, P., Yap, S., Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Novotny, V., Brockelman, W.Y.,  
2136 Xiang, W., Mi, X., Li, X., Wang, X., Qiao, X., Li, Y., Tan, S., Condit, R., Harrison, R.D. & Koh,  
2137 L.P. (2018) Spatial scale changes the relationship between beta diversity, species richness and  
2138 latitude. *Royal Society Open Science*, **5**, 181168.  
2139



2140 Stump, S.M., Comita, L.S., 2018. Interspecific variation in conspecific negative density  
2141 dependence can make species less likely to coexist. *Ecology Letters* **21**, 1541–1551.  
2142 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13135>  
2143

2144 Su, S.H, Chang-Yang, C.-H., Lu, CL, Tsui, C.C., Lin, T.T., Lin, C.L., Chiou, W.L. Kuan, L.H.,  
2145 Chen, Z.S., and Hsieh, C.F. (2007) *Fushan subtropical forest dynamics plot: Tree species*  
2146 *characteristics and distribution patterns*. 272 pp. Taiwan Forestry Research Institute, Taipei,  
2147 Taiwan.

2148

2149 Swenson, N.G., Erickson, D.L., Mi, X., Bourg, N.A., Forero-Montaña, J., Ge, X., Howe, R., Lake,  
2150 J.K., Liu, X., Ma, K., Pei, N., Thompson, J., Uriarte, M., Wolf, A., Wright, S.J., Ye, W., Zhang,  
2151 J., Zimmerman, J.K., and Kress, W.J. (2012) Phylogenetic and functional alpha and beta diversity  
2152 in temperate and tropical tree communities. *Ecology*, **93**, 112-125.

2153

2154 Swenson, N.G., Mi, X., Kress, W.J., Thompson, J., Uriarte, M., and Zimmerman, J.K. (2013)  
2155 Species-time-area and phylogenetic-time-area relationships in tropical tree communities. *Ecology*  
2156 *and Evolution*, **3**, 1173-83.

2157

2158 Swenson, N.G., Jones, F.A., and Gibson, D. (2017a) Community transcriptomics, genomics and  
2159 the problem of species co-occurrence. *Journal of Ecology*, **105**, 563-568.

2160

2161 Swenson, N.G., Iida, Y., Howe, R., Wolf, A., Umaña, M.N., Petprakob, K., Turner, B.L., and Ma,  
2162 K. (2017b) Tree co-occurrence and transcriptomic response to drought. *Nature Communications*,  
2163 **8**, 1996.

2164

2165 Swinfield, T., Both, S., Riutta, T., Bongalov, B., Elias, D., Majalap-Lee, N., Ostle, N., Svátek, M.,  
2166 Kvasnica, J., Milodowski, D., Jucker, T., Ewers, R.M., Zhang, Y., Johnson, D., The, Y.A.,  
2167 Burslem, D.F.R.P., Malhi, Y., and Coomes, D. (2019) Imaging spectroscopy reveals the effects of  
2168 topography and logging on the leaf chemistry of tropical forest canopy trees. *Global Change*  
2169 *Biology*, **26**, 989-1002.

2170

2171 Terborgh, J. (2001). Ecological meltdown in predator-free forest fragments. *Science*, **294**, 1923–  
2172 1926.

2173

2174 ter Steege, H., Pitman, N.C., Phillips, O.L., Chave, J., Sabatier, D., Duque, A., Molino, J.-F.,  
2175 Prévost, M.-F., Spichiger, R., Castellanos, H., von Hildebrand, P., and Vásquez, R. (2006)  
2176 Continental-scale patterns of canopy tree composition and function across Amazonia. *Nature*, **443**,  
2177 444-447.

2178

2179 ter Steege, H., Pitman, N.C., Sabatier, D., Baraloto, C., Salomao, R.P., Guevara, J.E., Phillips,  
2180 O.L., Castilho, C.V., Magnusson, W.E., Molino, J.-F., Monteagudo, A., Núñez, P., Montero, J.C.,  
2181 Feldpausch, T.R., Honorio Coronado, E.N., Killeen, T.J., Mostacedo, B., Vasquez, R., Assis, R.L.,  
2182 Terborgh, J., Wittmann, F., Andrade, A., Laurance, W.F., Laurance, S.G.W., Marimon, B.S.,  
2183 Marimon Jr., B.-H., Guimarães Vierira, I.C., Amaral, I.L., Brienen, R., Castellanos, H., Cárdenas  
2184 López, D., Duivenvoorden, J.F., Mogollón, H.F., de Almeida Matos, F.D., Dávila, N., García-  
2185 Villacorta, R., Diaz, P.R.S., Costa, F., Emilio, T., Levis, C., Schiatti, J., Souza, P., Alonso, A.,

2186 Dallmeier, F., Duque Montoya, A.J., Fernandez Piedade, M.T., Araujo-Murakami, A., Arroyo, L.,  
2187 Gribel, R., Fine, P.V.A., Peres, C.A., Toldeo, M., Aymard, C., G.A., Baker, T.R., Cerón, C., Engel,  
2188 J., Henkel, T.W., Maas, P., Petronelli, P., Stropp, J., Zartman, C.E., Daly, D., Neill, D., Silveira,  
2189 M., Paredes, M.R., Chave, J., de Andrade Lima Filho, D., Møller Jørgensen, P., Fuentes, A.,  
2190 Schöngart, J., Cornejo Valverde, F., Di Fiore, A., Jimenez, E.M., Peñuela Mora, M.C., Phillips  
2191 J.F., Rivas, G., van Andel, T.R., von Hildebrand, P., Hoffman, B., Zent, E.L., Malhi, Y., Prieto,  
2192 A., Rudas, A., Ruschell, A.R., Silva, N., Vos, V., Zent, S., Oliveira, A.A., Cano Schutz, A.,  
2193 Gonzales, T., Trindade Nascimento, M., Ramirez-Angulo, H., Sierra, R., Tirado, M., Umaña  
2194 Medina, M.N., van der Heijden, G., Vela, C.I.A., Vilanova Torre, E., Vriesendorp, C., Wang, O.,  
2195 Young, K.R., Baider, C., Balslev, H., Ferreira, C., Mesones, I., Torres-Lezama, Urrego Giraldo,  
2196 L.E., Zagt, R., Alexiades, M.N., Hernandez, L., Huamantupa-Chuquimaco, I., Milliken, W.,  
2197 Palacios Cuenca, W., Pauletto, D., Valderrama Sandoval, E., Valenzuela Gamarra, L., Dexter,  
2198 K.G., Feeley, K., Lopez-Gonzalez, G., and Silman, M.R. (2013) Hyperdominance in the  
2199 Amazonian tree flora. *Science*, **342**, 1243092.

2200

2201 Thomas, D.W., Kenfack, D., Chuyong, G.B., Moses, S.N., Losos, E.C., Condit, R.S., and Songwe,  
2202 N. (2003). *Tree species of southwest Cameroon: Tree distribution maps, diameter tables, and*  
2203 *species documentation of the 50-ha Korup Forest Dynamics Plot*. [254 pp](#). Center for Tropical  
2204 Forest Science of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute and Bioresources Development and  
2205 Conservation Programme-Cameroon, Washington, D.C.

2206

2207 Uriarte, M., C. D. Canham, J. Thompson, J. K. Zimmerman, and N. Brokaw. 2005. Seedling  
2208 recruitment in a hurricane-driven tropical forest: light limitation, density-dependence and the  
2209 spatial distribution of parent trees. *Journal of Ecology* **93**, 291-304.

2210

2211 Uriarte, M., Condit, R., Canham, C.D. & Hubbell, S.P. (2004). A spatially explicit model of  
2212 sapling growth in a tropical forest: does the identity of neighbours matter? *Journal of Ecology*, **92**,  
2213 348–360.

2214

2215 Valencia, R., Foster, R.B., Villa, G., Condit, R., Svenning, J.C., Hernández, C., Romoleroux, K.,  
2216 Losos, E., Magård, E., and Balslev, H. (2004) Tree species distributions and local habitat variation  
2217 in the Amazon: large forest plot in eastern Ecuador. *Journal of Ecology*, **92**, 214-229.

