The Importance of Binomial Nomenclature for the Identification of Pollen Aeroallergens

```
Check for
updates
```

German Dario Ramon, MD, FAAAAI^a, Brett James Green, PhD, FAAAAI^b, Estelle Levetin, PhD, FAAAAI^c,

László Makra, PhD^d, and Leonard Bielory, MD, FAAAAl^e Buenos Aires, Argentina, Morgantown, W Va, Tulsa, Okla, Hódmezővásárhely, Hungary, Nutley and New Brunswick, NJ

The diagnosis and treatment of atopic disorders associated with specific aerobiological triggers require basic botanical training. However, the identification of specific pollen can often be confounded by broad naming conventions that range from categorized colloquial to scientific names based on either higher taxonomic levels or, in some cases, binomial nomenclature. Physicians specializing in allergy often lack a comprehensive understanding with respect to plant taxonomy and botanical nomenclature that are critical skills required for clinical practice and research programs evaluating pollen and airborne fungal spores. In addition, binomial and current family designation and synonyms, including author citation are often misused, causing a misinterpretation of existing plants species or pollen types. It is critical that the correct botanical name is linked to a validated specimen and scientific naming conventions are used where possible by the clinician and researcher. In relation to pollen identification, we propose that clinicians and researchers should provide the currently accepted binomial nomenclature, offer relevant synonyms, and use the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group names. © 2021 American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology (J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2021;9:2642-4)

Key words: Pollen; Allergy; Clinical immunology; Plant taxonomy; Botanical nomenclature

Allergic diseases are prevalent in industrialized nations, and allergic rhinitis is the most frequent noncommunicable disease.^{1,2}

2213-2198

© 2021 American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2021.03.007 Airborne pollen, especially from wind pollinated (anemophilous) plant species, are among the most important etiological agents of allergic rhinitis.^{3,4} Allergic sensitization to pollen has a worldwide distribution but is dependent on the regional contributions of endemic plant species. The pollen derived from these species is released into the atmosphere at various seasonal intervals throughout the year, and personal exposure can result in unique

aeroallergen sensitization profiles within a community. Identification and quantification of airborne pollen by light microscopy continue to serve an important role to understand the spatial and temporal distribution of pollen allergen sources in a patient's environment.⁵ However, the interpretation of the collected pollen types can often be confounded by broad naming conventions that continue to be used and range from categorized (weed), colloquial (ragweed) to scientific names based on either higher taxonomic levels (Asteraceae), or in some cases, binomial nomenclature (Ambrosia artemisiifolia). The recent utilization of precision medicine in allergy and clinical immunology^{6,7} has seen an increased need to use scientific naming conventions for a variety of downstream processes that include allergen characterization as well as the development of skin prick test extracts, component diagnostic techniques, and allergen-specific immunotherapy.

The diagnosis and treatment of atopic disorders associated with specific aerobiological triggers—for example, allergic rhinoconjunctivitis—require basic botanical training. Physicians specializing in allergy frequently lack these skills, especially with respect to plant taxonomy and botanical nomenclature that are critical skills required for clinical practice and research programs evaluating pollen and airborne fungal spores.⁹ In addition, binomial and current family designation, synonyms, including author citation, are often misused, causing a misinterpretation of existing plants species or pollen types.¹⁰ It is critical that the correct botanical name is linked to a validated specimen and scientific naming conventions are used where possible by the clinician and researcher.

In most cases, questions regarding orthography, synonymy, and current family designations of most plant binomials can be solved using widely available published pollen atlases and online databases.¹¹ Other electronic resources such as online keys software for smartphones and desktop computers as well as automated classification approaches based on imaging technologies and more recently convolutional neural networks have also recently been developed to aid in the identification, classification, and quantification of plants and pollen captured in an image.¹²⁻¹⁴ In certain circumstances, consultation with a palynologist or plant taxonomist may be required. In relation to pollen identification, we propose that clinicians and researchers provide the currently

^aInstituto de Alergia e Inmunología del Sur, Hospital Italiano Regional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina

^bOffice of the Director, Health Effects Laboratory Division, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Morgantown, Va

^cDepartment of Biological Science, University of Tulsa, Tulsa, Okla

^dUniversity of Szeged, Faculty of Agriculture, Institute of Economics and Rural Development, Hódmezővásárhely, Hungary

^eDepartment of Medicine and Ophthalmology, Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine, Seton Hall University, Nutley Center for Environmental Prediction, Department of Environmental Sciences, School of Environmental and Biological Sciences, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ

Funding: No funding has been received for this study.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no relevant conflicts of interest.

Received for publication September 19, 2020; revised January 23, 2021; accepted for publication March 1, 2021.

Available online March 17, 2021.

