



Article

"Evaluation of host resistance inducers and conventional products for fire blight management in loquat and quince"

Kubilay Kurtulus Bastas et Salih Maden *Phytoprotection*, vol. 88, n° 3, 2007, p. 93-101.

Pour citer cet article, utiliser l'information suivante :

URI: http://id.erudit.org/iderudit/018954ar

DOI: 10.7202/018954ar

Note : les règles d'écriture des références bibliographiques peuvent varier selon les différents domaines du savoir.

Ce document est protégé par la loi sur le droit d'auteur. L'utilisation des services d'Érudit (y compris la reproduction) est assujettie à sa politique d'utilisation que vous pouvez consulter à l'URI https://apropos.erudit.org/fr/usagers/politique-dutilisation/

*Érudit* est un consortium interuniversitaire sans but lucratif composé de l'Université de Montréal, l'Université Laval et l'Université du Québec à Montréal. Il a pour mission la promotion et la valorisation de la recherche. *Érudit* offre des services d'édition numérique de documents scientifiques depuis 1998.

Pour communiquer avec les responsables d'Érudit : info@erudit.org

# Evaluation of host resistance inducers and conventional products for fire blight management in loquat and quince

Kubilay Kurtulus Bastas<sup>1</sup> and Salih Maden<sup>2</sup>

Received 2008-01-23; accepted 2008-05-28

### PHYTOPROTECTION 88 : 93-101

Fire blight disease is one of the most destructive diseases of pome fruits. Due to the lack of effective, non-phytotoxic and publicly acceptable materials for controlling fire blight in pome fruit trees, new strategies to manage Erwinia amylovora fire blight are being sought. The resistance-inducing compounds prohexadione-Ca, harpin protein and benzothiadiazole (acibenzolar-S-methyl), the fertilizer humic acid, the bactericides streptomycin and copper salts, and combinations of copper with chemicals were evaluated for their ability to control fire blight on guince and loguat cultivars. Prohexadione-Ca was applied at a rate of 125 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at two shoot lengths (6-12 cm and 15-20 cm), while benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl (135 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) and harpin (50 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) were applied when the shoots measured between 15-20 cm, and again at 30-35 cm. On loquat cv. Cukurgobek, benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl showed about 60% effectiveness. The addition of copper salts reduced the effectiveness of benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl. On guince cultivars, streptomycin (P < 0.05) was the most effective treatment during both years, followed by the harpin protein alone and in combination with copper salts. Prohexadione-Ca, benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl, and harpin protein applications reduced disease severity on inoculated shoots compared with copper and untreated controls. Prohexadione-Ca reduced both shoot length and shoot blight on the two hosts. Humic acid applications were ineffective in controlling fire blight on loguat and guince cultivars. Quince cv. Esme showed lower disease severity than cv. Ekmek ( $P \le 0.05$ ). The use of resistance-inducing substances during the early phase of shoot growth may offer a means of managing the shoot blight phase of fire blight disease on quince and loquat.

Keywords: Cydonia, Eriobotrya, Erwinia amylovora, growth regulation, systemic acquired resistance.

# [Évaluation d'inducteurs de résistance et de produits conventionnels pour lutter contre la brûlure bactérienne chez le néflier du Japon et le cognassier]

La brûlure bactérienne est l'une des maladies les plus néfastes chez les fruits à pépins. En l'absence de produits efficaces, non phytotoxiques et socialement acceptables pour lutter contre cette maladie causée par Erwinia amylovora chez les pomoïdées, de nouvelles stratégies sont recherchées. La capacité de certains composés pouvant induire de la résistance (prohéxadione-Ca, protéine harpine et benzothiadiazole (acibenzolar-S-méthyle)), de l'acide humique utilisé en tant que fertilisant, de bactéricides (streptomycine et sel de cuivre), ainsi que des combinaisons de cuivre et de produits chimiques à lutter contre la brûlure bactérienne chez des cultivars de néflier du Japon et de cognassier a été évaluée. La prohéxadione-Ca a été appliquée à un taux de 125 mg L<sup>-1</sup> sur deux longueurs de pousses (6-12 cm et 15-20 cm), tandis que le benzothiadiazole + métalaxyl (135 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) et l'harpine (50 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) ont été appliqués sur des pousses alors qu'elles mesuraient entre 15 et 20 cm, puis à nouveau alors qu'elles mesuraient entre 30 et 35 cm. Chez le néflier du Japon cv. Cukurgobek, un taux d'efficacité d'environ 60 % a été obtenu avec le benzothiadiazole + métalaxyl; cependant, l'ajout de sel de cuivre en a réduit l'efficacité. Sur les cultivars de cognassier, la protéine harpine, utilisée seule et en combinaison avec le sel de cuivre, s'est avérée le traitement le plus efficace durant les deux années de l'étude, après la streptomycine ( $P \le 0.05$ ). La prohéxadione-Ca, le benzothiadiazole + métalaxyl et les protéines harpines ont réussi à réduire la gravité de la maladie chez des pousses inoculées comparativement aux témoins traités au cuivre et aux témoins non traités. La prohéxadione-Ca a réduit à la fois la longueur et la brûlure des pousses chez les deux hôtes. Les applications d'acide humique n'ont pas réussi à réduire l'incidence de la brûlure bactérienne chez les cultivars de néflier du Japon et de cognassier. Le cognassier cv. Eşme a été moins gravement affecté par la maladie que le cv. Ekmek ( $P \le 0.05$ ). L'utilisation de substances pouvant induire de la résistance durant la phase initiale de croissance des pousses peut être une façon de lutter contre la brûlure des pousses causée par la brûlure bactérienne chez le cognassier et le néflier du Japon.

Mots clés : Cydonia, Eriobotrya, Erwinia amylovora, régulation de la croissance, résistance systémique acquise.

