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#### Abstract

A convergent route to pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines with a quaternary center at C-10 has been developed, that implies a sequential $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed carbopalladation followed by cross-coupling reaction with boronic acids. The adequate catalytic system and experimental conditions, with and without the use of phosphane ligands, have been selected to control the chemoselectivity of the process, allowing a 6-exo-carbopalladation to generate a quaternary center, and avoiding a direct Suzuki coupling. A variety of electron rich and electron deficient arylboronic acids can be used providing an efficient route to substituted pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines in moderate to good yields (up to $94 \%, 22$ examples).


## Introduction

Cascade reactions play an important role in modern synthetic organic chemistry. ${ }^{1}$ In particular, palladium-catalyzed carbopalladation initiated domino reactions (cascade cyclizations) are powerful carbon-carbon bond-forming processes for the construction of functionalized carbocycles and heterocycles with quaternary stereocenters. ${ }^{2}$ The ideal starting point is an intramolecular Heck reaction, ${ }^{3}$ i.e. the initiation is the oxidative insertion of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ in carbon-(pseudo)halide bonds, followed by the intramolecular carbopalladation of an 1,1-disubstituted alkene, to direct the carbopalladation to the most substituted position preventing $\beta$-hydride elimination. The termination step may be another crosscoupling reaction (Heck, Suzuki, Stille, Sonogashira, etc.). ${ }^{4}$ For example, the generated $\sigma$ alkylpalladium (II) species may undergo an insertion with an alkene in an inter- or intramolecular way (second Heck reaction), so carbopalladation is repeated one or several times. ${ }^{5}$ In the context of our interest in intramolecular palladium-catalyzed reactions, ${ }^{6}$ we have achieved the enantioselective synthesis of the tetracyclic framework of Lycorane alkaloids via a Heck-Heck cascade reaction. ${ }^{7}$ Another termination approach for these palladium-catalyzed cascade reactions is the Suzuki coupling, ${ }^{8}$ where the $\sigma$-alkylpalladium (II) intermediate reacts with boronic acids or esters to produce crosscoupling product. Since Grigg's seminal work (Scheme 1a, $\mathrm{PG}=\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Bn} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CH}$, $\left.R^{2}=H, R^{3}=M e\right),{ }^{9}$ these domino Heck/Suzuki cascade reactions have been widely exploited for the formation of five-membered rings. Thus, domino 5-exo-trig intramolecular carbopalladation-cross coupling reactions with various organoboranes provide access to functionalized 3,3-disubstituted azaindolines (Scheme 1a, PG $\left.=\mathrm{Ts} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right)^{10}$ and oxindoles (Scheme 1a, $\left.\mathrm{PG}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}\right)^{11}$ bearing quaternary stereocenters. In the latter case, the diasterocontrol in the syn palladation step allowed the stereoespecific generation of two vicinal stereocenters. A similar Ni-catalyzed Heck/Suzuki cascade reaction has been recently applied to the synthesis of oxindoles (Scheme 1a, $\mathrm{PG}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Bn} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OTf}$, OPiv, $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{R}^{3}=$ Me. ${ }^{12}$ Moreover, this cascade reaction is not limited to carbopalladation of alkenes, but can also be applied to alkynes, as exemplified in the synthesis of alkylidene substituted indenes, ${ }^{13}$ benzofurans ${ }^{14}$ or
cyclopenta[b]indoles, ${ }^{15}$ which are interesting kinase inhibitor precursors. The $E / Z$ selectivity of the alkylidene formation is also determined in the 5-exo-dig syn carbopalladation step. However, to the best of our knowledge, the diastereoselective synthesis of 3,4,4-trisubstituted tetrahydroquinolines via a 6-exo-trig carbopalladation/Suzuki coupling of adequately functionalized o-bromoanilines (Scheme 1b) ${ }^{16}$ is one of the few of examples of the construction of six-membered rings. ${ }^{17}$ Therefore, we decided to explore the domino palladium-catalyzed intramolecular Heck/Suzuki coupling cascade, employing oiodobenzylpyrroles 1 with an alkene in the proper position and boronic acids (Scheme 1c). Herein, we report a convergent route to pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines, which combines the cyclization by carbopalladation followed by the cross-coupling reaction with a boronic acid, and allows the straightforward preparation of a wide variety of derivatives bearing a quaternary stereocenter.

a) Previous work: 5-exo-trig / Suzuki coupling references 9b, 10, 11
reference 12 (with $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ )


b) Previous work: 6-exo-trig / Suzuki coupling (reference 16 )

c) This work


Scheme 1. Carbopalladation - Suzuki cascade.

Pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline is a common structural motif among many biologically active alkaloids, such as the lycorine class of Amaryllidaceae alkaloids ${ }^{18}$ and the phenanthroindolizidine alkaloids, ${ }^{19}$ and in many molecules exhibiting useful therapeutic properties. Some examples are displayed in Figure 1. Lycorine and Galanthine exhibit anticancer, acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory, antiplasmodial, or neuroprotective activities ${ }^{20}$ while Tylophorine and Hypoestestatin 1 present cytotoxic properties and antiviral activity. ${ }^{21}$ Among the synthetic pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines, the 10 -amino derivatives are also used as AChE inhibitors for anti-amnesic action in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease, senile dementia, or other conditions characterized by memory loss, ${ }^{22}$ while the 1,2 -bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10dihydropyrrolo $[1,2-b]$ isoquinolines and their bis(alkylcarbamates) exhibit significant antitumor activity and are able to induce DNA interstrand cross-linking. ${ }^{23}$ Therefore, the development of new methodologies for the synthesis of pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines that allows the preparation of a wide variety of derivatives, could be useful in future studies of structure-activity relationships for drug development.

Lycorane-type and phenanthroindolizine alkaloids


Synthetic pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines


$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{OCONHMe}$
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$, alkoxy.. $R^{2}=M e, E t$. etc.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{2}=\text { NHalkyl, NHalkanoyl... } \\
& \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \text { alkyl,... }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 1. Selected bioactive compounds that contain fused pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline frameworks

