Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

2020-Current year OA Pubs

Open Access Publications

2-13-2023

Rate of tarsal and metatarsal bone mineral density change in adults with diabetes mellitus and peripheral neuropathy: A longitudinal study

Nicholas J Youmans Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Rachana S Vaidya Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Ling Chen Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Hyo-Jung Jeong Marquette University

Alexa York Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Youmans, Nicholas J; Vaidya, Rachana S; Chen, Ling; Jeong, Hyo-Jung; York, Alexa; Commean, Paul K; Hastings, Mary K; and Zellers, Jennifer A, "Rate of tarsal and metatarsal bone mineral density change in adults with diabetes mellitus and peripheral neuropathy: A longitudinal study." Journal of Foot and Ankle Research. 16, 1. 6 (2023).

https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4/2580

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Publications at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2020-Current year OA Pubs by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Authors

Nicholas J Youmans, Rachana S Vaidya, Ling Chen, Hyo-Jung Jeong, Alexa York, Paul K Commean, Mary K Hastings, and Jennifer A Zellers

This open access publication is available at Digital Commons@Becker: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4/2580

RESEARCH

Open Access

Rate of tarsal and metatarsal bone mineral density change in adults with diabetes mellitus and peripheral neuropathy: a longitudinal study

Nicholas J. Youmans¹, Rachana S. Vaidya¹, Ling Chen¹, Hyo-Jung Jeong^{2,3}, Alexa York¹, Paul K. Commean¹, Mary K. Hastings¹ and Jennifer A. Zellers^{1*}[®]

Abstract

Background In people with diabetes (DM) and peripheral neuropathy (PN), loss of bone mineral density (BMD) in the tarsals and metatarsals contribute to foot complications; however, changes in BMD of the calcaneal bone is most commonly reported. This study reports rate of change in BMD of all the individual bones in the foot, in participants with DM and PN. Our aim was to investigate whether the rate of BMD change is similar across all the bones of the foot.

Methods Participants with DM and PN (*n* = 60) were included in this longitudinal cohort study. Rate of BMD change of individual bones was monitored using computed tomography at baseline and 6 months, 18 months, and 3–4 years from baseline. Personal factors (age, sex, medication use, step count, sedentary time, and PN severity) were assessed. A random coefficient model estimated rate of change of BMD in all bones and Pearson correlation tested relation-ships between personal factor variables and rate of BMD change.

Results Mean and calcaneal BMD decreased over the study period (p < 0.05). Individual tarsal and metatarsal bones present a range of rate of BMD change (-0.3 to -0.9%/year) but were not significantly different than calcaneal BMD change. Only age showed significant correlation with BMD and rate of BMD change.

Conclusion The rate of BMD change did not significantly differ across different foot bones at the group level in people with DM and PN without foot deformity. Asymmetric BMD loss between individual bones of the foot and aging may be indicators of pathologic changes and require further investigation.

Trial registration Metatarsal Phalangeal Joint Deformity Progression—R01. Registered 25 November 2015, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02616263

Keywords Foot, Ankle, Orthopedics, Endocrinology, Computed tomography, Calcaneus, Bone loss

*Correspondence:

Jennifer A. Zellers

jzellers@wustl.edu

¹ Washington University School of Medicine, MSC 8502-66-1101, 4444 Forest Park Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63108, USA

² Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, USA

³ University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI, USA

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder that affects an estimated 463 million people worldwide [1]. In 2021, approximately 45% of adults with DM worldwide remained undiagnosed and, consequently, medically unmanaged [2]. Poorly controlled DM causes damage to many different body systems and presents with a complex clinical presentation [3]. Peripheral neuropathy (PN) occurs in nearly 50% of adults with DM and results in the



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, wisit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

loss of protective sensation and motor function [4, 5]. The distal to proximal progression of PN in DM results in foot complications that are common and costly [6]. In particular, foot deformity and fracture are key contributors to the events leading to ulceration, infection, and amputation [3, 7–10] and people with DM have a 25 times greater risk of lower limb amputation than those without DM [11]. Thus, identifying modifiable factors contributing to the development of foot deformity is critical for successfully managing DM and preventing serious PN consequences, such as ulcers and amputation [12–14].

