

Citation: Haas CB, Jordahl KM, Nance RM, Whitney BM, Wang L, Delaney JAC, et al. (2023) Assessing the associations between known genetic variants and substance use in people with HIV in the United States. PLoS ONE 18(10): e0292068. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292068

Editor: Suda Parimala Ravindran, Emory University, UNITED STATES

Received: May 11, 2023

Accepted: September 5, 2023

Published: October 5, 2023

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: As the data contain sensitive personal information, access has been restricted by the University of Alabama-Birmingham board of ethics. Inquires regarding access to datasets or specimens are done using specific web forms available on the CNICS website. Questions may be forwarded to the CNICS Program Coordinator (Ms. Mary Thielen, mthielen@uabmc.edu) or the authors.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (R01HL125027

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Assessing the associations between known genetic variants and substance use in people with HIV in the United States

Cameron B. Haas¹*, Kristina M. Jordahl¹, Robin M. Nance², Bridget M. Whitney², Lu Wang³, Joseph A. C. Delaney⁴, Stephanie Ruderman¹, Tongqiu Jia¹, Wm. Christopher Mathews⁵, Michael S. Saag⁶, Sulggi A. Lee⁷, Sonia Napravnik⁸, Jeffrey M. Jacobson⁹, Geetanjali Chander^{2,10}, Elizabeth M. McCall¹⁰, Richard D. Moore¹⁰, Kenneth H. Mayer¹¹, Shubhabrata Mukherjee², Won Jun Lee¹², Paul K. Crane², Heidi Crane², Inga Peter¹², Sara Lindström^{1,13}

Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America,
Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America,
Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America,
Department and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America,
University of Manitoba, Max Rady College of Medicine, Manitoba, Canada,
Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States of America,
Department of Medicine, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States of America,
Department of Medicine, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States of America,
Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, United States of America,
Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States of America,
Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, United States of America,
Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, United States of America,

* Cameron.b.haas@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

The prevalence of substance use in people with HIV (PWH) in the United States is higher than in the general population and is an important driver of HIV-related outcomes. We sought to assess if previously identified genetic associations that contribute to substance use are also observed in a population of PWH.

Methods

We performed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of alcohol, smoking, and cannabis use phenotypes in a multi-ancestry population of 7,542 PWH from the Center for AIDS Research Network of Integrated Clinical Systems (CNICS). We conducted multi-ancestry GWAS for individuals of African (n = 3,748), Admixed American (n = 1,334), and European (n = 2,460) ancestry. Phenotype data were self-reported and collected using patient reported outcomes (PROs) and three questions from AUDIT-C, an alcohol screening tool. We analyzed nine phenotypes: 1) frequency of alcohol consumption, 2) typical number of drinks on a day when drinking alcohol, 3) frequency of five or more alcoholic drinks in a 30-

and R01HL126538), National Human Genome Research Institute (R01HG010649), National Institute on Drug Abuse (R01DA047045), and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R24AI067039, P30AI027757, and P30AI027767). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, publication, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: KMJ was employed by Bristol-Myers Squibb during the drafting of this manuscript. This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. All other authors report no conflicts of interest to disclose. day period, 4) smoking initiation, 5) smoking cessation, 6) cigarettes per day, 7) cannabis use initiation, 8) cannabis use cessation, 9) frequency of cannabis use during the previous 30 days. For each phenotype we considered a) variants previously identified as associated with a substance use trait and b) novel associations.

Results

We observed evidence for effects of previously reported single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) related to alcohol (rs1229984, p = 0.001), tobacco (rs11783093, p = 2.22E-4), and cannabis use (rs2875907, p = 0.005). We also report two novel loci (19p13.2, p = 1.3E-8; and 20p11.21, p = 2.1E-8) associated with cannabis use cessation.

Conclusions

Our analyses contribute to understanding the genetic bases of substance use in a population with relatively higher rates of use compared to the general population.

Introduction

Substance use is both a risk factor for acquiring HIV and a prevalent behavior among people with HIV (PWH) [1]. It is associated with poorer outcomes along the HIV Care Cascade including delayed initiation of and reduced adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART), increased risks of HIV transmission and progression, and increased risk of adverse HIV-associated outcomes[1–5]. Over the last 25 years there has been a decline in HIV-related morbidity and mortality which has been attributed to ART allowing most PWH to live lifespans similar to those of the general population [6, 7]. While ~90% of PWH now achieve an undetectable viral load [3], substance use is one of the challenges impacting many of the 10% who have detectable viral loads.

In the general population, more than 400 genetic loci have been identified as influencing tobacco and alcohol use phenotypes, including initiation, cessation, and frequency of consumption [8]. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based heritability estimates based on up to 1.2 million individuals range from 4% for number of alcoholic drinks per week to 8% for smoking initiation and number of cigarettes per day [8]. Substance use phenotypes have been shown to be genetically correlated [8, 9], with 60% shared heritability for lifetime cannabis and tobacco use, 36% shared heritability for lifetime cannabis and drinks per week, and 34% shared heritability for smoking initiation and drinks per week. However, previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of lifetime cannabis use reported only seven independent loci and a SNP-based heritability estimate of 11% [9, 10]. Additionally, previous work on the genetics of cannabis was limited to only a single binary phenotype (never/ever) and did not assess cessation or frequency of cannabis use.