## Results and Discussion

We started our study using 2-iodobenzylpyrrole $\mathbf{1 a}$ as substrate, in the presence of ( $p$ methoxyphenyl)boronic acid (2a) (Table 1). ${ }^{24}$ The nature of the intermediate palladium(II) species would be crucial for the rate of carbopalladation to compete successfully with the rate of an early Suzuki cross-coupling (Scheme 1c). Therefore, the first challenge was to control the chemoselectivity by the adequate choice of the catalytic system and/or experimental conditions. We first focused on the use of catalytic systems in the absence of phosphane ligands. Besides the economical and environmental reasons for the development and application of phosphane-free catalytic systems, we reasoned that these conditions could be, in principle, suitable for the sterically more demanding generation of a quaternary stereocenter. Previous work on related reactions (Scheme 1a,b) had shown that complete conversions could be obtained in the absence of phosphane ligands using various palladium precatalysts. ${ }^{10}$ On the other hand, moderate to excellent conversions have been obtained as well in the presence of phosphane ligands. ${ }^{9 b,}{ }^{11}$ Interestingly, the presence of a phosphane has been shown to be required for the 6 -exo cyclization cascade, ${ }^{9 b, 16}$ with complete loss of reactivity in its absence. ${ }^{16}$ However, in our case, using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and sodium carbonate as the base in DMF, the reaction took place sluggishly, recovering unreacted 1a $(24 \%)$ after 48 hours at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The major product isolated was pyrroloisoquinoline 3aa, although in a low yield (entry 1). Under these conditions, the reaction was not selective, as two byproducts, biaryl 4a and pyrroloazepine $\mathbf{5}$ were isolated from the reaction mixture. This result shows the feasibility of the cascade reaction using a phosphane-free catalytic system, but also shows the difficulty of performing the 6-exo carbopalladation process for the generation of a quaternary center, as both the direct Suzuki coupling of $\mathbf{2 a}$ with the aryl iodide to form $\mathbf{4 a}$ and the 7 -endo palladation/ $\beta$-elimination leading to 5 compete effectively. Consequently, we focused on the optimization of reaction conditions to favor the 6 -exo carbopalladation reaction vs. the 7 -endo process and the direct Suzuki coupling (Table 1). In the presence of water, the reaction was completed in 48 h , but only to increase the amount of isolated $4 \mathbf{a}$ (entry 2). The addition of $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ (1 equiv) dramatically increased the reaction rate, ${ }^{25}$ that was completed in 2 h , but the reaction was not selective. In this case, direct Suzuki coupling was the
major pathway (4a), with also a significant amount of the 7 -endo Heck pathway (entry 3). Lowering the temperature to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in a much slower reaction, with almost no selectivity, isolating the three reaction products in comparable yields (entry 4). In the absence of water, using DMF as solvent, 3aa was isolated as the major compound (entry 5) and, finally, the addition of 2 equivalents of $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ completely suppressed the direct Suzuki pathway, isolating 3aa as the major compound (entry 6). The presence of halide anions has been shown to increase the rates of some of the steps of the catalytic cycle of the Heck reaction, ${ }^{25}$ while an increasing concentration of halide anions has the opposite effect on the transmetalation step of the Suzuki reaction. ${ }^{26}$ Thus, the use of a higher concentration of this additive may slow down the direct Suzuki coupling allowing the 6-exo carbopalladation to occur at a competitive rate. However, the use of 3 equivalents, or the change to $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}$ or $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOAc}$ did not improve the isolated yield of 3aa (entries 7-9). We then modified the palladium precatalyst (entries 10-12) and the solvent (entries 13-15), obtaining moderate isolated yields of 3aa. Interestingly, in the presence of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, the Suzuki coupling was the major pathway ( $28 \%$ of $\mathbf{4 a}$ ), despite the use of $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$, obtaining a low yield of 3aa (25\%) (entry 11). Unfortunately, the 7 -endo Heck pathway could not be completely suppressed under any of the reaction conditions tested. For these reactions, the overall isolated yield is rather low due to the difficulties associated with the separation and purification of compounds by chromatography, but no formation of other products was detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of the crude reaction mixtures.

Table 1. Carbopalladation/Suzuki sequence on 1a. Optimization of reaction conditions with phosphane- free catalytic systems


| entry | $[\mathrm{Pd}]$ | Additive | Solvent | Time | $\mathbf{3 a a}^{a}$ | $\mathbf{4 a}^{a}$ | $\mathbf{5}^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(equiv) (h)

| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | - | DMF | $48^{b}$ | 34 | 4 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | - | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{c}$ | 48 | 30 | 12 | 21 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ (1) | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{c}$ | 2 | 22 | 33 | 26 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} \mathrm{(1)}$ | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {c,d }}$ | $48^{e}$ | 27 | 27 | 29 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} \mathrm{(1)}$ | DMF | 1 | 47 | 7 | 19 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} \mathrm{(2)}$ | DMF | 1 | 56 | - | 13 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} \mathrm{(3)}$ | DMF | 1 | 52 | - | 7 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}(1)$ | DMF | 1 | 51 | - | 16 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOAc}$ (2) | DMF | 1 | 10 | - | 14 |
| 10 | $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{TFA})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ (2) | DMF | 2 | 46 | 9 | 11 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} \mathrm{(2)}$ | DMF | 9 | 25 | 28 | 15 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{NCl}}$ (2) | DMF | 4 | 42 | - | 10 |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} \mathrm{(2)}$ | Toluene ${ }^{f}$ | 2 | 34 | - | 21 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ (2) | THF ${ }^{f}$ | 5 | 52 | - | 17 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} \mathrm{(2)}$ | Dioxane $f$ | 1 | 56 | - | 25 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl} \mathrm{(2)}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{f}$ | 2 | 40 | - | 29 |

${ }^{a}$ Yield (\%) of isolated pure compound. Reactions were carried out in a 0.3 mmol scale. ${ }^{b} 76 \%$ conversion. ${ }^{c}$ DMF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 80: 20 .{ }^{d} 90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{e} 84 \%$ conversion. ${ }^{f}$ Reflux

With the best reaction conditions in hand (Table 1 entry 6, Table 2 entry 1), we extended the reaction to the use of different boronic acids $\mathbf{2 b}-\mathbf{m}$ (Table 2).

Table 2. Extension of phosphane-free reaction to boronic acids 2a-m.
Entry

[^0]Moderate to good yields of pyrrolosiquinolines 3aa-3aj were obtained when electron rich, electron deficient or even polycyclic aryl boronic acids were used. Minor amounts of the pyrroloazepine $\mathbf{5}$ were detected by NMR that in some of the experiments was isolated and quantified (entries $1,3,5,6$ ), but no formation of the direct Suzuki coupling was detected. A lower yield of $\mathbf{3 a g}$ was obtained when phenyl boronic acid pinacol ester was used instead of $\mathbf{2 g}$ ( $19 \%$ vs $46 \%$, entry 7 ). However the reaction with thiophen-3-ylboronic acid $\mathbf{2 k}$ was much slower ( 48 h ), recovering $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ of starting material and giving only a low yield of 3ak, (entry 11). Alkenes could also be coupled with this procedure, although with a lower yield (entries 12, 13).

Next, we studied the extension to 2-iodobenzylpyrroles $\mathbf{1 b}$-h, with different substitution patterns on the aromatic ring and the alkene. It is interesting that when an electron-withdrawing group, such as $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$, is incorporated in the alkene $\left(\mathbf{1 b}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$, the intramolecular direct arylation of the aryl iodide with pyrrole C-5 position becomes the major pathway leading to $\mathbf{6}$ as the major compound (Table 3). Thus, 3ba and 3bc were obtained only in low yields. This type of reactivity has been shown to be competitive in Heck reactions with related substrates, using $\mathrm{Pd} /$ phosphane catalytic systems, specially when a cationic mechanism is favored. ${ }^{66,27}$ In this case, formation of pyrrolo[2,1-a]isoindoles was not observed when the alkene is substituted with an alkyl group, and 3cc was obtained from $\mathbf{1 c},\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Et}\right)$ with similar yield. The reaction could also be extended to benzylpyrroles with different substitution patterns on the aromatic ring (1d-h), obtaining the corresponding pyrroloisoquinolines with moderate to good yields (Table 3). However, benzylpyrroles $\mathbf{1}$ bearing electron rich aromatic rings led to better yields of $\mathbf{3}$.

Table 3. Synthesis of pyrroloisoquinolines 3.

${ }^{a}$ Yield (\%) of isolated pure product. Reactions were carried out in a 0.3 mmol scale.

At this point, we have shown that is it possible to carry out the 6 -exo-trig carbopalladation/Suzuki cascade using a phosphane-free catalytic system. However, the overall yields obtained are moderate in many cases, as the competitive 7 -endo cyclization/elimination leading to $\mathbf{5}$ could not be completely suppressed under these conditions. Although we had previously shown that the formation of quaternary stereocenters via Heck reaction was possible on related substrates in the presence of phosphane ligands, ${ }^{27}$ the use of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ on the coupling of 1a with 2a led to a non-selective reaction (Table 1, entry 11). With these precedents, we carried out a further optimization of the reaction conditions, studying the effect of a phosphane ligand, using the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with boronic acid $\mathbf{2 c}$ (Table 4), which had given a moderate yield of $\mathbf{3 a c}$ under the phosphane-free reaction conditions $(60 \%$, Table 2 , entry 3$)$.

