

Three-dimensional dental microwear in type-Maastrichtian mosasaur teeth (Reptilia, Squamata)

Holwerda, Femke M.; Bestwick, Jordan; Purnell, Mark A.; Jagt, John W. M.; Schulp, Anne S.

DOI:

[10.1038/s41598-023-42369-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42369-7)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Holwerda, FM, Bestwick, J, Purnell, MA, Jagt, JWM & Schulp, AS 2023, 'Three-dimensional dental microwear in type-Maastrichtian mosasaur teeth (Reptilia, Squamata)', *Scientific Reports*, vol. 13, no. 1, 18720. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42369-7>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



OPEN Three-dimensional dental microwear in type-Maastrichtian mosasaur teeth (Reptilia, Squamata)

Femke M. Holwerda^{1,2}✉, Jordan Bestwick^{3,4}, Mark A. Purnell³, John W. M. Jagt⁵ & Anne S. Schulp^{2,5,6}

Mosasaurids (Squamata, Mosasauridae) were large aquatic reptiles from the Late Cretaceous that filled a range of ecological niches within marine ecosystems. The type-Maastrichtian strata (68–66 Ma) of the Netherlands and Belgium preserve remains of five species that seemed to have performed different ecological roles (carnivores, piscivores, durophages). However, many interpretations of mosasaur diet and niche partitioning are based on qualitative types of evidence that are difficult to test explicitly. Here, we apply three-dimensional dental microwear texture analysis (DMTA) to provide quantitative dietary constraints for type-Maastrichtian mosasaurs, and to assess levels of niche partitioning between taxa. DMTA indicates that these mosasaurs did not exhibit neatly defined diets or strict dietary partitioning. Instead, we identify three broad groups: (i) mosasaurs *Carinodens belgicus* and *Plioplatecarpus marshi* plotting in the space of modern reptiles that are predominantly piscivorous and/or consume harder invertebrate prey, (ii) *Prognathodon saturator* and *Prognathodon sectorius* overlapping with extant reptiles that consume larger amounts of softer invertebrate prey items, and (iii) *Mosasaurus hoffmanni* spanning a larger plot area in terms of dietary constraints. The clear divide between the aforementioned first two groups in texture-dietary space indicates that, despite our small sample sizes, this method shows the potential of DMTA to test hypotheses and provide quantitative constraints on mosasaur diets and ecological roles.

Mosasaurid reptiles were large, predominantly marine squamates, and one of only a few groups of squamates that became fully aquatic^{1–4}. During the final c. 30 million years of the Cretaceous, mosasaurs rapidly evolved a wide array of morphologies, and occupied a range of ecological niches in marine ecosystems around the globe^{1,2,5,6}. By the end of the Cretaceous they were firmly established as a group, often hypothesized as apex predators in most ecosystems they inhabited¹.

The type-Maastrichtian biocalcarenes (68–66 Ma) of the southeastern part of the Netherlands and northeastern Belgium⁷ (Fig. 1) are relatively rich in mosasaur remains. Currently, five mosasaur taxa are recognized from these strata: the large (c. > 10 m long) *Mosasaurus hoffmanni* and *Prognathodon saturator*; the intermediately-sized *Prognathodon cf. sectorius* (see notes in “Materials and methods” section) and *Plioplatecarpus marshi*; and the diminutive (c. 3 m long) *Carinodens belgicus*^{8,9} (Fig. 1). The mosasaur fossils are from the uppermost part of the Gulpen Formation (Lanaye Member) and from the entire Maastricht Formation (Valkenburg, Gronsveld, Schiepersberg, Emael, Nekum and Meerssen members)^{7,10} (Fig. 1). The general trend is for units to reflect biocalcarene deposition in increasingly shallower subtropical settings^{7,11}.

Mosasaur ecological niche occupancy has been reconstructed through several direct or indirect lines of evidence. These include: tooth morphology^{12,13}; biomechanical experiments¹⁴; range of visual foraging via orbit size (e.g.,^{15,16}; content fossils (i.e., fossilized stomach and throat contents and coprolites), e.g.,^{17–20}; and stable isotope

¹Royal Tyrrell Museum of Palaeontology, P.O. Box 7500, Drumheller, AB T0J 0Y0, Canada. ²Department of Geosciences, Utrecht University, Princetonlaan 8a, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands. ³School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, Centre for Palaeobiology Research, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK. ⁴School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK. ⁵Natuurhistorisch Museum Maastricht, De Bosquetplein 6-7, 6211 KJ Maastricht, The Netherlands. ⁶Naturalis Biodiversity Center, P.O. Box 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands. ✉email: f.m.holwerda@uu.nl




Figure 1. (a) Type-Maastrichtian area in the southeast Netherlands and northeast Belgium with the most important quarries indicated (diamonds within inset). (b) The five mosasaur taxa known from the Type Maastrichtian include *Mosasaurus hoffmanni*, *Prognathodon saturator*, *Prognathodon sectorius*, *Plioplatecarpus marshi* and *Carinodens belgicus*. Placement of the taxa along a nearshore-offshore gradient follows the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ interpretations in Ref.¹⁰. Mosasaur silhouettes and colour coding retained from Refs.^{9,10} for consistency. Tooth images not to scale (see “Materials and methods” section for sources).

signatures (e.g.^{9,21}). However, each type of evidence has associated problems that limit the robustness of mosasaur dietary hypotheses. Gut content is informative but, to date, has not been found with any type-Maastrichtian mosasaurs, and their paucity across the whole mosasaur fossil record hampers reliable extrapolations from other taxa²². Furthermore, fossilized gut contents generally only provide dietary ‘snapshots’, i.e., items consumed within the last few hours or days before an organism’s death. These can thus be biased by the retention of indigestible items^{23–25}. Stable isotope analyses can inform on possible foraging habitats but they generally provide only coarse indications of the relative trophic levels of taxa^{26–28}.

