

# Central European Geology

65 (2022) 2, 158-177

DOI: 10.1556/24.2023.00135 © 2023 The Author(s)

# Pebbles, graphs and equilibria: Higher order shape descriptors for sedimentary particles

BALÁZS LUDMÁNY<sup>1,2\*</sup> • and GÁBOR DOMOKOS<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> HUN-REN-BME Morphodynamics Research Group, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>2</sup> Department of Control Engineering and Information Technology, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>3</sup> Department of Morphology and Geometric Modeling, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Budapest, Hungary

Received: May 17, 2023 • Revised manuscript received: June 22, 2023 • Accepted: June 23, 2023 Published online: October 4, 2023

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



## ABSTRACT

While three-dimensional measurement technology is spreading fast, its meaningful application to sedimentary geology still lacks content. Classical shape descriptors (such as axis ratios, circularity of projection) were not inherently three-dimensional, because no such technology existed. Recently a new class of three-dimensional descriptors, collectively referred to as mechanical descriptors, has been introduced and applied for a broad range of sedimentary particles. First-order mechanical descriptors (registered for each pebble as a pair {S, U} of integers), refer to the respective numbers of stable and unstable static equilibria and can be reliably detected by hand experiments. However, they have limited ability of distinction, as the majority of coastal pebbles fall into primary class  $\{S, U\} = \{2, 2\}$ . Higherorder mechanical descriptors offer a more refined distinction. However, for the extraction of these descriptors (registered as graphs for each pebble), hand measurements are not an option and even computer-based extraction from 3D scans offers a formidable challenge. Here we not only describe and implement an algorithm to perform this task, but also apply it to a collection of 271 pebbles with various lithologies, illustrating that the application of higher-order descriptors is a viable option for geologists. We also show that the so-far uncharted connection between the two known secondary descriptors, the so-called Morse-Smale graph and the Reeb-graph, can be established via a third order descriptor which we call the master graph.

#### KEYWORDS

shape descriptor, sedimentary particle, 3D scan, mechanical equilibrium, Reeb-graph, Morse-Smale graph

# INTRODUCTION

The shape of sedimentary particles carries an infinite amount of information and we know that some portion of this is highly relevant for detecting the provenance of the particle (Domokos, 2018; Novák-Szabó et al., 2018; Szabó et al., 2015). However, picking this relevant portion may not always be trivial: this is the observer's prerogative, manifested in the choice of *shape descriptors*. This choice is a trade-off between the observer's ability to reliably measure the chosen shape descriptor and the observer's desire to extract maximal information. *Classical descriptors*, such as axis ratios and the isoperimetric ratio (which we discuss in subsection "*Classical descriptors*") rely on hand measurement and two-dimensional image analysis. They were picked by geologists in the absence of computerized three-dimensional tools, based on the mentioned trade-off. One recently introduced set of shape descriptors, called *first-order mechanical descriptors* (Domokos et al., 2010; Várkonyi and Domokos, 2006), registered for each particle as a pair of integers, can already be regarded as three-dimensional descriptors but still rely on hand measurements. First-order mechanical descriptors but still rely on hand measurements. First-order mechanical descriptors (Domokos, 2018; Domokos et al., 2012b;

\*Corresponding author. Department of Control Engineering and Information Technology, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, 2. Magyar tudosok Blvd., H-1117, Budapest, Hungary.

E-mail: ludmany.balazs@cloud.bme.hu



Novák-Szabó et al., 2018) in describing the provenance of particles (see subsection "The primary mechanical classification", and see also Fig. 3). Their generalizations, also known as *second-order mechanical descriptors* (Domokos et al., 2016a, 2016b; Ludmány et al., 2021; Nicolaescu, 2008), registered for each particle as a graph (either a so-called Morse–Smale graph or a so-called Reeb-graph; see subsection "Higher-order mechanical classifications"; see also Fig. 4), also appear to be promising tools in sedimentary geology. However, they are fully three-dimensional descriptors and their measurement has been prohibitive until now; they could not be applied in either laboratory or field studies.

The challenge of measuring second-order mechanical shape descriptors is three-fold:

- 1. *Mathematical challenge*: There exist at least two different kinds of secondary descriptors: Morse–Smale graphs (Domokos et al., 2016a, 2016b; Ludmány et al., 2021) and Reeb-graphs (Nicolaescu, 2008). The connection between these two secondary descriptors has not been determined until now: that is, it was not clear how the two classification schemes are related, whether one of them may be regarded as a refinement of the other or not.
- 2. Algorithmic challenge: The extraction of second-order descriptors from 3D datasets is far from trivial. While related problems have been solved in image processing on surfaces defined in orthogonal coordinates (Edelsbrunner et al., 2003), the spherical version of this method (to be used on particle surfaces) has not been developed.
- 3. *Technological challenge*: Obtaining 3D (scanned) datasets for sedimentary particles in a rapid and reliable manner appeared to be, until very recently, quite challenging. 3D-scanning technologies did not offer the option to measure an object quickly and reliably on the full spherical horizon.

The last-mentioned (technological) challenge appears to be resolved: as 3D measurement technology is spreading fast (Rodriguez et al., 2013; Latham et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2014), it may also soon become the de-facto standard in sedimentary geology (Steer et al., 2022; Fehér et al., 2022). Encouraged by these developments, our paper takes aim at the first two challenges. In particular we offer the following:

1. Mathematical results: In subsections "Master graph" we introduce a third-order mechanical descriptor which we call the master graph, which establishes a meaningful connection between second-order mechanical descriptors, the Reeb-graph and the Morse-Smale graph. We show that neither of them can be derived from the other, but both can be derived from the master graph. We will also give a complete third-order description of the geologically most relevant primary class  $\{S, U\} = \{2, 2\}$ , containing the majority of all coastal pebbles (see also Table 1 and Fig. 5). In particular, in subsection "Higher-order mechanical classifications" we will formulate Lemma 1, claiming that there exist 3 tertiary classes in the primary class  $\{S, U\} = \{2, 2\}$ ; we prove this claim in Section "Equilibrium classes as shape catalogs".

2. Algorithmic results and application: In Section "Classification of natural shapes" we describe a reliable tool to determine higher-order mechanical descriptors based on 3D point clouds obtained from scans. Here we will consider all three relevant types of graphs: Morse–Smale graphs, Reeb-graphs and the master graph. We illustrate our algorithm in the Supplementary material where we show both first, second and third-order mechanical descriptors for 271 scanned pebbles of various lithologies. On these 271 pebbles we identified 29 primary equilibrium classes, 69 distinct Reeb-graphs, 62 distinct Morse–Smale graphs and 115 distinct master graphs. In particular, despite the fact that over 50 pebbles belong to the primary class {2, 2}, inside it we only identified one Reeb-graph, one Morse–Smale graph and one single master graph.

Despite the formidable difficulties of their measurement, higher-order mechanical descriptors appear to be an interesting and inviting tool for geology: they are naturally encoded in the pebble shape, that is, when we use them in the description of the pebble, we do not add any arbitrary, man-made information. They carry deep, essential information on the shape and its evolution and thus they might help to uncover new, surprising connections between pebbles and pebble populations. It is not a coincidence that these concepts have been applied in image processing and morphology. In this paper we offer the above-mentioned results and algorithmic tools as the first step towards the geologic application of these deep, natural shape descriptors.

The structure of the paper is the following: In Section "Basic concepts" we give intuitive definitions of the basic concepts and state the above-mentioned Lemma 1. In Section "Classification of smooth, convex shapes" we discuss the previous concepts more rigorously on smooth, convex shapes. In Section "Classification of convex polyhedra" we interpret the same concepts in the context of convex polyhedra as models of scanned particles. In Section "Equilibrium classes as shape catalogs" we prove Lemma 1 and other mathematical results. Section "Classification of natural shapes" describes our algorithmic results; in particular, we show how we can extract higher-order classes from natural shapes based on 3D scans.

