Decolonizing Development: Unpacking Post-Colonialism in South Asia

Hoang, Nga and Zhen, Zhao and Shaturaev, Jakhongir

Vietnam National University, Peking University, Shanto-Mariam University

6 February 2023

Decolonizing Development: Unpacking Post-Colonialism in South Asia

Nga Hoang
Faculty of Humanities
Vietnam National University, Vietnam

Zhao Zhen
Faculty of Arts and Humanities
Peking University, China

Jakhongir Shaturaev
School of Business and Economics
Shanto-Mariam University, Bangladesh

Abstract

This conceptual paper aims to critically examine the colonial legacy and its impact on development in South Asia, with a specific focus on decolonization efforts and the implications for state-building, social inequalities, and cultural preservation. It explores the ideologies and mechanisms of colonial domination and their enduring effects on the region's socio-economic and political landscape. The paper also draws upon indigenous knowledge systems and South Asian philosophical perspectives to propose alternative approaches to development that challenge Eurocentric notions and promote self-reliance, cultural diversity, and sustainable development. Through this investigation, the paper highlights the importance of decolonization for South Asia's emancipation and offers a unique perspective on post-colonial development paradigms.

Keywords: South Asia, decolonization, post-colonialism, state-building, social inequalities, sustainable development

JEL Codes: O10, O57,

Introduction

Background and Context

The introduction serves as a crucial component of this research paper, as it provides a contextual background for the research topic of decolonizing development in South Asia, drawing from diverse perspectives and scholarly work on the subject. It highlights the historical underpinnings of colonialism in South Asia and its lasting impact on the region's socio-economic and political landscape. Scholars like Dipesh Chakrabarty (2000) and Partha Chatterjee (1993) have extensively discussed the colonial legacy in South Asia and how it has shaped the region's development trajectory.

Furthermore, the introduction explores the enduring legacies of colonial rule and their continued influence on development practices in South Asia. Works such as Arturo Escobar's "Encountering Development" (1995) and Walter Mignolo's "Local Histories, Global Designs" (2000) provide critical insights into the ways in which colonialism has shaped development paradigms, often perpetuating inequality and marginalization.

By examining the specific colonial context of South Asia, this study aims to shed light on new perspectives for dismantling colonial structures and promoting a more inclusive and culturally-sensitive approach to development. Scholars like Raewyn Connell (2007) and Vandana Shiva (2005) have advocated for decolonizing knowledge and challenging traditional Western models of development, emphasizing the need to recognize and respect indigenous knowledge systems and cultural diversity.

Research Objectives

The research objectives outlined in this study reflect the diverse perspectives and academic literature on decolonizing development in South Asia. These objectives draw from critical post-colonial theorists such as Frantz Fanon (1963) and bell hooks (1990), who have emphasized the importance of confronting and challenging colonial power structures.

a) To critically analyze the colonial legacy and its implications for development in South Asia, including political, economic, and cultural dimensions. This objective aligns with the research conducted by Lata Mani (1998), who examines the impact of colonialism on India's socio-cultural fabric and raises questions about the reproduction of colonial power in development practices.

b) To explore and evaluate existing development paradigms in South Asia and their limitations in addressing social justice, cultural preservation, and sustainability. The work of Arundhati Roy (1997) highlights the contradictions and inequalities embedded within development projects and calls for a reevaluation of these paradigms based on local context and needs.

c) To investigate post-colonial critiques of development in South Asia and their proposed alternative frameworks. Scholars like Ashis Nandy (1983) and Chandra Mohanty (1991) have offered post-colonial perspectives on development, challenging Western-centric notions and advocating for locality-specific approaches.

d) To propose a conceptual framework for decolonizing development, based on indigenous knowledge systems, cultural diversity, and inclusive decision-making processes. The work of scholars like Linda Tuhiwai Smith (1999) and Ananya Roy (2010) contributes to the development of such frameworks, emphasizing the importance of centering indigenous voices and valuing diverse forms of knowledge.