<sup>1.</sup> Selcuk University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Campus, Konya, Turkey; corresponding author e-mail: kbastas1@hotmail.com

<sup>2.</sup> Ankara University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Ankara, Turkey

# **INTRODUCTION**

Turkey is an important world producer of loquat, *Eriobotrya japonica* (Thunb.) Lindl. After the economic value of loquat was realized, demand for commercial production rapidly increased. Total production increased more than four-fold between 1980 (3000 t) and 2003, when it reached about 12 000 t produced from 246 000 trees (Polat and Caliskan 2007). Turkey supplies 27% of the world's total quince (*Cydonia* spp.) production, with 150 000 t produced annually (Gunes and Dumanoglu 2005).

Fire blight caused by the bacterium Erwinia amylovora (Burr.) Winslow et al. is the most devastating bacterial disease of apple (Malus domestica Borkh), pear (Pyrus communis L), quince and loquat trees worldwide (Jones and Aldwinkle 1990; van der Zwet and Keil 1979; Vanneste 2000). The disease significantly limits the area for successful pome fruit production. It is probable that fire blight will remain a concern as the pathogen may be introduced into new pome fruit growing areas (Jock et al. 2002; van der Zwet 2002; Vanneste 2000). There are several distinct phases of the disease, including blossom blight, shoot blight and rootstock blight. The diversity of host tissues susceptible to infection, combined with the limited number of management tools available to control the disease, has made it difficult to prevent the progress of fire blight epidemics.

Severe fire blight symptoms were observed on quince trees (*Cydonia vulgaris* (Pers.) cultivars Eşme and Ekmek) in different orchards in Turkey in 2003. The disease had spread to all quince-growing areas located in the Mediterranean, Aegean, Marmara and Middle Anatolia regions of Turkey (Saygili *et al.* 2006).

The most commonly applied bactericides for controlling fire blight in pome fruit trees are copper compounds and antibiotics (Psallidas and Tsiantos 2000). However, russeting of fruits often results from copper treatments. Furthermore, the authorities in many countries do not permit the use of antibiotics for fire blight control because of potential risks of promoting the development of antibiotic resistance in human pathogens (McManus *et al.* 2002). Due to the lack of publicly acceptable, effective and nonphytotoxic preparations to control fire blight, there has been an interest in novel control strategies that trigger defense mechanisms in the host plants. Such effects have been reported for the harpin protein, benzothiadiazole (acibenzolar-S-methyl) and prohexadione-Ca (Aldwinckle *et al.* 2002; Bazzi *et al.* 2003; Maxson and Jones 2002; McManus *et al.* 2002; Momol *et al.* 1999; Norelli *et al.* 2003; Steiner 2000).

One of the most important factors determining host susceptibility to fire blight shoot infections is the intensity of vegetative shoot growth on the fruit trees (Byers and Yoder 1997). An alternative and promising approach to control fire blight is the use of growthregulating acylcyclohexanediones, such as prohexadione-Ca. The most notable effect of prohexadione-Ca is the control of shoot elongation growth by inhibiting the formation of growth-active gibberellins from inactive precursors (Evans et al. 1999; Rademacher 2000). Prohexadione-Ca-treated apple and pear trees are significantly less infected by E. amylovora (Aldwinckle et al. 2002; Bazzi et al. 2003; Buban et al. 2002, 2003; Cline and Hunter 2002; Costa et al. 2001a; Deckers and Schoofs 2002; Fernando and Jones 1999; Maxson and Jones 2002; Momol et al. 1999; Norelli et al. 2003; Sobiczewski et al. 2001; Winkler 1997; Yoder et al. 1999) and Venturia inaequalis (Cooke) G. Wint. (Costa et al. 2001b; Spinelli et al. 2002). Another new approach lies in the induction of systemic acquired resistance (SAR), a self-defense mechanism in plants. The SAR response correlates with the accumulation of pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins. The PR proteins can be induced by some plant activators such as benzothiadiazole and harpin protein (Anonymous 2002; Brisset et al. 2000; Fontanilla et al. 2005; Jones 2001; Wei and Beer 1996). In addition, humic acid is reported by the manufacturer to improve plant vigour and natural resistance to plant diseases (Anonymous 2000; Freeman 1969).

In this study, the effectiveness of host resistance inducers (prohexadione-Ca, benzothiadiazole and harpin protein) and a fertilizer (humic acid) was investigated on two hosts that have not been studied so far (quince and loquat cultivars) on the shoot blight phase of fire blight disease.

| Active ingredient and percentage                     |                  | Commercial name / Firm      | Formulation     | Application rate (100 L <sup>-1</sup> water)    |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Prohexadione-Ca                                      | 10%              | Apogee / BASF               | WG <sup>1</sup> | 125 g   |
| Benzothiadiazole<br>+ Metalaxyl                      | 4%<br>40%        | BION MX 44 / Syngenta       | WG              | 135 g   |
| Harpin protein                                       | 3%               | Messenger / Eden Bioscience | Powder          | 50 g <sup>2</sup> + 20 mL adjuvant <sup>3</sup> |
| Humic acid<br>+ Fulvic acid<br>+ Potassium hydroxide | 55%<br>30%<br>8% | K-humate / Hektas A.S.      | Powder          | 20 mL   |
| Streptomycin sulfate                                 | 100%             | Streptomycine / I.E. Ulagay | Powder          | 59 g  |
| Copper salts   | 51.4%            | Tenn Cop 5E / Hektas A.S.   | Liquid          | 250 mL  |

<sup>1</sup> WG: wettable granule.

<sup>2</sup> Prepared in distilled water.

<sup>3</sup> KINETIC® (non-ionic adjuvant) manufactured for Helena Chemical Company.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant material and growth conditions

A loquat cultivar, Cukurgöbek, and two quince cultivars, Eşme and Ekmek, grown extensively in Turkey, were used in this experiment. The test plants were selected among 3-yr-old saplings showing uniform growth. These saplings were transplanted into plastic pots of 25 cm diam filled with 8 kg of soil, and they were grown for 20 d at  $25 \pm 5^{\circ}$ C, 60-75% RH, and under 12 000-14 000 Lux from tungsten-filament lamps for a 16-h photoperiod. The potted plants were placed on the ground 1 m apart at a field location in the Konya province and were watered regularly throughout the growing season.