# **BASIC CONCEPTS**

## Shape catalogs

If the value of a shape descriptor may assume an interval of real numbers (such as the value of roundness), then we refer to it as a *continuous descriptor*, whereas if it defines discrete classes (i.e., its set of values is discrete), then we call it a *shape catalog* (Zingg classes, discussed below, are an example). Catalogs (not necessarily of shapes) are fundamental tools of scientific progress and they have been applied broadly in physics (conductors/semiconductors/insulators), chemistry (periodic table) and biology (taxonomy). The main advantage of a shape catalog, compared to a continuous shape descriptor, is that recorded datasets are



S		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Sum				
1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
2		0	52	32	7	2	0	0	93				
3		0	13	28	10	3	1	0	55				
4		0	15	28	19	3	1	0	66				
5		0	2	10	10	12	1	1	36				
7		0	0	0	2	5	1	0	13				
8		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2				
Sum		0	85	103	51	24	6	2	271				
$\mathbf{i}$	U		_	_				_					
s		2		3		4		5					
	2												
	3							E	3				
	4												
	5		880										

 Table 1. Pebbles used in the experiment. Number of pebbles in each primary equilibrium class (top). A pebble from each class in the geologically most relevant region (bottom)



exact, unambiguous and can be easily interpreted, compared and understood. Catalogs may be either *natural* or *artificial*, depending on whether classes are separated based on some natural or some man-made condition. Catalogs may be, depending on the number of classes, either *finite* or *infinite*. Catalogs may be either *complete* or *incomplete*, depending on whether each class contains shapes or not. Catalogs may be either *biased* or *uniform*, depending on the statistical distribution of natural shapes among classes (in biased catalogs this distribution is non-uniform). In the case of shape catalogs it is easy to see that from the geologic point of view we seek natural, biased classifications (whether or not they are complete may be more of mathematical interest).

We have not yet applied higher-order mechanical descriptors in field studies; nevertheless, by relying on a small laboratory dataset of 52 pebbles we argue that their application carries substantial potential for geologic insight, as they offer biased natural catalogs of sedimentary shapes, meaning they offer naturally defined, discrete classification schemes where the majority of natural shapes is contained in very few classes. Such strong bias can not only motivate the search for particular (rare) natural shapes; it can also offer clues about the underlying evolution process. The first such strongly biased natural catalog is associated with first-order mechanical shape descriptors and led to the discovery of a fundamental monotonic trend in natural shape evolution, the monotonic decrease of static balance points (Domokos, 2018; Novák-Szabó et al., 2018). Below we briefly review classical shape descriptors as well as mechanical shape descriptors and explain the concept of biased catalogs in more detail.

#### **Classical descriptors**

The most established shape descriptors are, without doubt, axis ratios (Zingg, 1935) which, for an ellipsoid with axes a > b > c may be written as  $y^1 = c/b$ ,  $y^2 = b/a$  and for nonellipsoidal shapes an approximating ellipsoid is considered. (Note that in the geologic literature ellipsoids are often represented by semi-axes, rather than full axes. Here we still adopt the latter notation, in keeping with the notation used in Zingg's original work (Zingg, 1935)). An alternative geologic shape descriptor is roundness (Krumbein, 1941) which is commonly measured as the isoperimetric ratio  $0 \le I \le 1$  of the pebble's contour (Szabó et al., 2015; Novák-Szabó et al., 2018). We will refer to the axis ratios  $y^1$ ,  $y^2$  and the isoperimetric ratio I as classical descriptors. Classical descriptors are, by definition, real numbers defined on a continuous domain, so in the sense defined in subsection "Shape catalogs", they are continuous descriptors. Such descriptors admit the comparison of sample averages; however, they do not immediately provide a classification or catalog for natural shapes which could be based only on integer-type descriptors. While we are not aware of any existing catalog for the isoperimetric ratio I, in the case of axis ratios it is apparent that there is a need for such catalogs: by introducing the thresholds at  $y^1 = y^2 = 2/3$ , Zingg (1935) created the first such system, subdividing all shapes into the four classes called discs, spheres, blades and rods.

Zingg's catalog, illustrated in Fig. 1, is finite (it defines 4 classes) and it is complete, as each class contains geometric shapes (in fact, each class contains ellipsoids). On the other hand, the Zingg classification is artificial, as the threshold 2/3 is an arbitrary choice. One can, of course, study generalized Zingg catalogs where this threshold is being varied (Domokos et al., 2010); however, there exist an infinite amount of generalized Zingg catalogs, and it is not clear which one should be used. Although artificial, the Zingg classification still has the advantage of offering some bias: in coastal environments *blades* and *discs* appear to be dominant, admitting conclusions about the effects of friction (Domokos and Gibbons, 2019). We point out that we also computed the Zingg classes for the laboratory dataset, shown in the supplementary material.

#### Mechanical shape descriptors and natural classes

The primary mechanical classification. The primary mechanical classification, introduced in Várkonyi and Domokos (2006) is based on the number of different types of equilibrium points, that is, positions where the body is at rest when supported on a horizontal surface, under gravity. When pushed gently from any direction, the body sitting on a *stable* equilibrium returns to its original position, while it tips over from an *unstable* equilibrium. We denote the respective numbers for stable and unstable equilibria by S and U, and we also note that 3-dimensional objects also have H *saddle*-type equilibria, where the behavior depends on the direction of the push. The mathematical background of the equilibrium points is the analysis of the *radial distance function*  $r_K$  measured from the center of gravity o of the body K. For planar objects this is a function  $r_K(\varphi)$  of the single polar angle  $\phi$  while in



Fig. 1. The Zingg catalog

3 dimensions we have  $r_K(\varphi, \theta)$  depending on two angles. Stable, unstable and saddle points of the body correspond to the minima, maxima and saddles of this function, respectively. These concepts are illustrated in Fig. 2 for an ellipse in twodimensions and for an ellipsoid in three-dimensions. For the latter, with main axes a > b > c we can use the following parameterization in the orientation depicted in Fig. 1:

$$x(\varphi, \theta) = \frac{b}{2}sin\theta cos\varphi$$
$$y(\varphi, \theta) = \frac{c}{2}sin\theta sin\varphi$$
$$z(\varphi, \theta) = \frac{a}{2}cos\theta$$

where  $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$  and  $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ . The distance from the ellipsoid's center of gravity o is then the Euclidean distance:

$$r_{\rm ell}(\varphi,\theta) = \sqrt{\left(x(\varphi,\theta) - o_x\right)^2 + \left(y(\varphi,\theta) - o_y\right)^2 + \left(z(\varphi,\theta) - o_z\right)^2}.$$
(1)

Following a transformation from the spherical coordinate system to Cartesian coordinates, the function  $r_{\text{ell}}$  has maxima at  $(0, 0, \pm \frac{a}{2})$ , saddles at  $(\pm \frac{b}{2}, 0, 0)$  and minima at  $(0, \pm \frac{c}{2}, 0)$ . We will return to this example in subsection "Primary equilibrium classes", where we give a more rigorous description of the equilibria of convex surfaces defined by smooth functions. We also give a more precise definition of equilibria on convex polyhedra, another well studied subset of convex bodies, later in Section "Classification of convex polyhedra". One can also see Fig. 11 with the equilibria of a regular tetrahedron marked.

The numbers of different types of equilibria are related by the Poincaré–Hopf formula S + U - H = 2 (Milnor, 1963), so it is sufficient to record S and U and we call the pair {S, U} the *primary equilibrium class* of the body (Várkonyi and Domokos, 2006; Domokos et al., 2016b; Domokos, 2018). This means that the ellipsoid described by Equation (1) is in the primary class {2, 2}. The primary mechanical classification system is illustrated in Fig. 3.

Unlike the Zingg system, the primary mechanical catalog is infinite, and it is also natural, since we do not make any arbitrary choices when assigning the primary class {S, U} to a particular shape; the class is encoded in the shape itself. We also know that this catalog is complete, meaning no primary class is empty (Várkonyi and Domokos, 2006). This mathematical fact, however, is not related to the statistical distribution of natural particles: datasets of beach pebbles classified according to the primary mechanical catalog show very strong bias, as approximately 70% of all beach pebbles appear in class  $\{S, U\} = \{2, 2\}$  (Domokos et al., 2010), making {2, 2} the dominant primary class. This fact, along with analysis of the statistical distribution is key geologic evidence supporting the theory that the total number N = S + U + H of equilibria is monotonically decreasing in natural abrasion (Domokos, 2018).

This bias is also present in the dataset with 271 pebbles we based our results on. Their distribution in the primary classes is presented in Table 1. We will place special focus on the class {2, 2} which contains 52 pebbles in our dataset.

While the strong bias in the primary mechanical classification is most telling, it also has the drawback that it does not offer any clue on shapes inside any of the primary classes, most notably, *inside* the dominant class  $\{S, U\} = \{2, 2\}$ .

*Higher-order mechanical classifications.* The trivial drawback of catalogs is that they do not distinguish between shapes in one class. This can be remedied by introducing finer, higher-order catalogs. In case of mechanical descriptors this idea led us to study, beyond the number, also



*Fig. 2.* Mechanical equilibria as stationary points of the radial distance function r; a) In two-dimensions we have  $r = r(\varphi)$  and stable and unstable equilibria appear alternating, in pairs, so we have S=U; b) In three-dimensions we have  $r = r(\varphi, \theta)$ . The respective numbers S, U, H for stable, unstable and saddle-type equilibria satisfy the Poincaré–Hopf formula S + U - H = 2



Fig. 3. The primary mechanical catalog, showing examples of shapes with i stable and j unstable static balance points

the *relative locations* of equilibrium points. We have two alternative approaches to this task: we can either apply a natural, discrete decomposition to the *range* of the distance function  $r = r(\varphi, \theta)$ , or, we can apply a natural, discrete decomposition of its *domain*. To decompose the range, we will use saddle points and to decompose the domain, we will use isolated integral curves of the gradient. In the first case we arrive at a graph defining a natural *hierarchy* among equilibria, in the second case we arrive at a graph defining a natural *arrangement* among equilibria. We describe both graphs below.

