



Illinois Wesleyan University Digital Commons @ IWU

John Wesley Powell Student Research
Conference

2012, 23rd Annual JWP Conference

Apr 14th, 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM

Native Cardinality Constraints: More Expressive, More Efficient Constraints

Jordyn C. Maglalang
Illinois Wesleyan University

Mark Liffiton, Faculty Advisor
Illinois Wesleyan University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc>

Jordyn C. Maglalang and Mark Liffiton, Faculty Advisor, "Native Cardinality Constraints: More Expressive, More Efficient Constraints" (April 14, 2012). *John Wesley Powell Student Research Conference*. Paper 3.
<http://digitalcommons.iwu.edu/jwprc/2012/oralpres10/3>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by The Ames Library, the Andrew W. Mellon Center for Curricular and Faculty Development, the Office of the Provost and the Office of the President. It has been accepted for inclusion in Digital Commons @ IWU by the faculty at Illinois Wesleyan University. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@iwu.edu.

©Copyright is owned by the author of this document.

Oral Presentation O10.3

**NATIVE CARDINALITY CONSTRAINTS: MORE EXPRESSIVE,
MORE EFFICIENT CONSTRAINTS**

Jordyn C. Maglalang and Mark Liffiton*
Computer Science Department, Illinois Wesleyan University

Boolean cardinality constraints are commonly translated (encoded) into Boolean CNF clauses, a standard form of Boolean satisfiability, which can be solved using a standard SAT solving program. However, cardinality constraints are a simple generalization of clauses, and the complexity entailed by encoding them into CNF can be avoided by reasoning about cardinality constraints *natively* within a SAT solver. In this work, we compare the performance of two forms of native cardinality constraints against some of the best performing encodings from the literature. We designed a number of cardinality constraints including crafted, random and application problems, to be run in parallel on a cluster of computers. Native implementations substantially outperform CNF encodings on instances composed entirely of cardinality constraints, and instances that are mostly clauses with few cardinality constraints exhibit mixed results warranting further study.