2218

2219 Valencia, R., Condit, R., Muller-Landau, H.C., Hernandez, C., and Navarrete, H. (2009)  
2220 Dissecting biomass dynamics in a large Amazonian forest plot. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, **25**,  
2221 473-482.

2222

2223 van Putten, B., M. D. Visser, H. C. Muller-Landau, and P. A. Jansen. (2012). Distorted-distance  
2224 models for directional dispersal: a general framework with application to a wind-dispersed tree.  
2225 *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**:642-652.

2226

2227 Visser, M.D., Bruijning, M., Wright, S.J., Muller-Landau, H.C., Jongejans, E., Comita, L.S., de  
2228 Kroon, H. & Merow, C. (2016) Functional traits as predictors of vital rates across the life cycle of  
2229 tropical trees. *Functional Ecology*, **30**, 168-180.

2230

2231 Visser, M.D., Schnitzer, S.A., Muller-Landau, H.C., Jongejans, E., de Kroon, H., Comita, L.S.,  
2232 Hubbell, S.P., Wright, S.J. & Zuidema, P. (2017) Tree species vary widely in their tolerance for  
2233 liana infestation: A case study of differential host response to generalist parasites. *Journal of*  
2234 *Ecology*, **106**, 781-794.

2235  
2236 Visser, M.D., Muller-Landau, H.C., Schnitzer, S.A., de Kroon, H., Jongejans, E., Wright, S.J. &  
2237 Gibson, D. (2018) A host-parasite model explains variation in liana infestation among co-  
2238 occurring tree species. *Journal of Ecology*, **106**, 2435-2445.

2239  
2240 Volkov, I., Banavar, J.R., Hubbell, S.P., and Maritan, A. (2003). Neutral theory and relative  
2241 species abundance in ecology. *Nature*, **424**, 1035-1037.

2242  
2243 Wagner, D. L. (2020). Insect declines in the Anthropocene. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **65**,  
2244 457-480.

2245  
2246 Wagner, F., E. Rutishauser, L. Blanc, and B. Herault. 2010. Effects of plot size and census interval  
2247 on descriptors of forest structure and dynamics. *Biotropica* **42**:664-671.

2248  
2249 Walker, A. P., M. G. D. Kauwe, A. Bastos, S. Belmecheri, K. Georgiou, R. Keeling, S. M.  
2250 McMahon, B. E. Medlyn, D. J. P. Moore, R. J. Norby, S. Zaehle, K. J. Anderson- Teixeira, G.  
2251 Battipaglia, R. J. W. Brienen, K. G. Cabugao, M. Cailleret, E. Campbell, J. Canadell, P. Ciais, M.  
2252 E. Craig, D. Ellsworth, G. Farquhar, S. Fatichi, J. B. Fisher, D. Frank, H. Graven, L. Gu, V.  
2253 Haverd, K. Heilman, M. Heimann, B. A. Hungate, C. M. Iversen, F. Joos, M. Jiang, T. F. Keenan,  
2254 J. Knauer, C. Körner, V. O. Leshyk, S. Leuzinger, Y. Liu, N. MacBean, Y. Malhi, T. McVicar, J.  
2255 Penuelas, J. Pongratz, A. S. Powell, T. Riutta, M. E. B. Sabot, J. Schleucher, S. Sitch, W. K. Smith,  
2256 B. Sulman, B. Taylor, C. Terrer, M. S. Torn, K. Treseder, A. T. Trugman, S. E. Trumbore, P. J.  
2257 van Mantgem, S. L. Voelker, M. E. Whelan, and P. A. Zuidema (2020). Integrating the evidence  
2258 for a terrestrial carbon sink caused by increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *New Phytologist*,  
2259 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16866>

2260  
2261 Wang, B., Huang, Y., Li, X., Xiang, W., Ding, T., Liu, S., Liu, Y., Lu, S., Nong, C., Lu, M., Han,  
2262 W., & Li, D. (2016) Guangxi Nonggang Karst Seasonal Rain Forest: Tree Species and Their  
2263 Distribution Patterns. In: Ma, K. (ed.). Chinese Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network Series.  
2264 China Forestry Publishing House, Beijing.

2265  
2266 Wang, X., Wiegand, T., Anderson-Teixeira, K.J., Bourg, N.A., Hao, Z., Howe, R., Jin, G., Orwig,  
2267 D.A., Spasojevic, M.J., Wang, S., Wolf, A. & Myers, J.A. (2018) Ecological drivers of spatial  
2268 community dissimilarity, species replacement and species nestedness across temperate forests.  
2269 *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **27**, 581-592.

2270  
2271 Whittaker R.H. (1965). Dominance and diversity in land plant communities. *Science* **147**, (3655):  
2272 250.

2273  
2274 Williams, L.J., Bunyavejchewin, S., and Baker, P.J. (2008) Deciduousness in a seasonal tropical  
2275 forest in western Thailand: interannual and intraspecific variation in timing, duration and  
2276 environmental cues. *Oecologia*, **155**, 571-82.

2277 Wills, C., K.E. Harms, R. Condit, D. King, J. Thompson, F. He, H.C. Muller-Landau, P. Ashton,  
2278 E. Losos, L. Comita, S. Hubbell, J. LaFrankie, S. Bunyavejchewin, H. S. Dattaraja, S. Davies, S.  
2279 Esufali, R. Foster, N. Gunatilleke, S. Gunatilleke, P. Hall, A. Itoh, R. John, S. Kiratiprayoon, S.  
2280 Loo de Lao, M. Massa, C. Nath, M.N. Supardi Noor, A. Rahman Kassim, R. Sukumar, H.S.  
2281 Suresh, I-F. Sun, S. Tan, T. Yamakura and J. Zimmerman (2006) Nonrandom processes maintain  
2282 diversity in tropical forests. *Science* 311: 527-531.  
2283  
2284 Wright, S.J. (2003). The myriad consequences of hunting for vertebrates and plants in tropical  
2285 forests. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, **6**, 73–86.  
2286  
2287 Wright, S.J., Muller-Landau, H.C., Calderon, O. & Hernandez, A. (2005) Annual and spatial  
2288 variation in seedfall and seedling recruitment in a Neotropical forest. *Ecology*, **86**, 848-860.  
2289  
2290 Wright, S. J., A. Trakhtenbrot, G. Bohrer, M. Detto, G. G. Katul, N. Horvitz, H. C. Muller-Landau,  
2291 F. A. Jones, and R. Nathan. (2008). Understanding strategies for seed dispersal by wind under  
2292 contrasting atmospheric conditions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**, 19084-  
2293 19089.  
2294  
2295 Wright, S.J., Kitajima, K., Kraft, N.J.B., Reich, P.B., Wright, I.J., Bunker, D.E., Condit, R.,  
2296 Dalling, J.W., Davies, S.J., Diaz, S., Engelbrecht, B.M.J., Harms, K.E., Hubbell, S.P., Marks, C.O.,  
2297 Ruiz-Jaen, M.C., Salvador, C.M. & Zanne, A.E. (2010) Functional traits and the growth–mortality  
2298 trade-off in tropical trees. *Ecology*, **91**, 3664-3674.  
2299  
2300 Wright, S.J. (2013) The carbon sink in intact tropical forests. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 337-  
2301 339.  
2302  
2303 Wright, S.J., Sun, I.-F., Pickering, M., Fletcher, C.D., and Chen, Y.-Y. (2015) Long-term changes  
2304 in liana loads and tree dynamics in a Malaysian forest. *Ecology*, **96**, 2748–2757.  
2305  
2306 WWF. (2020). Living Planet Report 2020 - Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. Almond,  
2307 R.E.A., Grooten M. and Petersen, T. (Eds). WWF, Gland, Switzerland  
2308  
2309 Xu, K., Li, D., Huang, H., Liu, D., & Chen, Z., & Lijing (2017) Yulongxueshan Cold Temperate  
2310 Forest Dynamics Plot: Spruce-fir Forest Species Composition and Their Distribution Patterns. In:  
2311 Ma, K. (ed.). Chinese Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network Series. China Forestry Publishing  
2312 House, Beijing.  
2313  
2314 Xu, X., Medvigy, D., Powers, J.S., Becknell, J.M., and Guan, K. (2016) Diversity in plant  
2315 hydraulic traits explains seasonal and inter-annual variations of vegetation dynamics in seasonally  
2316 dry tropical forests. *New Phytologist*, **212**, 80-95.  
2317  
2318 Yang, J., Ci, X., Lu, M., Zhang, G., Cao, M., Li, J. & Lin, L. (2014) Functional traits of tree species  
2319 with phylogenetic signal co-vary with environmental niches in two large forest dynamics plots.  
2320 *Journal of Plant Ecology*, **7**, 115-125.  
2321

