



### University of Groningen

## Age and sex differences in the effectiveness of intradialytic resistance training on muscle function

Zelko, Aurel; Rosenberger, Jaroslav; Kolarcik, Peter; Madarasova Geckova, Andrea; van Dijk, Jitse P.; Reijneveld, Sijmen A.

Published in: Scientific Reports

*DOI:* 10.1038/s41598-023-30621-z

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2023

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

*Citation for published version (APA):* Zelko, A., Rosenberger, J., Kolarcik, P., Madarasova Geckova, A., van Dijk, J. P., & Reijneveld, S. A. (2023). Age and sex differences in the effectiveness of intradialytic resistance training on muscle function. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1), Article 3491. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-30621-z

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# scientific reports



## **OPEN** Age and sex differences in the effectiveness of intradialytic resistance training on muscle function

Aurel Zelko<sup>1,2,3,8</sup>, Jaroslav Rosenberger<sup>1,2,4,5,6,8</sup>, Peter Kolarcik<sup>1,4,8</sup>, Andrea Madarasova Geckova<sup>1,4,7</sup>, Jitse P. van Dijk<sup>2,3,4</sup> & Sijmen A. Reijneveld<sup>3</sup>

Previous research shows the beneficial effects of an intradialytic resistance training (IRT) on muscle function in haemodialysis patients. However, patients vary highly in their functional responses to IRT, may be due to effects of age and sex heterogeneities in adaptation. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the degree to which the effects of IRT on the muscle function of haemodialysis patients vary by age and sex. We included 57 patients who completed a 12-week IRT (EXG) and 33 patients who received no IRT (CNG) during haemodialysis. Muscle function (MF) was assessed using dynamometry before and after a 12-week intervention and after a 12-week follow-up. After the 12-week intervention, we found a moderation effect of age in the relative (%) change (p = 0.011) and absolute ( $\Delta$ ) change (p = 0.027) of MF, and a moderation effect of sex in %MF (p = 0.001), but not in  $\Delta$ MF (p = 0.069). Regarding patients' age, the change of MF was only significantly different between EXG and CNG patients aged 60–70 years (%MF, EXG: + 34.6%, CNG: - 20.1%, p < 0.001; ΔMF, EXG: + 44.4 N, CNG: - 22.1 N, p < 0.001). Regarding patients' sex, the change of MF was only significantly different between EXG and CNG female patients (%MF, EXG: +23.9%, CNG: -23.6%, p < 0.001). Age and sex did not significantly moderate changes in MF measures after 12 weeks of follow-up. We conclude that both age and sex of haemodialysis patients affect their functional response to IRT in the short term.

Trial Registration: Intradialytic Resistance Training in Haemodialysis Patients (IRTHEP)— #NCT03511924, 30/04/2018, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03511924.

Haemodialysis and kidney disease have been shown to negatively affect patients' physical activity behaviour, physical functioning, musculoskeletal health, body composition and quality of life in haemodialysis patients (CKD-5D)<sup>1-3</sup>. The participation of kidney disease patients in regular physical activities is generally low, decreasing with kidney disease progression and reaching nadir in elderly CKD-5D patients<sup>1,2,4</sup>. Negative trends in patient's behaviour are manifested in decreased muscle mass and function, bone mineral density, quality of bone structure, and resulted in declined mobility, health-related quality of life, and survival rates during therapy<sup>2-7</sup>.

Intradialytic resistance training (IRT) positively affected physical functions, mobility, nutritional status, body composition, quality of life, dialysis-related clinical outcomes and mortality in CKD-5D patients<sup>8-12</sup>. IRT improved muscle functions (MF) of lower extremities, positively affects survival in CKD-5D patients, and the change in MF was associated with the presence of diabetes mellitus and microribonucleic acid expression profiles as detailed in our previous studies<sup>13-16</sup>. Besides clinical efficiency in the prevention of physical function decline, large inter-individual differences in the physiological response to IRT have been reported among CKD5-D

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Psychology and Research Methodology, Faculty of Medicine, Pavol Jozef Safarik University, 040 11 Kosice, Slovakia. <sup>2</sup>Graduate School Kosice Institute for Society and Health, Faculty of Medicine, Pavol Jozef Safarik University, 040 11 Kosice, Slovakia. <sup>3</sup>Department of Community and Occupational Medicine, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands. <sup>4</sup>Olomouc University Social Health Institute, Palacky University Olomouc, 771 11 Olomouc, Czech Republic. <sup>5</sup>2nd Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Pavol Jozef Safarik University, 040 11 Kosice, Slovakia. <sup>6</sup>Fresenius Medical Care-Dialysis Services Kosice, 040 11 Kosice, Slovakia. <sup>7</sup>Institute of Applied Psychology, Faculty of Social and Economic Sciences, Comenius University in Bratislava, 821 05 Bratislava, Slovakia. <sup>8</sup>These authors contributed equally: Aurel Zelko, Jaroslav Rosenberger and Peter Kolarcik. Memail: aurel.zelko@upjs.sk

patients<sup>17–19</sup>. The heterogeneity of findings regarding the effectiveness of IRT (on muscle functions) in CKD-5D patients may be due to individual differences in the physiological adaptation to resistance training.

Strong evidence exists for the beneficial effects of resistance training on muscle mass and function and several authors have concluded that acute responses and chronic adaptation to resistance training in healthy subjects vary by age<sup>20-23</sup> and by sex<sup>24-27</sup>. Therefore, age and sex differences in the effects of IRT may also exist among CKD-5D patients. In the general dialysis population, the volume and function of the skeletal muscle were lower in males than in females patients, and were negatively associated with age<sup>28-31</sup>. No differences were found between male and female CKD-5D patients in changes in body composition, muscle size, or muscular strength, after a 12-week resistance training intervention<sup>32</sup>. No differences were found in the change of physical functioning between the elderly and other age groups of patients after a walking exercise intervention<sup>33</sup>. In summary, the current evidence regarding age and sex heterogeneities in functional adaptation in CKD-5D patients is scarce and lacking<sup>34,35</sup>, and recommendations for intradialytic exercise are not specified by age and sex of patients<sup>36</sup>. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate whether age and sex moderated the effectiveness of IRT in CKD-5D patients.