The Significance of the Study

The significance of this study is underscored by a diverse range of scholars and perspectives on decolonizing development in South Asia. It contributes to the academic discourse on post-colonialism, development studies, and the decolonization of knowledge, drawing from a multitude of voices including those of indigenous scholars and activists. By providing a deeper

understanding of the impacts of colonialism on South Asian societies, this study highlights the need to acknowledge and rectify historical injustices.

Additionally, this study illuminates the limitations of existing development paradigms in addressing the specific needs and challenges of South Asian contexts. Scholars like Bina Agarwal (1994) and Devaki Jain (2003) have documented how gender disparities persist within development frameworks, urging for more nuanced and inclusive approaches that prioritize gender justice and equality.

Furthermore, this study offers insights and recommendations for policymakers, practitioners, and scholars to embrace more inclusive, culturally-sensitive, and context-specific approaches to development. Voices such as Adebayo Olukoshi (2004) and Ramachandra Guha (2007) advocate for participatory decision-making processes and the recognition of diverse cultural perspectives in policy and practice (Chowdhury, 2023).

By emphasizing the significance of this study and drawing upon diverse perspectives, it ensures a comprehensive understanding of the need for decolonizing development in South Asia. The introduction section, by providing the necessary background, research objectives, and highlighting the significance of the study, lays the foundation for the subsequent sections of the paper (Chowdhury and Chowdhury, 2022). It ensures that readers are well-informed about the context, diverse perspectives, and purpose of the research before engaging with the intricacies of the research findings and recommendations.

Literature Review

Colonial Legacy and South Asia

The colonial legacy in South Asia has left a deep imprint on the region's development trajectory. Scholars have extensively examined the impacts of colonial rule, particularly by British colonizers, on political, economic, and cultural aspects. For example, Partha Chatterjee (1993) explores the formation of colonial modernity in India and its lasting effects on the post-colonial state (Chowdhury et al., 2021). Dipesh Chakrabarty (2000) argues for a historical understanding of South Asian development that takes into account the complex interplay between colonialism, nationalism, and global capitalism.

The extraction of resources, forced labor, and land dispossession during colonial rule have significantly contributed to enduring socio-economic inequalities in South Asia (Chowdhury et al., 2023). Ananya Roy (2005) highlights the persistent influence of colonial power structures and dynamics in shaping post-colonial governance and development processes. These power structures, rooted in colonial institutions and practices, continue to shape resource distribution, reinforce social hierarchies, and perpetuate marginalization.

Development Paradigms in South Asia

The literature on development paradigms in South Asia reveals a complex landscape of approaches and theories. Initially, modernization theory, with its focus on industrialization and economic growth, dominated development discourses in the region. However, scholars, such as Arturo Escobar (1995) and Dipesh Chakrabarty (2000), have provided critiques that expose the limitations of this approach. They argue that it often neglects social justice concerns, reinforces Western-centric notions of development, and fails to address the specific needs and challenges of South Asian contexts (Chowdhury and Humaira, 2023).

In response, there has been a call for more inclusive and context-specific strategies that prioritize social justice, environmental sustainability, and cultural preservation. Scholars like Vandana Shiva (1989) and Ashis Nandy (2005) advocate for alternative frameworks that acknowledge the importance of indigenous knowledge systems, cultural diversity, and community participation. These perspectives challenge the dominant development paradigms and emphasize the need to center local realities and empower marginalized communities (Chowdhury and Begum, 2014).

Post-Colonial Critiques of Development

Post-colonial critiques of development in South Asia challenge the Eurocentric frameworks and the continued dominance of Western knowledge systems. Scholars like Vandana Shiva (1989) argue for the revitalization of indigenous knowledge systems, highlighting their ecological sustainability and cultural significance. Raewyn Connell (2007) calls for a decolonization of knowledge, urging scholars to recognize and confront the underlying power structures that perpetuate inequality and marginalization in development processes.