Foot fracture risk and deformity are associated DM complications [15] and have been linked to the loss of tarsal and metatarsal bone mineral density (BMD) [16, 17]. Of particular interest, individuals with type 2 DM showed the most significant elevation of fracture risk in the foot, where the relative risk was 37% higher than that in the control population without type 2 DM, suggesting the involvement of PN in fracture predisposition [18]. BMD is a strong predictor of fracture and adults with DM have been observed to have higher BMD but lose BMD at a faster rate than age-matched controls [8, 19, 20]. Due to dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scanning protocols, most studies of foot BMD in DM have measured only the calcaneus [18, 19, 21-25]. However, any foot bone or joint can be the site of DM-associated foot deformity or fracture, and calcaneal BMD alone may not reflect what is occurring across distal foot bones and joints. Volumetric quantitative computed tomography has allowed quantification of individual foot bone BMD, however, the studies that have been completed with this imaging technique are cross-sectional in study design [26, 27]. A recent cross-sectional study, for example, demonstrated differences in BMD between the talus and calcaneus in healthy individuals, yet whether the bones of the foot lose BMD similarly over time is unknown. Our study addresses this gap by measuring longitudinal changes in BMD of individual tarsals and metatarsals in people with DM and PN.

This study aims to identify the rate of BMD change in the tarsals and metatarsals of adults with DM and PN. We hypothesized that BMD would decrease over time in all bones. Our primary null hypothesis was that there would be no significant differences in rate of BMD change between the tarsal and metatarsals compared to the calcaneus. We further hypothesized that factors such as age, sex, activity level, and severity of PN would affect the rate of BMD change. Considering altered bone turnover and concurrent risk for increased secondary complications of DM, the rate of BMD change in the foot may provide clues to improve screening and management of long-term complications of the disease, reduce healthcare costs, and improve the quality of life for adults affected by DM.

Methods

Study design

This is a prospective, longitudinal clinical trial of secondary outcomes included in a larger clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02616263). Participants with type 2 DM and PN were randomized in one of two intervention cohorts, which received targeted strengthening and stretching interventions on either the foot or shoulder. For the purposes of this analysis, all participants were assessed as one cohort. A sensitivity analysis was completed to ensure there were no significant differences in BMD between the intervention groups prior to collapsing the groups. The detailed findings of the sensitivity analysis are reported in the results section. Data were collected over the course of three to four years at four-time points: baseline (T1), 0.5 years (T2), 1.5 years (T3), and at the conclusion of the study (3-4 years after baseline, T4) [28, 29]. Time was treated as a continuous variable to account for variation in the actual date of reassessment for each participant.

Participants

Participants were recruited from the Recruitment Enhancement Core of the Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences at the Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, email blasts to a senior center, along with several databases (e.g., Research Participant Registry through Volunteers for Health, and patient databases of the Applied Biomechanics and Human Biodynamics Laboratories). Inclusion criteria were type 2 DM diagnosed by the participants' healthcare providers and PN assessed by the study team. In order to capture the multi-system effects of PN, presence of PN was defined as any of the following: inability to feel a 5.07 Semmes Weinstein Monofilament in at least one location on the plantar aspect of the foot, a vibration perception threshold greater than 25 V on biothesiometry, or a score of greater than or equal to 2 on the Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument (1 point is given for each foot abnormality including deformities, dry skin, calluses, infections, fissures, and ulcers) [30]. For the purposes of analysis, PN severity was represented by each participant's Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument score. Exclusion criteria were PN of non-DM etiology, ankle brachial index of <0.9 or >1.3 indicating severe arterial disease [11, 31], amputation of more than one toe, acute shoulder injury, pregnancy, >180 kg-the weight limit capacity of the magnetic resonance imaging (part of the parent study) scanner, active neuropathic ulceration, inability to walk without a device or complete required

testing, presence of non-magnetic resonance imaging compatible metal implants, age greater than 75 years, or completion of less than two computed tomography (CT) scans over the course of the study. Race was self-reported on intake. Each participant completed the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure [32] for descriptive purposes. The Foot and Ankle Ability Measure is a self-reported measure of foot and ankle specific symptoms and function, with higher scores indicating less disability/symptoms (maximum score of 100). No participants experienced non-healing plantar ulceration or amputation during the course of the study. While exclusion criteria included history of non-healing ulceration, participants were not withdrawn from the study if they acquired wounds during the study. Nine participants self-reported occurrence of foot wounds (from non-traumatic causes as well as known trauma – cuts, scratches, etc.) during the course of the study, which were able to heal. Wounds were defined as any break in skin continuity of any depth. All wounds reported by participants were non-tunneling. Wound management for all instances of foot wounds required neither immobilization nor offloading. The participant demographics and characteristics are in Table 1.