The hierarchy among equilibria is based on the value of the radial distance function, and this information is carried by the Reeb-graph R(K) associated with the body K (Arnold, 2007). Each point of an edge in the Reeb-graph R(K) corresponds to a connected component of the level set  $r_K$  = constant. We will define the Reeb-graph more rigorously for smooth functions in subsection "Reeb-graph", where we also show how the ellipsoid of Equation (1) is degenerate due to its symmetry. A similar issue arises with the regular tetrahedron, an issue we will discuss in Section "Classification of convex polyhedra" along with the definition of the Reeb-graph for polyhedra. Slightly moving the reference point o off all 3 symmetry planes of the ellipsoid results in the non-degenerate Reeb-graph shown in Fig. 4a. However, this is not the only Reeb-graph in the primary class {2, 2}. The number R(S, U) of distinct Reeb-graphs in each primary class grows exponentially (Arnold, 2007; Nicolaescu, 2008). Reeb-graphs define a finite, complete natural catalog inside any primary equilibrium class and of



*Fig.* 4. Secondary mechanical descriptors associated with the triaxial ellipsoid given in Equation (1) (a) Reeb-graph with center of mass o slightly offset from symmetry planes. b) Morse–Smale graph, center of mass o can be located either at the intersection of symmetry planes or with small offset

course they define an infinite catalog if we consider all primary classes. We call this the *R*-secondary mechanical classification scheme. Whether and to what extent R-secondary classification is biased, we will discuss below.



The information on the spatial arrangement of equilibria can be described by using the isolated integral curves of the gradient vector field  $\nabla r_K$  (Guckenheimer and Holmes, 1983), which define, as edges, the so-called *Morse–Smale* graph M(K) associated with the body K (Domokos et al., 2016b). The vertices of the Morse–Smale graph are the static equilibrium points. Morse–Smale graphs have various equivalent representations, to which we return in subsection "Morse–Smale graph" where we define the Morse–Smale graph for smooth functions. Each of these representations can be enumerated and thus can be associated with an integer label, the so-called canonical code (Babai and Luks, 1983). A polyhedral version of the Morse–Smale graph also exists, which will be discussed in Section "Classification of convex polyhedra".

The Morse–Smale graph belonging to the ellipsoid in the previous example is shown in Fig. 4b. However, this is not the only possible Morse–Smale graph in the primary class  $\{S, U\} = \{2, 2\}$ . The number M(S, U) of distinct Morse–Smale graphs in each primary class grows approximately with the exponent p = S + U (Kápolnai et al., 2012). Just as with Reeb-graphs, Morse–Smale graphs define a finite, complete natural catalog inside any primary equilibrium class and they define an infinite catalog if we consider all primary classes. We call this the *M-secondary mechanical classification* scheme. As with Reeb-graphs, we will discuss whether the M-secondary classification is biased.

These R-secondary and M-secondary classification schemes are independent in the sense that identifying the class of a body in one system does not locate it in the other system. To bridge the gap, we will introduce the *master* graph G(K) associated with the body K, which carries information on both the integral curves and the level sets of the radial distance function and from which both the M-secondary and the R-secondary classification can be obtained. The master graph will be defined separately for smooth surfaces in Section "Classification of smooth, convex shapes" and for polyhedra in Section "Classification of convex polyhedra". We will call the scheme defined by the master graph the *tertiary mechanical classification*, defining up to  $M(S, U) \cdot R(S, U)$  tertiary classes in the primary class {S, U}. We will denote their exact number by G(S, U). Inside any primary class {S, U}, the tertiary scheme defines a natural, finite catalog. However, unlike any previous catalog, the tertiary classification is incomplete, as there exist pairs of Reeb-graphs and Morse–Smale graphs in the same primary class which cannot belong to the same object. We will illustrate the tertiary classification scheme on the primary class {S, U} = {2, 2} and explain its significance. In particular, in Section "Equilibrium classes as shape catalogs" we will prove

Lemma 1:

$$G(2,2) = 3$$

For an example, see Fig. 5 showing the possible secondary and tertiary classes in the primary class with 2 stable and 2 unstable points. A more detailed view of this primary class and its higher order subclasses will be presented later in Table 2.

While these higher order classifications are most promising, the main obstacle in their application was that they cannot be reliably identified by hand experiments, and there was no reliable algorithm and no computer-based tool to extract them from natural shapes. The first main result presented in this article is an algorithm computing all three previously mentioned graphs using the 3D scan of the particle.

We have investigated the primary class with the highest number of naturally occurring pebbles, the class of bodies with 2 stable and 2 unstable points. Every such pebble in our collection fell into the same tertiary class. This suggests that the natural abrasion process is heavily skewed towards certain classes, just like in the case of the primary classes.



Fig. 5. Division of the primary class {2, 2} into higher-order subclasses. The class of the ellipsoid used in previous examples is highlighted under each classification scheme



*Table 2.* Secondary and tertiary classes in the {2, 2} primary class. Rows correspond to M-secondary classes, columns correspond to R-secondary classes. Intersection of row i and column j shows the master graph belonging to the i-th M-secondary and j-th R-secondary class

# CLASSIFICATION OF SMOOTH, CONVEX SHAPES

## Primary equilibrium classes

In subsection "Mechanical shape descriptors and natural classes" we have introduced the radial distance function  $r_K(\varphi, \theta) : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ , and also stated how its extrema correspond to equilibria of the convex body K. Next, we examine the case where  $r_K$  is at least twice continuously differentiable. In this case the non-degenerate *critical point*  $x \in S^2$  of the gradient field  $\nabla r_K$  corresponds to a static equilibrium point

of the body: a minimum, maximum or saddle point corresponds to a stable, unstable or saddle-type equilibrium point, respectively (Várkonyi and Domokos, 2006). Noncritical points are called *regular*. We denote the type of equilibrium point with a superscript when relevant:  $x^s$ ,  $x^u$  and  $x^h \in S^2$  are stable, unstable and saddle points, respectively. The capital letters S, U and  $H \in \mathbb{N}$  still represent the total number of these equilibria for K.

The smooth function  $r_K$  is called *Morse* if all its critical points are non-degenerate. In this case the numbers of different equilibria are all finite because the function's domain is compact. The Poincaré–Hopf theorem establishes the relationship between these values: H = S + U - 2. If the

*critical value*  $r_K(x)$  for every critical point x is distinct as well, then the function is called an *excellent Morse function* (Nicolaescu, 2008).

For example, let us return to the ellipsoid introduced in Equation (1). All of its equilibria are non-degenerate, but the ones of the same type are at equal distances from the center of gravity. This makes it a Morse function but not an excellent one. Choosing the value of o such that none of its coordinates is zero breaks the symmetry, making  $r_{ell}$  an excellent Morse function. Figure 6 shows the visible equilibrium points for o = (0.2, 0.1, 0.3). An additional minimum, maximum and saddle point is hidden behind the ellipsoid.

The primary equilibrium class  $\{i, j\}$  (i, j = 0, 1,...) contains all convex bodies with S = i stable equilibria and U = j unstable equilibria. Bodies with one stable point are called *monostable*, bodies with one unstable point are called *mono-unstable* and bodies in the class  $\{1, 1\}$  are called *mono-monostatic*. Classifying an object whose radial distance function is Morse is trivial, but we will show in the next section that primary equilibrium classes are not limited to these.

Now we define two important tools – introduced in subsection "Higher-order mechanical classifications" as second-order mechanical descriptors – for Morse functions specifically, the Reeb-graph and the Morse–Smale graph.

# **Reeb-graph**

A *level set* of a real valued function is the set of points where the function takes on a particular value  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ . In our case these are the points at distance d from the point o. A level set of  $r_K$  may consist of several closed curves, called *contour lines*. Contour lines are unique at regular points. Contour lines at a stable or an unstable point consist of that single point. We will call a contour line of  $r_K$  containing a saddle point  $x^s$  the *saddle contour line of*  $x^s$ . An excellent Morse function's saddle contour lines always contain a single saddle point due to the critical values being distinct. Therefore, the saddle contour line of  $x^s$  has a single self-intersection at  $x^s$ , as shown in Fig. 7.