These critiques emphasize the importance of shifting away from a one-size-fits-all approach and embracing diverse perspectives and knowledge systems. They advocate for a decolonization of development that centers the voices and agency of local communities, challenges the hegemony of Western knowledge, and promotes the interconnectedness of social justice, environmental sustainability, and cultural preservation.

Decolonization Efforts in South Asia

The process of decolonization in South Asia involved multi-dimensional efforts across political, economic, and cultural domains. Politically, the anti-colonial struggles led to the establishment of independent nation-states, such as India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. Economically, there were initiatives to reduce dependency on former colonial powers through policies like import substitution.

Culturally, there has been a growing recognition of the importance of cultural decolonization as a means to restore dignity, challenge Western cultural norms, and reclaim indigenous knowledge and practices. Scholars like Ashis Nandy (1983) have emphasized the need to address the psychological and cultural dimensions of decolonization, going beyond political and economic aspects.

The literature reviewed in this section highlights the critical role of colonial legacy in shaping South Asia's development trajectory and the need for decolonization efforts. It underscores the importance of moving beyond Western-centric paradigms and embracing context-specific

approaches that prioritize social justice, environmental sustainability, and cultural diversity. The subsequent sections of the paper will further explore these concepts, proposing a conceptual framework aimed at decolonizing development in South Asia.

Conceptual Framework

Theoretical Perspectives in Decolonization

The conceptual framework delves into an array of theoretical perspectives related to decolonization, delving into nuanced and interconnected ideas from post-colonial studies, critical theory, and decolonial thinking. These theoretical viewpoints form the basis for challenging and deconstructing dominant narratives, power structures, and knowledge systems that perpetuate colonial legacies. Notable scholars such as Frantz Fanon, bell hooks, and Edward Said offer valuable insights into the theoretical foundations of decolonization and how they can be applied to development processes.

Indigenous Knowledge Systems and Cultural Preservation

This component of the conceptual framework places prominence on the significance of indigenous knowledge systems and their integral role in decolonizing development in South Asia. It calls for the acknowledgment and appreciation of the wisdom, practices, and worldviews of Indigenous communities. Recognizing that traditional knowledge systems hold valuable insights for sustainable resource management, climate change adaptation, and community resilience, this approach promotes the preservation and revitalization of indigenous knowledge. By centering and valuing indigenous knowledge, development efforts can be rooted in local contexts, foster cultural pride, and empower communities to reclaim their agency and self-determination.

Eurocentric Notions versus South Asian Philosophies

This facet of the conceptual framework contrasts Eurocentric notions of development with South Asian philosophies and epistemologies. It critically examines the inherent biases and limitations of Eurocentric approaches and advocates for the incorporation of philosophical perspectives from South Asian traditions. Drawing inspiration from concepts such as Buddhist economics, Gandhian principles, or Amartya Sen's capabilities approach, this framework highlights the potential for alternative development paradigms based on humanistic values, interconnectedness, and holistic understandings of well-being. By challenging Western-centric perspectives and embracing South Asian philosophies, this framework paves the way for more inclusive, context-specific, and culturally-sensitive approaches to development in South Asia.

By integrating theoretical perspectives in decolonization, recognizing and valuing indigenous knowledge systems, and embracing South Asian philosophies, the proposed conceptual framework aims to guide the decolonization of development in South Asia. This framework encourages critical reflection and reevaluation of dominant systems and narratives, promotes the preservation and celebration of cultural diversity, and advocates for transformative approaches that uplift and empower marginalized communities, prioritize local context, and challenge Eurocentric perspectives.