Table 4. Optimization of reaction conditions in the presence of phosphanes.

| $1 \mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{c}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { DMF, } 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}]{\substack{[\mathrm{Pd}](10 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{L}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%) \\ \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\left(1.3 \text { equiv.) } \\ \mathrm{nBu} \mathrm{BH}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}\right. \text { (2 equiv.) }}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ <br> L1 |  <br> $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{tBu})_{3}$ |  | p, cy |  |
| L5 |  <br> L6 |  |  |  |
| entry | [Pd] | L | $3 \mathbf{a c}^{a}$ | $5^{a}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | L1 | 70 | 4 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | L2 | 74 | 9 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathbf{L 2}{ }^{\text {b,c }}$ | 67 | 8 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | L3 | 70 | 6 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | L4 | 65 | 4 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | L5 | 66 | 9 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathbf{L 6}{ }^{d}$ | 53 | 12 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}$ | $\mathbf{L 2}{ }^{e}$ | 79 | - |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | L2 | $86(94)^{f}$ | - |
| 10 | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{L 2}{ }^{\text {g }}$, $h$ | 30 | 33 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} . \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | L2 ${ }^{i}$ | 29 | 18 |

${ }^{a}$ Yield (\%) of isolated pure product. Reactions were carried out in a 0.3 mmol scale. ${ }^{b} 28 \%$ of $\mathbf{L} 2$ was used. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction time: $3 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{d}$ Reaction time: $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{e}$ Reaction time: $4 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{f}$ The reaction was performed in a 1.32 mmol scale ( 506 mg of 1a). ${ }^{g}$ Reaction time: $48 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{h} n \mathrm{Bu} 4 \mathrm{NCl}$ was not used. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ was used as base instead of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$.

We were pleased to find that the reaction took place efficiently using the same reaction conditions in the presence of various phosphanes ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), such as triphenylphosphane (L1) (Table 4, entry 1),
tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) (Table 4, entry 2) or tri-tert-butylphosphane (L3) (Table 4, entry 4). Although, the formation of the 7 -endo Heck product (5) could not be completely avoided (4-9\% of 5 was isolated as by-product), the use of phosphane ligands led to the formation of 3ac with an increased yield (70-74\%). The use of a higher amount of the phosphane led to a slower reaction with a lower isolated yield of 3ac (entry 3). The choice of the phosphane ligand has been shown to have a determinant effect on the endo/exo selectivity in related Heck cyclizations. ${ }^{28}$ However, minor amounts of 5 (entries 5 and 6) were also isolated when the reaction was carried out in the in the presence of DavePhos (L4) and TrixiePhos (L5). The reaction using rac-BINAP (L6) was less efficient, and required 24 h to obtain a moderate yield of $\mathbf{3 a c}$ (entry 7). The formation of the endo adduct $\mathbf{5}$ could be completely avoided changing the palladium source. Thus, the use of bis(dibenzylidene)palladium(0) with tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) gave 3ac in good yield, with complete selectivity (entry 8). Finally, the reaction was more efficient when tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0)-chloroform adduct ( $86 \%$, entry 9 ). The reaction could be carried out in a 0.5 gram scale ( 1.3 mmol of $\mathbf{1 a}$ ) also in high yield ( $94 \%$, entry 9). The use of $n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ is still neccesary, as a much slower ( 48 h ) and non selective reaction is took place when in its absence (entry 10), obtaining 5 as the major product, although no direct Suzuki coupling product was detected under these conditions. The change of the base for a silver salt $\left(\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ also resulted in a selectivity loss (entry 11$)$.

Once the reaction conditions were optimized, we tested the use of selected boronic acids $\mathbf{2}$. As shown in Tables 4 and 5, in most of the cases (3aa, 3ac, 3ae, 3af, 3aj, 3al, 3am), the results could be significantly improved with respect to the yield obtained with the phosphane-free catalytic system (see Table 2). However, in some of the cases, minor ammounts of 5 were also isolated (Table 5, 11-16\%). In the case of 3ag, a lower yield (36\%) was obtained, isolating also the endo-Heck cyclization product 5 (22\%). The reaction with thiophen-3-ylboronic acid $\mathbf{2 k}$ gave again a low yield of $\mathbf{3 a k}(15 \%$ Table $2 \mathrm{vs} . \mathbf{1 4 \%}$ Table 5,), although under these conditions the main reaction pathway was the direct Suzuki coupling, obtaining $4 \mathbf{k}$ with a $50 \%$ yield. The coupling with alkenes was also significantly improved (Table 5, 3al and 3am).

Table 5. Synthesis of pyrroloisquinolines $\mathbf{3}$ using $\mathbf{L} 2$ as ligand

${ }^{a}$ Yield (\%) of isolated pure product. Reactions were carried out in a 0.3 mmol scale. ${ }^{b}$ Reaction time: 4 h. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction time: 6 h. ${ }^{d}$ Potasium trifluorovinyl borate was used. ${ }^{e}$ Reaction time: 24 h .

Significantly, the use of the phosphane ligand completely changed the chemoselectivity when $\mathbf{1 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right.$ $=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) was reacted with $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}$. Thus, the direct arylation pathway leading to $\mathbf{6}$ (Table 3) was completely suppressed and 10b-trifluoromethylsubstituted pyrroloisoquinolines 3ba and 3bc were obtained in good yields (Table 5). This result probably reflects the change from a cationic (phosphanefree) to a neutral pathway for the initial carbopalladation step. The use of $\mathbf{1 d}, \mathbf{1 e}$ and $\mathbf{1 h}$ gave also
improved yields of the expected pyrroloisoquinolines 3dc, 3ec and 3hc. (Table 5). In view of these results, we explored the possibility of an enantioselective version of the reaction, in the presence of chiral non-racemic phosphanes. In this context, we have reported an enantioselective Heck-Heck cascade using related substrates, showing that it is possible to control the enantioselectivity of the carbopalladation step using $(R)$-BINAP. ${ }^{7}$ However, it was not possible to induce stereocontrol using $(R)$-BINAP or other chiral non-racemic phosphane ligands for the generation of quaternary stereocenters through Heck reactions on related alkenylpyrroles. ${ }^{27}$ Once again, we selected the reaction of 1a with $\mathbf{2 c}$ as a model for optimization of the reaction conditions. Although different ligands, solvents and reaction conditions have been tested, only modest enantioselectivities (up to $44 \% e e$ ) have been obtained so far. Some selected results are shown on Table 6 (see the Supporting Information for additional essays). We found that phosphoramidite $\mathbf{L} 7$ led to the best results in terms of enantioselectivity, using palladium acetate in toluene, although with low conversion (Table 6 , entries 1 and 2). The reaction is more efficient in DMF, but with no stereocontrol (Table 6, entries 4-6). On the other hand, $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ accelerates the reaction, but leads to an almost racemic compound (entries 4 and 5). In the absence of $n$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$, using solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DMF the reaction is much slower (entry 6), and does not proceed at all in toluene (see SI, Table S2). The reactivity could be recovered using an aqueous solution of base (Table 6, entries 1-3 and 7). The use of a more concentrated base ( 10 M ) led to an improved ee, but with a lower conversion (Table 6, entry 2). In the absence of $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$, the reaction was again non-selective, isolating the direct Suzuki coupling product $\mathbf{4 c}$ as a by-product (see SI$)$. The use of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ led to improved yields, but with lower enantioselection (Table 6, entries 5 and 7) (see SI).