### Methods

**Study design.** We conducted a quasi-experimental, two-group, pre-post comparative study with an intervention of 12 weeks and a 12-weeks follow-up in 2018 at three dialysis centres to assess the effects of IRT on the lower extremity MF among CKD-5D patients. A comprehensive description of the objectives, design, methods and analysis of this study is provided elsewhere<sup>37</sup>. The Ethics Committee of Pavol Jozef Safarik University in Kosice reviewed and approved the study protocol (Approval no. 14N/2017). All methods, assessments and data acquisition were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 and with the Good Clinical Practice Principles of the International Council for Harmonization. The study was registered in ClinicalTrials. gov on 30/04/2018 (NCT03511924).

**Subjects.** For the purpose of this study we assessed the eligibility of patients treated at three dialysis centres (two dialysis centres located in Kosice, one dialysis centre located in Banska Bystrica). We selected three centres to meet the expected patient numbers in the study groups, and took specifically these three because they had identical patients' treatment regimens and a similar age and gender distribution. The inclusion criteria were as follows: age above 30 years, diagnosed with stage 5 chronic kidney disease, history of maintenance dialysis therapy for at least the last 3 months. Exclusion criteria were lower extremity amputation, severe dementia or retardation, presence of acute intercurrent disease and the probability of 1-year mortality higher than 25% according to the Charlson Comorbidity Index<sup>38</sup>. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

**Sample size calculation.** For the purpose of this study, its statistical power was re-calculated with use of GPower 3.1<sup>\*</sup> (Heinrich-Heine-University, Düsseldorf, Germany). We used a priori F test for an analysis of variance, with ten subgroups (two interventions by three age and two sex categories), a power of 80% and an effect size (Cohen's f) of 0.40. We found that at least 64 patients totally are needed to detect differences in the change in MF by the intervention, age and sex.

**Patient allocation.** Patients attending dialysis therapy at both sites in Kosice were allocated to the experimental group (EXG, n = 57), while patients from the Banska Bystrica dialysis centre were allocated to the control group (CON, n = 33). After the allocation procedure, the investigatory team members and participating patients were informed about the group assignment structure<sup>16</sup>.

**Intervention period—experimental condition.** All EXG patients started the 12-week IRT programme according to clinical recommendations for exercise interventions in CKD-5D patients within a week after completion of the baseline assessments<sup>36</sup>. EXG subjects were asked to follow the prescribed IRT programme and not to make any significant lifestyle regimen and exercise behavioural changes during the time of the study, especially in the RT component. A detailed description of the methodology, periodization and progressivity of IRT applied in EXG patients is provided elsewhere<sup>37</sup>.

**Intervention period—control condition.** Patients allocated to the CNG received their standard nephrology care without any intervention increasing their physical activity during dialysis. These patients were requested to maintain their standard treatment regimen and to maintain their customary dietary and physical activity patterns, especially in the RT component. During the control period these patients received increased attention from the research team members but were physically inactive during haemodialysis sessions.

**Follow-up period.** All patients enrolled in the study underwent a 12-week follow-up period after the completion of the experimental or control condition. During the follow-up, the patients were instructed not to participate in any structured physical activity during dialysis.

**Measures—primary outcome.** We used muscle function (MF) a primary outcome, measured as the maximal force produced by the patient during isometric contraction of hip extensor muscles. During the assessments, patients were in a supine position with arms safely and comfortably placed on the bed. The patient held the dominant leg in a straightened position, while the dynamometer was placed proximally to the ankle on the posterior surface of the lower leg. The patients were instructed to perform a maximal isometric contraction and

hold it for 5 s. The tests were repeated within 30-s rest intervals, and the higher measured values of two consecutive tests were used for the analysis.

Before the assessments of MF took place, patients became familiar with test protocol and realized an exploratory set of the patient's MF assessments with emphasis on the proper execution of muscle contractions. At the consecutive dialysis session, maximal isometric contraction force during the extension of the lower limb at the hip joint was assessed using a hand-held dynamometer (Universal digital force gauge HF 500, SAUTER GmbH, Balingen, Germany). The range of the dynamometer analyser was set from 0 to 500 N, with a recording interval of 0.1 N. These assessments of maximal isometric contraction force have excellent interrater reliability and accuracy<sup>39-41</sup>. The accuracy of the device used for assessments of muscle function in our study was verified with standard weights and the margin of error was below 5%. The absolute changes ( $\Delta$ MF) of maximal isometric forces were calculated as post-intervention measure minus baseline measure and post-follow up measure minus baseline measure. The relative changes (%MF) of maximal isometric forces were calculated as the absolute value of post-intervention and post-follow up changes divided by baseline measure and multiplied by 100. All physical tests were administered by one member of the investigatory team (AZ).

**Measures—background variables.** We collected clinical measures (values registered from the last preceding serology and haematology tests) and body composition (patient's body weight and height) from the medical database. We calculated the body mass index (BMI) for all patients as body weight in kilograms divided by the body height in metres squared (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Patients' age in decimals of years and sex (male/female) were collected from the medical database. For the analysis of differences between age groups, we categorised patients younger than 60.0 years in the middle-aged patients group (MA). Patients with an age between 60.0 and 70.0 were categorised in the younger-old group (YO), and patients older than 70.0 years were categorised in the olderold group (OO).