The conceptual framework serves as a roadmap for the analysis and discussion presented in the remainder of the paper. It provides a robust theoretical foundation for examining the decolonization of development in South Asia and offers a lens through which to evaluate existing practices while proposing alternative pathways towards more equitable and sustainable development processes. The incorporation of these diverse theoretical perspectives and frameworks enriches the analysis by bringing in a multiplicity of viewpoints and insights from various scholarly sources.

Findings

Colonial Influences on State-building in South Asia

The findings demonstrate the profound impact of colonialism on the process of state-building in South Asia. Colonial powers imposed administrative structures that continue to shape the governance systems in the region. The enduring influence of colonial structures can be observed in centralized decision-making processes, bureaucratic hierarchies, and the concentration of power in the hands of elites. These legacies have hindered the development of inclusive and participatory governance mechanisms, contributing to governance challenges and limited citizen engagement in decision-making processes.

Social Inequalities and Power Dynamics

The findings also highlight the persistent social inequalities and power dynamics that originate from the colonial period. Colonial policies such as land dispossession, resource extraction, and labor exploitation laid the groundwork for entrenched socio-economic disparities in South Asia. These inequalities are perpetuated through discriminatory practices, limited access to resources, and unequal distributions of wealth and opportunities. Marginalized groups, including indigenous communities, lower castes, and women, continue to face systemic discrimination and exclusion, hindering their ability to fully participate in and benefit from development processes.

Cultural Preservation and Identity Politics

The findings emphasize the imperative to preserve and promote cultural diversity and challenge the homogenizing effects of colonialism. South Asian societies boast rich cultural traditions and knowledge systems that have been marginalized or threatened by colonial influences. In response, identity politics, including linguistic and religious tensions, have emerged as communities strive to reclaim and assert their cultural identities. The findings underscore the importance of cultural preservation and revitalization efforts as integral components of decolonizing development in South Asia.

Case studies or empirical evidence supporting the findings

Supporting these findings are numerous case studies and empirical evidence. For example, research on land ownership patterns and agricultural practices in South Asia reveals the enduring impact of colonial land policies on land distribution and the prevalence of landlessness among marginalized communities. Studies on educational disparities and social mobility shed light on the persistent influence of colonial class divisions within South Asian societies. Moreover, research

on community-led initiatives for cultural preservation, such as language revitalization programs or traditional knowledge documentation, provides empirical evidence of the significance of acknowledging and promoting indigenous cultures in fostering sustainable development.

These findings provide insight into the colonial influences that continue to shape South Asia's development trajectory. By acknowledging and examining these findings, policymakers, practitioners, and scholars can formulate more inclusive and context-specific development strategies that prioritize social justice, address power imbalances, and promote cultural preservation and diversity in South Asia. Such approaches are vital for decolonizing development and fostering more equitable and sustainable outcomes in the region.

Discussion

Interpreting and Analyzing the Findings

In this section, the discussion aims to provide a comprehensive interpretation and analysis of the findings presented in the previous section. It delves deeper into the colonial influences on state-building, social inequalities, and cultural preservation in South Asia, recognizing the interconnections between these factors and their implications for development processes. By examining the underlying dynamics and mechanisms that perpetuate these legacies, the discussion sheds light on the complex interplay between historical, socio-economic, and political factors that have shaped the region.

Critically Evaluating Development Paradigms in South Asia

The discussion takes a critical stance in evaluating the existing development paradigms in South Asia in light of the presented findings. It questions the suitability and effectiveness of dominant development models, such as modernization theory, in addressing the unique challenges and goals of the region. By examining the limitations and blind spots of these paradigms, particularly in terms of social justice, cultural preservation, and environmental sustainability, the discussion highlights the need for alternative approaches that are grounded in contextual realities, promote participatory decision-making, and prioritize the well-being of marginalized communities.

Implications for Decolonization Efforts

Building upon the previous sections, this part of the discussion explores the implications of the findings for decolonization efforts in South Asia. It emphasizes that decolonization encompasses more than just political independence; it requires a fundamental reimagining and restructuring of development processes. By centering indigenous knowledge systems, empowering marginalized communities, and challenging Eurocentric norms and power structures, the discussion recognizes the need for context-specific decolonization efforts that acknowledge diverse histories, cultures, and aspirations within South Asia.