Bone mineral density acquisition

A spiral CT scanner (Siemens Biograph 40 True-Point Tomograph) was used to scan each participant's lower extremity capturing the entire ankle mortise of the selected side as well as all tarsals and metatarsals. Scans were performed at all four time points. Participants underwent CT scans of the foot in 30 degrees of plantarflexion and metatarsophalangeal joints in resting position (Fig. 1: Panel A). A CT calibration phantom (Mindways, Austin, TX, USA; Calibration value: L11G 11F1 1171 611L) containing multiple discs of calcium

Table 1 Participant Demographics and Characteristics

hydroxyapatite of different densities was placed in front of the foot for all scans. The CT scanning parameters were 0.5 s rotation time, 64×0.6 mm collimation, 220 mAs, 120 kVp, a pitch of 1, a 512×512 matrix, and a voxel size of $0.6 \times 0.6 \times 0.6$ mm³.

BMD of each of the 12 tarsals and metatarsals was obtained using a previously published protocol [17, 27]. Each tarsal and metatarsal was individually segmented, and Hounsfield Unit (HU) was converted to BMD (mg/ cm^3) using the calibration phantom (Fig. 1: Panel B).

Participant activity level

The physical activity level of each participant was obtained at baseline (T1) using an ActiGraph wGT3X-BT activity monitor (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL). Participants were asked to wear the ActiGraph full-time for 5 days, of which the middle 3 days were used for analysis (to ensure only days with a full 24-h wear time were included in analysis). The ActiGraph was placed on the participant's wrist of the non-dominant hand using a band that prevented removal during the 5-day collection period. Daily step count and sedentary time (minutes) were calculated using ActiLife software and were included in statistical analysis.

Medication and diabetes management

Participants' medication use and diabetes management strategies were obtained via self-report at baseline (T1). Medications were classified as statins or non-statins for use in statistical analysis because statin use is known to increase BMD [33]. No participants reported taking bisphosphonate medications, a common class of medications used to treat osteoporosis [34]. The diabetes management strategies were collected with four choices (i.e.,

1 51				
Characteristic	Number of Participants	Mean	SD	
Sex	34 F/26 M	-	-	
Age at baseline (years)	60	67	6	
Race	16 Black or African-American/ 42 White/ 3 More than one race	-	-	
Height (cm)	60	169	9	
Weight (kg)	60	99.6	20.7	
Body Mass Index (kg/m²)	60	35.1	7.4	
Hemoglobin A1C (%)	59	7.1	1.3	
Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument Score	60	5	1	
Foot and Ankle Ability Measure Total	60	80	20	
Diabetes duration at baseline (years)	60	14	10	

SD Standard Deviation



Fig. 1 Images of Foot Positioning for CT scan and Segmentation of foot bones. Panel **A** CT scan of target leg resting on a stabilization board holding the ankle in 30 degrees of plantar flexion and metatarsophalangeal joints in the resting position; Panel **B** Sagittal cross-section of segmentation of tarsals and metatarsals (from left: calcaneus, talus, navicular, 1st cuneiform, 1st metatarsal)

diet, exercise, oral medications, and insulin) and allowed multiple selections.

Statistical analysis

All BMD measures were checked for normality assumptions via Shapiro–Wilk test and visual inspection of QQ plots and histograms. All data met normality assumptions. Alpha level of significance was set at 0.05 a priori for all analyses.

A random coefficients model (linear mixed model) was used to estimate the annual rate of change (slope) of BMD (mg/cm³ per year) in the calcaneus and mean of all tarsal and metatarsal bones. This model allowed both intercept and slope to vary randomly between subjects and fitted a separate regression line for each individual subject. A separate random coefficients model analysis was performed to predict annual rate of BMD change for individual tarsals and metatarsals.