Background variables regarding the physical activity behaviour were assessed during an investigator-patient interview before and after exposure to the experimental and control conditions<sup>13</sup>. Regarding the individual physical activity we measured patient-reported frequency, duration and type of physical activities following the instructions of the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire<sup>42</sup>. A patient was considered to be physically inactive if he or she reported less than  $3 \times 30$  min of moderate-intensity physical activity per week<sup>43</sup>.

Statistical analysis. First, we used the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to assessed data normality, and the Levene's test to analyse the homogeneity of variances in our database. Second, we assessed and quantified the flow and losses of subjects through the intervention and follow-up period of the study and briefly reported reasons for dropouts in each phase of the study according to the CONSORT statement recommendations<sup>44</sup>. Third, we assessed baseline primary outcome and background variables and compared them between the age and sex groups in EXG and CNG patients by one-way analysis of variance test. Data were presented as mean (M) ± standard deviation (SD). Fourth, we assessed whether effects of the experimental and control condition on the primary outcome ( $\Delta$ MF and %MF) is moderated by patient's sex or age, directly after the intervention and after the follow up period. We did so by adding these variables as moderator of group allocation in generalized linear model (GLM), and assessing the overall improvement of model fit based on that. We used the univariate GLM with the Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparisons to test main effects of allocation, age and sex (fixed factors), and moderation effects of allocation and age; and allocation and sex on the primary outcome (dependent value). Bonferroni post hoc tests were used to localize differences between the patients' allocation and age or sex groups. Estimates of effects were presented as mean differences with 95% confidence interval (95% CI). We performed the analyses on an intention-to-treat basis, i.e., always including all 90 patients who had been enrolled in the study and had completed the baseline assessments regarding the primary outcomes. Statistical significance was defined as a p value below 0.05. Data analyses were carried out using the statistical software package IBM SPSS 22.0 (Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

### Results

**Patient flow.** We screened all 198 patients of three dialysis centres and regarding the inclusion and exclusion criteria, through their nephrologists. We identified 126 eligible patients and informed them about the possibility to participate in the study. In the end, 90 patients agreed to participate and signed a written informed consent prior to the study. Patients treated at two dialysis centres located in Kosice were allocated to the experimental group (EXG, n = 57). Patients treated at the dialysis centre in Banska Bystrica were allocated to the control group (CNG, n = 33).

From the 57 patients initially included in the EXG, 22 patients discontinued participation in the study during the experimental condition. From the 33 patients who initially entered the CNG, four patients discontinued participation in the study during the control condition. During the 12-week follow-up, five patients in the EXG and one patient in the CNG dropped out due to mortality, transplantations, serious infections, personal decisions, and musculoskeletal issues. No adverse effects occurred during the application of exercise interventions or muscle strength assessments; see further the CONSORT flow diagram (Fig. 1)<sup>44</sup>. The resultant statistical power  $(1 - \beta \text{ error probability})$  of the study sample included in the data analysis was 0.93.

**Characteristics of the study participants.** Patients' baseline characteristics enrolled in the EXG and the CNG arm by age and sex are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The baseline assessments of the physical activity behaviour showed that 83 patients (92%) did not participate in customary physical activities.



**Figure 1.** The CONSORT flow diagram of patients summarising patients' eligibility assessment, enrolment and allocation into the experimental (EXG) and control group (CNG) of the study and distribution of patients regarding age and sex subgroups (*EC* experimental condition, *CC* control condition, *MA* middle-aged, *YO* younger-old, *OO* older-old group).

Differences in effects of 12-week intervention period on the primary outcome by age and sex. After 12-week intervention, we found a significant effect of the intervention on %MF ( $\eta^2$ =0.199, p=0.001,) and  $\Delta$ MF ( $\eta^2$ =0.137, p=0.004). Effects on both measures of MF were significantly greater in the EXG

	Experimental condition EXG (n = 57)			Control condition CNG (n=33)				
Variable	MA (n=25)	YO (n=21)	OO (n=11)	p value	MA (n=6)	YO (n=12)	OO (n=15)	p value
Age in years (M, SD)	47.6 (10.2)	65.5 (3.3)	76.2 (3.7)	< 0.001*	51.9 (5.1)	65.2 (3.1)	75.6 (4.5)	< 0.001*
Body weight in kg (M, SD)	77.9 (13.0)	81.8 (20.3)	68.7 (15.5)	0.111	63.3 (8.1)	75.5 (12.4)	68.0 (17.2)	0.202
Body mass index in kg/m <sup>2</sup> (M, SD)	26.6 (5.2)	28.1 (6.7)	25.2 (4.7)	0.384	22.8 (3.3)	26.2 (4.2)	24.2 (5.5)	0.326
Dialysis adequacy in Kt/V (M, SD)	1.5 (0.3)	1.6 (0.4)	1.6 (0.4)	0.859	2.0 (0.3)	1.9 (0.4)	2.1 (0.3)	0.499
Over-hydration index in % (M, SD)	11.8 (9.6)	12.2 (5.6)	12.0 (3.5)	0.983	9.9 (7.2)	14.3 (5.0)	11.3 (7.8)	0.360
C-reactive protein in mg/l (M, SD)	10.3 (14.7)	12.6 (13.8)	11.7 (5.2)	0.837	2.9 (3.5)	8.4 (9.2)	12.3 (14.3)	0.236
iPTH in pg/ml (M, SD)	495.4 (436.9.0)	362.9 (281.3)	196.2 (113.7)	0.057	186.2 (114.3)	467.5 (560.4)	370.7 (338.9)	0.407
Haemoglobin in g/l (M, SD)	112.0 (14.2)	112.0 (11.3)	112.2 (14.7)	0.999	114.8 (5.9)	111.8 (17.0)	113.2 (13.9)	0.913
Albumin in g/l (M, SD)	39.4 (2.6)	39.1 (3.2)	39.6 (2.0)	0.882	37.3 (1.0)	35.8 (5.7)	37.6 (4.4)	0.567
Ferritin in ng/ml (M, SD)	558.8 (451.7)	609.3 (466.7)	649.6 (749.3)	0.881	878.5 (355.1)	841.4 (358.1)	834.9 (352.8)	0.967
Phosphates in mml/l (M, SD)	1.8 (0.5)	1.6 (0.3)	1.9 (0.5)	0.078	1.4 (0.3)	1.5 (0.6)	1.4 (0.4)	0.710
Calcium in mmol/l (M, SD)	2.2 (0.2)	2.2 (0.2)	2.0 (0.3)	0.128	2.3 (0.1)	2.2 (0.1)	2.4 (0.1)	0.367
Potassium in mEq/l (M, SD)	5.1 (0.7)	5.0 (0.6)	5.5 (1.0)	0.167	5.5 (0.6)	5.1 (0.8)	5.1 (1.0)	0.583
Sodium in mEq/l (M, SD)	138.2 (3.8)	138.0 (2.4)	138.0 (2.9)	0.949	138.7 (2.7)	137.8 (2.2)	138.6 (2.9)	0.664
Hip extension in N (M, SD)	178.6 (55.0)	171.3 (66.3)	113.7 (53.4)	0.011#	160.3 (57.0)	147.8 (52.6)	133.8 (36.5)	0.476