Most previous research on the intersection of substance use and HIV has been conducted in relatively small samples or select groups, creating a gap in understanding the generalizability in PWH [11]. Most genetic association studies among PWH have used candidate gene approaches or focused on pharmacogenomics related to drug metabolism and adverse events. Genome-wide data in combination with comprehensive clinical and self-reported data in the Centers for AIDS Research Network of Integrated Clinical Systems (CNICS) cohort allow for investigations into the genetic contribution to outcomes relevant to the aging population of PWH.

It is important to understand the interplay between genetic predisposition, substance use, HIV, and long-term consequences of HIV infection. In this work, we describe methods for harmonizing and analyzing genotype data across a diverse population of PWH. We then utilized patient reported outcomes (PROs) collected at baseline visits in CNICS to define several substance-related phenotypes, and used these along with genome-wide genetic data to conduct genetic association studies of substance use. We compared our findings to previously published GWAS findings and identified two novel loci for cannabis-related outcomes.

Methods

Population: CNICS cohort

We conducted this study among PWH in the CNICS cohort [12], a well-characterized longitudinal observational cohort of PWH who enrolled in care at eight geographically distinct HIV clinics in the US from January 1995 through June 2020 at which time the data was accessed (http://www.uab.edu/cnics/). CNICS incorporates new PWH as they enter care ensuring relevance to modern HIV care. Patients are followed as part of routine clinical care. CNICS is diverse in terms of sex, self-reported race/ethnicity and geography, with 18% women, 44% White, 38% Black, and 12% Hispanic, and eight different clinic sites across the country. Reflecting an aging population of PWH, half of CNICS participants are now 50 years of age or older.

Data: CNICS DATA repository

The CNICS data repository integrates comprehensive longitudinal data from outpatient and inpatient encounters [12]. It captures standardized HIV-related information collected at enrollment (initial clinic visit), sociodemographic, clinical, medication, and laboratory data from each site's electronic health record and other institutional data sources. Invited participants complete the CNICS clinical assessment of PROs on touch-screen tablets at routine clinic visits every ~4–6 months [13, 14]. Participants who are medically unstable, appear intoxicated, have a cognitive impairment, or do not speak English or Spanish are not asked to complete the clinical assessment. The clinical assessment was initiated at the first site in 2007 with a new site initiating it each year or two until 2018, when all eight sites were completing clinical assessments as part of routine care visits. Most recently, Amharic has been added as an additional language and several sites also added remote options for participants completing their clinic appointments via telehealth in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. CNICS participants have completed >103,000 clinical assessments to date. The clinical assessment includes measures of smoking, alcohol, (Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test [AUDIT/AUDIT-C] [15, 16]), and drug use (MINI International Neuropsychiatric Interview & Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test [ASSIST] [17]), and other domains.

CNICS participants were included in this study if they had genome-wide genetic data available and they had completed one or more clinical assessments. Institutional review boards at each site approved the study protocol, and all study participants provided informed consent to be included in the cohort.

Substance use phenotypes. Substance use information was captured using the CNICS clinical assessment of PROs. Initial assessment was used for participants who had completed the PRO multiple times.

Alcohol use. The AUDIT-C is a 3-item instrument on alcohol consumption and frequency designed to screen for hazardous alcohol use: We analyzed: 1) alcohol use frequency

standardized to days of use in the last 30 days, 2) estimated number of drinks per week, 3) frequency of binge drinking, defined as five or more drinks on a single occasion for men and 4 or more for women, standardized to days of use in the last 30 days. All alcohol consumption analyses were restricted to participants who reported consuming alcohol (i.e., excluding those who reported never drinking).

Tobacco use. CNICS participants self-reported history of never, former, or current smoking and also answered items regarding duration and quantity. We analyzed three smoking pheno-types: 1) smoking initiation categorized as never versus former or current smoking; 2) smoking cessation categorized as former versus current smoking, excluding those who never smoked from analyses; and 3) among those who reported having ever smoked, the number of cigarettes per day.

Cannabis use. For cannabis use we analyzed: 1) cannabis use initiation, 2) cannabis use cessation, and 3) among ever cannabis users, frequency of use in the past three months standardized to days of use in the last 30 days.

For all substances, measures of frequency were recategorized as ordered factored variables (S1A–S1I Fig).

Genotyping and imputation

Overview. We applied an analysis framework for conducting GWAS in multiple ancestry populations as described in **S1 Fig** by Peterson et al. [18]. In brief, we first conducted basic quality control analyses for participants and SNPs. We then assigned each individual to a global ancestry group (African (AFR), Admixed American (AMR), East Asian (EAS), European (EUR), South Asian (SAS)) using the 1000 Genomes Project Consortium (IKGP) [19] populations as reference (see details below). Due to small sample sizes of EAS (n = 97) and SAS (n = 61), we excluded these individuals for future analyses. After additional quality control, data were then imputed using the multi-ancestry Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) reference panel [20]. Details regarding number of samples and variant inclusion and exclusions are included in Fig 1.

Genotyping Arrays and quality control. Genotyping was done using the Illumina Multiethnic Global Array (MEGA, n = 3,675), the Expanded version (MEGAEx, n = 4,942), and Infinium Multi-Ethnic Global-8 Kit (MEG, n = 3,019). Quality control and data cleaning before imputation were done using PLINK1.9 [21]. We conducted genotyping quality control within each array by restricting to chromosome 1–22 and removing variants with a missing genotype rate \geq 0.05. We then removed participants with a missing genotype rate greater than 0.05 and/or duplicated IDs. We removed variants with extreme departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p-value<10⁻³⁰) for variants with minor allele frequency (MAF)>0.05.