Table 6. Chiral phosphane L7 mediated reaction of 1a


#### Abstract

.


| $\substack{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{a}$ Yield (\%) of isolated pure product. ${ }^{b}$ Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC (Chiralcel ADH). Due to the low ee the configuration could not be determined. ${ }^{c} \mathbf{4 c}$ was isolated as by product (see SI). ${ }^{d} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 10 M aq. solution) was used. ${ }^{e} n \mathrm{Bu} u_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ (1 equiv) was used as additive. ${ }^{f}$ Solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was used. ${ }^{g}$ DMF was used as solvent.

In conclusion, it has been shown that $N$-(2-iodobenzyl)-2-(alkenyl)-1H-pyrroles $\mathbf{1}$ undergo cyclization through a 6-exo carbopalladation process to generate a quaternary center. The resulting $\sigma$ alkylpalladium can be trapped with arylboronic acids to generate $\mathrm{C}-10$ disubstituted pyrrolo[1,2$b$ ]isoquinolines 3. A phosphane free precatalytic system can be used in order to favor the 6 -exo carbopalladation reaction $v s$. the direct Suzuki coupling, although the 7 -endo process is competitive in some cases. Nevertheless, the 7 -endo process can be suppressed in the presence of phosphane ligands, such as tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2). In combination with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} . \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, this phosphane ligand leads in most cases to a significant increase in the yields of the pyrroloisoquinolines $\mathbf{3}$. Using both procedures, the presence of $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ is crucial to allow the 6 -exo carbopalladation to occur at a
competitive rate, avoiding the direct Suzuki coupling. Electron rich and electron deficient arylboronic acids can be used, although coupling with alkenyl or heteroaryl (thiophenyl) boronic acids provide lower yields. The use of chiral non racemic phosphanes, such as phosphoramidite L7 gave only low enantioselectivities. Overall, this domino process allows the synthesis of interesting pyrrolo[1,2$b$ ]isoquinolines, a common structural motif among biologically active alkaloids.

## Experimental Section

General experimental methods. Melting points were determined in unsealed capillary tubes and are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained using an ATR. NMR spectra were recorded at $20-25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, at 300 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 75.5 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions. Assignments of individual ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonances are supported by DEPT experiments and 2D correlation experiments (COSY, HSQCed or HMBC). Mass spectra were recorded under electron impact (EI) at 70 eV or with an $\mathrm{ESI}^{+}$source. Exact mass was obtained using a TOF detector. TLC was carried out with 0.2 mm thick silica gel plates. Visualization was accomplished by UV light. Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel (230-400 mesh). Chiral stationary phase HPLC was performed using Chiralcel ADH column ( $0.46 \mathrm{~cm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in isocratic elution mode (hexane/i-propanol $9 / 1,1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). All solvents used in reactions were anhydrous and purified according to standard procedures. All air- or moisture-sensitive reactions were performed under argon; the glassware was dried $\left(130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and purged with argon. Palladium catalysts were commercially available, and were used without further purification: $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}: 98 \%$ purity, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{TFA})_{2}: 97 \%$ purity; $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}: 99 \%$ purity; $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 97 \%$ purity.

[^1]Alkylation reactions. Synthesis of 2-acyl- $N$-benzylpyrroles 9a-h. General procedure. 2Acylpyrrole $8(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added over a suspension of powdered $\mathrm{KOH}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h , the corresponding bromide $7 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{f}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred until the reaction was completed. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography afforded the corresponding 2-acylpyrroles 9a-h.

1-[1-(2-Iodo-4,5-dimethoxybenzyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl]ethan-1-one (9a). ${ }^{27}$ According to general procedure, $\mathbf{8 a}(854 \mathrm{mg}, 7.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with benzylbromide $7 \mathbf{a}(3.34 \mathrm{~g}, 9.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and KOH $(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 15.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 8/2) afforded N benzylpyrrole 9a as a white solid ( $2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ). mp (Hexane/EtOAc): 121-124 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.16-6.18(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 27.2,55.6,56.1,56.9,86.2,108.6,111.1,120.3,121.5,130.0,130.3,132.9,148.8,149.6$, 188.4 ppm ; MS (CI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $386\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 65\right), 276$ (71), 259 (100). HRMS (CI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{INO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 386.0248$; found, 386.0237.

2,2,2-Trifluoro-1-(1-(2-iodo-4,5-dimethoxybenzyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (9b). According to general procedure, $\mathbf{8 b}(1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 6.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with benzylbromide $7 \mathbf{7 a}(2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 7.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ and KOH (439 mg, 6.66 mmol ) in DMSO ( 30 mL ). The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 8/2) afforded N benzylpyrrole 9b as a white solid ( $2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ): mp (petroleum ether/EtOAc): 93-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32$ (dd, $J=4.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \quad \mathrm{NMR}(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 55.7,56.2,57.5,86.6,110.8,111.1,117.0(\mathrm{q}, J=290.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.8,124.4,124.6(\mathrm{q}, J=$ 4.0 Hz), $131.4,134.0,149.2,149.8,169.9(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=35.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 440 ACS Paragon Plus Environment
$\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 31\right), 314$ (12), 313 (100). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{INO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 439.9965$; found, 439.9978.

1-(1-(2-Iodo-4,5-dimethoxybenzyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)propan-1-one (9c). According to general procedure, 8c (194 mg, 1.57 mmol$)$ was treated with benzylbromide $7 \mathbf{a}(674 \mathrm{mg}, 1.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ and KOH ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 5 mL ). The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 8/2) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole $9 \mathbf{9}$ as a yellow solid ( $475 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ): mp (petroleum ether/EtOAc): 114-116 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.49$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,1.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 9.2,32.4,55.7,56.2,56.9,86.1,108.7$, 110.1, 119.4, 121.6, 129.9, 130.0, 133.2, 148.8, 149.7, 191.9 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 400 $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 36\right), 274$ (13), 273 (100); HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{INO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 400.0404$; found, 400.0413 .

1-(1-(2-Iodobenzyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (9d). ${ }^{29}$ According to general procedure, 8a (933 $\mathrm{mg}, 8.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with benzylbromide $7 \mathrm{~b}(3.05 \mathrm{~g}, 10.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KOH}(1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 17.09$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc $8 / 2$ ) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole 9d as a white solid (2.32 g, 83 \%): mp (Petroleum ether /EtOAc): $95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-$ $6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 27.3,57.8,97.6,108.9,120.4,127.2,128.6,129.0$, 130.5, 130.5, 139.3, 140.8, 188.2 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $326\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 199$ (10). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{INO}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$326.0036; found, 326.0048.

1-(1-((6-Iodobenzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)methyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (9e). According to general procedure, $\mathbf{8 a}(2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 22.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with benzylbromide $7 \mathrm{c}(9.20 \mathrm{~g}, 27.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KOH}(2.98 \mathrm{~g}, 45.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . After work up,
purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded N benzylpyrrole 9e as a yellow solid ( $6.95 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ): mp (Petroleum ether/EtOAc): 123-125 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1650,1265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 27.3,57.4,85.5,101.7,107.8,108.9,118.5,120.4,130.2$, 130.4, 134.2, 147.7, 148.8, 188.4 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $370\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 243$ (10). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{INO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 369.9935$; found, 369.9942 .