2322 Yang, Q., Liu, H., Yang, H., Wang, Z., Fang, X., Ma, Z., & Wang, X. (2019) Tiantong Subtropical  
2323 Forest Dynamics Plot: Tree Species and Their Distribution Patterns. In: Ma, K. (ed.). Chinese  
2324 Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network Series. China Forestry Publishing House, Beijing.  
2325  
2326 Yang, Y., Saatchi, S., Xu, L., Yu, Y., Lefsky, M., White, L., Knyazikhin, Y., and Myneni, R.B.  
2327 (2016) Abiotic controls on macroscale variations of humid tropical forest height. *Remote Sensing*,  
2328 **8**, 494.  
2329  
2330 Yuan, Z. Q., A. Gazol, X. G. Wang, F. Lin, J. Ye, Z. C. Zhang, Y. Y. Suo, X. Kuang, Y. Y. Wang,  
2331 S. H. Jia, and Z. Q. Hao. 2016. Pattern and dynamics of biomass stock in old growth forests: The  
2332 role of habitat and tree size. *Acta Oecologica-International Journal of Ecology* **75**:15-23.  
2333  
2334 Yuan, Z. Q., A. Ali, T. Jucker, P. Ruiz-Benito, S. P. Wang, L. Jiang, X. G. Wang, F. Lin, J. Ye, Z.  
2335 Q. Hao, and M. Loreau. 2019. Multiple abiotic and biotic pathways shape biomass demographic  
2336 processes in temperate forests. *Ecology* **100**:10.  
2337  
2338 Zemunik, G., Davies, S.J. & Turner, B.L. (2018) Soil drivers of local- scale tree growth in a  
2339 lowland tropical forest. *Ecology*, **99**, 2844-2852.  
2340  
2341 Zuleta, D., Duque, A., Cardenas, D., Muller-Landau, H.C., and Davies, S.J. (2017) Drought-  
2342 induced mortality patterns and rapid biomass recovery in a terra firme forest in the Colombian  
2343 Amazon. *Ecology*, **98**, 2538-2546.  
2344  
2345

2346 **Table 1.** Characteristics of 71 ForestGEO FDPs spanning the world's forest types, including total number of trees (trees #), species (species #) and  
 2347 censuses (censuses #), and mean annual temperature (MAT) and mean annual precipitation (MAP). Elevation is the average elevation across each  
 2348 plot. Data for each plot are derived from the most recent census. For Santa Cruz, the plot started as 6 ha in 2007 and was expanded to 16 ha in  
 2349 2012.

2351	Site	Area	First census	Trees	Species	Fisher's	Censuses	Elevation	MAT	MAP
2352		(ha)	(yr)	#	#	alpha	#	(m)	(°C)	(mm/yr)
2353										
2354										
2355	Ailaoshan, Yunnan	20	2014	44168	104	12.8	2	2550	11.3	1778
2356	Amacayacu, Colombia	25	2006	123790	1133	172.2	2	94	25.8	3215
2357	Badagongshan, Hunan	25	2011	186000	238	26.9	2	1420	15.9	1410
2358	Baishanzu, Zhejiang	25	2014	207178	177	19.0	1	1527	12.8	2342
2359	Baotianman, Henan	25	2009	59569	126	15.2	2	.	15.1	886
2360	Barro Colorado Island, Panama	50	1981	208400	299	34.3	8	120	27.1	2551
2361	Bidoup, Vietnam	25	2012	204684	215	23.7	1	1557	17.3	1533
2362	Bukit Timah, Singapore	4	1993	17239	408	75.0	6	99	26.9	2473
2363	Changbaishan, Jilin	25	2004	36904	52	6.0	4	801	2.9	700
2364	Cocoli, Panama	4	1994	3200	176	40.1	3	50	26.6	1950
2365	Danum Valley, Malaysia	50	2010	256729	694	86.8	2	150	26.7	2822
2366	Daxinganling, Heilongjiang	25	2011	209785	18	1.5	1	897	-4.0	458
2367	Dinghushan, Guangdong	20	2005	71617	210	26.6	2	350	20.9	1985
2368	Doi Inthanon, Thailand	15	1997	73269	162	19.7	4	1670	20.9	1908
2369	Donglingshan, Beijing	20	2010	52136	58	6.4	2	1400	4.7	570
2370	Fushan, Taiwan	25	2004	110595	101	11.0	4	667	18.2	4271
2371	Gutianshan, Zhejiang	24	2005	140087	159	17.7	3	581	15.3	1964
2372	Hainan, Hainan	60	2012	439676	290	30.3	2	942	19.8	2381
2373	Haliburton, Canada	13.5	2007	46339	30	3.1	2	434	5.0	1070
2374	Harvard Forest, MA, USA	35	2010	116200	51	5.1	1	354	9.0	1050
2375	Heishiding, Guangdong	50	2013	218513	236	26.1	2	567	22.0	1744
2376	Hong Kong, Hong Kong	20	2012	81021	172	20.8	1	201	23.3	2399
2377	Huai Kha Khaeng, Thailand	50	1992	72500	251	32.6	6	596	23.5	1476
2378	Ilha do Cardoso, Brazil	10.2	2004	40000	106	13.2	2	6	22.4	2100
2379	Indian Cave, NE, USA	18.4	2019	15786	49	6.3	1	315	11.2	876
2380	Ituri, D.R. Congo	40	1994	288000	445	51.6	4	775	24.3	1682

2381	Kenting, Taiwan	10	1996	39093	95	11.7	1	275	25.4	1964
2382	Khao Chong, Thailand	24	2000	121500	593	81.1	4	235	27.1	2611
2383	Korup, Cameroon	50	1996	329000	494	57.0	3	195	26.6	5272
2384	Belalong, Brunei Darussalam	25	2009	155901	1266	188.4	1	240	26.5	5203
2385	La Planada, Colombia	25	1997	105400	240	29.3	2	1818	19.0	4087
2386	Lambir, Malaysia	52	1991	359600	1182	152.2	5	174	26.6	2664
2387	Laupahoehoe, USA	4	2008	14641	21	2.4	2	1160	16.0	3440
2388	Lienhuachih, Taiwan	25	2008	153268	144	15.7	1	754	20.8	2211
2389	Lilly Dickey Woods, IN, USA	25	2012	27993	35	3.9	2	267	11.6	1203
2390	Luquillo, Puerto Rico, USA	16	1990	39160	138	17.9	6	381	22.8	3548
2391	Manaus, Brazil	25	2004	154177	1519	234.0	2	60	26.7	2600
2392	Michigan Big Woods, MI, USA	23	2014	33690	45	5.1	1	288	8.6	857
2393	Mo Singto, Thailand	30.5	2000	134942	264	31.6	3	770	23.5	2100
2394	Mpala, Kenya	120	2011	139259	68	6.9	2	1730	17.9	657
2395	Mudumalai, India	50	1988	25500	72	9.1	8	1050	22.7	1255
2396	Nanjenshan, Taiwan	5.88	1989	36400	125	16.2	4	320	23.5	3582
2397	Ngardok, Palau	4	2017	14216	70	9.6	1	39	28.0	3734
2398	Ngel Nyaki, Nigeria	20.28	2014	41031	106	13.2	1	1639	19.0	1800
2399	Niobrara, NE, USA	20.16	2018	8293	26	3.3	1	687	8.7	597
2400	Nonggang, Guangxi	15	2011	68010	223	28.7	2	275	22.0	1376
2401	Ordway-Swisher, FL, USA	23.04	2019	9935	11	1.2	1	38	20.0	1280
2402	Palamanui, HI, USA	4	2008	15652	15	1.6	2	265	20.0	835
2403	Palanan, Philippines	16	1994	78205	335	44.9	5	97	26.1	3380
2404	Pasoh, Malaysia	50	1986	300211	814	101.9	7	80	27.9	1788
2405	Rabi, Gabon	25	2010	178447	342	40.8	2	41	26.0	2282
2406	San Lorenzo, Panama	6	1996	1935	238	71.3	5	140	26.2	3030
2407	Santa Cruz, CA, USA	6/16	2007/2012	20892	33	3.8	3/2	323	14.8	778
2408	Scotty Creek, Canada	9.6	2013	38050	11	1.0	2	266	-3.2	369
2409	Sinharaja, Sri Lanka	25	1993	193400	204	22.5	5	500	22.5	5016
2410	SCBI, VA, USA	25.6	2008	49285	65	7.4	3	306	12.9	1001
2411	SERC, MD, USA	16	2007	24961	79	10.1	3	8	13.2	1068
2412	Speulderbos, Netherlands	27	2013	9350	13	1.5	2	56	10.1	833
2413	Tiantongshan, Zhejiang	20	2008	94603	153	17.8	2	453	16.2	1375
2414	Traunstein, Germany	25	2015	15758	29	3.4	1	605	7.6	1240
2415	Tyson Res. Center, MO, USA	20.16	2013	30333	42	5.3	2	205	13.5	957

