

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Nuche J, Huertas S, Galán-Arriola C, López-Ayala P, Lobo M, Ibáñez B. Cardioprotective effect of the short-acting beta-blocker esmolol in experimental ischemia/reperfusion. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2022 Jun;75(6):527-529. English, Spanish. doi: 10.1016/j.rec.2021.11.009. Epub 2021 Dec 21. PMID: 34952825.

which has been published in final form at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rec.2021.11.009>

Cardioprotective effect of the short-acting betablocker Esmolol in experimental ischemia/reperfusion

Efecto cardioprotector del betabloqueante de acción ultracorta Esmolol en isquemia/reperfusión experimental

Jorge Nuche^{1,2,3}, MD; Sergio Huertas^{2,3}, MD; Carlos Galán-Arriola^{1,2}, PhD; Pedro López-Ayala², MD; Manuel Lobo^{2,4}, MD; Borja Ibáñez^{1,2,4,*}, MD PhD.

¹ Centro de Investigación Biomédica En Red de enfermedades CardioVasculares (CIBERCV), Madrid, Spain.

² Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares (CNIC), Madrid, Spain

³ Servicio de Cardiología, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre. Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital 12 de Octubre (imas12). Madrid, Spain.

⁴ Servicio de Cardiología, IIS-Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain

***Corresponding author:** Borja Ibáñez. Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares Carlos III (CNIC). Calle Melchor Fernández Almagro 3, 28029, Madrid (Spain). bibanez@cnic.es

Funding

This study was funded by a grant from the Spanish Society of Cardiology “Investigación Traslacional 2017”. J.N. is a recipient of a predoctoral grant “Jordi Soler Soler” from the CIBERCV. The CNIC is supported by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and the Pro CNIC Foundation, and is a Severo Ochoa Center of Excellence.

Cardioprotective effect of the short-acting betablocker Esmolol in experimental ischemia/reperfusion

The intravenous administration of metoprolol during ongoing ischemia has been demonstrated to be associated with smaller infarct size in animal models^{1,2} and in the METOCARD-CNIC clinical trial.³ Clinical practice guidelines recommend (IIa-A) the use of intravenous beta-blockers at the time of presentation in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) who are hemodynamically stable.⁴ However, in some cases, physicians do not prescribe i.v. betablockers to STEMI patients because of the fear that they can develop acute heart failure. There are betablockers with very short half-life that have the theoretical advantage that upon stopping infusion, its negative inotropic and chronotropic effects fade away within minutes. Given that not all betablockers are able to ameliorate ischemia/reperfusion injury,² there is a need for testing the cardioprotective abilities of short acting betablockers in a controlled experimental setting.

Esmolol is a highly beta1-selective, ultra-short acting betablocker with rapid onset of action (60 seconds) and which reaches steady state in 6 minutes after bolus administration. These pharmacological properties make esmolol a great candidate for its use in the setting of STEMI when hemodynamic instability is a potential concern. In this study we aimed to evaluate the infarct-limiting capacity of esmolol infusion during ongoing ischemia in a pig model of anterior STEMI. The benefits of esmolol were evaluated by state-of-the-art cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) performed at 2 different timepoint: 7 and 45 days after reperfusion. Primary endpoint of the study was day7 indexed infarct size (IS, extent of delayed gadolinium enhancement, normalized to area at risk). AAR was quantified by cardiac computed tomography (CT) performed during index LAD occlusion following a previously reported methodology⁵. Main secondary endpoints were, indexed infarct size at 45-day and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) on both CMR exams.

1 Before the actual ischemia/reperfusion experiments, a dose-response study with five
2 animals was conducted to determine the most appropriate esmolol infusion rate: that achieving a
3
4 10% reduction of heart rate without sustained hemodynamic instability (250 µg/kg/min).
5

6 Fifteen male Large-White pigs underwent 40 min left anterior descending (LAD)
7 coronary occlusion followed by reperfusion. Pigs were randomized to receive either esmolol
8 (n=8) or control (vehicle infusion, n=7), which were initiated (without bolus) 20 minutes after
9 LAD artery occlusion, and maintained for a total of 60 min (i.e. it was stopped 40 min after
10 reperfusion). Since the main objective of the study was to test the infarct-limiting properties of
11 esmolol, the infusion rate was not modified during the protocol, even in those pigs developing
12 hemodynamic instability.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Three animals (2 esmolol and 1 control) died during STEMI induction. The 2 esmolol
23 pigs died secondary to severe hemodynamic instability caused by esmolol infusion, while the
24 control died because of refractory ventricular fibrillation. Three additional pigs (2 esmolol and 1
25 control) died between day 7 and day 45 CMR.
26
27
28
29
30