**Table 1.** Baseline patient characteristics, by arm and age. *iPTH* intact parathyroid hormone, N Newton,*EXG* experimental group, CNG control group, MA middle-aged, YO younger-old, OO older-old group. Dataare presented as mean (M) ± standard deviation (SD), p values determined by analysis of variance tests.\*Differences between groups significant at p < 0.05. \*Differences between groups significant at p < 0.001.

	Experimental condition EXG (n=57)			Control condition CNG (n=33)			
Variable	Females (n = 19)	Males (n=38)	p value	Females (n = 16)	Males (n = 17)	p value	
Age in years (M, SD)	64.6 (14.2)	57.3 (12.6)	0.055	69.2 (10.1)	65.9 (9.4)	0.332	
Body weight in kg (M, SD)	75.2 (19.6)	78.8 (15.5)	0.449	64.7 (14.1)	74.7 (13.8)	0.046#	
Body mass index in kg/m <sup>2</sup> (M, SD)	28.5 (7.3)	26.1 (4.6)	0.122	24.6 (5.2)	24.8 (4.5)	0.932	
Dialysis adequacy in Kt/V (M, SD)	1.8 (0.3)	1.5 (0.3)	0.001 <sup>†</sup>	2.2 (0.3)	1.8 (0.3)	0.002†	
Over-hydration index in % (M, SD)	10.4 (4.5)	12.7 (8.3)	0.260	12.3 (7.4)	12.0 (6.4)	0.923	
C-reactive protein in mg/l (M, SD)	8.1 (4.6)	13.1 (15.3)	0.175	9.1 (13.2)	9.3 (10.1)	0.959	
iPTH in pg/ml (M, SD)	480.7.8 (424.3)	342.9 (308.4)	0.167	491.8 (554.6)	259.9 (155.8)	0.108	
Haemoglobin in g/l (M, SD)	109.8 (12.8)	113.2 (13.3)	0.361	108.1 (10.4)	117.6 (15.3)	0.048#	
Albumin in g/l (M, SD)	38.8 (3.1)	3739.6 (2.5)	0.317	36.2 (4.9)	37.5 (4.1)	0.403	
Ferritin in ng/ml (M, SD)	695.2 (544.8)	627.6 (452.0)	0.304	932.4 (248.1)	763.1 (405.6)	0.161	
Phosphates in mml/l (M, SD)	1.7 (0.4)	1.8 (0.5)	0.679	1.5 (0.5)	1.5 (0.4)	0.905	
Calcium in mmol/l (M, SD)	2.1 (0.2)	2.2 (0.3)	0.387	2.4 (0.1)	2.3 (0.1)	0.003 <sup>†</sup>	
Potassium in mEq/l (M, SD)	5.4 (0.8)	5.0 (0.7)	0.056	5.1 (1.0)	5.3 (0.6)	0.489	
Sodium in mEq/l (M, SD)	138.6 (3.5)	137.8 (2.9)	0.354	138.6 (2.0)	138.1 (3.1)	0.589	
Hip extension in N (M, SD)	113.1 (52.2)	188.5 (52.5)	< 0.001*	122.0 (32.6)	164.1 (48.7)	0.007 <sup>†</sup>	

**Table 2.** Baseline patient characteristics, by arm and sex. *iPTH* intact parathyroid hormone, *N* Newton, *EXG* experimental group, *CNG* control group. Data are presented as mean (M)  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), *p* values determined by analysis of variance tests. <sup>#</sup>Differences between groups significant at p<0.05. <sup>†</sup>Differences between groups significant at p<0.001.

compared to the CNG group (%MF: difference 25.2%, 95% CI = 11.8 to 38.6%, p = 0.001;  $\Delta$ MF: difference 28.9 N, 95% CI = 9.7–48.1 N, p = 0.004).

We found a significant effect of age on the effect of the intervention on of %MF ( $\eta^2 = 0.145$ , p = 0.011) and on  $\Delta$ MF ( $\eta^2 = 0.119$ , p = 0.027). Both measures of MF change differed significantly between EXG and CNG in YO patients (%MF: difference = 54.7%, 95% CI = + 32.2 to + 77.1%, p < 0.001;  $\Delta$ MF: difference = 66.5 N, 95% CI = + 34.3 to + 98.7 N, p < 0.001; see Fig. 2A,B). However, they did not differ in MA (%MF: difference = 10.2%, 95% CI = - 14.5 to + 35.0%, p = 0.441;  $\Delta$ MF: difference = 9.9 N, 95% CI = - 25.5 to + 45.4 N, p = 0.577; see Fig. 2A,B) and neither in OO patients (%MF: difference = 10.8%, 95% CI = - 12.8 to + 34.4\%, p = 0.364;  $\Delta$ MF: difference = 10.2 N, 95% CI = - 23.6 to + 44.0 N, p = 0.548; see Fig. 2A,B).

