



Apr 27th, 12:00 PM - 4:30 PM

Modernization and Development: A Comparative Study of South Korea and Brazil

Douglas Becker

Illinois Wesleyan University

George Kieh, Faculty Advisor

Illinois Wesleyan University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>

Douglas Becker and George Kieh, Faculty Advisor, "Modernization and Development: A Comparative Study of South Korea and Brazil" (April 27, 1991). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. Paper 40.
<http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/1991/posters/40>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by The Ames Library, the Andrew W. Mellon Center for Curricular and Faculty Development, the Office of the Provost and the Office of the President. It has been accepted for inclusion in Digital Commons @ IWU by the faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@iwu.edu.

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

**MODERNIZATION AND DEVELOPMENT:
A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SOUTH KOREA AND BRAZIL**

Douglas Becker, Dept. of Political Science, IWU, George Kieh*

In this paper, I tried to identify some of the problems caused by American economic aid to and investment in the Third World, in a comparative study of Korea and Brazil.

I open the paper with a review of the literature on modernization theory, with a special emphasis on Walt Whitman Rostow's Stages of Economic Growth. I look specifically at the assumptions made by American theorists and policymakers alike about the Third World. In this way, I hope to correlate the economic theories postulated in the academic institutions with the policies adopted by American political and economic leaders. I pay particular attention to the theories of the 1960s, due to the interest in Third World development in that decade.

I then review the literature opposing modernization theory. I pay particular attention to dependency theory, originating in Latin America. Andre Gunder Frank's call for economic autonomy and Cheryl Payer's concept of the "Debt Trap" is of considerable importance. I also analyze the impact of Raul Prebisch's theory and the Economic Commission on Latin America (ELCA). I do this because of the influence such theories have on my work.

A study of South Korea as a success of modernization theory is the next phase of my work. I analyze Korea both historically and theoretically. I pay close attention to aid extended to Korea just prior, as well as following, the Korean War. I then turn my attention to the debt accumulated by Korea in the process of economic industrialization, as well as the policies adopted by subsequent governments to spur economic growth.

A study of Brazil's economy then follows, with special attention paid to its debt problem. I analyze the nation's historic incorporation into the global political economy, emphasizing the dependent nature of the incorporation. I then look at the process by which Brazil accumulated its debt, with particular emphasis on the policies which developed into the "Brazilian Miracle." I then analyze the debt's effects on current Brazilian attempts to modernize.

Finally, I offer some prescriptions for avoiding the debt trap. I pay tribute to the work of the British economist E.F. Schumacher for his work Small is Beautiful, and suggest that this is a proper manner in which to analyze political-economic affairs. I suggest that the policies adopted by both Brazil and South Korea are bold, and unforeseen shocks to the economy may leave the nations helplessly under the burden of debt. Finally, I stress the inappropriateness of a global economic theory, and the need for country studies as the proper means to analyze future economic development.