One robust approach to test hypotheses of diet and dietary partitioning in mosasaurs involves dental microwear; the microscopic wear patterns on tooth surfaces, formed by tooth-food contact and/or tooth-tooth occlusion (e.g.^{29–37}). So far, the only microwear analysis performed on the type-Maastrichtian mosasaur fauna has focused on two-dimensional (2D) wear patterns (i.e., visual identification of features such as scratches and pits from scanning electron microscopy; SEM) in the presumably durophagous *Carinodens belgicus*³³. However, 2D approaches have well-known limitations arising particularly from inter-observer error in identifying wear features and the difficulties of standardizing surface illumination when generating images^{30,38–41}. Three-dimensional dental microwear texture analysis (DMTA), in contrast, quantifies the sub-micrometre scale texture characteristics on tooth surfaces through scale-sensitive fractal analysis^{38,39} or ISO-derived parameters^{42,43}, using the results to reconstruct the diets of species and/or populations. This technique does not rely on assumptions of direct relationships between the morphology and inferred functions of teeth^{44,45}. Although originally developed for use in terrestrial mammals, recent work has demonstrated that dietary signals can be recovered from the non-occlusal tooth surfaces of extant reptiles, which do not chew their food in the same way, allowing discrimination between dietary guilds even when sample sizes are low^{36,46}. These data have subsequently served as multivariate frameworks for testing and constraining dietary hypotheses of extinct reptiles^{34,35,46}. DMTA has also been applied to a variety of aquatic vertebrates^{28,43,45,47,48}.

Here, we conduct the first exploratory analysis of DMTA in large marine reptiles, applying it to well-preserved isolated tooth crowns of all five mosasaur taxa known from the type area for the Maastrichtian. We used the multivariate framework of Bestwick et al.^{35,36} comprising of an existing dataset of microwear texture data from extant varanid lizards and crocodylians with known diets³⁶. Figure 2a–j shows example digital elevation models of scale limited surfaces of teeth from mosasaurs and extant reptile guilds. Although mosasaurs and crocodylians are very distantly related (clades Squamata and Archosauria, respectively^{2,49}), previous DMTA of reptiles has shown that microwear texture differences are more strongly linked to diet than to phylogeny^{36,46}. The crocodylians included in our analysis exhibit diets that are both similar as well as different to the varanids and thus produce a robust framework. Furthermore, previous analyses have demonstrated the potential for DMTA to provide dietary information in aquatic vertebrates^{28,43,45,48}.




Figure 2. Example scale-limited three-dimensional tooth surfaces of extant reptile dietary guilds and mosasaurs. (a–e) Reptile dietary guilds; (a) ‘softer’ invertebrate consumer (*Varanus prasinus*; emerald tree monitor), (b) piscivore (*Gavialis gangeticus*; gharial), (c) carnivore (*Varanus rudicollis*; roughneck monitor lizard), (d) ‘harder’ invertebrate consumer (*Crocodylus acutus*; American crocodile) and (e) omnivore (*Varanus olivaceus*; Gray’s monitor lizard). (f–j) Mosasaurs; (f) *Mosasaurus hoffmanni* (principal component (PC) plot number 5 in Fig. 3), (g) *Prognathodon saturator* (PC number 14), (h) *Prognathodon sectorius* (PC number 17), (i) *Plioplatecarpus marshi* (PCA number 11) and (j) *Carinodens belgicus* (PC number 1). Measured areas $146 \times 110 \mu\text{m}$ in size. Topographic scale in micrometres. Extant reptile skull diagrams and mosasaur tooth images not to scale (see “Materials and methods” section for sources). Extant reptile tooth surfaces and skull diagrams of *G. gangeticus*, *C. acutus* and *V. olivaceus* adapted from Bestwick et al.^{35,36}, by Anne Schulp under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> to include the *V. prasinus* and *V. rudicollis* diagrams and the mosasaur tooth images and surface textures.

Results

Our analyses use the multivariate texture-dietary spaces developed by Bestwick et al.^{34,36} based on extant varanid lizards and crocodylians with known diets, which are assigned to dietary guilds. Four texture parameters differ between guilds, and our analysis projects mosasaurs into the principal component analysis (PCA) based on these parameters. The parameters are: Spk, the mean height of peaks above the top of the core, with higher values indicating a surface composed of high peaks; Sds, the number of summits per unit area of the surface, with higher values indicating that peaks make up a greater proportion of the surface; Vmp, the volume of material contained within peaks that make up the highest 10% of the surface; and Smr1, the percentage of the surface that is composed of peaks that are higher than the top of the core. Several of these parameters, and many others, are derived from the areal material ratio curve: a cumulative density function derived from the scale-limited