Corresponding author: German Dario Ramon, MD, FAAAAI, Instituto de Alergia e Inmunología del Sur, Hospital Italiano Regional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: germanramon2004@hotmail.com.

TABLE I. E>	xamples of	common names	of specifi	c pollen s	species and	l their	correct	binomial	nomenclature
-------------	------------	--------------	------------	------------	-------------	---------	---------	----------	--------------

Common names	Binomial nomenclature	Advantages of using binomial nomenclature instead of common names
Maple or Acer	Acer pseudoplatanus	 Avoids confusion of the same allergen among clinicians/researchers when performing literature searches Contributes to the standardization of skin batteries used in prick tests Improves the efficiency of treating outpatients at different centers/ geographical locations because patients know exactly the allergen by its scientific name
Queen palm or cocos palm	Syagrus romanzoffiana	
Pussy willow or goat willow	Salix caprea	
Wormwood, sweet Annie, or annual mugwort	Artemisia annua	
Mountain cedar, Ashe juniper, or blue berry juniper	Juniperus ashei	
Osage orange, hedge apple, or bois d'arc	Maclura pomifera	
American sycamore or American plane tree	Platanus occidentales	
Quaking aspen, trembling poplar, or white poplar	Populus tremuloides	

accepted binomial where possible, provide relevant synonyms, and use the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group names.¹⁵ This guidance also applies to scenarios in which common plant names may vary according to different regions of the world owing to folk and/or indigenous names provided to the same species.¹⁶ It is equally as important that the binomial nomenclature along with the common name be presented to the general public. An example of some common pollen types and their scientific names are presented in Table I.

Among the potential benefits of using binomial nomenclature is that the standardization of each allergen could help to avoid confusion among clinicians and researchers while performing literature searches, and the efficiency of care at different outpatient centers or geographical locations might also be improved by patients knowing exactly the scientific name of the allergen they are sensitive to. However, we recognize that the additional training on binomial nomenclature might represent a challenge by itself because physicians might not be convinced or motivated enough to pursue additional training. Therefore, in real life, a multidisciplinary approach would be needed in which physicians should work closely with plant taxonomists to address the local components and geographical factors of aeroallergens to customize immunotherapy for individual patients and provide the needed training in binomial nomenclature.

The use of traditional palynological techniques for the identification of pollen has certain limitations to determine genus, species, or subspecies, as is the case of Poaceae,¹⁷ Cupressaceae,¹⁸ and Moraceael Urticaceae.¹⁹ However, the development of molecular biology techniques such as polymerase chain reaction and next=generation sequencing have recently provided the use of binomial nomenclature for the identification of various species through the amplification of specific gene regions. Molecular phylogenetic analyses are now commonplace and these studies have provided unparalleled insights into relationships at all levels of plant phylogeny.²⁰ At lower taxonomic levels, phylogenetic analyses have revealed the closest relatives of many crops and model organisms for studies of molecular genetics, concomitantly pointing at possible relatives for use in comparative studies and plant breeding.²¹ Furthermore, phylogenetic information has contributed to new perspectives on the evolution of polyploid genomes.²² Other approaches such as immunoassays that use

species-specific monoclonal antibodies, chemical-based methods, and even recently developed automated classification approaches are alternative methods that could also be used to assign binomial nomenclature to environmentally sourced pollen.²³ Consequently, physicians will be forced to become knowledge-able about these methodological advances as well as the binomial nomenclature in their clinical practices and research programs.

In the era of big data, in which research technologies and data collection methods are constantly evolving, it is essential that standardized key words are used so that the search and association between them is optimal.²⁴ This concept also applies to the identification of pollen collected in air samples. We propose that the currently accepted binomial, relevant synonyms, and the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group names should be used where possible. The use of binomial naming conventions will have additional downstream implications for pollen monitoring networks and allow the comparison and analysis of pollen data for climate and health studies. Further, utilizing these naming conventions can also be applied to precision medicine²⁵ and provide improved in vivo and in vitro testing methods as well as immunotherapy reagents. This approach in combination with validated specimens will also be critical for the development of deep learning technologies and other automated methods to identify pollen.

Acknowledgments

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Finally, we want to express our gratitude to Miguel Felix, MD, from Universidad Espiritu Santo, Ecuador, for his support in reviewing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Paramesh H. Air pollution and allergic airway diseases: social determinants and sustainability in the control and prevention. Ind J Pediatr 2018;85:284-94.
- Schraufnagel DE, Balmes JR, Cowl CT, De Matteis S, Jung SH, Mortimer K, et al. Air pollution and noncommunicable diseases: a review by the Forum of International Respiratory Societies' Environmental Committee, part 2: air pollution and organ systems. Chest 2019;155:417-26.