Empirically assigned ancestry. We removed regions of long-range linkage disequilibrium (LD) [22], and pruned for LD (window size = 10000, shift = 10, Rsq threshold = 0.1) to obtain a set of independent SNPs. We then used 1KGP to empirically assign each genotyped individual to an ancestry group [19, 23]. We identified SNPs that were included in both our pruned dataset (see above) and in 1KGP, ignoring insertions and deletions. We used the Genotype-Harmonizer to correct any allele mismatches [24]. We used smartPCA to infer principal components (PCs) based on the 1KGP population and projected these to CNICS participants [21, 25](**S1 Fig**). Within each of the 26 assigned 1KGP populations, we used the first 10 PCs to calculate the mean and covariance matrix for each 1KGP population. We then calculated the Mahalanobis distance for each subject in CNICS with all 26 1KGP populations and removed any population outliers (>4 standard deviations from the mean, 12 participants from MEGA, 14 participants from MEGAEx and no participants from MEG). We then recalculated the



Fig 1. Flow diagram for sample inclusion based on genotype quality control, empirically assigned ancestry, and genotype imputation for samples with genotype data in CNICS. AFR = African; AMR = Ad Mixed American; EAS = East Asian; EUR = European; SAS = South Asian MAF = Minor allele frequency.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292068.g001

mean and covariance matrix for the first 10 PCs in CNICS [23], recalculated the Mahalanobis distance and assigned each individual to the closest 1KGP population based on Mahalanobis distance. Our final datasets included CNICS individuals assigned to African (AFR, n = 5,051), Admixed American (AMR, n = 1,741), and European (EUR, n = 3,250) ancestral superpopulations.

Ancestry-SPECIFIC quality control. Within each ancestry population and genotype array, we used the "check-sex" function in PLINK v1.9 to compute X chromosome inbreeding coefficients (parameter F) in the subset of individuals empirically assigned as AFR, AMR, or EUR. We removed <u>pseudo-autosomal regions</u> and excluded variants with genotype missing rate >5%, MAF<0.05. We then obtained a set of independent SNPs (r^2 <0.1) (—indep-pairwise 100kb 1 0.1). We chose an F minimum of 0.5 for female cutoff, and the default 0.8 for

males after manual inspection of the distribution of F. Individuals with mismatches for reported birth sex and genetically inferred sex were removed (n = 212).

Merged genotype data. We merged remaining participants across genotyping arrays within ancestry based on Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37, restricting to common SNPs between platforms using Genotype-Harmonizer to check for strand flips [24]. We used PLINK to generate PCs using the same pruning steps as described above and tested all SNPs for associations with platform (MEGAEx = 1 vs MEGA = 0) as the outcome, adjusting for 10 PCs. Significantly associated SNPs (p-value<5E-08) were removed before imputation. We repeated this step again to merge MEG to MEGA and MEGAEX.

TOPMed imputation and relatedness. Within assigned ancestry, we repeated our quality control (QC) pipelines, removing variants with missing genotype rates >5%, then samples with missing genotype rates >5%, restricting to chromosomes 1–22 and MAF>0.01, and removing SNPs with departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium at $p<10E^{-8}$. Data were prepared for imputation using the TOPMed imputation server at Michigan according to recommended guidelines [26] with parameter settings for TopMed and "Mixed" population reference genome GRCh38, without filtering.

We used the SNPRelate package in R to convert the TOPMed imputed VFC files to GDS formats for analyses. We included genotyped SNPs with MAF>0.05 and missingness<0.10 for calculating the genetic relationship matrix from GCTA [27]. We removed regions of long-range LD and performed LD pruning in PLINK to obtain a set of independent (r^2 <0.1) SNPs. We then calculated relatedness and PCs within ancestry groups.

Statistical analysis

We performed genome-wide analyses within each of the three superpopulations (AFR, AMR, EUR) for the nine substance use phenotypes collected from PROs: (1) Alcohol use in the last 30 days; (2) Number of drinks on a typical day of drinking; (3) Frequency of binge drinking (\geq 5 drinks for men and \geq 4 for women) in the last 30 days; (4) Smoking initiation; (5) Smoking cessation; (6) cigarettes per day among PWH who smoke; (7) Cannabis use initiation; (8) Cannabis use cessation; and (9) Cannabis use frequency in the last three months. We included variants with imputation quality greater than 0.8, MAF greater than 1%, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium p-value>10⁻¹⁰. We conducted association analyses using the GENESIS package in R [28, 29]. We created a null model using Gaussian or logistic regression, depending on outcome, by regressing the outcome on the following covariates: age at visit, first five PCs, array (indicator variable) and the genetic relatedness matrix modeled as a random effect following the GENESIS pipeline. We then performed single variant association tests assuming an additive inheritance model on the fitted null model.

We performed Meta-Regression of Multi-Ethnic Genetic Association across the three ancestry groups using MR-MEGA [30]. MR-MEGA uses genome-wide metrics of genetic heterogeneity between populations to model population variation via multi-dimensional scaling [21]. Final results were filtered to MAF>0.01 in the overall study population. We assessed genomic inflation factor (λ) and visually inspected the QQ-plots to identify statistical inflation and present the plots in S3A–S3I Fig. We created regional association plots using LocusZoom [31] for signals that reached genome-wide significance in the multi-ancestry GWAS. Due to low statistical power, we do not present ancestry specific GWAS results.