Figure 3. Principal component textural analysis of three-dimensional microwear textures in extant reptiles and mosasaurs. Texture-dietary space of four International Organization for Standardization (ISO 25178-2) texture parameters (Spk, Sds, Vmp, Smr1) for extant reptiles and mosasaurs. Texture-dietary space based on extant reptile data with mosasaurs projected onto the first two axes as unknown datum points. Extant and fossil specimens with associated letters represent surfaces (a–j) in Figure. Arrows show significant correlations of dietary characteristics along PC axes 1 and 2. Mosasaur specimen information can be found in Table S1. Mosasaur tooth silhouettes not to scale (see “Materials and methods” section for sources). Texture-dietary space adapted from Bestwick et al.³⁵ by Jordan Bestwick and Anne Schulp under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> to include the mosasaur data.

tooth surface by plotting the distribution of height values for a surface as a cumulative percentage. The peaks, valleys and core material of tooth surfaces are defined on the basis of this curve, with the core for material ratio parameters equivalent to the volume that lies between the heights of the surface delimited by the extrapolated intercept of the minimum slope of the curve. Bestwick et al. (Ref.³⁶, Table S2, Fig. S2) provide more details and a graphical explanation based on dental microwear texture data.

Summarizing the key elements of texture-dietary space defined by principal component axes 1 and 2 (Fig. 3): PC 1 negatively correlates with proportions of total vertebrates in reptile diets and positively with total invertebrates. PC 2 positively correlates with proportions of ‘softer’ invertebrates (see³⁶, Table S3 for all dietary correlation results). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the PCA finds that PCs 1 and 2 differ significantly between dietary guilds in extant reptiles (PC 1, $F = 4.9316$, d.f. = 4, 90, $P = 0.0012$; PC 2, $F = 4.6676$, d.f. = 4, 90, $P = 0.0018$). Piscivores differ from ‘harder’ invertebrate consumers and omnivores (PC 1, Tukey HSD); ‘harder’ invertebrate consumers differ from carnivores and ‘softer’ invertebrate consumers; ‘softer’ invertebrate consumers differ from piscivores (PC 2, Tukey HSD).

Projecting the type-Maastrichtian mosasaur data into this texture-dietary space reveals that most specimens fall within the bounds of the extant reptiles, with only two specimens, one of *Carinodens belgicus* and one of *Mosasaurus hoffmanni* (specimen numbers 2 and 5, respectively) falling outside of the texture-dietary space (Fig. 3). *Prognathodon saturator* and *Prognathodon sectorius* are known from a single specimen of each taxon; Fig. 3 shows only a single tooth from each taxon, but we collected data from other teeth of the same individuals, and these cluster closer together in multivariate space than the teeth of the other taxa, which represent single teeth from multiple individuals (see Supplementary Fig. 1).

The two smaller, but morphologically dissimilar mosasaurs *Carinodens belgicus* and *Plioplatecarpus marshi* occupy very similar areas towards the left of the texture-dietary space, with values of less than zero for PC 1 and more dispersed PC 2 values, reflecting generally less rough surface textures (see³⁶). The differences between these two clusters of mosasaurs are statistically significant; PC 1 values for *Prognathodon* are significantly higher than the *Carinodens-Plioplatecarpus* group ($t = -3.69$, $P = 0.0015$; one-tailed test), as are the PC 2 values ($t = -1.82$, $P = 0.047$; one-tailed test). This indicates tooth surfaces made up of more and higher peaks, containing a greater proportion of the material of the surface compared to tooth surfaces with more negative values for PC1.

Mosasaurus hoffmanni is the most broadly distributed species along PCs 1 and 2, with centroids of the area covered by these specimens overlapping with all those of the extant dietary guilds.

Discussion

DMTA of extant reptiles provides a multivariate space defined by microwear textures that have dietary significance^{36,46}. This supports the hypothesis that the distribution of mosasaur species, when plotted in this space, and their similarities and significant differences in dental microwear texture, can be interpreted in terms of diet.

However, several factors limit the validity of our interpretations, such as our small sample size for the mosasaurs, their morphological differences to extant reptiles, and their completely aquatic habitat. Moreover, the degree of overlap between extant reptiles assigned to different dietary categories limits the confidence that can be placed in direct comparisons between the diets of the extant reptiles and the mosasaurs in this exploratory study.

Nevertheless, our dataset shows some clear differences between the *Carinodens-Plioplatecarpus* group, which plot toward the left of the texture-dietary space, generally with PC 1 values < 0 , and the *Prognathodon saturator* and *Prognathodon sectorius* group, plotting in the top right quadrant. This tentatively suggests niche partitioning, although more specimens of *Prognathodon* are needed to confirm this.

Overall, the areas of occupied texture-dietary space suggests that the type-Maastrichtian mosasaurs exhibit a similar dietary space to the extant reptiles, i.e., they consumed food/prey items of a comparable range of material properties. The amount of overlap with multiple guilds occupied by extant reptiles suggests a degree of dietary generalism exhibited by all mosasaurs, but the separation between species groups indicates some level of dietary specialisation and partitioning. This allows us to assess the likelihood of niche partitioning between taxa, to test dietary hypotheses, and to discuss the implications on our understanding of Late Cretaceous marine ecosystems.