2416	UMBC, MD, USA	12.5	2012	7782	79	12.2	2	50	12.8	1063
2417	Utah, UT, USA	13.64	2014	23177	17	1.8	1	3084	2.7	850
2418	Wabikon, WI, USA	25.2	2008	50130	42	4.5	3	498	4.2	805
2419	Wanang, Papua New Guinea	50	2009	285825	527	62.5	2	140	26.0	3500
2420	Wind River, WA, USA	27.2	2010	30973	26	2.8	2	369	9.2	2495
2421	Wytham Woods, UK	18	2008	16313	23	2.6	3	134	10.0	717
2422	Xishuangbanna, Yunnan	20	2007	95834	468	64.0	3	789	21.8	1493
2423	Yasuni, Ecuador	50	1995	297778	1114	146.2	2	230	28.3	3081
2424	Yosemite Nat. Park, CA, USA	25.6	2009	34458	23	2.4	3	1843	10.2	1065
2425	Zofin, Czech Republic	25	2012	73457	13	1.2	2	780	6.2	866
2426										
2427										



2428 **List of Figures.**

2429

2430 **Figure 1.** Global map of 71 ForestGEO Forest Dynamics Plots.

2431

2432 **Figure 2.** Representation of woody plant diversity in ForestGEO FDPs. The percent of known woody plant  
2433 genera (A) and families (B) recorded within ForestGEO plots based of the global woody plant database  
2434 (Zanne et al. 2014). Percent of global woody plant phylogenetic diversity (phylodiversity) of genera (C)  
2435 and families (D) recorded in ForestGEO FDPs. Phylodiversity is the sum all branch lengths for the  
2436 phylogeny of genera or families (Faith 1992). The phylodiversity percent is the fraction of branches on the  
2437 phylogeny that occur in ForestGEO FDPs. The analysis was based on 63 FDPs (Monks, Davies & Swenson,  
2438 *unpublished data*), so underestimates the actual diversity found in the ForestGEO network. n is the total  
2439 number of woody genera and families.

2440

2441 **Figure 3.** Cumulative growth of ForestGEO peer-reviewed publications since the start of the first plot on  
2442 Barro Colorado Island in Panama in 1981. Publications included up to August 2020.

2443

2444 **Figure 4.** Growth of the ForestGEO network in terms of numbers of species and trees being monitored by  
2445 the FDPs. The number of species is the sum of all recorded species at each plot, so species occurring in  
2446 more than one plot are counted twice. The number of unique species is approximately 12,000. The number  
2447 of trees reflects the number of living trees at the most recent census of each plot. Over time the number of  
2448 tree measurements has grown to over 20 million due to many plots having multiple censuses (Table 1).

2449

2450 **Figure 5.** Pictorial representation of soil, topographic and hydrological variation within the 50-ha FDP at  
2451 Pasoh, Malaysia, illustrating the dramatic variation in edaphic conditions that are often included within a  
2452 typical large-scale ForestGEO FDP. USDA soil taxonomic names listed at the top of each photo which  
2453 were taken within soil pits sampled along the soil catena (hatched boxes) spanning just 30 m of elevation  
2454 difference between the highest and lowest points within the plot. Like most FDPs this edaphic and  
2455 topographic gradient results in significant turnover in species composition across the plot (Davies et al.  
2456 2003).

2457

2458 **Figure 6.** The striking habitat-related variation in spatial distributions of individuals of five species of  
2459 *Shorea* (Dipterocarpaceae) in the 52-ha FDP at Lambir, Sarawak, Malaysia. The pattern of sympatric  
2460 congeneric species occupying different habitats within the same plot is a repeated feature of many  
2461 ForestGEO FDPs. Habitats, defined by soil chemistry and texture, range from most nutrient-poor sandy  
2462 loams (white) to least nutrient-poor clays (dark green). Contour lines span 140 m of elevation change within  
2463 the plot. Dot sizes are scaled to tree size. Species and habitat specialization include: (A) *Shorea*  
2464 *inappendiculata* - clay, B. *Shorea xanthophylla* - fine loam; C. *Shorea amplexicaulis* - loam; D. *Shorea*  
2465 *acuta* - sandy loam; E. *Shorea ochracea* - a generalist species without habitat specialization within the plot.  
2466 Figure adapted from Russo et al. (2005).

2467

2468 **Figure 7.** Photo of network participants at the annual ForestGEO analytical workshop in July 2018 in  
2469 Boubin old-growth forest, Czech Republic; the oldest known forest plot with mapped stems in the world.


2470

2471 **Figure 1.**  
2472  
2473  
2474




2475  
2476  
2477

2478 **Figure 2.**  
2479




2480  
2481  
2482  
2483  
2484

2485 **Figure 3.**  
2486  
2487




2488  
2489  
2490  
2491

2492 **Figure 4.**  
2493  
2494




2495  
2496  
2497  
2498

2499 **Figure 5.**  
2500  
2501



2502  
2503  
2504  
2505

2506 **Figure 6.**  
2507  
2508



2509

2510  
2511  
2512  
2513  
2514

**Figure 7.**



2515  
2516  
2517



2518 **Supplementary Information: Site-specific acknowledgements**

2519

2520 **Ailaoshan:** The 20-ha Ailaoshan forest dynamics plot is a collaborative effort by Xishuangbanna  
2521 Tropical Botanical Garden's Forest Ecology Group and the Ailaoshan Station for Subtropical  
2522 Forest Ecosystem Studies. Many thanks to the research team Fan Zexin, Yang Xiaodong, Zhang  
2523 Jiaolin, Wen Handong, Lin Luxiang, Yang Jie, Hu Yuehua, Song Xiaoyang, Sun Zhenghua, Lu  
2524 Zhiyun, Luo Kang, Chen Si, Yan Qiaoshun, plot Principal Investigator, Cao Min, and many other  
2525 technicians, students, and staff.

2526

2527 **Amacayacu:** The 25-ha Long-Term Ecological Research Project of Amacayacu is a collaborative  
2528 project of the Instituto Amazónico de Investigaciones Científicas Sinchi and the Universidad  
2529 Nacional de Colombia Sede Medellín, in partnership with the Unidad de Manejo Especial de  
2530 Parques Naturales Nacionales and the Forest Global Earth Observatory of the Smithsonian  
2531 Tropical Research Institute (ForestGEO). We acknowledge the Director and staff of the  
2532 Amacayacu National Park for supporting and maintaining the project in this National Park as well  
2533 as coworkers from the Palmeras Indigenous Community for their assistance in fieldwork.

2534

2535 **Badagongshan:** Work at Badagongshan was supported by the National Natural Science  
2536 Foundation of China (31270562) and the Chinese Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network  
2537 (29200931131101919).

2538

2539 **Baishanzu:** The 25-ha Baishanzu forest dynamics plot was established with the cooperation of  
2540 East China Normal University, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhejiang University, Wenzhou University,  
2541 Lishui University and The BSZ Nature Reserve Management Department. Thank you to the field  
2542 team Shuping Yang, Yixin Zhen, Dinyun Chen, Junjie Zhong, Yan Chen, Shuwen Ye, Ziyang  
2543 Wang, Dinghan Huang, Chenyang Lou, and many other technicians, students, and staff, and to  
2544 plot Principal Investigators Fangliang He and Minhua Zhang.