The number of contour lines is not constant throughout the codomain of  $r_K$ . New contour lines appear at critical values of minima, disappear at critical values of maxima, and split or merge at critical values of saddles. To capture this evolution of level sets, first an equivalence relation  $\sim_{r_K}$  is defined for two points  $p, q \in S^2$  such that  $p \sim_{r_K} q$  whenever p and q belong to the same contour line of  $r_K$ . The *Reebgraph* of  $r_K$  is then the quotient space  $S^2/\sim_{r_K}$  equipped with the quotient topology. This graph's vertices correspond to the previously-mentioned points of change in the number of contour lines: every leaf corresponds to a minimum or maximum, the rest of the vertices correspond to saddles. If  $r_K$  is excellent Morse then its Reeb-graph is a tree with inner vertices of degree 3 (Arnold, 2007). Figure 4a shows the Reeb-graph of the ellipsoid in Fig. 6.

Due to the one-to-one correspondence from its vertices to critical points, the Reeb-graph of an object also determines its primary equilibrium class. On the other hand, two objects in the same primary class might not have isomorphic Reeb-graphs. For example, see the first row of Table 2 showing the different Reeb-graphs in the primary equilibrium class {2, 2}. An *R-secondary equilibrium class* contains all convex bodies with isomorphic Reeb-graphs.

#### Morse-Smale graph

By definition, at any regular point p the gradient vector  $\nabla r_K$  is non-zero. Following the vector an *integral curve*  $c : R \rightarrow S^2$  is traced out, which is the longest possible curve through p whose derivatives agree with the gradient  $\nabla r_K$  (Edelsbrunner et al., 2003).

If  $r_K$  is Morse, then every regular point belongs to one and only one integral curve; two integral curves are either disjointed or exactly the same. An integral curve starts at a critical point and ends at a critical point but does not contain them. The two critical points at the ends of an integral curve are distinct because the function's value is strictly ascending along the curve. The critical point with lower critical value is called the *origin* while the other one is the *destination* of the integral curve. The origin and destination are either minimum–maximum, minimum– saddle or saddle–maximum. Figure 8 gives an example for the first one.

 $x_1^s$ 

Х



Fig. 6. All visible equilibria

*Fig.* 7. The saddle contour line of  $x_1^h$ 

The descending (ascending) manifold of a maximum (minimum) critical point x is the union of x and all integral curves with x as their destination (origin). Only minimum-maximum integral curves belong to both a descending and an ascending manifold; an integral curve is *isolated* otherwise. Every saddle point is the origin of exactly two isolated integral curves as well as the destination of exactly two isolated integral curves. Figure 9 shows the isolated integral curves of the ellipsoid in previous examples.

The cells of the Morse-Smale complex of  $r_K$  are the connected components of the intersections between descending and ascending manifolds. Every cell of a Morse-Smale complex is a quadrangle bounded by isolated integral curves connecting critical points in the following order: saddle, maximum, saddle, minimum (Edelsbrunner et al., 2003). We call the 1-skeleton of a Morse-Smale complex the Morse-Smale graph.

The vertices in the Morse–Smale graph of  $r_K$  correspond to critical points and the edges correspond to isolated integral curves. Every vertex corresponding to a saddle is of degree 4 and it is connected to exactly two vertices corresponding to stable points and two vertices corresponding to unstable points. There are no edges in the graph other than these. The Morse–Smale graph is a 3-colored quadrangular



*Fig.* 8. One of the integral curves from  $x_1^s$  to  $x_1^u$ 



Fig. 9. Isolated integral curves

graph. You can see the Morse–Smale graph corresponding to Fig. 9 in Fig. 4b. The *quasi-dual* is an alternative, equivalent representation of the Morse–Smale graph, which is constructed from the original graph by adding stable–unstable diagonal edges and removing all saddle-type vertices. The quasi-dual of the Morse–Smale graph is a two-colored quadrangular graph (Domokos et al., 2016b). This representation has fewer vertices and edges, which makes it more suitable for visualization. For this reason, we used it in the pebble catalog published as Supplementary material.

A secondary classification method was introduced in Domokos et al. (2016b), based on the isomorphism classes of Morse–Smale graphs – that is, shapes that belong to the same secondary class are in the same primary class as well. The authors also proved that for every combinatorically possible Morse–Smale graph a smooth convex body exists. The Reeb-graph also has the first property, two shapes with isomorphic Reeb graphs belong to the same primary class. On the other hand, the Reeb-graph associated with a function does not uniquely define the Morse–Smale graph associated with the same function, or vice versa.

An *M-secondary equilibrium class* contains all convex bodies with isomorphic Morse–Smale graphs. Objects in the same M-secondary class belong to the same primary class. However, bodies in the same M-secondary class do not necessarily belong to the same R-secondary class or vice versa. See Table 2 for examples in the {2, 2} primary class. In the next section we introduce a tertiary classification scheme where bodies in the same tertiary class belong to the same primary, M-secondary and R-secondary class.

#### Master graph

The Morse–Smale graph encompasses information about integral curves, the lines of fastest ascent. The Reeb-graph on the other hand portrays contour lines, the paths of constant function value. We have introduced the so-called *master graph* in subsection "Higher-order mechanical classifications" that describes both aspects. This subsection will focus specifically on the master graph of Morse functions. The key is the relationship between two types of significant curves: the isolated integral curves and the saddle contour lines.

- Definition 1: The *intersection point*  $y \in S^2$  is a point where an isolated integral curve of  $\nabla r_K$  and a saddle contour of  $r_K$  intersect.
- Definition 2: Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be critical points with an isolated integral curve *c* between them. Let  $y_1, ..., y_n$  be all the intersection points on  $c (r_K(y_1) < \cdots < r_K(y_n))$ . Since  $r_K$  is monotonic along *c*, the ordering along *c* will agree with the ordering by function values. An *isolated set* is the ordered set of points  $x_1 y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{n-1} y_n x_2$ . Two adjacent points in this sequence are called *gradient neighbors*.
- Definition 3: A saddle point  $x^h$  and an intersection point y are *contour neighbors* if there is a saddle contour line of  $r_K$  that contains both  $x^h$  and y.



Definition 4: In the *master graph* a vertex corresponds to every critical point and every intersection point. Edges run between every gradient neighbor and every contour neighbor.

We call edges between gradient neighbors, *gradient edges*. We call the path corresponding to an isolated set an *isolated path* which is made up of gradient edges. We call edges between contour neighbors *contour edges*. Figure 10 shows an example.

We may obtain the Morse–Smale complex from the master graph by removing every contour edge and replacing isolated paths with single edges. We may obtain the Reebgraph by contracting the contour edges and unifying parallel edges. Figure 4 shows the Morse–Smale complex and Reebgraph that belong to the master graph in Fig. 10.

Using the master graph, we can define a third level in our classification hierarchy.

Definition 5: A *tertiary equilibrium class* contains all convex bodies with isomorphic master graphs.

Bodies in the same tertiary class belong to the same primary, M-secondary and R-secondary classes. Table 2 shows how there could be two different master graphs in the same M-secondary and R-secondary classes.

# CLASSIFICATION OF CONVEX POLYHEDRA

In this section we examine the case where the radial distance function is not smooth; rather its image is the convex polyhedron P. Just as introduced in subsection "The primary mechanical classification", the value of the function still measures the distance from the point o, which is usually chosen as the center of gravity of P. The function is continuous, just as in the previous section, but the gradient  $\nabla r_P$  exists only in the interior of the faces of P. If the point p is in the interior of the edge e, then at p only the directional derivative along e exists. However, for each face adjacent to e we can obtain the gradient of the function at p that measures the distance from o for the whole plane of the face. In Ludmány et al. (2021) we named these vectors *candidate gradients at p* if they are tangential to the polyhedron P. Candidate gradients were defined the same way at vertices as well. We called points where at least one *regular* non-zero candidate gradient exists, and also proved that at these points there is a unique candidate gradient with maximal length we called the *extended gradient*  $\nabla_{ext}r_P$ .

The concept of equilibrium points and non-degeneracy of convex polyhedra are already established in the literature (Domokos et al., 2020). We say that  $x \in P$  is an *equilibrium point* of P (with respect to o) if the plane H through x and perpendicular to [o, x] supports P at x. In this case x is *non-degenerate* if  $H \cap P$  is the (unique) face, edge or vertex of P that contains x in its relative interior. We have shown in Ludmány et al. (2021) that a point  $x \in P$  is an equilibrium point if and only if there is no candidate gradient at x. The function  $r_P$  is *polyhedral Morse* if all its equilibrium points are non-degenerate. The function is *excellent polyhedral Morse* if the equilibrium points are at distinct distances from o.