The separation of the *Prognathodon* species group from the *Carinodens-Plioplatecarpus* group in the texture-dietary spaces provides strong evidence that these species consumed different food items, which in turn is in favour of niche partitioning. Interestingly, this result is similar to that from analyses of carbon isotope ratios in which *Prognathodon* species were more similar to each other than to *Carinodens* and *Plioplatecarpus*, and vice versa⁹. DMTA suggests that the diet of *Prognathodon* species, in terms of material properties, was more similar to reptiles that consume higher proportions of invertebrates and, more specifically, higher proportions of softer invertebrates. The distribution of *Prognathodon* samples most strongly overlaps with extant softer invertebrate consumers and omnivores, the latter guild, like *Prognathodon*, falling around the PC texture-dietary space with positive PC 1 values. Carnivory, however, cannot be ruled out, as the *Prognathodon* samples lie close to the areas of the texture-dietary spaces with the highest density of carnivore samples. From their distribution in the diet-texture spaces, it is unlikely that *Prognathodon* consumed significant amounts of harder prey or fish. These findings partially contrast with previous dietary interpretations of *Prognathodon*. *Prognathodon sectorius*, for example, has been interpreted as a mixed consumer of fish and squid based on its tooth morphology⁵⁰, while *Prognathodon saturator* has been interpreted as a carnivore and a generalist based on its tooth morphology and robust mandibular morphology^{6,19,51,52}. The possibility that *Prognathodon* microwear textures have been disproportionately influenced by consumption of vertebrates with ‘harder’ body parts, such as the shells of turtles—a commonly suggested food item for *Prognathodon saturator*^{9,19,51}—cannot be ruled out. Nevertheless, and despite the previously mentioned small sample size, our results provide new, yet tentative, dietary constraints for type-Maastrichtian mosasaurs.

The diet of the *Carinodens-Plioplatecarpus* group is likely to have included both fish and prey with relatively harder exteriors. Similar to this mosasaur group, most extant piscivorous reptiles have negative PC 1 values, and the mosasaur samples with low and negative PC 2 values fall close to the area of the texture-dietary spaces with the highest density of reptiles from the harder invertebrate consumers. The general picture of negative PC 1 values for *Carinodens* and *Plioplatecarpus* is consistent with the trend in the reptile data towards consumption of more vertebrates with increasingly negative PC 1 values. Our results partially corroborate previous dietary interpretations of *Plioplatecarpus* as primarily a piscivore based on its tooth morphology^{9,50}. In contrast, our results differ from previous interpretations of *Carinodens* as an obligate durophage based on multiple lines of evidence, including: tooth morphology⁵³; visual identification of tooth wear features (i.e., scratches and pits³³) and biomechanical investigations into possible feeding behaviours¹⁴. This highlights the potential for using DMTA as an independent technique for constraining mosasaur diets and understanding levels of niche partitioning.

The relatively large areas occupied by *Mosasaurus* within the texture-dietary spaces, and overlap with multiple extant guilds within each space, suggests this mosasaur was more of a dietary generalist, with perhaps slight preferences for tetrapods and invertebrates. This corroborates previous interpretations of *Mosasaurus* as an apex predator that consumed whatever it wished, based on its tooth morphology, overall size and associations with other marine reptiles^{9,53}.

Despite our small sample sizes, our results provide novel insights into the structure of the type-Maastrichtian marine ecosystem and, more broadly, exemplifies the applicability of DMTA to mosasaurs. The detection of three relatively distinct dietary groups indicates that the ecosystem was healthy and productive enough to support five species of large (> 3 m long) predatory reptiles^{9,10}. However, the lack of complete separation between these groups in the texture-dietary spaces, and the large areas occupied within these spaces by some species, indicates that dietary competition did occur between mosasaurs. This interpretation is supported by extant co-occurring aquatic reptiles that also exhibit minimal dietary partitioning, such as the spectacled caiman (*Caiman crocodilus*) and black caiman (*Melanosuchus niger*) in the Amazon River⁵⁴, and the Siamese crocodile (*Crocodylus siamensis*) and false gharial (*Tomistoma schlegelii*) in Indonesia⁵⁵. Several non-mutually exclusive factors could explain how the type-Maastrichtian ecosystem supported mosasaur dietary competition. First, dietary generalism and opportunism could have reduced both inter- and intra-specific competition (particularly for *Mosasaurus*), as exhibited by extant varanids^{56,57} and some crocodylians^{27,58}. Second, size-based resource partitioning may have occurred. For example, although *Plioplatecarpus* and *Carinodens* comprise a similar dietary group, the former is nearly twice as large as the latter⁹ and is therefore unlikely to have fed on exactly the same food items. Third, differences in habitat usage and foraging behaviours would have created a degree of spatial partitioning. For example, carbon isotope signatures of type-Maastrichtian mosasaurs suggest that larger species, such as *Mosasaurus* and *Prognathodon saturator*, are more likely to have fed in open waters, with more and deeper diving in their foraging, while smaller species such as *Carinodens* fed in shallower waters closer to the shore^{9,21}.

Analysis of additional tooth samples will hopefully generate more robust dietary ranges of mosasaurs and subsequently enable likely or unlikely mechanisms of dietary partitioning to be established in a representative way.