To determine if there are any significant differences in rate of BMD change between the bones of the foot, an additional random coefficients model was built to test for significance of bone name by time interaction with significant interaction meaning a different rate of BMD change between bones. In the current body of literature, the calcaneus is the most frequently used bone to assess foot BMD [19, 35], so the calcaneus was selected as the referent value for this analysis. The estimated rate of BMD change of each individual tarsal and metatarsal are reported.

Personal factor variables that were hypothesized to affect mean BMD (mg/cm^3) and calcaneal BMD (mg/cm^3) included age, sex, step count, sedentary time, and

Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument score. A covariance pattern model (linear mixed model) was fit to assess the association between the personal factors and BMD variables and accounted for the correlation of repeated measures of BMD from the same subject over time. Relationships between personal factor variables and rate of BMD change (mg/cm³ per year) were tested using Pearson correlation coefficient.

Results

Participant characteristics are included in Table 1. Ten participants managed their diabetes with diet, 10 with exercise, 44 with oral medications, and 19 with insulin.

The mean rate of BMD change of all tarsals and metatarsals (N=60) was -1.57 mg/cm³ per year (p=0.0447) (Fig. 2A). The mean rate of calcaneal BMD (N=60) change was -2.03 mg/cm³ per year (p=0.0004) (Fig. 2B). There was no statistically significant interaction between group assignment (shoulder or foot intervention) and time for both rate of mean BMD change (mg/cm³ per year) (p=0.3207) and rate of calcaneal BMD change (mg/ cm³ per year) (p=0.1333) (Table 2), suggesting that the intervention provided in the parent study did not influence the rate of BMD change. Therefore, all participants were combined to form a single cohort for the purposes of this analysis.

Individual tarsal and metatarsal bone mineral density

The results of a linear mixed model analysis using each tarsal and metatarsal bone as a single input variable and calcaneal BMD as a reference variable indicate that the rate of BMD change (mg/cm³ per year) was not



Fig. 2 Box plot of (A) Bone mineral density (BMD) at all timepoints averaged for all bones, including tarsals and metatarsals and (B) for the calcaneus, alone. Bone mineral density (BMD) is in mg/cm³. T1: baseline; T2: 0.5 years; T3: 1.5 years; T4: 3–4 years

Table 2	Mean BMD	and	Calcaneal	BMD by	y Group	Assignment
---------	----------	-----	-----------	--------	---------	------------

Group Assignment	Number of Participants	Rate of Mean BMD Change ^a (SD) ^b	Rate of Calcaneal BMD Change ^a (SD) ^b
Shoulder	31	-1.66 (1.73)	-2.09 (1.62)
Foot	29	-1.46 (1.52)	-1.96 (1.43)

Rate of mean BMD change reflects the averaged value of all tarsal and metatarsal bones

^a mg/cm³ per year

^b Standard Deviation (SD)

significantly different between the calcaneus and any other bone included in the analysis (p = 0.9966). Descriptive statistics for rate of BMD change (mg/cm³ per year) for individual bones are reported in Table 3.

Factors influencing rate of BMD change

The rate of mean BMD change (mg/cm³ per year) and rate of calcaneal BMD change (mg/cm³ per year) were not impacted by sex, PN severity as determined by score on the Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument, daily step count, sedentary time, or statin use (Table 4). Data for daily step count and sedentary time was obtained from ActiGraph data, which was initiated after the beginning of the study, resulting in an incomplete baseline data set for these variables. There was a significant main effect of age on mean (p=0.0086) and calcaneal (p=0.0256)BMD (mg/cm³) with lower BMD associated with older age. The linear mixed effects model analysis suggests that the average mean BMD decreases by 2.1793 mg/cm^3 (p=0.0086) and calcaneal BMD decreases by 2.19 mg/ cm^3 (*p*=0.0256) with every one-year increase in age. Rate of change of BMD (mg/cm³ per year) was also negatively related to age.