After transplantation, the trees were fertilized once a week (each pot) with 25 g ammonium sulfate, 25 g diammonium phosphate, 25 g potassium sulfate, and 50 mL of a liquid fertilizer having 0.05% Mn, Cu, Zn, B, and Mo (Kacar and Katkat 1999). In addition, sulfur dust was applied once (4 g  $L^{-1}$  water) for powdery mildew control.

#### Erwinia amylovora strain

After conducting virulence tests on cv. Ankara pear trees, a virulent strain of *E. amylovora* (EAI) was

selected for all inoculations (Norelli *et al.* 1984). Stock cultures were preserved at 4°C on the nutrient agar (NA) medium and transferred to new tubes every 3 mo.

Bacterial suspensions were prepared from growing colonies on NA at 23-25°C and were diluted in sterile distilled water (SDW) to give an absorbance of 0.15 at 660 nm. This represented 10<sup>8</sup> cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> based on viable plate counts. Inoculum was maintained on ice and was used for plant inoculation within 2 h of dilution.

# Chemical compounds used in the experiments and their applications

The chemical compounds used in the experiment were: prohexadione-Ca, benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl, harpin protein, humic acid, copper salts and streptomycin. These compounds and their properties are shown in Table 1. Chemical application timing and schedule were based on Momol *et al.* (1999) (Table 2). Prohexadione-Ca was applied twice when the shoots were 6-12 cm and 15-20 cm long. Benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl and harpin protein were applied when the shoots measured 15-20 cm and 30-35 cm. Copper salts and humic acid were applied three times when the shoots were 6-12 cm, 15-20 cm and 30-35 cm long. Streptomycin was applied twice, 1 d before and 1 d

Table 2. Date of the chemical applications and date of inoculation with Erwinia amylovora to potted loquat and quince trees

|                              | Application time and shoot length (cm) |                              |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Treatment                    | June (6-12 cm) <sup>2</sup>            | June (15-20 cm) <sup>3</sup> | July (30-35 cm) <sup>4</sup> | July (40-45 cm)⁵ | July (40-45 cm) <sup>6</sup> |  |  |  |
| Prohexadione-Ca              |  |                              |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated <sup>1</sup>      | Х                                      | х                            |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Uninoculated                 | х                                      | х                            |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated + Cu              | х                                      | х                            |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Benzothiadiazole + Metalaxyl |  |                              |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated                   |  | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Uninoculated                 |  | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated + Cu              |  | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Harpin protein               |  |                              |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated                   |  | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Uninoculated                 |  | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated + Cu              |  | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Humic acid                   |  |                              |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated                   | х                                      | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Uninoculated                 | Х                                      | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated + Cu              | х                                      | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Copper salts                 |  |                              |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated                   | х                                      | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Uninoculated                 | x                                      | x                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Streptomycin                 |  |                              |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated                   |  |                              |                              | х                | х                            |  |  |  |
| Uninoculated                 |  |                              |                              | x                | x                            |  |  |  |
| Control                      |  |                              |                              |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Inoculated                   | х                                      | х                            | х                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |
| Uninoculated                 | x                                      | x                            | x                            |                  |                              |  |  |  |

<sup>1</sup>Inoculated with Erwinia amylovora after application of chemical, on 16 July 2002 and 8 July 2003.

<sup>2</sup> Treatments applied on 15 June 2002 and 9 June 2003.

<sup>3</sup>Treatments applied on 25 June 2002 and 20 June 2003.

<sup>4</sup> Treatments applied on 10 July 2002 and 2 July 2003.

<sup>5</sup> Treatments applied on 15 July 2002 and 7 July 2003.

<sup>6</sup> Treatments applied on 17 July 2002 and 9 July 2003.

after inoculation (Momol *et al.* 1999). Streptomycin and copper salts are known to be effective against fire blight and were applied to allow comparison with the host resistance inducer treatments.

#### Experimental design and set-up

The experiment was set up in a completely randomized block design with three replicates. A single replicate was a mean from nine shoots on three saplings (Duzgunes et al. 1987). Each treatment was applied to five groups of plants (Table 3). The first three groups of plants were treated by the chemicals and inoculated with E. amylovora to see the effects of chemicals on disease severity (first group treated with chemicals + E. amylovora inoculation, second group treated with chemicals + copper salts + E. amylovora inoculation, third group as control 1 treated only with E. amylovora inoculation). The fourth group was treated only with the chemicals, and the fifth group, as control 2, was treated with water to see the effects of treatments on shoot growth of loquat and quince. The different combinations of treatments were all analyzed as separate treatments. The experiment was conducted during two growing seasons, 2002 and 2003.

#### Inoculation of the shoots

Actively growing shoot tips of plants were inoculated by inserting a 0.46-mm-diam (26-gauge) hypodermic needle through the stem just above the youngest unfolded leaf. A suspension of  $10^8$  cfu mL<sup>-1</sup> *E. amylovora* was introduced to fill the wound and leave visible drops at both ends of the wound. The treated shoots were labeled with flagging tape for evaluation purposes (Norelli *et al.* 1986).

#### Evaluation of disease severity and shoot growth

The length of visible fire blight lesions and of the current season's shoot growth was recorded after all lesions had ceased to extend, as indicated by the formation of a determinate margin between diseased and healthy tissues.

Disease severity was calculated using the following formula: Disease severity (%) =  $(a / b) \times 100$ ; where **a** is the length of the blighted part of the shoot (cm), and **b** is the whole length of the shoot (cm) (Fernando and Jones 1999).

Percent effectiveness of the applications (**A**) was calculated according to the following formula:  $A = 100 \times (B - C) / B$ ; where **B** is the percent disease severity in the controls, and **C** is the percent disease severity in treated shoots.