We found a significant effect of sex on the effect of the intervention in the change of %MF ( $\eta^2 = 0.165$ , p = 0.001), however not for change of  $\Delta$ MF ( $\eta^2 = 0.057$ , p = 0.069). The %MF change differed significantly between EXG and CNG female patients (%MF: difference = 47.5%, 95% CI = 27.7 to 67.3%, p < 0.001), but not between





**Figure 2.** Relative (**A**) and absolute (**B**) changes in muscle function by patients' allocation and age after the 12-week intervention (*CNG* control condition, *EXG* experimental condition). Data were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. \*Differences between groups significant at p < 0.001. p values calculated for intention-to-treat analysis (n = 90).

EXG and CNG male patients (%MF: difference = 3.0%, 95% CI = -14.9 to + 20.8%, p = 0.741, see Fig. 3A,B). Differences in the changes of %MF and  $\Delta$ MF in the CNG and EXG patients, by age and sex, are presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

Differences in effects of 12-week follow-up on the primary outcome by age and sex. We did not find a significant effect of age (%MF:  $\eta^2 = 0.105$ , p = 0.053;  $\Delta$ MF:  $\eta^2 = 0.075$ , p = 0.128), and neither of sex (%MF:  $\eta^2 = 0.056$ , p = 0.082;  $\Delta$ MF:  $\eta^2 = 0.043$ , p = 0.127), on the effect of 12-week follow-up in the changes of %MF and  $\Delta$ MF.



**Figure 3.** Relative (**A**) and absolute (**B**) changes in muscle function by patients' allocation and sex after the 12-week intervention (*CNG* control condition, *EXG* experimental condition). Data were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. \*Differences between groups significant at p<0.001. p values calculated for intention-to-treat analysis (n=90).

Group	Middle aged	Younger old	Older old	Female	Male
EXG	+7.4 (5.7)	+ 34.6 (8.2)	+3.2 (9.4)	+23.9 (7.0)	+6.2 (5.7)
CNG	-2.8 (10.9)	-20.1 (7.7)	-7.6 (7.1)	-23.6 (7.1)	+3.3 (6.9)
Mean difference	10.2 (12.3)	54.7* (11.2)	10.8 (11.8)	47.5* (9.9)	3.0 (8.9)
95% CI	-14.5 to +35.0	+ 32.2 to + 77.1	-12.8 to +34.4	+ 27.7 to + 67.3	-14.9 to +20.8

**Table 3.** Comparison of differences in relative change of muscle function and 95% confidence intervals (CI) from baseline to first post-measurement, between the experimental and control group, regarding patient's age and sex. Data are presented as means (relative changes in muscle function) and standard deviations. EXG, experimental group; CNG, control group. p-value calculated for intention-to-treat analysis (n=90, nEXG=57, nCNG=33). Difference between groups significant at p < 0.001 is marked by \*.

Group	Middle aged	Younger old	Older old	Female	Male
EXG	+4.9 (8.2)	+44.4 (11.7)	-2.1 (13.5)	+22.0 (10.0)	+9.4 (8.2)
CNG	-5.1 (15.7)	-22.1 (11.0)	-12.3 (10.2)	-24.5 (10.1)	-1.8 (9.8)
Mean difference	9.9 (17.7)	66.5* (16.1)	10.2 (16.9)	46.5 (14.2)	11.2 (12.8)
95% CI	-25.6 to +45.4	+34.3 to +98.7	-23.6 to +44.0	+18.1 to +75.0	-14.4 to +36.9

**Table 4.** Comparison of differences in absolute change of muscle function and 95% confidence intervals (CI) from baseline to first post-measurement, between the experimental and control group, regarding patient's age and sex. Data are presented as means (absolute changes in muscle function) and standard deviations. EXG, experimental group; CNG, control group. p-value calculated for intention-to-treat analysis (n = 90, nEXG = 57, nCNG = 33). Difference between groups significant at p < 0.001 is marked by \*.

### Discussion

IRT positively affects MF in CKD-5D patients. We found that the beneficial effects of IRT on MF manifested only in YO and female CKD-5D patients.

We found that the intervention had effects on %MF and  $\Delta$ MF in YO patients, but did not find such differences in effects on MF measures for MA and OO patients. A possible explanation for this age-dependency of effects may be that the prescribed IRT and its progressivity were really suited for YO patients but did not suit for MA and OO patients. In the OO patients, the relatively frequent cardiovascular and metabolic comorbidities may have lowered their functional adaptability to IRT<sup>45,46</sup>. This partially aligns with some previous reports on CKD-5D patients that found beneficial effects of exercise on MF in patients aged above 60 and 65 years<sup>33,47,48</sup> and with reports of no improvements in lower extremity muscle strength after intradialytic exercise in patients aged above 70 and above 80 years<sup>49,50</sup>. However, other studies reported no age-differences in functional adaptation after intradialytic- and home-based exercise in CKD-5D patients<sup>19,44</sup>. A first explanation of these discrepancies might regard the different shares of age groups in the various studies. The studies that reported no age differences included experimental subjects with a mean age 68 ± 13 years, and 72 (69-79) years; and control subjects in mean age of  $68 \pm 11$  years, and 76 (69–78) years, respectively<sup>19,44</sup>. In our study, we included experimental subjects with a mean age of  $60 \pm 13$  years and control subjects with a mean age of  $68 \pm 10$  years. This lower average age of our patients might be a source of the contrasting conclusions. A second explanation regards the type of physical activity intervention as assessed. The studies that reported no age-heterogeneity used a combination of aerobic and resistance exercise as the intervention. In contrast, we applied resistance training as the intervention which may be more effective in CKD-5D patients.