Let us take a regular tetrahedron as an example. If we set o in its center of gravity then it has a stable equilibrium point on all of its faces, a saddle on all of its edges and an unstable equilibrium at all of its vertices. All of these are non-degenerate, but all equilibria of the same kind have the same distance from o. As with the previously-described ellipsoid, we can move o such that the distance from it becomes an excellent polyhedral Morse function. See Fig. 11 for reference.

An approach to defining critical points directly on polyhedra already exists in the literature (Banchoff, 1970) where the function is the distance from a reference plane. This function is piecewise linear and all of its critical points fall on vertices. This setup is a good fit for processing all kinds of datasets from terrains to models for 3D printing, which makes it widely used. A review of existing algorithms for computing critical points and the Morse–Smale graph in such a case is available in De Floriani et al. (2015). These are not applicable to the not-piecewise-linear radial distance function  $r_P$  discussed in the current article but did inspire aspects of our solution.

#### **Reeb-graph**

The definitions in section "Classification of smooth, convex shapes" of the contour lines and the Reeb-graph apply to  $r_P$  as well, but their properties might differ. Contour lines are

*Fig. 10.* A master graph  $(x_1^u, x_2^u)$ : unstable points,  $x_1^h, x_2^h$ : saddle points,  $x_1^s, x_2^s$ : stable points, orange dots: intersection points, solid lines: gradient edges and solid lines of the same color constitute an isolated path, dashed lines: contour edges)



Fig. 11. Visible equilibrium points of the example tetrahedron



continuous, closed curves, made up of circular arcs connecting at non-differentiable points. Contour lines are unique at regular points. Contour lines containing a stable or unstable point do not include other points. If  $r_p$  is excellent polyhedral Morse then a saddle contour line contains a single saddle only. Saddle contour lines containing the nondegenerate saddle  $x^h$  on the edge e contain a circular arc (which might be a complete circle) on each face adjacent to e, that is tangent to e at  $x^h$ . See Fig. 12 for an example.

The Reeb-graph of an excellent polyhedral Morse function  $r_P$  is a tree; its vertices correspond to equilibrium points. Leaves correspond to stable and unstable, inner vertices correspond to saddle points. Inner vertices are of degree 3.

#### Morse-Smale graph

The other key concept introduced in Ludmány et al. (2021), beside the extended gradient, was the *ascending curve*. It traces out a path following the extended gradient, similarly to integral curves, but relaxes the requirement on the derivative. An ascending curve through a regular point is the longest possible curve whose right-hand derivatives agree with the extended gradient  $\nabla_{ext}r_P$ . An ascending curve is a continuous open polygon. See Fig. 13 for example.

Every regular point belongs to at least one ascending curve; two ascending curves can merge, but cannot cross or split. An ascending curve starts at an equilibrium point and ends at an equilibrium point, containing the latter but not



Fig. 12. The saddle contour line of one of the saddle points



Fig. 13. A stable-unstable ascending curve

the first one. The two equilibrium points at the ends of an ascending curve are distinct because the function's value is strictly ascending along the curve. The equilibrium point with lower critical value is called the *origin* while the other one is the *destination* of the ascending curve. The origin and destination are either stable–unstable, stable–saddle or saddle–unstable.

The descending (ascending) polyhedral manifold of an unstable (stable) equilibrium point x is the union of x and all ascending curves with x as their destination (origin). Only stable–unstable ascending curves can belong to both a descending and an ascending polyhedral manifold; otherwise, an ascending curve is *isolated*. Every saddle point is the origin of exactly two isolated ascending curves as well as the destination of exactly two isolated ascending curves. See Fig. 14 for an example.

The cells of the *Morse–Smale complex of P* are the connected components of the intersections between descending and ascending polyhedral manifolds. Every cell of the Morse– Smale complex is a quadrangle bounded by isolated integral curves connecting equilibrium points in the following order: saddle, unstable, saddle, stable. We call the 1-skeleton of a Morse–Smale complex the *Morse–Smale graph*.

The vertices in the Morse–Smale graph of P correspond to equilibrium points and the edges correspond to isolated ascending curves. Every vertex corresponding to a saddle is of degree 4 and it is connected to exactly two vertices corresponding to stable points and two vertices corresponding to unstable points. There are no edges in the graph other than these. The Morse–Smale graph is a 3-colored quadrangular graph.

#### Master graph

The master graph of a Morse function encompasses the information of isolated integral curves and saddle contours. In the polyhedral case discussed in this subsection it describes the relationship of isolated ascending curves and saddle contours.





Fig. 14. Isolated ascending curves



- Definition 7: Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be critical points with an isolated ascending curve a between them. Let  $y_1, ..., y_n$  be all the intersection points on a  $(r_P(y_1) < \cdots < r_P(y_n))$ . An *isolated set* is the ordered set of points  $x_1 - y_1 - y_2 - \cdots - y_{n-1} - y_n - x_2$ . Two adjacent points in this sequence are called *gradient neighbors*.
- Definition 8: A saddle point x<sup>h</sup> and an intersection point y are *contour neighbors* if there is a saddle contour line that contains both x<sup>h</sup> and y.

Two isolated ascending curves might have merged at a point p and both intersect a saddle contour at y ( $r_P(p) < r_P(y)$ ). We treat these two isolated ascending curves as separate even if they share some of their points. In the master graph we will have two separate vertices corresponding to y as well.

- Definition 9: An intersection point y is called *n-fold* if it is the intersection of n isolated ascending curves and a saddle contour at the same point.
- Definition 10: In the *polyhedral master graph*, a vertex corresponds to every critical point and n vertices correspond to every n-fold intersection point. Every vertex is connected to one and only one of the vertices corresponding to each of its gradient neighbors. Edges run between contour neighbors too.

The steps of creating the Reeb-graph and Morse–Smale graph from the polyhedral master graph are identical to the smooth case.

# EQUILIBRIUM CLASSES AS SHAPE CATALOGS

So far, we have defined the primary, secondary and tertiary equilibrium classes for both smooth surfaces and polyhedra. Now we can more clearly formulate the mathematical challenge outlined in Section "Introduction". We do this by exploring more details of the classification system in general. In subsection "Higher-order mechanical classifications" we mentioned that  $G(S, U) \le R(S, U) \cdot M(S, U)$  for any primary class {S, U}. For example, the equality holds for trivial cases like classes {1, 1}, {1, 2} or {2, 1} with a single Reeb-graph, a single Morse–Smale graph and therefore a single master graph.

Our goal in this section is to show by proving Lemma 1 that there is at least one primary class where the equality does not hold. We start by constructing polyhedra in 3 tertiary classes, providing a lower boundary on their number. First, let us classify the polyhedron with the lowest possible number of vertices and faces. A tetrahedron with 2 stable and 2 unstable equilibria is presented in Domokos et al. (2020). We use the following lemma in the classification process.

Lemma 2: A non-monostatic tetrahedron's radial distance function cannot have parallel isolated ascending curves in its Morse-Smale graph. Before proving Lemma 2, we provide some necessary background. In Ludmány et al. (2021) we defined an edge of a polyhedron as *followed*, if the extended gradient is parallel to the edge at every single one of its points, otherwise we called it *crossed*. The names come from the behavior of an ascending curve through interior points of an edge: in the first case they follow the edge, in the second case they cross it. We have proven that this behavior is uniform at every single point of a given edge. In the following we take advantage of these two observations:

- 1. Every edge connected to an unstable point is followed.
- 2. On convex polyhedra, a saddle-stable isolated ascending curve crosses only crossed edges.

*Proof.* Consider the tetrahedron with vertices A, B, C and D.

First, we prove that two isolated ascending curves between the saddle  $x_1^h$  and the unstable point  $x_1^u$  cannot exist. Let us consider the case where the tetrahedron has one more unstable point  $x_2^u$ , and assign them to vertices as  $x_1^u = A$  and  $x_2^u = B$ . The two isolated ascending curves connecting  $x_1^h$  to  $x_1^u$  form a cycle on the tetrahedron. It must be 3 edges long as any shorter would not be a cycle and any longer would contain both unstable points, or in other words, the two isolated ascending curves run along the boundary of a face. This can only be the face ACD as every other face contains the other unstable vertex B. According to the quadrangle lemma (Edelsbrunner et al., 2003), there is a stable point  $x_1^s$ on the face ACD connected to  $x_1^h$  by an isolated ascending curve. The radial distance function is strictly monotone, ascending from  $x_1^h$  to  $x_1^u$  along both isolated ascending curves running along the edges of ACD. However, any face containing a stable point contains at least 2 local minima when looking at the distance function along its boundary curve. This contradiction means that parallel  $x_1^h - x_1^u$  isolated ascending curves cannot exist on tetrahedra with only 2 unstable points in total. The statement holds for 3 or 4 unstable points as well, because every face would contain at least 2 of them on its boundary, which makes parallel isolated ascending curves impossible.