Percent effectiveness of the treatments on reduction of shoot growth (**D**) was calculated in a similar way:  $D = 100 \times (E - F) / E$ ; where **E** is the mean shoot length in the controls, and **F** is the length of treated shoots (Anonymous 1996).

MINITAB (State College, PA, USA) was the statistical program used. The means (expressed as percent disease) were used to determine significant treatment differences. Data were analyzed using MSTAT software (Michigan State University, MI, USA) and the differences between treatments were determined by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

#### Control of shoot blight on loquat

Shoot blight caused by *E. amylovora* was destructive on loquat with a disease severity of 91.06 and 82.29% in control plots in 2002 and 2003, respectively (Table 4). Streptomycin was the most effective treatment in preventing shoot blight. It was followed by benzo-thiadiazole + metalaxyl with 59.48 and 46.62% effectiveness, which was more ( $P \le 0.05$ ) than all the other treatments during the 2 yr. Prohexadione-Ca, harpin protein and copper salts lacked sufficient efficacy.

The addition of copper to the various treatments did not increase the effectiveness of the plant growth regulator and activators. Copper compound alone was not effective and only reduced shoot blight by 2.49 and 5.71% in 2002 and 2003, respectively. Disease severity in humic acid applications was 95.32 and 80.88% in 2002 and 2003, respectively. It did not differ significantly from the uninoculated control (Table 4).

#### Reduced shoot growth on loquat

A 2 to 12% reduction in shoot growth was observed in the treatments including streptomycin, copper, BTH and harpin protein. However, the most important reduction (30%) was only obtained with the Prohexadione-Ca treatment (Table 5). Shoot lengths of loquat saplings treated with Prohexadione-Ca were significantly shortened, measuring 16.36 cm and 19.63 cm in 2002 and 2003, respectively, in comparison with the untreated control (23.55 cm and 27.70 cm in 2002 and 2003, respectively).

#### Control of shoot blight on quince

After the streptomycin treatment, applications of harpin protein alone or in combination with the copper compound were the most effective treatments for the shoot blight phase of fire blight for both years. The effectiveness of harpin protein in disease control on cv. Eşme was 25.83 and 23.06% in 2002 and 2003, respectively, and 11.89 and 14.82% on cv. Ekmek. This was greater than copper compound effectiveness, which was 11.87 and 7.34% on cv. Eşme, and 8.00 and 6.42% on cv. Ekmek ( $P \le 0.05$ ). Copper salts did not increase the effectiveness of the chemicals (Table 6).

Copper salts were less effective than prohexadione-Ca and benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl applications in reducing the severity of fire blight on shoots inoculated with *E. amylovora* in 2003. Disease severity was numerically lower than with the untreated control, but there were no statistical differences (Table 6). Disease severity values resulting from humic acid treatments did not differ from the untreated control saplings (Table 6).

When the quince cultivars were evaluated independently, disease severity on cv. Ekmek was 56.42 and 60.80% in 2002 and 2003, respectively, and 47.83 and 51.57% on cv. Eşme. These results show that disease severity was less important on 'Eşme' than on 'Ekmek'. The data also show that there are numerical differences in disease severity between the cultivars, but these differences are not significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

| Plant groups  | Applications  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| First group   | Chemicals (PC <sup>1</sup> , BTHM, HRP, HA, CU, STR)<br>+ <i>E. amylovora</i> inoculation         |  |  |  |  |
| Second group  | Chemicals (except Copper and Streptomycin)<br>+ Copper salts<br>+ <i>E. amylovora</i> inoculation |  |  |  |  |
| Third group<br>(Control <sub>1</sub> = for disease severity)    | E. amylovora inoculation  |  |  |  |  |
| Fourth group  | Chemicals   |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Fifth group</b><br>(Control <sub>2</sub> = for shoot growth) | Water   |  |  |  |  |

#### Table 3. Experimental design for applications on loquat and quince cultivars

<sup>1</sup> PC: Prohexadione-Ca; BTHM: Benzothiadiazole + Metalaxyl; HRP: Harpin protein; HA: Humic acid; CU: Copper salts; STR: Streptomycin.

#### Table 4. Effectiveness of the treatments on disease severity caused by Erwinia amylovora on loquat cv. Cukurgöbek in 2002 and 2003

|                        | 20                      | 002                  | 2003                    |                    |  |  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Treatment <sup>1</sup> | Disease severity<br>(%) | Effectiveness<br>(%) | Disease severity<br>(%) | Effectivene<br>(%) |  |  |
| Prohexadione-Ca        | 88.54 bcd <sup>2</sup>  | 2.76                 | 75.02 cd                | 8.83               |  |  |
| BTHM                   | 36.89 g                 | 59.48                | 43.92 g                 | 46.62              |  |  |
| Harpin protein         | 83.62 de                | 8.17                 | 69.00 e                 | 16.15              |  |  |
| Humic acid             | 95.32 a                 | 0.00                 | 80.88 a                 | 1.71               |  |  |
| Copper salts           | 88.79 bcd               | 2.49                 | 77.59 bc                | 5.71               |  |  |
| Streptomycin           | 1.27 h                  | 98.60                | 2.83 h                  | 96.56              |  |  |
| PC + CU                | 84.84 cde               | 6.83                 | 74.04 d                 | 10.02              |  |  |
| BTHM + CU              | 61.43 f                 | 32.53                | 49.39 f                 | 39.98              |  |  |
| HRP + CU               | 82.61 e                 | 9.27                 | 70.00 e                 | 14.93              |  |  |
| HA + CU                | 89.20 bc                | 2.04                 | 79.89 ab                | 2.91               |  |  |
| Control                | 91.06 ab                | 0.00                 | 82.29 a                 | 0.00               |  |  |

<sup>1</sup> BTHM: Benzothiadiazole + Metalaxyl; PC: Prohexadione-Ca; CU: Copper salts; HRP: Harpin protein; HA: Humic acid.

<sup>2</sup> In a column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) as determined by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test.