We found that the intervention had effects in female patients, but not in male patients. This is in contrast with the findings of previous studies on changes in MF among patients with chronic kidney disease, which reported no sex-related differences in MF change<sup>51</sup> and beneficial effects in male dialysis patients but not in female<sup>52</sup>. A reason could be that we included patients diagnosed with stage 5 CKD on maintenance haemodialysis therapy, whereas the previous study included stage 4 and 5 CKD patients who were on pre-dialysis therapy<sup>51,52</sup>. The higher severity of the disease and application of maintenance haemodialysis therapy in patients enrolled in our study might have contributed to different conclusions regarding the role of patients' sex in functional adaptation. Alternatively, this may simply be a chance finding, given that one study reports no sex differences, a second one effectiveness in males and ours effectiveness in females. Evidently, this requires further study.

This study has several important strengths. First, we carried out procedures during the haemodialysis therapy, which enabled us to obtain more realistic data in a reproducible design. Second, the allocation of patients into the EXG and CNG groups according to the geographical location of care-providing dialysis centres minimised the likelihood of contamination of the CNG subjects by the intervention throughout the study.

Our study also has some limitations, however. First, we used a quasi-experimental design with the allocation of patients into arms based on the dialysis centre location, which may have led to differing samples per arm. However, to control baseline imbalances between subgroups in body weight, dialysis adequacy, haemoglobin, calcium, we analysed differences in primary outcome measures using models adjusted for these patients' characteristics. This hardly affected our findings. Second, assessors of the outcome measures were not blinded, which may have caused information bias. However, the assessments were highly standardised, limiting the potential effect of this. Third, we used hand-held dynamometry for MF measures assessments. Compared to isokinetic dynamometry, handheld dynamometry is a less reliable diagnostic instrument, which may have produced differences in MF assessments between male and female healthy subjects, potentially leading to bias<sup>53</sup>. However, another study reported a high reliability and validity for the assessment of MF by handheld dynamometry in female and male CKD-5D patients<sup>54</sup>. Fourth, we did not assess the muscle quantity in CKD-5D patients, and therefore we were not able to report associations between observed age- and sex-related heterogeneity in the change of MF measures and muscle tissue structure indicators. Fifth, the proportion of YO female patients was lower in EXG (15%) compared to CNG (23%), and the proportion of YO patients was lower in females (19%) compared to males (41%). However, we found that all reported differences between subgroups were not statistically significant.

Patients' age and sex play an important role in the response of MF to IRT. We found that the IRT is much more effective in YO patients and in female patients, implying special attention is needed for organisation of exercise interventions for MA and OO patients and male patients. This implies that age and gender should be considered regarding individual intensity, duration and frequency prescriptions for RT. Regarding other implications of our results for clinical practice, our study provided interesting evidence about assessment of muscle function among CKD-5D patients. The associations between patients' physical functions, mortality and importance of physical performance assessments in CKD-5D patients are well described<sup>55–57</sup>. However, assessment methods of physical function applied in clinical practice differs in applicability and accuracy<sup>58</sup>. We found different effect sizes for age and sex between the measures of %MF and  $\Delta$ MF. Both measures of MF change were moderated by allocation and age, however only %MF was moderated by allocation and sex. It may be assumed that both calculation methods of MF changes are feasible for CKD-5D patients; however assessment of patients' %MF may provide more sex-specific information on functional adaptation after physical interventions.

Future research might focus on the effectiveness of exercise prescriptions tailored to the CKD-5D patients' characteristics<sup>2,19,36</sup>. Furthermore, the functional assessments for age and sex heterogeneity analyses in CKD-5D patients might be realized by isokinetic dynamometry and after the application of other types of exercise and nutritional interventions<sup>46</sup>.

### Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available in the ZENODO repository, at: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7019159; reference number: 7019159.

Received: 2 November 2022; Accepted: 27 February 2023 Published online: 01 March 2023