31 CT-measured AAR was not different between groups (36.4%±6.1% vs 33.7±3.6% of LV
32 in esmolol and control respectively; p=0.385), Figure 1A. IS was significantly smaller in the
33 esmolol group both in 7-day (64.4±11.8% vs 84.1±9.4% of AAR; p=0.01) and in the 45-day CMR
34 (52.9±9.1% vs 71.5±12.7%; p=0.04), Figure 1B. Animals in the Esmolol group presented a non-
35 significant trend towards higher LVEF both at 7- and 45-day CMR (day 7: 39.7±4.1% vs.
36 34.0±6.2%; p=0.091; day 45: 43.4±6.6% vs. 35.3±11.8%; p=0.264), Figure 1C. There were no
37 differences neither in microvascular obstruction nor in edema extension.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 In this experimental setting, continuous esmolol infusion initiated during ongoing
50 ischemia was associated with smaller IS and with a trend towards improved LVEF. The
51 numerically higher death due to hemodynamic instability during index STEMI is explained by
52 the experimental protocol aimed at addressing the infarct-limiting properties of esmolol. We
53 speculate that in a clinical setting, these adverse effects will not occur since upon signs of acute
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 heart failure, infusion can be reduced or event stopped. Whether this tailored esmolol infusion
2 will reduce IS is unknown, but highly plausible. The loss of 50% of the animals in the esmolol
3
4 group and 29% in the control group represents the main limitation of this study. This experimental
5
6 study complements previous clinical studies suggesting that esmolol can protect the heart during
7
8 STEMI.⁶ Given that not all betablockers exert infarct limiting effects², the effects seen with
9
10 esmolol cannot be ascribed to other short-acting betablockers.

11
12
13 In conclusion, this study shows that esmolol infusion is able to reduce indexed IS in an
14
15 experimental AMI model and shows a trend towards LVEF improvement. However, there are
16
17 safety concerns regarding hemodynamic instability that requires further evaluation.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1
2 1. Garcia-Ruiz JM, Fernandez-Jimenez R, Garcia-Alvarez A, *et al.* Impact of the Timing of
3
4 Metoprolol Administration During STEMI on Infarct Size and Ventricular Function. *J Am Coll*
5
6 *Cardiol.* 2016;67:2093-104.
7
- 8 2. Clemente-Moragon A, Gomez M, Villena-Gutierrez R, *et al.* Metoprolol exerts a non-class
9
10 effect against ischaemia-reperfusion injury by abrogating exacerbated inflammation. *Eur Heart*
11
12 *J.* 2020;41:4425-4440.
13
- 14 3. Ibanez B, Macaya C, Sanchez-Brunete V, *et al.* Effect of Early Metoprolol on Infarct Size
15
16 in ST-Segment-Elevation Myocardial Infarction Patients Undergoing Primary Percutaneous
17
18 Coronary Intervention: The Effect of Metoprolol in Cardioprotection During an Acute
19
20 Myocardial Infarction (METOCARD-CNIC) Trial. *Circulation.* 2013;128:1495-1503.
21
22
- 23 4. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, *et al.* 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute
24
25 myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the
26
27 management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of
28
29 the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:119-177.
30
31
- 32 5. Fernandez-Jimenez R, Galan-Arriola C, Sanchez-Gonzalez J, *et al.* Effect of Ischemia
33
34 Duration and Protective Interventions on the Temporal Dynamics of Tissue Composition After
35
36 Myocardial Infarction. *Circ Res.* 2017;121:439-450.
37
38
- 39 6. Er F, Dahlem KM, Nia AM, *et al.* Randomized Control of Sympathetic Drive With
40
41 Continuous Intravenous Esmolol in Patients With Acute ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial
42
43 Infarction: The BETA-Blocker Therapy in Acute Myocardial Infarction (BEAT-AMI) Trial.
44
45 *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2016;9:231-240.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure Legends

Figure 1.

A: Area at risk (% of LV mass) on CT exam during index coronary occlusion; **B:** Indexed infarct size (% of area at risk with delayed gadolinium enhancement) on 7- and 45-day CMR. **C.** Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) at different timepoints.

Boxplots represent median and interquartile range. Circles represent individual data.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