We compared results for alcohol use and smoking behavior to previously reported findings from Liu et al. *Nature* 2019 [8] which included up to 1.2 million individuals. For cannabis phenotypes we compared results to findings published by Pasman et al. *Nat Neurosci.* 2018 [10] which analyzed lifetime cannabis use among 184,765 individuals. We highlight previously

reported SNPs with p<0.01 in CNICS as credible evidence of consistent findings which included as few as 8 known SNPs for cannabis initiation to as many as 350 for smoking initiation.

Results

After QC exclusions, our final genetic data included 10,049 PWH, with 5,051, 1,748, and 3,250 empirically assigned to AFR, AMR, and EUR populations, respectively. Among these, 7,542 individuals had PRO data available for phenotyping and were included in our GWAS (Table 1). We had data on alcohol consumption for 7,252 individuals, smoking information for 7,542 individuals and information about cannabis use for 7,280 individuals. The proportion who reported ever smoking varied by assigned ancestry, with 70% of those of EUR ancestry reporting either current or former smoking compared to 62% of AFR ancestry and 57% of AMR ancestry. Among those with cannabis use information, 74% of those of EUR ancestry reported cannabis use in the last year compared to 61% of AMR and 56% of those assigned to AFR ancestry.

Alcohol

We compared our genetic association results to those previously reported by Liu et al. [8]. Of 99 variants reported by Liu et al. to be associated with drinks per week, a previously reported non-synonymous variant in the Alcohol Dehydrogenase 1B (*ADH1B*) gene was nominally associated with drinks per week in CNICS and directionally consistent (rs1229984, p = 0.001, MAF = 0.03, $\beta_{Liu} = 0.15$, $\beta_{CNICS} = 0.43$) (Table 2; S1 Table in S1 Data). However, no variants reached p<0.01 for frequency of drinking in the last 30 days or for frequency of binge drinking in our multi-ancestry analysis (S2, S3 Tables in S1 Data).

Smoking

Among 351 SNPs reported by Liu et al. to be associated with smoking initiation at a genomewide significant level, nine showed associations at p<0.01 with smoking initiation in our multi-ancestry analysis (Table 2; S4 Table in S1 Data), with the strongest association for rs11783093 (8p21.1, p = 2.22E-4, MAF = 0.08, β_{Liu} = -0.05, β_{CNICS} = -0.23). For smoking cessation, of the 21 SNPs reported by Liu et al. overlapping with our data, two SNPs were associated

Table 1. Sample sizes for substance use related phenotypes among samples in CNICS within genetically assigned ancestry.

	AFR (N = 5,051)	AMR (N = 1,748)	EUR (N = 3,250)	Total (N = 10,049)
Alcohol*	(n = 3,477)	(n = 1,307)	(n = 2,460)	(n = 7,244)
1) Alcoholic drinks per week	2,144	889	1,679	4,712
2) Number of drinks	2,139	888	1,674	4,701
3) Binge drinking	2,140	887	1,678	4,705
Smoking				
4) Never vs. ever	3,748	1,334	2,460	7,542
5) Current vs. former	2,304	762	1,719	4,785
6) Smoking frequency	2,282	753	1,710	4,745
Cannabis				
7) Never vs. ever	3,553	1,319	2,408	7,280
8) Current vs. former	1,995	801	1,780	4,576
9) Cannabis frequency	938	364	768	2,070

*Note that alcohol data was available for max n and analyses were then subset to those who reported any drinking. Measures of current versus former and frequency of smoking and cannabis use were subset to ever users.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292068.t001

	Chromosome: Position:Ref:Alt	rsID	Published p-value	CNICS p-value	Annotation	
Alcohol						
Number of drinks	chr4:99318162:T:C	rs1229984	<2.2E-308	0.001	Nonsynonymous:ADH1B	
Smoking						
Never vs. ever	chr1:18110163:G:T	rs3820277	1.57E-13	0.005	IGSF21/NM_032880:+:Intron	
	chr1:95948779:T:G	rs1935571	6.99E-10	0.005	Intergenic	
	chr2:103510525:A: G	rs1901477	2.07E-31	0.004	Intergenic	
	chr5:30841947:T:G	rs12517438	1.89E-09	0.007	Intergenic	
	chr6:41934025:C:T	rs3218116	1.05E-11	0.006	Intergenic	
	chr8:27567832:C:T	rs11783093	2.07E-41	0.0002	Intergenic	
	chr8:27568560:T:A	rs1565735	1.33E-09	0.008	Intergenic	
	chr10:104700702:T: C	rs9787523	1.42E-09	0.003	SORCS3/NM_014978:+:Intron	
	chr16:69542991:A: G	rs9302604	3.29E-13	0.006	Intergenic	
Current vs. former	chr8:27568560:T:A	rs1565735	1.54E-12	0.008	Intergenic	
	chr19:40847202:T:C	rs56113850	1.61E-48	0.007	CYP2A6/NM_000762:-:Intron	

Table 2. Strongest associations for	substance use phenotypes among PWH in	the U.S. among previously published SNPs
0	1 /1 0	

Direction of effects were all consistent with previously published SNPs

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292068.t002

at p <0.01, including rs56113850 in the *CYP2A6* gene (Table 2; S5 Table in S1 Data). Among the 51 SNPs associated with cigarettes per day among people who smoke reported by Liu et al, none reached p<0.01 in our multi-ancestry results (S6 Table in S1 Data). Direction of effects were also consistent with published beta coefficients for all SNPs which reached p<0.01 in our analyses.