#### Table 5. Effectiveness of the treatments on reducing shoot growth of loquat cv. Cukurgöbek in 2002 and 2003

|                   | 200                  | 2                    | 2003                 |                      |  |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| Treatment         | Shoot length<br>(cm) | Effectiveness<br>(%) | Shoot length<br>(cm) | Effectiveness<br>(%) |  |
| Prohexadione-Ca   | 16.36 d²             | 30.53                | 19.63 e              | 29.13                |  |
| BTHM <sup>1</sup> | 21.00 c              | 10.82                | 29.03 abc            | 0.00                 |  |
| Harpin protein    | 20.53 c              | 12.82                | 29.21 ab             | 0.00                 |  |
| Humic acid        | 23.64 a              | 0.00                 | 31.11 a              | 0.00                 |  |
| Copper salts      | 22.25 b              | 4.24                 | 26.07 d              | 5.88                 |  |
| Streptomycin      | 23.09 ab             | 1.95                 | 26.76 cd             | 3.39                 |  |
| Control           | 23.55 a              | 0.00                 | 27.70 bcd            | 0.00                 |  |

<sup>1</sup> BTHM: Benzothiadizole + Metalaxyl.

<sup>2</sup> In a column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) as determined by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test.

Table 6. Effectiveness of the treatments on disease severity caused by *Erwinia amylovora* on quince cultivars Eşme and Ekmek in 2002 and 2003

|                        |                       | cv. E         | ŞME                 |               | cv. EKMEK           |               |           |       |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------|--|
|                        | 2                     | 2002          |                     | 2003          |                     | 2002          |           | 2003  |  |
|                        | severity              | Effectiveness | Disease<br>severity | Effectiveness | Disease<br>severity | Effectiveness | severity  |       |  |
| Treatment <sup>1</sup> | (%)                   | (%)           | (%)                 | (%)           | (%)                 | (%)           | (%)       | (%)   |  |
| Prohexadione-Ca        | 53.59 ab <sup>2</sup> | 8.42          | 53.66 cde           | 16.14         | 59.69 ab            | 6.98          | 64.17 bc  | 10.36 |  |
| BTHM                   | 54.12 ab              | 7.51          | 54.67 bcde          | 14.56         | 62.27 ab            | 2.96          | 64.83 bc  | 9.44  |  |
| Harpin protein         | 43.40 c               | 25.83         | 49.23 e             | 23.06         | 56.54 b             | 11.89         | 60.98 c   | 14.82 |  |
| Humic acid             | 56.21 ab              | 3.94          | 60.96 ab            | 4.73          | 62.88 ab            | 2.01          | 69.03 ab  | 3.57  |  |
| Copper salts           | 51.57 b               | 11.87         | 59.29 abc           | 7.34          | 59.03 ab            | 8.00          | 66.99 abc | 6.42  |  |
| Streptomycin           | 11.56 d               | 80.24         | 7.98 f              | 87.52         | 13.29 c             | 79.28         | 10.25 d   | 85.68 |  |
| PC + CU                | 50.30 b               | 14.04         | 51.93 de            | 18.84         | 61.30 ab            | 4.47          | 63.14 bc  | 11.80 |  |
| BTHM + CU              | 51.19 b               | 12.52         | 55.92 bcde          | 12.61         | 63.11 ab            | 1.65          | 67.32 abc | 5.96  |  |
| HRP + CU               | 41.72 c               | 28.70         | 51.80 de            | 19.04         | 57.43 ab            | 10.50         | 61.59 c   | 13.96 |  |
| HA + CU                | 53.93 ab              | 7.84          | 57.89 abcd          | 9.53          | 60.90 ab            | 5.09          | 68.96 ab  | 3.67  |  |
| Control                | 58.52 a <sup>2</sup>  | 0.00          | 63.99 a             | 0.00          | 64.17 a             | 0.00          | 71.59 a   | 0.00  |  |

<sup>1</sup> BTHM: Benzothiadiazole + Metalaxyl; PC: Prohexadione-Ca; CU: Copper salts; HRP: Harpin protein; HA: Humic acid.

<sup>2</sup> In a column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) as determined by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test.

|                   |                           | C'                   | v. EŞME                 |               | cv. EKMEK               |               |                         |                      |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|                   | 2002                      |                      | 2003                    |               | 2002                    |               | 2003                    |                      |
| Treatment         | Shoot  <br>length<br>(cm) | Effectiveness<br>(%) | Shoot<br>length<br>(cm) | Effectiveness | Shoot<br>length<br>(cm) | Effectiveness | Shoot<br>length<br>(cm) | Effectiveness<br>(%) |
| Prohexadione-Ca   | 33.25 e <sup>2</sup>      | 22.78                | 33.94 e                 | 26.10         | 32.18 e                 | 24.28         | 32.48 e                 | 30.96                |
| BTHM <sup>1</sup> | 41.58 d                   | 3.43                 | 46.02 abcd              | 0.00          | 43.63 bcd               | 0.00          | 48.71 a                 | 0.00                 |
| Harpin protein    | 41.71 d                   | 3.13                 | 48.02 ab                | 0.00          | 43.84 bcd               | 0.00          | 47.98 ab                | 0.00                 |
| Humic acid        | 43.82 bcd                 | 0.00                 | 47.16 abc               | 0.00          | 44.83 abc               | 0.00 b        | 46.97 abc               | 0.17                 |
| Copper salts      | 41.58 d                   | 3.43                 | 44.32 abcd              | 3.50          | 41.63 d                 | 2.04          | 45.17 abc               | d 3.99               |
| Streptomycin      | 41.79 d                   | 2.94                 | 45.73 abcd              | 0.43          | 41.73 d                 | 1.81          | 45.05 abc               | d 4.25               |
| Control           | 43.06 cd                  | 0.00                 | 45.93 abcd              | 0.00          | 42.50 cd                | 0.00          | 47.05 abc               | 0.00                 |

<sup>1</sup> BTHM: Benzothiadizole + Metalaxyl.