Next, we prove that two isolated ascending curves between the saddle  $x_1^h$  and the stable point  $x_1^s$  cannot exist either. Let us consider the case where the tetrahedron has one more stable point x<sub>2</sub>. The parallel isolated ascending curves connecting  $x_1^h$  to  $x_1^s$  form a cycle and run on all 3 faces of the tetrahedron other than the one containing  $x_2^s$ . The same logic applies as previously: a cycle on less faces would not be possible, a cycle on more faces would have to contain  $x_2^s$  as well. The cycle encircles one of the vertices of the tetrahedron on its own, which must be unstable according to the quadrangle lemma. This leads to a contradiction though, because every edge connected to an unstable vertex must be followed, but one of the  $x_1^h - x_1^s$  isolated ascending curves also *crossed* them. The extension to 3 or 4 stable points is also similar to the unstable case: adding more of these points would make it impossible to form a cycle of parallel isolated ascending curves on the faces of the tetrahedron. П

170

As a consequence, the previously cited tetrahedron is in the first row of Table 2. In the next step we construct polyhedra for the bottom row of the table. Start with a body that has parallel isolated ascending curves between one of its saddles and its single stable point already, the monostable polyhedron of Conway and Guy (1969). It has 1 stable, 3 saddle and 4 unstable points; see these in Fig. 15.

We merge the two pairs of unstable points and the saddle between them at both ends of the polyhedron. Next, we raise the two resulting unstable points above their current plane by a small amount as shown in Fig. 16. The resulting polyhedron is in the class {2, 2}. We end up in different cells of our table depending on how much we move the edge with the saddle on the bottom. Using the notation of the previous two figures, if c = 0, then there are two isolated ascending curves between the two saddle points, resulting in a degenerate master graph. If c is greater than 0 but close to it, then the polyhedron is in the first column. If c is less than a+b but close to it, then the body is in the second column. If c is close to neither 0 nor a+b then one of the stable points vanishes; the polyhedron leaves the class {2, 2}.

We have seen that in a non-degenerate Reeb-graph, every saddle point has at least one of its 3 neighbors at greater distance and at least one at lesser distance from the center of gravity o. This means that there can only be 2 non-



*Fig. 15.* Monostable polyhedron of Conway & Guy. The stable point is shown in green; saddles are shown in blue, unstable points are shown in red. The figure is not proportional, for example r = 1, a = 0.1, b = 30 results in a monostable polyhedron



Fig. 16. Polyhedron with 2 stable and 2 unstable equilibria

isomorphic Reeb-graphs in {2, 2}, both shown in Table 2. The same primary class also has 2 Morse–Smale graphs (Domokos et al., 2016b). However, the number of master graphs inside this class is not 4 but only 3.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* We have shown that  $G(2,2) \ge 3$  by providing a polyhedron in 3 of its tertiary classes.

Let us use the notation of Table 2, and assume that there exists a master graph in the cell at the first row and second column. According to the Reeb-graph, the saddle contour through  $x_1^h$  divides the surface into 3 parts, one containing the stable point  $x_2^s$ , one containing the unstable point  $x_1^u$  and one containing the rest. Notice that the other stable point  $x_1^s$  is in this last part.

Considering the neighbors of the saddle  $x_1^h$  in the Reebgraph, only one of them has a lower value of  $r_K$  than  $r_K(x_1^h)$ , namely the stable point  $x_2^s$ . This means that both stable– saddle isolated integral curves fall within the same one of the three previously mentioned parts bounded by the saddle contour of  $x_1^h$ . Because this part contains  $x_2^s$  only, both curves are connected to this stable point. These two parallel edges contradict our assumption on the Morse–Smale graph, leading to no master graph in the cell at the first row and second column of Table 2.

# CLASSIFICATION OF NATURAL SHAPES

Our last goal is the classification of natural shapes, which leads us to the solution of the algorithmic challenge mentioned in Section "Introduction". The equilibria of a pebble can be measured by hand, but this method is highly dependent on the person's abilities carrying out the experiment. Their first task is locating every point of the body where it is at rest. This is an intuitive process, but a new examiner can quickly gain this intuition by observing the measurement of a few pebbles carried out by a more experienced person. The next task is observing how the pebble resting on an equilibrium point reacts to a slight toss, which determines if the point is stable, saddle or unstable. Manual measurement of boulders gets more difficult if not outright impossible as their size increases. We utilize 3D scanning technology to achieve consistency in the results and to get around size limitations.

In such scenarios equilibria appear on two separate scales: the global value N corresponds to the approximation of the particle's convex hull by a sufficiently smooth surface while the local value  $N^{\triangle}$  corresponds to the polyhedral approximation (with faces of maximal diameter  $\triangle$ ) acquired by 3D scanning. The main obstacle is that in general  $\lim_{\Delta \to 0} N$  (Domokos et al., 2012a, 2012b).

Local equilibria appear in *flocks* which are spatially localized around the locations of global equilibria. This poses a considerable problem for measurements: while only  $N^{\triangle}$  is directly available from any 3D scanned dataset, the physically relevant quantity is N. To obtain N based on  $N^{\triangle}$ , one needs some artificial "blurring" of the data.



Edelsbrunner et al. achieved this by defining a simplifying operation on the Morse–Smale graph called *cancellation*, which eliminates two adjacent critical points (Edelsbrunner et al., 2003). First, we describe the original operation, then present our extension of it to the master graph. There are two possible combinations of critical points to be cancelled: a minimum and a saddle or a saddle and a maximum, but they are symmetrical. Let  $x^h$  be the saddle and  $x_1^u$  the unstable vertex of the canceled pair while  $x_2^u$  the other unstable vertex connected to  $x^h$  ( $x_1^u \neq x_2^u$ ). The cancellation combines the three vertices into  $x_2^u$  by removing every edge connected to  $x^h$ and merging the  $x_1^u$  and  $x_2^u$  vertices.

The basic idea is the same on the master graph, but only the two cancelled vertices must be connected directly; the remaining stable or unstable point can be connected to the cancelled saddle through an isolated path. This requires some extra bookkeeping in the following definition to end up with a valid master graph after cancellation.

- Definition 11: Let  $x^h$  be a saddle and  $x_1^u$  an unstable point such that there is an  $x^h - x_1^u$  edge in the master graph. Let  $x_2^u$  be the other unstable point that is connected to  $x^h$  through an isolated path  $(x_1^u \neq x_2^u)$ , let the intersection points on this path be  $y_1, ..., y_n$ . The *cancellation of*  $x^h$  merges  $x_1^u$  and  $x^h$  into  $x_2^u$ . The critical value in the remaining vertex is  $r_K(x_2^u) := \max\{r_K(x_1^u), r_K(x_2^u)\}$ . The steps are the following:
- Remove every intersection along the two isolated paths originating from x<sup>h</sup> and ending in a stable vertex.

- 2. Let D be the set of the third-to-last vertices on every isolated path ending in  $x_1^u$ . Every isolated path ending in  $x_1^u$  except for the  $x^h x_1^u$  edge is at least 3 vertices long because they originate from a saddle, end in  $x_1^u$  and intersect the contour line through  $x^h$ .
- 3. Remove  $x_1^u$  and all of its neighbors.
- 4. Copy the  $y_1, ..., y_n$  vertices and the edges connected to them |D| 1 times and connect a unique copy of  $y_1$  to every vertex in D. These new edges are not contour edges.

#### Figure 17 shows an example.

Now that we have defined the basic simplification step, the next question is which of the equilibria we should cancel and in what order. We draw our inspiration from the same article as before, where the authors utilized the level set of the surface. They called a critical point *positive* if it created a new contour line and *negative* if it destroyed one while ascending in the codomain of the distance function. Minima are positive, maxima are negative and saddles can be either positive or negative. Every negative saddle was paired with the preceding positive minimum and every negative maximum was paired with the preceding positive saddle. They defined the *persistence* of a pair of equilibria as the difference in function value between the two points. Saddles were then canceled from lower to higher persistence until the desired Morse–Smale complex was reached.

These steps are easily adapted to the master graph, as it contains the necessary information from the level sets of the surface. At any given point, the saddle with the lowest persistence is connected directly to a stable or an unstable



*Fig. 17.* Canceling the saddle  $x^h$  (a) Part of a master graph. Dashed: contour edge, squiggly: isolated path with internal vertices omitted (b) The graph after step 1.  $D = \{y'_2, y^*_2\}$  (c) The graph after step 3. (d) The resulting graph

point. There is always at least one such edge in the graph. We choose the next equilibria to be canceled by iterating over all the remaining edges of the graph looking for the saddle–stable or saddle–unstable edge having the lowest difference in the value of  $r_K$  at its vertices. We cancel the saddles until we get the closest possible to the number of stable and unstable points measured by hand.