Conclusions

We used DMTA to provide quantitative constraints on the diets of type-Maastrichtian mosasaurs and on degrees of dietary partitioning between these taxa. Our analyses suggest that the type-Maastrichtian mosasaurs did not exhibit neatly defined diets or strict dietary partitioning. Rather, mosasaurs formed several dietary groups comprising one or more species that show small, yet noticeable, preferences for vertebrate and/or invertebrate prey while exhibiting at least some degree of dietary generalism. This contrasts with several hypotheses of mosasaur diets and indicates potentially higher levels of competition than previously appreciated. Our results provide novel insights into the diversity of ecological roles performed by mosasaurs, the structure of the type-Maastrichtian ecosystem and the applicability of DMTA to mosasaurs. Increased sampling will provide further robust constraints on diets and ecological roles of type-Maastrichtian taxa and future application to other mosasaurs will enhance our understanding of the functioning and evolution of Late Cretaceous marine ecosystems.

Materials and methods

Material

Three-dimensional tooth microwear textures were sampled from 18 mosasaur tooth crowns, covering the five taxa listed above (*Carinodens belgicus*, $n = 4$; *Mosasaurus hoffmanni*, $n = 4$; *Plioplatecarpus marshi*, $n = 4$. *Prognathodon saturator* and *Prognathodon sectorius* are known only from single specimens from the type Maastrichtian; three teeth from each were initially sampled, and one tooth output per species selected a posteriori (the isolated tooth LV150 is *P. sectorius*, but the skeletal specimen, is cf. *sectorius*). DMT data for mosasaurs were compared with the data of Ref.³⁶, which sampled six crocodylian and seven monitor lizard species, to serve as an extant multivariate reference framework for mosasaur dietary analysis.

Extant and fossil specimens from the published dataset by Ref.³⁶ were sampled from the Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, USA (FMNH); Grant Museum of Zoology, University College London, UK (LDUCZ); Natuurhistorisch Museum Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands (NHMM); The Natural History Museum, London, UK (NHMUK); University of Oxford Museum of Natural History, Oxford, UK (OUMNH); Teylers Museum, Haarlem, Netherlands (TM); Florida Museum of Natural History, Gainesville, USA (UF); and the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institute, Washington D.C., USA (USNM). See Table S1 for the complete specimen list. The *Crocodylus acutus*, *Gavialis gangeticus* and *Varanus olivaceus* skull diagrams in Fig. 3 were adapted from Ref.³⁵, and the *Varanus prasinus* and *Varanus rudicollis* diagrams were drawn from UF 56949 and UF 63622 respectively. Mosasaur silhouettes and tooth images are adapted from Refs.^{9,10} with the same colour codes used to distinguish between taxa.

Extant reptiles were assigned to one of five dietary guilds that account for the relative ‘intractability’ (roughly equivalent to hardness) of prey as food: carnivores (tetrapod consumers); piscivores (fish consumers); ‘harder’ invertebrate consumers (invertebrates with hard exoskeletons, e.g., beetles, crustaceans and shelled gastropods); ‘softer’ invertebrate consumers (invertebrates with less hard exoskeletons, e.g., crickets, grasshoppers, dragonflies, damselflies and ants); omnivores (combination of plant and organic matter). See³⁶ for how each species was assigned to a guild.

Tooth sampling

Mosasaur specimens were cleaned with acetone-soaked cotton swabs to remove any grease or dirt and consolidant. Microwear data from all extant and extinct reptiles were acquired from non-occlusal (non-chewing) labial surfaces, as close to the tooth apex as possible. Wear facets that likely formed from tooth-tooth occlusion from the opening and closing of jaws, characterized by their vertical orientation, elliptical shape and parallel features³⁹, were not sampled. High-fidelity tooth cast replicas were then created using standard laboratory protocols^{36,60}. First, negative tooth moulds were produced using President Jet Regular Body polyvinylsiloxane (Coltène/Whaledent Ltd., Burgess Hill, West Sussex UK). Initial moulds taken from each specimen were then discarded to remove any remaining dirt and all analyses were performed on respective second moulds. Casts were then made from these moulds using EpoTek 320 LV Black epoxy resin mixed to manufacturer’s instructions. Resin was cured for 24 h under 200 kPa (2 Bar/30 psi) of pressure (Protima Pressure Tank 10 L) to improve casting quality. Small casts were mounted onto 12.7 mm SEM stubs using President Jet polyvinylsiloxane with the labial, non-occluding surfaces orientated apically to optimise data acquisition. All casts were sputter coated with gold for 3 min (SC650, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) to optimize capture of surface texture data. Replicas produced using these methods are statistically indistinguishable from original tooth surfaces⁶⁰.

Three-dimensional surface texture data acquisition

Surface texture data acquisition follows standard laboratory protocols³⁶. Data were captured using an Alicona Infinite Focus microscope G4b (IFM; Alicona GmbH, Graz, Austria; software version 2.1.2), using a $\times 100$ objective lens, producing a field of view of $146 \times 100 \mu\text{m}$. Lateral and vertical resolution were set at 440 nm and 20 nm, respectively. Casts were orientated so that labial surfaces were perpendicular to the axis of the objective lens.