Cannabis

We extracted the results for seven SNPs previously reported by Pasman et al. to be associated with lifetime cannabis use [10]. None of the seven SNPs was associated with cannabis use phenotypes at p<0.01 in our multi-ancestry analysis (**S7-S9 Tables in S1 Data**). In ancestry-specific analyses, one SNP located in *CADM2* showed nominal association with cannabis initiation (rs2875907, p = 0.005, MAF = 0.36, $\beta_{Pasman} = 0.07$, $\beta_{CNICS} = 0.20$) in the EUR only GWAS for which the direction of effect was consistent with the beta estimate found by Pasman et al. (**S7 Table in S1 Data**). We did not observe any replication of previous findings for cannabis cessation (**S8 Table in S1 Data**). One SNP at 17p13.3 near the *SMG6* gene was nominally associated with frequency of cannabis use standardized to the last 30 days (rs17761723, p = 3.97E-4, MAF = 0.07, $\beta_{Pasman} = 0.05, \beta_{CNICS} = 0.69$) in the AFR only GWAS with the same direction of effect for the alternative allele (**S9 Table in S1 Data**).

In the multi-ancestry GWAS of cannabis cessation, we observed two novel genome-wide significant associations at 19p13.2 (rs311780, p = 1.29E-8, MAF = 0.19) and 20p11.21 (rs6113974, p = 2.07E-8, MAF = 0.04) (S4A and S4B Fig; Table 3). The lead SNP at locus 19p13.2 showed no evidence of association in the AMR population (β = 0.03, MAF = 0.06, p = 0.91), but modest effects in EUR (β = -0.45, MAF = 0.05, p = 0.0045) and in AFR where this variant was more common (β = -0.36, MAF = 0.36, p = 2.58E-7). Conversely, for locus 20p11.21, we observed associations in EUR (β = 0.85, MAF = 0.04, p = 8.08E-7) and in AFR (β = 0.48, MAF = 0.05, p = 0.003), but no evidence in the AMR population (β = 0.35, MAF = 0.03, p = 0.20).

		MR-M (N = 4,	EGA 576)		AFR (N = 1,99	95)	AMR (N = 801)		EUR (N = 1780)			
Chromosome:Position	rsID	Beta (SE)	P-value	MAF	Beta (SE)	P-value	MAF	Beta (SE)	P-value	MAF	Beta (SE)	P-value
chr19:11460095:G:A	rs311780	-0.35 (0.07)	1.29E-8	0.36	-0.36 (0.07)	2.58E-7	0.06	-0.027 (0.24)	0.910	0.05	-0.45 (0.16)	4.51E-3
chr20:23169112:G:A	rs6113974	0.60 (0.14)	2.07E-8	0.05	0.48 (0.16)	2.64E-3	0.03	0.35 (0.27)	0.203	0.04	0.85 (0.17)	8.08E-7

Table 3. Indepen	ndent genome-w	vide significant l	loci for cannabis us	e cessation in meta-	analysis of PWH	across ancestries.
1	0	0				

AFR = African AMR = Admixed American EUR = European SE = standard error MAF = minor allele frequency

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292068.t003

Discussion

In this study, we set out to assess if known genetic associations for substance use (alcohol, smoking and cannabis) are also observed in a diverse population of PWH living in the US. One of the most well-known genetic associations with alcohol consumption is the non-synonymous variant rs1229984 located in the ADH1B gene [8]. We observed an association of this variant with the number of drinks on a typical day of drinking (p = 0.001), as well as with the frequency of drinking in the last 30 days in individuals of admixed American ancestry (p = 0.001). When comparing our results to the largest GWAS of smoking (conducted in 1.2) million individuals, Liu et al.) we found evidence of the important role of the nicotine metabolism gene CYP2A6 in relation to both smoking cessation and cigarettes per day [8]. However, we only observed support for the published SNP in the EUR population and not in the AFR population despite the larger sample size, suggesting that perhaps another SNP may better tag the causal variant(s) in that population. Proposed mechanisms through which genetic variation may alter individual predisposition for substance use have largely involved neurotransmission, dopamine systems reward pathways, and stress response [32]. It is possible that numerous exposures in PWH alter these pathways, including immunosuppression, long-term treatments, and societal factors related to stigma and marginalization. We recognize that we are likely underpowered due to sample to detect many previously associated SNPs.

We conducted GWAS of nine substance use phenotypes for up to 7,542 PWH living in the United States. We report novel findings at two loci (19p13.2 and 20p11.21) for cessation of cannabis use, a sparsely studied phenotype in GWAS. SNPs intronic to *ELAVL3* at 19p13.2 is a neural-specific RNA-binding protein with a suggested role in neurogenesis. Differential expression of the *ELAVL3* gene has been shown in brain tissues [33]. While it has been suggested to play a role in spatial learning [34], no links have been made to substance use or behavioral traits previously. Genetics may influence cannabis cessation more than lifetime use of cannabis, which is likely a product of societal norms rather than biologic influences. There are no strong plausible candidate genes close to the 20p11.21 signal.