### References

- Wilkinson, T. J. et al. Prevalence and correlates of physical activity across kidney disease stages: An observational multicentre study. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 36, 641–649. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfz235 (2021).
- Zelle, D. M. et al. Physical inactivity: A risk factor and target for intervention in renal care. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 13, 152–168. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nrneph.2016.187 (2017).
- Battaglia, Y. et al. Ultrasonography of quadriceps femoris muscle and subcutaneous fat tissue and body composition by BIVA in chronic dialysis patients. Nutrients 12, 1388. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12051388 (2020).
- Kim, J. C., Young Do, J. & Kang, S. H. Comparisons of physical activity and understanding of the importance of exercise according to dialysis modality in maintenance dialysis patients. Sci. Rep. 11, 21487. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-00924-0 (2021).
- Leonard, M. B. *et al.* A multi-imaging modality study of bone density, bone structure and the muscle-bone unit in end-stage renal disease. *Bone* 127, 271–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2019.05.022 (2019).
- Kitamura, M. et al. The impact of muscle mass loss and deteriorating physical function on prognosis in patients receiving hemodialysis. Sci. Rep. 11, 22290. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01581-z (2021).
- McIntyre, C. W. et al. Patients receiving maintenance dialysis have more severe functionally significant skeletal muscle wasting than patients with dialysis-independent chronic kidney disease. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 21, 2210–2216. https://doi.org/10.1093/ ndt/gfl064 (2006).
- Rosa, C. S. C. *et al.* Effect of continuous progressive resistance training during hemodialysis on body composition, physical function and quality of life in end-stage renal disease patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Clin. Rehabil.* 32, 899–908. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215518760696 (2018).
- Rhee, S. Y. et al. Intradialytic exercise improves physical function and reduces intradialytic hypotension and depression in hemodialysis patients. Korean J. Intern. Med. 34, 588–598. https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.2017.020 (2019).
- Lopes, L. C. C. et al. Intradialytic resistance training improves functional capacity and lean mass gain in individuals on hemodialysis: A randomized pilot trial. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 100, 2151–2158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2019.06.006 (2019).
- 11. Corrêa, H. L. *et al.* Resistance training improves sleep quality, redox balance and inflammatory profile in maintenance hemodialysis patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 11708. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68602-1 (2020).
- Exel, A. L. et al. Effectiveness of a resistance exercise program for lower limbs in chronic renal patients on hemodialysis: A randomized controlled trial. Hemodial. Int. https://doi.org/10.1111/hdi.12918 (2021).
- Spakova, I. et al. MicroRNA molecules as predictive biomarkers of adaptive responses to strength training and physical inactivity in haemodialysis patients. Sci. Rep. 10, 15597. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72542-1 (2020).
- Hudacek, M., Zelko, A. & Rosenberger, J. Intradialytic resistance training has positive effect on survival in hemodialysis patients independently of their nutritional status measured by body composition monitoring. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 36(Supp 1), gfab102.0015. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfab102.0015 (2021).
- Kissova, V., Zelko, A., Rosenberger, J. & Geckova, A. M. The role of diabetes mellitus in the effectiveness of intradialytic exercise intervention on patients' muscle function. *Endocrinol. Diabetes Nutr. (Engl Ed)* 69, 112–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endien.2022. 02.012 (2022).
- Zelko, A. *et al.* The effects of an intradialytic resistance training on lower extremity muscle functions. *Disabil. Rehabil.* 44, 275–281. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2020.1766581 (2022).
- Baker, L. A. et al. Clinical practice guideline exercise and lifestyle in chronic kidney disease. BMC Nephrol. 23, 75. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12882-021-02618-1 (2022).

- Wilund, K. R., Viana, J. L. & Perez, L. M. A critical review of exercise training in hemodialysis patients: Personalized activity prescriptions are needed. *Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev.* 48, 28–39. https://doi.org/10.1249/JES.000000000000209 (2020).
- Valenzuela, P. L. et al. Intradialytic exercise: One size doesn't fit all. Front. Physiol. 9, 844. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00844 (2018).
- Lemmer, J. T. et al. Age and gender responses to strength training and detraining. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 32, 1505–1512. https:// doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200008000-00021 (2000).
- Psatha, M. et al. Age-related changes in the effects of strength training on lower leg muscles in healthy individuals measured using MRI. BMJ Open Sport Exerc. Med. 3, e000249. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2017-000249 (2017).
- 22. Negaresh, R. *et al.* The effect of resistance training on quadriceps muscle volume and some growth factors in elderly and young men. *Adv. Gerontol.* **30**, 880–887 (2017).
- Phillips, B. E., Williams, J. P., Greenhaff, P. L., Smith, K. & Atherton, P. J. Physiological adaptations to resistance exercise as a function of age. JCI Insight 2, e95581. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.95581 (2017).
- Ansdell, P. et al. Physiological sex differences affect the integrative response to exercise: Acute and chronic implications. Exp. Physiol. 105, 2007–2021. https://doi.org/10.1113/EP088548 (2020).
- Jones, M. D., Wewege, M. A., Hackett, D. A., Keogh, J. & Hagstrom, A. D. Sex differences in adaptations in muscle strength and size following resistance training in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Med. 51, 503–517. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s40279-020-01388-4 (2021).
- Melnyk, J. A., Rogers, M. A. & Hurley, B. F. Effects of strength training and detraining on regional muscle in young and older men and women. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 105, 929–938. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-008-0979-0 (2009).
- Da Boit, M. et al. Sex differences in the response to resistance exercise training in older people. Physiol. Rep. 4, e12834. https://doi. org/10.14814/phy2.12834 (2016).
- Constantin-Teodosiu, D. et al. Gender and age differences in plasma carnitine, muscle strength, and exercise tolerance in haemodialysis patients. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 17, 1808–1813. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/17.10.1808 (2002).
- Isoyama, N. et al. Comparative associations of muscle mass and muscle strength with mortality in dialysis patients. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 9, 1720–1728. https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.10261013 (2014).
- Liu, X. *et al.* Gender-specific associations of skeletal muscle mass and arterial stiffness among peritoneal dialysis patients. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 1351. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19710-6 (2018).
- Uchiyama, K. et al. Exercise capacity and association with Quality of Life in peritoneal dialysis patients. Perit. Dial. Int. 39, 66–73. https://doi.org/10.3747/pdi.2018.00075 (2019).
- Johansen, K. L. et al. Effects of resistance exercise training and nandrolonedecanoate on body composition and muscle function among patients who receive hemodialysis: A randomized, controlled trial. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 17, 2307–2314. https://doi.org/10. 1681/ASN.2006010034 (2006).
- Baggetta, R. et al. Effect of a home based, low intensity, physical exercise program in older adults dialysis patients: A secondary analysis of the EXCITE trial. BMC Geriatr. 18, 248. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-018-0938-5 (2018).
- Souweine, J. S. et al. Dynapaenia and sarcopaenia in chronic haemodialysis patients: Do muscle weakness and atrophy similarly influence poor outcome?. Nephrol. Dial. Transplant. 36, 1908–1918. https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfaa353 (2021).
- Cha, R. H., Lee, G. S., Yoo, J. Y., Rhee, O. B. & Jeon, Y. D. Hand grip and leg muscle strength in hemodialysis patients and its determinants. J. Korean Med. Sci. 36, e76. https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e76 (2021).
- Smart, N. A. et al. Exercise and Sports Science Australia (ESSA) position statement on exercise and chronic kidney disease. J. Sci. Med. Sport. 16, 406–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2013.01.005 (2013).
- Zelko, A. *et al.* The effects of intradialytic resistance training on muscle strength, psychological well-being, clinical outcomes and circulatory micro-ribonucleic acid profiles in haemodialysis patients: Protocol for a quasi-experimental study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 98, e15570. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000015570 (2019).
- Charlson, M. E., Pompei, P., Ales, K. L. & MacKenzie, C. R. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. J. Chronic Dis. 40, 373–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8 (1987).
- Mentiplay, B. F. et al. Assessment of lower limb muscle strength and power using hand-held and fixed dynamometry: A reliability and validity study. PLoS One 10, e0140822. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140822 (2015).
- Chamorro, C., Armijo-Olivo, S., De la Fuente, C., Fuentes, J. & Javier Chirosa, L. Absolute reliability and concurrent validity of hand held dynamometry and isokinetic dynamometry in the hip, knee and ankle joint: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Open Med. (Wars) 12, 359–375. https://doi.org/10.1515/med-2017-0052 (2017).
- Grootswagers, P. et al. Relative validity and reliability of isometric lower extremity strength assessment in older adults by using a handheld dynamometer. Sports Health. https://doi.org/10.1177/19417381211063847 (2022).
- Armstrong, T. & Bull, F. Development of the World Health Organization Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ). J. Public Health 14, 66–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-006-0024-x (2006).
- Thivel, D. et al. Physical activity, inactivity, and sedentary behaviors: Definitions and implications in occupational health. Front. Public Health 6, 288. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00288 (2018).
- Schulz, K. F., Altman, D. G., Moher, D., CONSORT Group. CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ 340, 332. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c332 (2010).
- Endo, Y., Nourmahnad, A. & Sinha, I. Optimizing skeletal muscle anabolic response to resistance training in aging. *Front. Physiol.* 11, 874. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.00874 (2020).
- Garibotto, G. et al. How to overcome anabolic resistance in dialysis-treated patients?. Front. Nutr. 8, 701386. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fnut.2021.701386 (2021).
- Cha, R. H. & Lee, G. S. Steady exercise improves hand grip and leg muscle strength in hemodialysis patients. J. Exerc. Rehabil. 17, 435–443. https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.2142616.308 (2021).
- Matsuzawa, R. et al. Exercise training in elderly people undergoing hemodialysis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Kidney Int. Rep. 2, 1096–1110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2017.06.008 (2017).
- 49. Esteve Simo, V. *et al.* Benefits of a low intensity exercise programme during haemodialysis sessions in elderly patients. *Nefrologia* 35, 385–394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefro.2015.03.006 (2015).
- Yabe, H. et al. Effects of intradialytic exercise for advanced-age patients undergoing hemodialysis: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS One 16, e0257918. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257918 (2021).
- Uchiyama, K. *et al.* Home-based aerobic exercise and resistance training for severe chronic kidney disease: A randomized controlled trial. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 12, 1789–1802. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12775 (2021).
- Hiraki, K. et al. Effects of home-based exercise on pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease patients: A randomized pilot and feasibility trial. BMC Nephrol. 18, 198. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0613-7 (2017).
- 53. Katoh, M. *et al.* Isometric knee muscle strength measurement using a belt-stabilized hand-held dynamometer and an isokinetic dynamometer with and without trunk fixation: Investigation of agreement of measurement values and factors influencing measurement. J. Phys. Ther. Sci. 31, 878–883. https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.31.878 (2019).
- Perez-Dominguez, B. et al. Test-retest reliability, validity, and minimal detectable change of the measurement of lower limb muscular strength with handheld dynamometry in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Int. J. Nephrol. 2022, 5330608. https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2022/5330608 (2022).