Table 3	Rate	of	BMD	Change	for	Individual	Tarsals	and
Metatars	sals (N:	=60))					

Bone	BMD Mean ^a \pm SD	Rate of BMD Change ^b (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	95% CI
1 st Metatarsal	298 ± 54	-1.98 (0.79)	0.015*	-3.57, -0.40
2 nd Metatarsal	401 ± 66	-1.24 (1.07)	0.259	-3.38, 0.89
3 rd Metatarsal	374 ± 60	-1.62 (0.92)	0.082	-3.46, 0.21
4 th Metatarsal	365 ± 66	-1.53 (1.04)	0.149	-3.62, 0.57
5 th Metatarsal	371 ± 70	-1.23 (0.95)	0.203	-3.14, 0.68
1 st Cuneiform	272 ± 53	-1.54 (0.96)	0.115	-3.47, 0.39
2 nd Cuneiform	315 ± 51	-1.38 (0.76)	0.074	-2.90, 0.14
3 rd Cuneiform	259 ± 47	-0.97 (0.58)	0.103	-2.13, 0.20
Cuboid	202 ± 37	-1.25 (0.57)	0.032*	-2.39, -0.11
Navicular	310 ± 58	-1.46 (0.86)	0.093	-3.18, 0.25
Talus	294 ± 49	-2.54 (0.68)	0.0005*	-3.91, -1.17
Calcaneus	207 ± 41	-2.03 (0.53)	0.0004*	-3.09, -0.96

CI Confidence Interval

^a mg/cm³; Standard Deviation (SD)

^b mg/cm³ per year; Standard Error (SE)

Discussion

This is the first study to report longitudinal changes in tarsal and metatarsal BMD in a group of individuals with DM and PN. We observed a decreasing rate of mean BMD (-1.57 mg/cm³ per year; -0.5% per year) and calcaneal BMD (-2.03 mg/cm³ per year; -1% per year) change over three years (indicating BMD loss), with a range of rates of tarsal and metatarsal BMD change of -0.3% to -0.9% per year. Our study identified that mean BMD (mg/cm³) and calcaneal BMD (mg/cm³) are lower in older individuals, and the rate of BMD loss is more rapid with advancing age. Previous studies on patients with DM

Personal Factors	Number of Participants	Mean BMD		Calcaneal BMD		
		Pearson correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	Pearson correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> -value	
Sex (F/M)	60	0.043	0.7426	-0.095	0.4723	
Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument Score	60	-0.158	0.2270	-0.182	0.1633	
Age (years)	60	-0.282	0.0288*	-0.322	0.0120*	
Daily Step Count	35	-0.115	0.5118	-0.157	0.3689	
Sedentary Time (minutes)	35	0.123	0.4799	0.042	0.8088	
Statin Use (Y/N)	59	-0.056	0.6747	-0.131	0.3211	

Table 4 Effect of Personal Factors on Rate Mean BMD and Calcaneal BMD Change

*Statistically significant p-value; Female (F); Male (M); Yes (Y); No (N)

show a rate of calcaneus BMD change in the range of -0.5% per year to -2.5% per year [19, 36]. We observed a comparable rate of BMD change in calcaneus at -1% per year.

A recent study in healthy population shows asymmetric BMD within individual bones of the foot [37], however, the present study is the first to report longitudinal changes in BMD in all of the tarsal and metatarsal bones in patients with DM and PN. We observed similar but not significant differences between rates of BMD change in individual tarsals and metatarsals, with the talus showing the greatest rate of BMD change (-0.9% per year), while the third cuneiform showed the lowest rate of BMD change (-0.3% per year). Our aim was to investigate whether rate of BMD change is similar between the tarsals and metatarsals, compared to the calcaneus, thus each participant in the study served as their own internal control. There was no difference in the rate of BMD change (mg/cm³ per year) of the calcaneus compared to any other foot bone, suggesting that the calcaneus could be used as an overall indicator of foot BMD. However, our sample of individuals with DM and PN did not have any occurrences of significant foot complications (i.e., non-healing ulceration, neuropathic Charcot arthropathy or amputation) during the 3-4 years study period, therefore, we are unable to assess the utility of individual tarsal/metatarsal BMD monitoring for identification and prevention of foot complications. A prior study of bone changes in individuals with DM found that asymmetry in BMD changes from the left foot to the right foot was an indicator of Charcot deformity [27, 38]. It may be that asymmetrical BMD loss in individual tarsal and metatarsal bones could serve as an early indicator of loss of foot structural integrity. Future studies to elucidate the utility of screening for BMD changes in individual foot bones would be beneficial.