In the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH), 55% of people age 18 and older in the United States reported drinking alcohol in the past month [35]. Consistent with previous work on the prevalence of substance use in PWH [36], upwards of 65% of our study population reported having consumed alcohol in the last month. That same report found that 34% of adults 18 and older report binge alcohol use in the last month. Lifetime use of tobacco products was an estimated 63% in adult 18+ in the NSDUH [35]. Smoking prevalence is known to be higher in PWH than the general population [37], and in our population, we observed a life-time prevalence of 63%. The NSDUH found 49% of people aged 18 or older

reported ever using marijuana in their lifetime [35], as compared to 63% in CNICS. In the context of genetic analyses, these overall higher prevalence among PWH allow for additional investigations into factors associated with cessation as the numbers of ever users of alcohol, tobacco, and cannabis tend to be higher than in the general population, albeit to varied degrees according to ancestry.

An important strength of this study is our high-quality phenotype measurements. CNICS has served as clinical care sites for the Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS), enabling a focus on valid measurement approaches for PROs to minimize societal bias and maximize ascertainment of substance use phenotypes. We were able to capture detailed information about alcohol use through the use of questions from a validated tool, the AUDIT-C, including assessment of both frequency and amount of alcohol consumption; two factors which are suggested to have independent influences on hazardous drinking [38]. This work represents a geographically and racially diverse population. Our genetic approach to empirically assign samples to reference ancestry populations and perform within ancestry GWAS mitigates the potential confounding due to population stratification and structure. We leverage this diversity to assess the generalizability of previous research on the genetic architecture of substance use, which predominantly relies on populations of nearly exclusive European ancestry.

We recognize that our relatively small sample sizes compared to previous work make our analyses generally underpowered to detect genome-wide significant associations for traits with low heritability. Our findings for cannabis cessation, if replicated, may suggest that cannabis cessation has a genetic component. Unfortunately, our small sample sizes limit our ability to estimate genome-wide SNP heritability of these traits. Neuronal acetylcholine nicotine receptors have long been implicated for their role in nicotine dependence [39]. In Liu et al. the strongest association was for *CHRNA3*, but we did not observe any significant effect of genetic variants in this gene. Understanding whether and to what extent genetic factors are associated with substance use patterns would be a valuable insight into helping reduce and mitigate the effects of substance use disorders in PWH.

In summary, we validate previous findings of the genetic effects of the *ADH1B* gene on alcohol consumption in a population with relatively high alcohol intake, and specifically on number of drinks on a typical day of drinking. We report novel findings from our multi-ancestry GWAS of cannabis cessation in PWH, but we stress the need for independent replication of these results. We present a methodological framework for future genetic analyses to be conducted in a diverse and underrepresented population with extensive and well characterized behavioral and clinical data.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Distributions of nine substance use phenotypes included in GWAS analyses for PLWH.

(DOCX)

S2 Fig. Principle components of merged CNICS participants with 1KGP eigenvalues for overlapping SNPs.

(DOCX)

S3 Fig. MR-MEGA QQ plots for nine substance use GWAS in PLWH. (DOCX)

S4 Fig. LocusZoom plots for genome-wide significant signals from the multi-ancestry GWAS of cannabis use cessation in PLWH in the United States. (DOCX) S1 Data. Replication of GWAS findings from for substance use related phenotypes in PLWH within and across ancestries. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the study participants from the Centers for AIDS Research Network of Integrated Clinical Systems.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval of data analyses of de-identified data was waived by the University of Washington IRB. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants included in this study. All methods were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Cameron B. Haas, Joseph A. C. Delaney, Inga Peter, Sara Lindström.

- Data curation: Kristina M. Jordahl, Robin M. Nance, Bridget M. Whitney, Stephanie Ruderman, Heidi Crane, Inga Peter.
- Formal analysis: Cameron B. Haas, Kristina M. Jordahl, Lu Wang, Tongqiu Jia, Won Jun Lee, Sara Lindström.

Funding acquisition: Heidi Crane, Inga Peter.

Investigation: Cameron B. Haas, Lu Wang, Sara Lindström.

Methodology: Cameron B. Haas, Kristina M. Jordahl, Shubhabrata Mukherjee, Paul K. Crane, Sara Lindström.

Project administration: Heidi Crane, Sara Lindström.

Resources: Cameron B. Haas, Heidi Crane, Inga Peter.

Software: Cameron B. Haas, Lu Wang.

Supervision: Sara Lindström.

Visualization: Cameron B. Haas.

Writing - original draft: Cameron B. Haas.

Writing - review & editing: Joseph A. C. Delaney, Wm. Christopher Mathews, Michael S. Saag, Sulggi A. Lee, Sonia Napravnik, Jeffrey M. Jacobson, Geetanjali Chander, Elizabeth M. McCall, Richard D. Moore, Kenneth H. Mayer, Shubhabrata Mukherjee, Paul K. Crane, Heidi Crane, Inga Peter, Sara Lindström.

