

Optimising a Fully Renewable European Energy System Seizing the Flexibility of Gas and Heat Storage Technologies



Jens Schmugge*¹, Hedda Gardian¹, Hans Christian Gils¹

¹German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Networked Energy Systems, Curiestr. 4, 70563 Stuttgart, Germany
*jens.schmugge@dlr.de

MOTIVATION

- † integration of sectors electricity, gas, heat and transport will be characteristic for the future energy system
- † large-scale sector-integrated models in high temporal and technological resolution are not state-of-the-art yet^a
- † influence of optional repurposing of methane infrastructure on optimal system is not sure from overall system's perspective

- † how do high technological detail AND the integration of gas and hydrogen infrastructure influence future overall system cost?
- † how is the interplay between electrical, gas and thermal energy storage towards full decarbonisation?

ENERGY SYSTEM MODELLING FRAMEWORK

Figure 1 - Modelling concept of REMix.^b

- † linear cost optimisation
- † written in GAMS, data preprocessing with Python
- † designed for modelling large-scale energy systems
- † sector-integrated models for Europe
- † capacity expansion and dispatch of all assets
- † parallel solving with PIPS-IPM++
- † modelling to generate alternatives (MGA)
- † multi-criteria optimisation
- † pathway optimisation
- † open source since September 2023 →

GitLab repository^b

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

OPTIMISATION MODEL

- † hourly resolution (*planned*)
- † hydrogen imports from five different world regions ranging between 5.5 ct/kWh (min. scenario) and 18.2 ct/kWh (max. scenario) in 2050^c

- † 17 heat groups—7 with thermal storage—to represent different temperature regimes^d
- † in total 45 different heat technologies

Figure 2 - Technological scope (own visualisation): more than 90 technologies in the model. Figure 3 - Geographical scope: 70 nodes in Europe, powerplant data compiled with powerplantmatching^e, aggregated electricity^f and gas networks^g from open data.

RESULTS (INTERMEDIATE)

- † first runs indicate that heat sector is decarbonised mostly by means of electrification
- † hydrogen caverns can play a significant role as seasonal energy storage
- † methane infrastructure plays no significant role in climate-neutral energy system

OUTLOOK

- † how does the flexibility from heat (thermal energy storage) and gas sector (methane and hydrogen caverns) complement a cost-minimal climate-neutral European energy system?
- † develop from target optimisation to myopic pathway in 5-year steps
- † will energy transfer in form of electricity or gas be more important?

REFERENCES

^a Klatzer; Bachhiesl; Wogrin (2022): State-of-the-art expansion planning of integrated power, natural gas, and hydrogen systems. In International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 47 (47), pp. 20585–20603. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.04.293.

^b German Aerospace Center (DLR) (2023): REMix framework, <https://gitlab.com/dlr-ve/esy/remix/framework>.

^c Fraunhofer IEE, Universität Kassel (2023): Global PtX Atlas, <https://maps.iee.fraunhofer.de/ptx-atlas/>.

^d Gils (2015): Balancing of intermittent renewable power generation by demand response and thermal energy storage. Dissertation. University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart. Institute of Energy Storage.

^e Gotzens; Heinrichs; Hörsch & Hofmann (2019): Performing energy modelling exercises in a transparent way - The issue of data quality in power plant databases, Energy Strategy Reviews, vol. 23, pp. 1–12, Jan. 2019.

^f Wiegmans (2016). GridKit: GridKit 1.0 'for Scientists' (v1.0). Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.47263>.

^g Dietrich; Pluta; Medjroubi; Dasenbrock & Sandoval (2021). SciGRID_gas IGGIELGNC-3 (0.2) [Data set]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5079748>.