We also studied the effect of other personal factors on rate of BMD change and found that only age related to BMD and rate of BMD change. Greater PN severity, sex, physical activity, and statin use were not associated with rate of BMD change. Prior studies have reported a more rapid loss of mean BMD in females compared to men, which is counter to our findings [19, 39, 40]. Physical activity and body mass index (BMI) have also been shown to impact rate of BMD loss in a population of similarly aged women to those included in our study (60-80 + years [41]. We may not see similar effects of physical activity and BMI on rate of BMD change because the range of physical activity and BMI values may not be sufficient to explore this variable in our study. It is also possible that specific elements or methods of activity are more important than volume of activity, such as foot loading pattern, foot intrinsic muscle strength, or type of footwear. The current body of literature on exercise and rate of BMD change supports that body weight exercises in this age population can increase BMD in the hip and lumbar spine [42, 43], but no research has been completed on observing rate of foot BMD change with mild to moderate strength training in this population.

One limitation of our study is the limited severity of foot deformity and dysfunction in this sample of the population of individuals with DM and PN as reflected in absence of current ulceration at intake. More severe BMD changes may be observed in a population with a higher incidence of ulceration, fracture, or amputation. Second, there were missing data and variability in the timing of the 4th time point due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which resulted in a relatively small sample size. We have mitigated this variability in our statistical analysis, which assesses time from baseline as a continuous variable to account for any variation in timing of data collection. Third, current technology and methodology makes manual segmentation and BMD calculation for individual bones not viable for translation of our measures into a clinical setting. However, there is potential for future use of artificial intelligence to automate and

streamline this process. This study adds to a growing body of literature demonstrating that volumetric quantitative computed tomography as a way of determining BMD for individual tarsals of the foot provides a more comprehensive picture of bone-by-bone health than previous methodologies and can serve as a framework for taking a more fine-tuned approach to monitoring foot bone health. Fourth, to track step count and sedentary time, ActiGraph data collection was added to the study after the study had begun, leading to missing T1 data for the earlier participants. To address this missing data, incomplete ActiGraph data sets were omitted from statistical analysis. Finally, BMD, although indicative of bone quantity, is a fairly blunt measure of bone quality. It is important to note that the annual BMD change reported in our study group does not exceed previously published values for least significant change using this imaging modality [27]. Small changes in BMD may not be detectable with currently available imaging modalities on an individual patient basis. Future work that includes the use of high resolution peripheral quantitative CT, will provide an important contribution to understanding the role of redistribution of BMD and changes in the microarchitecture of the cortical and trabecular bone, important for understanding bone quality and strength.

In summary, we observed individuals with DM and PN to lose BMD at rates comparable to those reported in non-diabetic individuals in the literature. Aging increased the rate of BMD loss. Rate of BMD loss was not significantly different across tarsal/metatarsal bones, and further study is warranted to identify if individuals with asymmetric bone loss in the foot are at risk of negative foot-related outcomes including foot deformity.

Abbreviations

- DM Diabetes Mellitus
- BMD Bone mineral density
- CT Computed tomography
- SD Standard Deviation
- PN Peripheral neuropathy

Acknowledgements

The Administration for Community Living, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (award number 90ARHF0006). Darrah Snozek and Chris Sorensen for data collection. Mary Wolfsberger for image processing.

Authors' contributions

Nicholas Youmans—Data acquisition, analysis and manuscript drafting, manuscript review, approval of finalized manuscript. Rachana S. Vaidya- Manuscript drafting, manuscript review, approval of finalized manuscript. Ling Chen-Statistical data analysis, manuscript review, approval of finalized manuscript. Hyo-Jung Jeong- Data acquisition, statistical data analysis and interpretation, manuscript review, approval of finalized manuscript. Alexa York- Data acquisition and analysis, manuscript review, approval of finalized manuscript. Paul K. Commean- CT data acquisition, data interpretation, manuscript review, approval of finalized manuscript. Mary K. Hastings- Conception, design, funding acquisition, data acquisition, interpretation of findings, manuscript review, approval of finalized manuscript. Jennifer A. Zellers- Data acquisition, data analysis interpretation, manuscript review, approval of finalized manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (grant numbers R01 DK107809, F32 DK123916) and the National Institutes of Health (award T32 HD007434). The funding source had no role in the collection, analysis, interpretation of data, or manuscript writing.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets for the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval was obtained from the Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis Institutional Review Board (ID# 201511090) and informed consent was obtained prior to participation in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

None.