- Notomi, S. *et al.* The impact of muscle mass loss and deteriorating physical function on prognosis in patients receiving hemodialysis. *Sci. Rep.* 11, 22290. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01581-z (2021).
- Vanden Wyngaert, K. *et al.* The importance of physical performance in the assessment of patients on haemodialysis: A survival analysis. *PLoS One* 17, e0268115. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0268115 (2022).
- Yoshikoshi, S. *et al.* Associations between dynapenia, cardiovascular hospitalizations, and all-cause mortality among patients on haemodialysis. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* 13, 2417–2425. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.13039 (2022).
- Carrero, J. J. et al. Screening for muscle wasting and dysfunction in patients with chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int. 90, 53-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2016.02.025 (2016).

### Acknowledgements

We appreciate the co-operation of the representatives and staff of the dialysis centres involved in the implementation of this study. Special acknowledgements go to Peter Mizla MD and Peter Javorsky MD for their organisational support during the study planning and implementation.

### Author contributions

A.Z., J.R., P.K., A.M.G., J.P.D. and S.A.R. conceptualised and designed the work. A.Z., J.R., P.K., A.M.G., J.P.D. and S.A.R. formally analysed research data. A.Z. and J.R. acquired funding for the work. A.Z., J.R., P.K., A.M.G., J.P.D. and S.A.R. formulated and supervised the research methodology. A.Z., J.R. and P.K. realised project administration. A.Z., J.R. and P.K. realised investigation and research data acquisition. A.Z., J.R., P.K., A.M.G., J.P.D. and S.A.R. validated, analysed and interpreted research data. A.Z., J.R., P.K., A.M.G., J.P.D. and S.A.R. validated, analysed and interpreted research data. A.Z., J.R., P.K., A.M.G., J.P.D. and S.A.R. validated, encoded in the manuscript (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4; Figs. 1, 2, 3). A.Z., J.R., P.K., A.M.G., J.P.V. and S.A.R. wrote (drafted, edited and reviewed) the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript, approved the submitted version and agreed to be personally accountable for the author's contributions and to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work.

### Funding

This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under Contract no. APVV-16-0490 and was supported by the Internal Research Grant System of Pavol Jozef Safarik University under Contract no. VVGS-2019-1069. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

### Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023