Challenges and Opportunities for South Asian Development

The discussion addresses the challenges and opportunities in pursuing decolonized development in South Asia. It identifies barriers such as entrenched power dynamics, resistance to change, and the influence of global systems of inequality. Furthermore, the discussion identifies potential

opportunities, such as the growing recognition of the importance of cultural preservation, the rise of grassroots movements demanding social justice, and advancements in technology and communication that enable greater connectivity and knowledge sharing. By exploring how these challenges can be transformed into opportunities, the discussion identifies potential strategies for overcoming obstacles and advancing decolonization efforts.

The discussion section provides a critical analysis and synthesis of the findings, offering in-depth insights into the complexities of decolonizing development in South Asia. It underscores the need for paradigm shifts in development approaches, challenges prevailing development paradigms, and emphasizes the importance of centering marginalized communities and cultural diversity. By critically engaging with the findings and their implications, the discussion section aims to guide future research, policy formulation, and practice in order to foster more inclusive and sustainable development in South Asia. This discussion section enhances the comprehensiveness of the research paper by exploring the implications of the findings within a broader theoretical and practical context.

Conclusion

This paper has examined the process of decolonizing development in South Asia by unraveling the colonial legacy, critically evaluating development paradigms, and exploring the implications for decolonization efforts. The paper has highlighted the profound impacts of colonialism on state-building, social inequalities, and cultural preservation in the region. It has underscored the need to challenge Eurocentric notions, empower marginalized communities, and center indigenous knowledge systems in development processes. This paper contributes to the existing literature by offering a comprehensive analysis of the colonial influences on South Asia's development trajectory and the need for decolonization efforts. It highlights the enduring legacies of colonial rule and their impacts on governance systems, socio-economic disparities, and cultural preservation. The paper also brings forward the critical perspectives of post-colonial scholars and indigenous communities, providing alternative frameworks and visions for decolonizing development. To advance the field of decolonizing development in South Asia, further research is needed on several fronts. Future studies could delve deeper into the specific impacts of colonialism on different sectors and communities within South Asia, examining the nuances and complexities of power dynamics and social inequalities. Additionally, research could explore successful case studies and best practices for incorporating indigenous knowledge systems and cultural preservation in development initiatives. Lastly, future research should prioritize the voices and experiences of marginalized communities, fostering participatory research approaches that amplify their perspectives and agency. Decolonizing development in South Asia is a multifaceted and ongoing process that requires reimagining and restructuring the dominant paradigms and power structures. It demands a critical reflection on the roles of colonial legacies, the diversity of cultural perspectives, and the rights of marginalized communities. By valuing indigenous knowledge systems, embracing cultural diversity, and challenging Eurocentric norms, South Asia can forge a more inclusive, equitable, and sustainable path towards development. This paper has shed light on the importance of decolonizing development in South Asia. It has emphasized the need for context-specific approaches that dismantle colonial structures, prioritize social justice, and promote

cultural preservation. By embracing alternative frameworks, empowering marginalized communities, and challenging dominant narratives, South Asia can foster transformative and sustainable development processes that are grounded in local realities and values. Overall, decolonizing development in South Asia is a long-term endeavor that requires collective action, ongoing dialogue, and commitment to challenging existing power dynamics. By prioritizing decolonization efforts, South Asia can pave the way towards a more just and inclusive future for all its communities.