2545

2546 **Baotianman:** The 25-ha Baotianman forest dynamics plot was funded by the National Science  
2547 and Technology Support Plan (2008BAC39B02), the State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and  
2548 Environmental Change (LVEC2011zyts01), the Natural Science Foundation of China (31070554,  
2549 31270642, 31370586), and the Biodiversity Committee of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.  
2550 Thanks to hundreds of college students, graduate students, local workers, and researchers for their  
2551 hard work. Thanks to State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and Environmental Change, Institute of  
2552 Botany, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network,  
2553 Henan Agricultural University, Nanyang Normal University, China University of Mining &  
2554 Technology (Beijing), Pingdingshan University, and Baotianman National Nature Reserve for  
2555 their cooperation and kind support.

2556

2557 **Barro Colorado Island:** The BCI forest dynamics research project was made possible by National  
2558 Science Foundation grants to Stephen P. Hubbell: DEB-0640386, DEB-0425651, DEB0346488,  
2559 DEB-0129874, DEB-00753102, DEB-9909347, DEB-9615226, DEB-9615226, DEB9405933,  
2560 DEB-9221033, DEB-9100058, DEB-8906869, DEB-8605042, DEB-8206992, DEB7922197,  
2561 support from the Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO), the Smithsonian Tropical  
2562 Research Institute, the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, the Mellon Foundation,

2563 the Small World Institute Fund, numerous private individuals, and through the hard work of over  
2564 100 people from 10 countries over the past two decades.

2565  
2566 **Bidoup:** The Bidoup Forest Dynamics Plot was funded by the project TN3/T09 within the Vietnam  
2567 National Key Programme KHCN-TN3/11-15 (Tay Nguyen Programme No. 3), Smithsonian  
2568 Tropical Research Institute and Vietnam Ministry of Science and Technology through the  
2569 Scientific and Technological Cooperation with the US Program.

2570  
2571 **Bukit Timah:** The Bukit Timah Dynamics Plot has been funded mainly by the National Institute  
2572 of Education of Nanyang Technological University and the Smithsonian Tropical Research  
2573 Institute.

2574  
2575 **Changbaishan:** Zhanqing Hao and Xugao Wang were supported by The National Key Research  
2576 and Development Program of China (2016YFC0500302), National Natural Science Foundation of  
2577 China (31570432 and 31370444), Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences, CAS (QYZDB-  
2578 SSW-DQC002).

2579  
2580 **Cocoli:** The 4-ha Cocoli forest dynamics plot is supported by the Smithsonian Tropical Research  
2581 Institute. Thank you to the plot Principal Investigators Richard Condit, Rolando Pérez, and  
2582 Salomón Aguilar, and many field workers, students, technicians, and STRI staff.

2583  
2584 **Danum Valley:** The Danum plot is a core project of the Southeast Asia Rain Forest Research  
2585 Partnership (SEARRP). We thank SEARRP partners, especially Yayasan Sabah, for their support,  
2586 and HSBC Malaysia and the University of Zurich for funding. We are grateful to the research  
2587 assistants who are conducting the census, in particular, the team leader Alex Karolus, and to Mike  
2588 Bernados and Bill McDonald for species identifications. We thank Stuart Davies and Shameema  
2589 Esufali for advice and training.

2590  
2591 **Daxing`angling:** The 25-ha Daxing`angling forest dynamics plot is supported by the Chinese  
2592 Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Network and the Institute of Botany at the Chinese Academy of  
2593 Sciences. Thank you to the research team Zhu Daoguang, Cui Fuxing, Chai Chunrong, and Li  
2594 Jinbo, and plot Principal Investigator Ni Hongwei at the Institute of Natural Resources,  
2595 Heilongjiang Academy of Sciences, as well as many other technicians, students, and staff.

2596  
2597 **Dinghushan:** The 20-ha Dinghushan forest dynamics plot is supported by the Chinese Forest  
2598 Biodiversity Monitoring Network, the Chinese Academy of Sciences Institute of Botany, the  
2599 Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University, and the Chinese Academy of Sciences South China  
2600 Botanical Garden. We thank plot Principal Investigator Wanhui Ye, Professor of South China  
2601 Botanical Garden, and the research team Huang Zhongliang, Cao Honglin, Lian Juyu, Bin Yue,  
2602 and Wang Zhengfeng, and many other technicians, students, and support staff.

2603  
2604 **Doi Inthanon:** The 15-ha Doi Inthanon Forest Dynamics Plot is supported by Osaka City and  
2605 Utsunomiya Universities (Japan), Kasetsart University (Thailand), and the Royal Thai Forest  
2606 Department. Thank you to plot Principal Investigators Mamoru Kanzaki and Kriangsak Sri  
2607 Ngernyuang, as well as many field crew members, research technicians, students, and staff.

2608

2609 **Donglingshan:** The 20-ha Donglingshan Forest Dynamics Plot is supported by the Chinese  
2610 Academy of Sciences Institute of Botany. Many thanks to plot Principal Investigators Weiguo  
2611 Sang and Li Zhu, as well as many field workers, research technicians, students, and staff.  
2612

2613 **Fushan:** Taiwan Forestry Bureau, Taiwan Forestry Research Institute, National Taiwan University  
2614 (Institute of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology), and the Forest Global Earth Observatory  
2615 (ForestGEO) of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute.  
2616

2617 **Gutianshan:** We thank Drs. Mingjian Yu from Zhejiang University, Jianhua Chen for their  
2618 contributions to the establishment and census of the 24-ha permanent forest plot. We gratefully  
2619 acknowledge support from the Administration Bureau of the Gutianshan National Nature Reserve.  
2620

2621 **Hainan:** This research was supported by the Central Public-Interest Scientific Institution Basal  
2622 Research Fund (CAFYBB2017ZE001), National Nonprofit Institute Research Grant of CAF  
2623 (CAFYBB2011004, RITFYWZX200902, RITFYWZX201204), National Natural Science  
2624 Foundation of China (31290223, 41201192), State Forestry Administration of China (201104057).  
2625 It was also supported by the Jianfengling National Key Field Research Station for Tropical Forest  
2626 Ecosystem.  
2627

2628 **Haliburton:** The principal investigators graciously acknowledge the generosity of Haliburton  
2629 Forest and Wildlife Reserve Ltd., in allowing the Principal Investigators to establish and maintain  
2630 the Haliburton Forest Dynamics Plot (HFDP). The HFDP has been made possible through work  
2631 of more than 30 census team members, with notable contributions from census team leaders and  
2632 data managers Michael Drescher, Rajit Patankar, Jon Schurmann, and Leeladarshini Sujeeun. The  
2633 HFDP is financially supported through Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of  
2634 Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grants to both S.C. Thomas and A.R. Martin, and by a University of  
2635 Toronto Connaught New Researcher Award to A.R. Martin.  
2636

2637 **Harvard Forest:** Funding for the Harvard ForestGEO Forest Dynamics Plot was provided by the  
2638 Smithsonian Institution's Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO), the National Science  
2639 Foundation's LTER program (DEB 06-20443 and DEB 12-37491), and Harvard University.  
2640 Thanks to many field technicians who helped census the plot. Jason Aylward was instrumental as  
2641 a field supervisor and with data screening and database management. Thanks to John Wisnewski  
2642 and the woods crew at HF for providing materials, supplies, and invaluable field assistance with  
2643 plot logistics. Joel Botti and Frank Schiappa provided survey expertise to establish the 35-ha plot.  
2644 Special thanks to Stuart Davies and Rick Condit for field training, database assistance, and plot  
2645 advice. Sean McMahon and Suzanne Lao were extremely helpful with field planning, data  
2646 questions, and many plot logistics. Thanks to Jeannette Bowlen for administrative assistance and  
2647 to Emery Boose and Paul Siqueira for help with plot coordinates. Thanks also to David Foster for  
2648 his support and assistance with plot design, location, and integration with other long-term studies  
2649 at HF.  
2650

2651 **Heishiding:** The Heishiding forest plot was partly financially supported by the National Natural  
2652 Science Foundation of China (31925027, 31622014 and 31570426 to Chengjin Chu).  
2653

2654 **Hong Kong:** We thank HSBC for funding the plot and the Policy for Sustainability Lab, Faculty  
2655 of Social Sciences, HKU for coordinating the project.