- Bousquet J, Schünemann HJ, Samolinski B, Demoly P, Baena-Cagnani CE, Bachert C, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA): achievements in 10 years and future needs. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012;130: 1049-62.
- Greiner AN, Hellings PW, Rotiroti G, Scadding GK. Allergic rhinitis. Lancet 2011;378:2112-22.
- Heffler E, Puggioni F, Peveri S, Montagni M, Canonica GW, Melioli G. Extended IgE profile based on an allergen macroarray: a novel tool for precision medicine in allergy diagnosis. World Allergy Organ J 2018;11:7.
- 6. Hellings PW, Fokkens WJ, Bachert C, Akdis CA, Bieber T, Agache I, et al. Positioning the principles of precision medicine in care pathways for allergic rhinitis and chronic rhinosinusitis—a EUFOREA-ARIA-EPOS-AIRWAYS ICP statement. Allergy Eur J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017;72:1297-305.
- Crisci CD, Ardusso LRF. A precision medicine approach to rhinitis evaluation and management. Curr Treat Options Allergy 2020;7:93-109.
- Goodman RE, Chapman MD, Slater JE, Spring S. The allergen: sources, extracts, and molecules for diagnosis of allergic disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2020;8:2506-14.
- Bennett BC, Balick MJ. Does the name really matter? The importance of botanical nomenclature and plant taxonomy in biomedical research. J Ethnopharmacol 2014;152:387-92.
- Nesbitt M, McBurney RPH, Broin M, Beentje HJ. Linking biodiversity, food and nutrition: the importance of plant identification and nomenclature. J Food Compos Anal 2010;23:486-98.
- Buters JTM, Antunes C, Galveias A, Bergmann KC, Thibaudon M, Galán C, et al. Pollen and spore monitoring in the world. Clin Transl Allergy 2018;8:9.
- Gallardo-Caballero R, García-Orellana CJ, García-Manso A, González-Velasco HM, Tormo-Molina R, Macías-Macías M. Precise pollen grain detection in bright field microscopy using deep learning techniques. Sensors (Basel) 2019;19:3583.
- Sevillano V, Holt K, Aznarte JL. Precise automatic classification of 46 different pollen types with convolutional neural networks. PLoS One 2020;15:e0229751.
- Kusumawardani W, Ramli M. Plant taxonomy learning and research: a systematics review articles you may be interested in undergraduate students'

science process skills: a systematic review AIP Conference Dynamic assessment to improve students' cognitive: a systematic review AIP Conference Learning progression on conceptual understanding of biology: a systematic review. AIP Conf Proc 2019;2194:20142.

- Chase MW, Christenhusz MJM, Fay MF, Byng JW, Judd WS, Soltis DE, et al. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. Botan J Linnean Soc 2016;181:1-20.
- Phaka FM, Netherlands EC, Kruger DJD, Du Preez LH. Folk taxonomy and indigenous names for frogs in Zululand, South Africa. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed 2019;15:17.
- Mander L, Li M, Mio W, Fowlkes CC, Punyasena SW. Classification of grass pollen through the quantitative analysis of surface ornamentation and texture. Proc Biol Sci 2013;280:20131905.
- Mohanty RP, Buchheim MA, Levetin E. Molecular approaches for the analysis of airborne pollen: a case study of Juniperus pollen. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2017;118:204-211.e2.
- Gomes VHF, Mayle FE, Gosling WD, Vieira ICG, Salomão RP, ter Steege H. Modelling the distribution of Amazonian tree species in response to long-term climate change during the mid-late holocene. J Biogeogr 2020;47:1530-40.
- Rouhan G, Gaudeul M. Plant taxonomy: a historical perspective, current challenges, and perspectives. Methods Mol Biol 2014;1115:1-37.
- Penev L, Paton A, Nicolson N, Kirk P, Pyle RL, Whitton R, et al. A common registration-to-publication automated pipeline for nomenclatural acts for higher plants (International Plant Names Index, IPNI), fungi (Index Fungorum, MycoBank) and animals (ZooBank). Zookeys 2016;550:233-46.
- 22. Stace CA. Cytology and cytogenetics as a fundamental taxonomic resource for the 20th and 21st centuries. Taxon 2000;49:451-77.
- Rittenour WR, Hamilton RG, Beezhold DH, Green BJ. Immunologic, spectrophotometric and nucleic acid based methods for the detection and quantification of airborne pollen. J Immunol Methods 2012;383:47-53.
- Martin-Sanchez F, Verspoor K. Big data in medicine is driving big changes. Yearb Med Inform 2014;9:14-20.
- Obermeyer Z, Emanuel EJ. Predicting the future-big data, machine learning, and clinical medicine. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1216-9.