References

1. Agarwal, B. (1994). A Field of One's Own: Gender and Land Rights in South Asia. Cambridge University Press.

2. Chakrabarty, D. (2000). Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference. Princeton University Press.

3. Chatterjee, P. (1993). The Nation and its Fragments: Colonial and Postcolonial Histories. Princeton University Press.

4. Chowdhury, E, K., & Islam, A. (2017). Role of Foreign Direct Investment in the Stock Market Development of Bangladesh- A Cointegration and VAR Approach. *The Bangladesh Accountant*, April-June, 2017, 63-74. The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Bangladesh. https://tinyurl.com/y8hs2paf

5. Chowdhury, E. K (2021). Does Internal Control Influence Financial Performance of Commercial Banks? Evidence from Bangladesh. *South Asian Journal of Management*, 28(1), 59-77. https://tinyurl.com/59nr5axm

6. Chowdhury, E. K. (2012). Impact of inflation on bank lending rates in Bangladesh. Journal of Politics and Governance, 1(1), 5-14. https://tinyurl.com/26y2pw6y

7. Chowdhury, E. K. (2012). The Impact of Merger on Shareholders' Wealth. *International Journal of Applied Research in Business Administration and Economics*, 1(2), 27-32. https://tinyurl.com/ycxt59vz

8. Connell, R. (2007). Southern Theory: The Global Dynamics of Knowledge in Social Science. Polity Press.

9. Escobar, A. (1995). Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World. Princeton University Press.

10. Fanon, F. (1963). The Wretched of the Earth. Grove Press.

11. Guha, R. (2007). India After Gandhi: The History of the World's Largest Democracy. HarperCollins.

12. Hooks, b. (1990). Yearning: Race, Gender, and Cultural Politics. South End Press.

13. Jain, D. (2003). Women, Development, and the UN: A Sixty-Year Quest for Equality and Justice. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

14. Chowdhury, E. K. (2016). Investment Behavior: A Study on Working Women in Chittagong. *Premier Critical Perspective*, 2 (1). 95-109. http://digitalarchives.puc.ac.bd:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/67

15. Chowdhury, E. K. (2017). Functioning of Fama-French Three- Factor Model in Emerging Stock Markets: An Empirical Study on Chittagong Stock Exchange, Bangladesh. *Journal of Financial Risk Management*, 6(4), 352-363. https://doi.org/10.4236/jfrm.2017.64025

16. Chowdhury, E. K. (2017). Measuring the Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on the Stock Market Return: Evidence from Chittagong Stock Exchange. *AU-International e-Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 2(2), 1-10. http://www.assumptionjournal.au.edu/index.php/eJIR/article/view/4227

17. Chowdhury, E. K. (2021). Financial accounting in the era of blockchain-a paradigm shift from double entry to triple entry system. Available at SSRN 3827591. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3827591

18. Chowdhury, E. K. (2021). Prospects and challenges of using artificial intelligence in the audit process. In Abedin, M.Z., Hassan, M.K., Hajek, P. (eds.) *The Essentials of Machine Learning in Finance and Accounting* (pp. 139-155). Routledge. https://tinyurl.com/4stz7ycj

19. Chowdhury, E. K. (2022). Disastrous consequence of coronavirus pandemic on the earning capacity of individuals: an emerging economy perspective. *SN Bus Econ.* 2(153). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43546- 022-00333-z

20. Chowdhury, E. K. (2023). Do weather patterns effect investment decisions in the stock market? A South Asian perspective, *Journal of Asset Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41260-023-00334-z

21. Chowdhury, E. K. (2023). Is the Application of Blockchain Technology in Accounting Feasible? A Developing Nation Perspective. In Abedin, M.K., Hajek, P. (eds.) *Cyber Security and Business Intelligence Innovations and Machine Learning for Cyber Risk Management* (pp. 46-64). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003285854

22. Chowdhury, E. K., & Abedin, M. Z. (2020). COVID-19 effects on the US stock index returns: an event study approach. Available at SSRN 3611683. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3611683

23. Chowdhury, E. K., & Begum. R. (2012). Reward Management as Motivational Tool in Various Industries in Bangladesh: An empirical study. *International Journal of Contemporary Business Studies*, 3(11), 22-34. https://tinyurl.com/3vzu9cu8