#### Example on a single pebble

In this section we show the steps the algorithm takes to classify a single pebble. First it was established via manual measurements that this pebble has 2 stable and 3 unstable equilibrium points, placing it in the {2, 3} primary class. Next the pebble was scanned using a 3D scanner, resulting in the polyhedron denoted from now on by  $P_{ex}$ . Determining the higher order equilibrium classes consists of three main steps: 1) constructing the master graph of  $P_{ex}$ , 2) sorting the saddles and canceling them up to the point where the master graph has only 2 stable and 3 unstable points, 3) creating the Reeb-graph and Morse–Smale graph from the master graph. In the rest of this section, we will discuss these steps in detail. The source code of the algorithm's implementation is also available (Ludmány, 2023a).

**Construction of the master graph of**  $P_{ex}$ . In the very first step the algorithm goes over all the edges of  $P_{ex}$  and determines if they contain a saddle point. There are 25 such edges with saddle points on them in this example. This results in a list of  $(e_i, x_i^h)$  pairs where  $e_i$  is the edge containing the saddle point  $x_i^h$  and i goes from 1 to 25.

The next step is tracing the saddle contour line containing the saddle  $x_i^h$  for every  $i \in [1, 25]$ . As established in Section "Classification of convex polyhedra", contour lines are closed, continuous curves made up of circular arcs. Every arc is either a full circle on a single face or has its endpoints on edges of  $P_{\text{ex}}$ . We can therefore trace a contour line in an iterative fashion by always finding the next arc connected to one of the endpoints of a partial contour line until the curve is closed.

We have also established previously that the saddle contour line containing any  $x_i^h$  has an arc on each face adjacent to  $e_i$ . Any of these two can be the starting partial contour line in the previous paragraph's iterative method. The resulting saddle contour lines are shown in blue in Fig. 20. You can also take a closer look at one of the flocks in Fig. 18.

Once we have all the saddle contours, the next step is tracing the isolated ascending curves. Every saddle point is the origin of 2 such curves and the destination of 2 such curves. We start from the point  $x_i^h$  for every  $i \in [1, 25]$  and trace all 4 curves with an iterative algorithm, one segment at a time. One curve is constructed toward each endpoint of  $e_i$ in the direction of the extended gradient, and one curve is constructed toward each face adjacent to ei in the direction opposite to the extended gradient. For both types of curves, the last iteration stops at an unstable or stable point, respectively. The two figures mentioned in the previous paragraph show these curves as well.



*Fig. 18.* A flock of equilibria. Stable, unstable and saddle points are represented by green, red and blue dots respectively. Saddle contour lines are shown in blue, green lines are stable-saddle, red lines are saddle-unstable ascending curves

The creation of the master graph starts by adding the vertices  $x_i^h$  for every  $i \in [1, 25]$ . We refer to the vertices of the master graph by the same name we used for their corresponding points on  $P_{ex}$ . The graph is extended every time an isolated ascending curve is traced; take for example the one between  $x_1^h$  and  $x^u$  in Fig. 18. The  $x_1^h - x^u$  curve intersects the saddle contours of  $x_2^h, x_3^h$  and  $x_4^h$ ; therefore, we add intersection points denoted by  $y_2, y_3$  and  $y_4$  to the graph. Every intersection point is then connected to the saddle with the same index by a contour edge. If this is the first time we encounter  $x^u$ , we assign a new vertex to it in the master graph. The last step is adding gradient edges along the  $x_1^h - y_2 - y_3 - y_4 - x^u$  path.

**Cancellation of saddles.** At this point we have the master graph of the polyhedron  $P_{ex}$  with 14 stable and 13 unstable vertices. We sort the saddles and apply cancellations as described in Section "Classification of natural shapes" until we get as close to the hand measurements as possible. In this particular case we can get a graph exactly in the {2, 3} primary class. Figure 19a shows the master graph after the cancellations.

It is important to mention that cancellation works on the master graph; it does not modify the polyhedron itself. After any number of cancellations, the contour lines passing through the remaining saddles can still be visualized on the polyhedron. On the other hand, there is no one-to-one correspondence from edges of the master graph to isolated ascending curves on the surface of  $P_{ex}$  anymore. The method we use to circumvent this issue is as follows (using the notations of Definition 11): every isolated ascending curve with its destination at the unstable point  $x_1^u$  is extended with the segments of both the  $x^h - x_1^u$  and the  $x^h - x_2^u$  isolated ascending curves before





*Fig.* 19. Graphs of  $P_{ex}$ . Vertices in the same position in all 3 subfigures correspond to the same equilibrium point. Stable, saddle, unstable and intersection points are represented by green, blue, red and orange points, respectively (a) Master graph. Contour edges are in blue, green edges are on a stable–saddle isolated path, red edges are on a saddle–unstable isolated path (b) Reeb-graph (c) Morse–Smale graph. Green edges represent stable–saddle isolated integral curves, red edges represent saddle–unstable isolated integral curves



Fig. 20. Saddle contours (blue), saddle-unstable ascending curves (red) and stable-saddle ascending curves (green) of the polyhedron  $P_{\rm ex}$ 

cancellation. The resulting curve is not strictly ascending anymore, but in our experience, it gives a good intuition of where the corresponding isolated integral curve on the original body would be. Figure 21 shows this kind of visualization of the master graph of  $P_{\text{ex}}$  after the last cancellation.

*Creation of the Reeb-graph and Morse–Smale graph.* The steps to get the Reeb-graph and the Morse–Smale graph from the master graph have already been described in Section "Classification of smooth, convex shapes". The resulting graphs for  $P_{\rm ex}$  can be seen in Fig. 19.

## Cataloging a population of pebbles

In the previous example we purposefully selected a pebble where – after several cancellations – the number of computed stable and unstable equilibria perfectly matched the hand measurements. This is not always the case. Take for instance a pebble that was placed in the class {3, 4}, with its master graph having 4 stable and 4 unstable vertices at an intermediate step of the algorithm. The number of stable points is off by 1, and the next cancellation can either decrease this or decrease the number of unstable points. In the first case we get a perfect match, but in the second case both values would be off by 1, resulting in a worse match. We have to keep in mind that despite all their best efforts, the people measuring the pebbles by hand can make mistakes. Consequently, a perfect match is not inherently possible or even desirable in every single case. Following the previous paragraph's logic, we computed the master graph that is off by the smallest amount for 271 pebbles in our inventory. More formally, after every cancellation we calculated the absolute difference of the manual and the computer measurements in both the number of stable and the number of unstable points, and selected the master graph where the sum of these two absolute differences was the lowest.

A full list of every single pebble with a photo and a host of manually measured and computed values of both classical and mechanical shape descriptors is available in the Supplementary material. The 3D scan of every pebble was also published in a repository (Ludmány, 2023b) with the LATEX source code of the aforementioned document and the scripts compiling it. Be aware that some of the pebbles could not be photographed or their material could not be identified; in this case the corresponding field is left empty. The visual representation of graphs in the document are for illustration purposes only; our program outputs an alphanumerical encoding of every graph which can be used for actual classification.



*Fig. 21.* Saddle contours (blue), saddle-unstable ascending curves (red) and stable-saddle ascending curves (green) after cancellations up to the primary class measured by hand



*Table 3.* The number of pebbles with a given difference in the number of computed stable/unstable points compared to the hand measurements

	Unstable difference							
Stable difference	-1	0	1	2	3	Sum		
-3	0	0	0	0	0	0		
-2	0	0	1	1	0	2		
-1	0	27	4	4	0	35		
0	4	185	32	10	3	234		
1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sum	4	212	37	15	3	271		

Table 3 gives the number of pebbles with a given difference in the number of computed stable/unstable points compared to the hand measurements. In 185 out of 271 cases (68.27%) the computed master graph perfectly matched the measured primary class of the corresponding pebble. There are no pebbles where both values are positive because further cancellations always get results closer to the hand measurements. There are also no cases where both values are negative because further cancellations would only increase the sum of their absolute values.

The most important point is that 248 out of 271 pebbles (92.51%) is off by at most 1 stable or 1 unstable point compared to the manual measurements. With the primary classification being this close we are confident in the computed secondary and tertiary classes as well.

In all 52 cases where the manual measurement put a pebble in the primary class {2, 2}, the computer did so as well. Our most important observation is that all of them belong to the same tertiary – and thus R-secondary and M-secondary – equilibrium class, namely the one containing all the tetrahedra inside of this primary class.