Received: 3 October 2022 Accepted: 26 January 2023 Published online: 13 February 2023

References

- Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, Malanda B, Karuranga S, Unwin N, et al. Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2019;157: 107843.
- Ogurtsova K, Guariguata L, Barengo NC, Ruiz PL, Sacre JW, Karuranga S, et al. IDF diabetes Atlas: Global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults for 2021. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022;183: 109118.
- Park S, Kang H-J, Jeon J-H, Kim M-J, Lee I-K. Recent advances in the pathogenesis of microvascular complications in diabetes. Arch Pharmacal Res. 2019;42(3):252–62.
- Ababneh A, Bakri FG, Khader Y, Lazzarini P, Ajlouni K. Prevalence and associates of foot deformities among patients with diabetes in Jordan. Curr Diabetes Rev. 2020;16(5):471–82.
- Hicks CW, Selvin E. Epidemiology of peripheral neuropathy and lower extremity disease in diabetes. Curr DiabRep. 2019;19(10):1–8.
- Castelli G, Desai KM, Cantone RE. Peripheral neuropathy: evaluation and differential diagnosis. Am Fam Physician. 2020;102(12):732–9.
- Boulton AJ, Vileikyte L, Ragnarson-Tennvall G, Apelqvist J. The global burden of diabetic foot disease. The Lancet. 2005;366(9498):1719–24.
- Sheahan H, Canning K, Refausse N, Kinnear EM, Jorgensen G, Walsh JR, et al. Differences in the daily activity of patients with diabetic foot ulcers compared to controls in their free-living environments. Int Wound J. 2017;14(6):1175–82.
- Pop-Busui R, Boulton AJ, Feldman EL, Bril V, Freeman R, Malik RA, et al. Diabetic neuropathy: a position statement by the American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(1):136–54.
- Armstrong DG, Boulton AJ, Bus SA. Diabetic foot ulcers and their recurrence. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(24):2367–75.
- Boulton AJ, Armstrong DG, Kirsner RS, Attinger CE, Lavery LA, Lipsky BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of diabetic foot complications. American Diabetes Association. 2018.
- 12. Tesfaye S, Sloan G. Diabetic polyneuropathy-advances in diagnosis and intervention strategies. European Endocrinology. 2020;16(1):15.
- Selvarajah D, Kar D, Khunti K, Davies MJ, Scott AR, Walker J, et al. Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: advances in diagnosis and strategies

for screening and early intervention. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019;7(12):938–48.