All 3D data files were processed using Alicona IFM software (version 2.1.2) to remove dirt particles from tooth surfaces and anomalous data points (spikes) by manual deletion. Data were levelled (subtraction of least squares plane) to remove variation caused by differences in tooth surface orientation at the time of data capture. Files were exported as .sur files and imported into Surfstand (software version 5.0.0 Centre for Precision Technologies, University of Huddersfield, West Yorkshire, UK). Scale-limited surfaces were generated through application of a fifth-order robust polynomial to remove gross tooth form and a robust Gaussian filter (wavelength $\lambda_c = 0.025 \text{ mm}$)^{45,61}. ISO 25178-2 areal texture parameters (International Organization for Standardization, 2012) were then generated from each scale-limited surface. Descriptions of ISO parameters can be found in Table S2 from Ref.³⁶.

Statistical analyses

Log-transformed texture data were used for analyses as some of the texture parameters were non-normally distributed (Shapiro–Wilk, $P > 0.05$). The parameter Ssk was excluded from analysis as it contains negative values and thus cannot be log-transformed. To test the hypothesis that mosasaur microwear texture differences reflect dietary differences, mosasaur microwear data were independently projected as unknown data points onto the axes of a principal components analysis (PCA) and a canonical variates analysis (CVA, a form of linear discriminant analysis) of extant reptile dietary guild microwear differences. All DMTA analyses were performed with JMP Pro 12 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Data availability

Museum specimen information, raw microwear texture data and PC values of all sampled extant and extinct reptiles can be found in Table S1.

Received: 14 December 2022; Accepted: 9 September 2023

Published online: 09 November 2023

References

- Polcyn, M. J., Jacobs, L. L., Araújo, R., Schulp, A. S. & Mateus, O. Physical drivers of mosasaur evolution. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **400**, 17–27 (2014).
- Russell, D. A. *Systematics and Morphology of American Mosasaurs* (Yale University Press, 2020).
- Kocsis, L., Ősi, A., Vennemann, T., Trueman, C. N. & Palmer, M. R. Geochemical study of vertebrate fossils from the Upper Cretaceous (Santonian) Csehbánya formation (Hungary): Evidence for a freshwater habitat of mosasaurs and pycnodont fish. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **280**, 532–542 (2009).
- Simões, T. R., Vernygora, O., Paparella, I., Jimenez-Huidobro, P. & Caldwell, M. W. Mosasauroid phylogeny under multiple phylogenetic methods provides new insights on the evolution of aquatic adaptations in the group. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0176773 (2017).
- Bell, G. L. A phylogenetic revision of North American and Adriatic Mosasauroida. In *Ancient Marine Reptiles* (ed. Bell, G. L.) 293–332 (Elsevier, 1997).
- Cross, S. R., Moon, B. C., Stubbs, T. L., Rayfield, E. J. & Benton, M. J. Climate, competition, and the rise of mosasauroid ecomorphological disparity. *Palaeontology* **65**, e12590 (2022).
- Jagt, J. W. M. & Jagt-Yazykova, E. A. Stratigraphy of the type Maastrichtian—A synthesis. *Scripta Geol.* **8**, 5–32 (2012).
- Schulp, A. S. *On Maastricht mosasaurs* (Stichting Natuurpublicaties Limburg, Roermond, 2006).
- Schulp, A. S., Vonhof, H. B., Van der Lubbe, J., Janssen, R. & Van Baal, R. R. On diving and diet: Resource partitioning in type-Maastrichtian mosasaurs. *Neth. J. Geosci.* **92**, 165–170 (2013).
- Schulp, A. S. *et al.* Stable isotopes, niche partitioning and the paucity of elasmosaur remains in the Maastrichtian type area. *Neth. J. Geosci.* **96**, 29–33 (2017).
- Vellekoop, J. *et al.* A new age model and chemostratigraphic framework for the Maastrichtian type area (southeastern Netherlands, northeastern Belgium). *Newslett. Stratigr.* **55**, 479 (2022).
- Dollo, L. *Globidens fraasi*, mosasaurien mylodonte nouveau du Maastrichtien (Crétacé supérieur) du Limbourg, et l'éthologie de la nutrition chez les mosasauriens. *Arch. Biol.* **28**, 609–626 (1913).