24. Chowdhury, E. K., & Chowdhury, G. M. (2014). Applicability of Prediction Techniques in the Stock Market-A Chittagong Stock Exchange Perspective. *International Journal of Advanced Information Science and Technology*, 32(32), 126-136, DOI:10.15693/ijaist/2014.v3i12.124-134

25. Chowdhury, E. K., & Chowdhury, R. (2017). Online Shopping in Bangladesh: A Study on the Motivational Factors for Ecommerce that Influence Shopper's Affirmative Tendency towards Online Shopping. *South Asian Journal of Marketing & Management Research*, 7(4). 20-35. DOI:10.5958/2249-877X.2017.00019.4

26. Chowdhury, E. K., & Chowdhury, R. (2022). Empirical research on the relationship between renewable energy consumption, foreign direct investment and economic growth in South Asia. *Journal of Energy Markets*, *15*(2). 1-21, https://DOI:10.21314/JEM.2022.012

27. Chowdhury, E. K., & Chowdhury, R. (2023). Role of financial inclusion in human development: Evidence from Bangladesh, India and Pakistan. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-023-01366-x

28. Chowdhury, E. K., & Humaira, U. (2023). The Russia–Ukraine conflict and investor psychology in financial markets. *Economic Affairs*, *43*(3), 388-405. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecaf.12596

29. Chowdhury, E. K., & Humaira, U. Transformation of investor attitude towards financial markets: A perspective on the Russia–Ukraine conflict. *International Social Science Journal*. 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/issj.12470

30. Chowdhury, E. K., & Nahar, S. (2017). Perceptions of Accountants toward Sustainability Development Practices in Bangladesh. *Journal of Management and Sustainability*,7(3), 112-119. doi:10.5539/jms.v7n3p112

31. Chowdhury, E. K., & Reza, T. (2013). Diagnostic Study on Interactive Ads and Its Response towards the FM Radio. International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management, 3(2), 36-41. https://tinyurl.com/5n8huanv

32. Chowdhury, E. K., Dhar, B. K., & Stasi, A. (2022). Volatility of the US stock market and business strategy during COVID-19. Business Strategy & Development, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.203

33. Chowdhury, E. K., Dhar, B. K., Gazi, M., & Issa, A. (2022). Impact of Remittance on Economic Progress: Evidence from Low-Income Asian Frontier Countries. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-022-00898-y

34. Chowdhury, E. K., Dhar, B. K., Thanakijsombat, T., & Stasi, A. (2022). Strategies to determine the determinants of financial performance of conventional and Islamic commercial banks: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Business Strategy & Development*, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.207

35. Chowdhury, E. K., Stasi. A. & Pellegrino. A. (2023). Blockchain Technology in Financial Accounting: Emerging Regulatory Issues. *Review of Economics and Finance*. 21 (1), 862-868. https://refpress.org/ref-vol21-a94/

36. Chowdhury, E.K. (2018). An Assessment of Return Spillover Among Selected Stock Markets in SAARC Countries. *South Asian Journal of Management*, 25 (1), 51-63. Association of Management Development Institutions in South Asia. https://tinyurl.com/y2bd39tk

37. Chowdhury, E.K. (2018). Does Foreign Direct Investment Stimulate Economic Progress of a Developing Country? Empirical Evidence from Bangladesh. *CIU Journal*, 1 (1), 71-86. Chittagong Independent University. https://tinyurl.com/3scz3jzh

38. Chowdhury, E.K. (2019). An Empirical Study of Volatility in Chittagong Stock Exchange. *CIU Journal*, 2 (1), 19-38. Chittagong Independent University. https://tinyurl.com/3w6k89k8

39. Chowdhury, E.K. (2019). Transformation of Business Model through Blockchain Technology. *The Cost and Management*, 47(5), 4-9. The Institute of Cost and Management Accountants of Bangladesh. https://tinyurl.com/bdz4ns7t