1-(1-(6-Iodo-2,3-dimethoxybenzyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (9f). According to general procedure, 8a ( $741 \mathrm{mg}, 6.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with benzylbromide $7 \mathbf{d}(2.91 \mathrm{~g}, 8.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and KOH ( $897 \mathrm{mg}, 13.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 30 mL ). The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded $N$ benzylpyrrole 9 f as a colorless oil ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 69$ \%): IR (ATR): $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 27.6,51.9,55.9,61.0,90.3,108.1,114.7,120.0,128.0,131.0,133.0,134.7$, 149.0, 153.3, 188.6 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $386\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 277$ (41), 259 (14). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{INO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 386.0248$; found, 386.0253.

1-(1-(2-Iodo-6-methoxybenzyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (9g). According to general procedure, $\mathbf{8 a}(35.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with benzylbromide $7 \mathbf{e}(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{KOH}(43 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 10 mL ). The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole $\mathbf{9 g}$ as a white solid ( $94.3 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ): mp (petroleum ether/EtOAc): $142-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=4.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{〔} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 27.2,55.3,56.5,58.1,78.6,97.3,104.9,108.8,120.3$,
130.5, 130.5, 142.9, 158.8, 161.4, 188.3 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $386\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 90\right), 259(100)$. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{INO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$386.0248; found, 386.0255.

1-(1-(5-Fluoro-2-iodobenzyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethan-1-one (9h). Acetylpyrrole 8a (179 mg, 1.64 mmol ) was added over a suspension of powdered $\mathrm{NaH}(131 \mathrm{mg}, 3.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Benzyl bromide $7 \mathrm{f}(620 \mathrm{mg}, 1.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole 9h as a white solid ( $556 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ): mp (Petroleum ether/EtOAc): $122-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1640 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.42$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-6.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $2.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 27.2,57.7,89.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.2,114.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 120.6$, $130.3,130.5,140.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 163.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=247.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 188.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $344\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 302$ (24), 235 (8), 217 (10). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{FINO}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$343.9942; found, 343.9957.

Wittig Reaction. Synthesis of 2-alkenylpyrroles 1a-g. General procedure: Potassium tert-butoxide ( 2 mmol ) was added to a solution of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( 2 mmol ) in dry THF (10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon for 30 min and then cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of N -benzylpyrrole $\mathbf{9 a - g}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over 5 min and the mixture was heated under reflux for 24 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and filtered under vacuum. The filtrate was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sequentially washed with $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ sat. $(5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ sat. $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to dryness. The crude was subjected to flash chromatography (silica gel) obtaining 1a-g.

1-(2-Iodo-4,5-dimethoxybenzyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (1a). According to general procedure, $9 \mathbf{a}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with potassium tert-butoxide (598 $\mathrm{mg}, 5.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 5.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ).

After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 7/3) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole 1a as a yellow solid ( $856 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ): mp (petroleum ether $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ): $96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1590 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.98-4.99 (m, 1H), 5.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20-6.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25-6.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.2,55.7,56.2,56.2,84.2,108.2,109.2,110.6$, 112.1, 121.4, 123.9, 133.5, 134.8, 135.3, 148.8, 149.7 ppm ; MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel intensity): $384\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right.$, 17), 276 (100), 256 (54). HRMS (CI): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{INO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 384.0455$; found, 384.0442 .

1-(2-Iodo-4,5-dimethoxybenzyl)-2-(3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (1b). According to general procedure, $9 \mathbf{9 b}(818 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with potassium tertbutoxide ( $418 \mathrm{mg}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF (20 mL). After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 8/2) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole 1b as a yellow solid ( $490.6 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ): mp (petroleum ether/EtOAc): $72-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1505 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95-5.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-$ $6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $55.6,55.7,56.2,84.3,108.8,110.4,112.0,120.9(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.4,122.8(\mathrm{q}, J=273.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.9$, 125.2, 130.4 (q, $J=31.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 132.7, 148.9, 150.0 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $438\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{INO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 438.0172$; found, 438.0182

2-(But-1-en-2-yl)-1-(2-iodo-4,5-dimethoxybenzyl)-1H-pyrrole (1c). According to general procedure, $9 \mathrm{c}(195 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with potassium tert-butoxide ( 110 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide $(350 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole 1c as a colorless oil ( $139.0 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ): IR (ATR): $1500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19-6.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.2,30.4,55.7,55.8,56.2,84.3,108.2,108.6,110.6,111.5,121.4,123.4$,
133.7, 134.7, 141.8, 148.7, 149.8 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $398\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 277$ (56), 242 (10). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{INO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$398.0611; found, 398.0614.

1-(2-Iodobenzyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (1d). According to general procedure, 9d (603 mg, 1.85 mmol ) in dry THF ( 10 mL ) was treated with potassium tert-butoxide ( $416 \mathrm{mg}, 3.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 3.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ). After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded N benzylpyrrole 1d as a colorless oil ( $556 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ): IR (ATR): $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26-6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-$ $6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.96-7.02 (m, 1H), 7.25-7.30(m, 1H), 7.86-7.89(m, 1H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.2,56.7,96.5,108.3,109.1,111.9,124.0,127.5,128.8,129.0,134.7,135.3,139.2,141.0$ ppm. MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $324\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$. HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{IN}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$ 324.0244; found, 324.0250.

1-((6-Iodobenzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)methyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (1e). According to general procedure, $9 \mathbf{e}(661 \mathrm{mg}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with potassium tertbutoxide ( $402 \mathrm{mg}, 3.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 3.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ). After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole 1e as a yellow solid (427 mg, $65 \%$ ): mp (Petroleum ether/EtOAc): $114-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22-6.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.2,56.5,84.0,101.7,108.0,108.3,109.2$, 111.8, 118.4, 123.9, 134.5, 134.6, 135.2, 147.7, 149.0 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $368\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right.$, 100), 261 (28). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{INO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$368.0142; found, 368.0152.

1-(6-Iodo-2,3-dimethoxybenzyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (1f). According to general procedure, $\mathbf{9 f}(599 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 20 mL ) was treated with potassium tert-butoxide ( 351 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide $(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 3.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded ACS Paragon Plus Environment

N -benzylpyrrole 1f as a yellow solid ( $508.3 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ): mp (petroleum ether/EtOAc): $54-56{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): $1570 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.29-5.30 (m, 1H), $5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.07-6.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19-6.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37-6.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.4,51.0,56.0,60.7$, 90.2, 107.4, 107.7, 113.3, 114.5, 121.1, 134.1, 134.7, 135.6, 136.2, 148.8, 153.4 ppm ; MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel intensity): 384 (MH + , 100), 277 (14), 242 (20). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{INO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$ 384.0455; found, 384.0462.

1-(2-Iodo-3,5-dimethoxybenzyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (1g). According to general procedure, $9 \mathbf{g}(283 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with potassium tert-butoxide $(165$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $524 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 10 mL ). After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 95/5) afforded $N$-benzylpyrrole 1 g as a colorless oil ( $184.9 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ): IR (ATR): $1585 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.2,55.4,56.5,57.2,77.1,97.6,104.7,108.2,109.0,111.8$, 124.2, 134.8, 135.2, 143.3, 158.7, 161.6 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 384 (MH+, 100), 257 (42), 242 (56). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{INO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$384.0455; found, 384.0465.

1-(5-Fluoro-2-iodobenzyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (1h). According to general procedure, $\mathbf{9 h}$ ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was treated with potassium tert-butoxide $(133 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19$ mmol ) and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $424 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 10 mL ). After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9/1) afforded N benzylpyrrole 1 h as a colorless oil ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ): IR (ATR): $1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.25-6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.2,56.5$, $88.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 108.7,109.4,111.9,115.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.9,134.6$, $135.2,140.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 163.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=248.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) m / z(\mathrm{rel}$
intensity): $342\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 85\right), 160$ (33), 158 (100). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FIN}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$
342.0149; found, 342.0161

## Domino carbopalladation-Suzuki reaction on 1. Synthesis of pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines 3.