- 14. Liu X, Xu Y, An M, Zeng Q. The risk factors for diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2): e0212574.
- Moayeri A, Mohamadpour M, Mousavi SF, Shirzadpour E, Mohamadpour S, Amraei M. Fracture risk in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and possible risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2017;13:455–68.
- 16. Keegan THM, Kelsey JL, Sidney S, Quesenberry CP Jr. Foot problems as risk factors of fractures. Am J Epidemiol. 2002;155(10):926–31.
- Zellers JA, Mueller MJ, Commean PK, Chen L, Jeong H-J, Hastings MK. Multi-system factors associated with metatarsophalangeal joint deformity in individuals with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Med. 2020;9(4):1012.
- Lasschuit J, Center J, Greenfield J, Tonks K. Comparison of calcaneal quantitative ultrasound and bone densitometry parameters as fracture risk predictors in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med. 2020;37(11):1902–9.
- Schwartz AV, Ewing SK, Porzig AM, McCulloch CE, Resnick HE, Hillier TA, et al. Diabetes and change in bone mineral density at the hip, calcaneus, spine, and radius in older women. Front Endocrinol. 2013;4:62.
- Vestergaard P. Discrepancies in bone mineral density and fracture risk in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes–a meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int. 2007;18(4):427–44.
- 21. Strotmeyer ES, Cauley JA, Orchard TJ, Steenkiste AR, Dorman JS. Middleaged premenopausal women with type 1 diabetes have lower bone mineral density and calcaneal quantitative ultrasound than nondiabetic women. Diabetes Care. 2006;29(2):306–11.
- Ma L, Oei L, Jiang L, Estrada K, Chen H, Wang Z, et al. Association between bone mineral density and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Eur J Epidemiol. 2012;27(5):319–32.
- Mohseni M, Hosseinzadeh P, Civitelli R, Eisen S. Effect of peripheral neuropathy on bone mineral density in adults with diabetes: A systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. Bone. 2021;147: 115932.
- 24. Hastings MK, Johnson JE, Strube MJ, Hildebolt CF, Bohnert KL, Prior FW, et al. Progression of foot deformity in Charcot neuropathic osteoarthropathy. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2013;95(13):1206–13.
- Sinacore DR, Hastings MK, Bohnert KL, Strube MJ, Gutekunst DJ, Johnson JE. Immobilization-induced osteolysis and recovery in neuropathic foot impairments. Bone. 2017;105:237–44.
- Coughlin MJ, Mann RA, Saltzman CL. Surgery of the foot and ankle: Mosby St. Louis; 1999.
- Commean PK, Kennedy JA, Bahow KA, Hildebolt CF, Liu L, Smith KE, et al. Volumetric quantitative computed tomography measurement precision for volumes and densities of tarsal and metatarsal bones. J Clin Densitom. 2011;14(3):313–20.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. 2009;42(2):377–81.
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O'Neal L, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. J Biomed Inform. 2019;95: 103208.
- Moghtaderi A, Bakhshipour A, Rashidi H. Validation of Michigan neuropathy screening instrument for diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2006;108(5):477–81.
- Xu D, Zou L, Xing Y, Hou L, Wei Y, Zhang J, et al. Diagnostic value of anklebrachial index in peripheral arterial disease: a meta-analysis. Can J Cardiol. 2013;29(4):492–8.
- Martin RL, Irrgang JJ, Burdett RG, Conti SF, Van Swearingen JM. Evidence of validity for the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM). Foot Ankle Int. 2005;26(11):968–83.
- Wang Z, Li Y, Zhou F, Piao Z, Hao J. Effects of statins on bone mineral density and fracture risk: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine. 2016;95(22):e3042.
- Tella SH, Gallagher JC. Prevention and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2014;142:155–70.
- Gu LJ, Lai XY, Wang YP, Zhang JM, Liu JP. A community-based study of the relationship between calcaneal bone mineral density and systemic parameters of blood glucose and lipids. Medicine. 2019;98(27):e16096.
- Rix M, Andreassen H, Eskildsen P. Impact of peripheral neuropathy on bone density in patients with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 1999;22(5):827–31.

- Stowers JM, Black AT, Kavanagh AM, Mata K, Eshetu T. Bone density by specific anatomic location using Hounsfield samples of the lower extremity: A multi-center retrospective analysis. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2022.
- Sinacore DR, Hastings MK, Bohnert KL, Fielder FA, Villareal DT, Blair VP III, et al. Inflammatory osteolysis in diabetic neuropathic (Charcot) arthropathies of the foot. Phys Ther. 2008;88(11):1399–407.
- Ross PD, Knowlton W. Rapid bone loss is associated with increased levels of biochemical markers. J Bone Miner Res. 1998;13(2):297–302.
- Kado DM, Browner WS, Blackwell T, Gore R, Cummings SR. Rate of bone loss is associated with mortality in older women: a prospective study. J Bone Miner Res. 2000;15(10):1974–80.
- Nguyen TV, Sambrook PN, Eisman JA. Bone loss, physical activity, and weight change in elderly women: the Dubbo osteoporosis epidemiology study. J Bone Miner Res. 1998;13(9):1458–67.
- Arazi H, Eghbali E, Saeedi T, Moghadam R. The relationship of physical activity and anthropometric and physiological characteristics to bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. J Clin Densitom. 2016;19(3):382–8.
- Sakai A, Oshige T, Zenke Y, Yamanaka Y, Nagaishi H, Nakamura T. Unipedal standing exercise and hip bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. J Bone Miner Metab. 2010;28(1):42–8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