2656  
2657 **Huai Kha Khaeng:** We thank the many people who helped to create the permanent research plot  
2658 in Huai Kha Khaeng. The administrative staff of Huai Kha Khaeng Wildlife Sanctuary helped with  
2659 logistical problems of the plots on many occasions. The Huai Kha Khaeng 50-hectare plot project  
2660 has been financially and administratively supported by many institutions and agencies. Direct  
2661 financial support for the plot has been provided by the Royal Thai Forest Department and the  
2662 National Parks Wildlife and Plant Conservation Department, the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard  
2663 University (under NSF award #DEB-0075334, and grants from USAID and the Rockefeller  
2664 Foundation), the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, and the National Institute for  
2665 Environmental Studies, Japan. We acknowledge the Royal Thai Forest Department for supporting  
2666 and maintaining the project in Huai Kha Khaeng Wildlife Sanctuary, Thailand.

2667  
2668 **Ilha do Cardoso:** The 10-ha Ilha do Cardoso Forest Dynamics Plot was established with support  
2669 from the project Parcelas Permanente São Paulo (PPSP, BIOTA-FAPESP) and the Universidade  
2670 de São Paulo. Thank you to the plot Principal Investigator Alexandre Adalardo de Oliveira and  
2671 many field workers, research and data technicians, and staff.

2672  
2673 **Indian Cave:** The Nebraska Game and Parks has been an important partner in the establishment  
2674 of the Indian Cave plot. Funding was provided by the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and  
2675 Jacqueline Mars.

2676  
2677 **Ituri (Edoro and Lenda):** The Ituri 40-ha plot program is a collaborative project between the  
2678 Centre de Formation et de Recherche en Conservation Forestière and the Wildlife Conservation  
2679 Society–DRC, in partnership with the Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO) of the  
2680 Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. The Ituri plots are financially supported by the Wildlife  
2681 Conservation Society, the Frank Levinson Family Foundation, and the Smithsonian Forest Global  
2682 Earth Observatory. The Institut Congolais pour la Conservation de la Nature graciously provided  
2683 the research permit.

2684  
2685 **Kenting:** The 2008 tree census was funded by a grant to SHW from the Council of Agriculture  
2686 grant, Taiwan.

2687  
2688 **Khao Chong:** We thank the many people who helped to create the permanent research plot in  
2689 Khao Chong. The administrative staff of Khao Chong Botanical Garden helped with logistical  
2690 problems of the plots on many occasions. Direct financial support for the plot has been provided  
2691 by the people of Thailand through the Royal Forest Department (1991-2003) and the National  
2692 Parks Wildlife and Plant Conservation Department since 2003, the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard  
2693 University, the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, and the National Institute for  
2694 Environmental Studies, Japan, as well as grants from the US National Science Foundation (grant  
2695 #DEB-0075334 to P.S. Ashton and S.J. Davies), US-AID (with the administrative assistance of  
2696 WWF-USA), and the Rockefeller Foundation. Administrative support has been provided by the  
2697 Arnold Arboretum, the Harvard Institute for International Development, the Royal Forest  
2698 Department, and the National Parks Wildlife and Plant Conservation Department. In addition,  
2699 general support for the ForestGEO program has come from the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard

2700 University, the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur  
2701 Foundation, Conservation, Food and Health, Inc., and the Merck Foundation. All of these  
2702 organizations are gratefully acknowledged for their support.  
2703

2704 **Korup:** The 50-ha Korup Forest Dynamics Plot is affiliated with the Smithsonian's Forest Global  
2705 Earth Observatory (ForestGEO). The 3 principal investigators gratefully acknowledge funding and  
2706 other support received from ForestGEO for our three censuses. Funding from the Botanical  
2707 Research Foundation of Idaho is also gratefully acknowledged. Permission to conduct the field  
2708 program in Cameroon is provided by the Ministry of Environment and Forests and the Ministry of  
2709 Scientific Research and Innovation. We also acknowledge the dedicated support of our field team,  
2710 especially field leadership by Sainge Nsanyi Moses and botanical work by Ekole Mambo Peter.  
2711

2712 **Kuala Belalong:** Funding for the 25 ha Kuala Belalong Forest Dynamics Research Plot was  
2713 provided by HSBC-Brunei Darussalam, Smithsonian's ForestGEO, and Universiti Brunei  
2714 Darussalam. We also acknowledge support from Heart of Borneo (HOB)-Brunei Darussalam,  
2715 Brunei Forestry Department, and the Kuala Belalong Field Studies Centre  
2716

2717 **La Planada:** The 25-ha is a collaborative project between the Instituto de Investigación de  
2718 Recursos Biológicos Alexander von Humboldt and the Forest Global Earth Observatory  
2719 (ForestGEO) of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. We especially thank Martha Isabel  
2720 Vallejo and Cristian Samper, who made this project possible. For more information on La Planada,  
2721 visit: [http://i2d.humboldt.org.co/ceiba/resource.do?r=planada\\_parcelapermanente\\_censo1](http://i2d.humboldt.org.co/ceiba/resource.do?r=planada_parcelapermanente_censo1)  
2722

2723 **Lambir:** The 52-ha Long-Term Ecological Research Project is a collaborative project of the Forest  
2724 Department of Sarawak, Malaysia, the Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO) of the  
2725 Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University, USA  
2726 (under NSF awards DEB-9107247 and DEB-9629601), and Osaka City, Ehime & Kyoto  
2727 Universities, Japan (under Monbusho/JSPS Kakenhi grants 06041094, 08NP0901, 09NP0901,  
2728 26304027, and 17H04602). We acknowledge the Sarawak Forest Department for supporting and  
2729 maintaining the project in Lambir Hills National Park.  
2730

2731 **Laupahoehoe and Palamanui:** The Hawai'i Permanent Plot Network thanks the USFS Institute  
2732 of Pacific Islands Forestry (IPIF) and the Hawai'i Division of Forestry and Wildlife/Department  
2733 of Land and Natural Resources for permission to conduct research within the Hawai'i  
2734 Experimental Tropical Forest; the Palāmanui Group, especially Roger Harris, for access to the  
2735 lowland dry forest site. We thank the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute's Forest Global  
2736 Earth Observatory (ForestGEO), the University of California, Los Angeles, the Pacific Southwest  
2737 Research Station of the USFS, the University of Hawai'i, and NSF EPSCoR Grants No. 0554657  
2738 and No. 0903833 for support.  
2739

2740 **Lienhuachih:** The Taiwan Forestry Research Institute, Taiwan Forestry Bureau, Taiwan Academy  
2741 of Ecology, Tunghai University (Taiwan), and the Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO)  
2742 of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute.  
2743

2744 **Lilly Dickey Woods:** Funding for the Lilly Dickey Woods Forest Dynamics Plot was provided by  
2745 the Indiana Academy of Sciences, Indiana University Research and Teaching Preserve, and the  
2746 Smithsonian Institution's Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO).  
2747

2748 **Luquillo:** This research was supported by grants BSR-8811902, DEB 9411973, DEB 0080538,  
2749 DEB 0218039, DEB 0620910, DEB 0963447, DEB-129764, DEB-1546686 AND DEB-1831952  
2750 from NSF to the Department of Environmental Science, University of Puerto Rico, and to the  
2751 International Institute of Tropical Forestry, USDA Forest Service, as part of the Luquillo Long-  
2752 Term Ecological Research Program. The U.S. Forest Service (Dept. of Agriculture) and the  
2753 University of Puerto Rico gave additional support. The LFDP is also supported by the Andrew  
2754 Mellon foundation and the Smithsonian Institution Forest Global Earth Observatory.  
2755

2756 **Manaus:** The Manaus plot is part of the Biological Dynamics of Forest Fragments Project  
2757 (BDFFP) of the National Institute for Amazonian Research (INPA). We thank ForestGEO for all  
2758 the funding and support over the years and for the understanding of the complications of  
2759 implementing a large scale plot in a hyperdiverse forest. We thank BDFFP for all the logistical  
2760 support for conducting field work, and for supporting key team members. We thank João Batista  
2761 da Silva, our field team leader, and the many students that have participated in data gathering and  
2762 in the challenging endless process of plant identification. Funding for work at the Manaus site has  
2763 also been provided by FAPEAM (Fundação de Ampara à Pesquisa do Estado do Amazonas,  
2764 Brazil) and by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Brazil).  
2765 This is publication 803 in the Biological Dynamics of Forest Fragments Project technical series.  
2766