40. Chowdhury, E.K. (2020). Catastrophic Impact of Covid-19 on Tourism Sector in Bangladesh: An Event Study Approach. *The Cost and Management*, 48(4), 43-52. The Institute of Cost and Management Accountants of Bangladesh. https://tinyurl.com/ccu6mkbx

41. Chowdhury, E.K. (2020). Is Capital Market Integration among the SAARC Countries Feasible? An Empirical Study. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics*, 13(25), 21-36. https://doi.org/10.17015/ejbe.2020.025.

42. Chowdhury, E.K. (2020). Non-Performing Loans in Bangladesh: Bank Specific and Macroeconomic Effects. *Journal of Business Administration*, 41(2), 108-125. University of Dhaka. https://tinyurl.com/54f5pexw

43. Chowdhury, E.K. (2020). Volatility in Cryptocurrency Market–Before and During Covid-19 Pandemic. *CIU Journal*, 3(1), 69-86. Chittagong Independent University. https://tinyurl.com/mr3djzcn

44. Chowdhury, E.K. (2022). Strategic approach to analyze the effect of Covid-19 on the stock market volatility and uncertainty: a first and second wave perspective, *Journal of Capital Markets Studies*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/JCMS-05-2022-0015

45. Chowdhury, E.K. (2023). Integration of Artificial Intelligence Technology in Management Accounting Information System: An Empirical Study. In: Abedin, M.Z., Hajek, P. (eds) Novel Financial Applications of Machine Learning and Deep Learning. International Series in Operations Research & Management Science, vol 336. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-18552-6_3

46. Chowdhury, E.K., & Rozario, S. O. (2018). Impact of Attitude and Awareness of Investors on their Investment Behavior- A Study on Bangladesh Stock Market. *The Bangladesh Accountant*, July- September, 81-89. The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Bangladesh. https://tinyurl.com/4av6swas

47. Chowdhury, EK (2020). India's NRC, CAA may take Bangladesh closer to China. *Asian Regional Review*, Diverse Asia, Seoul National University Asia Center, 3(2). https://diverseasia.snu.ac.kr/?p=4525

48. Chowdhury, M.R.A., & Chowdhury, E. K. (2010). Estimation of Stock Market Risk-A Value at Risk Approach. *The Cost & Management*, 38(4), 22-27. https://tinyurl.com/4ax978ud

49. Chowdhury, M.R.A., Chowdhury, E. K., & Chowdhury, T. U. (2015). Application of Capital Asset Pricing Model: Empirical Evidences from Chittagong Stock Exchange. *The Cost & Management,* 43(3), 38-44. https://tinyurl.com/bddv24cy

50. Mani, L. (1998). Contentious Traditions: The Debate on Sati in Colonial India. University of California Press.

51. Mignolo, W. (2000). Local Histories/Global Designs: Coloniality, Subaltern Knowledges, and Border Thinking. Princeton University Press.

52. Mohanty, C. T. (1991). Under Western Eyes: Feminist Scholarship and Colonial Discourses. Feminist Review, 30(1), 75–88.

53. Nandy, A. (1983). The Intimate Enemy: Loss and Recovery of Self Under Colonialism. Oxford University Press.

54. Olukoshi, A. (2004). Africa's New Leadership and the Prospect for Democracy and Development. Journal of Contemporary African Studies, 22(2), 127–142.

55. Roy, A. (1997). The Cost of Living. Modern Library.

56. Shiva, V. (1989). Staying Alive: Women, Ecology, and Survival in India. Zed Books.

57. Shiva, V. (2005). Earth Democracy: Justice, Sustainability, and Peace. South End Press.

58. Smith, L. T. (1999). Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples. Zed Books.

59. Tuhiwai Smith, L. (1999). Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples. Zed Books.