<sup>2</sup> In a column, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) as determined by Duncan's New Multiple Range Test.

#### Reduced shoot growth on quince

Prohexadione-Ca was the only treatment that significantly reduced shoot length on quince cultivars, and its effectiveness was the highest (30.96%) on the susceptible cv. Ekmek in 2003 (Table 7).

## DISCUSSION

The shoot blight phase of fire blight caused by *E. amylovora* is highly destructive within the current and subsequent growing seasons, and improved

strategies are required for the control of fire blight on pome fruits. Danovan (1991) and Byers and Yoder (1997) reported that the first factor determining the susceptibility of the host plant against shoot infections of fire blight was rapid shoot growth.

The preventive effects of prohexadione-Ca on shoot growth and fire blight development on apples is a well-known phenomenon (Deckers and Daemen 1999). Prohexadione-Ca was tested on two different rosaceous plants, loquat and quince, at the same rates as those applied to apples. The primary effect of prohexadione-Ca is the control of shoot growth (Evans *et al.* 1997; Greene 1999; Rademacher and Kober 2003; Yoder *et al.* 1999) in apple, pear, plum and sweet and sour cherry trees (Basak and Rademacher 2000; Elfving *et al.* 2003). A suppression of disease incidence was not associated with substantial growth control on pears (Buban *et al.* 2002), which was comparable to the results on loquat and quince in this study. These results indicate that the effects of prohexadione-Ca on the incidence of fire blight are primarily the result of physiological changes (Rademacher 2004). In addition, Unrath (1999) pointed out that varied results were obtained with prohexadione-Ca in climatically different regions.

Benzothiadiazole (acibenzolar-S-methyl) is known to induce systemic acquired resistance (SAR) against fire blight. Benzothiadiazole mimics the role of salicylic acid in defense reactions, and treated plants produce pathogenesis-related proteins, which are able to degrade some of the bacterial cell walls (Kessmann et al. 1996; Oostendorp et al. 2001; Thomson et al. 1999a, b). Weekly applications of benzothiadiazole (Actigard 50 WG, Novartis) provided 81% control compared with 97.6% with streptomycin on apples (Maxson and Jones 1999). In this study, benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl provided on average 53% disease control for the 2 yr on the loguat cultivar Cukurgobek, but its effectiveness was very low on the two quince cultivars tested. This suggests that the mode of action of the products does not work in the same way on different hosts. The other aspect that should be considered is the very low rate of benzothiadiazole in the mixture we used (4%). A higher degree of control could be expected with a higher concentrated form of the chemical, provided that there is no synergistic effect of metalaxyl. This hypothesis should be further tested on loguat and quince.

Harpin protein provided broad-spectrum protection of plants against fungal, bacterial and viral pathogens (Anonymous 2002; Fontanilla *et al.* 2005; Jones 2001; Momol *et al.* 1999; Wei and Beer 1996). However, it was not as effective on loquat compared to our previous studies on other pome fruits, notably pears (Bastas and Maden 2004). On quince cultivars, harpin protein generally provided better control than the other treatments, except streptomycin.

Streptomycin was effective in preventing the shoot blight phase of the disease on quince and loquat; however, the use of this chemical must be limited to high disease pressure conditions. In the control of fire blight, copper compounds can be effective only at low and medium disease severities (van der Zwet and Keil 1979), and the rate of control is lower on susceptible host pears (Dimova 1990). These alternative chemicals to copper have shown some promise for controlling the shoot blight phase of fire blight on quince and loquat.

We obtained very low disease control of the shoot blight phase of fire blight with the copper compound alone or in combination with benzothiadiazole and harpin protein on quince. The addition of copper to benzothiadiazole reduced the effectiveness of the latter on loquat. Romero *et al.* (2001) also found that the addition of a copper compound to plant activators did not affect the performance of the plant activators. In contrast to our data from this study, some researchers obtained increasing yield and lower disease onset with the application of plant activator + fungicide mixtures (Anonymous 1997). Addition of copper salts did not improve the effectiveness of some of the chemicals but rather reduced it. All the chemicals except humic acid were more effective than copper compound alone.

Even when they were more effective than copper salts, the low disease control obtained from treatments with prohexadione-Ca, benzothiadiazole and harpin protein can be attributed to the inoculation method, high inoculation density, and host/cultivar susceptibility. When these situations are taken into consideration, improved performance may occur in natural infections with repeated applications during the growing season. Therefore, repeated applications should be considered in situations where disease epidemics are anticipated. Humic acid applications were ineffective as a fire blight disease control method both on loquat and quince cultivars. Humic acid should not be used as foliar application on loquat and quince during the growing season. Its negative effect should be further tested under different climatic conditions and with different application doses.

It is important to note that host resistance inducers have to be applied prophylactically against pathogen infections; they should be used 1-3 wk prior to a possible infection risk or inoculation by *Erwinia amylovora*. It will be necessary to find the right strategy for the application of these compounds in different areas. Prohexadione-Ca, benzothiadiazole and harpin protein application should be seen as a complementary action in the whole process of fire blight control measures.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The *Erwinia amylovora* strain (EAI) was obtained from Prof. Dr. Huseyin Basim, Akdeniz University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Antalya, Turkey. This work was supported by the Coordination of Selcuk University's Scientific Research Projects.