References

- 1. Chander G, Himelhoch S, Moore RD. Substance abuse and psychiatric disorders in HIV-positive patients: epidemiology and impact on antiretroviral therapy. Drugs [Internet]. 2006; 66(6):769-89. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16706551 https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-200666060-00004 PMID: 16706551
- 2. Durvasula R, Miller TR. Substance abuse treatment in persons with HIV/AIDS: challenges in managing triple diagnosis. Behav Med [Internet]. 2014; 40(2):43-52. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/24274175 https://doi.org/10.1080/08964289.2013.866540 PMID: 24274175

- Nance RM, Delaney JAC, Simoni JM, Wilson IB, Mayer KH, Whitney BM, et al. HIV Viral Suppression Trends Over Time Among HIV-Infected Patients Receiving Care in the United States, 1997 to 2015: A Cohort Study. Ann Intern Med [Internet]. 2018; 169(6):376–84. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/30140916 https://doi.org/10.7326/M17-2242 PMID: 30140916
- Nance RM, Trejo MEP, Whitney BM, Delaney JAC, Altice FL, Beckwith CG, et al. Impact of Abstinence and of Reducing Illicit Drug Use Without Abstinence on Human Immunodeficiency Virus Viral Load. Clin Infect Dis [Internet]. 2020 Feb 14; 70(5):867–74. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/ 70/5/867/5474820 https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciz299 PMID: 30994900
- Vagenas P, Azar MM, Copenhaver MM, Springer SA, Molina PE, Altice FL. The Impact of Alcohol Use and Related Disorders on the HIV Continuum of Care: a Systematic Review. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep [Internet]. 2015 Dec 28; 12(4):421–36. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11904-015-0285-5
- Palella FJ, Delaney KM, Moorman AC, Loveless MO, Fuhrer J, Satten GA, et al. Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. HIV Outpatient Study Investigators. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 1998 Mar 26; 338(13):853–60. Available from: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9516219 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199803263381301 PMID: 9516219
- Hogg RS, Heath K V, Yip B, Craib KJ, O'Shaughnessy M V, Schechter MT, et al. Improved survival among HIV-infected individuals following initiation of antiretroviral therapy. JAMA [Internet]. 1998 Feb 11; 279(6):450–4. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9466638 https://doi.org/10. 1001/jama.279.6.450 PMID: 9466638
- Liu M, Jiang Y, Wedow R, Li Y, Brazel DM, Chen F, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. Nat Genet [Internet]. 2019 Feb 14; 51(2):237–44. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41588-018-0307-5 https://doi. org/10.1038/s41588-018-0307-5 PMID: 30643251
- 9. Stringer S, Minică CC, Verweij KJH, Mbarek H, Bernard M, Derringer J, et al. Genome-wide association study of lifetime cannabis use based on a large meta-analytic sample of 32 330 participants from the International Cannabis Consortium. Transl Psychiatry [Internet]. 2016 Mar 29; 6(3):e769–e769. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/tp201636
- Pasman JA, Verweij KJH, Gerring Z, Stringer S, Sanchez-Roige S, Treur JL, et al. GWAS of lifetime cannabis use reveals new risk loci, genetic overlap with psychiatric traits, and a causal influence of schizophrenia. Nat Neurosci [Internet]. 2018; 21(9):1161–70. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/30150663 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0206-1 PMID: 30150663
- 11. Bryant KJ. Expanding research on the role of alcohol consumption and related risks in the prevention and treatment of HIV/AIDS. Subst Use Misuse [Internet]. 2006; 41(10–12):1465–507. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17002990 https://doi.org/10.1080/10826080600846250 PMID: 17002990
- Kitahata MM, Rodriguez B, Haubrich R, Boswell S, Mathews WC, Lederman MM, et al. Cohort profile: the Centers for AIDS Research Network of Integrated Clinical Systems. Int J Epidemiol. 2008 Oct; 37 (5):948–55. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dym231 PMID: 18263650
- Crane H, Lober W, Webster E, Harrington R, Crane P, Davis T, et al. Routine Collection of Patient-Reported Outcomes in an HIV Clinic Setting: The First 100 Patients. Curr HIV Res [Internet]. 2007 Jan 1; 5(1):109–18. Available from: http://www.eurekaselect.com/openurl/content.php?genre=article&issn= 1570-162X&volume=5&issue=1&spage=109 https://doi.org/10.2174/157016207779316369 PMID: 17266562
- Fredericksen R, Crane PK, Tufano J, Ralston J, Schmidt S, Brown T, et al. Integrating a web-based, patient-administered assessment into primary care for HIV-infected adults. J AIDS HIV Res [Internet]. 2012 Feb; 4(2):47–55. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26561537 https://doi.org/ 10.5897/jahr11.046 PMID: 26561537
- Bush K. The AUDIT Alcohol Consumption Questions (AUDIT-C) An Effective Brief Screening Test for Problem Drinking. Arch Intern Med [Internet]. 1998 Sep 14; 158(16):1789. Available from: http://archinte.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/archinte.158.16.1789
- Gual A. AUDIT-3 AND AUDIT-4: EFFECTIVENESS OF TWO SHORT FORMS OF THE ALCOHOL USE DISORDERS IDENTIFICATION TEST. Alcohol Alcohol [Internet]. 2002 Nov 1; 37(6):591–6. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/alcalc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/alcalc/37.6.591 PMID: 12414553
- Newcombe D AI, Humeniuk RE, Ali R. Validation of the World Health Organization Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST): report of results from the Australian site. Drug Alcohol Rev [Internet]. 2005 May; 24(3):217–26. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 16096125 https://doi.org/10.1080/09595230500170266 PMID: 16096125
- Peterson RE, Kuchenbaecker K, Walters RK, Chen C-Y, Popejoy AB, Periyasamy S, et al. Genomewide Association Studies in Ancestrally Diverse Populations: Opportunities, Methods, Pitfalls, and

Recommendations. Cell [Internet]. 2019 Oct; 179(3):589–603. Available from: https://linkinghub. elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867419310025 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.051 PMID: 31607513

- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, Garrison EP, Kang HM, et al. A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature [Internet]. 2015 Oct 1; 526(7571):68–74. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26432245 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393 PMID: 26432245
- 20. Kowalski MH, Qian H, Hou Z, Rosen JD, Tapia AL, Shan Y, et al. Use of >100,000 NHLBI Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine (TOPMed) Consortium whole genome sequences improves imputation quality and detection of rare variant associations in admixed African and Hispanic/Latino populations. Barsh GS, editor. PLOS Genet [Internet]. 2019 Dec 23; 15(12):e1008500. Available from: https://dx. plos.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008500
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet [Internet]. 2007 Sep; 81(3):559–75. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17701901 https://doi.org/10. 1086/519795 PMID: 17701901
- Price AL, Weale ME, Patterson N, Myers SR, Need AC, Shianna K V., et al. Long-Range LD Can Confound Genome Scans in Admixed Populations. Am J Hum Genet [Internet]. 2008 Jul; 83(1):132–5. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0002929708003534 https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ajhg.2008.06.005 PMID: 18606306
- 23. Peterson RE, Edwards AC, Bacanu S-A, Dick DM, Kendler KS, Webb BT. The utility of empirically assigning ancestry groups in cross-population genetic studies of addiction. Am J Addict [Internet]. 2017 Aug; 26(5):494–501. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/ajad.12586 PMID: 28714599
- Deelen P, Bonder M, van der Velde K, Westra H-J, Winder E, Hendriksen D, et al. Genotype harmonizer: automatic strand alignment and format conversion for genotype data integration. BMC Res Notes [Internet]. 2014; 7(1):901. Available from: <u>http://bmcresnotes.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/</u> 1756-0500-7-901 PMID: 25495213
- Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet [Internet]. 2006 Aug 23; 38 (8):904–9. Available from: <u>http://www.nature.com/articles/ng1847</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1847</u> PMID: 16862161
- 26. Das S, Forer L, Schönherr S, Sidore C, Locke AE, Kwong A, et al. Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. Nat Genet [Internet]. 2016 Oct 29; 48(10):1284–7. Available from: <u>http://www.nature.com/articles/ng.3656 https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3656 PMID: 27571263</u>
- Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. Am J Hum Genet [Internet]. 2011 Jan 7; 88(1):76–82. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 21167468 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.11.011 PMID: 21167468
- Gogarten SM, Sofer T, Chen H, Yu C, Brody JA, Thornton TA, et al. Genetic association testing using the GENESIS R/Bioconductor package. Bioinformatics. 2019; https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btz567 PMID: 31329242
- Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. [Internet]. Vienna, Austria; Available from: http://www.r-project.org/
- 30. Mägi R, Horikoshi M, Sofer T, Mahajan A, Kitajima H, Franceschini N, et al. Trans-ethnic meta-regression of genome-wide association studies accounting for ancestry increases power for discovery and improves fine-mapping resolution. Hum Mol Genet [Internet]. 2017 Sep 15; 26(18):3639–50. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article/26/18/3639/3976569 https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddx280 PMID: 28911207
- Pruim RJ, Welch RP, Sanna S, Teslovich TM, Chines PS, Gliedt TP, et al. LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2010 Sep 15; 26(18):2336–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20634204 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btq419 PMID: 20634204
- Koob GF, Volkow ND. Neurocircuitry of addiction. Neuropsychopharmacology [Internet]. 2010 Jan; 35 (1):217–38. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19710631 https://doi.org/10.1038/ npp.2009.110 PMID: 19710631
- GTEx Consortium. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. Nat Genet [Internet]. 2013 Jun; 45(6):580–5. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23715323 https://doi.org/10.1038/ ng.2653 PMID: 23715323
- UniProt. UniProtKB—P10635 (CP2D6_HUMAN). Available from: http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/ P10635#Sequence_conflict_section

- 35. SAMHSA. 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health [Internet]. Available from: https://www. samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt29394/NSDUHDetailedTabs2019/ NSDUHDetTabsSect2pe2019.htm#tab2-17b
- 36. Crane HM, McCaul ME, Chander G, Hutton H, Nance RM, Delaney JAC, et al. Prevalence and Factors Associated with Hazardous Alcohol Use Among Persons Living with HIV Across the US in the Current Era of Antiretroviral Treatment. AIDS Behav [Internet]. 2017 Jul; 21(7):1914–25. Available from: <u>http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28285434 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-017-1740-7</u> PMID: 28285434
- Park LS, Hernández-Ramírez RU, Silverberg MJ, Crothers K, Dubrow R. Prevalence of non-HIV cancer risk factors in persons living with HIV/AIDS: a meta-analysis. AIDS [Internet]. 2016 Jan; 30(2):273–91. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26691548 https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD. 000000000000922 PMID: 26691548
- Sanchez-Roige S, Palmer AA, Fontanillas P, Elson SL, 23andMe Research Team the SUDWG of the PGC, Adams MJ, et al. Genome-Wide Association Study Meta-Analysis of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) in Two Population-Based Cohorts. Am J Psychiatry [Internet]. 2019; 176 (2):107–18. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30336701 https://doi.org/10.1176/ appi.ajp.2018.18040369 PMID: 30336701
- Stoker AK, Markou A. Unraveling the neurobiology of nicotine dependence using genetically engineered mice. Curr Opin Neurobiol [Internet]. 2013 Aug; 23(4):493–9. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/23545467 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2013.02.013 PMID: 23545467