 General procedure $\mathbf{A}$ (Phosphane free catalytic system). $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $\mathbf{1}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$, boronic acid $2(1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( 1.3 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( 2 mmol ) in DMF ( 3 ml ). The mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the time indicated in each case. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (silica gel) of the resulting residue afforded the corresponding pyrroloisoquinoline 3.General procedure $\mathbf{B}$ (with phosphane L2). $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $\mathbf{1}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$, boronic acid $2(1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate $(1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane ( $\mathbf{L} 2$ ) ( 0.2 mmol ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( 2 mmol ) in DMF ( 3 ml ). The mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The corresponding pyrroloisoquinoline $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained after work-up and chromatographic purification as indicated in General procedure A.

## 7,8-Dimethoxy-10-(4-methoxybenzyl)-10-methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3aa).

 According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (2a) (59.3 mg, 0.39 mmol ), sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) (13.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $9 / 1$ ) afforded 3aa as an oil ( 66 mg , 61 \%): IR (ATR): 2970, $1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.16-6.29 (m, 4H), 6.46-6.55 (m, 4H), $6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 26.8,41.1,46.8,53.6,55.1,55.9,56.1,102.6,108.2,108.2,108.6,112.6,117.6,125.1$, 130.2, 131.0, 131.9, 135.0, 147.4, 148.2, 158.2 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $364\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 242$(12). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$364.1907; found, 364.1920. [5 ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ was isolated as a by product. See spectroscopic data below]

## 10-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-7,8-dimethoxy-10-methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline

(3ab).
According to General Procedure A, $N$-( $o$-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-fluorophenylboronic acid (2b) ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium carbonate $(41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 2 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ab as an oil ( $56.1 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ): IR (ATR): $2965,1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19-6.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 27.0,41.0,46.6,53.6,55.9,56.1,102.6,108.0,108.3,108.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $20.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 117.6,124.9,131.3,131.4,133.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.5,147.5,148.2,161.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ppm; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 352 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100$ ), 350 (10), 243 (13). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FNO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$352.1707; found, 352.1713.

## 7,8-Dimethoxy-10-methyl-10-(4-nitrobenzyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline

According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a ( $506 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-nitrophenylboronic acid (2c) (284 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium carbonate (180 mg, 1.7 mmol ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane ( $\mathbf{L} 2)(60.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $723 \mathrm{mg}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 4 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9:1) afforded 3ac as an oil (470 $\mathrm{mg}, 94$ \%): IR (ATR): 2970, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=2.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$
$27.7,41.0,46.6,54.2,55.9,56.2,103.1,108.1,108.2,108.7,117.9,122.2,124.3,130.6,130.5,133.6$, 146.0, 146.6, 147.8, 148.5 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $379\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 243$ (23). HRMS (ESITOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$379.1652; found, 379.1658.

## 7,8-Dimethoxy-10-methyl-10-(4-trifluoromethylbenzyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline

(3ad). According to General Procedure A, $N$-( $o$-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$-trifluoromethylphenylboronic acid (2d) $(74.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate $(41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 2 h .. After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ad as an oil ( $63.1 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ): IR (ATR): 2935, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16-6.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{t}, J=3.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-6.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 27.2,40.9,46.6,54.0,55.9,56.1,102.9,108.1,108.4,117.8,123.9(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.4(\mathrm{q}, J=271.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.7,128.4(\mathrm{q}, J=32.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.2,131.1,134.2,142.2,147.7,148.3$ ppm; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $402\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 243$ (7). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ $\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 402.1675$; found, 402.1682.

## 10-(3,5-bis(Trifluoromethy)lbenzyl)-7,8-dimethoxy-10-methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-

b]isoquinoline (3ae). According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a (114 mg, 0.30 mmol ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenylboronic acid (2e) (101 mg, 0.39 mmol$)$, sodium carbonate $(41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h. After work up, purification by column chromatography (silicagel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ae as an oil (77.5 mg, 55\%): IR (ATR): 2940, $1520 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 26.9, 40.9, 46.2, 54.0, 56.0, 56.3, 103.1, 108.2, 108.8, 118.0, 119.9 (sept, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 123.2 (q, $J=272.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 124.3, 130.0, 130.1 (q, $J=33.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.0,140.5$, 148.2, 148.8 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $470\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 360$ (11). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 470.1549$; found, 470.1553. [5 (12.2 mg, 16\%) was isolated as a by product. See spectroscopic data below]

## 10-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzyl)-7,8-dimethoxy-10-methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline

(3af). According to General Procedure B, $N$-( $o$-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}), 3,4$-dimethoxyphenylboronic acid (2f) (71 mg, 0.39 $\mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate $(41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) (13.9 mg, 0.06 mmol$)$ and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 4 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3af as an oil (72.8 mg, $62 \%$ ): IR (ATR): 2935, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46-6.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 27.1,41.2,46.7,54.2,55.4,55.8,55.9,56.2,102.5$, $108.0,108.3,108.5,109.9,112.9,117.5,121.9,125.2,130.6,131.7,134.8,147.4,147.5,147.6,148.2$ ppm; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 394 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 86$ ), 242 (11). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ $\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$394.2013; found, 394.2017.

10-Benzyl-7,8-dimethoxy-10-methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3ag). According to General Procedure A, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a $(114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenylboronic acid ( $\mathbf{2 g}$ ) ( $47.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39$ mmol ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 4 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ag as a
solid (45.8 mg, 46\%): mp (petroleum ether/EtOAc): $98-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): 2970, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25$ (dd, $J=3.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28-6.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.98(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H), 7.06-7.11 (m, 1H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 26.9, 41.0, 46.7, 54.3, 55.9, 56.1, $102.6,108.1,108.2,108.6,117.6,125.1,126.1,127.1,130.1,131.7,134.9,137.9,147.4,148.1 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $334\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 243$ (11). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ $\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$334.1802; found, 334.1813.

## 7,8-Dimethoxy-10-methyl-10-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline

(3ah). According to General Procedure A, $N$-( $o$-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, naphthalen-2-ylboronic acid (2h) (67.1 mg 0.39 mmol$)$, sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ah as an oil (61 mg, 53\%): IR (ATR): 3010, $1275 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 26.8,41.1,46.8$, 54.4, 55.9, 56.1, 102.7, 108.2, 108.3, 108.6, 117.7, 124.9, 125.2, 125.4, 126.2, 127.3, 127.6, 128.6, 128.7, 131.8, 132.0, 132.9, 134.8, 135.5, 147.4, 148.2 ppm ; MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel intensity): $384\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right.$, 100), 242 (23). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$384.1958; found, 384.1964.

## 7,8-Dimethoxy-10-methyl-10-(phenanthren-9-ylmethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline

(3ai). According to General Procedure A, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenanthren-9-ylboronic acid (2i) ( 86.8 mg 0.39 mmol ), sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1
mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ai as an oil (82.6 mg, 63\%): IR (ATR): 2970, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19-$ $6.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.35-6.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.58-$ 8.61 (m, 2H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 26.2, 41.2, 47.1, 49.5, 56.0, 56.2, 102.8, $108.2,108.6,109.1,118.0,122.2,122.4,124.5,125.3,125.4,125.7,126.1,126.3,128.3,129.5,129.6$, 131.3, 131.8, 132.3, 132.4, 135.2, 147.6, 148.3 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $434\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 242$ (59). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 434.2115$; found, 434.2119 .