# REFERENCES

- Aldwinckle, H., R.M.V. Bhaskara, and J. Norelli. 2002. Evaluation of control of fire blight infection of apple blossoms and shoots with SAR inducers, biological agents, a growth regulator, copper compounds and other materials. Acta Hortic. 590 : 325-331.
- Anonymous. 1996. Standard pesticide experiment methods to fire blight disease [*E. amylovora* (Burr.) Winslow *et al.*] on pears, quinces and apples. Standard Pesticide Experiment Methods for Agricultural Control. Vol. 2, Plant Diseases. TAGEM, Ankara. 261 p.
- Anonymous. 1997. The plant activator, nature created the concept. Novartis Crop Prot.16 : 1-35.
- Anonymous. 2000. Humic acid applying crops, biotechnology for soil and plant. Humintech Technical Brochure, Turkey. 20 p.
- Anonymous. 2002. Messenger®, technical bulletin. Eden Bioscience Corporation, Washington, USA. 3 p.
- Basak, A., and W. Rademacher. 2000. Growth regulation of pome and stone fruit trees by use of prohexadione-Ca. Acta Hortic. 514 : 41-50.
- Bastas, K.K., and S. Maden. 2004. Researches on the control of fire blight (*E. amylovora*) with prohexadione-Ca (Bas 125 10 W) and benzothiadiazole + metalaxyl (Bion MX 44 WG). Selcuk Univ. J. Agric. Fac. 18 (33) : 49-58.

- Bazzi, C., C. Messina, L. Tortoreto, E. Stefani, F. Bini, A. Brunelli, C. Andreotti, E. Sabatini, F. Spinelli, G. Costa, S. Hauptmann, G. Stammler, S. Doerr, J. Marr, and W. Rademacher. 2003. Control of pathogen incidence in pome fruits and other horticultural crop plants with prohexadione-Ca. Eur. J. Hortic. Sci. 68 : 108-114.
- Brisset, M.N., S. Cesbron, S.V. Thomson, and J.P. Paulin. 2000. Acibenzolar-S-methyl induces the accumulation of defense related enzymes in apple and protects from fire blight. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 106 : 529-536.
- Buban, T., P. Sallai, E. Obzsut-Truskovszky, and L. Hertelendy. 2002. Trials with applying chemical agents other than bactericides to control fire blight in pear orchards. Acta Hortic. 590 : 263-267.
- Buban, T., L. Földes, A. Kormany, S. Hauptmann, G. Stammler, and W. Rademacher. 2003. Prohexadione-Ca in apple trees: control of shoot growth and reduction of fire blight incidence in blossoms and shoots. J. Appl. Bot. 77 : 95-102.
- **Byers, R.E., and K.S. Yoder. 1997.** The effect of Bas 125 W on apple tree growth, fruit quality and fire blight suppression. HortScience 32 (3) : 557.
- Cline, J.A., and D.M. Hunter. 2002. Fire blight and vegetative growth control response of several *Malus* rootstocks and cultivars treated with prohexadione calcium (Apogee). XXVIth Int. Hortic. Congr., Toronto (CA), p. 42.
- Costa, G., C. Andreotti, F. Bucchi, E. Sabatini, C. Bazzi, S. Malaguti, and W. Rademacher. 2001a. Prohexadione-Ca (Apogee): growth regulation and reduced fire blight incidence in pear. HortScience 36 : 931-933.
- Costa, G., F. Spinelli, E. Sabatini, and W. Rademacher. 2001b. Incidence of scab (*Venturia inaequalis*) in apple as affected by different plant bioregulators. Pages 67-68 in S.M. Kang, F. Bangerth and S.K. Kim (eds.), Extended Abstracts of the 9th Int. Symp. on Plant Bioregulators in Fruit Prod., Seoul, Korea, 19-22 August 2001, ISHS and KSHS.
- Danovan, A. 1991. Screening for fire blight resistance in apple (*Malus pumila*) using excised leaf assays from *in vitro* and *in vivo* grown material. Ann. Appl. Biol. 119 : 59-68.
- **Deckers, T., and E. Daemen. 1999.** Determining factors reducing the host susceptibility of fruit trees for fire blight infections. Acta Hortic. 489 : 483-489.
- **Deckers, T., and H. Schoofs. 2002.** Host susceptibility as a factor in control strategies of fire blight in European pear growing. Acta Hortic. 590 : 127-138.
- **Dimova, A. 1990.** Chemical control of fire blight blossom infection under field conditions in Cyprus. Acta Hortic. 273 : 377-382.
- Duzgunes, O., T. Kesici, O. Kavuncu, and F. Gurbuz. 1987. Statistical Methods II. Ankara Univ. Agric. Fac. Publ. 1021, Lesson Book 295, Ankara. 381 p.
- Elfving, D.C., G.A. Lang, and B.B. Visser. 2003. Prohexadione-Ca and ethephon reduce shoot growth and increase flowering in young, vigorous sweet cherry trees. HortScience 38 : 293-298.
- Evans, R.R., J.R. Evans, and W. Rademacher. 1997. Prohexadione calcium for suppression of vegetative growth in eastern apples. Acta Hortic. 451: 663-666.
- Evans, J.R., R.R. Evans, C.L. Regusci, and W. Rademacher. 1999. Mode of action, metabolism and uptake of BAS 125W, prohexadione-calcium. HortScience 34 (7) : 1200-1201.
- Fernando, W.G.D., and A.L. Jones. 1999. Prohexadione-Ca: A tool for reducing secondary fire blight infections. Acta Hortic. 489 : 597-600.
- Fontanilla, M., M. Montes, and R. De Prado. 2005. Effects of the foliar-applied protein "Harpin(Ea)" (messenger) on tomatoes infected with *Phytophthora infestans*. Commun. Agric. Appl. Biol. Sci. 70 (3) : 41-45.
- Freeman, P.G. 1969. The use of lignite products as plant growth stimulants: Technology and use of lignite. IC Bureau of Mines Information Circular 8471 : 150-164.
- **Greene, D.W. 1999.** Tree growth management and fruit quality of apple trees treated with prohexadione-calcium (BAS 125). HortScience 34 : 1209-1212.