- Schulp, A. S., Polcyn, M. J., Mateus, O., Jacobs, L. L. & Morais, M. L. A new species of *Prognathodon* (Squamata, Mosasauridae) from the Maastrichtian of Angola, and the affinities of the mosasaur genus *Liodon*. In *Proc. Second Mosasaur Meeting*, Vol. 3, 1–12 (Fort Hays State University Fort Hays, 2008).
- Schulp, A. S. Feeding the mechanical mosasaur: What did *Carinodens* eat? *Geol. Mijnb.* **84**, 345–357 (2005).
- Longrich, N. R., Bardet, N., Khaldoune, F., Yazami, O. K. & Jalil, N.-E. *Pluridens serpentis*, a new mosasaurid (Mosasauridae: Halisaurinae) from the Maastrichtian of Morocco and implications for mosasaur diversity. *Cretac. Res.* **126**, 104882 (2021).
- Yamashita, M., Konishi, T. & Sato, T. Sclerotic rings in Mosasaurs (Squamata: Mosasauridae): Structures and taxonomic diversity. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0117079 (2015).
- Martin, J. E. Gastric residues associated with a mosasaur from the Late Cretaceous (Campanian) Pierre Shale in South Dakota. *Dakoterra* **3**, 68–72 (1987).
- Konishi, T., Newbrey, M. G. & Caldwell, M. W. A small, exquisitely preserved specimen of *Mosasaurus missouriensis* (Squamata, Mosasauridae) from the upper Campanian of the Bearpaw Formation, western Canada, and the first stomach contents for the genus. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* **34**, 802–819 (2014).
- Konishi, T., Brinkman, D., Massare, J. A. & Caldwell, M. W. New exceptional specimens of *Prognathodon overtoni* (Squamata, Mosasauridae) from the upper Campanian of Alberta, Canada, and the systematics and ecology of the genus. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* **31**, 1026–1046 (2011).
- Hunt, A. P. & Lucas, S. G. Mosasaur coprolites from the Bearpaw Formation (Upper Cretaceous) of Saskatchewan Canada. *Fossil Rec.* **79**, 271 (2021).
- Giltaij, T., van der Lubbe, J. H., Lindow, B., Schulp, A. S. & Jagt, J. W. Carbon isotope trends in north-west European mosasaurs (Squamata; Late Cretaceous). *Bull. Geol. Soc. Den.* **69**, 59–70 (2021).
- Szczepanski, J. A. & Bengtson, D. A. Quantitative food habits of the bullnose ray, *Myliobatis freminvillii*, in Delaware Bay. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* **97**, 981–997 (2014).
- Nifong, J. C. *et al.* American alligator digestion rate of blue crabs and its implications for stomach contents analysis. *Copeia* **2012**, 419–423 (2012).
- Bestwick, J., Unwin, D. M., Butler, R. J., Henderson, D. M. & Purnell, M. A. Pterosaur dietary hypotheses: A review of ideas and approaches. *Biol. Rev.* **93**, 2021–2048 (2018).
- Nielsen, J. M., Clare, E. L., Hayden, B., Brett, M. T. & Kratina, P. Diet tracing in ecology: Method comparison and selection. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **9**, 278–291 (2018).
- Caut, S., Angulo, E. & Courchamp, F. Variation in discrimination factors ($\Delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\Delta^{13}\text{C}$): The effect of diet isotopic values and applications for diet reconstruction. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **46**, 443–453 (2009).
- Rosenblatt, A. E. *et al.* Factors affecting individual foraging specialization and temporal diet stability across the range of a large “generalist” apex predator. *Oecologia* **178**, 5–16 (2015).
- McLennan, L. J. & Purnell, M. A. Dental microwear texture analysis as a tool for dietary discrimination in elasmobranchs. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–9 (2021).
- Fiorillo, A. R. Dental microwear patterns of the sauropod dinosaurs *Camarasaurus* and *Diplodocus*: Evidence for resource partitioning in the late Jurassic of North America. *Hist. Biol.* **13**, 1–16 (1998).
- Purnell, M. A., Hart, P. J., Baines, D. C. & Bell, M. A. Quantitative analysis of dental microwear in threespine stickleback: A new approach to analysis of trophic ecology in aquatic vertebrates. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **75**, 967–977 (2006).