2767 **Michigan Big Woods:** We would like to thank the University of Michigan and Middlebury  
2768 College students who have helped with all of the censuses of the Big Woods Plot. These censuses  
2769 were supported by the Edwin S. George Reserve Fund, a USDA McIntyre-Stennis Grant, and the  
2770 Middlebury College Millennium Fund.  
2771

2772 **Mo Singto:** The 30.5-ha Mo Singto Forest Dynamics Plot is supported by Mahidol University,  
2773 National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, National Science and Technology  
2774 Development Agency, and Thai Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. Many thanks to  
2775 plot Principal Investigators Anuttara Nathalang and Warren Y. Brockelman, and to countless field  
2776 workers, research and data technicians, and staff.  
2777

2778 **Mpala:** The 120-ha Mpala plot is a collaborative project of the National Museums of Kenya, the  
2779 Kenya Wildlife Service, and the Mpala Wildlife Foundation, in partnership with the Forest Global  
2780 Earth Observatory of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. Funding for the two censuses  
2781 was provided by the Forest Global Earth Observatory.  
2782

2783 **Mudumalai:** Funding was received from the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate  
2784 Change, Government of India and the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India.  
2785

2786 **Nanjenshan:** The Nanjenshan plot has been supported by the Taiwan Forestry Bureau, Kenting  
2787 National Park, the National Science Council of Taiwan, and the Forest Global Earth Observatory  
2788 (ForestGEO) of the Smithsonian tropical Research Institute.  
2789

2790 **Ngardok:** The Ngardok 4-ha plot is the first forest dynamics monitoring plot in Palau, establishing  
2791 the Palau-Pacific Island Permanent Plot Network (PIPPNET). PIPPNET is a collaboration of the  
2792 USDA Forest Service, Institute of Pacific Islands Forestry, Palau Forestry under the Ministry of  
2793 Natural Resources, Environment and Tourism, and the Ngardok Nature Reserve of Melekeok  
2794 State. Many thanks to the plot Principal Investigators Susan Cordell, Christian Giardina, and  
2795 Amanda Uowolo, as well as countless field workers, data and research technicians, and staff.

2796  
2797 **Ngel Nyaki:** The 20.28-ha Ngel Nyaki forest dynamics plot was initiated by the Nigerian Montane  
2798 Forest Project (NMFP) under the directorship of Associate Professor Hazel Chapman from the  
2799 University of Canterbury in New Zealand. The establishment of the plot was made possible by a  
2800 significant donation received from Retired General T.Y. Danjuma, whose home is Taraba State.  
2801 Support for the plot has been received from the Taraba State Forest Service, New Zealand Chester  
2802 Zoo, The A.G. Leventis Foundation, and Nexen Nigeria. Many thanks to plot Principal  
2803 Investigators David Kenfack, Hazel Chapman, and Iveren Abiem, as well as countless field  
2804 workers, data and research technicians, and support staff.

2805  
2806 **Niobrara:** The Niobrara Valley Preserve of the Nature Conservancy has been an important partner  
2807 in the establishment of the Niobrara plot. Funding was provided by the University of Nebraska-  
2808 Lincoln and Jacqueline Mars.

2809  
2810 **Nonggang:** We appreciate the researchers from the Guangxi Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy  
2811 of Sciences for their contributions to the establishment and census of the 15-ha Nonggang karst  
2812 forest plot. They are Wusheng Xiang, Bin Wang, Tao Ding, Shuhua Lu, Fuzhao Huang, Wenheng  
2813 Han, Lanjun He, Qingbai Lu, Dongxing Li, respectively. We also thank many volunteers in the  
2814 field work from the College of Life Science, Guangxi Normal University. We acknowledge the  
2815 support from the Administration Bureau of the Nonggang National Nature Reserve.

2816  
2817 **Ordway Swisher:** The Ordway Swisher 23.04-ha Forest Dynamics Plot is supported by the  
2818 University of Florida. Thank you to plot Principal Investigators Stephanie Bohlman and Daniel  
2819 Johnson, as well as many field workers, research and data technicians, and support staff.

2820  
2821 **Palanan:** Funding since 2010 has been provided by the Biodiversity Research Laboratory, Institute  
2822 of Biology, University of the Philippines Diliman (BRL UP Biology), University of the Philippines  
2823 Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs under the Emerging Interdisciplinary  
2824 Developing Research Program (EIDR), the University of the Philippines Diliman Office of the  
2825 Vice Chancellor for Research and Development (UPD OVCRD), the Commission on Higher  
2826 Education (CHED), the Department of Science and Technology Philippine Council for  
2827 Agriculture, Aquatic Resources Research and Development (DOSTPCAARRD), the Energy  
2828 Development Corporation (EDC), the Forest Foundation Philippines, the Diliman Science  
2829 Research Foundation, and the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (STRI). Permits to work in  
2830 the Northern Sierra Madre Natural Park were issued by its Protected Area Management Board  
2831 (PAMB) through the cooperation of Biodiversity Management Bureau's Department of  
2832 Environment and National Resource (BMB-DENR), Local Government of Palanan, Isabela. The  
2833 plot was established by the Isabela State University (Philippines), Conservation International,  
2834 PLAN, and the Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University (USA).

2835

2836 **Pasoh:** The 50-ha forest plot at Pasoh FR is an ongoing project of the Malaysian Government,  
2837 directed by the Forest Research Institute Malaysia through its Director-General, Dato' Abdul  
2838 Razak Mohd. Ali. The project was initiated under the leadership of Drs. N. Manokaran, P. S.  
2839 Ashton and S. P. Hubbell. The project is now a collaboration of the Forest Research Institute  
2840 Malaysia and ForestGEO of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. The late Dr. K. M.  
2841 Kochummen, while on a fellowship at STRI, supervised the species identification and personally  
2842 examined all trees over 10 cm DBH. Funds for the project are gratefully acknowledged from: the  
2843 National Science Foundation, USA (BSR Grant  
2844 No. INT-84-12201 to Harvard University through Drs. P. S. Ashton and S. Hubbell), Conservation,  
2845 Food and Health Foundation, Inc., USA, the United Nations, through the Man and the Biosphere  
2846 program (UNESCO-MAB grant Nos. 217.651.5, 217.652.5, 243.027.6, 213.164.4, and also  
2847 UNESCO-ROSTSEA grant No. 243.170.6), the Center for Tropical Forest Science-Arnold  
2848 Arboretum Asia  
2849 Program at Harvard University, USA, and the National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan.  
2850

2851 **Rabi:** The Rabi 25-ha plot is a collaborative project of the National Center for Scientific and  
2852 Technical Research (CENAREST) in Gabon, the Center for Conservation and Sustainability  
2853 (CCS) of the Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute (SCBI) and the Forest Global Earth  
2854 Observatory (ForestGEO) of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. Funding for the first  
2855 census was provided by Shell Gabon (and now Assala Gabon), ForestGEO, and SCBI. Permission  
2856 to conduct the field program in Gabon is provided by CENAREST. The plot is located in a  
2857 conservation area of between a forest concession of the Compagnie des Bois du Gabon (CBG) and  
2858 Assala oil company.  
2859

2860 **San Lorenzo:** The 5.96-ha San Lorenzo forest dynamics plot is supported by the Smithsonian  
2861 Tropical Research Institute. Thank you to the plot Principal Investigators Richard Condit, Rolando  
2862 Pérez, and Salomón Aguilar, and many field workers, technicians, and STRI staff.  
2863

2864 **Santa Cruz:** The UCSC Forest Ecology Research Plot was made possible by National Science  
2865 Foundation grants to Gregory S. Gilbert (DEB-0515520, DEB-084259, and DEB-1655896), by  
2866 the Pepper-Giberson Chair Fund, the University of California, and the hard work of hundreds of  
2867 UCSC students.  
2868

2869 **Scotty Creek:** The Scotty Creek plot establishment was supported by funds to JLB from the  
2870 Canada Foundation for Innovation, Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation, and Canadian  
2871 Foundation for Climate and Atmospheric Sciences. We are grateful to Rajit Patankar and Cory  
2872 Wallace for their leadership in plot establishment and the hard work of many field assistants.  
2873

2874 **Sinharaja:** The authors gratefully acknowledge the permission given to work in Sinharaja World  
2875 Heritage Site by the Forest Department of Sri Lanka, as well as the generous financial assistance  
2876 given to set up the plot and for censuses by the Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO) of  
2877 the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. We gratefully acknowledge logistical support from  
2878 Uva Wellassa University, University of Peradeniya and Yale University.  
2879

2880 **Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute:** Funding for the Smithsonian Conservation  
2881 Biology Institute (SCBI) large forest dynamics plot was provided by the Smithsonian Institution



2882 (Forest Global Earth Observatory and the National Zoological Park), and the HSBC Climate  
2883 Partnership. We especially thank the numerous technicians, interns, and volunteers of the  
2884 Conservation Ecology Center at the SCBI who were essential in assisting with plot establishment  
2885 and data collection. Support for the original enclosure fence installation was provided by the  
2886 Friends of the National Zoo and Earthwatch Foundation.