7,8-Dimethoxy-10-methyl-10-(pyren-1-ylmethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3aj). According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyren-1-ylboronic acid $(\mathbf{2 j})(96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) ( $13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3aj as an oil (114.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ): IR (ATR): 2970, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25-6.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-8.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 26.6,41.7,46.8$, $50.5,56.0,56.3,102.9,108.2,108.6,109.0,118.1,123.4,123.7,124.2,124.5,124.6,124.7,125.5$, $125.7,126.2,126.9,127.5,129.5,129.9,130.2,130.6,131.3,132.0,132.5,134.8,147.7,148.4 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $458\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 242$ (56). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ $\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 458.2115$; found, 458.2123

## 7,8-Dimethoxy-10-methyl-10-(thiophen-3-ylmethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline

(3ak). According to General Procedure B, $N$-( $o$-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, thiophen-3-ylboronic acid $(\mathbf{2 k})(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$,
sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane ( $\mathbf{L 2}$ ) ( $13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ak as an oil (14 mg, $14 \%$ ): IR (ATR): 2970, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), * 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{*} 3.87-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{*} 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13-6.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24-6.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.55(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 6.90-6.92 (m, 2H) ppm (*overlapped); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 27.2, 40.6, 46.6, $48.3,55.9,56.1,102.5,108.1,108.3,108.5,117.6,122.5,123.3,124.7,129.6,131.7,135.0,138.4$, 147.4, 148.1 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 340 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$, 59), 243 (14), 242 (100). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 340.1366$; found, 340.1372. [5 ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{4 k}(50.6 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%)$ were isolated as by products. See spectroscopic data below]

10-Allyl-7,8-dimethoxy-10-methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3al). According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a (114 mg, 0.30 mmol ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, potasium trifluorovinylborate $(52.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) (13.9 mg, 0.06 mmol ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 24 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silicagel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 95:5) afforded 3al as an oil (31.7, mg, 38\%): IR (ATR): 2970, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.65$ (s, 3H), 2.50$2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.37-5.48 (m, 1H), 6.04 (dd, $J=3.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.69(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 27.2,39.3,47.3,49.2,56.0,56.1,102.6$, 108.1, 108.8, 108.9, 117.4, 118.0, 124.0, 133.1, 134.8, 135.5, 147.4, 148.2 ppm ; MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel intensity): $284\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 243$ (51). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 284.1645$; found, 284.1649. [ $\mathbf{5}$ ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ) was isolated as by product. See spectroscopic data below]

10-Cinnamyl-7,8-dimethoxy-10-methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3am). According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1a (114 mg, 0.30 mmol ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}),(E)$-styrylboronic acid (2m) $(57.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) ( $13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3am as an oil (64.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ): IR (ATR): $2970,1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09-6.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 26.6, 39.8, 47.4, 49.0, 56.0, 56.1, 102.6, 108.2, 108.8, 108.8, 118.1, 124.1, 126.0, 126.5, 127.0, 128.4, 132.6, 133.0, 135.5, 137.6, 147.4, 148.2 ppm ; MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel intensity): $360\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 98\right), 243$ (100). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 360.1958$; found, 360.1964 .

## 7,8-Dimethoxy-10-(4-methoxybenzyl)-10-(trifluoromethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-

b]isoquinoline (3ba). According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1b ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (2a) ( $59.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium carbonate $(41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) (13.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium chloride $(167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ba as an oil ( $64.7 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ): IR (ATR): 2960, $1510,1240 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.60$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),, 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32-6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 39.2,46.7,49.6(\mathrm{q}, J=25.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 55.0, $55.8,56.0$, $108.1,108.2,109.0,111.7(\mathrm{q}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.0,119.5,120.9,124.3,126.0,127.0(\mathrm{q}, J=284.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 127.4, 130.8, 147.7, 148.8, 158.0 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $418\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 296$ (23). HRMS
(ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 418.1625$; found, 418.1627. [Using General Procedure A, 6 ( $57.1 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) was isolated as the major compound. See spectroscopic data below]

## 7,8-Dimethoxy-10-(4-nitrobenzyl)-10-(trifluoromethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline

 (3bc). According to General Procedure B, $N$-( $o$-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1b ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$-nitrophenylboronic acid (2c) $(65.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) (13.9 mg, 0.06 mmol ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/dichloromethane 9:1) afforded 3bc as a solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ): IR (ATR): 2970, $1520,1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta \quad 3.77-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32-6.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44-$ $6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 39.7,46.7,49.1(\mathrm{q}, J=25.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 55.8,56.2,108.4,108.5,109.4,111.2(\mathrm{q}$, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.9,120.0,122.8,123.2,126.0,126.7(\mathrm{q}, J=284.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.5,143.4,146.6,148.1,149.3$ ppm; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 433 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100$ ), 296 (15). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 433.1370$; found, 433.1379. [Using General Procedure A, 6 (47 mg, 51\%) was isolated as the major compound. See spectroscopic data below]
## 10-Ethyl-7,8-dimethoxy-10-(4-nitrobenzyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3cc).

According to General Procedure A, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1c (117 mg, 0.30 mmol ) was treated with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$-nitrophenylboronic acid (2c) $(65.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate $(41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium chloride $(167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3cc as an oil ( $63.5 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ): IR (ATR): 2970, $1520,1265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28-6.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$
ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 9.0,33.8,46.1,46.2,54.4,55.8,56.2,102.9,108.0,108.2$, 109.0, 117.7, 122.1, 125.3, 127.6, 130.5, 131.8, 146.1, 146.4, 147.8, 148.7 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 393 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$, 100), 257 (12). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$393.1809; found, 393.1815 .

10-Methyl-10-(4-nitrobenzyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3dc). According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1d $(97.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-nitrophenylboronic acid (2c) $(65.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate $(41.3 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) ( $13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 95:5) afforded 3dc as an oil (36.6 mg, 38\%): IR (ATR): 2935, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=3.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 27.2,41.2,47.0,54.2,103.3,108.8,118.1,122.2,125.4,125.8,126.7,127.6,130.7$, 132.0, 133.7, 138.9, 145.9, 146.6 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $319\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 183$ (14). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$319.1441; found, 319.1443.

## 10-Methyl-10-(4-nitrobenzyl)-5,10-dihydro-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-g]pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3ec).

According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $\mathbf{1 e}(111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-nitrophenylboronic acid (2c) ( $65.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) (13.9 mg, 0.06 mmol ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 95/5) afforded 3ec as a solid (70.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ): mp (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate): $179-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): 2915, $1520 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-6.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 27.8,41.3,47.0,54.1,101.3,103.2,105.3,105.4,108.8$, 117.9, 122.2, 125.3, 130.6, 132.2, 133.5, 146.0, 146.3, 146.6, 147.5 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $363\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 269(40), 227$ (23). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 363.1339$; found, 363.1346.

6,7-Dimethoxy-10-(4-methoxybenzyl)-10-methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3fa). According to General Procedure A, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole 1f (111 mg, 0.29 mmol ) was treated with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}), 4-m e t h o x y p h e n y l b o r o n i c ~ a c i d(2 a)(59.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate $(41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium chloride $(167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ). After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 95:5) afforded 3fa as an oil (23.4 mg, 22 \%): IR (ATR): 2935, $1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11-6.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $(75.5$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 26.6,40.9,42.4,54.4,55.1,55.8,60.1,102.4,108.2,111.2,112.6,117.9,120.7,127.6$, 130.2, 130.9, 133.1, 134.8, 144.0, 150.2, 158.2 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 364 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100$ ), 242 (6). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$364.1907; found, 364.1911 .