31. DeSantis, L. R. *et al.* Direct comparisons of 2D and 3D dental microwear proxies in extant herbivorous and carnivorous mammals. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e71428 (2013).
32. Sakaki, H. *et al.* Non-occlusal dental microwear texture analysis of a titanosauriform sauropod dinosaur from the Upper Cretaceous (Turonian) Tamagawa formation, northeastern Japan. *Cretac. Res.* **136**, 105218 (2022).
33. Holwerda, F. M., Beatty, B. L. & Schulp, A. S. Dental macro- and microwear in *Carinodens belgicus*, a small mosasaur from the type Maastrichtian. *Neth. J. Geosci.* **92**, 267–274 (2013).
34. Bestwick, J., Jones, A. S., Purnell, M. A. & Butler, R. J. Dietary constraints of phytosaurian reptiles revealed by dental microwear textural analysis. *Palaeontology* **64**, 119–136 (2021).
35. Bestwick, J., Unwin, D. M., Butler, R. J. & Purnell, M. A. Dietary diversity and evolution of the earliest flying vertebrates revealed by dental microwear texture analysis. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1–9 (2020).
36. Bestwick, J., Unwin, D. M. & Purnell, M. A. Dietary differences in archosaur and lepidosaur reptiles revealed by dental microwear textural analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1–11 (2019).
37. Poropat, S. F. *et al.* Sauropod dinosaur teeth from the lower Upper Cretaceous Winton Formation of Queensland, Australia and the global record of early titanosauriforms. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **9**, 220381 (2022).
38. Scott, R. S. *et al.* Dental microwear texture analysis shows within-species diet variability in fossil hominins. *Nature* **436**, 693–695 (2005).
39. Ungar, P. S., Brown, C. A., Bergstrom, T. S. & Walker, A. Quantification of dental microwear by tandem scanning confocal microscopy and scale-sensitive fractal analyses. *Scanning* **25**, 185–193 (2003).
40. Mühlbachler, M. C., Beatty, B. L., Caldera-Siu, A., Chan, D. & Lee, R. Error rates and observer bias in dental microwear analysis using light microscopy. *Palaeontol. Electron.* **15**, 12A (2012).
41. Mühlbachler, M. C. & Beatty, B. L. Magnification and resolution in dental microwear analysis using light microscopy. *Palaeontol. Electron.* **15**, 14 (2012).
42. Schulz, E., Calandra, I. & Kaiser, T. M. Applying tribology to teeth of hoofed mammals. *Scanning* **32**, 162–182 (2010).
43. Purnell, M., Seehausen, O. & Galis, F. Quantitative three-dimensional microtextural analyses of tooth wear as a tool for dietary discrimination in fishes. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **9**, 2225–2233 (2012).
44. Daegling, D. J. *et al.* Viewpoints: Feeding mechanics, diet, and dietary adaptations in early hominins. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **151**, 356–371 (2013).
45. Purnell, M. A. & Darras, L. P. 3D tooth microwear texture analysis in fishes as a test of dietary hypotheses of durophagy. *Surf. Topogr. Metrol. Prop.* **4**, 014006 (2015).
46. Winkler, D. E., Schulz-Kornas, E., Kaiser, T. M. & Tütken, T. Dental microwear texture reflects dietary tendencies in extant Lepidosauria despite their limited use of oral food processing. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **286**, 20190544 (2019).
47. Purnell, M. A., Goodall, R. H., Thomson, S. & Matthews, C. J. Tooth microwear texture in odontocete whales: Variation with tooth characteristics and implications for dietary analysis. *Biosurf. Biotribol.* **3**, 184–195 (2017).
48. Bethune, E., Schulz-Kornas, E., Lehnert, K., Siebert, U. & Kaiser, T. M. Tooth microwear texture in the Eastern Atlantic harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina vitulina*) of the German Wadden Sea and its implications for long term dietary and ecosystem changes. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **9**, 019 (2021).
49. Nesbitt, S. J., Desojo, J. B. & Irmis, R. B. Anatomy, phylogeny and palaeobiology of early archosaurs and their kin. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Spl. Publ.* **379**, 1–7 (2013).
50. Kuypers, M. M., Jagt, J. W. M., Peeters H. H. G. & de Graaf D. Laat-kretaceische mosasauriërs uit Luik-Limburg: nieuwe vondsten leiden tot nieuwe inzichten. Publicaties van het Natuurhistorisch Genootschap in Limburg **41**, 5–48 (1998).
51. Dortangs, R. W. *et al.* A large new mosasaur from the Upper Cretaceous of The Netherlands. *Neth. J. Geosci.* **81**, 1–8 (2002).
52. Schulp, A. S. *et al.* New mosasaur material from the Maastrichtian of Angola, with notes on the phylogeny, distribution and palaeoecology of the genus *Prognathodon*. *On Maastricht Mosasaur* **45**, 57–67 (2006).
53. Lingham-Soliar, T. The durophagous mosasaurs (Lepidosauromorpha, Squamata) *Globidens* and *Carinodens* from the Upper Cretaceous of Belgium and the Netherlands. *Paleontol. J.* **33**, 638–647 (1999).
54. Laverty, T. M. & Dobson, A. P. Dietary overlap between black caimans and spectacled caimans in the Peruvian Amazon. *Herpetologica* **69**, 91–101 (2013).
55. Staniewicz, A., Behler, N., Dharmasayah, S. & Jones, G. Niche partitioning between juvenile sympatric crocodylians in Mesang Lake, East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Raffles Bull. Zool.* **66**, 1 (2018).
56. Losos, J. B. & Greene, H. W. Ecological and evolutionary implications of diet in monitor lizards. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **35**, 379–407 (1988).
57. Rahman, K. M., Rakhimov, I. I. & Khan, M. M. H. Activity budgets and dietary investigations of *Varanus salvator* (Reptilia: Varanidae) in Karamjal ecotourism spot of Bangladesh Sundarbans mangrove forest. *Basic Appl. Herpetol.* **31**, 45–56 (2017).
58. Rosenblatt, A. E. & Heithaus, M. R. Slow isotope turnover rates and low discrimination values in the American alligator: Implications for interpretation of ectotherm stable isotope data. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **86**, 137–148 (2013).
59. Schubert, B. W. & Ungar, P. S. Wear facets and enamel spalling in tyrannosaurid dinosaurs. *Acta Palaeontol. Pol.* **50**, 1 (2005).
60. Goodall, R. H., Darras, L. P. & Purnell, M. A. Accuracy and precision of silicon based impression media for quantitative areal texture analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 1–14 (2015).
61. Schulz, E., Calandra, I. & Kaiser, T. M. Feeding ecology and chewing mechanics in hoofed mammals: 3D tribology of enamel wear. *Wear* **300**, 169–179 (2013).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank T. van der Spek (Teylers Museum Haarlem), P. Campbell (NHMUK), M. Carnall (OUMNH), T. Davidson (LDUCZ), C. Sheehy (UF), A. Resetar (FMNH) and A. Wynn (USNM) for access to specimens. FH was supported by the Dr Betsy Nicholls Postdoctoral Research Fellowship, provided by the Royal Tyrrell Museum of Paleontology Cooperating Society. JB was supported by a Leverhulme Trust Research Project Grant (RPG-2019-364), awarded to Richard Butler, Stephan Lautenschlager, Laura Porro and Paul Barrett, during project completion.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: A.S., M.P., J.B., F.H., J.J.; Formal Analysis: J.B., M.P., F.H.; Methodology: J.B., M.P. Project Administration: A.S., M.P. Resources: A.S., M.P., J.J. Software: J.B., M.P., J.B., Writing—Original Draft Preparation: F.H., J.B.; Writing—Review & Editing: F.H., J.B., M.P., A.S., J.J.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42369-7>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.M.H.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023