Based on the mathematical results presented in Section "Equilibrium classes as shape catalogs" and the measurement data in this subsection, the higher order mechanical descriptors give a finite, complete, biased natural catalog inside the primary class  $\{2, 2\}$ . Two of these adjectives outline the direction of further research: a) from the mathematical point, the completeness of the tertiary classification is still an open question, b) from the geologic point, the bias of the higher order classification can be further examined.

# SUMMARY

In this paper we showed that higher order mechanical descriptors are a viable option for the description of sedimentary particles. These descriptors, given as graphs, carry essential, naturally encoded three-dimensional information on the shape. Despite the fact that their mathematical existence was known, the challenges connected with their extraction and identification proved to be, until now, prohibitive.

First-order mechanical descriptors, defined by the respective numbers of stable and unstable static balance

points of the scalar, radial distance function  $r = r(\varphi, \theta)$ measured from the center of mass o, have already proven their utility in geology. Second-order descriptors carry deeper information on the *relative position* of these points by using natural, discrete decompositions of the radial distance function  $r = r(\varphi, \theta)$ . The decomposition of its range by saddle points leads to the concept of Reeb-graphs while the decomposition of its domain by isolated integral curves of the gradient leads to the concept of Morse–Smale graphs. While both second-order descriptors have been discussed before in the mathematical literature, still, their mutual relationship remained unclear.

Since 3D measurement technology is becoming increasingly accessible and standard, obtaining 3D datasets is not a problem anymore. However, 3D data itself, if not coupled with geometric ideas, does not solve the basic question of *how to describe shapes*. Encouraged by these technological developments, in this paper we presented the geometric background of the mentioned second-order descriptors, provided an algorithm to reliably extract them from scanned 3D point clouds. By introducing a *third-order descriptor*, called the master graph, we established the relationship between Reeb-graphs and Morse–Smale graphs.

To illustrate the feasibility of the application, we created a catalog of 271 scanned pebbles where we performed these measurements; we also provided the source code for the implementation of our algorithm as well as the 3D datasets of the aforementioned pebbles.

We hope that our paper gives a signal to geologists that new, geometrically inspired tools for fully three-dimensional shape analysis are now available and ready to be deployed.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support of the NKFIH Hungarian Research Fund, grants 134199 and of the NKFIH Fund TKP2021 BME-NVA, carried out at the Budapest University of Technology and Economics, is kindly acknowledged.

# SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1556/24.2023.00135.

# REFERENCES

- Arnold, V. (2007). Topological classification of Morse functions and generalisations of Hilbert's 16-th problem. *Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry*, 10(3): 227–236. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11040-007-9029-0.
- Babai, L. and Luks, E.M. (1983). Canonical labeling of graphs. In: Proceedings of the fifteenth annual ACM symposium on theory of computing. STOC '83. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, pp. 171–183, ISBN: 0897910990. https:// doi.org/10.1145/800061.808746.

- Banchoff, T.F. (1970). Critical points and curvature for embedded polyhedral surfaces. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 77(5): 475–485. https://doi.org/10.2307/2317380.
- Conway, J.H. and Guy, R.K. (1969). Stability of polyhedra. SIAM *Review*, 11(1): 78–82. https://doi.org/10.1137/1011014.
- De Floriani, L., Fugacci, U., Iuricich, F., and Magillo, P. (2015). Morse complexes for shape segmentation and homological analysis: discrete models and algorithms. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 34(2): 761–785. https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12596.
- Domokos, G. (2018). Natural numbers, natural shapes. Axiomathes. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10516-018-9411-5.
- Domokos, G. and Gibbons, G.W. (2019). The geometry of abrasion. *New Trends in Intuitive Geometry, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, 27: 125–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-57413-3\_6.
- Domokos, G., Holmes, P.J., and Lángi, Z. (2016a). A genealogy of convex solids via local and global bifurcations of gradient vector fields. *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 26(6): 1789–1815. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11853- 007-0008-6.
- Domokos, G., Kovács, F., Lángi, Z., Regős, K., and Varga, P.T. (2020). Balancing polyhedra. Ars Mathematica Contemporanea, 19(1): 95–124. https://doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.2120.085.
- Domokos, G., Lángi, Z., and Szabó, T. (2012a). On the equilibria of finely discretized curves and surfaces. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 168(3): 321–345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-011-0361-x.
- Domokos, G., Lángi, Z., and Szabó, T. (2016b). A topological classification of convex bodies. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 182(1): 95–116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-015-0130-4.
- Domokos, G., Sipos, A., Szabó, T., and Várkonyi, P. (2010). Pebbles, shapes and equilibria. *Mathematical Geosciences*, 42(1): 29–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11004-009-9250-4.
- Domokos, G., Sipos, A.Á., and Szabó, T. (2012b). The mechanics of rocking stones: equilibria on separated scales. *Mathematical Geo*sciences, 44(1): 71–89. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11004-011-9378-x.
- Edelsbrunner, H., Harer, J., and Zomorodian, A. (2003). Hierarchical Morse- Smale complexes for piecewise linear 2-manifolds. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 30(1): 87-107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00454-003-2926-5.
- Fehér, E., Havasi-Tóth, B., and Ludmány, B. (2022). Fully spherical 3D datasets on sedimentary particles: fast measurement and evaluation. *Central European Geology*. https://doi.org/10.1556/ 24.2022.00124.
- Guckenheimer, J. and Holmes, P.J. (1983). Nonlinear oscillations, dynamical systems and bifurcations of vector fields. Springer, New York.
- Kápolnai, R., Domokos, G., and Szeberényi, I. (2012). Generating spherical multiquadrangulations by restricted vertex splittings and the reducibility of equilibrium classes. *Periodica Polytechnica Electrical Engineering Computer Science*, 56(1): 11–20. https://doi.org/10.3311/PPee.7074.

- Krumbein, W.C. (Aug. 1941). Measurement and geological significance of shape and roundness of sedimentary particles. *Journal* of Sedimentary Research, 11(2): 64–72. https://doi.org/10.1306/ D42690F3-2B26-11D7-8648000102C1865D.
- Latham, J.-P., Munjiza, A., Garcia, X., Xiang, J., and Guises, R. (2008). Three-dimensional particle shape acquisition and use of shape library for DEM and FEM/DEM simulation. *Minerals Engineering*, 21(11): 797–805, ISSN: 0892-6875. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.mineng.2008.05.015.
- Ludmány, B. (2023a). Libpebble library. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10. 5281/zenodo.7599635. Version v1.0.0.
- Ludmány, B. (2023b). Pebble catalog source. Zenodo. https://doi. org/10.5281/zenodo.7609228. Version v1.0.0.
- Ludmány, B., Lángi, Z., and Domokos, G. (2021). Morse–Smale complexes on convex polyhedra, *CoRR. arXiv*: 2106.11626. https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.11626.
- Milnor, J.W. (1963). *Morse theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, pp. vi+153.
- Nicolaescu, L. (2008). Counting Morse functions on the 2-sphere. Compositio Mathematica, 144(5): 1081–1106. https://doi.org/ 10.1112/S0010437X08003680.
- Novák-Szabó, T., Sipos, A.Á., Shaw, S., Bertoni, D., Pozzebon, A., Grottoli, E., Sarti, G., Ciavola, P., Domokos, G., and Jerolmack, D.J. (2018). Universal characteristics of particle shape evolution by bed-load chipping. *Science Advances*, 4(3). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aao4946.
- Rodriguez, J.M., Edeskär, T., and Knutsson, S. (2013). Particle shape quantities and measurement techniques — a review. *The Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 18/A: 169–198.
- Steer, P., Guerit, L., Lague, D., Crave, A., and Gourdon, A. (2022). Size, shape and orientation matter: fast and semi-automatic measurement of grain geometries from 3D point clouds. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 10(6): 1211–1232. https://doi.org/10.5194/ esurf-10-1211-2022.
- Sun, Y., Indraratna, B., and Nimbalkar, S. (2014). Three-dimensional characterisation of particle size and shape for ballast. *Géotechnique Letters*, 4(3): 197–202. https://doi.org/10.1680/ geolett.14.00036.
- Szabó, T., Domokos, G., Grotzinger, J.P., and Jerolmack, D.J. (Oct. 2015). Reconstructing the transport history of pebbles on Mars. *Nature Communications*, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms9366.
- Várkonyi, P. and Domokos, G. (2006). Static equilibria of rigid bodies: dice, pebbles, and the poincare-hopf theorem. *Journal* of Nonlinear Science, 16: pp. 255–281. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00332-005-0691-8.
- Zingg, T. (1935). Beitrag zur Schotteranalyse. PhD thesis, ETH Zurich. https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-000103455.

**Open Access statement.** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited, a link to the CC License is provided, and changes – if any – are indicated. (SID\_1)