- Gunes, N.T., and H. Dumanoglu. 2005. Some fruit attributes of quince (*Cydonia oblonga*) based on genotypes during the pre-harvest period. N. Z. J. Crop Hortic. Sci. 33 : 211-217.
- Jock, S., V. Donat, M.M. Lopez, C. Bazzi, and K. Geider. 2002. Following spread of fire blight in Western Central and Southern Europe by molecular differentiation of *Erwinia amylovora* strains with PFGE analysis. Environ. Microbiol. 4 : 106-114.
- Jones, A.L., and H.S. Aldwinkle (eds.). 1990. Compendium of Apple and Pear Diseases. APS Press, St Paul, MN. 100 p.
  Jones, J. 2001. Harpin. Pestic. Outlook 12 (4) : 134-135.
- Kacar, B., and V. Katkat. 1999. Fertilizers and Techniques of Fertilizing. Vipas, Bursa, Turkey. 531 p.
- Kessmann, H., M. Oostendorp, W. Ruess, T. Staub, W. Kunz, and J. Ryals. 1996. Systemic activated resistance a new technology for plant disease control. Pestic. Outlook 6 : 1-4.
- Maxson, K.L., and A.L. Jones. 1999. Actigard-new fire blight control. Ohio State Univ. Newsl. Ext. 3 (25) : 5-7 [http://ipm.osu.edu/fruit/icm25.htm] [accessed on 15 May 2008].
- Maxson, K.L., and A.L. Jones. 2002. Management of fire blight with gibberellin inhibitors and SAR inducers. Acta Hortic. 590 : 217-223.
- McManus, P.S., V.O. Stockwell, G.W. Sundin, and A.J. Jones. 2002. Antibiotic use in agriculture. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 40 : 443-465.
- Momol, M.T., J.D. Ugine, J.L. Norelli, and H.S. Aldwinckle. 1999. The effect of prohexadione-Ca SAR inducers and calcium on the control of shoot blight caused by *E. amylovora* on apple. Acta Hortic. 489 : 601-605.
- Norelli, J.L., H.S. Aldwinckle, and S.V. Beer. 1984. Differential host x pathogen interactions among cultivars of apple and strains of *E. amylovora*. Phytopathology 74 (2) : 136-139.
- Norelli, J.L., H.S. Aldwinckle, and S.V. Beer. 1986. Differential susceptibility of *Malus* spp. cultivars Robusta 5, Novole, and Ottawa 523 to *E. amylovora*. Plant Dis. 70 (11) : 1017-1019.
- Norelli, J.L., A.L. Jones, and H.S. Aldwinckle. 2003. Fire blight management in the twenty-first century. Plant Dis. 87 : 756-765.
- **Oostendorp, M., W. Kunz, B. Dietrich, and T. Staub. 2001.** Induced disease resistance in plants by chemicals. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 107 : 19-28.
- Polat, A.A., and O. Caliskan. 2007. Loquat production in Turkey. Acta Hortic. 750 : 49-54.
- Psallidas, P.G., and J. Tsiantos. 2000. Chemical control of fire blight. Pages 199-234 in J.L. Vanneste (ed.), Fire Blight: The Disease and its Causative Agent, *Erwinia amylovora*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK.
- Rademacher, W. 2000. Growth retardants: effects on gibberellin biosynthesis and other metabolic pathways. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 51 : 501-531.
- Rademacher, W. 2004. Prohexadione-Ca induces resistance to fire blight and other diseases. EPPO Bull. 34 : 379-384.
- Rademacher, W., and R. Kober. 2003. Efficient use of prohexadione-Ca in pome fruits. Eur. J. Hortic. Sci. 68 : 101-107.
- Romero, A.M., C.S. Kousik, and D.F. Ritchie. 2001. Resistance to bacterial spot in bell pepper induced by acibenzolar-Smethyl. Plant Dis. 85 : 189-194.
- Saygili, H., Y. Aysan, M. Mirik, and F. Sahin. 2006. Severe outbreak of fire blight on quince in Turkey. Acta Hortic. 704:51-54.
- Sobiczewski, P., G. Krupinski, S. Berczynski, and A. Basak. 2001. The effect of resistance inducers on the suppression of fire blight (*Erwinia amylovora*) on apple fruits and pear fruitlets. Phytopathol. Pol. 22 : 171-182.
- Spinelli, F, F. Bini, and A. Brunelli. 2002. Utilizzo di vari regolatori di crescita per il controllo della ticchiolatura di melo. Atti VI Giornate Scientifiche SOI, Spoleto (Italy), 23-25 aprile 2002. Vol. 1 : 127-128.
- Steiner, P. 2000. Integrated orchard and nursery management for the control of fire blight. Pages 339-358 *in* J.L. Vanneste (ed.), Fire Blight: The Disease and its Causative Agent, *Erwinia amylovora*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK.

- Thomson, S.V., M.N. Brisset, R. Chartier, and J.P. Paulin. 1999a. Induced resistance in apple and pear seedlings to fire blight by Bion and correlation with some defenserelated enzymes. Acta Hortic. 489 : 583-588.
- Thomson, S.V., S.C. Gouk, and J.P. Paulin. 1999b. Efficacy of Bion (Actigard) to control fire blight in pear and apple orchards in USA, New Zealand and France. Acta Hortic. 489 : 589-595.
- **Unrath, C.R. 1999.** Prohexadione-Ca: a promising chemical for controlling vegetative growth of apple. HortScience 34 (7) : 1197-2000.
- van der Zwet, T. 2002. Present worldwide distribution of fire blight. Acta Hortic. 590 : 33-34.
- van der Zwet, T., and H.L. Keil. 1979. Fire blight-A bacterial disease of Rosaceous plants. USDA Agric. Handbook No. 520, 200 p.

- Vanneste, J.L. 2000. Fire Blight: The Disease and its Causative Agent *Erwinia amylovora*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. 370 p.
- Wei, Z.M., and S.V. Beer. 1996. Harpin from *Erwinia amy-lovora* induces plant resistance. Acta Hortic. 411: 223-225.
- Winkler, V.W. 1997. Reduced risk concept for prohexadionecalcium, a vegetative growth control plant growth regulator in apples. Acta Hortic. 451 : 667-671.
- Yoder, K.S., S.S. Miller, and R.E. Byers. 1999. Suppression of fire blight in apple shoots by prohexadione-calcium following experimental and natural inoculation. HortScience 34 : 1202-1204.