6,7-Dimethoxy-10-methyl-10-(4-nitrobenzyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3fc).
According to General Procedure A, N -(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $\mathbf{1 f}(114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$-nitrophenylboronic acid (2c) $(65.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ). After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3fc as a solid (41.2 mg, 37\%): mp (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate): 102-104 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): 2940, 1520 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26-6.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 27.3,40.8,42.5$, $54.7,55.8,60.1,103.0,108.7,111.6,118.3,120.7,122.2,126.7,130.7,131.9,133.6,144.1,146.1$, 146.6, 150.4 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): 379 ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100$ ), 243 (15). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$379.1652; found, 379.1659.

7,9-Dimethoxy-10-methyl-10-(4-nitrobenzyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline
According to General Procedure A, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $\mathbf{1 g}(92.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-nitrophenylboronic acid (2c) $(52.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( $33.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silicagel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 9/1) afforded 3ge as an oil ( $54.7 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ): IR (ATR): 2935, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31-6.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.43-6.47 (m, 4H), $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta .28 .9,41.3$, $46.8,49.5,55.2,55.3,98.6,101.2,103.1,109.0,116.6,118.3,122.1,130.1,133.6,136.1,146.2,147.8$, 159.0, 159.5 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $379\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 243$ (14). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$379.1652; found, 379.1654.

7-Fluoro-10-methyl-10-(4-nitrobenzyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline (3hc). According to General Procedure B, $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $\mathbf{1 h}(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-nitrophenylboronic acid (2c) ( $65.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sodium carbonate ( $41.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tri(furan-2-yl)phosphane (L2) (13.9 mg, 0.06 mmol ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) for 1 h . After work up, purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 98/2) afforded 3hc as a solid (57.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 57$ \%): mp (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate): 171-173 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): 2935, $1515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 27.4,40.9,46.9$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.3,103.5,109.0,112.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.1,122.4,127.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.7,133.4,134.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 145.7,146.7,161.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=246.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $337\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right)$, 201 (14). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$337.1347; found, 337.1357.

2-(Prop-1-en-2-yl)-1-((4,4',5-trimethoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)methyl)-1H-pyrrole (4a). (Table 1, entry 3). $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $\mathbf{1 a}$ (114 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid $\mathbf{2 a}(59.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( 41.3 mg , 0.39 mmol ) and tetrabutylammonium chloride $(83.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 8: 2(1$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the resulting aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( $3 \times 10$ mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 95/5) of the resulting residue afforded $\mathbf{4 a}$ as a yellow oil (35.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ): mp (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate): 77-79 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): 2945, $1610,1235 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15-6.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.1,49.6,55.3,55.9,56.0$, $107.7,108.8,110.4,111.9,113.3,113.8,123.7,128.3,130.3,132.8,132.9,134.8,135.6,147.8,148.5$, $158.8 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) m / z$ (rel intensity): $364\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 5\right), 258$ (12), 257 (100). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$364.1907; found, 364.1901.

## 1-((4,5-Dimethoxy-4'-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)methyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole

(4c).
Isolated as by-product in the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with $\mathbf{2 c}$ in the presence of phosphoramidite $\mathbf{L} 7$ (Table 6 , entry 1: $23 \%$; entry 2: 7\%) (See also SI): mp (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate): $145-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): ACS Paragon Plus Environment

2965, $1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.93-4.94 (m, 1H), 5.03 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14-6.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.0,49.4$, $55.9,56.1,108.0,109.0,111.1,112.0,112.8,123.4,123.6,128.2,130.1,130.9,134.9,135.6,147.0$, 147.2, 148.2, 149.5 ppm ; MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $379\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 51\right), 272$ (100), 226 (36). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 379.1652$; found, 379.1653.

1-(4,5-Dimethoxy-2-(thiophen-3-yl)benzyl)-2-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrrole (4k). Isolated as byproduct in the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with $\mathbf{2 k}$ using General Procedure B (Table 5) (See above): IR (ATR): 2935, $1505 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ ), 4.95-4.96 (m, 1H), $5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.1,49.7,55.9,56.0,107.7,108.8,110.9,112.0,113.1,122.7$, $123.4,125.5,128.0,128.4,128.7,134.8,135.6,140.5,147.9,148.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel intensity): $340\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 10\right), 234$ (10), 233 (100). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$340.1366; found, 340.1372.

7,8-Dimethoxy-11-methyl-5H-benzo[e]pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine (5). Isolated as by-product in the reactions of 1a (Tables 1, 2, 4 and 5) (See above): mp (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate): 136-138 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): 2965, 1605, 1515, $1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{td}, J=3.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.2$, $52.2,56.0,56.1,107.4,108.5,110.0,111.4,120.2,120.5,128.3,130.9,131.3,132.4,148.2,148.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ MS (ESI) $m / z$ (rel intensity): $256\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 189$ (8). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right]$ 256.1333; found, 256.1339.

7,8-Dimethoxy-3-(3,3,3-trifluoroprop-1-en-2-yl)-5H-pyrrolo[2,1-a]isoindole (6) Isolated as the major compound in the reactions of 1b using General Procedure A (Table 3) (See above): mp
(petroleum ether/ethyl acetate): 123-125 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (ATR): 2940, 1620, $1320 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.54-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27-6.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \operatorname{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 51.8$, $56.1,56.3,98.2,102.4,106.6,110.7(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.2(\mathrm{q}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 122.5,123.1(\mathrm{q}, J=274.1$ Hz), $125.4,130.6(\mathrm{q}, J=30.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 132.4, 141.8, $148.0,149.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel intensity): 310 $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 309$ (32). HRMS (ESI-TOF): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right] 310.1049$; found, 310.1053.

Synthesis of enantioenriched 3ac. (Table 6, entry 1). $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of $N$-(o-iodobenzyl)pyrrole $\mathbf{1 a}(115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-nitrophenylboronic acid ( $60.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36$ mmol ), sodium carbonate ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ in water) and phosphoramidite $\mathbf{L} 7(32.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . After work-up and column chromatography, 3ac was obtained as a yellow solid ( $71.9 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ). The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC to be $34 \%$ (SI, Figure S2) [Chiralcel ADH, Hexane/2-propanol 9:1, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ $($ minor $)=9.1 \min (32.93 \%), \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ major $\left.)=14.7 \mathrm{~min}(67.07 \%)\right]$. [4c $(26 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%)$ was isolated as by product].

Supporting Information Available. Scheme for the preparation of substrates 1a-h. Additional essays for the chiral non-racemic phosphane ligand mediated reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with $\mathbf{2 c}$. Copies of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compounds $\mathbf{1 a - g}, \mathbf{3 a a}-\mathbf{h c}, \mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 c}, \mathbf{4 k}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{9 a - h}$. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ Yield (\%) of isolated pure product. Reactions were carried out in a 0.3 mmol scale. ${ }^{b} 11-17 \%$ of 5 was also isolated. ${ }^{c} \mathbf{1 9 \%}$ of $\mathbf{3 a g}$ was obtained when phenyl boronic acid pinacol ester was used instead of $\mathbf{2 g}$. ${ }^{d} 76 \%$ conversion. ${ }^{e}$ Potasium trifluorovinyl borate was used. $89 \%$ conversion

[^1]:    Synthesis of 1-(o-iodobenzyl)-2-alkenylpyrroles 1a-h. Substrates 1a-h were prepared following the procedure described in the Supporting Information. Thus, acylpyrroles 8a-c were alkylated with oiodobenzylbromides 7a-f to obtain 2-acyl- N -benzylpyrroles $\mathbf{9 a - h}$. Subsequent Wittig reation afforded $\mathbf{1 a - g}$ in good yields.