2887  
2888 **Smithsonian Environmental Research Center:** Smithsonian Environmental Research Center,  
2889 Earthwatch Institute.

2890  
2891 **Speulderbos:** The 27-ha Speulderbos forest dynamics plot is supported by Wageningen  
2892 University. Many thanks to plot Principal Investigators Jan den Ouden and Patrick Jansen, as well  
2893 as countless field workers, data and research technicians, and support staff.

2894  
2895 **Tiantongshan:** The 20-ha Tiantongshan Forest Dynamics Plot is supported by East China Normal  
2896 University. Thank you to Principal Investigator Wang Xihua, professor at East China Normal  
2897 University, and to the research team Chen Xiaoyong, Yan Enrong, Yang Haibo, Shen Guochun,  
2898 Yang Qionsong, Zheng Zemei, Yao Fangfang, and many others.

2899  
2900 **Traunstein:** The establishment of the plot (2005) has been supported by the Helmholtz Alliance  
2901 “EDA “Remote Sensing and Earth System Dynamics” funded by the Helmholtz Association of  
2902 German Research Centres. The Traunstein forest megaplot was realized in a cooperation between  
2903 Technical University Munic (Freising), Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ  
2904 (Leipzig) and German Aerospace Centre (DLR, Oberpaffenhofen).

2905  
2906 **Tyson Research Center:** The Tyson Research Center Forest Dynamics Plot (TRCP) is supported  
2907 by Washington University in St. Louis' Tyson Research Center. Funding was provided by the  
2908 International Center for Advanced Renewable Energy and Sustainability (I-CARES) at  
2909 Washington University in St. Louis, the National Science Foundation (DEB 1557094), and the  
2910 Tyson Research Center. We thank the Tyson Research Center staff for providing logistical support,  
2911 and the more than 100 high school students, undergraduate students, and researchers that have  
2912 contributed to the project.

2913  
2914 **University of Maryland, Baltimore County:** The two UMBC 6.25-ha forest dynamics plots are  
2915 supported by University of Maryland, Baltimore County. Thank you to plot Principal Investigators  
2916 Mathew Baker and Erle C. Ellis, as well as many field workers, research and data technicians, and  
2917 support staff.

2918  
2919 **Utah Forest Dynamics Plot:** The Utah Forest Dynamics Plot is a collaborative project of Utah  
2920 State University and the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station. We thank Cedar Breaks National  
2921 Monument for providing logistical support, and the students, volunteers and staff individually  
2922 listed at <http://ufdp.org> for data collection.

2923  
2924 **Wabikon:** The Wabikon Lake Forest Dynamics Plot, located in the Chequamegon-Nicolet  
2925 National Forest of northern Wisconsin, is part of the Smithsonian Institution's ForestGEO  
2926 network. Tree censuses at the site have been supported by the 1923 Fund, the Smithsonian Tropical  
2927 Research Institute, and the Cofrin Center for Biodiversity at the University of Wisconsin-Green

2928 Bay. More than 50 scientists and student assistants contributed to the first two plot censuses. We  
2929 are particularly grateful for the leadership of Gary Fewless, Steve Dhein, Kathryn Corio, Juniper  
2930 Sundance, Cindy Burtley, Curt Rollman, Mike Stiefvater, Kim McKeefry, and U.S. Forest Service  
2931 collaborators Linda Parker and Steve Janke.

2932  
2933 **Wanang:** The 50-ha Wanang Forest Dynamics Plot is a collaborative project of the New Guinea  
2934 Binatang Research Center, the Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO) of the Smithsonian  
2935 Tropical Research Institute, the Forest Research Institute of Papua New Guinea, the Czech  
2936 Academy of Sciences, and the University of Minnesota. It has received financial support from the  
2937 US National Science Foundation (DEB-0816749), the Czech Science Foundation (16-18022S),  
2938 the Swire & Sons Ltd., Darwin Initiative for the Survival of Species (19-008), the Grant Agency  
2939 of the Czech Republic (14- 36098G), and the Christensen Foundation. We acknowledge the  
2940 government of Papua New Guinea and the customary landowners of Wanang for supporting and  
2941 maintaining the plot.

2942  
2943 **Wind River:** The Wind River Forest Dynamics Plot is a collaborative project of Utah State  
2944 University and the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station. Funding has been provided by the Forest  
2945 Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO) of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Utah State  
2946 University, the National Science Foundation (1542681), and the Utah Agricultural Experiment  
2947 Station. We acknowledge the Gifford Pinchot National Forest and the Wind River Field Station  
2948 for providing logistical support, and the students, volunteers and staff individually listed at  
2949 <http://wfdp.org> for data collection. The Wind River Forest Dynamics Plot was made possible by a  
2950 grant from Jennifer Walston Johnson to the Smithsonian ForestGEO.

2951  
2952 **Wytham Woods:** The 18-ha Long-Term Forest Monitoring Plot is a collaborative project between  
2953 the University of Oxford, the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, and the Smithsonian Institution  
2954 (ForestGEO) through the HSBC Climate Partnership.

2955  
2956 **Xishuangbanna:** This research was supported by the National Science Foundation of China  
2957 (31570380, 31300358), the Natural Science Foundation of Yunnan Province (2015FB185), the  
2958 Southeast Asia Biodiversity Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences  
2959 (2016CASSEABRIQG002).

2960  
2961 **Yasuní:** We gratefully acknowledge the professional help of numerous biologists and field  
2962 collaborators of the Yasuní forest dynamics plot, particularly Álvaro Pérez, Pablo Alvia and  
2963 Milton Zambrano, who provided invaluable expertise on plant taxonomy. Consuelo Hernández  
2964 organized the data and improved its quality. Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador (PUCE)  
2965 and STRI co-financed the first two censuses of the plot. The third census was financed with funds  
2966 of the Government of Ecuador and PUCE. Seed traps and seedling plots are monitored for over 10  
2967 years thanks to STRI and two awards from the NSF program LTREB (DBI 0614525 and 1122634).  
2968 STRI also sponsored the Carbon Dynamics Initiative. This study was endorsed by the Ministerio  
2969 de Ambiente del Ecuador permits MAE: No 004-2012-IC-FLO-MAE-DPO, 09-FLOMA-DPO-  
2970 PNY and 06-2011-FAU-DPAP.

2971  
2972 **Yosemite:** The Yosemite Forest Dynamics Plot is a collaborative project of Utah State University,  
2973 the University of Montana, and Washington State University. Funding was provided by the Forest

2974 Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO) of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Utah State  
2975 University, the National Park Service and the Joint Fire Science Program (16-1-04-2). We thank  
2976 Yosemite National Park for providing logistical support, and the students, volunteers, and staff  
2977 individually listed at <http://yfdp.org> for data collection. The Yosemite Forest Dynamics Plot was  
2978 made possible by a grant from Jennifer Walston Johnson to the Smithsonian ForestGEO.  
2979

2980 **Žofin:** We acknowledge the Department of Forest Ecology of the Silva Tarouca Research Institute  
2981 for supporting and maintaining the long-term monitoring of the Žofin Forest Dynamics Plot (under  
2982 GA CR grant No. P504/15-23242S). We have received support from the Czech Ministry of  
2983 Education, Youth and Sports, project No. LH12038  
2984

**Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: