Comparison of SP263 and 22C3 immunohistochemistry PD-L1 assays for clinical efficacy of adjuvant atezolizumab in non-small cell lung cancer: results from the randomized phase III IMpower010 trial

Caicun Zhou ,¹ Minu K Srivastava,² Hao Xu,³ Enriqueta Felip,⁴ Heather Wakelee,⁵ Nasser Altorki,⁶ Martin Reck,⁷ Rüdiger Liersch,⁸ Anna Kryzhanivska,⁹ Satoshi Oizumi,¹⁰ Hiroshi Tanaka,¹¹ John Hamm,¹² Steven L McCune,¹³ Elizabeth Bennett,¹⁴ Barbara Gitlitz,¹⁴ Virginia McNally,¹⁵ Marcus Ballinger,¹⁴ Mark McCleland,^{2,16} Wei Zou,² Meghna Das Thakur,^{2,17}

To cite: Zhou C, Srivastava MK, Xu H, et al. Comparison of SP263 and 22C3 immunohistochemistry PD-L1 assays for clinical efficacy of adjuvant atezolizumab in nonsmall cell lung cancer: results from the randomized phase III IMpower010 trial. Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer 2023;11:e007047. doi:10.1136/ iitc-2023-007047

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10. 1136/jitc-2023-007047).

CZ and MKS contributed equally. MDT and SN contributed equally.

CZ and MKS are joint first authors.

Accepted 07 September 2023



Check for updates

@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Caicun Zhou: caicunzhou_dr@163.com

ABSTRACT

Background Tumor samples from the phase III IMpower010 study were used to compare two programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) immunohistochemistry assays (VENTANA SP263 and Dako 22C3) for identification of PD-L1 patient subgroups (negative, positive, low, and high expression) and their predictive value for adjuvant atezolizumab compared with best supportive care (BSC) in resectable early-stage nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Methods PD-L1 expression was assessed by the SP263 assay, which measured the percentage of tumor cells with any membranous PD-L1 staining, and the 22C3 assay, which scored the percentage of viable tumor cells showing partial or complete membranous PD-L1 staining. Results When examining the concordance at the PD-L1-positive threshold (SP263: tumor cell (TC)≥1%; 22C3: tumor proportion score (TPS)≥1%), the results were concordant between assays for 83% of the samples. Similarly, at the PD-L1-high cut-off (SP263: TC≥50%; 22C3: TPS≥50%), the results were concordant between assays for 92% of samples. The disease-free survival benefit of atezolizumab over BSC was comparable between assays for PD-L1-positive (TC≥1% by SP263: HR, 0.58 (95% CI: 0.40 to 0.85) vs TPS≥1% by 22C3: HR, 0.65 (95% CI: 0.45 to 0.95)) and PD-L1-high (TC≥50% by SP263: HR, 0.27 (95% CI: 0.14 to 0.53) vs TPS≥50% by 22C3: HR, 0.31 (95% Cl: 0.16 to 0.60)) subgroups. Conclusions The SP263 and 22C3 assays showed high

concordance and a comparable clinical predictive value of atezolizumab at validated PD-L1 thresholds, suggesting that both assays can identify patients with early-stage NSCLC most likely to experience benefit from adjuvant atezolizumab.

Trial registration number NCT02486718.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who have tumors with high programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression generally derive the greatest clinical benefit from anti-programmed death-1/PD-L1 drugs. Previous studies in advanced NSCLC have demonstrated concordance between the SP263, 22C3, and 28-8 immunohistochemistry assays for identifying PD-L1 expression on tumor cells. Even though the assessment of PD-L1 expression in patients' tumors could help inform treatment decisions, direct data for PD-L1 assay comparisons does not exist for early-stage NSCLC.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ Using tumor samples from IMpower010, this report shows that the SP263 and 22C3 immunohistochemistry assays have high concordance and a comparable clinical predictive value of atezolizumab over best supportive care at validated PD-L1 thresholds for patients with early-stage NSCLC.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ These data suggest that both the SP263 and 22C3 assays can be used clinically to identify patients with early-stage NSCLC who are most likely to experience benefit from adjuvant atezolizumab.

INTRODUCTION

Programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) is an immune checkpoint protein expressed on tumor and tumor infiltrating immune cells. Binding of PD-L1 to its receptors programmed death-1 (PD-1) or B7.1 can lead



to suppression of anti-cancer immune mechanisms.¹ In advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), inhibition of PD-L1 and its receptor, PD-1, has become an important therapeutic strategy for patients without driver mutations including *EGFR* and *ALK*. Across lines of therapy, PD-L1/PD-1 inhibitors atezolizumab, nivolumab, durvalumab, pembrolizumab, and cemiplimab either alone or in combination with chemotherapy, are recommended for use by current guidelines.²³

For early-stage NSCLC, the standard of care is adjuvant chemotherapy,²³ despite only modest 5-year survival benefits of ≈5%. 4 5 IMpower010 was the first phase III study to demonstrate the clinical benefit of cancer immunotherapy (atezolizumab) as measured by improved diseasefree survival (DFS) in adjuvant early-stage NSCLC.⁶ Adjuvant atezolizumab improved DFS compared with best supportive care (BSC) for patients with stage II-III NSCLC whose tumors expressed PD-L1 on ≥1% of tumor cells (median DFS, non-estimable (NE) (95% CI: 36.1 to NE) vs 35.3 months (95% CI: 29.0 to NE); HR 0.66 (95% CI: 0.50 to 0.88)); for patients with stage II-III NSCLC whose tumors expressed PD-L1 on ≥50% of tumor cells (median DFS, NE (95% CI: 42.3 to NE) vs 35.7 months (29.7–NE); HR, 0.43 (95% CI: 0.27 to 0.68)); and among all patients in the stage II–IIIA population (median DFS, 42.3 months (95% CI: 36.0 to NE) vs 35.3 months (95% CI: 30.4 to 46.4); stratified HR, 0.79; (95% CI: 0.64 to 0.96)). At this analysis, statistical significance for DFS was not met in the intention-to-treat (ITT) population (stage IB-IIIA). Based on these results, atezolizumab was approved in the USA, China, Japan, Brazil, Russia, New Zealand, and several other countries for adjuvant treatment following surgery and platinum-based chemotherapy for patients with stage II-IIIA NSCLC whose tumors express PD-L1 on $\geq 1\%$ of tumor cells⁷; in the UK, Canada, Australia, and Switzerland for patients whose tumors express PD-L1 on ≥50% of tumor cells⁸; and in the European Union for patients whose tumors express PD-L1 on ≥50% of tumor cells and do not harbor EGFR mutations or ALK alterations. Further, the companion diagnostic test VENTANA PD-L1 SP263 immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay received US Food and Drug Administration approval for use in early-stage NSCLC to identify patients with PD-L1 expression on tumor cells. 10

Since patients with metastatic NSCLC who have high PD-L1 expression generally derive the greatest clinical benefit from anti-PD-L1 drugs, ^{11–15} assays to determine tumor PD-L1 expression are an important tool to identify patients who may achieve benefit from these treatments. In addition to SP263 (atezolizumab), ¹⁰ other PD-L1 IHC assays that have been clinically validated as companion or complementary diagnostics with their associated PD-L1/PD-1 inhibitors are Dako 22C3 (pembrolizumab), ¹² ¹⁶ ¹⁷ Dako 28–8 (nivolumab), ¹⁵ VENTANA SP263 (durvalumab), ¹¹ and VENTANA SP142 (atezolizumab). ¹³ ¹⁴ ¹⁸ This one assay-one drug structure has limited clinicians to prescribe a treatment based on which assay is available to them. Since each assay uses a unique

clone, detection instrument, and scoring algorithm, questions have arisen about the interchangeability of one test result with another. A better understanding of concordance between assays provides physicians with a greater flexibility to choose which assay to use when prescribing PD-L1/PD-1 inhibitors.

Previous studies in advanced NSCLC have demonstrated an alignment between assays, showing that the SP263, 22C3, and 28–8 assays were highly concordant for identifying PD-L1 expression on tumor cells. ^{19–21} Additionally, in a retrospective analysis of patients with metastatic NSCLC (OAK trial; NCT02008227), atezolizumab administered as a second-line or third-line treatment improved survival compared with docetaxel regardless of whether the SP142 or 22C3 assay was used to determine tumor PD-L1 expression. ¹⁸ Despite the fact that the assessment of PD-L1 expression in patients' tumors could help inform treatment decisions, direct data for PD-L1 assay comparisons does not exist in early-stage NSCLC.

The goal of the current analysis was to evaluate concordance and compare the predictive value of two PD-L1 assays, SP263 and 22C3, for benefit from atezolizumab in patients with stage II–IIIA NSCLC. Here, we show comparable clinical efficacy of atezolizumab over BSC between assays for each PD-L1 expression subgroup. Further, the comparable concordance between the SP263 and 22C3 assays suggests that both assays can identify patients with early-stage NSCLC who are most likely to experience benefit from adjuvant atezolizumab.

METHODS Patients and treatment

IMpower010 was a randomized, multicenter, open-label phase III study, which examined clinical outcomes of atezolizumab compared with BSC following adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy. Detailed methods were previously described. Patients were aged ≥18 years with completely resected stage IB–IIIA NSCLC per the *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*, seventh edition, and had an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS) ≤1. Patients were randomly assigned (1:1) to receive adjuvant atezolizumab (1200 mg every 3 weeks for 16 cycles or 1 year) or BSC following one to four cycles of adjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy.

Immunohistochemistry assays

For patient enrollment and stratification in the IMpower010 study,⁶ PD-L1 expression on tumor tissue samples was determined using the SP142 IHC assay (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, Arizona, USA). The SP142 assay expresses PD-L1 as a percentage of total tumor cells (TC) and tumor-infiltrating immune cells (IC). Tumor PD-L1 expression by SP142 was defined by TC/IC cut-off values of PD-L1 negative (TC0 and IC0), PD-L1 positive (TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3), or PD-L1 high (TC3 or IC3).



Staining with the SP263 assay (Ventana Medical Systems) was performed retrospectively on freshly cut tissue sections or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor tissue sections <1 year old, based on the manufacturer's cut slide stability instructions. ²² Of the 1005 patients in the IMpower010 study, ⁶ 979 patients (97%) had tissue available for evaluation by the SP263 assay. SP263 assesses the percentage of tumor cells with membranous PD-L1 staining of any intensity. Categories were defined by TC cut-off values of PD-L1 negative (TC<1%), PD-L1 positive (TC \geq 1%), PD-L1 low (TC 1%=49%), or PD-L1 high (TC \geq 50%).

Staining with the 22C3 assay (Dako pharmDx 22C3 IHC; Dako North America, Carpinteria, California, USA) was performed retrospectively on freshly cut tissue sections or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor tissue sections <6 months old, based on the manufacturer's cut slide stability instructions.²³ Tumors were scored in terms of the tumor proportion score (TPS), which represents the percentage of viable tumor cells showing partial or complete membranous PD-L1 staining. Tumor PD-L1 expression was defined by TPS cut-off values of PD-L1 negative (TPS<1%), PD-L1 positive (TPS≥1%), PD-L1 low (TPS 1%−49%), or PD-L1 high (TPS≥50%).

Staining and scoring occurred at a central laboratory (Q² Solutions in Beijing, China, for patients in China and CellCarta in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, for all other patients). Although most patients (97%) had samples available for SP263 analysis, the 22C3-BEP was smaller because the Human Genetic Resources Administration of China exploratory application was not in place at the time of testing, and therefore, the China cohort was not included in the 22C3 analysis. Others were not analyzed by 22C3 due to insufficient tissue.

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed in the 22C3-biomarker-evaluable population (22C3-BEP; patients with available tissue that was analyzed by 22C3), the combined-BEP (patients with available tissue that was analyzed by both 22C3 and SP263 assays), and the non-22C3-BEP (patients whose tumors were analyzed by SP263 only). Kaplan-Meier estimates and corresponding medians for DFS were calculated within the 22C3-BEP, combined-BEP, and non-22C3-BEP according to the predefined PD-L1 cut-off values with each assay. All HRs and 95% CIs were derived from unstratified and unadjusted Cox models.

RESULTS

Patient enrollment occurred between October 7, 2015, and September 19, 2018. The ITT population comprised 1005 patients. Within the ITT population, 882 patients had stage II–IIIA NSCLC. Among the 882 stage II–IIIA patients, 527 patients had samples analyzed by 22C3 (22C3-BEP), and of those, 524 patients had samples also analyzed by SP263 (combined-BEP). Finally, 335 of the 882 patients had tumors without 22C3 assessment

(non-22C3-BEP). Twenty patients had samples that were non-evaluable by both assays (figure 1).

We examined the prevalence of PD-L1 expression levels by the SP263 and 22C3 assays in the stage II–IIIA combined-BEP and 22C3-BEP, respectively. The SP263 assay identified 51.9% (272/524) of patients in the combined-BEP who were PD-L1 positive (TC \geq 1%) compared with 58.1% (306/527) of patients in the 22C3-BEP who were 22C3 PD-L1 positive (TPS \geq 1%). Likewise, 24.4% (128/524) of patients in the combined-BEP were SP263 PD-L1 high (TC \geq 50%) compared with 23.3% (123/527) of patients in the 22C3-BEP who were 22C3 PD-L1 high (TPS \geq 50%) (figure 2A).

Next, we assessed the stage II-IIIA combined-BEP for the overlap of patients based on each PD-L1 assay. When using a cut-off of TC≥1% by SP263 and TPS≥1% by 22C3, 46.4% (243/524) of patients were identified as having PD-L1-positive tumors by both assays. Each assay identified a unique population of patients who were nonoverlapping between the assays; 5.5% (29/524) of the patients were defined as SP263 PD-L1 positive (TC≥1%) but 22C3 PD-L1 negative (TPS<1%), and 11.8% (62/524) of the patients were defined as 22C3 PD-L1 positive (TPS≥1%) but SP263 PD-L1 negative (TC<1%). Finally, 36.3% (190/524) of patients were characterized as PD-L1 negative by both assays (TC<1% by SP263 and TPS<1% by 22C3). In total, at the PD-L1 TC or TPS≥1% cut-off, 82.6% (433/524) of patients were characterized into the same PD-L1 tumor expression level category by both assays (figure 2B).

When tumor samples were characterized using a cutoff of PD-L1 TC≥50% by SP263 and TPS≥50% by 22C3, 19.8% (104/524) of patients were identified as having PD-L1-high tumors by both assays. Each assay also identified a unique population of patients who were nonoverlapping between the assays at this PD-L1 cut-off: the SP263 assay identified 4.6% (24/524) of samples as PD-L1 high (TC≥50%) that were TPS<50% by 22C3, and the 22C3 assay identified 3.6% (19/524) of samples as PD-L1 high (TPS≥50%) that were TC<50% by SP263. Lastly, 71.9% (377/524) of patients did not have PD-L1high tumors according to both assays (TC<50% by SP263 and TPS<50% by 22C3). In total, 91.8% (481/524) of patients were characterized into the same PD-L1 tumor expression level category by both the 22C3 and SP263 assays at the PD-L1 TC or TPS≥50% expression level cutoff (figure 2B).

Since patients in IMpower010 were stratified by SP142,⁶ we also examined the overlap of patients identified as PD-L1 positive or PD-L1 high using SP142 with that of SP263 or 22C3 in the combined-BEP. When comparing the SP142 and SP263 assays, 50.4% (264/524) of patients were identified as having PD-L1-positive tumors by both assays (TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3 by SP142 and TC≥1% by assay) and 17.4% (91/524) of patients were identified as having PD-L1-high tumors by both assays (TC3 or IC3 by SP142 and TC≥50% by SP263). In total, the SP142 and SP263 assays characterized 54.8% (287/524) of patients




Figure 1 Study design for analysis of patient samples from the IMpower010 trial using the SP263 and 22C3 IHC assays to measure tumor cell PD-L1 levels. ^a Twenty samples were non-evaluable by both the 22C3 and SP263 assays. BEP, biomarker-evaluable population; IHC, immunohistochemistry; ITT, intention to treat; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1.

into the same PD-L1 tumor expression level category at the PD-L1-positive cut-off and 80.7% (423/524) of patients into the same PD-L1 tumor expression level category at the PD-L1-high cut-off (online supplemental figure 1A,B). When comparing the SP142 and 22C3 assays, 57.1% (299/524) of patients were identified as having PD-L1-positive tumors by both assays (TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3 by SP142 and TPS≥1% by 22C3) and 16.2% of patients (85/524) were identified as having PD-L1-high tumors by both assays (TC3 or IC3 by SP142 and TPS≥50% by 22C3). In total, the SP142 and 22C3 assays characterized 61.8% (324/524) of patients into the same PD-L1 tumor expression level category at the PD-L1-positive cutoff and 79.4% (416/524) of patients into the same PD-L1 tumor expression level category at the PD-L1-high cut-off (online supplemental figure 1C,D).

The baseline characteristics among the SP263-defined tumor PD-L1 subgroups (TC \geq 1%, TC 1%–49%, TC \geq 50%) in the stage II–IIIA patients were generally well balanced (<10% difference in prevalence) between treatment arms. However, there were a few exceptions. In the PD-L1 TC 1%–49% subgroup, the atezolizumab arm had a higher proportion of ECOG PS 1 and stage III patients compared with the BSC arm, while the BSC arm had a higher proportion of stage II, ECOG PS 0, and

patients aged \geq 65 years compared with the atezolizumab arm (table 1). Within the PD-L1 TC \geq 50% subgroup, the only imbalance observed was for ECOG PS, where there was a higher proportion of patients with an ECOG PS 0 in the atezolizumab arm and a higher proportion of patients with an ECOG PS 1 in the BSC arm (table 1).

In the 22C3-BEP, baseline characteristics among the patients defined by tumor PD-L1 subgroups within the stage II–IIIA NSCLC population were well balanced between treatment arms (<10% difference in prevalence; table 1). The only exception observed was within the PD-L1 TPS \geq 50% subgroup, where a higher proportion of patients in the atezolizumab arm had non-squamous histology, a negative ALK mutation status, and a greater proportion of patients in the BSC arm had squamous histology and an unknown ALK mutation status (table 1).

Finally, we evaluated the baseline characteristics in the patients defined by the SP263 assay within the non-22C3-BEP (online supplemental table 1) and observed a few of the categories with $\geq \! 10\%$ imbalances between arms in the three PD-L1 subgroups. Specifically, in the PD-L1 TC 1%–49% subgroup, the atezolizumab arm had a higher proportion of ECOG PS 0 and stage II patients

☐ TC or TPS <1%

TC or TPS ≥1%




Figure 2 (A) Prevalence of patients identified in the programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1)-negative, PD-L1-positive, and PD-L1-high subgroups among the patients with stage II–IIIA NSCLC in the combined-biomarker-evaluable population (BEP) according to the SP263 assay or among the 22C3-BEP according to the 22C3 assay. (B) Venn diagrams show the percentage of patients in the stage II–IIIA combined-BEP that were defined as having tumors that were PD-L1 positive or PD-L1 high according to both, just one, or neither of the SP263 and 22C3 assays. TC, tumor cell; TPS, tumor proportion score.

22C3 TPS ≥50%

46.4% (243)

compared with the BSC arm, while the BSC arm had a higher proportion of ECOG PS 1 and stage III patients compared with the atezolizumab arm. In addition, in the PD-L1 TC \geq 1% and \geq 50% subgroups, the imbalances between arms were mainly observed in categories such as stage, race, age, histology, and *EGFR* status (online supplemental table 1).

11.8% (62)

22C3 TPS ≥1%

100 -

At data cut-off (January 21, 2021), clinical outcomes for atezolizumab compared with BSC among the stage II–IIIA 22C3-BEP (n=527) were similar to those of all stage II–IIIA patients (n=882), with similar DFS HRs (0.73; 95% CI: 0.56 to 0.95 vs 0.78; 95% CI: 0.63 to 0.95; figure 3A). When patient tumors were defined according to PD-L1 expression levels, DFS HRs for atezolizumab compared with BSC were similar regardless of whether the SP263 assay was used to analyze the combined-BEP or the 22C3 assay was used to analyze the 22C3-BEP (figure 3A). Specifically, for the PD-L1-negative subgroup, the DFS HR was

0.97 (95% CI: 0.67 to 1.40) when subgroups were defined by SP263 (TC<1%) versus 0.85 (95% CI: 0.58 to 1.24) when defined by 22C3 (TPS<1%). In the SP263-defined PD-L1-positive subgroup (TC≥1%), the DFS HR was 0.58 (95% CI: 0.40 to 0.85) versus 0.65 (95% CI: 0.45, 0.95) in the 22C3-defined PD-L1-positive subgroup (TPS≥1%). In the PD-L1-low subgroup, the DFS HR was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.58 to 1.54) per SP263 (TC 1%-49%) versus 1.03 (95% CI: 0.64 to 1.66) per 22C3 (TPS 1%–49%). Finally, in the SP263-defined PD-L1-high subgroup (TC≥50%), the DFS HR was 0.27 (95% CI: 0.14 to 0.53) versus 0.31 (95% CI: 0.16 to 0.60) in the 22C3-defined PD-L1-high subgroup (TPS≥50%). Likewise, Kaplan-Meier estimates for the atezolizumab and BSC arms among patients with stage II-IIIA NSCLC in the combined-BEP and 22C3-BEP (figure 3B,C) were similar regardless of whether tumor PD-L1 expression (PD-L1 negative, positive, low, or high), was identified by the SP263 or 22C3 assay, respectively.

3.6% (19)

19.8% (104)

Table 1 Demographics and baseline characteristics among patients with stage II–IIIA non-small cell lung cancer in the combined-biomarker-evaluable population (BEP) when tumor cell programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression was defined by the SP263 assay and in the 22C3-BEP when tumor PD-L1 expression was defined by the 22C3 assay.

		PD-L1 TC or TPS	PD-L1 TC or TPS ≥1%		1%-49%	PD-L1 TC or TPS ≥50%	
Characteristic	Assay	Atezo	BSC	Atezo	BSC	Atezo	BSC
n	SP263	142	130	76	68	66	62
	22C3	157	149	95	88	62	61
Age, median (range), years	SP263	61 (34–80)	61 (26–84)	60 (40–80)	63 (26–84)	62 (34–76)	60 (36–79)
	22C3	62 (34–80)	62 (26–84)	62 (40–80)	62 (26–84)	62 (34–76)	62 (39–79)
Age, ≥65 years	SP263	51 (35.9)	48 (36.9)	27 (35.5)	31 (45.6)	24 (36.4)	17 (27.4)
	22C3	57 (36.3)	58 (38.9)	36 (37.9)	36 (40.9)	21 (33.9)	22 (36.1)
Sex, male	SP263	98 (69.0)	88 (67.7)	47 (61.8)	44 (64.7)	51 (77.3)	44 (71.0)
	22C3	107 (68.2)	103 (69.1)	63 (66.3)	55 (62.5)	44 (71.0)	48 (78.7)
Race, white	SP263	133 (93.7)	126 (96.9)	72 (94.7)	66 (97.1)	61 (92.4)	60 (96.8)
	22C3	148 (94.3)	145 (97.3)	89 (93.7)	86 (97.7)	59 (95.2)	59 (96.7)
ECOG PS 0	SP263	76 (53.5)	73 (56.2)	35 (46.1)	42 (61.8)	41 (62.1)	31 (50.0)
	22C3	87 (55.4)	87 (58.4)	52 (54.7)	54 (61.4)	35 (56.5)	33 (54.1)
Histology, squamous	SP263	62 (43.7)	56 (43.1)	35 (46.1)	26 (38.2)	27 (40.9)	30 (48.4)
	22C3	71 (45.2)	69 (46.3)	45 (47.4)	37 (42.0)	26 (41.9)	32 (52.5)
Histology, non-squamous	SP263	80 (56.3)	74 (56.9)	41 (54.0)	42 (61.8)	39 (59.1)	32 (51.6)
	22C3	86 (54.8)	80 (53.7)	50 (52.6)	51 (58.0)	36 (58.1)	29 (47.5)
Stage, II	SP263	67 (47.2)	69 (53.1)	33 (43.4)	37 (54.4)	34 (51.5)	32 (51.6)
	22C3	81 (51.6)	80 (53.7)	51 (53.7)	48 (54.5)	30 (48.4)	32 (52.5)
Stage, IIIA	SP263	75 (52.8)	61 (46.9)	43 (56.6)	31 (45.6)	32 (48.5)	30 (48.4)
	22C3	76 (48.4)	69 (46.3)	44 (46.3)	40 (45.5)	32 (51.6)	29 (47.5)
Smoker status, current or previous	SP263	118 (83.1)	109 (83.8)	61 (80.3)	54 (79.4)	57 (86.4)	55 (88.7)
	22C3	132 (84.1)	124 (83.2)	78 (82.1)	67 (76.1)	54 (87.1)	57 (93.4)
EGFR mutation status, positive	SP263	10 (7.0)	9 (6.9)	8 (10.5)	4 (5.9)	2 (3.0)	5 (8.1)
	22C3	8 (5.1)	12 (8.1)	6 (6.3)	8 (9.1)	2 (3.2)	4 (6.6)
EGFR mutation status, negative	SP263	67 (47.2)	64 (49.2)	33 (43.4)	36 (52.9)	34 (51.5)	28 (45.2)
	22C3	75 (47.8)	69 (46.3)	41 (43.2)	43 (48.9)	34 (54.8)	26 (42.6)
ALK rearrangement status, positive	SP263	3 (2.1)	6 (4.6)	2 (2.6)	5 (7.4)	1 (1.5)	1 (1.6)
	22C3	2 (1.3)	6 (4.0)	1 (1.1)	5 (5.7)	1 (1.6)	1 (1.6)
ALK rearrangement status, negative	SP263	69 (48.6)	59 (45.4)	36 (47.4)	30 (44.1)	33 (50.0)	29 (46.8)
	22C3	74 (47.1)	67 (45.0)	39 (41.1)	39 (44.3)	35 (56.5)	28 (45.9)

Values reported are n (%) unless otherwise specified.

Atezo, atezolizumab; BSC, best supportive care; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; TC, tumor cell; TPS, tumor proportion score.

Given the smaller BEP for the 22C3 assay compared with SP263, we also analyzed the non-22C3-BEP to provide additional context for interpreting the results described above. Among the non-22C3-BEP, the DFS treatment effect was an HR of 0.98 (95% CI: 0.58 to 1.67) in the PD-L1-negative (TC<1%) subgroup and an HR of 0.76 (95% CI: 0.49 to 1.16) in the PD-L1-positive (TC \geq 1%) subgroup. Among those in the PD-L1-positive subgroup, the treatment effect was 0.75 (95% CI: 0.42 to 1.32) for the PD-L1-low (TC 1%–49%) subgroup and 0.71 (95%

CI: 0.37 to 1.37) for the PD-L1-high (TC \geq 50%) subgroup (online supplemental figure 2A,B).

DISCUSSION

This retrospective analysis of the IMpower010 trial showed high assay concordance and a similar predictive value of the SP263 and 22C3 PD-L1 assays in demonstrating atezolizumab benefit in patients with early-stage NSCLC after surgery and adjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy.




Figure 3 (A) Unstratified HRs for disease-free survival (DFS) observed for all stage II–IIIA patients, the 22C3-biomarker-evaluable population (BEP), and programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression level subgroups in the combined-BEP by the SP263 assay and in the 22C3-BEP by the 22C3 assay. (B) DFS Kaplan-Meier curves for PD-L1 expression subgroups in the combined-BEP by the SP263 assay (C) and in the 22C3-BEP by the 22C3 assay. BSC, best supportive care; NE, non-estimable; TC, tumor cell; TPS, tumor proportion score.

The assays had high concordance, identifying 83% of the same patients as having PD-L1-positive or PD-L1-negative (double positive or double negative) tumors and 92% of the same patients as having PD-L1-high or not PD-L1high (double positive or double negative) tumors. These data expand on previous studies, primarily in advanced NSCLC, that showed concordance between the SP263 and 22C3 assays 19-21 and demonstrate atezolizumab's benefit over BSC in patients with PD-L1-positive or PD-L1-high tumors, regardless of which assay was used to define tumor PD-L1 expression levels in subgroups of the IMpower010 population. In this study, the SP142 assay was used to stratify patients by PD-L1 expression, but the assay was not used for primary efficacy analysis. 6 Consistent with analyses in advanced NSCLC, the concordance of SP142 with SP263 or 22C3 was lower than that between SP263 and 22C3. 19 20 24

While the 22C3 assay was used to analyze the 22C3-BEP and the SP263 assay was used to analyze the combined-BEP, these populations differed only by three additional patients in the 22C3-BEP; baseline characteristics were similar between groups (table 1). Accordingly, clinical differences between the 22C3-BEP and combined-BEP reflect subtle distinctions in each assay's tumor cell PD-L1 identification. Despite the current study using a subgroup of patients who were enrolled in the IMpower010 study, the combined-BEP and 22C3-BEP are generally representative of the ITT population and have similar clinical outcomes to the PD-L1 subgroups of the ITT population. In contrast to the 22C3-BEP and combined-BEP, there was a smaller difference in DFS treatment effect between the PD-L1-high and PD-L1-low subgroups in the non-22C3-BEP (online supplemental figure 2A,B). The treatment effect of the PD-L1-low subgroup in the non-22C3-BEP was numerically greater than that in the 22C3-BEP or combined-BEP, while the treatment effect in the PD-L1-high subgroup of the non-22C3-BEP was numerically lower than that in the 22C3-BEP or combined-BEP. These results are likely to be driven by the chance selection of patients in the non-22C3-BEP and variation of HR estimation in subgroups of moderate sizes (online supplemental figure 2A,B). However, the key finding in this analysis is that the SP263 and 22C3 assays have a high degree of patient-level concordance, and PD-L1 subgroups selected by both assays have highly comparable clinical efficacy.

Nivolumab in combination with chemotherapy is currently the only US Food and Drug Administration-approved neoadjuvant immunotherapy for early-stage NSCLC. Although a PD-L1 efficacy dependence was observed, a trend for event-free survival improvement was noted for the PD-L1-negative subgroup, and nivolumab plus chemotherapy is approved in the US regardless of PD-L1 expression level. Further, for patients with stage IB–IIIA NSCLC, pembrolizumab improved DFS compared with placebo as adjuvant therapy following resection, regardless of PD-L1 expression. Despite not reaching statistical significance, treatment with

pembrolizumab resulted in an 18% reduction in the risk of disease recurrence or death in the PD-L1-high population, highlighting the need for a better understanding of the clinical data across PD-L1 expression levels using currently available assays in the early lung cancer setting.²⁷

This analysis of the IMpower010 study offers the first evidence of assay concordance in patients with early-stage NSCLC, a population for whom correctly defining tumor PD-L1 expression may influence treatment decisions. Both the SP263¹⁰ and 22C3¹⁷ assays are approved to assess PD-L1 expression on tumor cells and are routinely used in clinical practice. The comparable clinical efficacy of atezolizumab over BSC across PD-L1 expression subgroups further supports the use of either assay to identify patients with early-stage NSCLC who are most likely to experience benefit from adjuvant atezolizumab.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Medical Oncology, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Tongji University Affiliated Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Shanghai, China

²Oncology Biomarker Development, Genentech Inc, South San Francisco, California, USA

³F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada

⁴Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Barcelona, Spain

5Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford Cancer Institute, Stanford, California, USA

⁶Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, New York, USA

⁷Lung Clinic Grosshansdorf, Airway Research Center North, German Center of Lung Research, Grosshansdorf, Germany

⁸Practice for Hematology and Medical Oncology Clemenshospital Münster, Münster,

⁹Ivano-Frankivsk National Medical University, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine

¹⁰Department of Respiratory Medicine, National Hospital Organization Hokkaido Cancer Center, Sapporo, Japan

¹¹Department of Internal Medicine, Niigata Cancer Center Hospital, Niigata, Japan
¹²Department of Medical Oncology, Norton Cancer Institute, Louisville, Kentucky,

¹³Northwest Georgia Oncology Centers, Marietta, Georgia, USA

¹⁴Product Development, Genentech Inc, South San Francisco, California, USA

¹⁵Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK

¹⁶Amunix, South San Francisco, California, USA

¹⁷Gilead Sciences, Foster City, CA, USA

¹⁸University of Turin, AOU San Luigi Gonzaga, Orbassano, Turin, Italy

Acknowledgements We thank the patients and their families, Dr Masao Harada, and Vy Ma and Ivette Estay from the biomarker operation team. Medical writing assistance for this manuscript was provided by Marcia Gamboa, PhD, of Health Interactions and funded by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd.

Contributors CZ: conceptualization, methodology, validation, investigation, resources, writing—reviewing and editing, project administration. CZ is responsible for the overall content and accepts full responsibility for the finished work and/ or the conduct of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish. MKS: formal analysis, writing—original draft, writing—reviewing and editing, visualization. HX: validation, formal analysis, writing—reviewing and editing. EF: conceptualization, resources, writing—reviewing and editing, supervision. NA: writing—reviewing and editing. MR: conceptualization, resources, writing—reviewing and editing. AK: writing—reviewing and editing. SO: resources, writing—reviewing and editing. HT: writing—reviewing and editing. JH: investigation and writing—reviewing and editing. SLM: writing—reviewing and editing. BB: conceptualization, investigation, data curation, writing—reviewing and editing. MB: conceptualization, writing—original draft, and writing—reviewing and editing. MB: conceptualization, writing—original draft, and writing—reviewing



and editing, supervision. MM: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing—reviewing and editing, supervision. WZ: software, formal analysis, writing—reviewing and editing, visualization. MDT: conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing—original draft, writing—reviewing and editing, visualization, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. SN: resources, and writing—reviewing and editing.

Funding This work was supported by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd/Genentech, Inc, a member of the Roche Group. Editorial support, funded by the sponsor, was provided by an independent medical writer under the guidance of the authors.

Competing interests CZ reports consulting fees from Innovent Biologics, Qilu, Hengrui, and TopAlliance Biosciences Inc; and payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from Eli Lilly China, Sanofi, Boehringer Ingelheim, Roche, MSD, Qilu, Hengrui, Innovent Biologics, C-Stone LUYE Pharma, TopAlliance Biosciences Inc, Amoy Diagnostics, and AnHeart. MS reports employment by Genentech and stockholding in Roche. HX reports stockholding in Roche as a former Roche employee. EF reports grants or contracts from Merck Healthcare KGaA and Fundación Merck Salud; payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly, F. Hoffman-La Roche, Janssen, Medical Trends, Medscape, Merck Serono, Merck Sharp & Dohme, PeerVoice, Pfizer, Sanofi, Takeda, and Touch Oncology; and is an independent member of the board for Grifols. HW reports grants or contracts from ACEA Biosciences, Arrys Therapeutics, AstraZeneca/Medimmune, Bristol Myers Squibb, Clovis Oncology, Genentech/Roche, Merck, Novartis, SeaGen, Xcovery, and Helsinn; participation on a data safety monitoring board or advisory board for AstraZeneca, Janssen, Daiichi Sankyo, Blueprint, Mirati, Merck, and Genentech/ Roche; and leadership or fiduciary role in other board, society, committee, or advocacy group (paid or unpaid) for International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer (IASLC) and ECOG-ARIN. MR reports consulting fees from Amgen, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, GSK, Mirati, Merck, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, and Roche; payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from Amgen, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, GSK, Mirati, Merck, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, and Roche; support for attending meetings and/or travel from Amgen, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, GSK, Mirati, Merck, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi, and Roche; and participation on a data safety monitoring board or advisory board for Sanofi and Daiichi Sankyo. SO reports grants or contracts from AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Chugai Pharmaceuticals, Daiichi Sankyo, Ono Pharmaceutical, Pfizer, MSD, Sanofi, Taiho, and Takeda. Hiroshi Tanaka reports grants or contracts from Chugai Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, MSD, Ono Pharmaceutical, and Bristol Myers Squibb; and honoraria for Chugai Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, MSD, Ono Pharmaceutical, and Bristol Myers Squibb. SLM reports support from Genentech as a research site for this trial. EB reports employment by Genentech and stockholding in Roche. BG reports employment by Genentech and stockholding in Roche. VM reports employment by Roche and stockholding in Roche, MB reports employment by Genentech and stockholding in Roche. MM reports being a former employee of Roche/Genentech. WZ reports employment by Roche and stockholding in Roche. MDT reports stock or stock options as a former employee of Roche/Genentech. SN reports payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, or educational events from AstraZeneca, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Roche, Pfizer, Takeda, Novartis, Sanofi, Janssen, and GSK; and participation on a data safety monitoring board or advisory board for AstraZeneca, Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Roche, Pfizer, Takeda, Novartis, Sanofi, Janssen, and GSK. NA, RL, AK and JH declare no conflicts of interest.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines for Good Clinical Practice and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol was approved by an institutional review board or an independent ethics committee at each participating site. IRB#16-001589-AM-00021, reference/ID number: IORG0000105. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. Qualified researchers may request access to individual patient level data through the clinical study data request platform (https://vivli.org/). Further details on Roche's criteria for eligible studies are available here (https://vivli.org/members/ourmembers/). For further details on Roche's Global Policy on the Sharing of Clinical Information and

how to request access to related clinical study documents, see here (https://www.roche.com/research_and_development/who_we_are_how_we_work/clinical_trials/our_commitment_to_data_sharing.htm).

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Caicun Zhou http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1072-9941

REFERENCES

- 1 Kim JM, Chen DS. Immune escape to PD-L1/PD-1 blockade: seven steps to success (or failure). Ann Oncol 2016;27:1492–504.
- 2 Owen DH, Singh N, Ismaila N, et al. Therapy for stage IV non-small-cell lung cancer without driver alterations: ASCO living guideline, version 2022.2. J Clin Oncol 2023;41:e10–20.
- 3 Planchard D, Popat S, Kerr K, et al. Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2018;29:iv192–237.
- 4 Arriagada R, Bergman B, Dunant A, et al. Cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely Resected non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;350:351–60.
- 5 Pignon J-P, Tribodet H, Scagliotti GV, et al. Lung adjuvant cisplatin evaluation: a pooled analysis by the LACE collaborative group. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3552–9.
- 6 Felip E, Altorki N, Zhou C, et al. Adjuvant Atezolizumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in Resected stage IB-IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer (Impower010): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2021:398:1344–57.
- 7 Highlights of Prescribing Information, Available: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/761034s042lbl.pdf
- 8 Tecentriq Product Monograph, Available: https://www.rochecanada.com/PMs/Tecentriq/Tecentriq_PM_E.pdf
- 9 Tecentriq. Summary of product characteristics. 2022. Available: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/ tecentriq-epar-product-information_en.pdf
- 10 FDA Approval Letter: VENTANA PD-L1 (SP263) Assay, Available: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/P160046S010A.pdf
- Antonia SJ, Balmanoukian A, Brahmer J, et al. Clinical activity, tolerability, and long-term follow-up of durvalumab in patients with advanced NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol 2019;14:1794–806.
- Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim D-W, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2016;387:1540–50.
- 13 Fehrenbacher L, Spira A, Ballinger M, et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel for patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (POPLAR): a Multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016;387:1837–46.
- 14 Rittmeyer A, Barlesi F, Waterkamp D, et al. Atezolizumab versus docetaxel in patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (OAK): a phase 3, open-label, Multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2017;389:255–65.
- 15 Borghaei H, Paz-Ares L, Horn L, et al. Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced nonsquamous non–small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;373:1627–39.
- 16 Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, et al. Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2018–28.
- 17 PD-L1 IHC 22C3 PharmDx Premarket Approval, Available: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P150013S014

9

- 18 Gadgeel S, Hirsch FR, Kerr K, et al. Comparison of Sp142 and 22C3 immunohistochemistry PD-L1 assays for clinical efficacy of atezolizumab in non-small cell lung cancer: results from the randomized OAK trial. Clin Lung Cancer 2022;23:21–33.
- Hirsch FR, McElhinny A, Stanforth D, et al. PD-L1 immunohistochemistry assays for lung cancer: results from phase 1 of the blueprint PD-L1 IHC assay comparison project. J Thorac Oncol 2017;12:208–22.
- 20 Tsao MS, Kerr KM, Kockx M, et al. PD-L1 immunohistochemistry comparability study in real-life clinical samples: results of blueprint phase 2 project. *Journal of Thoracic Oncology* 2018;13:1302–11.
- 21 Ratcliffe MJ, Sharpe A, Midha A, et al. Agreement between programmed cell death Ligand-1 diagnostic assays across multiple protein expression cutoffs in non–small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2017;23:3585–91.
- 22 Ventana Medical Systems Inc. VENTANA PD-L1 (Sp263) assay staining of non-small cell lung cancer. 2019. Available: https:// www.rochebiomarkers.be/content/media/Files/PD-L1_SP263_ interpretation_guide_NSCLC.pdf

- 23 Agilent Dako. PD-L1 IHC 22C3 pharmDx interpretation manual - NSCLC. 2021. Available: https://www.agilent.com/cs/library/ usermanuals/public/29158_pd-l1-ihc-22C3-pharmdx-nsclcinterpretation-manual.pdf
- 24 Herbst RS, Giaccone G, de Marinis F, et al. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of PD-L1-selected patients with NSCLC. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1328–39.
- 25 FDA approves neoadjuvant nivolumab and platinum-doublet chemotherapy for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer, Available: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-neoadjuvant-nivolumab-and-platinum-doublet-chemotherapy-early-stage-non-small-cell-lung
- 26 Forde PM, Spicer J, Girard N. Neoadjuvant nivolumab plus chemotherapy in lung cancer. Reply. N Engl J Med 2022;387:572–3.
- 27 Paz-Ares L, O'Brien MER, Mauer M, et al. Vp3-2022: Pembrolizumab (Pembro) versus placebo for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) following complete resection and adjuvant chemotherapy (Chemo) when indicated: randomized, triple-blind, phase III EORTC-1416-LCG/ETOP. Ann Oncol 2022;33:451–3.

Page 1 of 5

Supplemental tables and figures

Supplemental Table 1. Demographics and baseline characteristics among patients with stage II-IIIA NSCLCin the non-22C3-biomarker-evaluable population (BEP) when tumor cell programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) expression was identified by the SP263 assay.

F - 5	r deam-ngand	PD-L1 T	•		C 1%-49%	· ·	TC ≥50%
		Atezo (n=106)	BSC (n=98)	Atezo (n=57)	BSC (n=46)	Atezo (n=49)	BSC (n=52)
Age	Median	62 (40-81)	64 (33-84)	61 (40-81)	61.5 (33-75)	63 (43-75)	65 (37-84)
	(range), y	41 (38.7)	49 (50.0)	20 (35.1)	20 (43.5)	21 (42.9)	29 (55.8)
	≥65 y						
Sex	Male	73 (68.9)	59 (60.2)	35 (61.4)	25 (54.4)	38 (77.6)	34 (65.4)
Race	White	29 (27.4)	40 (40.8)	15 (26.3)	14 (30.4)	14 (28.6)	26 (50.0)
	Asian	76 (71.7)	56 (57.1)	41 (71.9)	30 (65.2)	35 (71.4)	26 (50.0)
ECOG PS	0	70 (66.0)	60 (61.2)	39 (68.4)	26 (56.5)	31 (63.3)	34 (65.4)
	1	36 (34.0)	38 (38.8)	18 (31.6)	20 (43.5)	18 (36.7)	18 (34.6)
Histology	Squamous	34 (32.1)	29 (29.6)	14 (24.6)	14 (30.4)	20 (40.8)	15 (28.8)
	Non-	72 (67.9)	69 (70.4)	43 (75.4)	32 (69.6)	29 (59.2)	37 (71.2)
	squamous						
Stage	II	64 (60.4)	44 (44.9)	36 (63.2)	19 (41.3)	28 (57.1)	25 (48.1)
	IIIA	42 (39.6)	54 (55.1)	21 (36.8)	27 (58.7)	21 (42.9)	27 (51.9)
Tobacco use	Never	27 (25.5)	20 (20.4)	20 (35.1)	12 (26.1)	7 (14.3)	8 (15.4)
history	Current/	79 (74.5)	78 (79.6)	37 (64.9)	34 (73.9)	42 (85.7)	44 (84.6)
	previous						
EGFR	Positive	13 (12.3)	11 (11.2)	9 (15.8)	8 (17.4)	4 (8.2)	3 (5.8)
mutation	Negative	56 (52.8)	61 (62.2)	30 (52.6)	25 (54.3)	26 (53.1)	36 (69.2)


Page 2 of 5


status	Unknown	37 (34.9)	26 (26.5)	18 (31.6)	13 (28.3)	19 (38.8)	13 (25.0)
ALK	Positive	9 (8.5)	5 (5.1)	7 (12.3)	3 (6.5)	2 (4.1)	2 (3.8)
rearrange-	Negative	64 (60.4)	62 (63.3)	35 (61.4)	29 (63.0)	29 (59.2)	33 (63.5)
ment status	Unknown	33 (31.1)	31 (31.6)	15 (26.3)	14 (30.4)	18 (36.7)	17 (32.7)

 $Values\ reported\ are\ n\ (\%)\ unless\ otherwise\ specified.\ Atezo,\ atezolizumab,\ BSC,\ best\ supportive\ care;\ ECOG\ PS,\ Eastern\ Cooperative\ Oncology\ Group\ performance\ status;\ TC,\ tumor\ cell.$

Page 3 of 5

Supplemental Figure 1. A) Venn diagram display the proportion of patients in the Stage II-IIIA combined-BEP that were (A) PD-L1 positive (TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3) by only SP142 and not SP263, by only SP263 and not SP142 or double positive by both assays; (B) PD-L1 high (TC3 or IC3) by only SP142 and not SP263, by only SP263 and not SP142 or double positive by both assays; (C) PD-L1 positive by only SP142 and not 22C3, by only 22C3 and not SP142 or double positive by both assays; (D) PD-L1 high by only SP142 and not 22C3, by only 22C3 and not SP142 or double positive by both assays. Positive, negative, and overall agreement show correlation of the assays at each of the cutoffs, with ranges shown in parentheses. IC, tumor-infiltrating immune cells; TC, tumor cells; TPS, tumor proportion score.





SD263

SP263


Patients, % (n)	SP263 TC <1%	SP263 TC ≥1%	
SP142 TC0 and IC0	4.4 % (23)	1.5% (8)	
SP142 TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3	43.7% (229)	50.4% (264)	


Patients, % (n)	TC <50%	TC ≥50%
SP142 TC0/1/2 or IC0/1/2	63.4% (332)	7.1% (37)
SP142 TC3 or IC3	12.2% (64)	17.4% (91)

SP142 TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3 and SP263 TC ≥1%				
Positive Agreement	97% (94%-99%)			
Negative Agreement	9% (6%-13%)			
Overall Agreement	55% (50%-59%)			

SP142 TC3 or IC3 and SP263 TC ≥50%				
Positive Agreement	71% (62%-79%)			
Negative Agreement	84% (80%-87%)			
Overall Agreement	81% (77%-84%)			

C D





Patients, % (n)	22C3 TPS <1%	22C3 TPS ≥1%
SP142 TC0 and IC0	4.8 % (25)	1.1% (6)
SP142 TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3	37.0% (194)	57.1% (299)

Patients, % (n)	22C3 TPS <50%	22C3 TPS ≥50%
SP142 TC0/1/2 or IC0/1/2	63.2% (331)	7.3% (38)
SP142 TC3 or IC3	13.4% (70)	16.2% (85)

SP142 TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3 and 22C3 TPS≥1%				
Positive Agreement	98% (96%-99%)			
Negative Agreement	11% (8%-16%)			
Overall Agreement	62% (58%-66%)			

SP142 TC3 or IC3 and 22C3 TPS ≥50%				
Positive Agreement	69% (60%-77%)			
Negative Agreement	83% (78%-86%)			
Overall Agreement	79% (76%-83%)			

Page 4 of 5


Supplemental Figure 2. (A) Unstratified hazard ratios (HRs) for disease-free survival (DFS) for patients with stage II-IIIA NSCLC in the non-22C3-biomarker-evaluable population (BEP) and among the programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1)—negative, —positive, —low, or —high subgroups of the non-22C3-BEP as identified by the SP263 assay. (B) Kaplan-Meier curves for patients with stage II-IIIA NSCLC in the non-22C3-BEP for PD-L1—negative, —positive, —low, or —high subgroups according to the SP263 assay. BSC, best supportive care; NE, non-estimable; TC, tumor cell.

A

	Atezo	BSC			
	<u>n</u>	<u>n</u>			HR (95% CI)
Non-22C3-BEP	172	163	⊢		0.84 (0.60-1.18)
SP263					
TC <1%	66	65	—	4	0.98 (0.58-1.67)
TC ≥1%	106	98	⊢		0.76 (0.49-1.16)
TC 1%-49%	57	46			0.75 (0.42-1.32)
TC ≥50%	49	52			0.71 (0.37-1.37)
			0.1 1	10	
			Hazard Raf	io	
			Favors Atezolizumab Fa	vors BSC	

Page 5 of 5

В



PROTOCOL

TITLE: A PHASE III, OPEN-LABEL, RANDOMIZED STUDY TO

INVESTIGATE THE EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF ATEZOLIZUMAB (ANTI-PD-L1 ANTIBODY) COMPARED WITH BEST SUPPORTIVE

CARE FOLLOWING ADJUVANT CISPLATIN-BASED

CHEMOTHERAPY IN PATIENTS WITH COMPLETELY RESECTED

STAGE IB-IIIA NON-SMALL CELL LUNG CANCER

PROTOCOL NUMBER: GO29527

VERSION NUMBER: 8

EUDRACT NUMBER: 2014-003205-15

IND NUMBER: 117296

NCT NUMBER: NCT02486718

TEST PRODUCT: Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A; RO5541267)

MEDICAL MONITOR: , M.D.

SPONSOR: F. Hoffmann–La Roche Ltd

DATE FINAL: Version 1: 1 April 2015

DATES AMENDED: Version 2: 8 June 2015

Version 3: 5 September 2015 Version 4: 5 October 2015 Version 5: 29 June 2016 Version 6 (VHP): 2 March 2019

Version 6 (VHP): 2 March 2018 Version 7: 30 October 2018

Version 8: See electronic date stamp below.

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT APPROVAL

Date and Time (UTC)

Title

Approver's Name

11-Feb-2020 22:49:04

Company Signatory

CONFIDENTIAL

This clinical study is being sponsored globally by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd of Basel, Switzerland. However, it may be implemented in individual countries by Roche's local affiliates, including Genentech, Inc. in the United States. The information contained in this document, especially any unpublished data, is the property of F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd (or under its control) and therefore is provided to you in confidence as an investigator, potential investigator, or consultant, for review by you, your staff, and an applicable Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Board. It is understood that this information will not be disclosed to others without written authorization from Roche except to the extent necessary to obtain informed consent from persons to whom the drug may be administered.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

Protocol GO29527, Version 8

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT, VERSION 8: RATIONALE

Protocol GO29527 has been amended primarily for the following reasons:

- Based on emerging biomarker data external to Study GO29527 and the evolving PD-L1 diagnostic testing landscape, the primary analysis populations for the primary efficacy endpoint, disease-free survival (DFS) as assessed by the investigator, have been changed to focus on the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined as ≥1% TC expression by the SP263 immunohistochemistry [IHC] assay), the Stage II–IIIA population (i.e., all randomized patients with Stage II–IIIA non–small cell lung cancer [NSCLC]), and the intent-to-treat (ITT) population (all randomized patients with Stage IB–IIIA NSCLC). A new Appendix 5 (Anti-PD-L1 [SP263] Immunohistochemistry) has been added and subsequent appendices re-ordered accordingly (Sections 2.1, 2.1.1, 3.4.1, and 6.1; Appendix 5).
- The analysis populations for the secondary endpoints, 3-year and 5-year DFS rates, have been updated to be the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 tumor cells (TC) ≥ 1% within the Stage II–IIIA population, the Stage II–IIIA population, and the ITT population. DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined as SP263 TC ≥ 50% within the Stage II–IIIA population is added as one of the secondary endpoints (Sections 2.1.2, 3.4.2, and 6.4.2.2).
- Analyses of DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by the SP142 IHC assay
 have been changed to be exploratory analyses and analyses of DFS in the PD-L1
 subpopulations defined by the 22C3 IHC assay have been added as exploratory
 analyses. This change will facilitate the evaluation of the routine PD-L1 assays
 currently used in NSCLC clinical diagnosis (Sections 2.4, 3.7, and 6.7).
- The approximate numbers of patients to be enrolled and randomized and the dropout rate have been updated since the study enrollment and randomization are complete (Figure 1; Sections 3.1, 6.1, and 9.4). The end of study has been revised accordingly (Section 3.2).
- The list of atezolizumab risks has been updated to include myositis for consistency with the list of identified risks in the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure (Section 5.1.1).
- To address a request by the , the terminology of systemic immune activation has been replaced by hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) and macrophage activation syndrome (MAS) in the list of potential risks for atezolizumab (Section 5.1.1) and the management guidelines for systemic immune activation have been replaced with management guidelines for HLH and MAS (Appendix 9). In addition, systemic immune activation has been removed from the list of adverse events of special interest (Section 5.2.3).
- To align with the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure, Version 15, "immune-related" has been changed to "immune-mediated" when describing events associated with atezolizumab (Section 5.1.1 and Appendix 9).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 2/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- The assumed median DFS in both arms and target hazard ratio have been updated to reflect current medical knowledge consensus in the adjuvant NSCLC setting (Section 6.1).
- The calculation of efficacy stopping boundaries is revised to use the alpha spending function of Hwang-Shih-DeCani with the gamma parameter of -0.9 for DFS and the alpha spending function with the cumulative one-sided alpha of 0.001, 0.012, 0.022, 0.024, and 0.025 for overall survival (OS) to spend more alpha on the interim analyses according to the data maturity timing for DFS and OS (Sections 6.1 and 6.8).
- Stratification factors used in stratified analyses for different analyses populations are clarified to avoid potential removal of the most important prognostic factor(s) and, therefore, overcorrect the potential risk of over-stratification (Section 6.4).
- The Appendix 7 (Anaphylaxis Precautions) has been modified to remove the requirement for use of a tourniquet. The application of a tourniquet is no longer recommended due to the limited therapeutic benefit and risk of losing time for more important measures (Ring J, Beyer K, Biedermann T, et al. Allergo J Int. 2014;23:96–112).
- To address a request by the management, the atezolizumab adverse event management guidelines have been revised to add laboratory (e.g., B-type natriuretic peptide) and cardiac imaging abnormalities as signs or symptoms that are suggestive of myocarditis (Appendix 9).
- The management guidelines for infusion-related reactions associated with atezolizumab have been updated to include guidelines for management of cytokine-release syndrome (CRS) to align with the definition, grading, and management of CRS reflected in a recent publication (Lee et al. 2019) (Appendix 9).

Additional minor changes have been made to improve clarity and consistency. Substantive new information appears in italics. This amendment represents cumulative changes to the original protocol.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 3/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PR	OTOCOL AM	IENDMENT ACCEPTANCE FORM	14
PR	OTOCOL SY	NOPSIS	15
1.	BACKGROUND		30
	1.1	Adjuvant Treatment Options for Patients with Surgically Resected Stage IB–IIIA NSCLC	
	1.2	Background on Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A)	33
	1.2.1	Summary of Nonclinical Studies	33
	1.3	Clinical Experience with Atezolizumab	34
	1.3.1	Ongoing Clinical Studies	34
	1.3.2	Clinical Safety	34
	1.3.2.1	Single-Agent Clinical Safety in Patients with NSCLC in Study PCD4989g	34
	1.3.2.2	Single-Agent Clinical Safety in Patients with NSCLC in the Study GO28753	37
	1.3.2.3	Single-Agent Clinical Safety in Patients with Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer in Study GO28754 (BIRCH)	38
	1.3.2.4	Immune-Mediated Adverse Events	38
	1.3.3	Clinical Activity	39
	1.3.3.1	Single-Agent Clinical Activity in Patients with NSCLC in Study PCD4989g	39
	1.3.3.2	Single-Agent Clinical Activity in Patients with NSCLC in Study GO28753	40
	1.3.3.3	Single-Agent Clinical Activity in Patients with Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer in Study GO28754 (BIRCH)	42
	1.3.4	Clinical Pharmacokinetics and Immunogenicity	
	1.3.5	Additional Studies with Atezolizumab	
	1.4	Study Rationale and Benefit-Risk Assessment	
2.	OBJECTIVE	≣ S	45
	2.1	Efficacy Objectives	45
	2.1.1	Primary Efficacy Objective	45
	2.1.2	Secondary Efficacy Objectives	45

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 4/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

... 1010001 0020021, 10101011 0

	2.2	Safety Objectives	. 45
	2.3	Pharmacokinetic Objective	. 46
	2.4	Exploratory Objectives	. 46
3.	STUDY DES	SIGN	. 46
	3.1	Description of the Study	. 46
	3.2	End of Study	. 49
	3.3	Rationale for Study Design	. 49
	3.3.1	Rationale for Testing Atezolizumab in PD-L1–Unselected Patients with NSCLC	. 49
	3.3.2	Rationale for Best Supportive Care Arm (Arm B)	. 50
	3.3.3	Rationale for Atezolizumab Dosage and Treatment Duration	. 50
	3.3.4	Rationale for Collection of Resected Tumor Specimens	. 51
	3.3.5	Rationale for Blood Biomarker Assessments	. 51
	3.3.6	Rationale for the Collection of Tumor Specimens at Disease Recurrence and/or Confirmation of a New Primary NSCLC	. 52
	3.4	Efficacy Outcome Measures	
	3.4.1	Primary Efficacy Outcome Measure	
	3.4.2	Secondary Efficacy Outcome Measures	. 53
	3.5	Safety Outcome Measures	. 53
	3.6	Pharmacokinetic Outcome Measures	. 53
	3.7	Exploratory Outcome Measures	. 53
4.	MATERIALS	AND METHODS	. 54
	4.1	Patients	. 54
	4.1.1	Inclusion Criteria	. 54
	4.1.1.1	Inclusion Criteria for Enrollment Phase	. 54
	4.1.1.2	Inclusion Criteria for Randomized Phase	. 56
	4.1.2	Exclusion Criteria	. 57
	4.1.2.1	Exclusion Criteria for Enrollment Phase	. 57
	4.1.2.2	Exclusion Criteria for Randomized Phase	. 5 9
	4.2	Method of Treatment Assignment and Blinding	
	4.3	Study Treatment	. 61

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 5/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Regimens	61
Formulation, Packaging, and Handling of Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy	61
Cisplatin	61
Vinorelbine	62
Docetaxel	62
Gemcitabine	62
Pemetrexed	63
Dosage, Administration, and Supportive Care Recommendations for Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy	63
Chemotherapy Regimen 1: Cisplatin/Vinorelbine	63
Chemotherapy Regimen 2: Cisplatin/Docetaxel	64
Chemotherapy Regimen 3: Cisplatin/Gemcitabine	64
Chemotherapy Regimen 4: Cisplatin/Pemetrexed (For Patients with Non-Squamous Histology)	65
Supportive Care Recommendations for Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy	65
Atezolizumab	66
Atezolizumab Formulation, Packaging, and Handling	66
Atezolizumab Dosage, Administration, and Compliance	66
Investigational Medicinal Product Accountability	68
Post-Study Access to Atezolizumab	68
Concomitant Therapy	68
Contraindications and Use of Concomitant Medications with Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Regimens	68
•	
Vinorelbine	69
Gemcitabine	69
Pemetrexed	70
	Cisplatin.— Vinorelbine

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 6/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

4.4.2	Permitted Therapy with Atezolizumab	70
4.4.3	Cautionary Therapy for Atezolizumab-Treated Patients	71
4.4.4	Prohibited Therapy	71
4.5	Study Assessments	72
4.5.1	Informed Consent Forms and Screening Log	72
4.5.2	Medical History and Demographic Data	72
4.5.3	Physical Examinations	73
4.5.4	Vital Signs	73
4.5.5	Tumor and Response Evaluations	73
4.5.6	Laboratory, Biomarker, and Other Biological Samples	74
4.5.7	Resected Tumor Tissue Samples	76
4.5.8	Resected Tumor Tissue Samples for Screening	7 6
4.5.8.1	Tumor Samples at the Time of Disease Recurrence	77
4.5.8.2	Tumor Samples at Other Timepoints	77
4.5.8.3	Use and Storage of Remaining Samples from Study-Related Procedures	78
4.5.9	Anti-Therapeutic Antibody Testing (Atezolizumab-Treated Patients Only)	78
4.5.10	Electrocardiogram	7 8
4.5.11	Optional Tumor and Blood Samples for Roche Clinical Repository (Optional Future Research)	78
4.5.11.1	Overview of the Roche Clinical Repository	78
4.5.11.2	Approval by the Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee	79
4.5.11.3	Optional Samples for Roche Clinical Repository	7 9
4.5.11.4	Confidentiality	80
4.5.11.5	Consent to Participate in the Roche Clinical Repository	80
4.5.11.6	Withdrawal from the Roche Clinical Repository	81
4.5.11.7	Monitoring and Oversight	81
4.6	Timing of Study Assessments	81
4.6.1	Screening and Pre-Treatment Assessments	82

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 7/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

	4.6.2	Care Period	82
	4.6.3	Assessments at Treatment Discontinuation Visit	83
	4.6.4	Follow-Up Assessments	84
	4.6.4.1	Ongoing Tumor Assessments	84
	4.6.4.2	Adverse Events	84
	4.6.4.3	Anti-Therapeutic Antibody and Pharmacokinetic Assessments	84
	4.6.4.4	Survival and Subsequent Anti-Cancer Therapy	85
	4.7	Patient, Study, and Site Discontinuation	85
	4.7.1	Patient Discontinuation	85
	4.7.2	Discontinuation from Study Treatment	85
	4.7.3	Study and Site Discontinuation	86
5.	ASSESSME	NT OF SAFETY	86
	5.1	Safety Plan	86
	5.1.1	Risks Associated with Atezolizumab	87
	5.1.2	Risk and Side Effects Associated with Administration of Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy	87
	5.1.2.1	Cisplatin	87
	5.1.2.2	Vinorelbine	87
	5.1.2.3	Docetaxel	88
	5.1.2.4	Gemcitabine	88
	5.1.2.5	Pemetrexed	89
	5.1.3	General Plan to Manage Safety Concerns	89
	5.1.4	Management of Chemotherapy-Specific Adverse Events	89
	5.1.4.1	Cisplatin Dose Modifications, Treatment Delays, or Treatment Discontinuation and Management of Specific Adverse Events	90
	5.1.4.2	Pemetrexed Dose Modifications, Treatment Delays or Treatment Discontinuation, and Management of Specific Adverse Events	91
	5.1.4.3	Gemcitabine Dose Modifications, Treatment Delays or Treatment Discontinuation, and Management of Specific Adverse Events	93

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 8/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

5.1.4.4	Specific Adverse Events	96
5.1.4.5	Vinorelbine Dose Modification and Management of Specific Adverse Events	98
5.2	Safety Parameters and Definitions	100
5.2.1	Adverse Events	101
5.2.2	Serious Adverse Events (Immediately Reportable to the Sponsor)	101
5.2.3	Non-Serious Adverse Events of Special Interest (Immediately Reportable to the Sponsor)	102
5.3	Methods and Timing for Capturing and Assessing Safety Parameters	103
5.3.1	Adverse Event Reporting Period	103
5.3.2	Eliciting Adverse Event Information	104
5.3.3	Assessment of Severity of Adverse Events	104
5.3.4	Assessment of Causality of Adverse Events	105
5.3.5	Procedures for Recording Adverse Events	105
5.3.5.1	Diagnosis versus Signs and Symptoms	105
5.3.5.2	Infusion-Related Reactions	105
5.3.5.3	Adverse Events That Are Secondary to Other Events	105
5.3.5.4	Persistent or Recurrent Adverse Events	106
5.3.5.5	Abnormal Laboratory Values	106
5.3.5.6	Abnormal Vital Sign Values	107
5.3.5.7	Abnormal Liver Function Tests	108
5.3.5.8	Deaths	108
5.3.5.9	Preexisting Medical Conditions	109
5.3.5.10	Lack of Efficacy or NSCLC Recurrence	109
5.3.5.11	Hospitalization or Prolonged Hospitalization	109
5.3.5.12	Adverse Events Associated with an Overdose	110
5.4	Immediate Reporting Requirements from Investigator to Sponsor	110
5.4.1	Emergency Medical Contacts	111
5.4.1.1	Medical Monitor (Roche Medical Responsible) Contact Information	111

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 9/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

	5.4.2	Events and Non-Serious Adverse Events of Special Interest	111
	5.4.2.1	Events That Occur Prior to Study Treatment Initiation	111
	5.4.2.2	Events That Occur After Study Treatment Initiation	111
	5.4.3	Reporting Requirements for Pregnancies	112
	5.4.3.1	Pregnancies in Female Patients	112
	5.4.3.2	Pregnancies in Female Partners of Male Patients	112
	5.4.3.3	Abortions	113
	5.4.3.4	Congenital Anomalies/Birth Defects	113
	5.5	Follow-Up of Patients after Adverse Events	113
	5.5.1	Investigator Follow-Up	113
	5.5.2	Sponsor Follow-Up	114
	5.6	Post-Study Adverse Events	114
	5.7	Expedited Reporting to Health Authorities, Investigators, Institutional Review Boards, and Ethics Committees	114
6.	STATISTICA	AL CONSIDERATIONS AND ANALYSIS PLAN	115
	6.1	Determination of Sample Size	115
	6.2	Summaries of Conduct of Study	117
	6.3	Summaries of Treatment Group Comparability	118
	6.4	Efficacy Analyses	118
	6.4.1	Primary Efficacy Endpoint	118
	6.4.2	Secondary Efficacy Endpoints	119
	6.4.2.1	Overall Survival Analysis	119
	6.4.2.2	Disease-Free Survival 3-Year and 5-Year Landmark Analysis	119
	6.4.2.3	Disease-Free Survival Analysis in Additional PD-L1 Subpopulation Defined by the Anti-PD-L1 (SP263) IHC Assay	120
	6.5	Safety Analyses	
	6.6	Pharmacokinetic Analyses	
	6.7	Exploratory Analyses	

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 10/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Tab	ole 1 Ov	verview of Adjuvant Chemotherapy Studies	32
		LIST OF TABLES	
	9.7	References	. 132
	9.6	Protocol Amendments	
	9.5	Publication of Data and Protection of Trade Secrets	
	9.4	Administrative Structure	. 129
	9.3	Site Inspections	
	9.2	Protocol Deviations	. 129
	9.1	Study Documentation	. 129
9.		CUMENTATION, MONITORING, AND ATION	. 129
	8.5	Financial Disclosure	. 129
	8.4	Confidentiality	. 128
	8.3	Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee	. 128
	8.2	Informed Consent	. 126
	8.1	Compliance with Laws and Regulations	. 126
8.	ETHICAL CO	ONSIDERATIONS	. 126
	7.5	Retention of Records	. 126
	7.4	Use of Computerized Systems	. 126
	7.3	Source Data Documentation	. 125
	7.2	Electronic Case Report Forms	. 125
	7.1	Data Quality Assurance	. 124
7.	DATA COLL	ECTION AND MANAGEMENT	. 124
	6.8.2	Planned Interim Analyses for Overall Survival	. 123
	6.8.1	Planned Interim Analysis for Disease-Free Survival	. 122
	6.8	Interim Analyses	. 122
	6.7.2	Exploratory Analyses of Biomarkers	. 122
	6.7.1	Exploratory Analyses of Disease-Free Survival and Overall Survival	. 121

"Use of this document is governed by the terms of use on the first page of this document."

Atezolizumab-F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

11/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 2	Study PCD4989g: Adverse Events with Frequency ≥ 10% of Patients for All Grades	36
Table 3	Adverse Events Reported in at Least 10% of Patients in	50
	Study GO28753	37
Table 4	Adverse Events Reported in Study GO28754 (BIRCH)	38
Table 5	Patients with NSCLC in Study PCD4989g:	
	Investigator-Assessed Confirmed Objective Response Rate	
	by Tumor PD-L1 Expression, Duration of Response, and	
	6-Month Progression-Free Survival Rates (per RECIST	
	Version 1.1)	40
Table 6	Efficacy Results in Study GO28753: Intent-to-Treat	
	Population	41
Table 7	Study GO28753 Efficacy Results by Combination PD-L1	
	Diagnostic Subgroups with Complementary Comparison	
	Subgroupings: Intent-to-Treat Population	42
Table 8	Study GO28754 (BIRCH) Independent Review	
	Facility-Assessed Overall Response Rate:	
	Treated Population	43
Table 9	Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Regimens	61
Table 10	Administration of First and Subsequent Infusions	
	of Atezolizumab	67
Table 11	Cisplatin Dose Modifications for Non-Hematologic Toxicities	
	(Excluding Neurotoxicity and Nephrotoxicity)	91
Table 12	Cisplatin Dose Modifications or Treatment Discontinuation	
	for Associated Neurotoxicity	91
Table 13	Pemetrexed Dose Modifications for Hematologic Toxicities	92
Table 14	Pemetrexed Dose Modifications for Non-Hematologic	
	Toxicities	93
Table 15	Gemcitabine Dose Modifications or Treatment Delays for	
	Hematologic Toxicities on Day 1	94
Table 16	Gemcitabine Dose Modifications or Treatment Delays for	
	Hematologic Toxicities on Day 8	94
Table 17	Gemcitabine Dose Modifications, Treatment Delays, or	
	Treatment Discontinuation and Patient Management for	
		96
Table 18	Guidelines for Management of Specific Docetaxel-Related	
	Adverse Events	97
Table 19	Guidelines for Management of Hepatotoxicity in Patients	
	Treated with Docetaxel	97
Table 20	Guidelines for the Management of Edema in Patients	
	Treated with Docetaxel	98
Table 21	Vinorelbine Dose Modifications or Treatment Delays for	
	Hematologic Toxicities on Day 1	99
Table 22	Vinorelbine Dose Modifications or Treatment Delays for	_
	Hematologic Toxicities on Day 8	
Table 23	Dose Reduction of Vinorelbine for Hepatic Toxicity	100

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 12/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 24	Vinorelbine Dose Reduction for Sensory or Motor	100
Table 25	Neuropathy Adverse Event Severity Grading Scale	104
Table 26	Analysis Timing and Stopping Boundaries for Disease-Free Survival	
Table 27	Stopping Boundaries for Overall Survival: Stage IB–IIIA NSCLC	
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1	Study Schema	47
Figure 2	Overview of the Alpha Control (One-Sided)	
	LIST OF APPENDICES	
Appendix 1	Schedule of Assessments for Enrollment Phase (Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Administration)	136
Appendix 2	Schedule of Assessments for Randomized Phase	
	(Atezolizumab or Best Supportive Care)	139
Appendix 3	Anti-Therapeutic Antibody, Biomarker, and Pharmacokinetic	4 4 4
Appendix 4	Sampling Schedule	
Appendix 5	Anti–PD-L1 (SP263) Immunohistochemistry	
Appendix 6	Preexisting Autoimmune Diseases	
Appendix 7	Anaphylaxis Precautions	
Appendix 8	Cockcroft and Gault Formula	
Appendix 9	Risks Associated with Atezolizumab and Guidelines for	100
, sporidix o	Management of Adverse Events Associated with	
	Atezolizumab	151

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 13/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

PROTOCOL AMENDMENT ACCEPTANCE FORM

TITLE:

A PHASE III, OPEN-LABEL, RANDOMIZED STUDY

TO INVESTIGATE THE EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF

ATEZOLIZUMAB (ANTI-PD-L1 ANTIBODY)

COMPARED WITH BEST SUPPORTIVE CARE

FOLLOWING ADJUVANT CISPLATIN-BASED CHEMOTHERAPY IN PATIENTS WITH COMPLETELY RESECTED STAGE IB-IIIA

NON-SMALL CELL LUNG CANCER

PROTOCOL NUMBER: GO29527

VERSION NUMBER: 8

EUDRACT NUMBER: 2014–003205–15

IND NUMBER: 117296

NCT NUMBER: NCT02486718

TEST PRODUCT: Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A; RO5541267)

MEDICAL MONITOR: , M.D.

SPONSOR: F. Hoffmann–La Roche Ltd

I agree to conduct the study in accordance with the current protocol.		
Principal Investigator's Name (print)	_	
Principal Investigator's Signature	Date	

Please retain the signed original copy for your study files. Please return a copy of the form to the Sponsor or their designee. Contact details will be provided to the investigator prior to the start of the study.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 14/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

PROTOCOL SYNOPSIS

TITLE: A PHASE III, OPEN-LABEL, RANDOMIZED STUDY TO

INVESTIGATE THE EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF ATEZOLIZUMAB

(ANTI-PD-L1 ANTIBODY) COMPARED WITH BEST

SUPPORTIVE CARE FOLLOWING ADJUVANT

CISPLATIN-BASED CHEMOTHERAPY IN PATIENTS WITH COMPLETELY RESECTED STAGE IB-IIIA NON-SMALL CELL

LUNG CANCER

PROTOCOL NUMBER: GO29527

VERSION NUMBER: 8

EUDRACT NUMBER: 2014–003205–15

IND NUMBER: 117296

NCT NUMBER: NCT02486718

TEST PRODUCT: Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A; RO5541267)

PHASE: III

INDICATION: Non-small cell lung cancer

SPONSOR: F. Hoffmann–La Roche Ltd

Objectives

The following efficacy objectives will be evaluated in patients with Stage IB–IIIA non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Efficacy Objectives

Primary Efficacy Objective

The primary efficacy objective of the study is as follows:

• To evaluate the efficacy of atezolizumab monotherapy treatment compared with best supportive care (BSC) as measured by disease-free survival (DFS) as assessed by the investigator in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined as ≥1% tumor-cell (TC) expression by the SP263 immunohistochemistry [IHC] assay) within the Stage II–IIIA population; in all randomized patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC; and in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population

Secondary Efficacy Objectives

The secondary efficacy objectives of the study are to evaluate the efficacy of atezolizumab monotherapy treatment compared with BSC on the basis of the following outcome measures:

- Overall survival (OS) in the ITT population
- 3-year and 5-year DFS rates in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined as ≥1% TC expression by the SP263 IHC assay) within the Stage II–IIIA population, in all randomized patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC, and in the ITT population
- DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined as ≥50% TC expression by the SP263 IHC assay) in patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC

Atezolizumab-F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

15/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Safety Objectives

The safety objectives for this study are as follows:

- To evaluate the safety and tolerability of atezolizumab treatment after up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting
- To evaluate the incidence and titers of anti-therapeutic antibodies (ATAs) against
 atezolizumab in the adjuvant setting and to explore the potential relationship of the
 immunogenicity response with pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy

Pharmacokinetic Objective

The pharmacokinetic (PK) objective for this study is as follows:

To characterize the pharmacokinetics of atezolizumab treatment in the adjuvant setting

Exploratory Objectives

The exploratory objectives for this study are as follows:

- To evaluate DFS in TC3 or IC3, TC2/3 or IC2/3, TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3 subpopulations defined by PD-L1 SP142 IHC in both the Stage II-IIIA and the ITT populations
- To evaluate DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by 22C3 TPS ≥1% and TPS ≥50% in both the Stage II–IIIA and the ITT populations
- To evaluate DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by SP263 TC ≥1% and TC ≥50% in the ITT population
- To evaluate the relationship between tumor and blood-based biomarkers (including but not limited to PD-L1, PD-1, somatic mutations, and others), as defined by immunohistochemistry (IHC) or quantitative reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction, next generation sequencing, and/or other methods and measures of efficacy
- To assess predictive, prognostic, and pharmacodynamic exploratory biomarkers in archival and/or fresh tumor tissue and blood and their association with disease status, mechanisms of resistance, and/or response to atezolizumab in the adjuvant treatment setting
- To evaluate biomarkers at the time of apparent recurrence of primary disease (i.e., NSCLC primary disease recurrence, occurrence of new primary NSCLCs) and to distinguish any immunomodulatory activity of atezolizumab (i.e., tumor-immune infiltration) in patients with confirmed recurrence of disease in patients assigned to atezolizumab

Study Design

Description of Study

This study is a Phase III, global, multicenter, open-label, randomized, study (IMpower010) comparing the efficacy and safety of atezolizumab versus BSC in patients with Stage IB–Stage IIIA NSCLC following resection and adjuvant chemotherapy, as assessed by DFS per the investigator and OS. The study consists of two phases: an enrollment phase and randomized phase.

In the enrollment phase, patients who have recently undergone complete resection of their NSCLC will be screened, and eligible patients will be enrolled to receive one of four regimens of cisplatin-based chemotherapy (cisplatin plus vinorelbine, docetaxel, gemcitabine, or pemetrexed; based on investigator choice). The randomized phase will start after patients have completed their cisplatin-based chemotherapy and are still considered eligible to proceed with randomization.

Male and female patients age \geq 18 years with Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0 or 1 who have a complete surgical resection of histologically or cytologically confirmed Stage IB (tumors \geq 4 cm)–IIIA NSCLC are potentially eligible. At screening, tumor specimens from each potentially eligible patient will be tested for PD-L1 expression by a central laboratory with use of an IHC assay, but patients will be enrolled in the study regardless of the PD-L1 status. Patients who fulfill the eligibility criteria will receive adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy in the enrollment phase of the study. Patients will

Atezolizumab-F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

16/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

receive up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy unless unacceptable toxicity, disease relapse, or patient's decision to discontinue occur.

Patients who experience disease recurrence of their primary disease at any time up to completion of chemotherapy will not be eligible for the randomized phase of the study. Additionally, patients must fulfill the eligibility criteria of the randomized phase prior to randomization.

Eligible patients will go on to be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either atezolizumab (Arm A) or BSC (Arm B).

In Arm A, atezolizumab will be administered intravenously on Day 1 of each 21-day cycle for a total of 16 cycles. Patients randomized to Arm B will be continually followed starting on Day 1 of each 21-day cycle. To ensure the same frequency of study assessments between the treatment arms, including assessments for disease recurrence and safety, patients in Arm B will be required to undergo medical contacts every 3 weeks for assessments during the first year, which will consist of formal clinic visits alternating with clinical contacts (either via telephone call or formal outpatient clinic visit) for symptom and adverse event assessment. No crossover will be allowed from Arm B to Arm A.

All patients in the randomized phase will undergo scheduled tumor assessments at baseline and every 4 months starting at Cycle 1, Day 1 in the first year and every 6 months in the second year by computed tomography (CT) following randomization. Patients who have not experienced recurrence of disease will undergo tumor assessments every 6 months by CT and X-ray during Years 3–5 post-randomization (starting with CT scan, alternating with X-ray), and annually thereafter by X-ray. In the absence of disease recurrence, tumor assessments should continue regardless of whether patients start new anti-cancer therapy, until disease recurrence, withdrawal of consent, death, loss to follow-up, or study termination by the Sponsor, whichever occurs first. Patients from both treatment arms will undergo a mandatory tumor biopsy sample collection, unless not clinically feasible as assessed by investigators, at the first evidence of radiographic disease recurrence. These data will be used to explore whether the radiographic findings are consistent with the presence of tumor or, for patients treated with atezolizumab, if the appearance of recurrence was caused by tumor immune infiltration. In addition, these data will be analyzed to evaluate the association between changes in tumor tissue and clinical outcome as well as to understand further the potential mechanisms of resistance and recurrence to atezolizumab compared with such mechanisms after treatment with chemotherapy alone. This exploratory biomarker evaluation will not be used for any treatment-related decisions. Tumor assessments will be performed by the investigator.

Safety assessments will include the incidence, nature, and severity of adverse events; serious adverse events; adverse events of special interest; and laboratory abnormalities, graded per National Cancer Institutes Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events Version 4.0 (NCI CTCAE v4.0). Laboratory safety assessments will include the regular monitoring of hematology and blood chemistry.

Serum samples will be collected to monitor atezolizumab pharmacokinetics and to detect the presence of ATAs to atezolizumab. Patient samples, including archival and fresh tumor tissues, as well as serum and plasma and whole blood, will be collected for future exploratory biomarker assessments

All patients in the randomized phase will undergo safety, tolerability, and exploratory assessments on Day 1 of each 21-day cycle until recurrence of disease during the first 48 weeks, and patients who have experienced recurrence of disease will undergo these assessments within 30 days after the last dose of atezolizumab is administered

Number of Patients

Approximately 1280 patients are expected to be accrued in the enrollment phase to meet the goal of approximately $1005\ patients$ total in the randomized phase, under the assumption that a dropout rate of approximately 21% is expected during adjuvant cisplatin–based chemotherapy treatment.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 17/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Target Population

Inclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria for Enrollment Phase

Patients must meet all of the following criteria to be eligible to enter the enrollment phase and receive cisplatin-based chemotherapy regimen in this study:

- A representative formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor specimen in paraffin block (preferred) or 15 (or more) unstained, freshly cut, serial sections (on slides) from an FFPE resected tumor specimen is required for participation in this study. This specimen must be accompanied by the associated pathology report.
- Signed Informed Consent Form
- Age ≥ 18 years
- ECOG performance status of 0 or 1
- Histological or cytological diagnosis of Stage IB (tumors ≥ 4 cm)–IIIA (T2–3 N0, T1–3 N1, T1-3 N2, T4 N0-1) NSCLC (per the Union Internationale Contre le Cancer/American Joint Committee on Cancer [UICC/AJCC] staging system, 7th edition)
- Patients must have had complete resection of NSCLC 4–12 weeks (≥28 days and ≤84 days) prior to enrollment and must be adequately recovered from surgery

Accepted types of resection include any of the following: lobectomy, sleeve lobectomy, bilobectomy, or pneumonectomy.

Resection by segmentectomy or wedge resection is not allowed.

If mediastinoscopy was not performed preoperatively, it is expected that, at a minimum, mediastinal lymph node systematic sampling will have occurred, though complete mediastinal lymph node dissection (MLND) is preferred. Systematic sampling is defined as removal of at least one representative lymph node at specified levels. MLND entails resection of all lymph nodes at those same levels. For a right thoracotomy, sampling or MLND is required at levels 4 and 7 and for a left thoracotomy, levels 5 and/or 6 and 7.
 Exceptions will be granted for the following situations:

If there is clear documentation in the operative report or in a separately submitted addendum by the surgeon of exploration of the required lymph node areas, the patient will be considered eligible if no lymph nodes are found in those areas.

If patients have documented N2 disease in one level (per the UICC/AJCC staging system, 7th edition), not all levels need to be sampled.

If the preoperative staging imaging results (contrast CT and PET scans) do not suggest evidence of disease in the mediastinum, the patient will be considered eligible if N2 nodal sampling is not performed per surgeon's decision.

- Eligible to receive a cisplatin-based chemotherapy regimen
- Adequate hematologic and end-organ function, defined by the following laboratory results obtained within 14 days prior to enrollment:
 - ANC ≥ 1500 cells/μL
 - Platelet count ≥ 100,000 cells/μL
 - Prothrombin time/INR ≤ 1.5, or, if patient is receiving therapeutic anticoagulation, prothrombin time/INR < 3.0
 - aPTT ≤ institutional upper limit of normal (ULN) OR, if patient is receiving therapeutic anticoagulation, aPTT must be < 1.5 × ULN
 - Total bilirubin ≤ 1.25 × ULN

Patients with known Gilbert disease who have serum bilirubin $\leq 3 \times \text{ULN}$ may be enrolled.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

18/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- SGOT (AST) ≤ 2.5 × ULN
- SGPT (ALT) ≤ 2.5 × ULN
- Calculated creatinine clearance (CRCL) ≥ 60 mL/min, with use of the institutional guidelines or standard Cockcroft and Gault formula (1976)
- For women of childbearing potential and men with partners of childbearing potential, agreement (by patient and/or partner) to use a highly effective form(s) of contraception during study treatment that results in a low failure rate of < 1% per year when used consistently and correctly. Women and men should continue contraceptive use for 6 months after the last dose of cisplatin-based chemotherapy (cisplatin plus vinorelbine, docetaxel, gemcitabine, or pemetrexed). Women treated with atezolizumab should continue contraception use for 5 months after the last dose. Women must refrain from donating eggs during this same period.</p>

A woman is considered to be of childbearing potential if she is postmenarchal, has not reached a postmenopausal state (≥ 12 continuous months of amenorrhea with no identified cause other than menopause), and has not undergone surgical sterilization (removal of ovaries and/or uterus).

Examples of contraceptive methods with a failure rate of < 1% per year include bilateral tubal ligation, male sterilization, established, proper use of hormonal contraceptives that inhibit ovulation, hormone-releasing intrauterine devices, and copper intrauterine devices.

The reliability of sexual abstinence should be evaluated in relation to the duration of the clinical study and the preferred and usual lifestyle of the patient. Periodic abstinence (e.g., calendar, ovulation, symptothermal, or postovulation methods) and withdrawal are not acceptable methods of contraception.

 Women who are not postmenopausal (≥ 12 months of non-therapy-induced amenorrhea) or surgically sterile must have a negative serum pregnancy test result within 14 days prior to initiation of cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

Inclusion Criteria for Randomized Phase

Patients must meet all of the following criteria to be eligible to be randomized to receive either atezolizumab or BSC after completion of the enrollment phase and up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy:

- Adequate hematologic and end-organ function defined by the following laboratory results obtained within 14 days prior to randomization:
 - ANC ≥ 1500 cells/µL (without granulocyte colony-stimulating factor support)
 - Lymphocyte count \geq 500 cells/ μ L
 - Platelet count ≥ 100,000 cells/μL
 - Hemoglobin ≥ 9.0 g/dL

Patients may be transfused to meet this criterion.

INR or aPTT ≤ 1.5 × ULN

This applies only to patients who are not receiving therapeutic anticoagulation; patients receiving therapeutic anticoagulation should be on a stable dose.

- AST, ALT, and alkaline phosphatase ≤ 2.5 × ULN
- Serum bilirubin ≤ 1.25 × ULN

Patients with known Gilbert disease who have serum bilirubin level $\leq 3 \times ULN$ may be enrolled.

- Calculated CRCL ≥ 30 mL/min

The CRCL is calculated by institutional guidelines or by the method of Cockcroft and Gault formula (1976)

Atezolizumab-F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

19/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

 Women who are not postmenopausal (≥ 12 months of non-therapy-induced amenorrhea) or surgically sterile must have a negative serum pregnancy test result within 14 days prior to initiation of atezolizumab or BSC

Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion Criteria for Enrollment Phase

Patients who meet any of the following criteria will be excluded from study enrollment:

- Illness or condition that may interfere with a patient's capacity to understand, follow, and/or comply with study procedures
- Pregnant and lactating women
- Treatment with prior systemic chemotherapy, with the following exceptions:

Chemotherapy for early stage of malignancy with curative intent, provided that the last dose received was more than 5 years prior to enrollment, may be allowed upon approval by the Medical Monitor.

Low-dose chemotherapy for non-malignant conditions may be allowed upon approval by the Medical Monitor.

 Hormonal cancer therapy or radiation therapy as prior cancer treatment within 5 years before enrollment

Prior surgery, biologic therapy, hormonal therapy, or radiation therapy for a malignancy over 5 years prior to enrollment that is now considered cured is acceptable.

- Treatment with any other investigational agent with therapeutic intent within 28 days prior to enrollment
- A hearing loss (measured by audiometry) of 25 dB at two contiguous frequencies (audiometry will only be required for patients who have suspected or definitive hearing loss)
- Known sensitivity to any component of the chemotherapy regimen the patient will be assigned to, or to mannitol
- Prior treatment with CD137 agonists or immune checkpoint blockade therapies, anti–PD-1, and anti–PD-L1 therapeutic antibodies

Patients who have had prior anti–CTLA-4 treatment may be enrolled, provided the following requirements are met:

Last dose of anti-CTLA-4 at least 6 weeks prior to randomization

No history of severe immune-mediated adverse effects from anti–CTLA-4 (NCI CTCAE Grades 3 and 4)

- Malignancies other than NSCLC within 5 years prior to enrollment, with the exception of
 those with a negligible risk of metastasis or death (e.g., expected 5-year OS > 90%) treated
 with expected curative outcome (such as adequately treated carcinoma in situ of the cervix,
 basal or squamous cell skin cancer, localized prostate cancer treated surgically with
 curative intent, ductal carcinoma in situ treated surgically with curative intent)
- History of severe allergic, anaphylactic, or other hypersensitivity reactions to chimeric or humanized antibodies or fusion proteins
- Known hypersensitivity to biopharmaceuticals produced in Chinese hamster ovary cells or any component of the atezolizumab formulation
- History of autoimmune disease, including but not limited to myasthenia gravis, myositis, autoimmune hepatitis, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, vascular thrombosis associated with antiphospholipid syndrome, Wegener's granulomatosis, Sjögren's syndrome, Guillain-Barré syndrome, multiple sclerosis, vasculitis, or glomerulonephritis

Patients with a history of autoimmune-mediated hypothyroidism on a stable dose of thyroid replacement hormone are eligible for this study.

Atezolizumab-F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

20/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Patients with controlled Type I diabetes mellitus on a stable dose of insulin regimen are eligible for this study.

Patients with eczema, psoriasis, lichen simplex chronicus, or vitiligo with dermatologic manifestations only (e.g., no psoriatic arthritis) are permitted provided that they meet the following conditions:

Rash must cover less than 10% of body surface area

Disease is well controlled at baseline and only requiring low potency topical steroids

No acute exacerbations of underlying condition within the last 12 months requiring treatment with either PUVA [psoralen plus ultraviolet A radiation], methotrexate, retinoids, biologic agents, oral calcineurin inhibitors or high potency or oral steroids.

Positive test for HIV

All patients will be tested for HIV prior to the inclusion into the study, and patients who are HIV-positive will be excluded from the clinical study.

Patients with active hepatitis B (chronic or acute; defined as having a positive hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg] test at screening) or hepatitis C

Patients with past hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection or resolved HBV infection (defined as the presence of hepatitis B core (HBc) antibody and absence of HBsAg) are eligible only if they are negative for HBV DNA. HBV DNA must be obtained in these patients prior to enrollment.

Patients positive for hepatitis C virus (HCV) antibody are eligible only if polymerase chain reaction is negative for HCV RNA.

- Active tuberculosis
- Significant cardiovascular disease, such as New York Heart Association cardiac disease (Class II or greater), myocardial infarction, or cerebrovascular accident within the previous 3 months, unstable arrhythmias, or unstable angina

Patients with known coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure not meeting the above criteria, or left ventricular ejection fraction < 50% must be on a stable medical regimen that is optimized in the opinion of the treating physician, in consultation with a cardiologist if appropriate.

 History of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, organizing pneumonia (e.g., bronchiolitis obliterans), drug-induced pneumonitis, idiopathic pneumonitis, or evidence of active pneumonitis on screening chest CT scan

History of radiation pneumonitis in the radiation field (fibrosis) is permitted.

- Prior allogeneic bone marrow transplantation or solid organ transplant
- Any other diseases, metabolic dysfunction, physical examination finding, or clinical laboratory finding giving reasonable suspicion of a disease or condition that contraindicates the use of an investigational drug or that may affect the interpretation of the results or render the patient at high risk from treatment complications
- Known tumor PD-L1 expression status as determined by an IHC assay from other clinical studies (e.g., patients whose PD-L1 expression status was determined during screening for entry into a study with anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 antibodies but were not eligible are excluded)

Specific Exclusions for Pemetrexed Treatment

· Patients with squamous cell histology

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 21/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Exclusion Criteria for Randomized Phase

Patients who meet any of the following criteria will be excluded from study randomization:

- Signs or symptoms of infection within 14 days prior to randomization (severe infection within 28 days prior to randomization), including but not limited to hospitalization for complications of infection, bacteremia, or severe pneumonia
- Received therapeutic oral or IV antibiotics within 14 days prior to randomization
 - Patients receiving prophylactic antibiotics (e.g., for prevention of a urinary tract infection or to prevent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation) are eligible.
- Major surgical procedure within 28 days prior to randomization or anticipation of need for a major surgical procedure during the course of the study
- Administration of a live, attenuated vaccine within 4 weeks prior to initiation of study treatment or anticipation that such a live attenuated vaccine will be required during the study
- Treatment with systemic immunostimulatory agents (including, but not limited to, interferons
 or interleukin-2) within 4 weeks or 5 drug-elimination half-lives of the drug, whichever is
 longer, prior to randomization

Prior treatment with cancer vaccines is allowed

 Treatment with systemic corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive medications (including but not limited to prednisone, dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, methotrexate, thalidomide, and anti-tumor necrosis factor [anti-TNF] agents) within 14 days prior to randomization

Patients who have received acute, low-dose (≤10 mg oral prednisone or equivalent), systemic immunosuppressant medications may be randomized in the study.

The use of corticosteroids (\leq 10 mg oral prednisone or equivalent) for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; mineralocorticoids (e.g., fludrocortisone), for patients with orthostatic hypotension or low dose supplemental corticosteroids for adrenocortical insufficiency is allowed

Length of Study

The DFS final analysis will be conducted when approximately 237 DFS events in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined by SP263 $TC \ge 1\%$) within the Stage II–IIIA population have been observed. This is expected to occur approximately 68 months after the first patient is randomized. This number of events corresponds to a minimum detectable difference in HR of approximately 0.758 in the PD-L1 subpopulation within the Stage II-IIIA population. Given the sample size of 1005, the final OS analysis will be conducted when approximately 564 OS events in the all randomized Stage IB–IIIA population have occurred, which is expected at approximately 121 months after the first patient is randomized.

End of Study

The end of the study is defined as when approximately *564* OS events (the required number of deaths for the final OS analysis) have occurred in the ITT population. Additionally, the Sponsor may decide to terminate the study at any time.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 22/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Outcome Measures

Efficacy Outcome Measures

Primary Efficacy Outcome Measure

The primary efficacy outcome measure for this study is as follows:

- DFS, defined as the time from randomization to the date of occurrence of <u>any</u> of the following, whichever occurs first:
 - First recurrence of NSCLC, as determined by the investigator after an integrated assessment of radiographic data, biopsy sample results (if available), and clinical status
 - Occurrence of new primary NSCLC, as assessed by the investigator
 - Death from any cause

This efficacy outcome measure will be assessed in *the* PD-L1 subpopulation (defined $as \ge 1\%$ TC expression by the SP263 IHC assay) within the Stage II-IIIA population, in all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC, and in the ITT population.

Secondary Efficacy Outcome Measures

The secondary efficacy outcome measures for this study are as follows:

- OS, defined as the time from randomization to death from any cause, in the ITT population
- DFS rates at 3 years and 5 years in the PD-L1 *subpopulation* (defined *as* ≥ 1% *TC expression* by the *SP263* IHC assay), in the Stage II–IIIA population (i.e., all randomized patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC) and in the ITT population
- DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulation, defined as $TC \ge 50\%$ by the SP263 IHC assay within patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC

Safety Outcome Measures

The safety outcome measures for this study are as follows:

- Incidence, nature, and severity of adverse events, serious adverse events, and adverse
 events of special interest graded according to the NCI CTCAE v4.0
- Changes from baseline in vital signs, physical findings, and targeted clinical laboratory results
- Incidence of ATA response to atezolizumab and potential correlation with PK, safety, and efficacy parameters

Pharmacokinetic Outcome Measures

The PK outcome measures for this study are as follows:

- Atezolizumab maximum serum concentration (C_{max}) observed after infusion on Day 1 of Cycle 1
- Atezolizumab minimum serum concentration under steady-state conditions within a dosing interval (C_{min}) prior to the infusion on Day 1 of Cycles 2, 3, 4, 8, and 16 and at study termination

Exploratory Outcome Measures

The exploratory outcome measures for this study are as follows:

- DFS in TC3 or IC3, TC2/3 or IC2/3, TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3 subpopulations defined by PD-L1 SP142 IHC in both the Stage II-IIIA and the ITT populations
- DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by 22C3 TPS≥1% and TPS≥50% in both the Stage II-IIIA and the ITT populations
- DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by SP263 TC ≥1% and TC ≥50% in the ITT population
- Status of PD-L1-, immune-, and NSCLC-related and other exploratory biomarkers in tumor tissues, and blood collected before, during, or after treatment with atezolizumab or at first evidence of radiographic disease recurrence or confirmation of new primary NSCLC

Atezolizumab-F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

23/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

 Exploratory biomarkers in biopsy specimens and blood collected at the first evidence of radiographic disease recurrence or confirmation of new primary NSCLC

Investigational Medicinal Product

Atezolizumab, at a dose of 1200 mg, will be administered by IV infusion every 21 days.

Statistical Methods

Efficacy analyses will be performed on *randomized patients within* one or more populations, *including PD-L1 subpopulations of patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC, all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC,* and *the ITT population,* with patients grouped according to the treatment assigned at randomization, regardless of whether they received any assigned study treatment.

Safety analyses will be performed on all randomized patients who received any amount of study treatment, with patients allocated by whether any amount of atezolizumab treatment was received.

Primary Analysis

The primary efficacy endpoint is duration of DFS as assessed by the investigator. DFS is defined as the time from the date of randomization to the date of occurrence of <u>any</u> of the following: first documented recurrence of disease, new primary NSCLC or death due to any cause, whichever occurs first. Data for patients who are not reported as experiencing disease recurrence, a new primary NSCLC, or death will be censored at the date of the last tumor assessment. If no post-baseline data are available, DFS will be censored at the date of randomization plus 1 day.

To control the overall level of significance at a one-sided error of 0.025, comparisons with respect to DFS between the treatment and control arm for the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by $SP263\ TC \ge 1\%$ within the Stage II-IIIA population, the randomized Stage II-IIIA population, and the ITT population, will be conducted hierarchically.

The null and alternative hypotheses regarding DFS in each population can be phrased in terms of the DFS survival functions $S_A(t)$ in the atezolizumab arm (Arm A) and $S_B(t)$ in the control arm (Arm B), respectively:

$$H_0$$
: $S_A(t) = S_B(t)$ versus H_1 : $S_A(t) > S_B(t)$

The HR will be estimated with use of a stratified Cox regression model, including two-sided 95% Cls. The *stratification factors used for the analysis are described in the protocol*. The unstratified HR will also be presented. Kaplan-Meier methodology will be used to estimate the median DFS for each treatment arm and the Kaplan-Meier curve will be constructed to provide a visual description of the difference between the treatment and control arms. Brookmeyer-Crowley methodology will be used to construct the *two-sided* 95% CI for the median DFS for each treatment arm.

Determination of Sample Size

Approximately 1280 patients are expected to be accrued during the enrollment phase. With an approximate 21% dropout rate during adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy, approximately 1005 patients will enter the randomization phase, including approximately 882 patients in the Stage II-IIIA population, and within Stage II-IIIA NSCLC patients, approximately 474 patients in the PD-L1 subpopulation (\geq 1% TC expression) defined by the SP263 IHC assay.

Emerging data from atezolizumab first-line NSCLC Phase III Study GO29431 (IMpower110) have observed clinical benefit with atezolizumab monotherapy in PD-L1 TC-defined subgroups. The TC-based assay SP263 appeared to capture a broader patient population with similar efficacy as compared to SP142. These findings are consistent with results observed in other PD-L1/PD-1 studies. With these data external to Study GO29431 and evolving biomarker landscape, the primary analysis of DFS in the PD-L1 subgroups (TC2/3 or IC2/3, TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3) defined by SP142 will be replaced with DFS in the PD-L1 subgroup (\geq 1% TC expression) defined by SP263.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 24/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

The overall type I error rate will be controlled for the one-sided test at 0.025:

The estimates of the number of events required to demonstrate efficacy with regard to DFS are based on the following assumptions:

- 1:1 randomization ratio
- One-sided significance level of 0.025 in the *PD-L1* subpopulation defined as patients with *SP263 TC ≥1% Stage II-IIIA NSCLC*, the randomized Stage II–IIIA population, and the *ITT population*
- For Stage II–IIIA:

89.8% power to detect an HR of 0.65, corresponding to an improvement in median DFS from 34 months to 52 months in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 $TC \ge 1\%$ within the Stage II–IIIA population

90.7% power to detect an HR of 0.73, corresponding to an improvement in median DFS from 34 months to 46.6 months in the all-randomized Stage II–IIIA population

- For Stage IB–IIIA:
 - 76.4% power to detect an HR of 0.78, corresponding to an improvement in median DFS from 38 months to 48.7 months in the ITT population
- One DFS interim analysis to be performed when approximately 80% of the total DFS events in the primary efficacy analysis populations required for the primary analysis have occurred. The stopping boundaries for DFS interim and final analyses will be determined based on the Hwang-Shih-DeCani alpha spending function with the gamma parameter of -0.9.
- Dropout rate of 5% per 24 months

The estimates of the number of events required to demonstrate efficacy with regard to OS are based on the following assumptions:

- 1:1 randomization ratio
- One-sided significance level of 0.025 in the ITT population (i.e., Stage IB-IIIA)
- 77% power to detect an HR of 0.78, corresponding to an improvement in median OS from 66 months to 84.6 months in the ITT population
- Four interim OS analyses to be performed, one at the time of the DFS interim analysis, the second one at the time of DFS final analysis, and the other two when approximately 73% and 88% of the total OS events required for the final analysis have occurred, respectively. The stopping boundaries for OS interim and final analyses will be determined based on the alpha spending function with the cumulative one-sided alpha of 0.001, 0.012, 0.022, 0.024, and 0.025 in the order of analyses.
- Dropout rate of 5% per 36 months

With these assumptions, the DFS final analysis will be conducted when approximately 237 DFS events in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined by SP263 $TC \ge 1\%$) within the Stage II–IIIA population have been observed. This is expected to occur approximately 68 months after the first patient is randomized. This number of events corresponds to a minimum detectable difference in HR of approximately 0.758 in the PD-L1 subpopulation within the Stage II-IIIA population.

Given the sample size of 1005, the final OS analysis will be conducted when approximately $564\,$ OS events in the all randomized Stage IB–IIIA population have occurred, which is expected at approximately $121\,$ months after the first patient is randomized.

Interim Analyses

An external independent Data Monitoring Committee (iDMC) will evaluate safety data on an ongoing basis and will also review the interim analysis of DFS data. All summaries and analyses by treatment arm for the iDMC's review will be prepared by an external independent data coordinating center (iDCC). Members of the iDMC will be external to the Sponsor and will

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 25/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

follow a charter that outlines their roles and responsibilities. Any outcomes of these safety reviews that affect study conduct will be communicated in a timely manner to the investigators for notification of the IRBs/ECs. A detailed plan will be included in the iDMC Charter.

There will be one planned interim analysis for DFS in the study. To ensure the study continues to meet the highest standards of integrity, the interim analysis of DFS will be conducted by an iDCC and reviewed by the iDMC. Interactions between the iDMC and Sponsor will be carried out as specified in the iDMC Charter.

This DFS interim analysis will be conducted when approximately 80% of the information has been observed in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 $TC \ge 1\%$ within the Stage II–IIIA population (i.e., at the date when approximately 190 DFS events occur in the PD-L1 subpopulation within the Stage II–IIIA population). This is expected to occur approximately 56 months after the first patient is randomized; however, the exact timing of this analysis will depend on the actual number and the timing of DFS events.

The final DFS analysis will be conducted at the date when approximately 237 DFS events occur in the PD-L1 subpopulation within the Stage II–IIA population. This is expected to occur approximately 68 months after the first patient is randomized; however, the exact timing of this analysis will depend on the actual number and timing of DFS events.

Four interim efficacy analyses of OS are planned. The first OS interim analysis will be conducted at the time of the DFS interim analysis (if DFS is positive). It is projected that approximately 254 OS events in the ITT population (i.e., approximately 45% of the information) will have been observed at the DFS interim analysis, but the exact timing of this analysis may depend on the actual number and timing of DFS events.

The second interim OS analysis will be conducted at the time of the final DFS analysis. It is projected that approximately 333 OS events in *the ITT population (i.e., approximately 59% of the information)* will have been observed at the final DFS analysis, but the exact timing of this analysis *may* depend on the actual number and timing of DFS events.

The third interim OS analysis will be conducted at the date when approximately 73% of the information has been observed in the ITT population (i.e., at the date when approximately 412 OS events occur for *the ITT population*). This is expected to occur approximately 83 months after the first patient is randomized.

The fourth interim OS analysis will be conducted at the date when approximately 88% of the information has been observed in ITT population (i.e., at the date when approximately 497 OS events occur for *the ITT population*. This is expected to occur approximately 102 months after the first patient is randomized, but the exact timing of this analysis may depend on the actual number and timing of OS events.

The final OS analysis will be conducted at the date of when approximately 564 OS events have occurred in *the ITT population*. This is expected to occur approximately $121 \ months$ after the first patient is randomized, but the exact timing of this analysis may depend on the actual number and timing of OS events.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 26/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Abbreviation	Definition
AJCC	American Joint Committee on Cancer
ASCO	American Society of Clinical Oncology
ATA	anti-therapeutic antibody
BID	twice a day
BSA	body surface area
BSC	best supportive care
CALGB	Cancer and Leukemia Group B
C _{max}	maximum serum concentration observed
C _{min}	minimum serum concentration under steady–state conditions within a dosing interval
CRCL	creatinine clearance
СТ	computed tomography
ctDNA	circulating-tumor DNA
Ctrough	steady–state concentration at the end of a dosing interval (i.e., just prior to next drug administration)
DFS	disease-free survival
DLT	dose-limiting toxicity
DOR	duration of response
EC	Ethics Committee
ECOG	Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
eCRF	electronic Case Report Form
EDC	electronic data capture
EGFR	epidermal growth factor receptor
ESA	erythropoietin-stimulating agents
FDA	(U.S.) Food and Drug Administration
FFPE	formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded
GGT	gamma–glutamyl transferase
HBc	hepatitis B core antigen
HBsAg	hepatitis B surface antigen
HBV	hepatitis B virus
HCV	hepatitis C virus
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
HR	hazard ratio
HUS	hemolytic-uremic syndrome
IC	tumor-infiltrating immune cell
ICH	International Conference on Harmonisation

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 27/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

J Immunother Cancer

Abbreviation	Definition
iDMC	independent Data Monitoring Committee
Ig	immunoglobulin
IHC	immunohistochemistry
imAE	immune-mediated adverse event
IMP	investigational medicinal product
IND	Investigational New Drug (Application)
IRB	Institutional Review Board
IRF	independent review facility
ITT	intent to treat
IV	Intravenous
IxRS	interactive voice/Web response system
LACE	Lung Adjuvant Cisplatin Evaluation
LFT	liver function test
MLND	mediastinal lymph node dissection
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
MTD	maximum tolerated dose
NCI	National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events
NGS	next-generation sequencing
NSAIDS	nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents
NSCLC	non-small cell lung cancer
ORR	objective response rate
os	overall survival
PD-1	programmed death-1
PD-L1	programmed death-ligand 1
PFS	progression-free survival
PK	pharmacokinetic
PO	by mouth
PVC	polyvinylchloride
RCR	Roche Clinical Repository
RECIST	Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors
q3w	every 3 weeks
QID	four times a day
qRT-PCR	quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
RCC	renal cell carcinoma
RCR	Roche Clinical Repository
SAP	Statistical Analysis Plan

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

28/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Abbreviation	Definition
TC	tumor cell
TNF	tumor necrosis factor
TSH	thyroid-stimulating hormone
UICC	Union Internationale Contre le Cancer
ULN	upper limit of normal

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 29/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

1. BACKGROUND

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide. It was estimated that there would be 224,210 new cases of lung cancer (116,000 in men and 108,210 in women) and 159,260 deaths in the United States in 2014 (American Cancer Society 2014). Similar data from Europe estimate that there were 214,000 new cases of lung cancer and 268,000 deaths in 2012 (GLOBOCAN 2012).

Non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is one of the two major types of lung cancer, accounting for approximately 85% of all lung cancer cases (Molina et al. 2008). The two predominant histologic types of NSCLC are adenocarcinoma, which accounts for more than half of cases, and squamous cell carcinoma, which accounts for approximately 25% of cases (Langer et al. 2010; Travis et al. 2011).

The overall 5-year survival rate for advanced NSCLC is 2%–4%, depending on geographic location (Cetin et al. 2011). Poor prognostic factors for survival in patients with NSCLC include advanced stage of disease at the time of initial diagnosis, poor Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status, and a history of unintentional weight loss. More than half of the patients with NSCLC present with distant metastatic disease at the time of initial diagnosis, which directly contributes to poor survival prospects.

In its early stages, NSCLC is treated surgically with curative intent. However, 30%–70% of patients undergoing resection develop recurrence and die as a result of disease progression (Ponn et al. 2005). Adjuvant radiotherapy is no longer recommended after surgery as an adjuvant treatment option for patients with early-stage disease, specifically in Stage I and II patients because it has been shown to have a deleterious effect on long-term survival (PORT Meta-Analysis Trialists Group 1998).

1.1 ADJUVANT TREATMENT OPTIONS FOR PATIENTS WITH SURGICALLY RESECTED STAGE IB-IIIA NSCLC

Adjuvant chemotherapy is the standard of care for fully resected (Stage IB–IIIA) NSCLC. Additional studies are looking at the role of molecularly targeted adjuvant studies in relatively uncommon molecular subsets (epidermal growth factor receptor [EGFR], anaplastic lymphoma kinase) that account for <15% of NSCLC.

The Lung Adjuvant Cisplatin Evaluation (LACE) reported on the results of a pooled analysis of data from several large studies of cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with NSCLC. The pooled analysis of these data was used to identify treatment options associated with a higher degree of benefit or groups of patients benefiting more from adjuvant treatment (Pignon et al. 2008). With a median follow-up time of 5.2 years, the overall hazard ratio (HR) of death was 0.89 (95% CI: 0.82, 0.96; p=0.005), corresponding to a 5-year absolute benefit of 5.4% from chemotherapy. Further analysis revealed no heterogeneity of chemotherapy effect among studies. The benefit varied

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 30/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

with stage, with the strongest effect seen in Stages II and III and a potential deleterious effect in Stage IA.

Of note, the effect of chemotherapy did not vary significantly (test for interaction, p=0.11) with the associated drugs, including vinorelbine (HR= 0.80; 95% CI: 0.70, 0.91), etoposide or vinca alkaloid (HR= 0.92; 95% CI: 0.80, 1.07), or other treatment (HR= 0.97; 95% CI: 0.84, 1.13). In addition, there was no correlation between chemotherapy effect and sex, age, histology, type of surgery, planned radiotherapy, or planned total dose of cisplatin.

Table 1 from Heon and Johnson 2012 lists the five studies included in the LACE meta-analysis plus the Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) study of adjuvant paclitaxel and carboplatin in Stage IB NSCLC.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 31/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Study	Patients (n)	Stage Eligibility	Absolute Difference in 5-Year Survival (%)	HR for Death	95% CI	p-Value
IALT a	1867	I–III	4.1	0.86	0.76-0.98	< 0.03
JBR.10 b	482	IB-II	15	0.69	0.52-0.91	0.04
ANITA °	840	IB-IIIA	8.6	0.80	0.66-0.96	0.017
ALPI d	1088	I–IIIA	1	0.96	0.81-1.13	0.589
BLT e	381	I–III	NA	1.02	0.77-1.35	0.9
CALGB 9633 f	344	IB	2	0.83	0.64-1.08	0.125

ALPI= Adjuvant Lung Project Italy; ANITA= Adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association; BLT=Big Lung Trial; CALGB= Cancer and Leukemia Group B; HR= hazard ratio; IALT= International Adjuvant Lung Trial; NA= not applicable.

- ^a Arriagada R, Bergman B, Dunant A, et al. Cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected non–small cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;350:351–60.
- Winton T, Livingston R, Johnson D, et al. Vinorelbine plus cisplatin vs. observation in resected non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:2589–97.
- c JY, Rosell R, De Lena M, et al. Adjuvant vinorelbine plus cisplatin versus observation in patients with completely resected stage IB–IIIA non–small cell lung cancer (Adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association [ANITA]): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2006;7:719–27.
- d Scagliotti GV, Fossati R, Torri V, et al. Randomized study of adjuvant chemotherapy for completely resected Stage, II, or IIIA non–small cell lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:1453–61.
- ^e Waller D, Peake MD, Stephens RJ, et al. Chemotherapy for patients with non–small cell lung cancer: the surgical setting of the Big Lung Trial. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2004;26:173–82.
- Strauss GM, Herndon JE II, Maddaus MA, et al. Adjuvant paclitaxel plus carboplatin compared with observation in stage IB non–small cell lung cancer: CALGB 9633 with the Cancer and Leukemia Group B, Radiation Therapy Oncology Group, and North Central Cancer Treatment Group Study Groups. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:5043–51.

Source: Heon S, Johnson BE. Adjuvant chemotherapy for surgically resected non–small cell lung cancer. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012 Sep;144(3):S39–42.

The CALGB 9633 study was designed to evaluate the role of adjuvant paclitaxel/carboplatin in node-negative Stage I NSCLC. In this study, 344 patients were randomly assigned to observation or paclitaxel and carboplatin. Median follow-up was 74 months. Groups were well balanced with regard to demographics, histology, and extent of surgery. Survival was not significantly different (HR= 0.83; CI: 0.64, 1.08; p= 0.12). However, an exploratory analysis demonstrated a significant survival difference in favor of adjuvant chemotherapy for patients who had tumors \geq 4 cm in diameter (HR=0.69; CI: 0.48, 0.99; p=0.043; Strauss et al. 2008). This study has formed the basis for subsequent adjuvant studies including patients with Stage IB whose tumors were \geq 4 cm.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 32/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Study E1505 is a randomized study of chemotherapy, consisting of four cisplatin-based chemotherapy regimens (pemetrexed, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, or docetaxel) given for four cycles alone or with 1 year of bevacizumab beginning concurrently with chemotherapy (Wakelee et al. 2011; Wakelee et al. 2015). In this study, 1501 patients were enrolled. The interim analysis of the study, with a median follow-up of 41 months, was presented at the World Conference on Lung Cancer (WCLC) in 2015. This study did not meet its primary endpoint of overall survival (OS). The OS hazard ratio comparing the bevacizumab-containing arm (Arm B) to chemotherapy alone (Arm A) was 0.99 (95% CI: 0.81, 1.21, p=0.93). The disease-free survival (DFS) HR was 0.98 (95% CI: 0.84, 1.14, p=0.75). Statistically significant Grade 3–5 toxicities of note (all attributions) included overall worst grade (67% vs. 84%), hypertension (8% vs. 30%), and neutropenia (33% vs. 38%) for Arm A and Arm B, respectively. There was no significant difference in Grade 5 adverse events per arm, with 16 (2%) in Arm A and 19 (3%) in Arm B.

1.2 BACKGROUND ON ATEZOLIZUMAB (MPDL3280A)

Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A [anti–programmed death-ligand 1 [PD-L1] antibody]) is a humanized Ig G1 monoclonal antibody consisting of two heavy chains (448 amino acids) and two light chains (214 amino acids) and is produced in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Atezolizumab was engineered to eliminate Fc-effector function via a single amino acid substitution (asparagine to alanine) at position 298 on the heavy chain, which results in a non-glycosylated antibody that has minimal binding to Fc receptors and prevents Fc-effector function at expected concentrations in humans. Atezolizumab targets human PD-L1 and inhibits its interaction with its receptors, programmed death–1 (PD-1) and B7.1 (CD80, B7-1). Both of these interactions are reported to provide inhibitory signals to T–cells.

Atezolizumab is being investigated as a potential therapy against solid tumors and hematologic malignancies in humans. Atezolizumab is approved for the treatment of urothelial carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, small-cell lung cancer, and triplenegative breast cancer.

Refer to the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure for details on nonclinical and clinical studies.

1.2.1 Summary of Nonclinical Studies

The nonclinical strategy of the atezolizumab program was to demonstrate in vitro and in vivo activity, to determine in vivo pharmacokinetic (PK) behavior, to demonstrate an acceptable safety profile, and to identify a Phase I starting dose. Comprehensive pharmacology, PK, and toxicology evaluations were thus undertaken with atezolizumab.

The safety, pharmacokinetics, and toxicokinetics of atezolizumab were investigated in mice and cynomolgus monkeys to support intravenous (IV) administration and to aid in projecting the appropriate starting dose in humans. Given the similar binding of

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 33/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

atezolizumab for cynomolgus monkey and human PD-L1, the cynomolgus monkey was selected as the primary and relevant nonclinical model for understanding the safety, pharmacokinetics, and toxicokinetics of atezolizumab.

Overall, the nonclinical pharmacokinetics and toxicokinetics observed for atezolizumab supported entry into clinical studies, including providing adequate safety factors for the proposed Phase I starting doses. The results of the toxicology program were consistent with the anticipated pharmacologic activity of downmodulating the PD-L1/PD-1 pathway and supported entry into clinical studies in patients.

Refer to the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure for details on the nonclinical studies.

1.3 CLINICAL EXPERIENCE WITH ATEZOLIZUMAB

1.3.1 Ongoing Clinical Studies

Atezolizumab is currently being tested in multiple Phase I, II, and III studies, both as monotherapy and in combination with several anti-cancer therapies (see the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure for study descriptions).

The single-agent safety and efficacy data summarized below are from the following three studies:

- Study PCD4989g: A Phase Ia, multicenter, first-in-human, open-label, dose-escalation study evaluating the safety, tolerability, immunogenicity, pharmacokinetics, exploratory pharmacodynamics, and preliminary evidence of biologic activity of atezolizumab administered as a single agent by IV infusion every 3 weeks (q3w) to patients with locally advanced or metastatic solid malignancies or hematologic malignancies.
- Study GO28753 (POPLAR): A randomized, Phase II, open-label study assessing
 the clinical benefit of atezolizumab as a single agent versus docetaxel in
 PD-L1-unselected patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC that has
 progressed during or following treatment with a platinum-containing regimen.
- Study GO28754 (BIRCH): A Phase II, open-label study assessing the clinical benefit of atezolizumab as a single agent in patients with PD-L1-selected, locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC representing all lines of therapy (previously untreated to heavily pretreated patients with exposure to multiple prior regimens)

1.3.2 Clinical Safety

1.3.2.1 Single-Agent Clinical Safety in Patients with NSCLC in Study PCD4989g

Study PCD4989g is a Phase Ia dose-escalation and expansion study in which atezolizumab is being used as a single agent in patients with locally advanced or metastatic solid tumors or hematologic malignancies. Study PCD4989g provides the majority of data (with 558 safety-evaluable patients as of the data extraction date of 11 May 2015) for the safety profile of atezolizumab as monotherapy.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 34/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Currently, no maximum tolerated dose (MTD), no dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs), and no clear dose-related trends in the incidence of adverse events have been determined.

The safety profile of atezolizumab as a single agent is observed to be consistent across different indications. The most common cancer types for these patients include NSCLC, urothelial bladder cancer, melanoma, and renal cell carcinoma. Safety data for NSCLC are also derived from Study GO28753 (POPLAR).

Adverse Events

Of the 558 patients, 520 patients (93.2%) experienced at least one adverse event, including 376 patients (67.4%) who experienced one treatment-related adverse event. Commonly reported events (reported in \geq 10% of all patients) included fatigue, decreased appetite, nausea, pyrexia, constipation, and cough (see Table 2).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 35/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 2 Study PCD4989g: Adverse Events with Frequency ≥ 10% of Patients for All Grades

Preferred Term	All Grades n (%)	All Grades Related n (%)	Grade 3–4 n (%)	Grade 3–4 Related n (%)
Any adverse event	520 (93.2)	376 (67.4)	239 (42.8)	66 (11.8)
Fatigue	192 (34.4)	115 (20.6)	13 (2.3)	6 (1.1)
Decreased Appetite	142 (25.4)	62 (11.1)	4 (0.7)	0 (0.0)
Nausea	136 (24.4)	65 (11.6)	5 (0.9)	2 (0.4)
Pyrexia	117 (21.0)	63 (11.3)	2 (0.4)	0 (0.0)
Constipation	116 (20.8)	8 (1.4)	2 (0.4)	0 (0.0)
Cough	113 (20.3)	11 (2.0)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)
Dyspnea	112 (20.1)	18 (3.2)	18 (3.2)	4 (0.7)
Diarrhea	110 (19.7)	53 (9.5)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)
Anemia	104 (18.6)	26 (4.7)	23 (4.1)	5 (0.9)
Vomiting	96 (17.2)	28 (5.0)	3 (0.5)	2 (0.4)
Asthenia	88 (15.8)	53 (9.5)	8 (1.4)	4 (0.7)
Back Pain	85 (15.2)	9 (1.6)	8 (1.4)	1 (0.2)
Headache	83 (14.9)	32 (5.7)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)
Arthralgia	79 (14.2)	35 (6.3)	2 (0.4)	0 (0.0)
Pruritus	75 (13.4)	55 (9.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Rash	73 (13.1)	53 (9.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Abdominal Pain	63 (11.3)	12 (2.2)	8 (1.4)	0 (0.0)
Insomnia	62 (11.1)	7 (1.3)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)
Peripheral edema	59 (10.6)	7 (1.3)	_	_
Chills	57 (10.2)	31 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

Note: '—' refers to missing Common Terminology Criteria grade.

Grade 3–4 adverse events (based on National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, Version 4.0 [NCI CTCAE v4.0]) were reported in 239 patients (42.8%), of which 66 (11.8%) were considered related. Grade 3 and 4 adverse events considered related by the investigator included dyspnea, pneumonitis, increased ALT, increased AST, increased gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT), lymphocyte count decreased, cardiac tamponade, asthenia, autoimmune hepatitis, pneumonia, influenza, and hypoxia.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 36/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

1.3.2.2 Single-Agent Clinical Safety in Patients with NSCLC in the Study GO28753

As of the 8 May 2015 data cutoff date, the Phase II POPLAR study (GO28753) included data from 142 patients treated with atezolizumab as a fixed dose of 1200 mg IV q3w and 135 patients treated with docetaxel 75 mg/m 2 IV q3w. The frequency of patients in Study GO28753 who reported any adverse event regardless of attribution was 96.3% for the atezolizumab arm and 95.8% for the docetaxel arm. A higher number of Grade \geq 3 adverse events were observed in the docetaxel arm (56.3% vs. 44.4%). Adverse events reported in at least 10% of patients in either treatment arm are listed in Table 3.

Table 3 Adverse Events Reported in at Least 10% of Patients in Study GO28753

	No. of Patients (%)		
	Atezolizumab	Docetaxel	
MedDRA Preferred Term	(n=142)	(n=135)	
Fatigue	55 (38.7)	54 (40.0)	
Decreased appetite	49 (34.5)	28 (20.7)	
Nausea	31 (21.8)	45 (33.3)	
Cough	38 (26.8)	33 (24.4)	
Dyspnoea	38 (26.8)	27 (20.0)	
Diarrhoea	24 (16.9)	38 (28.1)	
Constipation	29 (20.4)	32 (23.7)	
Alopecia	3 (2.1)	52 (38.5)	
Anaemia	23 (16.2)	26 (19.3)	
Pyrexia	24 (16.9)	16 (11.9)	
Asthenia	14 (9.9)	22 (16.3)	
Vomiting	18 (12.7)	18 (13.3)	
Arthralgia	22 (15.5)	12 (8.9)	
Rash	15 (10.6)	16 (11.9)	
Insomnia	19 (13.4)	11 (8.1)	
Back pain	16 (11.3)	11 (8.1)	
Musculoskeletal pain	19 (13.4)	7 (5.2)	
Myalgia	8 (5.6)	18 (13.3)	
Neutropenia	2 (1.4)	17 (12.6)	
Pneumonia	15 (10.6)	4 (3.0)	
Neuropathy peripheral	2 (1.4)	16 (11.9)	

For additional information, refer to the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 37/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

1.3.2.3 Single-Agent Clinical Safety in Patients with Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer in Study GO28754 (BIRCH)

As of the 28 May 2015 data cutoff date for the primary analysis, 659 patients were evaluable for safety. Table 4 shows the overall safety findings in Study GO28754.

Table 4 Adverse Events Reported in Study GO28754 (BIRCH)

	No. of Patients (%)			
Parameter	Cohort 1 1L (n=139)	Cohort 2 2L (n=267)	Cohort 3 3L+ (n=253)	All Patients (n=659)
All cause AEs	91%	92%	96%	94%
All cause Grade 3–4 AEs	40%	37%	39%	38%
Related adverse event	57%	63%	69%	64%
Related Grade 3–4 AEs	9%	12%	11%	11%
AE leading to withdrawal from treatment	6%	6%	4%	5%
Related Grade 5 (fatal) AE	0	0	0.4%ª	0.2%

¹L=first line; 2L=second line; 3L=third line; AE=adverse event; NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer.

The most commonly reported adverse events (all grade) were fatigue, diarrhea, and nausea. The adverse event profile observed in Study GO28754 is consistent with that observed in Study PCD4989g (overall and NSCLC populations), as well as with the atezolizumab arm in Study GO28753 (POPLAR).

For additional information, refer to the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure.

1.3.2.4 Immune-Mediated Adverse Events

Given the mechanism of action of atezolizumab, events associated with inflammation and/or immune-mediated adverse events have been closely monitored during the atezolizumab clinical program. These include potential dermatologic, hepatic, endocrine, gastrointestinal, and respiratory events.

See the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure for details regarding immune-mediated adverse events observed in patients treated with atezolizumab. Guidelines for the management of potential immune-mediated adverse events are described in Appendix 9.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 38/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

One Grade 5 treatment-related event (pneumonia).
 Adapted from Besse et al. 2015.

1.3.3 Clinical Activity

1.3.3.1 Single-Agent Clinical Activity in Patients with NSCLC in Study PCD4989g

As of the 2 December 2014 cutoff date, the efficacy-evaluable population included 88 patients with locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC. The median age was 60.5 years (range 24–84 years) and represented a heavily pre-treated patient population, with 97% of patients having received two or more prior systemic therapies, and 77.3% having received four or more prior systemic therapies.

Overall, responses were observed in 20 of 88 (22.7%) patients with NSCLC and included responses in patients with squamous and non-squamous NSCLC (4 in 21 patients and 16 in 67 patients, respectively). A total of 8 of the 20 responding patients have continued to respond at the time of the clinical data cutoff.

Table 5 displays the confirmed objective response rate (ORR), duration of confirmed response (DoR), and 6-month PFS rates by PD-L1 expression for patients with NSCLC. These results are based on Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors Version 1.1 (RECIST v1.1) as assessed by the investigator. Analyses of tumor-infiltrating immune cells (ICs) and tumor cells (TCs) for PD-L1 expression on baseline tumor tissue from NSCLC patients have been performed. Higher ORRs were associated with higher PD-L1 expression.

See the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure for details on clinical activity in patients with NSCLC treated to date.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 39/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 5 Patients with NSCLC in Study PCD4989g: Investigator-Assessed Confirmed Objective Response Rate by Tumor PD-L1 Expression, Duration of Response, and 6-Month Progression-Free Survival Rates (per RECIST Version 1.1)

PD-L1 IHC Expression Category	ORR by RECIST, Version 1.1 n=88	SD (n/N)	PD (n/N)	DOR (Range in Months)	6-Month PFS % (95% CI)
TC3 or IC3	50.0% (11 of 22) (95% CI: 28.22%, 71.78%)	13.6% (3/22)	31.8% (7/22)	7.16–25.26	50.0 (29.1, 70.9)
TC2/3 or IC2/3	33.3% (16 of 48) (95% CI: 20.40%, 48.41%)	22.9% (11/48)	37.5% (18/48)	7.16–26.74+	41.6 (27.6, 55.5)
TC0/1/2 and IC0/1/2	15.5% (9 of 58) (95% CI: 7.35%, 27.42%)	37.9% (22/58)	37.9% (22/58)	7.16–26.74+	41.1 (28.4, 53.8)
TC0/1 and IC0/1	12.5% (4 of 32) (95% CI: 3.51%, 28.99%)	43.8% (14/32)	34.4% (11/32)	9.92–24.74	46.7 (29.3, 64.0)

DOR=duration of response; IC=tumor-infiltrating immune cell; IHC=immunohistochemistry; NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer; ORR=objective response rate; PFS=progression-free survival; SD=stable disease; PD=progressive disease; PD-L1=programmed death-ligand 1; RECIST=Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; TC=tumor cell.

Notes: This table is based on a data cutoff of 2 December 2014 of patients with NSCLC. ORR includes confirmed responses. The "+" denotes a censored value.

1.3.3.2 Single-Agent Clinical Activity in Patients with NSCLC in Study GO28753

The primary OS analysis in Study GO28753 (POPLAR) was conducted when 173 deaths (data cutoff date 8 May 2015) had occurred. Demographic characteristics were comparable between treatment arms in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population. The median age was 62 years (range: 42–82 years for the atezolizumab arm, range: 36–84 years for the docetaxel arm), and the majority of patients had received one prior therapy (64.6% for atezolizumab and 67.1% for docetaxel), and had non-squamous histology (66.0% for atezolizumab and 66.4% for docetaxel) and ECOG performance status of 1 (67.6% for atezolizumab and 68.3% for docetaxel). More females were enrolled in the docetaxel arm (46.9% vs. 35.4%).

Efficacy results for the ITT population are shown in the following section. Atezolizumab showed significant improvement in overall survival compared with docetaxel in patients with advanced, previously treated NSCLC unselected for PD-L1 expression. OS in the ITT population was 12.6 months (95% CI: 9.7, 16.4) for atezolizumab versus 9.7 months (95% CI: 8.6, 12.0) for docetaxel (HR 0.73; 95% CI: 0.53, 0.99; p = 0.04). PFS was similar between groups (2.7 months with atezolizumab vs. 3.0 months with

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 40/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

docetaxel). The ORR for the atezolizumab arm was similar to the docetaxel arm. Objective responses with atezolizumab were durable, with a median duration of 14.3 months (95% CI: 11.6, not estimable) compared with 7.2 months (95% CI: 5.6, 12.5) for docetaxel (see Table 6) (Fehrenbacher et al. 2016). At the time of the clinical data cutoff, there was a minimum of 13 months of follow-up.

Table 6 Efficacy Results in Study GO28753: Intent-to-Treat Population

Efficacy Endpoint	Atezolizumab (n=144)	Docetaxel (n=143)
Overall survival		
No. of deaths (%)	78 (54.2)	95 (66.4)
Median (months) 95% CI	12.6 9.7, 16.4	9.7 8.6, 12.0
Stratified hazard ratio 95% CI	0.73 0.53, 0.99	
Progression-free survival		
No. of events (%)	124 (86.1)	121 (84.6)
Median (months) 95% CI	2.7 2.0, 4.1	3.0 2.8, 4.1
Stratified hazard ratio 95% CI	0.94 0.72, 1.23	
Objective response rate (confirmed)	14.6%	14.7%
Duration of response		
Median (months)	14.3	7.2
95% CI	11.6, NE	5.6, 12.5

NE = not estimable.

Improvement in OS increased with increasing PD-L1 expression, whereas patients with the lowest PD-L1 expression levels experienced OS similar to that in the docetaxel group (See Table 7).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 41/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 7 Study GO28753 Efficacy Results by Combination PD-L1
Diagnostic Subgroups with Complementary Comparison
Subgroupings: Intent-to-Treat Population

	HR (95% CI)		A4	Total No. of
Diagnostic Subgroup	OS	PFS	Atezolizumab/ Docetaxel ORR (%)	Patients (Atezolizumab/ Docetaxel)
TC3 or IC3	0.49 (0.22, 1.07)	0.60 (0.31, 1.16)	37.5/13.0	47 (24/23)
TC2/3 or IC2/3	0.54 (0.33, .0.89)	0.72 (0.47,.1.10)	22.0/14.5	105 (50/55)
TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3	0.59 (0.40, 0.85)	0.85 (0.63, 1.16)	18.3/16.7	195 (93/102)
TC0 and IC0	1.04 (0.62, 1.75)	1.12 (0.72, 1.77)	7.8/10.9	92 (51/44)

HR=hazard ratio; IC=tumor-infiltrating immune cell; ORR=objective response rate; OS=overall survival; PFS=progression-free survival; TC=tumor cell.

Notes: The HRs for OS and PFS are unstratified values. The ORRs are for confirmed responses.

In summary, the data from the POPLAR study show that atezolizumab provides survival benefit in previously treated patients with NSCLC.

1.3.3.3 Single-Agent Clinical Activity in Patients with Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer in Study GO28754 (BIRCH)

The primary analysis of Study GO28754 (BIRCH) was performed approximately 6 months after the last patient was enrolled (clinical cutoff 28 May 2015; Besse et al. 2015). Independent Review Facility (IRF)-assessed ORR by line of therapy is shown in Table 8.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 42/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 8 Study GO28754 (BIRCH) Independent Review Facility-Assessed Overall Response Rate: Treated Population

Primary Efficacy Endpoint IRF-ORR per RECIST v1.1	Cohort 1 (1L) N=139	Cohort 2 (2L) N=267	Cohort 3 (3L+) N=253
TC3 or IC3 patients	n=65	n=122	n=115
Responders (%)	17 (26.2%)	29 (23.8%)	31 (27.0%)
95% CI	16.0, 38.5	16.5, 32.3	19.1, 36.0
TC2/3 or IC2/3 patients	$n\!=\!139$	$n\!=\!267$	n=253
Responders (%)	27 (19.4%)	46 (17.2%)	44 (17.4%)
95% CI	13.2, 27.0	12.9, 22.3	12.9, 22.6

 $1L\!=\!first\;line;\;2L\!=\!second\;line;\;3L\!=\!third\;line;\;IC\!=\!tumor\!-\!infiltrating\;immune\;cell;$

 $IRF = independent \ review \ facility; \ ORR = overall \ response \ rate; \ RECIST = Response$

Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors; TC=tumor cell.

Source: Besse et al. 2015.

The study met its primary objective of demonstrating a statistically significant and clinically meaningful ORR assessed by IRF per RECIST v1.1 compared with historical controls in the seven pre-specified subpopulations. At the clinical cutoff, more than 58% of responders assessed by IRF per RECIST v1.1 had an ongoing response in each line of therapy and each PD-L1 expression level. The estimated median DOR was 8.4 months in the TC2/3 or IC2/3 patients although follow-up is limited. OS data are not yet mature.

1.3.4 Clinical Pharmacokinetics and Immunogenicity

On the basis of available preliminary PK data (0.03–20 mg/kg), atezolizumab appeared to show linear pharmacokinetics at doses ≥ 1 mg/kg. For the 1 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg dose groups, the mean apparent total clearance of drug (CL) and the mean volume of distribution under steady-state conditions (Vss) had a range of 3.20 to 4.43 mL/day/kg and 48.1 to 64.1 mL/kg, respectively, which is consistent with the expected profile of an IgG1 antibody in humans.

The development of anti-therapeutic antibodies (ATAs, also called anti-drug antibodies) has been observed in patients in all dose cohorts and was associated with changes in pharmacokinetics for some patients in the lower dose cohorts (0.3, 1, and 3 mg/kg). The development of detectable ATAs has not had a significant impact on pharmacokinetics for doses from 10–20 mg/kg. Patients dosed at the 10, 15, and 20 mg/kg dose levels have maintained the expected target trough levels of drug despite the detection of ATAs. To date, no clear relationship between the detection of ATAs and adverse events or infusion reactions has been observed.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 43/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

1.3.5 <u>Additional Studies with Atezolizumab</u>

Several studies that include targeted agents such as bevacizumab, erlotinib, and vemurafenib are being explored in combination with both atezolizumab and standard chemotherapy.

1.4 STUDY RATIONALE AND BENEFIT-RISK ASSESSMENT

PD-L1 is an extracellular protein that downregulates immune responses primarily in peripheral tissues through binding to its two receptors, PD-1 and B7.1. PD-1 is an inhibitory receptor expressed on T cells following T-cell activation, which is sustained in states of chronic stimulation such as in chronic infection or cancer (Blank et al. 2005; Keir et al. 2008). Ligation of PD-L1 with PD-1 inhibits T-cell proliferation, cytokine production, and cytolytic activity, leading to the functional inactivation or exhaustion of T cells. B7.1 is a molecule expressed on antigen-presenting cells and activated T-cells. PD-L1 binding to B7.1 on T cells and antigen-presenting cells can mediate downregulation of immune responses, including inhibition of T-cell activation and cytokine production (Butte et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2011).

Overexpression of PD-L1 on TCs has been reported to impede anti-tumor immunity, resulting in immune evasion (Blank and Mackensen 2007). Therefore, interruption of the PD-L1/PD-1 pathway represents an attractive strategy to reinvigorate tumor-specific T-cell immunity.

PD-L1 expression is prevalent in many human tumors, and elevated PD-L1 expression is associated with a poor prognosis in patients with NSCLC (Mu et al. 2011). In mouse tumor models, interruption of the interaction between PD-L1 and PD-1 resulted in anti-tumor effects (Iwai et al. 2002; Strome et al. 2003).

Targeting the PD-L1 pathway with atezolizumab has demonstrated activity in patients with advanced malignancies and who have failed standard-of-care therapies. In Study PCD4989g, a Phase Ia dose-escalation and expansion study, objective responses with atezolizumab monotherapy were observed in a broad range of malignancies (see Section 1.3.3). In addition, in the NSCLC cohort, patients who had a high level of PD-L1 expression in TCs or ICs were more likely to respond to atezolizumab than those with low or no PD-L1 expression in TCs or ICs (see Section 1.3.3).

Data from the randomized Phase II Study GO28753 (POPLAR) have suggested an OS benefit in the atezolizumab arm in a PD-L1–unselected population, with a stratified HR of 0.73 (95% CI: 0.53, 0.99). PFS and ORR for the atezolizumab arm were similar to those for the docetaxel arm (see Section 1.3.3.2 and Table 6).

Atezolizumab has been generally well tolerated (see Section 1.3.2). Adverse events with potentially immune-mediated causes consistent with an immunotherapeutic agent, including rash, hypothyroidism, hepatitis/transaminitis, colitis, and myasthenia gravis,

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 44/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

have been observed in Study PCD4989g. To date, these events have been manageable with treatment.

Given the evidence of the clinical activity of atezolizumab in previously treated NSCLC and the need to continue to improve upon the survival for patients with resected NSCLC treated with adjuvant cisplatin–based chemotherapy, the Sponsor proposes Study GO29527. Patients with completely resected Stage IB (tumors ≥4 cm)–IIIA NSCLC will receive up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy unless unacceptable toxicity, disease relapse, or patient's decision to discontinue occur, followed by randomization to either 16 cycles of atezolizumab treatment or best supportive care (BSC).

2. <u>OBJECTIVES</u>

The following objectives will be evaluated in patients with Stage IB-IIIA NSCLC.

2.1 EFFICACY OBJECTIVES

2.1.1 Primary Efficacy Objective

The primary efficacy objective of the study is as follows:

• To evaluate the efficacy of atezolizumab monotherapy treatment compared with BSC as measured by DFS as assessed by the investigator in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined as ≥1% TC expression by the SP263 IHC assay) within the Stage II–IIIA population, in all randomized patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC, and in the ITT population as detailed in Figure 2

2.1.2 Secondary Efficacy Objectives

The secondary efficacy objectives of the study are to evaluate the efficacy of atezolizumab monotherapy treatment compared with BSC on the basis of the following outcome measures (see also Section 3.4.2):

- OS in the ITT population
- 3-year and 5-year DFS rates in the PD-L1 *subpopulation* (defined *as* ≥1%*TC expression* by the *SP263* IHC assay) within the Stage II–IIIA population, in all randomized *patients with* Stage II–IIIA NSCLC, and in the ITT population
- DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined as ≥50% TC expression by the SP263 IHC assay) in patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC

2.2 SAFETY OBJECTIVES

The safety objectives of the study are as follows:

- To evaluate the safety and tolerability of atezolizumab treatment after up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy in the adjuvant setting
- To evaluate the incidence and titers of ATAs against atezolizumab in the adjuvant setting and to explore the potential relationship of the immunogenicity response with pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 45/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

2.3 PHARMACOKINETIC OBJECTIVE

The PK objective of the study is as follows:

 To characterize the pharmacokinetics of atezolizumab treatment in the adjuvant setting

2.4 EXPLORATORY OBJECTIVES

The exploratory objectives for this study are as follows:

- To evaluate DFS in TC3 or IC3, TC2/3 or IC2/3, TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3 subpopulations defined by PD-L1 SP142 IHC in both the Stage II–IIIA and the ITT populations
- To evaluate DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by 22C3 TPS ≥1% and TPS ≥50% in both the Stage II–IIIA and the ITT populations
- To evaluate DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by SP263 TC ≥1% and TC ≥50% in the ITT population
- To evaluate the relationship between tumor and blood-based biomarkers (including but not limited to PD-L1, PD-1, somatic mutations, and others), as defined by IHC or quantitative reverse transcriptase—polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR), next-generation sequencing (NGS), and/or other methods and measures of efficacy
- To assess predictive, prognostic, and pharmacodynamic exploratory biomarkers in archival and/or fresh tumor tissue and blood and their association with disease status, mechanisms of resistance, and/or response to atezolizumab in the adjuvant treatment setting
- To evaluate biomarkers at the time of apparent recurrence of primary disease
 (i.e., NSCLC primary disease recurrence, occurrence of new primary NSCLCs) and
 to distinguish any immunomodulatory activity of atezolizumab (i.e., tumor-immune
 infiltration) in patients with confirmed recurrence of disease in patients assigned to
 atezolizumab

3. <u>STUDY DESIGN</u>

3.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY


This study is a Phase III, global, multicenter, open-label, randomized, study (IMpower010) comparing the efficacy and safety of atezolizumab versus BSC in patients with Stage IB–Stage IIIA NSCLC following resection and adjuvant chemotherapy, as assessed by DFS per the investigator and OS. The study consists of two phases: an enrollment phase and randomized phase.

In the enrollment phase, patients who have recently undergone complete resection of their NSCLC will be screened, and eligible patients will be enrolled to receive one of four regimens of cisplatin-based chemotherapy (cisplatin plus vinorelbine, docetaxel, gemcitabine, or pemetrexed; based on investigator choice). The randomized phase will

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 46/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

start after patients have completed their cisplatin-based chemotherapy and are still considered eligible to proceed with randomization. Figure 1 illustrates the study design.

Figure 1 Study Schema



IC=tumor-infiltrating immune cell; IV=intravenous; NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer; PD-L1=programmed death-ligand 1; q3w=every 3 weeks; TC=tumor cell. Note: Patients will receive up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy unless unacceptable toxicity, disease relapse, or patient's decision to discontinue occur.

Male and female patients age \geq 18 years with ECOG performance status of 0 or 1 who have a complete surgical resection of histologically or cytologically confirmed Stage IB (tumors \geq 4 cm)–IIIA NSCLC are potentially eligible. At screening, tumor specimens

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 47/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

from each potentially eligible patient will be tested for PD-L1 expression by a central laboratory with use of an IHC assay, but patients will be enrolled in the study regardless of the PD-L1 status. Patients who fulfill the eligibility criteria (see Section 4.1.1) will receive adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy in the enrollment phase of the study. Patients will receive up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy unless unacceptable toxicity, disease relapse, or patient's decision to discontinue occur.

Patients who experience disease recurrence of their primary disease at any time up to completion of chemotherapy will not be eligible for the randomized phase of the study. Additionally, patients must fulfill the eligibility criteria of the randomized phase (see Section 4.1.1.2) prior to randomization.

Eligible patients will go on to be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either atezolizumab (Arm A) or BSC (Arm B).

In Arm A, atezolizumab will be administered intravenously on Day 1 of each 21-day cycle for a total of 16 cycles. Patients randomized to Arm B will be continually followed starting on Day 1 of each 21-day cycle. To ensure the same frequency of study assessments between the treatment arms, including assessments for disease recurrence and safety, patients in Arm B will be required to undergo medical contacts q3w for assessments during the first year, which will consist of formal clinic visits alternating with clinical contacts (either via telephone call or formal outpatient clinic visit) for symptom and adverse event assessment. No crossover will be allowed from Arm B to Arm A.

All patients in the randomized phase will undergo scheduled tumor assessments at baseline and every 4 months starting at Cycle 1, Day 1 in the first year and every 6 months in the second year by computed tomography (CT) following randomization. Patients who have not experienced recurrence of disease will undergo tumor assessments every 6 months by CT and X-ray during Years 3-5 post-randomization (starting with CT scan, alternating with X-ray), and annually thereafter by X-ray. In the absence of disease recurrence, tumor assessments should continue regardless of whether patients start new anti-cancer therapy, until disease recurrence, withdrawal of consent, death, loss to follow-up, or study termination by the Sponsor, whichever occurs first. Patients from both treatment arms will undergo a mandatory tumor biopsy sample collection, unless not clinically feasible as assessed by investigators, at the first evidence of radiographic disease recurrence. These data will be used to explore whether the radiographic findings are consistent with the presence of tumor or, for patients treated with atezolizumab, if the appearance of recurrence was caused by tumor immune infiltration. In addition, these data will be analyzed to evaluate the association between changes in tumor tissue and clinical outcome as well as to understand further the potential mechanisms of resistance and recurrence to atezolizumab compared with such mechanisms after treatment with chemotherapy alone.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 48/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

This exploratory biomarker evaluation will not be used for any treatment-related decisions. Tumor assessments will be performed by the investigator.

Safety assessments will include the incidence, nature, and severity of adverse events; serious adverse events; adverse events of special interest; and laboratory abnormalities, graded per NCI CTCAE v4.0. Laboratory safety assessments will include the regular monitoring of hematology and blood chemistry.

Serum samples will be collected to monitor atezolizumab pharmacokinetics and to detect the presence of ATAs to atezolizumab. Patient samples, including archival and fresh tumor tissues, as well as serum and plasma and whole blood, will be collected for future exploratory biomarker assessments.

All patients in the randomized phase will undergo safety, tolerability, and exploratory assessments on Day 1 of each 21-day cycle until recurrence of disease during the first 48 weeks, and patients who have experienced recurrence of disease will undergo these assessments within 30 days after the last dose of atezolizumab is administered (see Appendix 2).

Approximately 1280 patients are expected to be accrued in the enrollment phase to meet the goal of approximately $1005\ patients$ total in the randomized phase, under the assumption that a dropout rate of approximately 21% is expected during adjuvant cisplatin–based chemotherapy treatment.

3.2 END OF STUDY

The end of the study is defined as when approximately 564 OS events (the required number of deaths for the final OS analysis) have occurred in the ITT population (see Section 6.8.2). Additionally, the Sponsor may decide to terminate the study at any time (see Section 4.7.3).

3.3 RATIONALE FOR STUDY DESIGN

This Phase III study is designed to test the hypothesis that 16 cycles of atezolizumab treatment following cisplatin–based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected Stage IB–IIIA NSCLC will prolong DFS and OS compared with patients receiving adjuvant cisplatin–based chemotherapy alone. This hypothesis will be studied in the Stage IB–IIIA population as well as the Stage II–IIIA and PD-L1–selected subpopulations.

3.3.1 Rationale for Testing Atezolizumab in PD-L1–Unselected Patients with NSCLC

Inhibition of PD-L1/PD-1 signaling has been shown to produce durable responses in some patients, and expression of PD-L1 by TCs in several tumor types (including NSCLC) correlates with response to therapy (Topalian et al. 2012).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 49/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Data from the Phase Ia study PCD4989g, evaluating single-agent atezolizumab in several tumor types including NSCLC, suggest that PD-L1 expression in TCs and ICs as determined by IHC correlates with response to atezolizumab. An ORR of 31.6% (12 of 38 patients, 95% CI: 17.5%, 48.6%) was observed in patients with high levels of PD-L1 staining in TCs or ICs (TC3 or IC2/3 group) compared with an ORR of 14.3% (6 of 42 patients, 95% CI: 6.4%, 27.7%) in patients with low or no PD-L1 staining in TCs and ICs (TC0/1/2 and IC0/1 group).

Data from the randomized Phase II Study GO28753 (POPLAR) have suggested an OS benefit in the atezolizumab arm in a PD-L1-unselected population, with a stratified HR of 0.73 (95% CI: 0.53, 0.99,) which suggest the benefit of atezolizumab might also occur in an unselected population.

3.3.2 Rationale for Best Supportive Care Arm (Arm B)

The standard of care for resected patients with NSCLC is four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy followed by periodic chest X-rays and/or CT scans (see Section 1.1).

3.3.3 Rationale for Atezolizumab Dosage and Treatment Duration

The fixed dose of 1200 mg (equivalent to an average body weight–based dose of 15 mg/kg) was selected on the basis of both nonclinical studies and available clinical data from Study PCD4989g.

The target exposure for atezolizumab was projected on the basis of nonclinical tissue distribution data in tumor-bearing mice, target–receptor occupancy in the tumor, the observed atezolizumab interim pharmacokinetics in humans, and other factors. The target trough concentration (steady-state concentration at the end of a dosing interval [i.e., just prior to next drug administration]; C_{trough}) was projected to be 6 μ g/mL on the basis of several assumptions, including the following: 1) 95% tumor-receptor saturation is needed for efficacy and 2) the tumor-interstitial concentration to plasma ratio is 0.30 based on tissue distribution data in tumor-bearing mice.

The selection of the atezolizumab dose is also informed by available clinical activity, safety, PK, and immunogenicity data (see Section 1.3.3.2). Anti-tumor activity has been observed across doses from 1 mg/kg to 20 mg/kg. The MTD of atezolizumab was not reached, and no DLTs have been observed at any dose in Study PCD4989g. Currently available PK and ATA data suggest that the 15 mg/kg atezolizumab q3w regimen (or fixed-dose equivalent) for Phase II and Phase III studies would be sufficient to both maintain $C_{trough} \ge 6 \mu g/mL$ and further safeguard against both inter-patient variability and the potential effect of ATAs that could lead to subtherapeutic levels of atezolizumab relative to the 10-mg/kg atezolizumab q3w regimen (or fixed-dose equivalent). From inspection of available observed C_{trough} data, moving further to the 20-mg/kg atezolizumab q3w regimen does not appear to be warranted to maintain targeted C_{trough} levels relative to the proposed 15-mg/kg atezolizumab q3w level.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 50/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Simulations (Bai et al. 2012) do not suggest any clinically meaningful differences in exposure following a fixed dose or a dose adjusted for weight. Therefore, a fixed dose of 1200 mg has been selected (equivalent to an average body weight–based dose of 15 mg/kg). Selection of an every-21-day dosing interval is supported by this preliminary pharmacokinetics evaluation.

A 1-year period of adjuvant treatment has been selected because this is believed to balance the expected benefit in the adjuvant setting with the risks and tolerability of therapy on the basis of an assessment of benefit versus risk observed in the metastatic cancer setting.

Refer to the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure for details regarding nonclinical and clinical pharmacology of atezolizumab.

3.3.4 Rationale for Collection of Resected Tumor Specimens

Published results suggest that the expression of PD-L1 in tumors correlates with response to anti-PD-1 therapy (Topalian et al. 2012). This correlation is also observed with atezolizumab in preliminary data from Study PCD4989g. In this study, tumor specimens from patients will be prospectively tested for PD-L1 expression by a central laboratory during the screening period and patients will be stratified by PD-L1 expression defined by the SP142 IHC assay. In addition, the PD-L1 status using the SP263 and 22C3 IHC assays will also be centrally evaluated. The study will allow for the evaluation of the efficacy of atezolizumab in both the ITT population, as well as in patients with PD-L1-selected tumors (defined by expression of PD-L1 in TCs). In addition to the assessment of PD-L1 status using the SP142, SP263, and 22C3 IHC assays, other exploratory markers, such as potential predictive and prognostic markers related to the clinical benefit of atezolizumab, tumor immunobiology, mechanisms of resistance, or tumor type may also be analyzed.

3.3.5 Rationale for Blood Biomarker Assessments

An exploratory objective of this study is to evaluate surrogate biomarkers that may include circulating-tumor DNA (ctDNA), gene expression, and others in blood samples. Evaluation of blood biomarkers may provide evidence for biologic activity of atezolizumab in patients with NSCLC and may allow for the development of blood-based biomarkers to help predict which patients may benefit from atezolizumab.

In addition, potential correlations of these biomarkers with the dose, safety, and activity of atezolizumab will be explored.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 51/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

3.3.6 Rationale for the Collection of Tumor Specimens at Disease Recurrence and/or Confirmation of a New Primary NSCLC

This initial apparent recurrence may occur as a result of either delayed anti-tumor activity and/or robust tumor-immune infiltration with a concomitant increase in tumor size. In addition, lesions that would otherwise be undetectable with conventional imaging (i.e., micrometastatic disease) may increase in size as a result of these processes and be recorded as new lesions (Hales et al. 2010).

Patients from both treatment arms will undergo a mandatory tumor biopsy sample collection, unless not clinically feasible as assessed and documented by investigators, at the first evidence of radiographic disease recurrence. These data will be used to explore whether the radiographic findings are consistent with the presence of tumor or, for patients treated with atezolizumab, if the appearance of recurrence was caused by tumor immune infiltration. In addition, these biopsies are important for the evaluation of predictive mechanisms related to tumor recurrence or occurrence, resistance, prognostic, and pharmacodynamic relationships in tumor biomarkers (including but not limited to PD-L1, CD8, mutation status, and others) as well as to efficacy in the adjuvant treatment setting. DNA and/or RNA extractions may be performed to enable the identification of somatic mutations by NGS to contribute to an improved understanding of the dynamics of PD-L1 expression, tumor immunobiology, and the relationship to disease recurrence and DFS in the adjuvant setting.

3.4 EFFICACY OUTCOME MEASURES

3.4.1 Primary Efficacy Outcome Measure

The primary efficacy outcome measure for this study is as follows:

- DFS, defined as the time from randomization to the date of occurrence of <u>any</u> of the following, whichever occurs first:
 - First recurrence of NSCLC, as determined by the investigator after an integrated assessment of radiographic data, biopsy sample results (if available), and clinical status
 - Occurrence of new primary NSCLC, as assessed by the investigator
 - Death from any cause

This efficacy outcome measure will be assessed in *the* PD-L1 *subpopulation* (defined $as \ge 1\%$ *TC expression* by the SP263 IHC assay) within the Stage II-IIIA *population*, in all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC, and in the ITT population.

Of note, SP263 TC \geq 1% is determined on the basis of PD-L1 expression in tumor cell membrane detected by Ventana PD-L1 (SP263) Assay (see Appendix 5).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 52/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

3.4.2 <u>Secondary Efficacy Outcome Measures</u>

The secondary efficacy outcome measures for this study are as follows:

- OS, defined as the time from randomization to death from any cause, in the ITT population
- DFS rates at 3 years and 5 years in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined as ≥1% TC expression by the SP263 IHC assay), in the Stage II–IIIA population (i.e., all randomized patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC) and in the ITT population
- DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulation, defined as $TC \ge 50\%$ by the SP263 IHC assay within patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC

3.5 SAFETY OUTCOME MEASURES

The safety outcome measures for this study are as follows:

- Incidence, nature, and severity of adverse events, serious adverse events, and adverse events of special interest graded according to the NCI CTCAE v4.0
- Changes from baseline in vital signs, physical findings, and targeted clinical laboratory results
- Incidence of ATA response to atezolizumab and potential correlation with PK, safety, and efficacy parameters

3.6 PHARMACOKINETIC OUTCOME MEASURES

The PK outcome measures for this study are as follows:

- Atezolizumab maximum serum concentration (C_{max}) observed after infusion on Day 1 of Cycle 1
- Atezolizumab minimum serum concentration (C_{min}) under steady-state conditions within a dosing interval prior to the infusion on Day 1 of Cycles 2, 3, 4, 8, and 16 and at study termination

3.7 EXPLORATORY OUTCOME MEASURES

The exploratory outcome measures for this study are as follows:

- DFS in TC3 or IC3, TC2/3 or IC2/3, TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3 subpopulations defined by PD-L1 SP142 IHC in both the Stage II-IIIA and the ITT populations
- DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by 22C3 TPS \geq 1% and TPS \geq 50% in both the Stage II-IIIA and the ITT populations
- DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by SP263 TC ≥1% and TC ≥50% in the ITT population
- Status of PD-L1-, immune-, and NSCLC-related and other exploratory biomarkers in tumor tissues, and blood collected before, during, or after treatment with atezolizumab or at first evidence of radiographic disease recurrence or confirmation of new primary NSCLC
- Exploratory biomarkers in biopsy specimens and blood collected at the first evidence of radiographic disease recurrence or confirmation of new primary NSCLC

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 53/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 PATIENTS

Patients will be screened and enrolled if they have had a complete surgical resection of Stage IB (tumors ≥4 cm)–IIIA (per the Union Internationale Contre le Cancer [UICC]/American Joint Committee on Cancer [AJCC] staging system, Version 7) NSCLC and are eligible for the enrollment phase where they will receive up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy unless unacceptable toxicity, disease relapse or patient's decision to discontinue occur. Patients who are enrolled will receive one of four chemotherapy regimens at the choice of the investigator. Provided that they still meet eligibility criteria, patients who complete chemotherapy will be randomized to receive either atezolizumab (Arm A) or BSC (Arm B).

4.1.1 Inclusion Criteria

4.1.1.1 Inclusion Criteria for Enrollment Phase

Patients must meet all of the following criteria to be eligible to enter the enrollment phase and receive cisplatin-based chemotherapy regimen in this study:

- A representative formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor specimen in paraffin block (preferred) or 15 (or more) unstained, freshly cut, serial sections (on slides) from an FFPE resected tumor specimen is required for participation in this study. This specimen must be accompanied by the associated pathology report.
- Signed Informed Consent Form
- Age ≥ 18 years
- ECOG performance status of 0 or 1
- Histological or cytological diagnosis of Stage IB (tumors ≥ 4 cm)–IIIA (T2–3 N0, T1–3 N1, T1-3 N2, T4 N0-1) NSCLC (per the UICC/AJCC staging system, 7th edition; Detterbeck et al. 2009)
- Patients must have had complete resection of NSCLC 4–12 weeks (≥28 days and ≤84 days) prior to enrollment and must be adequately recovered from surgery

Accepted types of resection include any of the following: lobectomy, sleeve lobectomy, bilobectomy, or pneumonectomy.

Resection by segmentectomy or wedge resection is not allowed.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 54/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

• If mediastinoscopy was not performed preoperatively, it is expected that, at a minimum, mediastinal lymph node systematic sampling will have occurred, though complete mediastinal lymph node dissection (MLND) is preferred. Systematic sampling is defined as removal of at least one representative lymph node at specified levels. MLND entails resection of all lymph nodes at those same levels. For a right thoracotomy, sampling or MLND is required at levels 4 and 7 and for a left thoracotomy, levels 5 and/or 6 and 7. Exceptions will be granted for the following situations:

If there is clear documentation in the operative report or in a separately submitted addendum by the surgeon of exploration of the required lymph node areas, the patient will be considered eligible if no lymph nodes are found in those areas.

If patients have documented N2 disease in one level (per the UICC/AJCC staging system, 7th edition; Detterbeck et al. 2009), not all levels need to be sampled.

If the preoperative staging imaging results (contrast CT and PET scans) do not suggest evidence of disease in the mediastinum, the patient will be considered eligible if N2 nodal sampling is not performed per surgeon's decision.

- Eligible to receive a cisplatin-based chemotherapy regimen
- Adequate hematologic and end-organ function, defined by the following laboratory results obtained within 14 days prior to enrollment:
 - ANC ≥1500 cells/μL
 - Platelet count ≥ 100,000 cells/μL
 - Prothrombin time/INR \leq 1.5, or, if patient is receiving therapeutic anticoagulation, prothrombin time/INR \leq 3.0
 - aPTT ≤ institutional upper limit of normal (ULN) OR, if patient is receiving therapeutic anticoagulation, aPTT must be <1.5×ULN
 - Total bilirubin ≤ 1.25 × ULN

Patients with known Gilbert disease who have serum bilirubin $\leq 3 \times ULN$ may be enrolled.

- SGOT (AST) ≤2.5×ULN
- SGPT (ALT) ≤ 2.5 × ULN
- Calculated creatinine clearance (CRCL) ≥ 60 mL/min, with use of institutional guidelines or the standard Cockcroft and Gault formula (1976) (see Appendix 8)

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 55/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

• For women of childbearing potential and men with partners of childbearing potential, agreement (by patient and/or partner) to use a highly effective form(s) of contraception during study treatment that results in a low failure rate of < 1% per year when used consistently and correctly. Women and men should continue contraceptive use for 6 months after the last dose of cisplatin-based chemotherapy (cisplatin plus vinorelbine, docetaxel, gemcitabine, or pemetrexed). Women treated with atezolizumab should continue contraception use for 5 months after the last dose. Women must refrain from donating eggs during this same period.</p>

A woman is considered to be of childbearing potential if she is postmenarchal, has not reached a postmenopausal state (≥12 continuous months of amenorrhea with no identified cause other than menopause), and has not undergone surgical sterilization (removal of ovaries and/or uterus).

Examples of contraceptive methods with a failure rate of < 1% per year include bilateral tubal ligation, male sterilization, established, proper use of hormonal contraceptives that inhibit ovulation, hormone-releasing intrauterine devices, and copper intrauterine devices.

The reliability of sexual abstinence should be evaluated in relation to the duration of the clinical study and the preferred and usual lifestyle of the patient. Periodic abstinence (e.g., calendar, ovulation, symptothermal, or postovulation methods) and withdrawal are not acceptable methods of contraception.

Women who are not postmenopausal (≥ 12 months of non–therapy-induced amenorrhea) or surgically sterile must have a negative serum pregnancy test result within 14 days prior to initiation of cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

4.1.1.2 Inclusion Criteria for Randomized Phase

Patients must meet all of the following criteria to be eligible to be randomized to receive either atezolizumab or BSC after completion of the enrollment phase and up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy:

- Adequate hematologic and end-organ function defined by the following laboratory results obtained within 14 days prior to randomization:
- ANC ≥1500 cells/μL (without granulocyte colony-stimulating factor support)
- Lymphocyte count ≥ 500 cells/μL
- Platelet count ≥ 100,000 cells/μL
- Hemoglobin ≥ 9.0 g/dL

Patients may be transfused to meet this criterion.

INR or aPTT ≤ 1.5 × ULN

This applies only to patients who are not receiving therapeutic anticoagulation; patients receiving therapeutic anticoagulation should be on a stable dose.

AST, ALT, and alkaline phosphatase ≤ 2.5 × ULN

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 56/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Serum bilirubin ≤ 1.25 × ULN

Patients with known Gilbert disease who have serum bilirubin level $\le 3 \times ULN$ may be enrolled.

Calculated CRCL ≥ 30 mL/min

The CRCL is calculated by institutional guidelines or by the method of Cockcroft and Gault formula (1976) (see Appendix 8)

 Women who are not postmenopausal (≥12 months of non-therapy-induced amenorrhea) or surgically sterile must have a negative serum pregnancy test result within 14 days prior to initiation of atezolizumab or BSC

4.1.2 Exclusion Criteria

4.1.2.1 Exclusion Criteria for Enrollment Phase

Patients who meet any of the following criteria will be excluded from study enrollment:

- Illness or condition that may interfere with a patient's capacity to understand, follow, and/or comply with study procedures
- Pregnant and lactating women
- Treatment with prior systemic chemotherapy, with the following exceptions:

Chemotherapy for early stage of malignancy with curative intent, provided that the last dose received was more than 5 years prior to enrollment, may be allowed upon approval by the Medical Monitor.

Low-dose chemotherapy for non-malignant conditions may be allowed upon approval by the Medical Monitor.

Hormonal cancer therapy or radiation therapy as prior cancer treatment within
 5 years before enrollment

Prior surgery, biologic therapy, hormonal therapy, or radiation therapy for a malignancy over 5 years prior to enrollment that is now considered cured is acceptable.

- Treatment with any other investigational agent with therapeutic intent within 28 days prior to enrollment
- A hearing loss (measured by audiometry) of 25 dB at two contiguous frequencies (audiometry will only be required for patients who have suspected or definitive hearing loss)
- Known sensitivity to any component of the chemotherapy regimen the patient will be assigned to, or to mannitol
- Prior treatment with CD137 agonists or immune checkpoint blockade therapies, anti–PD-1, and anti–PD-L1 therapeutic antibodies

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 57/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Patients who have had prior anti–CTLA-4 treatment may be enrolled, provided the following requirements are met:

Last dose of anti–CTLA-4 at least 6 weeks prior to randomization

No history of severe immune-mediated adverse effects from anti–CTLA-4
(NCI CTCAE Grades 3 and 4)

- Malignancies other than NSCLC within 5 years prior to enrollment, with the
 exception of those with a negligible risk of metastasis or death (e.g., expected
 5-year OS > 90%) treated with expected curative outcome (such as adequately
 treated carcinoma in situ of the cervix, basal or squamous cell skin cancer, localized
 prostate cancer treated surgically with curative intent, ductal carcinoma in situ
 treated surgically with curative intent)
- History of severe allergic, anaphylactic, or other hypersensitivity reactions to chimeric or humanized antibodies or fusion proteins
- Known hypersensitivity to biopharmaceuticals produced in Chinese hamster ovary cells or any component of the atezolizumab formulation
- History of autoimmune disease, including but not limited to myasthenia gravis, myositis, autoimmune hepatitis, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, vascular thrombosis associated with antiphospholipid syndrome, Wegener's granulomatosis, Sjögren's syndrome, Guillain-Barré syndrome, multiple sclerosis, vasculitis, or glomerulonephritis (see Appendix 6 for a more comprehensive list of autoimmune diseases)

Patients with a history of autoimmune-mediated hypothyroidism on a stable dose of thyroid replacement hormone are eligible for this study.

Patients with controlled Type I diabetes mellitus on a stable dose of insulin regimen are eligible for this study.

Patients with eczema, psoriasis, lichen simplex chronicus, or vitiligo with dermatologic manifestations only (e.g., no psoriatic arthritis) are permitted provided that they meet the following conditions:

Rash must cover less than 10% of body surface area (BSA).

Disease is well controlled at baseline and only requiring low-potency topical steroids.

No acute exacerbations of underlying condition within the last 12 months requiring treatment with either PUVA [psoralen plus ultraviolet A radiation], methotrexate, retinoids, biologic agents, oral calcineurin inhibitors or high potency or oral steroids.

Positive test for HIV

All patients will be tested for HIV prior to the inclusion into the study, and patients who are HIV-positive will be excluded from the clinical study.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 58/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

 Patients with active hepatitis B (chronic or acute; defined as having a positive hepatitis B surface antigen [HbsAg] test at screening) or hepatitis C

Patients with past hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection or resolved HBV infection (defined as the presence of hepatitis B core (HBc) antibody and absence of HbsAg) are eligible only if they are negative for HBV DNA. HBV DNA must be obtained in these patients prior to enrollment.

Patients positive for hepatitis C virus (HCV) antibody are eligible only if polymerase chain reaction is negative for HCV RNA.

- Active tuberculosis
- Significant cardiovascular disease, such as New York Heart Association cardiac disease (Class II or greater), myocardial infarction, or cerebrovascular accident within the previous 3 months, unstable arrhythmias, or unstable angina

Patients with known coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure not meeting the above criteria, or left ventricular ejection fraction < 50% must be on a stable medical regimen that is optimized in the opinion of the treating physician, in consultation with a cardiologist if appropriate.

 History of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, organizing pneumonia (e.g., bronchiolitis obliterans), drug-induced pneumonitis, idiopathic pneumonitis, or evidence of active pneumonitis on screening chest CT scan

History of radiation pneumonitis in the radiation field (fibrosis) is permitted.

- Prior allogeneic bone marrow transplantation or solid organ transplant
- Any other diseases, metabolic dysfunction, physical examination finding, or clinical laboratory finding giving reasonable suspicion of a disease or condition that contraindicates the use of an investigational drug or that may affect the interpretation of the results or renders the patient at high risk from treatment complications
- Known tumor PD-L1 expression status as determined by an IHC assay from other clinical studies (e.g., patients whose PD-L1 expression status was determined during screening for entry into a study with anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 antibodies but were not eligible are excluded)

Specific Exclusions for Pemetrexed Treatment

Patients with squamous cell histology

4.1.2.2 Exclusion Criteria for Randomized Phase

Patients who meet any of the following criteria will be excluded from study randomization:

 Signs or symptoms of infection within 14 days prior to randomization (severe infection within 28 days prior to randomization), including but not limited to hospitalization for complications of infection, bacteremia, or severe pneumonia

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 59/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- Received therapeutic oral or IV antibiotics within 14 days prior to randomization
 - Patients receiving prophylactic antibiotics (e.g., for prevention of a urinary tract infection or to prevent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation) are eligible.
- Major surgical procedure within 28 days prior to randomization or anticipation of need for a major surgical procedure during the course of the study
- Administration of a live, attenuated vaccine within 4 weeks prior to initiation of study treatment or anticipation that such a live attenuated vaccine will be required during the study
- Treatment with systemic immunostimulatory agents (including, but not limited to, interferons or interleukin-2) within 4 weeks or 5 drug-elimination half-lives of the drug, whichever is longer, prior to randomization

Prior treatment with cancer vaccines is allowed.

 Treatment with systemic corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive medications (including but not limited to prednisone, dexamethasone, cyclophosphamide, azathioprine, methotrexate, thalidomide, and anti-tumor necrosis factor [anti-TNF] agents) within 14 days prior to randomization

Patients who have received acute, low-dose (≤ 10 mg oral prednisone or equivalent), systemic immunosuppressant medications may be randomized in the study.

The use of corticosteroids (≤10 mg oral prednisone or equivalent) for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, mineralocorticoids (e.g., fludrocortisone) for patients with orthostatic hypotension, or low dose supplemental corticosteroids for adrenocortical insufficiency are allowed

4.2 METHOD OF TREATMENT ASSIGNMENT AND BLINDING

This is an open-label study. After written informed consent has been obtained and eligibility for both enrollment and randomization has been established (which includes completion of up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy), the study site will enter demographic and baseline characteristics in the interactive voice/Web response system (IxRS). For those patients who are eligible for study randomization, the study site will obtain the patient's randomization number and treatment assignment from the IxRS. Randomization to the treatment and control arms will occur in a 1:1 ratio with use of a permuted-block randomization method. Randomization will be stratified by the following factors:

- Sex (female vs. male)
- Tumor histology (squamous vs. non-squamous)
- Extent of disease (Stage IB vs. Stage II vs. Stage IIIA)
- PD-L1 tumor expression status (TC2/3 and any IC vs. TC0/1 and IC2/3 vs. TC0/1 and IC0/1 using the SP142 IHC assay)

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 60/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Patients should undergo randomization within 3–8 weeks after receiving their last dose of induction treatment.

Patients should receive their first dose of study treatment (chemotherapy or atezolizumab) on the day of enrollment or randomization, respectively, if possible. If this is not possible, the first dose should occur within 5 days after enrollment or randomization.

4.3 STUDY TREATMENT

The term "study treatment" refers to all protocol-mandated treatments and includes atezolizumab and cisplatin plus vinorelbine, docetaxel, gemcitabine, or pemetrexed.

4.3.1 <u>Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Regimens</u>

Once patients are pre-screened to receive cisplatin-based chemotherapy and have been determined to be eligible, surgically resected patients will be enrolled to receive one of four cisplatin-based chemotherapy options (see Table 9). Patients will receive up to four cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy unless unacceptable toxicity, disease relapse or patient's decision to discontinue occur, with each cycle being 3 weeks (21 days) in length. The investigator will select the chemotherapy regimen (1, 2, 3, or 4) for the patient prior to enrollment.

Table 9 Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Regimens

Regimen	Cisplatin 75 mg/m² IV, Day 1, Plus
1	Vinorelbine 30 mg/m² IV push, Days 1 and 8
2	Docetaxel 75 mg/m² IV, Day 1
3	Gemcitabine 1250 mg/m² IV, Days 1 and 8
4	Pemetrexed 500 mg/m² IV, Day 1 (non-squamous cell NSCLC only)

IV=intravenous; NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer.

4.3.2 <u>Formulation, Packaging, and Handling of Cisplatin-Based</u> Chemotherapy

4.3.2.1 Cisplatin

Cisplatin is commercially available as a 1 mg/mL solution in 50- and 100-mg vials. Intact vials of cisplatin are stored at room temperature. Solutions diluted with sodium chloride or dextrose are stable for up to 72 hours at room temperature. Because of the risk of precipitation, cisplatin solutions should not be refrigerated. In preparation, the desired dose of cisplatin is diluted with 250–1000 mL of saline and/or dextrose solution. Varying concentrations of 0.225%–5% sodium chloride and 5% dextrose may be used. To maintain stability of cisplatin, a final sodium chloride concentration of at least 0.2% is recommended.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 61/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

4.3.2.2 Vinorelbine

Vinorelbine is commercially available in 10 mg/mL ampules and 50-mg/5 mL vials. Intact vials are stored under refrigeration (2°C–8°C) and must be protected from light. Unopened vials are stable at temperatures up to 25°C for up to 72 hours. Vials should not be frozen. The drug must be diluted prior to administration. Diluted vinorelbine is stable for 24 hours under normal room light when stored in polypropylene syringes or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) bags at 5°C–30°C).

For administration via syringe, the dose of the drug should be diluted to a concentration between 1.5 and 3.0 mg/mL with dextrose 5% or normal saline. For administration via IV bag, the dose of vinorelbine should be diluted between 0.5 and 2 mg/mL. The following solutions may be used for dilution: 0.9% sodium chloride, 0.45% sodium chloride, 5% dextrose and 0.45% sodium chloride, Ringer's, and lactated Ringer's.

4.3.2.3 Docetaxel

Docetaxel is commercially available in single-dose vials containing 20 mg (0.5 mL) or 80 mg (2.0 mL) docetaxel (anhydrous). Docetaxel is stored at 4°C protected from light. The solvent vials may be stored at room temperature or at 4°C. The premix solution is stable for 8 hours at room temperature (15°C–25°C) or refrigerated (at 2°C–8°C). The final dilution is also stable for 8 hours. Note that the company (Aventis Pharmaceuticals) is no longer recommending that the final product be placed in PVC bags.

Just prior to use, allow the docetaxel vial to reach room temperature for 5 minutes. Add the entire contents of the ethanol diluent vial and mix by gently rotating the vial for 15 seconds. Allow to stand for 5 minutes at room temperature and check that the solution is homogeneous and clear (persistent foam is normal). The resulting solution contains 10 mg/mL of docetaxel. Note that the solution contains 15% overfill. Dosing amounts should be based in the concentration per extractable volume, not the total volume of the vial. The desired dose is diluted in 5% dextrose in water or normal saline (NS). The volume of the infusion should be adjusted in order to have a final docetaxel concentration of between 0.3 mg/mL and 0.9 mg/mL. Non–PVC-containing IV infusion bags and administration sets should be used to avoid patient exposure to the plasticizer DEHP.

4.3.2.4 Gemcitabine

Gemcitabine is commercially available in 200-mg and 1-g vials. Un-reconstituted drug vials are stored at controlled room temperature. Reconstituted solution should be stored at controlled room temperature and used within 24 hours. Solutions of gemcitabine should not be refrigerated because this could cause crystallization to occur. The unused portion should be discarded. In preparation, reconstitute the 200-mg vial with 5 mL and the 1-g vial with 25 mL preservative-free normal saline to make a solution containing 38 mg/mL. Shake to dissolve.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 62/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

4.3.2.5 Pemetrexed

Pemetrexed is supplied as a sterile lyophilized powder for IV infusion available in single-dose vials (100-mg and 500-mg vials). The product is a white to either light yellow or green-yellow lyophilized solid. Each 500-mg vial of pemetrexed contains pemetrexed disodium equivalent to 500 mg pemetrexed and 500 mg mannitol. Each 100-mg vial of pemetrexed disodium contains equivalent to 100 mg pemetrexed and 106 mg mannitol. Hydrochloric acid and/or sodium hydroxide may have been added to adjust pH. Pemetrexed for injection should be stored at 25°C (77°F); excursions permitted to 15°C–30°C (59°F–86°F; see USP Controlled Room Temperature). Chemical and physical stability of reconstituted and infusion solutions of pemetrexed were demonstrated for up to 24 hours following initial reconstitution, when stored refrigerated, 2°C–8°C (36°F–46°F), or at 25°C (77°F), excursions permitted to 15°C–30°C (59°F–86°F; see USP Controlled Room Temperature). When prepared as directed, reconstituted and infusion solutions of pemetrexed contain no antimicrobial preservatives. Discard unused portion. Pemetrexed is not light sensitive.

4.3.3 <u>Dosage, Administration, and Supportive Care</u> <u>Recommendations for Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy</u>

All doses will be based on patient's actual weight. The actual weight at screening will be used for calculating BSA. BSA should be recalculated only if a patient's weight changes by >10%.

Institutions should follow their standard administration regimens (e.g., administration sequence or time) for the chemotherapy treatment. The premedication doses administered should be in compliance with prescribing information.

4.3.3.1 Chemotherapy Regimen 1: Cisplatin/Vinorelbine

Administer 30 mg/m² IV vinorelbine push over 6–10 minutes on Days 1 and 8. Administer 75 mg/m² IV cisplatin over 60 minutes on Day 1 immediately following vinorelbine. Institutional standards that require a prolonged cisplatin infusion are acceptable if the infusion does not exceed 4 hours.

Vinorelbine. Vinorelbine must be administered intravenously. The diluted vinorelbine is administered over 6–10 minutes into a running infusion via a side-arm port closest to the IV bag. The IV line should be flushed well with at least 75–125 mL of one of the solutions. **NOTE:** It is extremely important that the IV needle or catheter be properly positioned before any vinorelbine is injected. Leakage into surrounding tissue during IV administration of vinorelbine may cause considerable irritation, local tissue necrosis, and/or thrombophlebitis. If extravasation occurs, the injection should be discontinued immediately, and any remaining portion of the dose should then be introduced into another vein. Because there are no established guidelines for the treatment of extravasation injuries with vinorelbine, institutional guidelines may be used.

Cisplatin. Cisplatin is usually administered as an IV infusion over 60 minutes.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 63/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

See Section 4.3.3.5 for supportive care recommendations with cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

4.3.3.2 Chemotherapy Regimen 2: Cisplatin/Docetaxel

Administer 75 mg/m² IV docetaxel over 60 minutes on Day 1. Administer 75 mg/m² IV cisplatin over 60 minutes, Day 1, immediately following docetaxel.

Docetaxel. Docetaxel will be given by IV infusion at a dose of 75 mg/m² over 60 minutes on Day 1 (each cycle to be repeated every 3 weeks), immediately prior to cisplatin administration. It is recommended that docetaxel be administered with use of a peristaltic infusion pump.

Cisplatin. Cisplatin is usually administered as an IV infusion over 60 minutes.

Premedications

Patients should receive steroid premedication for docetaxel according to local standard of care and manufacturer's instructions.

It is recommended to give a dexamethasone (or equivalent steroid) regimen of 8 mg by mouth (PO) every 12 hours for 5 doses starting 24 hours prior to docetaxel infusion, continuing the day of docetaxel infusion, and finishing the day after the docetaxel infusion.

Supportive Care

See Section 4.3.3.5 for supportive care recommendations with cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

Other Prophylaxis Treatments

Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor treatment is permitted for patients treated with the cisplatin/docetaxel regimen. The primary prophylaxis should be administered per the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer, and European Society of Medical Oncology guidelines in patients who are ≥ 60 years of age and/or with comorbidities (Smith et al. 2006; Crawford et al. 2009; Aapro et al. 2011). Anti-emetics, anti-allergic measures, and other treatments for concomitant docetaxel toxicities may be used at the discretion of the investigator, taking into account precautions from the Summary of Product Characteristics.

Refer to the Summary of Product Characteristics (Package Insert) for docetaxel for all boxed warnings and contraindications.

4.3.3.3 Chemotherapy Regimen 3: Cisplatin/Gemcitabine

Administer gemcitabine 1250 mg/m² IV over 30 minutes on Days 1 and 8. Administer cisplatin 75 mg/m² IV over 60 minutes on Day 1 immediately following gemcitabine.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 64/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Gemcitabine. Gemcitabine is administered over 30 minutes as prepared or further diluted with normal saline to a minimum concentration of 0.1 mg/mL. Gemcitabine is commonly diluted in 100 mL or 250 mL of saline. Reconstitution at greater than 40 mg/mL may result in incomplete dissolution of drug.

Cisplatin. Cisplatin is usually administered as an IV infusion over 60 minutes.

Supportive Care

See Section 4.3.3.5 for supportive care recommendations with cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

4.3.3.4 Chemotherapy Regimen 4: Cisplatin/Pemetrexed (For Patients with Non-Squamous Histology)

Administer pemetrexed 500 mg/m² IV over 10 minutes on Day 1. Administer cisplatin 75 mg/m² IV over 60 minutes on Day 1 immediately following pemetrexed.

Pemetrexed. Pemetrexed is administered as an IV infusion over 10 minutes.

Cisplatin. Cisplatin is usually administered as an IV infusion over 60 minutes.

Supportive Care

See Section 4.3.3.5 for supportive care recommendations with cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

Other Prophylaxis Treatments

Patients should receive corticosteroid, folic acid, and vitamin B-12 premedication for pemetrexed. The choice of steroid and timing of premedication can be administered according to the local standard of care and manufacturer's instructions. All patients on pemetrexed must receive 1000 µg of vitamin B-12 intramuscularly given within 2 weeks of the first dose of chemotherapy and repeated at least every 9 weeks until 3 weeks after the last dose of pemetrexed. All patients in the pemetrexed group must take 350–1000µg of folate (folic acid) orally daily starting at least 5–7 days prior to initiation of pemetrexed and continuing for at least 3 weeks after completion of the last dose of pemetrexed. It is also recommended that patients receive dexamethasone 4 mg orally twice daily (or an equivalent corticosteroid) on the day before, day of, and day after each dose of pemetrexed to prevent the occurrence of rash. All patients receiving pemetrexed therapy should avoid taking NSAIDs with long elimination half-lives for at least 5 days prior to, on the day of, and at least 2 days following administration of pemetrexed.

4.3.3.5 Supportive Care Recommendations for Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy

Anti-Emetics

It is strongly recommended that all patients receive adequate anti-emetics with cisplatin-based chemotherapy. The specifics of the regimen are at the discretion of the

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 65/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

treating physician, provided adequate control is achieved. One potential regimen consists of 20 mg of oral dexamethasone and a high dose of oral or IV 5HT3 antagonist (such as 2 mg oral or 10 mcg/kg IV granisetron, or 32 mg oral or IV ondansetron) on the day of cisplatin administration, followed by additional anti-emetics consisting of 4 days of oral dexamethasone (8 mg PO twice a day [BID] for 2 days [Days 2 and 3] then 4 mg PO BID for 2 days [Days 4 and 5]) and scheduled metoclopramide or 5HT3 antagonist for Days 2–5 for delayed emesis. NOTE: Dexamethasone dose should be reduced by 50% when administered with aprepitant.

Hydration Requirements

Hydration guidelines may be modified at the discretion of the treating physician provided adequate pre- and post-cisplatin hydration is achieved and that renal function remains adequate. One suggested regimen consists of administering cisplatin in 500 cc to 1000 cc of IV fluids following adequate hydration and the establishment of adequate urinary output. It is suggested the pre-cisplatin hydration consist of NS at 500 cc/hr \times 1 liter and post-cisplatin hydration consist of 0.5L NS+10 mEq KCI/L+1 gram magnesium sulfate/L+25 grams mannitol/L at 500 cc/hr for at least 1 hour, followed by additional hydration at the discretion of the investigator.

4.3.4 Atezolizumab

4.3.4.1 Atezolizumab Formulation, Packaging, and Handling

The atezolizumab (MPDL3280A) drug product is provided as a sterile liquid in 20 mL glass vials. The vial is designed to deliver 20 mL (1200 mg) of atezolizumab solution but may contain more than the stated volume to enable delivery of the entire 20 mL volume.

For further details on the storage and preparation of atezolizumab, see the Atezolizumab Pharmacy Manual and Investigator's Brochure.

4.3.4.2 Atezolizumab Dosage, Administration, and Compliance

Patients who are randomized to be treated with atezolizumab will receive 1200 mg atezolizumab administered by IV infusion every 21 days in a monitored setting where there is immediate access to trained personnel and adequate equipment/medicine to manage potentially serious reactions (see Appendix 7).

Atezolizumab infusions will be administered per the instructions outlined in Table 10.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 66/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 10 Administration of First and Subsequent Infusions of Atezolizumab

First Infusion

No premedication is allowed.

- Record patient's vital signs (heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and temperature) within 60 minutes before starting infusion.
- Infuse atezolizumab (1200 mg in a 250 mL 0.9% NaCl IV infusion bag) over 60 (±15) minutes
- If clinically indicated, record patient's vital signs (heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and temperature) during the infusion at 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes (±5-minute windows are allowed for all timepoints).
- If clinically indicated, record patient's vital signs (heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and temperature) at 30 (±10) minutes after the infusion.
- Patients will be informed about the possibility of delayed post-infusion symptoms and instructed to contact their study physician if they develop such symptoms.

Subsequent Infusions

- If patient experienced infusion-related reaction during any previous infusion, premedication with antihistamines may be administered for Cycles ≥ 2 at the discretion of the treating physician.
- Record patient's vital signs (heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and temperature) within 60 minutes before starting infusion.
- If the patient tolerated the first infusion well without infusion-associated adverse events, the second infusion may be delivered over 30 (± 10 minutes) minutes.
- If no reaction occurs, continue subsequent infusions over 30 (±10 minutes).
 - Continue to record vital signs within 60 minutes before starting infusion, and during and after the infusion if clinically indicated.
- If the patient had an infusion-related reaction during the previous infusion, the subsequent infusion must be delivered over 60 (±15) minutes.
- Record patient's vital signs (heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and temperature) during the infusion if clinically indicated or patient experienced symptoms during the previous infusion.
- Record patient's vital signs (heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressure, and temperature) 30 min (±10) after the infusion, if clinically indicated or patient experienced symptoms during previous infusion.

NaCl=sodium chloride.

Dose modifications to atezolizumab are not permitted. Guidelines for treatment interruption or discontinuation and the management of specific adverse events are provided in Appendix 9.

See the Pharmacy Manual for detailed instructions on drug preparation, storage, and administration.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 67/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

4.3.5 <u>Investigational Medicinal Product Accountability</u>

All investigational medicinal product (IMP) required for completion of this study (atezolizumab) will be provided by the Sponsor. The investigational site will acknowledge receipt of atezolizumab with use of the IxRS to confirm the shipment condition and content. Any damaged shipments will be replaced.

IMP will either be disposed of at the study site according to the study site's institutional standard operating procedure or returned to the Sponsor with the appropriate documentation. The site's method of IMP destruction must be agreed upon by the Sponsor. The site must obtain written authorization from the Sponsor before any IMP is destroyed, and IMP destruction must be documented on the appropriate form.

Accurate records of all IMP received at, dispensed from, returned to, and disposed of by the study site should be recorded on the Drug Inventory Log.

4.3.6 Post-Study Access to Atezolizumab

The Sponsor will evaluate the appropriateness of continuing to provide atezolizumab to patients assigned to the treatment arm after evaluating the primary efficacy outcome measure and safety data gathered in the study. These analyses may be conducted prior to completion of the study.

4.4 CONCOMITANT THERAPY

Concomitant therapy includes any prescription medications or over-the-counter preparations used by a patient between the 7 days preceding the screening evaluation and the treatment discontinuation visit.

4.4.1 <u>Contraindications and Use of Concomitant Medications with</u> Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Regimens

4.4.1.1 Cisplatin

Cisplatin is highly contraindicated in patients with preexisting renal impairment and should not be administered in patients who have a history of myelosuppression or patients with hearing impairment (see Section 4.3.3 for further instructions for reducing cisplatin–related side effects).

Cisplatin is contraindicated in patients with a history of allergic reactions to cisplatin or other platinum-containing compounds. Incompatibilities with cisplatin include the following drugs: amsacrine, cefepime, gallium nitrate, mesna, piperacillin, sodium bicarbonate and thiotepa. Cisplatin may react with aluminum, which is found in some syringe needles or IV sets, forming a black precipitate. Plasma levels of anticonvulsant agents may become subtherapeutic during cisplatin therapy. In a randomized study in advanced ovarian cancer, response duration was adversely affected when pyridoxine was used in combination with altretamine (hexamethylmelamine) and cisplatin (see the Cisplatin Package Insert).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 68/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

4.4.1.2 Docetaxel

Docetaxel is contraindicated in patients who have a history of severe hypersensitivity reactions to docetaxel or to other drugs formulated with polysorbate 80. Severe reactions, including anaphylaxis, have occurred [see the Docetaxel Package Insert for additional information]. Patients with bilirubin greater than ULN, or patients with AST and/or ALT $> 1.5 \times ULN$ and alkaline phosphatase $> 2.5 \times ULN$ should not be treated with docetaxel because patients with these liver enzyme abnormalities are at risk for the development of Grade 4 neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, infections, severe thrombocytopenia, severe stomatitis, severe skin toxicity, and toxic death. Additionally, patients with neutrophil counts of < 1500 cells/mm³ should not be dosed with docetaxel and frequent blood cell counts should be performed on all patients receiving this regimen.

Docetaxel is a CYP3A4 substrate. Patients who receive docetaxel must avoid using concomitant strong CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., ketoconazole, itraconazole, clarithromycin, atazanavir, indinavir, nefazodone, nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir, telithromycin, and voriconazole). There are no clinical data with a dose adjustment in patients receiving strong CYP3A4 inhibitors. In addition, concomitant treatment with CYP3A4 inducers may decrease plasma concentrations of docetaxel. Concomitant medications that are CYP3A4 inducers should therefore be used with caution.

4.4.1.3 Vinorelbine

Acute pulmonary reactions have been reported and can occur with vinorelbine and other anti-cancer vinca alkaloids used in conjunction with mitocycin. Vinorelbine pharmacokinetics are not affected with concurrent administration of cisplatin although granulocytopenia has been reported to occur when both are administered together as opposed to vinorelbine administered alone. Patient monitoring is suggested when vinorelbine is given with drugs that inhibit metabolism of the P450 isoenzyme (CYP3A) or in patients who have hepatic impairment because concurrent administration of vinorelbine with these drugs often causes additional and more severe side effects (see the Vinorelbine Package Insert).

4.4.1.4 Gemcitabine

No formal drug interaction studies have been conducted with gemcitabine or in post-marketing experience, but with concurrent administrations of gemcitabine (1250 mg/m² on Days 1 and 8) and cisplatin (75 mg/m² on Day 1) in patients with NSCLC, the clearance of gemcitabine on Day 1 was 128 L/hr/m² and on Day 8 was 107 L/hr/m² (see the Gemcitabine Package Insert). The incidence of febrile neutropenia (9/262 vs. 2/260), sepsis (4% vs. 1%), Grade 3 cardiac dysrhythmias (3% vs. <1%) were all higher in the patients who received concurrent combinations of gemcitabine with cisplatin compared with patients who received cisplatin alone. The two-drug combination was more myelosuppressive with 4 possibly treatment-related deaths (1.5%), including 3 resulting from myelosuppression with infection and one case of renal failure associated with pancytopenia and infection.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 69/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

4.4.1.5 Pemetrexed

Cisplatin does not affect the pharmacokinetics of pemetrexed and the pharmacokinetics of total platinum is unaltered by pemetrexed. Co-administration of oral folic acid or intramuscular vitamin B-12 does not affect the pharmacokinetics of pemetrexed. Results from in vitro studies with human liver microsomes demonstrated that pemetrexed would not cause clinically significant inhibition of metabolic clearance of drugs metabolized by CYP3A, CYP2D6, CYP2C9, and CYP1A2. No studies were conducted to determine the cytochrome P450 isozyme induction potential of pemetrexed, because pemetrexed used as recommended (once every 21 days) would not be expected to cause any significant enzyme induction. Aspirin, administered in low to moderate doses (325 mg every 6 hours), does not affect the pharmacokinetics of pemetrexed. The effect of greater doses of aspirin on pemetrexed pharmacokinetics is unknown.

All patients receiving pemetrexed therapy should avoid taking NSAIDs with long elimination half-lives for at least 5 days prior to, on the day of, and at least 2 days following administration of pemetrexed. If concomitant administration of an NSAID is necessary, patients should be monitored closely for toxicity, especially myelosuppression, renal, and gastrointestinal toxicity.

4.4.2 Permitted Therapy with Atezolizumab

Concomitant therapy includes any medication (e.g., prescription drugs, over-the-counter drugs, herbal or homeopathic remedies, nutritional supplements) used by a patient from 7 days prior to screening for enrollment and randomization until the treatment discontinuation visit. All such medications should be reported to the investigator.

Premedication with antihistamines may be administered for any atezolizumab infusions after Cycle 1.

The following therapies should continue while patients are in the study:

- Oral contraceptives
- Hormone-replacement therapy
- Prophylactic or therapeutic anticoagulation therapy (such as low-molecular weight heparin or warfarin at a stable dose level)
- Inactive influenza vaccinations during influenza season ONLY
- Megestrol administered as an appetite stimulant
- Corticosteroids (≤10 mg oral prednisone or equivalent) for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
- Mineralocorticoids (e.g., fludrocortisone)
- Low-dose corticosteroids for patients with orthostatic hypotension or adrenocortical insufficiency

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 70/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

In general, investigators should manage a patient's care with supportive therapies as clinically indicated, as per local standards. Patients who experience infusion-associated symptoms may be treated symptomatically with acetaminophen, ibuprofen, diphenhydramine, and/or famotidine or another H_2 -receptor antagonist as per standard practice (for sites outside the United States, equivalent medications may be substituted per local practice). Serious infusion-associated events manifested by dyspnea, hypotension, wheezing, bronchospasm, tachycardia, reduced oxygen saturation, or respiratory distress should be managed with supportive therapies as clinically indicated (e.g., supplemental oxygen and β_2 -adrenergic agonists; see Appendix 7).

All medications must be recorded on the Concomitant Medications electronic Case Report Form (eCRF).

4.4.3 <u>Cautionary Therapy for Atezolizumab-Treated Patients</u>

Systemic corticosteroids and TNF- α inhibitors may attenuate potential beneficial immunologic effects of treatment with atezolizumab. Therefore, in situations where systemic corticosteroids or TNF- α inhibitors would be routinely administered, alternatives (including antihistamines) should be considered first by the treating physician. If the alternatives are not feasible, systemic corticosteroids and TNF- α inhibitors may be administered at the discretion of the treating physician except in the case of patients for whom CT scans with contrast are contraindicated (i.e., patients with contrast allergy or impaired renal clearance; see Section 4.4.4).

Systemic corticosteroids are recommended, with caution, at the discretion of the treating physician, for the treatment of specific adverse events when associated with atezolizumab therapy. Guidelines for management of immune-mediated adverse events are described in Appendix 9.

4.4.4 Prohibited Therapy

Any concomitant therapy intended for the treatment of cancer, whether health authority-approved or experimental, is prohibited for various time periods prior to starting study treatment (depending on the anti-cancer agent; see Section 4.1.2) and during study treatment until disease recurrence is documented and patient has discontinued study treatment. This includes but is not limited to chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, immunotherapy, radiotherapy, investigational agents, or herbal therapy.

The following medications are prohibited while in the study, unless otherwise noted:

 Any live, attenuated vaccine (e.g., FluMist[®]) within 4 weeks prior to initiation of study treatment, during atezolizumab treatment, and for 5 months after the final dose of atezolizumab (for patients randomized to atezolizumab)

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 71/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

 Use of steroids to premedicate patients for whom CT scans with contrast are contraindicated (i.e., patients with contrast allergy or impaired renal clearance). In such patients, non-contrast CT of the chest and non-contrast CT/MRI of other locations (if needed) should be performed.

The concomitant use of herbal therapies is not recommended because their pharmacokinetics, safety profiles, and potential drug-drug interactions are generally unknown. However, their use for patients in the study is allowed at the discretion of the investigator. As noted above, herbal therapies that are intended for the treatment of cancer are prohibited.

4.5 STUDY ASSESSMENTS

Flowcharts of scheduled study assessments are provided in Appendix 1, Appendix 2, and Appendix 3.

Patients will be closely monitored for safety and tolerability throughout the study. All assessments must be performed and documented for each patient.

Patients should be assessed for toxicity prior to each dose; dosing will occur only if the clinical assessment and local laboratory test values are acceptable.

If the timing of a protocol-mandated study visit coincides with a holiday and/or weekend that precludes the visit, the visit should be scheduled on the nearest following feasible date, with subsequent visits rescheduled accordingly.

4.5.1 Informed Consent Forms and Screening Log

Written informed consent for participation in the study must be obtained before performing any study-specific screening tests or evaluations and may be obtained more than 28 days before initiation of study treatment.

Prior to signing the main consent form for the study, patients may specifically allow for the collection and testing of archival or fresh tumor tissue by signing the pre-screening consent form.

Informed Consent Forms for enrolled patients and for patients who are not subsequently enrolled will be maintained at the study site.

All screening evaluations must be completed and reviewed to confirm that patients meet all eligibility criteria before enrollment and randomization. The investigator will maintain a screening log to record details of all patients screened and to confirm eligibility or record reasons for screening failure, as applicable.

4.5.2 <u>Medical History and Demographic Data</u>

Medical history includes clinically significant diseases, surgeries, cancer history (including prior cancer therapies and procedures), reproductive status, smoking history,

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 72/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

use of alcohol and drugs of abuse, and all medications (e.g., prescription drugs, over-the-counter drugs, herbal or homeopathic remedies, nutritional supplements) used by the patient within 7 days prior to the screening visit. Demographic data will include age, sex, and self-reported race/ethnicity. Cancer history will include an assessment of tumor mutational status, if the result is available (e.g., sensitizing *EGFR* mutation, *ALK* fusion status).

4.5.3 **Physical Examinations**

A complete physical examination should include a weight measurement and an evaluation of the head, eyes, ears, nose, and throat, and the cardiovascular, dermatological, musculoskeletal, respiratory, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, and neurological systems at screening. Any abnormality identified at baseline should be recorded on the General Medical History and Baseline Conditions eCRF.

At subsequent visits (or as clinically indicated), limited, symptom-directed physical examinations should be performed. Changes from baseline abnormalities should be recorded in patient notes. New or worsened clinically significant abnormalities should be recorded as adverse events on the Adverse Event eCRF.

4.5.4 <u>Vital Signs</u>

Vital signs will include the measurements of respiratory rate, heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressures while the patient is in a seated position, and temperature.

For patients assigned to Arm A (atezolizumab treatment arm), at the first infusion, vital signs (heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressures, and temperature) should be determined within 60 minutes before the infusion and 30 (\pm 10) minutes after the infusion, if clinically indicated. Vital signs will also be collected during the first infusion (every 15 [\pm 5] minutes), if clinically indicated. For subsequent infusions vital signs will be collected within 60 minutes prior to the infusion and should be collected during or after the infusion if clinically indicated or if symptoms occurred in the prior infusion. See Table 10 for more details.

For patients in Arm B, vital signs will be collected as per standard of care.

4.5.5 Tumor and Response Evaluations

Patients must be disease free at screening and reassessed at each subsequent tumor evaluation once randomized into study. Tumor assessments are to be performed at the timepoints specified in Appendix 2, with a window of ± 7 days in the first year, ± 14 days for Year 2 and Year 3, and ± 1 month after Year 3, regardless of drug delays or interruptions.

Screening assessments in the enrollment phase will include an X-ray of the chest, CT scans (with oral/IV contrast unless contraindicated) of the chest and abdomen and a CT/MRI of the brain to rule out CNS metastasis, especially if patient has Stage IIIA

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 73/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

disease. A spiral CT scan of the chest may be obtained but is not a requirement. MRI of the chest and abdomen with a non-contrast CT scan of the chest may be used in patients for whom CT scans with contrast are contraindicated (i.e., patients with contrast allergy or impaired renal clearance).

Screening assessments in the randomization phase will include CT scan (with oral/IV contrast unless contraindicated) of the chest (including liver and adrenal).

If a CT scan for tumor assessment is performed in a positron emission tomography/CT scanner, the CT acquisition must be consistent with the standards for a full-contrast diagnostic CT scan.

Bone scans and CT scans of the neck should also be performed if clinically indicated.

Subsequent tumor assessment will include CT scans (with oral/IV contrast unless contraindicated) of the chest (including liver and adrenal) every 4 months in the first year and every 6 months in the second year after randomization. During Years 3–5, tumor assessment will be conducted every 6 months, alternating between chest X-ray and chest CT (including liver and adrenal) at subsequent assessments (starting with CT scan, alternating with X-ray). Annual chest X-ray evaluations will be conducted starting at Year 6 and will continue until disease recurrence, death, loss to follow-up, consent withdrawal, or study termination by the Sponsor, whichever occurs first.

The same radiographic procedure used to assess disease sites at screening should be used throughout the study (e.g., the same contrast protocol for CT scans). Assessments should be performed by the same evaluator if possible to ensure internal consistency across visits.

At the investigator's discretion, CT scans may be repeated at any time if disease recurrence or a new primary NSCLC is suspected.

4.5.6 <u>Laboratory, Biomarker, and Other Biological Samples</u>

Samples for the following laboratory tests will be sent to the study site's local laboratory for analysis:

- Hematology (CBC, including RBC count, hemoglobin, hematocrit, WBC count with differential [neutrophils, eosinophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, basophils, and other cells], and platelet count)
- Serum chemistries (glucose, BUN or urea, creatinine, sodium, potassium, magnesium, chloride, bicarbonate or total carbon dioxide (if considered standard of care for the site), calcium, phosphorus, total bilirubin, ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase, LDH, total protein, and albumin)
- Coagulation (aPTT and INR for the enrollment phase; aPTT or INR for the randomization phase)

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 74/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Serum pregnancy test for women of childbearing potential, including women who
have had a tubal ligation; urine pregnancy tests will be performed at each cycle
during treatment. A serum pregnancy test must be performed if the urine pregnancy
test is positive.

Childbearing potential is defined as not having undergone surgical sterilization, hysterectomy, and/or bilateral oophorectomy or not being postmenopausal (≥ 12 months of amenorrhea).

- Urinalysis (specific gravity, pH, glucose, protein, ketones, and blood); dipstick permitted
- Thyroid function testing (thyroid-stimulating hormone [TSH], free T3, free T4)
 Total T3 (instead of free T3) should be tested only at sites where free T3 testing cannot be performed.
- HIV

All patients will be tested for HIV prior to the inclusion into the study and patients who are HIV-positive will be excluded from the clinical study.

• HBV serology (HBsAg, antibody to HBsAg [anti-HBs], anti-HBc)

HBV DNA is required on or before enrollment (only patients negative for HBV DNA are eligible) if patient has negative serology for HBsAg and positive serology for anti-HBc.

HCV serology: hepatitis C virus antibody (anti-HCV)

HCV RNA should be obtained prior to enrollment if the patient tests positive for anti-HCV.

A central laboratory will coordinate the sample collection of tissue and blood samples for research-related testing at central laboratories or at the Sponsor. Instruction manuals and supply kits will be provided for all central laboratory assessments. Samples for the following laboratory tests will be sent to one or several laboratories for analysis:

ATA assays (patients assigned to atezolizumab only)

Serum samples will be assayed for the presence of ATAs to atezolizumab with use of validated immunoassays.

PK assay (patients assigned to atezolizumab only)

Serum samples will be assayed for atezolizumab concentration with use of a validated immunoassay.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 75/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Biomarker assays in blood samples

Blood samples will be obtained for biomarker evaluation (including but not limited to biomarkers that are related to NSCLC or tumor immune biology) from all eligible patients according to the schedule in Appendix 3. Samples will be processed to obtain EDTA plasma and serum for the determination of changes in blood-based biomarkers (e.g., cytokines, ctDNA). Whole blood samples may be processed to obtain their derivatives (e.g., RNA and DNA) and may be evaluated for immune-mediated, tumor type-related, and other exploratory biomarkers (e.g., alterations in gene expression or single nucleotide polymorphisms).

Residual PK and ATA samples will be retained for further method development, assay validation and characterization. Samples will be stored for up to 5 years after the final clinical study report has been completed.

For patients who consent to the optional collection of samples for the Roche Clinical Repository (RCR) any remaining material from the above sample collection will be stored and used for exploratory analyses as indicated in Section 4.5.11. For patients who consent to RCR optional future research on their whole blood samples collected at screening but are determined to be ineligible for study participation, these samples and their derivatives (e.g. DNA, RNA, protein) may be used for future development of biomarker and/or diagnostic tests as indicated in Section 4.5.11.

See the Laboratory Manual for additional details on laboratory assessments and sample handling.

4.5.7 Resected Tumor Tissue Samples

A central laboratory will coordinate the sample collection of resected tumor tissue samples for research-related testing at central laboratories or at the Sponsor. Instruction manuals and supply kits will be provided for all central laboratory assessments.

See the Laboratory Manual for additional details on tissue sample handling.

4.5.8 Resected Tumor Tissue Samples for Screening

Representative tumor specimens in paraffin blocks (preferred) or 15 or more freshly cut, serial unstained sections (on slides) with an associated pathology report must be submitted for determination of PD-L1 status prior to study enrollment. In addition, exploratory biomarkers (including, but not limited to markers related to immune or NSCLC biology, such as T-cell markers or non-inherited biomarkers identified through NGS on extracted DNA and/or RNA) may be evaluated.

Tumor tissue should be of good quality based on total and viable tumor content (sites will be informed if the quality of the submitted specimen is inadequate to determine tumor PD-L1 status).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 76/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

The remaining tumor tissue block for all patients enrolled will be returned to the site upon request or 18 months after final closure of the study database, whichever is sooner. Tissue samples from patients who are not eligible to enroll in the study will be returned no later than 6 weeks after eligibility determination.

4.5.8.1 Tumor Samples at the Time of Disease Recurrence

For patients in both arms, a tumor sample should be obtained at the time of first radiographic confirmation of disease recurrence or confirmation of a new primary NSCLC for patients randomized in both arms unless not clinically feasible (within 40 days of disease recurrence or prior to start of the next anti-cancer therapy, whichever is sooner).

Acceptable samples include:

- Core needle biopsies for deep tumor tissue; at least three cores, embedded into a single paraffin block, should be submitted for evaluation.
- Excisional, incisional, punch, or forceps biopsy specimens for cutaneous, subcutaneous, or mucosal lesions
- Tumor tissue resection

The status of immune-mediated and tumor type-related and other exploratory biomarkers (including but not limited to T-cell markers and non-inherited biomarkers identified through NGS on extracted DNA and/or RNA) in tumor tissue samples may be evaluated.

NGS may be performed by Foundation Medicine. If performed by Foundation Medicine, the investigator can obtain results from the samples collected at the time of disease recurrence or occurrence in the form of an NGS report, which is available upon request directly from Foundation Medicine. The investigator may share and discuss the results with the patient, unless the patient chooses otherwise. The Foundation Medicine NGS assay has not been cleared or approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA); results from these investigational tests should not be used to guide future treatment decisions.

4.5.8.2 Tumor Samples at Other Timepoints

If a patient undergoes a medically indicated procedure (e.g., bronchoscopy, esophagogastroduodenoscopy, or colonoscopy) any time during the course of the study that has the likelihood of yielding tumor tissue, any remaining samples or a portion of the sample not necessary for medical diagnosis (leftover tumor tissue) may be obtained for exploratory analysis.

Patients with additional tissue samples from procedures performed at different times during the course of their study participation (during treatment and during survival follow-up) who have signed the Roche Clinical Repository (RCR) optional consent will be requested (but not required) to also submit these optional fresh biopsy samples for

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 77/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

central testing. Tumor tissue samples collected at the time of clinical response are preferred. Tissue samples obtained at multiple times for individual patients will greatly contribute to an improved understanding of the dynamics of PD-L1 expression and relationship with intervening anti-cancer therapy.

4.5.8.3 Use and Storage of Remaining Samples from Study-Related Procedures

The remainder of samples obtained for study-related procedures (including blood samples and tumor tissues) will be destroyed no later than 5 years after the end of the study or earlier depending on local regulations. If the patient provides optional consent for storing samples into the RCR for future research (see Section 4.5.11), the samples will be destroyed no later than 15 years after the date of final closure of the clinical database.

4.5.9 <u>Anti-Therapeutic Antibody Testing (Atezolizumab-Treated Patients Only)</u>

Atezolizumab may elicit an immune response. Patients with signs of any potential immune response to atezolizumab will be closely monitored. Validated screening and confirmatory assays will be employed to detect ATAs at multiple timepoints before, during, and after treatment with atezolizumab (see Appendix 3). The immunogenicity evaluation will utilize a risk-based immunogenicity strategy (Rosenberg and Worobec 2004; Koren et al. 2008) to characterize ATA responses to atezolizumab in support of the clinical development program. This tiered strategy will include an assessment of whether ATA responses correlate with relevant clinical endpoints. Implementation of ATA characterization assays will depend on the safety profile and clinical immunogenicity data.

4.5.10 Electrocardiogram

A twelve-lead ECG is required at screening and as clinically indicated. ECGs for each patient should be obtained on the same machine whenever possible. Lead placement should be as consistent as possible. ECG recordings must be performed after the patient has been resting in a supine position.

For safety monitoring purposes, the investigator must review, sign, and date all ECG tracings. Paper copies of ECG tracings will be kept as part of the patient's permanent study file at the site. Any morphologic waveform changes or other ECG abnormalities must be documented on the eCRF.

4.5.11 Optional Tumor and Blood Samples for Roche Clinical Repository (Optional Future Research)

4.5.11.1 Overview of the Roche Clinical Repository

The Roche Clinical Repository (RCR) is a centrally administered group of facilities for the long-term storage of human biologic specimens, including body fluids, solid tissues, and derivatives thereof (e.g., DNA, RNA, proteins, peptides). The collection and

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 78/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

analysis of RCR specimens will facilitate the rational design of new pharmaceutical agents and the development of diagnostic tests, which may allow for individualized drug therapy for patients in the future.

Specimens for the RCR will be collected from patients who give specific consent to participate in this optional research. RCR specimens will be used to achieve the following objectives:

- To study the association of biomarkers with efficacy, adverse events, or disease recurrence
- To increase knowledge and understanding of disease biology
- To study drug response, including drug effects and the processes of drug absorption and disposition
- To develop biomarker or diagnostic assays and establish the performance characteristics of these assays

4.5.11.2 Approval by the Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee

Collection and submission of biological samples to the RCR is contingent upon the review and approval of the exploratory research and the RCR portion of the Informed Consent Form by each site's Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee (IRB/EC) and, if applicable, an appropriate regulatory body. If a site has not been granted approval for RCR sampling, this section of the protocol (Section 4.5.11) will not be applicable at that site.

4.5.11.3 Optional Samples for Roche Clinical Repository

The following samples will be collected for patients who have signed the RCR optional consent:

- Whole blood samples collected at screening (for screen fail patients only)
- Optional fresh biopsy samples
- Remaining fluids (serum, plasma, blood cell derivatives) after study-related tests have been performed
- Remaining FFPE tissue (with the exception of archival FFPE blocks, which will be returned to sites) after study-related tests have been performed

The following sample will be used for identification of genetic (inherited) biomarkers:

Whole blood sample for DNA extraction (6 mL; see Appendix 2 and Appendix 3)

For all samples, dates of consent and specimen collection should be recorded on the associated RCR page of the eCRF. For sampling procedures, storage conditions, and shipment instructions, see the Laboratory Manual.

RCR specimens will be destroyed no later than 15 years after the date of final closure of the associated clinical database. The RCR storage period will be in accordance with the

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 79/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

IRB/EC-approved Informed Consent Form and applicable laws (e.g., health authority requirements). The dynamic biomarker specimens will be subject to the confidentiality standards described in Section 8.4. The genetic biomarker specimens will undergo additional processes to ensure confidentiality, as described in Section 4.5.11.4.

4.5.11.4 Confidentiality

Given the sensitive nature of genetic data, Roche has implemented additional processes to ensure patient confidentiality for RCR specimens and associated data. Upon receipt by the RCR, each specimen is "double-coded" by replacing the patient identification number with a new independent number. Data generated from the use of these specimens and all clinical data transferred from the clinical database and considered relevant are also labeled with this same independent number. A "linking key" between the patient identification number and this new independent number is stored in a secure database system. Access to the linking key is restricted to authorized individuals and is monitored by audit trail. Legitimate operational reasons for accessing the linking key are documented in a standard operating procedure. Access to the linking key for any other reason requires written approval from the Pharma Repository Governance Committee and Roche's Legal Department, as applicable.

Data generated from RCR specimens must be available for inspection upon request by representatives of national and local health authorities and Roche monitors, representatives, and collaborators, as appropriate.

Patient medical information associated with RCR specimens is confidential and may be disclosed only to third parties as permitted by the Informed Consent Form (or separate authorization for use and disclosure of personal health information) signed by the patient, unless permitted or required by law.

Data derived from RCR specimen analysis on individual patients will generally not be provided to study investigators unless a request for research use is granted. The aggregate results of any research conducted using RCR specimens will be available in accordance with the effective Roche policy regarding study data publication.

Any inventions and resulting patents, improvements, and/or know-how originating from the use of the RCR data will become and remain the exclusive and unburdened property of Roche, except where agreed otherwise.

4.5.11.5 Consent to Participate in the Roche Clinical Repository

The Informed Consent Form will contain a separate section that addresses participation in the RCR. The investigator or authorized designee will explain to each patient the objectives, methods, and potential hazards of participation in the RCR. Patients will be told that they are free to refuse to participate and may withdraw their specimens at any time and for any reason during the storage period. A separate, specific signature will be

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 80/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

required to document a patient's agreement to provide optional RCR specimens. Patients who decline to participate will not provide a separate signature.

The investigator should document whether or not the patient has given consent to participate by completing the Research Sample Informed Consent eCRF.

In the event of an RCR participant's death or loss of competence, the participant's specimens and data will continue to be used as part of the RCR research.

4.5.11.6 Withdrawal from the Roche Clinical Repository

Patients who give consent to provide RCR specimens have the right to withdraw their specimens from the RCR at any time for any reason. However, if RCR specimens have been tested prior to withdrawal of consent, results from those tests will remain as part of the overall research data. If a patient wishes to withdraw consent to the testing of his or her specimens during the study, the investigator must inform the Medical Monitor in writing of the patient's wishes using the RCR Subject Withdrawal Form and must enter the date of withdrawal on the appropriate Research Sample Withdrawal of Informed Consent eCRF. If a patient wishes to withdraw consent to the testing of his or her specimens after closure of the site, the investigator must inform the Sponsor by emailing the study number and patient number to the following email address:

global_rcr-withdrawal@roche.com

A patient's withdrawal from Study GO29527 does not, by itself, constitute withdrawal of specimens from the RCR. Likewise, a patient's withdrawal from the RCR does not constitute withdrawal from Study GO29527.

4.5.11.7 Monitoring and Oversight

RCR specimens will be tracked in a manner consistent with Good Clinical Practice by a quality-controlled, auditable, and appropriately validated laboratory information management system to ensure compliance with data confidentiality, as well as adherence to authorized use of specimens as specified in this protocol and in the Informed Consent Form. Roche monitors and auditors will have direct access to appropriate parts of records relating to patient participation in the RCR for the purposes of verifying the data provided to Roche. The site will permit monitoring, audits, IRB/EC review, and health authority inspections by providing direct access to source data and documents related to the RCR samples.

4.6 TIMING OF STUDY ASSESSMENTS

Flowcharts of scheduled study assessments are provided in Appendix 1 and Appendix 2. Patients will be closely monitored for safety and tolerability throughout the study. All assessments must be performed and documented for each patient. Patients should be assessed for toxicity prior to each dose; dosing will occur only if the clinical assessment and local laboratory test values are acceptable. If the timing of a protocol-mandated

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 81/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

study visit coincides with a holiday and/or weekend that precludes the visit, the visit should be scheduled on the nearest following feasible date.

4.6.1 <u>Screening and Pre-Treatment Assessments</u>

Written informed consent for participation in the study (enrollment/randomized phases) must be obtained before performing any study-specific screening tests or evaluations. Informed Consent Forms for enrolled patients and for patients who are not subsequently enrolled will be maintained at the study site.

Screening tests and evaluations will be performed within 28 days prior to enrollment into study (screening/enrollment phase). Patients who are eligible to receive adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy will be treated for up to four cycles (approximately 12 weeks). Within 3 weeks to 8 weeks after completion of the last dose of chemotherapy treatment, eligible patients will then be randomized into study. Results of standard-of-care tests or examinations performed prior to obtaining informed consent and within 28 days (or as otherwise specified) prior to enrollment period may be used; such tests do not need to be repeated for screening. All screening evaluations must be completed and reviewed to confirm that patients meet all eligibility criteria before receiving both adjuvant chemotherapy and prior to randomization into study. The investigator will maintain a screening log to record details of all patients screened and to confirm eligibility or record reasons for screening failure, as applicable.

See Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 for the schedules of screening and pre-treatment assessments for the enrollment phase and randomization phase, respectively.

4.6.2 Assessments during Treatment/Best Supportive Care Period

All visits must occur within ± 3 days from the scheduled date unless otherwise noted. All assessments will be performed on the day of the specified visit unless a time window is specified. Assessments scheduled on the day of study treatment administration (Day 1) of each cycle should be performed prior to study treatment infusion unless otherwise noted.

For patients in Arm A, if scheduled dosing is precluded because of a holiday, then dosing may be postponed to the soonest following date, with subsequent dosing continuing on a 21-day schedule. If treatment was postponed for fewer than 3 days, the patient can resume the original schedule. If scheduled study assessments cannot be obtained because of a holiday, these assessments should then be obtained at the soonest following date, provided that the soonest following date is not within 3 days of other regularly scheduled study assessments.

After five cycles of atezolizumab treatment, one of three cycles may be delayed by 1 week (28 days instead of 21 days for one cycle) to allow for vacations and/or holidays. Following the delay, the next cycle must be delivered 21 days from the previous dose administration. Two consecutive 28-day cycles are not permitted.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 82/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

For both the enrollment and randomization phases, screening assessments performed \leq 96 hours before Cycle 1, Day 1 are not required to be repeated for Cycle 1, Day 1. The following assessments may be performed \leq 96 hours before Day 1 of each cycle:

- ECOG performance status
- Limited physical examination
- Local laboratory tests

See Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 for the schedule of screening and pre-treatment assessments for the enrollment phase and randomization phase.

For patients in Arm B, if medical visits are completed during the randomization phase instead of a telephone contact, additional assessments including limited physical exam and laboratory tests may be completed as clinically indicated, according to local standard of care. See Appendix 2 for the schedule of required assessments for the randomization phase.

Blood samples for pharmacodynamic biomarker analysis and pharmacokinetics will be obtained according to the schedules in Appendix 3.

4.6.3 <u>Assessments at Treatment Discontinuation Visit</u>

For the enrollment phase, patients who discontinue early from chemotherapy or who complete up to four cycles of chemotherapy will be asked to return to the clinic not more than 30 days after the last treatment for a treatment discontinuation visit. The visit at which the decision is made to discontinue treatment (e.g., after completion of up to four cycles, or when disease recurrence is determined or confirmed) may be considered as the treatment discontinuation visit.

For randomized phase, atezolizumab-treated patients who discontinue early from treatment or who complete the study treatment in full (16 cycles) will be asked to return to the clinic not more than 30 days after the last treatment for a treatment discontinuation visit. The visit at which the decision is made to discontinue treatment (e.g., disease recurrence is determined or confirmed) may be used as the treatment discontinuation visit.

Patients randomized to BSC (Arm B) and who discontinue early from the BSC period or who complete BSC in full (1 year) will also be asked to return to the clinic not more than 30 days after the last BSC visit for an discontinuation visit. The visit at which the decision is made to discontinue patient from the BSC visit (e.g., disease recurrence is determined or confirmed) may be used as the BSC discontinuation visit.

See Appendix 2 for the schedule of assessments performed at the treatment and study discontinuation as well as the treatment or BSC discontinuation visit.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 83/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

4.6.4 <u>Follow-Up Assessments</u>

4.6.4.1 Ongoing Tumor Assessments

All patients in the randomized phase will undergo scheduled tumor assessments at baseline and every 4 months in the first year and every 6 months in the second year by CT following randomization. Patients who have not experienced recurrence of disease will undergo tumor assessments every 6 months by CT and X-ray during Years 3–5 post-randomization (starting with CT scan, alternating with X-ray), and annually thereafter by X-ray. In the absence of disease recurrence, tumor assessments should continue regardless of whether patients start new anti-cancer therapy until disease recurrence, withdrawal of consent, death, loss to follow-up, or study termination by the Sponsor, whichever occurs first.

Patients who discontinue treatment before completing the 16 cycles of atezolizumab for reasons other than disease recurrence (e.g., toxicity) should continue to undergo scheduled tumor assessments at the same frequency as would have been followed if the patient had remained on study treatment until the patient has disease recurrence, dies, withdraws consent, is lost to follow-up, or until the study closes, whichever occurs first.

Additionally, patients in either arm who start a new anti-cancer therapy in the absence of disease recurrence should be followed according to the protocol schedule unless they withdraw consent, die, experience disease recurrence, are lost to follow-up, or until the study closes, whichever occurs first.

4.6.4.2 Adverse Events

During both the enrollment phase (cisplatin-based chemotherapy) and randomization phase (atezolizumab or BSC), all serious adverse events and adverse events of special interest will be recorded during the study and for 90 days after the last dose of study treatment (last study assessment for patients in Arm B) or initiation of new anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first. All other adverse events will be recorded during the study and for 30 days after the last dose of study treatment (last study assessment for patients randomized to Arm B) or until the initiation of another anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first. Investigators are instructed to report all serious adverse events and events of special interest considered related to study treatment regardless of time after study.

After the treatment discontinuation visit, adverse events should be followed as outlined in Section 5.5.1.

4.6.4.3 Anti-Therapeutic Antibody and Pharmacokinetic Assessments

For patients assigned to atezolizumab only: a post-treatment ATA and PK sample should be collected 120 days (± 30 days) after the last dose of atezolizumab received during the treatment period unless the patient withdraws consent, dies, or the study closes, whichever occurs first.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 84/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

See the schedule of assessments provided in Appendix 3 for specified follow-up assessments.

4.6.4.4 Survival and Subsequent Anti-Cancer Therapy

Survival follow-up information will be collected via telephone calls, patient medical records, and/or clinic visits approximately every 3 months until death, loss to follow-up, consent withdrawal, or study termination by Roche, whichever occurs first. All patients will be followed for survival and new anti-cancer therapy information unless the patient requests to be withdrawn from follow-up (this request must be documented in the source documents and signed by the investigator), lost to follow-up, dies, or study termination by the Sponsor, whichever occurs first. If the patient withdraws from the study, the study staff may use a public information source (e.g., county records) to obtain information about survival status only.

4.7 PATIENT, STUDY, AND SITE DISCONTINUATION

4.7.1 Patient Discontinuation

The investigator has the right to discontinue a patient from study treatment or withdraw a patient from the study at any time. In addition, patients have the right to voluntarily discontinue study treatment or withdraw from the study at any time for any reason. Reasons for withdrawal from the study may include but are not limited to the following:

- Patient withdrawal of consent at any time
- Any medical condition that the investigator or Sponsor determines may jeopardize the patient's safety if he or she continues in the study
- Investigator or Sponsor determines it is in the best interest of the patient
- Patient non-compliance

Every effort should be made to obtain information on patients who withdraw from the study. The primary reason for withdrawal from the study should be documented on the appropriate eCRF. However, patients will not be followed for any reason after consent has been withdrawn. Patients who withdraw from the study will not be replaced.

4.7.2 Discontinuation from Study Treatment

Patients in Arm A must discontinue study treatment if they experience any of the following:

- Any medical condition that may jeopardize the patient's safety if he or she continues to receive study treatment
- Use of another non-protocol anti-cancer therapy (see Section 4.4.4)
- Pregnancy
- Disease recurrence as determined by the investigator after an integrated assessment of radiographic data, biopsy sample results (if available), and clinical status

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 85/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- Occurrence of new primary NSCLC, as assessed by the investigator
- Intolerable toxicity related to atezolizumab, including development of an immune-mediated adverse event determined by the investigator to be unacceptable given the individual patient's potential response to therapy and severity of the event (for patients randomized to Arm A)

The primary reason for study treatment discontinuation should be documented on the appropriate eCRF.

4.7.3 Study and Site Discontinuation

The Sponsor has the right to terminate this study at any time. Reasons for terminating the study may include but are not limited to the following:

- The incidence or severity of adverse events in this or other studies indicates a potential health hazard to patients.
- Patient enrollment is unsatisfactory.

The Sponsor will notify the investigator if the study is placed on hold or if the Sponsor decides to discontinue the study or development program.

The Sponsor has the right to close a site at any time. Reasons for closing a site may include but are not limited to the following:

- Excessively slow recruitment
- Poor protocol adherence
- Inaccurate or incomplete data recording
- Noncompliance with the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guideline for Good Clinical Practice
- No study activity (i.e., all patients have completed and all obligations have been fulfilled)

5. ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY

5.1 SAFETY PLAN

Measures will be taken to ensure the safety of patients participating in this study, including the use of stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria (see Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2) and close monitoring (as indicated in Section 5.1.3).

An independent Data Monitoring Committee (iDMC) that has been involved in the review of aggregate safety data (refer to the iDMC Charter for a detailed monitoring plan) from prior and current atezolizumab studies will be employed for this study. This committee will conduct periodic reviews of safety data according to procedures outlined in an iDMC Charter.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 86/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

For patients randomized to the atezolizumab arm, administration of atezolizumab will be performed in a monitored setting where there is immediate access to trained personal and adequate equipment/medicine to manage potentially serious reactions. The potential safety issues anticipated in this study, as well as measures intended to avoid or minimize such toxicities, are outlined in the following sections.

5.1.1 Risks Associated with Atezolizumab

Atezolizumab has been associated with risks such as the following: IRRs and immune-*mediated* hepatitis, pneumonitis, colitis, pancreatitis, diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism, adrenal insufficiency, hypophysitis, Guillain-Barré syndrome, myasthenic syndrome or myasthenia gravis, meningoencephalitis, myocarditis, nephritis, and myositis. Immune-mediated reactions may involve any organ system and may lead to hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and macrophage activation syndrome (considered to be potential risks for atezolizumab). Refer to Appendix 9 of the protocol and Section 6 of the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure for a detailed description of anticipated safety risks for atezolizumab.

5.1.2 Risk and Side Effects Associated with Administration of Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy

5.1.2.1 Cisplatin

Cisplatin is known to cause myelosuppression, ototoxicity, and nephrotoxicity. Cisplatin-based chemotherapy is considered to be moderately emetogenic. Patients will be monitored for cisplatin-related adverse events.

For more details regarding the safety profile of cisplatin, see the Cisplatin Package Insert.

5.1.2.2 Vinorelbine

Common hematologic toxicities associated with vinorelbine therapy include leukopenia and neutropenia (dose limiting), and anemia. Thrombocytopenia has also occurred, but is considered rare. Neurological toxicities include peripheral neuropathy (decreased reflexes, paresthesia, hypoesthesia), infrequently tumor pain and jaw pain. The most commonly reported gastrointestinal toxicities include constipation, mild or moderate nausea/vomiting, anorexia, and stomatitis.

Dermatological toxicities often associated with vinorelbine therapy include alopecia, phlebitis, local reaction at the site of injection (erythema, pain, vein discoloration), and moderate vesicant. Liver enzyme toxicities are rare, but mild transient increases in liver enzymes have been reported. Hypersensitivity reactions that are reported include reversible bronchospasm. Cardiovascular toxicities such as chest pain have also been reported, but are often associated with patients with preexisting cardiovascular disease or tumors within the chest. Pulmonary toxicities that have been documented include shortness of breath and some interstitial pulmonary changes. Fatigue is also commonly reported with vinorelbine treatment.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 87/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

5.1.2.3 Docetaxel

Cardiac toxicities reported with docetaxel use include arrhythmias, pericardial effusions, and palpitations. Hematological toxicities reported can be severe or life threatening and include dose–related neutropenia, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, hypoglycemia, and hypernatremia. The most commonly reported gastrointestinal toxicities include nausea and vomiting, diarrhea, oral mucositis, pancreatitis, and esophagitis.

Neurological toxicities reported include reversible dysthesias or paresthesias, peripheral neuropathy, mild or moderate lethargy or somnolence, headache, and seizures.

Hypersensitivity reactions can be severe with docetaxel use. Reported cases include local or general skin rash, flushing, pruritus, drug fever, chills and rigors, low back pain, and severe anaphylactoid reactions such as flushing with hypo- or hypertension, with or without dyspnea.

Dermatological toxicities include alopecia, desquamation following localized pruriginous maculopapular eruption, skin erythema with edema, extravasation reaction (erythema, swelling, tenderness, pustules), reversible peripheral phlebitis, and nail changes. Common hepatotoxcities reported include increased transaminase, alkaline phosphatase, and bilirubin. Less commonly reported hepatic events include hepatic failure and/or hepatic drug reactions. Pulmonary events reported include dyspnea with restrictive pulmonary syndrome and pleural effusions. Other associated toxicities include asthenia, dysgeusia, anorexia, conjunctivitis, arthralgia, muscle aches, myopathy, peripheral edema fluid retention syndrome, ascites, flu-like symptoms, and fever.

5.1.2.4 Gemcitabine

Infusion times of gemcitabine longer than 60 minutes and more frequent than weekly dosing have been shown to increase toxicity.

Pulmonary toxicity has been reported with the use of gemcitabine. In cases of severe lung toxicity, gemcitabine therapy should be discontinued immediately and appropriate supportive care measures instituted.

Myelosuppression manifested by neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and anemia has been reported with gemcitabine as a single agent or in combination with other cytotoxic drugs. Monitor for myelosuppression should occur prior to each cycle.

Hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS) and/or renal failure have been reported following one or more doses of gemcitabine. Renal failure leading to death or requiring dialysis, despite discontinuation of therapy, has been rarely reported. The majority of the cases of renal failure leading to death were a result of HUS.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 88/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Serious hepatotoxicity, including liver failure and death, has been reported very rarely in patients receiving gemcitabine alone or in combination with other potentially hepatotoxic drugs.

Use caution in patients with preexisting renal impairment or hepatic insufficiency.

For more details regarding the safety profile of gemcitabine, see the Gemcitabine Package Insert.

5.1.2.5 Pemetrexed

The most common side effects of pemetrexed include gastrointestinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, or constipation), myelosuppression, infection, fatigue, stomatitis, loss of appetite, and rash.

For more details regarding the safety profile of pemetrexed, see the Pemetrexed Package Insert.

5.1.3 General Plan to Manage Safety Concerns

Safety will be evaluated in this study through the monitoring of all serious and non-serious adverse events defined and graded according to NCI CTCAE v4.0. Patients will be assessed for safety (including laboratory values according Appendix 1 and Appendix 2). Laboratory values must be reviewed prior to each infusion.

General safety assessments will include serial interval histories, physical examinations, and specific laboratory studies, including serum chemistries and blood counts. See Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 for the list and timing of study assessments. During the study, patients will be closely monitored for the development of any adverse events, including signs or symptoms of autoimmune conditions and infection. All serious adverse events and protocol-defined events of special interest (see Section 5.2) will be reported in an expedited fashion (see Section 5.4.2). In addition, the iDMC and Medical Monitor will review and evaluate observed adverse events on a regular basis.

Patients who have an ongoing study treatment-related adverse event upon study treatment completion or at discontinuation from the study will be followed until the event has resolved to baseline grade, the event is assessed by the investigator as stable, new anti-cancer treatment is initiated, the patient is lost to follow-up, the patient withdraws consent, or it has been determined that study treatment or participation is not the cause of the adverse event.

5.1.4 Management of Chemotherapy-Specific Adverse Events

For all toxicities, if one drug in a chemotherapy regimen is held, the other chemotherapy agent must be held as well. In general, treatment could be held for up to 63 days after Day 1 of last cycle of last cycle to allow sufficient time for recovery from the toxicities

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 89/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

listed in the following sections. If one drug in a chemotherapy regimen is discontinued, the other chemotherapy can be continued as a single agent.

The investigator may use discretion in modifying or accelerating the dose modification guidelines described below depending on the severity of toxicity and an assessment of the risk versus benefit for the patient, with the goal of maximizing patient compliance and access to supportive care.

5.1.4.1 Cisplatin Dose Modifications, Treatment Delays, or Treatment Discontinuation and Management of Specific Adverse Events

The dose modification guidelines for cisplatin are provided below.

Treatment with cisplatin should be discontinued if a patient experiences any hematologic or non-hematologic Grade 3 or 4 toxicity after two dose reductions or treatment is delayed for more than 21 days because of toxicities.

Hematologic Toxicities

At the start of each cycle, the ANC must be $\geq 1500/\mu L$, and the platelet count must be $\geq 100,000/\mu L$. Treatment should be delayed for up to 63 days after Day 1 of the last cycle to allow sufficient time for recovery. Growth factors may be used in accordance with American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) and National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines (Smith et al. 2006; NCCN 2012). No dose adjustment for cisplatin is allowed for hematologic toxicities.

Investigators should be vigilant and alert to early and overt signs of myelosuppression, infection, or febrile neutropenia so that these complications can be promptly and appropriately managed. Patients should be made aware of these signs and encouraged to seek medical attention at the earliest opportunity.

If chemotherapy must be withheld because of hematologic toxicity, full blood counts (including differential WBC) should be obtained weekly until the counts reach the lower limits for treatment as outlined. The treatment can then be resumed.

No dose reductions are recommended for anemia. Patients should be supported per the treating physician's institution's guidelines.

Non-Hematologic Toxicities

If a patient develops a non-hematologic toxicity (see Table 11), cisplatin should be withheld for up to 63 days after Day1 of the last cycle until resolution to less than or equal to the patient's baseline (or Grade ≤1 if patient did not have that toxicity at baseline). Treatment should be resumed according to the guidelines in Table 11.

Diarrhea should be controlled with adequate anti-diarrhea medication. Nausea and/or vomiting should be controlled with adequate anti-emetics.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 90/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 11 Cisplatin Dose Modifications for Non-Hematologic Toxicities (Excluding Neurotoxicity and Nephrotoxicity)

Toxicity	Cisplatin Dose
Any diarrhea requiring hospitalization (irrespective of grade) or Grade 3 or 4 diarrhea that occurs on adequate anti-diarrhea medication	75% of previous dose
Grade 3 or 4 nausea/vomiting ^a	75% of previous dose
Any other Grade 3 or 4 toxicity	75% of previous dose

a Despite the use of anti-emetics.

Nephrotoxicity

CRCL must be \geq 60 mL/min prior to the start of any cycle of cisplatin. If there is a decrease in CRCL between cycles, but the CRCL is still \geq 60 mL/min at the time of the next cycle, the investigator should use clinical judgment regarding the continuation of cisplatin, dose reduction, or delaying of the cycle. If a patient's CRCL value has not returned to \geq 60 mL/min within 63 days after Day1 of the last cycle, the patient should be discontinued from cisplatin.

Neurotoxicity

In the event of neurotoxicity, the recommended dose adjustment for cisplatin is documented in Table 12. For a Grade 3 or 4 neurotoxicity, cisplatin should be resumed at 50% of the previous dose upon improvement, or discontinued immediately (based on investigator's clinical judgment).

Table 12 Cisplatin Dose Modifications or Treatment Discontinuation for Associated Neurotoxicity

Toxicity	Cisplatin Dose
Grade 0–1 neurotoxicity	100% of previous dose
Grade 2 neurotoxicity	75% of previous dose
Grade 3 or 4 neurotoxicity	50% of previous dose or permanent discontinuation

If the patient develops ototoxicity, subsequent doses of cisplatin should not be given until an audiometric analysis indicates that auditory acuity is within normal limits (http://www.drugs.com/pro/platinol.html). See Table 12 for dose modifications.

5.1.4.2 Pemetrexed Dose Modifications, Treatment Delays or Treatment Discontinuation, and Management of Specific Adverse Events

The dose modification guidelines are applicable for pemetrexed used as a single agent or in combination with cisplatin.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 91/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Treatment with pemetrexed should be discontinued if a patient experiences any hematologic or non-hematologic Grade 3 or 4 toxicity after two dose reductions, or if treatment is delayed for more than 63 days after Day 1 of the last cycle because of toxicities.

Hematologic Toxicity

At the start of each cycle, the ANC must be $\geq 1500/\mu L$ and the platelet count must be $\geq 100,000/\mu L$. Treatment should be delayed for up to 63 days after Day 1 of the last cycle to allow sufficient time for recovery. Growth factors may be used in accordance with ASCO and NCCN guidelines (Smith et al. 2006; NCCN 2012). Upon recovery, dose adjustments at the start of a subsequent cycle will be made on the basis of the lowest (nadir) platelet and neutrophil values from the previous cycle (see Table 13).

In the event that dose adjustments are needed for both ANC and platelets, patients are to receive the lower dose.

 Table 13 Pemetrexed Dose Modifications for Hematologic Toxicities

Toxicity ^a	Pemetrexed Dose
ANC < 500/μL and platelets ≥ 50,000/μL	75% of previous dose
Platelets < 50,000/μL, regardless of ANC	75% of previous dose
Platelets < 50,000/μL with Grade ≥ 2 bleeding, regardless of ANC	50% of previous dose

a Nadir of prior cycle.

Investigators should be vigilant and alert to early and overt signs of myelosuppression, infection, or febrile neutropenia so that these complications can be promptly and appropriately managed. Patients should be made aware of these signs and encouraged to seek medical attention at the earliest opportunity.

If chemotherapy must be withheld because of hematologic toxicity, full blood counts (including differential WBC) should be obtained weekly until the counts reach the lower limits for treatment as outlined. The treatment can then be resumed.

No dose reductions are recommended for anemia. Patients should be supported per the treating physician's institution's guidelines.

Non-Hematologic Toxicity

At the start of each cycle, the CRCL must be \geq 45 mL/min. For enrollment and dosing decisions, CRCL will be estimated using the original, weight-based Cockcroft and Gault formula or measured using the appropriate radiolabeled method (51-CrEDTA or Tc99m-DTPA) to determine the GFR. The method of CRCL assessment used at baseline should be used throughout the study.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 92/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

If a patient develops a non-hematologic toxicity (see Table 14), pemetrexed should be withheld for up to 63 days after Day 1 of the last cycle until resolution to equal or less than the patient's baseline (or Grade 1 or better if patient did not have that toxicity at baseline). Treatment should be resumed according to the guidelines in Table 14. For a Grade 3 or 4 neurotoxicity, pemetrexed should be resumed at 50% of the previous dose upon improvement, or discontinued immediately (based on investigator's clinical judgment).

Table 14 Pemetrexed Dose Modifications for Non-Hematologic Toxicities

Toxicity	Pemetrexed Dose
Any diarrhea requiring hospitalization (irrespective of grade) or Grade 3 or 4 diarrhea that occurs on adequate anti-diarrhea medication.	75% of previous dose
Neurotoxicity	
Grade 2	75% of previous dose
Grade 3 or 4	50% of previous dose or permanent discontinuation
Any other Grade 3 or 4 toxicities	75% of previous dose

Treatment Delays Caused by Insufficient Folic Acid or Vitamin B-12 Supplementation

Cycle 1 should not be started until <u>both</u> of the following requirements are met:

- The patient has taken folic acid for 5 to 7 days preceding the first dose of pemetrexed or as per local standard of care, but not later than Cycle 1, Day 1.
- The patient has received a vitamin B-12 injection (which can be given on Cycle 1, Day 1).

Delay subsequent cycles until the patient has taken folic acid for at least 14 of the 21 days before Day 1 of the subsequent cycle.

For more details regarding pemetrexed dose modification, see the pemetrexed prescribing information.

5.1.4.3 Gemcitabine Dose Modifications, Treatment Delays or Treatment Discontinuation, and Management of Specific Adverse Events

The dose modification guidelines are applicable for gemcitabine used as a single agent or in combination with cisplatin.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 93/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

The dose modification guidelines for gemcitabine are provided below.

Treatment with gemcitabine should be discontinued if a patient experiences any hematologic or non-hematologic Grade 3 or 4 toxicity after two dose reductions, or if treatment is delayed for more than 21 days due to toxicities.

Hematologic Toxicities

At the start of each cycle, the ANC must be \geq 1500 cells/ μ L and the platelet count must be \geq 100,000 cells/ μ L. Gemcitabine dose modifications for hematologic toxicity should be on the basis of the granulocyte and platelet counts taken on Days 1 and 8 of therapy (see Table 15 and Table 16). Patients receiving gemcitabine should be monitored prior to each dose with a full blood count, including differential and platelet counts. Treatment should be delayed for up to 63 days after Day1 of the last cycle to allow sufficient time for recovery. Growth factors may be used in accordance with ASCO and NCCN guidelines (Smith et al. 2006; NCCN 2012). Upon recovery, dose adjustments at the start of a subsequent cycle will be made on the basis of the lowest (nadir) platelet and neutrophil values from the previous cycle (see Table 15).

In the event that dose adjustments are needed for both ANC and platelets, patients are to receive the lower dose.

Table 15 Gemcitabine Dose Modifications or Treatment Delays for Hematologic Toxicities on Day 1

Toxicity ^a	Gemcitabine Dose
ANC < 500/μL and platelets ≥ 50,000/μL	75% of previous dose
Platelets < 50,000/μL, regardless of ANC	75% of previous dose
Platelets < 50,000/μL with Grade ≥ 2 bleeding, regardless of ANC	50% of previous dose
ANC < 1000/ μ L plus fever of \geq 38.5°C	75% of previous dose

a Nadir of prior cycle.

Table 16 Gemcitabine Dose Modifications or Treatment Delays for Hematologic Toxicities on Day 8

Absolute Granulocyte Count		Platelet Count	Gemcitabine % of Dose on Day 1
≥1000/µL	and	\geq 100,000/ μ L	100%
500-999/μL	or	$50,000-99,999/\mu L$	75%
<500/μL	or	$<$ 50,000/ μ L	Withhold

Note: Omitted Day 8 doses of gemcitabine will not be made up. Day 8 dose adjustment for neutropenia and/or platelets is not a permanent dose reduction.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 94/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Investigators should be vigilant and alert to early and overt signs of myelosuppression, infection, or febrile neutropenia so that these complications can be promptly and appropriately managed. Patients should be made aware of these signs and encouraged to seek medical attention at the earliest opportunity.

If chemotherapy must be withheld because of hematologic toxicity, full blood counts (including differential WBC) should be obtained weekly until the counts reach the lower limits for treatment as outlined. The treatment can then be resumed.

No dose reductions are recommended for anemia. Patients should be supported per the treating physician's institution's guidelines.

Non-Hematologic Toxicities

In general, for Grade 3 or 4 non-hematologic toxicities, gemcitabine should be withheld or the dose reduced by 50%, according to physician judgment.

Permanent discontinuation should be considered for any of the following events:

- Unexplained dyspnea or other evidence of severe pulmonary toxicity
- Severe hepatic toxicity
- Hemolytic-uremic syndrome
- Capillary-leak syndrome
- Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome

Table 17 provides dose modification guidelines for non-hematologic toxicities.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 95/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 17 Gemcitabine Dose Modifications, Treatment Delays, or Treatment Discontinuation and Patient Management for Grade 2, 3, or 4 Non-Hematologic Toxicities

	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4
First appearance	Interrupt treatment until resolved to Grade 0–1, then continue at same dose with prophylaxis where possible.	Interrupt treatment until resolved to Grade 0–1, then continue at 75% of original dose with prophylaxis where possible.	Discontinue treatment unless investigator considers it to be in the best interest of the patient to continue at 50% of original dose, once toxicity has resolved to Grade 0–1.
Second appearance of same toxicity	Interrupt treatment until resolved to Grade 0–1, then continue at 75% of original dose.		
Third appearance of same toxicity	Interrupt treatment until resolved to Grade 0–1, then continue at 50% of original dose.	Discontinue treatment permanently.	
Fourth appearance of same toxicity	Discontinue treatment permanently.		

5.1.4.4 Docetaxel Dose Modification and Management of Specific Adverse Events

Treatment with docetaxel should be discontinued if a patient experiences any hematologic or non-hematologic Grade 3 or 4 toxicity after two dose reductions or if treatment is delayed for more than 63 days after Day1 of the last cycle because of toxicities.

Guidelines for docetaxel dose modifications to manage general toxicities are shown in Table 18. Guidelines for the management of hepatotoxicity for patients who are treated with are shown in Table 19. Guidelines for the management of edema for patients who are treated with docetaxel are shown in Table 20.

Patients who are dosed initially at 75 mg/m² and who experience either febrile neutropenia, neutrophils <500 cells/mm³ for more than 1 week, platelets <25,000 cells/µL, severe or cumulative cutaneous reactions, or other Grade 3/4 non-hematological toxicities during docetaxel treatment should have treatment withheld until resolution of the toxicity and treatment then resumed at 55 mg/m². Patients who develop Grade >3 peripheral neuropathy should have docetaxel treatment discontinued entirely.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 96/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 18 Guidelines for Management of Specific Docetaxel-Related Adverse Events

Adverse Event (Worst Grade in Previous Cycle)	Action to Be Taken
Febrile neutropenia/Grade 4 AGC≥7 days or platelets < 25,000 cells/μL	Withhold docetaxel until symptoms resolve. ^a Reduce docetaxel to 75% of previous dose (e.g., from 75 mg/m² to 55 mg/m²)
Grade 3 skin/neuropathy/major organ/ non-hematologic toxicity	Withhold docetaxel until symptoms resolve Reduce docetaxel to 75% of previous dose
Grade 4 skin/neuropathy/major organ/ non-hematologic toxicity OR Recurrence of Grade 3 toxicity after prior dose reduction	Discontinue docetaxel treatment

AGC = absolute granulocyte count.

Table 19 Guidelines for Management of Hepatotoxicity in Patients Treated with Docetaxel

	AST/ALT		Alkaline Phosphatase		Bilirubin	Docetaxel Dose
Mild to moderate	>1.5×ULN	AND	> 2.5 × ULN			75%
Severe	>3.5×ULN	AND	>6×ULN	OR	>ULN	Do not treat. Discontinue if treatment already started.

 $ULN\!=\!upper\;limit\;of\;normal.$

Other Specific Toxicities Not Requiring Dose Adjustment Hypersensitivity Reactions

Patients should be observed closely for hypersensitivity reactions, especially during the first and second infusions. Severe hypersensitivity reactions characterized by generalized rash/erythema, hypotension and/or bronchospasm, or very rarely, fatal anaphylaxis, have been reported in patients premedicated with 3 days of corticosteroids. Severe hypersensitivity reactions require immediate discontinuation of the docetaxel infusion and aggressive therapy. Patients with a history of severe hypersensitivity reactions should not be re-challenged with docetaxel.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 97/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

^a Do not resume treatment until AGC \geq 1.5 \times 10⁹/L, platelets \geq 100 \times 10⁹/L, and toxicity \leq Grade 2.

Hypersensitivity reactions may occur within a few minutes following initiation of docetaxel infusion. If minor reactions such as flushing or localized skin reactions occur, interruption of therapy is not required.

Fluid Retention

Severe fluid retention has been reported following docetaxel therapy. Patients should be premedicated with oral corticosteroids prior to each docetaxel infusion to reduce the incidence and severity of fluid retention. Patients with preexisting effusions should be closely monitored from the first dose for the possible exacerbation of the effusions. See Table 20 for the management of edema.

Table 20 Guidelines for the Management of Edema in Patients Treated with Docetaxel

Edema	Severity	Effusion
Asymptomatic	Mild, Grade 1	Asymptomatic, no intervention needed
Symptomatic	Moderate, Grade 2	Symptomatic, may require intervention
Symptomatic, resulting in interruption of treatment	Severe, Grade 2	Symptomatic, urgent intervention required

5.1.4.5 Vinorelbine Dose Modification and Management of Specific Adverse Events

The dose modification guidelines are applicable for vinorelbine used as a single agent or in combination with cisplatin.

Treatment with vinorelbine should be discontinued if a patient experiences any hematologic or non-hematologic Grade 3 or 4 toxicity after two dose reductions, or if treatment is delayed for more than 63 days after Day1 of the last cycle because of toxicities.

Hematologic Toxicity

Day 1 dosing may only start for platelet count > 100,000 cells/ μ L and ANC > 1500 cells/ μ L. Vinorelbine dose modifications for hematologic toxicity should be based on the granulocyte and platelet counts taken on Days 1 and 8 of therapy (Table 21, Table 22). Patients who are receiving vinorelbine should be monitored prior to each dose with a full blood count, including differential and platelet counts. Treatment should be delayed for up to 63 days after Day 1 of the last cycle to allow sufficient time for recovery. Growth factors may be used in accordance with ASCO and NCCN guidelines (Smith et al. 2006; NCCN 2012). Upon recovery, dose adjustments at the start of a subsequent cycle will be made on the basis of the lowest (nadir) platelet and neutrophil values from the previous cycle (see Table 21).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 98/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

In the event that dose adjustments are needed for both ANC and platelets, patients are to receive the lower dose.

Table 21 Vinorelbine Dose Modifications or Treatment Delays for Hematologic Toxicities on Day 1

Toxicity ^a	Vinorelbine Dose
ANC < 500/μL and platelets ≥ 50,000/μL	75% of previous dose
Platelets < 50,000/μL, regardless of ANC	75% of previous dose
Platelets < 50,000/μL with Grade ≥ 2 bleeding, regardless of ANC	50% of previous dose
ANC < 1000/μL plus fever of ≥ 38.5°C	75% of previous dose

a Nadir of prior cycle.

Table 22 Vinorelbine Dose Modifications or Treatment Delays for Hematologic Toxicities on Day 8

Absolute Granulocyte Count		Platelet Count	Vinorelbine % of Dose on Day 1
≥ 1000/µL	and	$\geq 100,000/\mu L$	100%
500-999/μL	or	$50,000-99,999/\mu L$	75%
<500/μL	or	$<\!50,\!000/\mu L$	Withhold

Investigators should be vigilant and alert to early and overt signs of myelosuppression, infection, or febrile neutropenia so that these complications can be promptly and appropriately managed. Patients should be made aware of these signs and encouraged to seek medical attention at the earliest opportunity.

If chemotherapy must be withheld because of hematologic toxicity, full blood counts (including differential WBC) should be obtained weekly until the counts reach the lower limits for treatment as outlined. The treatment can then be resumed.

No dose reductions are recommended for anemia. Patients should be supported per the treating physician's institution's guidelines.

Hepatic Toxicity

Dose reduction levels of vinorelbine for hepatic toxicity are shown in Table 23. The Day 1 value should be used in determining dose.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 99/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 23 Dose Reduction of Vinorelbine for Hepatic Toxicity

SGOT/AST		Alkaline Phosphatase		Bilirubin	Vinorelbine Dose
≤1.5×ULN	and	$< 1.5 \times ULN$	and	WNL	100%
> 1.5–5 × ULN	and	1.5–5×ULN	or	$>$ ULN $-1.5 \times$ ULN	75%
> 5 × ULN	and	$> 5 \times ULN$	or	$> 1.5 \times ULN$	Hold ^a

LFT=liver function tests; ULN=upper limit of normal; WNL=within normal limits.

Any elevation in bilirubin alone qualifies for a dose reduction. However, an elevation in both the SGOT/AST and alkaline phosphatase is required to qualify for a dose reduction.

Peripheral Neuropathy or Autonomic Neuropathy Causing Constipation Vinorelbine dose modifications for constipation caused by peripheral neuropathy or autonomic neuropathy are recommended in Table 24.

Table 24 Vinorelbine Dose Reduction for Sensory or Motor Neuropathy

Grade of Toxicity	Dose of Cisplatin and Paired Chemotherapy
0	100%
1	100%
2	Delay treatment until patient recovers to Grade 1; then resume treatment at 75% dose
≥Grade 3	Delay treatment until patient recovers to Grade 1; then resume treatment at 50% dose

For any Grade 3 or 4 toxicities not mentioned above, vinorelbine should be withheld until the patient recovers completely or to Grade 1 toxicity. The treatment should then be resumed at 75% dose (permanent dose reduction) for Grade 3 toxicities and 50% of dose (permanent dose reduction) for Grade 4 toxicities or at the discretion of the investigator.

If recovery to Grade 1 toxicity does not occur within 3 weeks, the patient's chemotherapy will be discontinued. For Grade 1 and 2 toxicities, no dose reduction should be made.

5.2 SAFETY PARAMETERS AND DEFINITIONS

Safety assessments will consist of the monitoring and recording of adverse events, including serious adverse events and non-serious adverse events of special interest, the

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 100/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

^a Repeat LFTs weekly. If recovered, reduce delayed dose by 25%. Dose reductions for hepatic toxicity are permanent. If not recovered within 3 weeks, discontinue chemotherapy. If vinorelbine is delayed as a result of hepatic toxicity, cisplatin should also be delayed and administered when vinorelbine is resumed.

measurement of protocol-specified safety laboratory assessments, the measurement of protocol-specified vital signs, and other protocol-specified tests that are deemed critical to the safety evaluation of the study.

Certain types of events require immediate reporting to the Sponsor, as outlined in Section 5.4.

5.2.1 Adverse Events

According to the ICH guideline for Good Clinical Practice, an adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence in a clinical investigation subject administered a pharmaceutical product, regardless of causal attribution. An adverse event can therefore be any of the following:

- Any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of a medicinal product, whether or not considered related to the medicinal product
- Any new disease or exacerbation of an existing disease (a worsening in the character, frequency, or severity of a known condition), except as described in Section 5.3.5.10.
- Recurrence of an intermittent medical condition (e.g., headache) not present at baseline.
- Any deterioration in a laboratory value or other clinical test (e.g., ECG, X-ray) that is associated with symptoms or leads to a change in study treatment or concomitant treatment or discontinuation from study drug
- Adverse events that are related to a protocol-mandated intervention, including those that occur prior to assignment of study treatment (e.g., screening invasive procedures such as biopsies).

5.2.2 <u>Serious Adverse Events (Immediately Reportable to the Sponsor)</u>

A serious adverse event is any adverse event that meets any of the following criteria:

- Is fatal (i.e., the adverse event actually causes or leads to death)
- Is life threatening (i.e., the adverse event, in the view of the investigator, places the patient at immediate risk of death)

This does not include any adverse event that had it occurred in a more severe form or was allowed to continue might have caused death.

- Requires or prolongs inpatient hospitalization (see Section 5.3.5.11)
- Results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity (i.e., the adverse event results in substantial disruption of the patient's ability to conduct normal life functions)
- Is a congenital anomaly/birth defect in a neonate/infant born to a mother exposed to study drug

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 101/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Is a significant medical event in the investigator's judgment (e.g., may jeopardize the
patient or may require medical/surgical intervention to prevent one of the outcomes
listed above)

The terms "severe" and "serious" are <u>not</u> synonymous. Severity refers to the intensity of an adverse event (rated as mild, moderate, or severe, or according to NCI CTCAE criteria; see Section 5.3.3); the event itself may be of relatively minor medical significance (such as severe headache without any further findings).

Severity and seriousness need to be independently assessed for each adverse event recorded on the eCRF.

Serious adverse events are required to be reported by the investigator to the Sponsor immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event; see Section 5.4.2 for reporting instructions).

5.2.3 Non-Serious Adverse Events of Special Interest (Immediately Reportable to the Sponsor)

Non-serious adverse events of special interest are required to be reported immediately by the investigator to the Sponsor (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event; see Section 5.4.2 for reporting instructions). Adverse events of special interest for this study include the following:

- Cases of potential drug-induced liver injury that include an elevated ALT or AST in combination with either an elevated bilirubin or clinical jaundice, as defined by Hy's law (see Section 5.3.5.7)
- Suspected transmission of an infectious agent by the study drug, is defined as follows:

Any organism, virus, or infectious particle (e.g., prion protein transmitting transmissible spongiform encephalopathy), pathogenic or non-pathogenic, is considered an infectious agent. A transmission of an infectious agent may be suspected from clinical symptoms or laboratory findings that indicate an infection in a patient exposed to a medicinal product. This term applies only when a contamination of the study drug is suspected.

- The following confirmed treatment-emergent autoimmune conditions :
 - Pneumonitis
 - Hypoxia or dyspnea Grade ≥ 3
 - Colitis
 - Endocrinopathies: diabetes mellitus, pancreatitis, or adrenal insufficiency
 - Vasculitis
 - Hepatitis
 - Transaminitis: Grade ≥2 (AST or ALT >3×ULN and bilirubin >2×ULN) OR AST/ALT >10×ULN

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 102/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- Systemic lupus erythematosus
- Guillain-Barré syndrome
- Skin reactions: vitiligo, pemphigoid
- Events suggestive of hypersensitivity, cytokine-release syndrome, influenza-like illness, systemic inflammatory response system, or infusion-reaction syndromes

5.3 METHODS AND TIMING FOR CAPTURING AND ASSESSING SAFETY PARAMETERS

The investigator is responsible for ensuring that all adverse events (see Section 5.2.1 for definition) are recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF and reported to the Sponsor in accordance with instructions provided in this section and in Sections 5.3.1, 5.3.5, 5.4, and 5.4.2.

For each adverse event, the investigator will make an assessment of seriousness (see Section 5.2.2 for seriousness criteria), severity (see Section 5.3.3), and causality (see Section 5.3.4) on the Adverse Event eCRF.

5.3.1 <u>Adverse Event Reporting Period</u>

Investigators will seek information on adverse events at each patient contact. All adverse events, whether reported by the patient or noted by the study personnel, will be recorded in the patient's medical record and on the Adverse Event eCRF.

After informed consent has been obtained but prior to initiation of study treatment, only serious adverse events caused by a protocol-mandated intervention (e.g., serious adverse events related to invasive procedures such as biopsies) should be reported (see Section 5.4.2 for instructions for reporting serious adverse events).

After initiation of study drug, during both the enrollment phase (cisplatin-based chemotherapy) and randomization phase (atezolizumab or BSC), all serious adverse events and adverse events of special interest will be recorded during the study and for 90 days after the last dose of study treatment (last study assessment for patients in Arm B) or initiation of new anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first. All other adverse events will be recorded during the study and for 30 days after the last dose of study treatment (last study assessment for patients randomized to Arm B) or until the initiation of another anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first. Investigators are instructed to report all serious adverse events and events of special interest considered related to study treatment regardless of time after study (see Section 5.6).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 103/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

5.3.2 <u>Eliciting Adverse Event Information</u>

A consistent methodology of nondirective questioning should be adopted for eliciting adverse event information at all patient evaluation timepoints. Examples of non-directive questions include the following:

"How have you felt since your last clinic visit?"

"Have you had any new or changed health problems since you were last here?"

5.3.3 <u>Assessment of Severity of Adverse Events</u>

The adverse event severity grading scale for the NCI CTCAE v4.0 will be used for assessing adverse event severity. Table 25 will be used for assessing the severity for adverse events that are not specifically listed in the NCI CTCAE.

Table 25 Adverse Event Severity Grading Scale

Grade	Severity
1	Mild; asymptomatic or mild symptoms; clinical or diagnostic observations only; or intervention not indicated
2	Moderate; minimal, local, or non-invasive intervention indicated; or limiting age-appropriate instrumental activities of daily living ^a
3	Severe or medically significant, but not immediately life-threatening; hospitalization or prolongation of hospitalization indicated; disabling; or limiting self-care activities of daily living $^{\rm b,c}$
4	Life-threatening consequences or urgent intervention indicated ^d
5	Death related to adverse event ^d

NCI CTCAE = National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events.

Note: Based on the NCI CTCAE (v4.0), which can be found at:

http://evs.nci.nih.gov/ftp1/CTCAE/CTCAE_4.0_2010-06-14_QuickReference_8.5x11.pdf.

- a Instrumental activities of daily living refer to preparing meals, shopping for groceries or clothes, using the telephone, managing money, etc.
- ^b Examples of self-care activities of daily living include bathing, dressing and undressing, feeding one's self, using the toilet, and taking medications, as performed by patients who are not bedridden.
- If an event is assessed as a "significant medical event," it must be reported as a serious adverse event (see Section 5.4.2 for reporting instructions), per the definition of serious adverse event in Section 5.2.2.
- ^d Grade 4 and 5 events must be reported as serious adverse events (see Section 5.4.2 for reporting instructions), per the definition of serious adverse event in Section 5.2.2.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 104/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

5.3.4 <u>Assessment of Causality of Adverse Events</u>

Investigators should use their knowledge of the patient, the circumstances surrounding the event, and an evaluation of any potential alternative causes to determine whether or not an adverse event is considered to be related to the study treatment, indicating "yes" or "no" accordingly. The following guidance should be taken into consideration:

- Temporal relationship of event onset to the initiation of study treatment
- Course of the event, considering especially the effects of dose reduction, discontinuation of study treatment, or reintroduction of study treatment (where applicable)
- Known association of the event with study treatment or with similar treatments
- Known association of the event with the disease under study
- Presence of risk factors in the patient or use of concomitant medications known to increase the occurrence of the event
- Presence of non-treatment-related factors that are known to be associated with the occurrence of the event

5.3.5 <u>Procedures for Recording Adverse Events</u>

Investigators should use correct medical terminology/concepts when recording adverse events on the Adverse Event eCRF; colloquialisms and abbreviations should be avoided.

Only one adverse event term should be recorded in the event field on the Adverse Event eCRF.

5.3.5.1 Diagnosis versus Signs and Symptoms

For all adverse events, a diagnosis (if known) rather than individual signs and symptoms should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF (e.g., record only liver failure or hepatitis rather than jaundice, asterixis, and elevated transaminases). However, if a constellation of signs and/or symptoms cannot be medically characterized as a single diagnosis or syndrome at the time of reporting, each individual event should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF. If a diagnosis is subsequently established, all previously reported adverse events based on signs and symptoms should be nullified and replaced by one adverse event report based on the single diagnosis, with a starting date that corresponds to the starting date of the first symptom of the eventual diagnosis.

5.3.5.2 Infusion-Related Reactions

An exception to the above is symptoms that occur during or within 24 hours after an atezolizumab infusion. These may be part of an acute infusion reaction and should not be recorded under the diagnosis of "infusion-related reaction." Rather, non-serious symptoms should be recorded as separate adverse events on the Adverse Event eCRF.

5.3.5.3 Adverse Events That Are Secondary to Other Events

In general, adverse events that are secondary to other events (e.g., cascade events or clinical sequelae) should be identified by their primary cause, with the exception of

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 105/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

severe or serious secondary events. A medically significant secondary adverse event that is separated in time from the initiating event should be recorded as an independent event on the Adverse Event eCRF. For example:

- If vomiting results in mild dehydration with no additional treatment in a healthy adult, only vomiting should be reported on the eCRF.
- If vomiting results in severe dehydration, both events should be reported separately on the eCRF.
- If a severe gastrointestinal hemorrhage leads to renal failure, both events should be reported separately on the eCRF.
- If dizziness leads to a fall and subsequent fracture, all three events should be reported separately on the eCRF.
- If neutropenia is accompanied by an infection, both events should be reported separately on the eCRF.

All adverse events should be recorded separately on the Adverse Event eCRF if it is unclear as to whether or not the events are associated.

5.3.5.4 Persistent or Recurrent Adverse Events

A persistent adverse event is one that extends continuously, without resolution, between patient evaluation timepoints. Such events should be recorded only once on the Adverse Event eCRF. The initial severity (intensity) of the event should be recorded, and the severity should be updated to reflect the most extreme severity any time the event worsens. If a persistent adverse event becomes more severe, the most extreme severity should also be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF. If the event becomes serious, it should be reported to the Sponsor immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning that the event became serious; see Section 5.4.2 for reporting instructions). The Adverse Event eCRF should be updated by changing the event from "non-serious" to "serious," providing the date that the event became serious, and completing all data fields related to serious adverse events.

A recurrent adverse event is one that resolves between patient evaluation timepoints and subsequently recurs. Each recurrence of an adverse event should be recorded as a separate event on the Adverse Event eCRF.

5.3.5.5 Abnormal Laboratory Values

Not every laboratory abnormality qualifies as an adverse event. A laboratory test result must be reported as an adverse event if it is a change from baseline and meets any of the following criteria:

- Is accompanied by clinical symptoms
- Results in a change in study treatment (e.g., dosage modification, treatment interruption, or treatment discontinuation)

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 106/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- Results in a medical intervention (e.g., potassium supplementation for hypokalemia) or a change in concomitant therapy
- Is a clinically significant in the investigator's judgment

It is the investigator's responsibility to review all laboratory findings. Medical and scientific judgment should be exercised in deciding if an isolated laboratory abnormality should be classified as an adverse event.

If a clinically significant laboratory abnormality is a sign of a disease or syndrome (e.g., alkaline phosphatase and bilirubin $5 \times \text{ULN}$ associated with cholecystitis), only the diagnosis (i.e., cholecystitis) should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF.

If a clinically significant laboratory abnormality is not a sign of a disease or syndrome, the abnormality itself should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF, along with a descriptor indicating whether the test result is above or below the normal range (e.g., "elevated potassium," as opposed to "abnormal potassium"). If the laboratory abnormality can be characterized by a precise clinical term per standard definitions, the clinical term should be recorded as the adverse event. For example, an elevated serum potassium level of 7.0 mEq/L should be recorded as "hyperkalemia."

Observations of the same clinically significant laboratory abnormality from visit to visit should not be repeatedly recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF, unless the etiology changes (see Section 5.3.5.4 for details on recording persistent adverse events).

5.3.5.6 Abnormal Vital Sign Values

Not every vital sign abnormality qualifies as an adverse event. A vital sign result must be reported as an adverse event if it is a change from baseline and meets any of the following criteria:

- Is accompanied by clinical symptoms
- Results in a change in study treatment (e.g., dosage modification, treatment interruption, or treatment discontinuation)
- Results in a medical intervention or a change in concomitant therapy
- Is clinically significant in the investigator's judgment

It is the investigator's responsibility to review all vital sign findings. Medical and scientific judgment should be exercised in deciding if an isolated vital sign abnormality should be classified as an adverse event.

If a clinically significant vital sign abnormality is a sign of a disease or syndrome (e.g., high blood pressure), only the diagnosis (i.e., hypertension) should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF.

Observations of the same clinically significant vital sign abnormality from visit to visit should not be repeatedly recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF, unless the etiology

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 107/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

changes. The initial severity of the event should be recorded, and the severity or seriousness should be updated any time the event worsens.

5.3.5.7 Abnormal Liver Function Tests

The finding of an elevated ALT or AST ($>3 \times$ baseline value) in combination with either an elevated total bilirubin ($>2 \times$ ULN) or clinical jaundice in the absence of cholestasis or other causes of hyperbilirubinemia is considered to be an indicator of severe liver injury (as defined by Hy's law). Therefore, investigators must report as an adverse event the occurrence of either of the following:

- Treatment-emergent ALT or AST > 3 × baseline value in combination with total bilirubin > 2 × ULN (of which ≥ 35% is direct bilirubin)
- Treatment-emergent ALT or AST > 3 x baseline value in combination with clinical jaundice

The most appropriate diagnosis or (if a diagnosis cannot be established) the abnormal laboratory values should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF (see Section 5.3.5.1) and reported to the Sponsor immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event), either as a serious adverse event or a non-serious adverse event of special interest (see Section 5.4.2).

5.3.5.8 Deaths

For this protocol, mortality is an efficacy endpoint. Deaths that occur during the protocol specified adverse event reporting period (see Section 5.3.1) that are attributed by the investigator solely to disease recurrence or from confirmation of a new primary NSCLC should be recorded only on the Study Completion/Early Discontinuation eCRF. All other on study deaths, regardless of relationship to study treatment, must be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF and immediately reported to the Sponsor (see Section 5.4.2).

Death should be considered an outcome and not a distinct event. The event or condition that caused or contributed to the fatal outcome should be recorded as the single medical concept on the Adverse Event eCRF. Generally, only one such event should be reported. If the cause of death is unknown and cannot be ascertained at the time of reporting, "unexplained death" should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF. If the cause of death later becomes available (e.g., after autopsy), "unexplained death" should be replaced by the established cause of death. The term "sudden death" should not be used unless combined with the presumed cause of death (e.g., "sudden cardiac death").

During post-study treatment survival follow-up, deaths attributed to disease recurrence of NSCLC or from confirmation of a new primary NSCLC should be recorded only on the Study Completion/Early Discontinuation eCRF.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 108/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

J Immunother Cancer

5.3.5.9 Preexisting Medical Conditions

A preexisting medical condition is one that is present at the screening visit for this study. Such conditions should be recorded on the General Medical History and Baseline Conditions eCRF.

A preexisting medical condition should be recorded as an adverse event <u>only</u> if the frequency, severity, or character of the condition worsens during the study. When recording such events on the Adverse Event eCRF, it is important to convey the concept that the preexisting condition has changed by including applicable descriptors (e.g., "more frequent headaches").

5.3.5.10 Lack of Efficacy or NSCLC Recurrence

Events that are clearly consistent with the expected pattern of disease recurrence should **not** be recorded as adverse events. These data will be captured as efficacy assessment data only. The expected pattern of disease recurrence will be based on determination by the investigator after an integrated assessment of radiographic data, biopsy sample results (if available), and clinical status. Every effort should be made to document disease recurrence with use of objective criteria. If there is any uncertainty as to whether an event is due to disease recurrence, it should be reported as an adverse event.

Recurrence of disease should not be recorded as an adverse event or serious adverse event, since recurrence of disease will be captured as an efficacy endpoint. However in situations in which there is no confirmation, the underlying symptoms should be captured as adverse events and assessed accordingly for seriousness, severity, and causality until a diagnosis or cause for such events is established or until confirmation of NSCLC recurrence. If the symptoms are later confirmed to be due to recurrence of disease, then symptoms reported as adverse events should be retracted. Data for disease recurrence will be captured as efficacy assessment data only.

5.3.5.11 Hospitalization or Prolonged Hospitalization

Any adverse event that results in hospitalization (i.e., in-patient admission to a hospital) or prolonged hospitalization should be documented and reported as a serious adverse event (per the definition of serious adverse event in Section 5.2.2), except as outlined below.

An event that leads to hospitalization under the following circumstances should not be reported as an adverse event or a serious adverse event:

- Hospitalization for respite care
- Planned hospitalization required by the protocol (e.g., for study treatment administration or to perform an efficacy measurement for the study)

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 109/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

 Hospitalization for a preexisting condition, provided that the following criteria are met:

The hospitalization was planned prior to the study or was scheduled during the study when elective surgery became necessary because of the expected normal progression of the disease.

The patient has not suffered an adverse event.

Hospitalization due solely to disease recurrence of the underlying cancer

An event that leads to hospitalization under the following circumstances is not considered to be a serious adverse event, but should be reported as an adverse event instead:

 Hospitalization for an adverse event that would ordinarily have been treated in an outpatient setting had an outpatient clinic been available

5.3.5.12 Adverse Events Associated with an Overdose

Study treatment overdose is the accidental or intentional use of the drug in an amount higher than the dose being studied. An overdose or incorrect administration of study treatment is not an adverse event unless it results in untoward medical effects.

Any study treatment overdose or incorrect administration of study treatment should be noted on the Study Drug Administration eCRF.

All adverse events associated with an overdose or incorrect administration of study treatment should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF. If the associated adverse event fulfills serious criteria, the event should be reported to the Sponsor immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event; see Section 5.4.2).

5.4 IMMEDIATE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FROM INVESTIGATOR TO SPONSOR

Certain events require immediate reporting to allow the Sponsor to take appropriate measures to address potential new risks in a clinical study. The investigator must report such events to the Sponsor immediately; under no circumstances should reporting take place more than 24 hours after the investigator learns of the event. The following is a list of events that the investigator must report to the Sponsor within 24 hours after learning of the event, regardless of relationship to study treatment:

- Serious adverse events (see Section 5.4.2 for further details)
- Non-serious adverse events of special interest (see Section 5.4.2 for further details)
- Pregnancies (see Section 5.4.2 for further details)

The investigator must report new significant follow-up information for these events to the Sponsor immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after becoming aware of the information). New significant information includes the following:

New signs or symptoms or a change in the diagnosis

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 110/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- Significant new diagnostic test results
- Change in causality based on new information
- Change in the event's outcome, including recovery
- Additional narrative information on the clinical course of the event

Investigators must also comply with local requirements for reporting serious adverse events to the local health authority and IRB/EC.

5.4.1 Emergency Medical Contacts

5.4.1.1 Medical Monitor (Roche Medical Responsible) Contact Information

Medical Monitor: , M.D.

E-Mail:

Mobile Telephone No.:

Backup Medical Monitor: , MSHS

E-mail:

Mobile Telephone:

To ensure the safety of study patients, an Emergency Medical Call Center Help Desk will access the Roche Medical Emergency List, escalate emergency medical calls, provide medical translation service (if necessary), connect the investigator with a Roche Medical Responsible (listed above and/or on the Roche Medical Emergency List), and track all calls. The Emergency Medical Call Center Help Desk will be available 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. Toll-free numbers for the Help Desk, as well as Medical Monitor Responsible contact information will be distributed to all investigators.

5.4.2 Reporting Requirements for Serious Adverse Events and Non-Serious Adverse Events of Special Interest

5.4.2.1 Events That Occur Prior to Study Treatment Initiation

After informed consent has been obtained, but prior to initiation of study treatment, only serious adverse caused by a protocol-mandated intervention should be reported. The Serious Adverse Event/Adverse Event of Special Interest Reporting Form provided to investigators should be completed and submitted to Roche or its designee immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event), either by faxing or by scanning and emailing the form with use of the fax number or email address provided to investigators.

5.4.2.2 Events That Occur After Study Treatment Initiation

After initiation of study treatment, during both the enrollment phase (cisplatin-based chemotherapy) and randomization phase (atezolizumab or BSC), all serious adverse events and adverse events of special interest will be recorded during the study and for 90 days after the last dose of study treatment (last study assessment for patients in Arm B) or initiation of new anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first. All other adverse

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 111/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

events will be recorded during the study and for 30 days after the last dose of study treatment (last study assessment for patients randomized to Arm B) or until the initiation of another anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first. Investigators should record all case details that can be gathered immediately (i.e., within 24 hours after learning of the event) on the Adverse Event eCRF and submit the report via the electronic data capture (EDC) system. A report will be generated and sent to Roche Safety Risk Management by the EDC system.

In the event that the EDC system is unavailable, the Serious Adverse Event/Adverse Event of Special Interest Reporting Form provided to investigators should be completed and submitted to Roche or its designee immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event), either by faxing or by scanning and emailing the form with use of the fax number or email address provided to investigators. Once the EDC system is available, all information will need to be entered and submitted via the EDC system.

Instructions for reporting post-study adverse events are provided in Section 5.6.

5.4.3 Reporting Requirements for Pregnancies

5.4.3.1 Pregnancies in Female Patients

Female patients of childbearing potential will be instructed to immediately inform the investigator if they become pregnant during the study or within 5 months after the last dose of atezolizumab or 6 months after the last dose of cisplatin-based chemotherapy (cisplatin plus vinorelbine, docetaxel, gemcitabine, or pemetrexed). A Clinical Trial Pregnancy Reporting Form should be completed and submitted by the investigator immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the pregnancy), either by faxing or by scanning and emailing the form with use of the fax number or email address provided to investigators. Pregnancy should not be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF. The investigator should discontinue study treatment and counsel the patient, discussing the risks of the pregnancy and the possible effects on the fetus. Monitoring of the patient should continue until the conclusion of the pregnancy. Any serious adverse events associated with the pregnancy (e.g., an event in the fetus, an event in the mother during or after the pregnancy, or a congenital anomaly/birth defect in the child) should be reported on the Adverse Event eCRF. In addition, the investigator will submit a Clinical Trial Pregnancy Reporting Form when updated information on the course and outcome of the pregnancy becomes available.

5.4.3.2 Pregnancies in Female Partners of Male Patients

Male patients will be instructed through the Informed Consent Form to immediately inform the investigator if their partner becomes pregnant during the study or within 6 months after the last dose of cisplatin-based chemotherapy (cisplatin plus vinorelbine, docetaxel, gemcitabine, or pemetrexed). A Clinical Trial Pregnancy Reporting Form should be completed and submitted to the Sponsor or its designee immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the pregnancy), either by faxing or by scanning and emailing the form using the fax number or email address provided to investigators.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 112/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Attempts should be made to collect and report details of the course and outcome of any pregnancy in the partner of a male patient exposed to cisplatin-based chemotherapy. When permitted by the site, the pregnant partner would need to sign an Authorization for Use and Disclosure of Pregnancy Health Information to allow for follow-up on her pregnancy. If the authorization has been signed, the investigator should submit a Clinical Trial Pregnancy Reporting Form when updated information on the course and outcome of the pregnancy becomes available. An investigator who is contacted by the male patient or his pregnant partner may provide information on the risks of the pregnancy and the possible effects on the fetus, to support an informed decision in cooperation with the treating physician and/or obstetrician.

5.4.3.3 Abortions

A spontaneous abortion should be classified as a serious adverse event (because the Sponsor considers abortions to be medically significant events), recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF, and reported to the Sponsor immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event; see Section 5.4.2).

If a therapeutic or elective abortion was performed because of an underlying maternal or embryofetal toxicity, the toxicity should be classified as a serious adverse event, recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF, and reported to the Sponsor immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event; see Section 5.4.2). A therapeutic or elective abortion performed for reasons other than an underlying maternal or embryofetal toxicity is not considered an adverse event.

All abortions should be reported as pregnancy outcomes on the paper Clinical Trial Pregnancy Reporting Form.

5.4.3.4 Congenital Anomalies/Birth Defects

Any congenital anomaly/birth defect in a child born to a female patient or female partner of a male patient exposed to study treatment should be classified as a serious adverse event, recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF and reported to the Sponsor immediately (i.e., no more than 24 hours after learning of the event; see Section 5.4.2).

5.5 FOLLOW-UP OF PATIENTS AFTER ADVERSE EVENTS

5.5.1 Investigator Follow-Up

The investigator should follow each adverse event until the event has resolved to baseline grade or better, the event is assessed as stable by the investigator, the patient is lost to follow-up, or the patient withdraws consent. Every effort should be made to follow all serious adverse events considered related to study treatment or study-related procedures until a final outcome can be reported.

During the study period, resolution of adverse events (with dates) should be documented on the Adverse Event eCRF and in the patient's medical record to facilitate source data

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 113/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

verification. If, after follow-up, return to baseline status or stabilization cannot be established, an explanation should be recorded on the Adverse Event eCRF.

All pregnancies reported during the study should be followed until pregnancy outcome.

5.5.2 Sponsor Follow-Up

For serious adverse events, non-serious adverse events of special interest, and pregnancies, the Sponsor or a designee may follow up by telephone, fax, electronic mail, and/or a monitoring visit to obtain additional case details and outcome information (e.g., from hospital discharge summaries, consultant reports, autopsy reports) in order to perform an independent medical assessment of the reported case.

5.6 POST-STUDY ADVERSE EVENTS

At the treatment discontinuation visit, the investigator should instruct each patient to report to the investigator any subsequent adverse events that the patient's personal physician believes could be related to prior study treatment or study procedures.

The Sponsor should be notified if the investigator becomes aware of any adverse event that occurs after the end of the adverse event reporting period (defined as 90 days for serious adverse events and adverse events of special or 30 days for all other adverse events). Investigators are instructed to report all serious adverse events and adverse events of special interest considered to be related to study treatment regardless of the time after study. The Sponsor should also be notified if the investigator becomes aware of the development of cancer or a congenital anomaly/birth defect in a subsequently conceived offspring of a patient that participated in this study. These events should be reported through use of the Adverse Event eCRF. However, if the EDC system is not available, the investigator should report these events directly to the Sponsor or its designee, either by faxing or by scanning and emailing the Serious Adverse Event/Adverse Event of Special Interest Reporting Form with use of the fax number or email address provided to investigators.

During survival follow-up, deaths attributed to disease recurrence of NSCLC or confirmation of new primary NSCLC should be recorded only on the Study Completion/Early Discontinuation eCRF.

5.7 EXPEDITED REPORTING TO HEALTH AUTHORITIES, INVESTIGATORS, INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARDS, AND ETHICS COMMITTEES

The Sponsor will promptly evaluate all serious adverse events and non-serious adverse events of special interest against cumulative product experience to identify and expeditiously communicate possible new safety findings to investigators, IRBs, ECs, and applicable health authorities based on applicable legislation.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 114/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

To determine reporting requirements for single adverse event cases, the Sponsor will assess the expectedness of these events with use of the Atezolizumab Investigator's Brochure as a reference.

The Sponsor will compare the severity of each event and the cumulative event frequency reported for the study with the severity and frequency reported in the applicable reference document.

Reporting requirements will also be based on the investigator's assessment of causality and seriousness, with allowance for upgrading by the Sponsor as needed.

An external iDMC will monitor the incidence of these expected events during the study. An aggregate report of any clinically relevant imbalances that do not favor the test product will be submitted to health authorities.

6. STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND ANALYSIS PLAN

Efficacy analyses will be performed on randomized patients within one or more populations, including PD-L1 subpopulations of patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC, all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC, and the ITT population, with patients grouped according to the treatment assigned at randomization, regardless of whether they received any assigned study treatment.

Safety analyses will be performed on all randomized patients who received any amount of study treatment, with patients allocated by whether any amount of atezolizumab treatment was received.

6.1 DETERMINATION OF SAMPLE SIZE


Approximately 1280 patients are expected to be accrued *during the enrollment phase*. With an approximate 21% dropout rate during adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy, approximately 1005 patients will enter the randomization phase, including approximately 882 patients in the Stage II-IIIA population, and within Stage II-IIIA NSCLC patients, approximately 474 patients in the PD-L1 subpopulation ($\geq 1\%$ TC expression) defined by the SP263 IHC assay.

Emerging data from atezolizumab first-line NSCLC Phase III Study GO29431 (IMpower110; Herbst et al. 2019; Spigel et al. 2019) have observed clinical benefit with atezolizumab monotherapy in PD-L1 TC-defined subgroups. The TC-based assay SP263 appeared to capture a broader patient population with similar efficacy as compared to SP142. These findings are consistent with results observed in other PD-L1/PD-1 studies. With these data external to Study GO29431 and evolving biomarker landscape, the primary analysis of DFS in the PD-L1 subgroups (TC2/3 or IC2/3, TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3) defined by SP142 will be replaced with DFS in the PD-L1 subgroup (≥1% TC expression) defined by SP263 (see Figure 2).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 115/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

The overall type I error rate will be controlled for the one-sided test at 0.025. The overview of the alpha control is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2 Overview of the Alpha Control (One-Sided)



DFS=disease-free survival; ITT=intent to treat; OS=overall survival; TC=tumor cells.

The estimates of the number of events required to demonstrate efficacy with regard to DFS are based on the following assumptions:

- 1:1 randomization ratio
- One-sided significance level of 0.025 in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 TC ≥1% within the Stage II-IIIA population, the randomized Stage II-IIIA population, and the ITT population.
- For Stage II–IIIA:

89.8% power to detect an HR of 0.65, corresponding to an improvement in median DFS from 34 months to 52 months in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 $TC \ge 1\%$ within the Stage II–IIIA population

90.7% power to detect an HR of 0.73, corresponding to an improvement in median DFS from 34 months to 46.6 months in the all-randomized Stage II–IIIA population

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 116/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- For Stage IB–IIIA:
 - 76.4% power to detect an HR of 0.78, corresponding to an improvement in median DFS from 38 months to 48.7 months in the ITT population
- One DFS interim analysis to be performed when approximately 80% of the total DFS events in the primary efficacy analysis populations required for the primary analysis have occurred. The *stopping boundaries for DFS interim and final analyses* will be determined based on the *Hwang-Shih-DeCani alpha spending function with the gamma parameter of -0.9 (Hwang et al. 1990; refer to Section 6.8.1* for details of the planned DFS interim analysis).
- Dropout rate of 5% per 24 months

The estimates of the number of events required to demonstrate efficacy with regard to OS are based on the following assumptions:

- 1:1 randomization ratio
- One-sided significance level of 0.025 in the ITT population (i.e., Stage IB-IIIA)
- 77% power to detect an HR of 0.78, corresponding to an improvement in median OS from 66 months to 84.6 months in the ITT population
- Four interim OS analyses to be performed, one at the time of the DFS interim analysis, the second one at the time of DFS final analysis, and the other two when approximately 73% and 88% of the total OS events required for the final analysis have occurred, respectively. The stopping boundaries for OS interim and final analyses will be determined based on the alpha spending function with the cumulative one-sided alpha of 0.001, 0.012, 0.022, 0.024, and 0.025 in the order of analyses (DeMets and Lan 1994; refer to Section 6.8.2 for details of the planned OS interim analyses).
- Dropout rate of 5% per 36 months

With these assumptions, the DFS final analysis will be conducted when approximately 237 DFS events in the PD-L1 subpopulation (defined by SP263 $TC \ge 1\%$) within the Stage II–IIIA population have been observed. This is expected to occur approximately 68 months after the first patient is randomized. This number of events corresponds to a minimum detectable difference in HR of approximately 0. 758 in the PD-L1 subpopulation within the Stage II-IIIA population.

Given the sample size of 1005, the final OS analysis will be conducted when approximately 564 OS events in the all randomized Stage IB–IIIA population have occurred, which is expected at approximately 121 months after the first patient is randomized.

6.2 SUMMARIES OF CONDUCT OF STUDY

Study enrollment, study treatment administration, reasons for discontinuation from study treatment, and reasons for study termination will be summarized for patients who are enrolled but not randomized and for *randomized patients in* the *PD-L1* subpopulation

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 117/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

(defined by SP263 TC≥1%) within the Stage II–IIIA population, the Stage II–IIIA population, and the ITT population by treatment arm (depending on the results of the primary endpoint analyses). Major protocol deviations, including major deviations of inclusion/exclusion criteria, will be reported and summarized by treatment arm for the ITT population.

6.3 SUMMARIES OF TREATMENT GROUP COMPARABILITY

Demographic characteristics, such as age, sex, race/ethnicity, baseline disease characteristics (e.g., ECOG performance status), and cisplatin-based regimen, will be summarized by treatment arm. Descriptive statistics (mean, median, standard deviation, and range) will be presented for continuous data, and frequencies and percentages will be presented for categorical data.

Baseline measurements are the last available data obtained prior to the patient receiving the first dose of atezolizumab or BSC in the randomized phase, *unless otherwise noted*.

6.4 EFFICACY ANALYSES

To manage the small strata size with the consideration of prognostic significance, stratified analyses for DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 TC≥1%, in all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC, and stratified analyses for DFS in all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC will use the following stratification factors at randomization: Stage (II vs. IIIA), sex (female vs. male), and histology (squamous vs. non-squamous); stratified analyses for DFS in the ITT population will use the following stratification factors at randomization: Stage ([IB and II combined] vs. IIIA), sex (female vs. male), histology (squamous vs. non-squamous), and SP142 PD-L1 tumor expression status by SP142 IHC assay ([TC2/3 and any IC, TC0/1 and IC2/3 combined] vs. [TC0/1 and IC0/1]). Stratified analyses of DFS in other PD-L1 subpopulations (e.g., SP263 TC≥50% in all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC) will use the same set of stratification factors used for the stratified analyses of DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 TC≥1% in all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC. The set of stratification factors used in the stratified analyses of DFS for a specific analysis population (e.g., the ITT population) will be applied to all other efficacy endpoints where stratified analyses are planned for the same analysis population.

6.4.1 Primary Efficacy Endpoint

The primary efficacy endpoint is duration of DFS as assessed by the investigator. DFS is defined as the time from the date of randomization to the date of occurrence of <u>any</u> of the following: first documented recurrence of disease, new primary NSCLC or death due to any cause, whichever occurs first. Data for patients who are not reported as experiencing disease recurrence, a new primary NSCLC, or death will be censored at the date of the last tumor assessment. If no post-baseline data are available, DFS will be censored at the date of randomization.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 118/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

To control the overall level of significance at a one-sided error of 0.025, comparisons with respect to DFS between the treatment and control arm *for* the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 TC≥1% within the Stage II–IIIA population, all-randomized Stage II–IIIA population, and the ITT population, will be conducted hierarchically as described in Figure 2.

The null and alternative hypotheses regarding DFS in each population can be phrased in terms of the DFS survival functions $S_A(t)$ in the atezolizumab arm (Arm A) and $S_B(t)$ in the control arm (Arm B), respectively:

$$H_0$$
: $S_A(t) = S_B(t)$ versus H_1 : $S_A(t) > S_B(t)$

The HR will be estimated with use of a stratified Cox regression model, including two-sided 95% CIs. The *stratification factors used for the analysis are described in Section 6.4. The* unstratified HR will also be presented. Kaplan-Meier methodology will be used to estimate the median DFS for each treatment arm and the Kaplan-Meier curve will be constructed to provide a visual description of the difference between the treatment and control arms. Brookmeyer-Crowley methodology will be used to construct the two-sided 95% CI for the median DFS for each treatment arm (Brookmeyer and Crowley 1982).

Analyses at landmark timepoints (Section 6.7.1) and subgroup analyses (Section 6.7.1) will be performed for the DFS endpoint described above.

6.4.2 <u>Secondary Efficacy Endpoints</u>

6.4.2.1 Overall Survival Analysis

OS is defined as the time from the date of randomization to death due to any cause. Data for patients who are not reported as having died at the date of analysis will be censored at the date when they were last known to be alive. If no post-baseline data are available, OS will be censored at the date of randomization.

The methodology (as described in Section 6.4.1) used for DFS will be applied for OS. An overview of the OS testing schema is shown in Figure 2.

6.4.2.2 Disease-Free Survival 3-Year and 5-Year Landmark Analysis

The DFS rate at 3 years and at 5 years *will be analyzed for* the PD-L1 *subpopulation* (defined by *SP263 TC≥1% in all randomized patients with Stage II-IIIA NSCLC, the all-randomized Stage II-IIIA population,* and the ITT population. *These DFS rates* will be estimated by the Kaplan-Meier methodology for each treatment arm, with two-sided 95% CIs calculated using Greenwood's formula.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 119/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

6.4.2.3 Disease-Free Survival Analysis in Additional PD-L1 Subpopulation Defined by the Anti-PD-L1 (SP263) IHC Assay

DFS in the PD-L1 subpopulation, defined by SP263 $TC \ge 50\%$ in all randomized patients with Stage II–IIIA NSCLC, will be analyzed by using the same methodology in Section 6.4.1.

6.5 SAFETY ANALYSES

Safety analyses will be performed on the safety evaluable population, defined as all randomized patients who received any amount of the study drug, with patients allocated according to whether or not any amount of atezolizumab was received.

Study drug exposure will be summarized to include treatment duration, number of doses, and dose intensity for each treatment arm using descriptive statistics.

Verbatim description of adverse events will be mapped to thesaurus terms and graded according to NCI CTCAE v4.0. All adverse events that occur during or after the first study drug dose will be summarized by treatment arm and NCI CTCAE grade. In addition, serious adverse events, severe adverse events (Grades 3, 4, or 5), adverse events of special interest, and adverse events leading to study drug discontinuation or interruption will be summarized accordingly. Multiple occurrences of the same event will be counted once at the maximum severity.

Summaries of treatment-related serious adverse events, adverse events of special interest, and all listings of adverse events will include all events that occur during or after the first study drug treatment. Safety summaries of all other adverse events will include treatment-emergent adverse events until patients receive another anti-cancer therapy.

Laboratory data with values outside the normal ranges will be identified. In addition, selected laboratory data will be summarized by treatment arm and grade. Changes in vital signs will be summarized by treatment arm.

Serum levels and incidences of ATA against atezolizumab will be summarized to explore the potential relationship of the immunogenicity response with pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy. ATA results will be summarized and listed by patient and cycle.

Deaths reported during the study treatment period and those reported during the follow-up period after treatment completion/discontinuation will be summarized by treatment arm.

6.6 PHARMACOKINETIC ANALYSES

PK and pharmacodynamic samples will be collected in this study as outlined in Appendix 2.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 120/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Atezolizumab serum concentration data (C_{min} and C_{max}) will be tabulated and summarized. Descriptive statistics will include means, medians, ranges, and standard deviations as appropriate.

Additional PK and pharmacodynamic analysis will be conducted based on the availability of data.

6.7 EXPLORATORY ANALYSES

6.7.1 <u>Exploratory Analyses of Disease-Free Survival and Overall Survival</u>

Unless otherwise indicated, the exploratory efficacy endpoints will be analyzed in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 TC≥1% within the Stage II–IIIA patients, all randomized Stage II–IIIA patients, and/or the ITT population (depending on the results of the primary endpoint analyses).

DFS and OS Rate at Landmark Timepoints. In addition to DFS 3-year and 5-year survival rates as secondary endpoints, the DFS and OS rate at various other timepoints (every 1 year from randomization) will be estimated with use of Kaplan-Meier methodology for each treatment arm, along with two-sided 95% CIs calculated with use of Greenwood's formula for exploratory purposes.

Subgroup Analysis. The effects of demographics (e.g., age, sex, and race/ethnicity) and baseline prognostic characteristics (e.g., tumor stage, PD-L1 expression level, chemotherapy *regimen before randomization*, histology, smoking history, and ECOG performance status) on duration of DFS and OS will be examined. Summaries of DFS and OS, including un-stratified HRs estimated from Cox proportional hazards models and Kaplan-Meier estimates of median survival time will be produced separately for each level of the categorical variables.

Sensitivity Analyses. The impact of loss to follow-up on DFS will be assessed depending on the number of patients who are lost to follow-up. If more than 5% of patients are lost to follow-up for DFS in either treatment arm, a sensitivity analysis ("worse-case" analysis) will be performed in which patients who are lost to follow-up will be considered to have recurrent disease at the date of the last tumor assessment.

To evaluate the impact of missed visits, sensitivity analyses with a different censoring rule will be performed for the primary endpoint of DFS. Data for patients with a DFS event who missed two or more scheduled assessments immediately prior to the DFS event will be censored at the last date with adequate radiologic assessment prior to the missed visits.

DFS Analyses in Other PD-L1 Subpopulations. DFS in other PD-L1 subpopulations will be analyzed by using the same methodology in Section 6.4.1. These PD-L1 subpopulations include TC3 or IC3, TC2/3 or IC2/3, TC1/2/3 or IC1/2/3

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 121/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

subpopulations defined by PD-L1 SP142 IHC in both the Stage II–IIIA and the ITT populations; the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by 22C3 TPS \geq 1% and TPS \geq 50% in both the Stage II–IIIA and the ITT populations; and the PD-L1 subpopulations defined by SP263 TC \geq 1% and TC \geq 50% in the ITT population.

6.7.2 <u>Exploratory Analyses of Biomarkers</u>

Exploratory biomarker analyses will be performed in an effort to understand the association of these markers with disease status and/or study drug response, including efficacy and/or adverse events. The biomarkers include but are not limited to ctDNA and PD-L1 and CD8, as defined by IHC, qRT-PCR, or other methods.

6.8 INTERIM ANALYSES

6.8.1 Planned Interim Analysis for Disease-Free Survival

An external iDMC will evaluate safety data on an ongoing basis and *will* also *review* the interim *analysis* of DFS data. All summaries and analyses by treatment arm for the iDMC's review will be prepared by an external independent data coordinating center (iDCC). Members of the iDMC will be external to the Sponsor and will follow a charter that outlines their roles and responsibilities. Any outcomes of these safety reviews that affect study conduct will be communicated in a timely manner to the investigators for notification of the IRBs/ECs. A detailed plan will be included in the iDMC Charter.

There will be one planned interim analysis for DFS in the study. To ensure the study continues to meet the highest standards of integrity, the interim analysis of DFS will be conducted by an iDCC and reviewed by the iDMC. Interactions between the iDMC and Sponsor will be carried out as specified in the iDMC Charter.

This DFS interim analysis will be conducted when approximately 80% of the information has been observed in the PD-L1 subpopulation defined by SP263 $TC \ge 1\%$ within the Stage II–IIIA population (i.e., at the date when approximately 190 DFS events occur in the PD-L1 subpopulation within the Stage II-IIIA population). This is expected to occur approximately 56 months after the first patient is randomized; however, the exact timing of this analysis will depend on the actual number and the timing of DFS events.

The final DFS analysis will be conducted at the date when approximately 237 DFS events occur in the PD-L1 subpopulation within the Stage II–IIA population. This is expected to occur approximately $68 \ months$ after the first patient is randomized; however, the exact timing of this analysis will depend on the actual number and timing of DFS events.

To control type I error for DFS at one-sided alpha of 0.025, the stopping boundaries for DFS interim and final analysis are to be computed with use of the Hwang-Shih-DeCani alpha spending function with the gamma parameter of -0.9 as shown in Table 26.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 122/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 26 Analysis Timing and Stopping Boundaries for Disease-Free Survival

		Stopping Boundary (one-sided p-value)			
Type of Analysis	Planned Information Fraction	Stage II–IIIA NSCLC $PD-L1$ subpopulation with $SP263\ TC \ge 1\%$	Stage IB-IIIA NSCLC All Randomized		
DFS interim analysis	80%	$HR \le 0.738$ (p ≤ 0.0181)	$HR \le 0.803$ (p ≤ 0.0181)	HR≤0.810 (p≤0.0181)	
DFS final analysis	100%	$HR \le 0.758$ (p ≤ 0.0167)	$HR \le 0.820$ (p ≤ 0.0167)	$HR \le 0.825$ (p ≤ 0.0167)	

HR = hazard ratio; NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer; DFS = disease-free survival.

6.8.2 Planned Interim Analyses for Overall Survival

Four interim efficacy analyses of OS are planned. The first OS interim analysis will be conducted at the time of the DFS interim analysis (if DFS is positive as per Figure 2). It is projected that approximately 254 OS events in the ITT population (i.e., approximately 45% of the information) will have been observed at the DFS interim analysis, but the exact timing of this analysis may depend on the actual number and timing of DFS events.

The second interim OS analysis will be conducted at the time of the final DFS analysis. It is projected that approximately 333 OS events in *the ITT population* (i.e., approximately 59% of the information) will have been observed at the final DFS analysis, but the exact timing of this analysis may depend on the actual number and timing of DFS events.

The third interim OS analysis will be conducted at the date when approximately 73% of the information has been observed in the ITT population (i.e., at the date when approximately 412 OS events occur for *the ITT population*). This is expected to occur approximately 83 months after the first patient is randomized, but the exact timing of this analysis *may* depend on the actual number of OS events.

The fourth interim OS analysis will be conducted at the date when approximately 88% of the information has been observed in ITT population (i.e., at the date when approximately 497 OS events occur for *the ITT population*. This is expected to occur approximately 102 months after the first patient is randomized, but the exact timing of this analysis *may* depend on the actual number and timing of OS events.

The final OS analysis will be conducted at the date of when approximately *564* OS events have occurred in *the ITT population*. This is expected to occur approximately

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 123/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

 $121 \ months$ after the first patient is randomized, but the exact timing of this analysis may depend on the actual number and timing of OS events.

The stopping boundaries for the interim and final OS analyses are shown in Table 27. The p-value will be used to claim crossing of the boundaries.

Table 27 Stopping Boundaries for Overall Survival: Stage IB-IIIA NSCLC

	Analysis Timing	Planned Information	Stopping Boundary in HR (p-value) Stage IB-IIIA NSCLC
Type of Analysis	(Months from FPI)	Fraction (Number of Events)	All Randomized One-sided α =0.025
OS first interim analysis	56	45% (254)	HR≤0.678 (p≤0.0010)
OS second interim analysis	68	59% (333)	HR≤0.780 (p≤0.0119)
OS third interim analysis	83	73% (412)	HR≤0.813 (p≤0.0181)
OS fourth interim analysis	102	88% (497)	HR ≤0.809 (p ≤0.0093)
OS final analysis	121	100% (564)	HR≤0.811 (p≤0.0063)

FPI=first patient in; HR=hazard ratio; NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer; OS=overall survival.

7. <u>DATA COLLECTION AND MANAGEMENT</u>

7.1 DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE

The Sponsor will be responsible for data management of this study, including quality checking of the data. Data entered manually will be collected via EDC through use of eCRFs. Sites will be responsible for data entry into the EDC system. In the event of discrepant data, the Sponsor will request data clarification from the sites, which the sites will resolve electronically in the EDC system.

The Sponsor will produce an EDC Study Specification document that describes the quality checking to be performed on the data. Central laboratory data will be sent directly to the Sponsor, with use of the Sponsor's standard procedures to handle and process the electronic transfer of these data.

eCRFs and correction documentation will be maintained in the EDC system's audit trail. System backups for data stored by the Sponsor and records retention for the study data will be consistent with the Sponsor's standard procedures.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 124/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

7.2 ELECTRONIC CASE REPORT FORMS

eCRFs are to be completed through use of a Sponsor-designated EDC system. Sites will receive training and have access to a manual for appropriate eCRF completion. eCRFs will be submitted electronically to the Sponsor and should be handled in accordance with instructions from the Sponsor.

All eCRFs should be completed by designated, trained site staff. eCRFs should be reviewed and electronically signed and dated by the investigator or a designee.

At the end of the study, the investigator will receive patient data for his or her site in a readable format on a compact disc that must be kept with the study records. Acknowledgement of receipt of the compact disc is required.

7.3 SOURCE DATA DOCUMENTATION

Study monitors will perform ongoing source data verification to confirm that critical protocol data (i.e., source data) entered into the eCRFs by authorized site personnel are accurate, complete, and verifiable from source documents.

Source documents (paper or electronic) are those in which patient data are recorded and documented for the first time. They include but are not limited to hospital records, clinical and office charts, laboratory notes, memoranda, patient-reported outcomes, evaluation checklists, pharmacy dispensing records, recorded data from automated instruments, copies of transcriptions that are certified after verification as being accurate and complete, microfiche, photographic negatives, microfilm or magnetic media, X-rays, patient files, and records kept at pharmacies, laboratories, and medico-technical departments involved in a clinical study.

Before study initiation, the types of source documents that are to be generated will be clearly defined in the Trial Monitoring Plan. This includes any protocol data to be entered directly into the eCRFs (i.e., no prior written or electronic record of the data) and considered source data.

Source documents that are required to verify the validity and completeness of data entered into the eCRFs must not be obliterated or destroyed and must be retained per the policy for retention of records described in Section 7.5.

To facilitate source data verification, the investigators and institutions must provide the Sponsor direct access to applicable source documents and reports for study-related monitoring, Sponsor audits, and IRB/EC review. The study site must also allow inspection by applicable health authorities.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 125/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

7.4 USE OF COMPUTERIZED SYSTEMS

When clinical observations are entered directly into a study site's computerized medical record system (i.e., in lieu of original hardcopy records), the electronic record can serve as the source document if the system has been validated in accordance with health authority requirements pertaining to computerized systems used in clinical research. An acceptable computerized data collection system allows preservation of the original entry of data. If original data are modified, the system should maintain a viewable audit trail that shows the original data as well as the reason for the change, name of the person making the change, and date of the change.

7.5 RETENTION OF RECORDS

Records and documents pertaining to the conduct of this study and the distribution of IMP, including eCRFs, Informed Consent Forms, laboratory test results, and medication inventory records, must be retained by the Principal Investigator for 15 years after completion or discontinuation of the study, or for the length of time required by relevant national or local health authorities, whichever is longer. After that period of time, the documents may be destroyed, subject to local regulations.

No records may be disposed of without the written approval of the Sponsor. Written notification should be provided to the Sponsor prior to transferring any records to another party or moving them to another location.

Roche will retain study data for 25 years after the final Clinical Study Report has been completed or for the length of time required by relevant national or local health authorities, whichever is longer.

8. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

8.1 COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This study will be conducted in full conformance with the ICH E6 guideline for Good Clinical Practice and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, or the laws and regulations of the country in which the research is conducted, whichever affords the greater protection to the individual. The study will comply with the requirements of the ICH E2A guideline (Clinical Safety Data Management: Definitions and Standards for Expedited Reporting). Studies conducted in the United States or under a U.S. Investigational New Drug (IND) Application will comply with U.S. FDA regulations and applicable local, state, and federal laws. Studies conducted in the European Union or European Economic Area will comply with the E.U. Clinical Trial Directive (2001/20/EC).

8.2 INFORMED CONSENT

Informed consent for participation must be obtained before performing any study specific screening tests or evaluations. This study will use the paper consent process and the patient will provide a wet ink signature prior to participation at all sites. Consent must be

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 126/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

obtained per the consent process used at the investigational site. Records of Informed Consent for both enrolled patients and those who are not subsequently enrolled will be maintained at the study site. Copies of the study specific patient signed Informed Consent Forms will also be maintained at the site.

The Sponsor or its designee must review and approve any proposed deviations from the Sponsor's sample Informed Consent Forms or any alternate consent forms proposed by the site (collectively, the "Consent Forms") before IRB/EC submission. The final IRB/EC-approved Consent Forms must be provided to the Sponsor for health authority submission purposes according to local requirements.

The Informed Consent Form will contain a separate section that addresses the collection of optional samples and the use of remaining mandatory samples (whole blood and tissue) for optional exploratory research. The investigator or authorized designee will explain to each patient the objectives of the exploratory research. Patients will be told that they are free to refuse to participate and may withdraw their specimens at any time and for any reason during the storage period. A separate, specific signature will be required to document a patient's agreement to allow the collection of optional samples and to use any remaining specimens for exploratory research. Patients who decline to participate will not provide a separate signature.

The Consent Forms must be signed and dated by the patient or the patient's legally authorized representative before his or her participation in the study. The case history or clinical records for each patient shall document the informed consent process and that written informed consent was obtained prior to participation in the study.

The Consent Forms should be revised whenever there are changes to study procedures or when new information becomes available that may affect the willingness of the patient to participate. The final revised IRB/EC-approved Consent Forms must be provided to the Sponsor for health authority submission purposes.

Patients must be re-consented to the most current version of the Consent Forms (or to a significant new information/findings addendum in accordance with applicable laws and IRB/EC policy) during their participation in the study. For any updated or revised Consent Forms, the case history or clinical records for each patient shall document the informed consent process and that written informed consent was obtained with use of the updated/revised Consent Forms for continued participation in the study.

A copy of each signed Consent Form must be provided to the patient or the patient's legally authorized representative. All signed and dated Consent Forms must remain in each patient's study file or in the site file and must be available for verification by the study monitors at any time.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 127/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

For sites in the United States, each Consent Form may also include patient authorization to allow use and disclosure of personal health information in compliance with the U.S. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996. If the site utilizes a separate Authorization Form for patient authorization for use and disclosure of personal health information under the HIPAA regulations, the review, approval, and other processes outlined above apply except that IRB review and approval may not be required per study site policies.

8.3 INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD OR ETHICS COMMITTEE

This protocol, the Informed Consent Forms, any information to be given to the patient, and relevant supporting information must be submitted to the IRB/EC by the Principal Investigator and reviewed and approved by the IRB/EC before the study is initiated. In addition, any patient recruitment materials must be approved by the IRB/EC.

The Principal Investigator is responsible for providing written summaries of the status of the study to the IRB/EC annually or more frequently in accordance with the requirements, policies, and procedures established by the IRB/EC. Investigators are also responsible for promptly informing the IRB/EC of any protocol amendments (see Section 9.6).

In addition to the requirements for reporting all adverse events to the Sponsor, investigators must comply with requirements for reporting serious adverse events to the local health authority and IRB/EC. Investigators may receive written IND safety reports or other safety-related communications from the Sponsor. Investigators are responsible for ensuring that such reports are reviewed and processed in accordance with health authority requirements and the policies and procedures established by their IRB/EC, and archived in the site's study file.

8.4 CONFIDENTIALITY

The Sponsor maintains confidentiality standards by coding each patient enrolled in the study through assignment of a unique patient identification number. This means that patient names are not included in data sets that are transmitted to any Sponsor location.

Patient medical information obtained by this study is confidential and may be disclosed to third parties only as permitted by the Informed Consent Form (or separate authorization for use and disclosure of personal health information) signed by the patient, unless permitted or required by law.

Medical information may be given to a patient's personal physician or other appropriate medical personnel responsible for the patient's welfare, for treatment purposes.

Data generated by this study must be available for inspection upon request by representatives of the U.S. FDA and other national and local health authorities, Sponsor monitors, representatives, and collaborators, and the IRB/EC for each study site, as appropriate.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 128/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

8.5 FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Investigators will provide the Sponsor with sufficient, accurate financial information in accordance with local regulations to allow the Sponsor to submit complete and accurate financial certification or disclosure statements to the appropriate health authorities. Investigators are responsible for providing information on financial interests during the course of the study and for 1 year after the last patient has completed the study.

9. <u>STUDY DOCUMENTATION, MONITORING, AND ADMINISTRATION</u>

9.1 STUDY DOCUMENTATION

The investigator must maintain adequate and accurate records to enable the conduct of the study to be fully documented, including but not limited to the protocol, protocol amendments, Informed Consent Forms, and documentation of IRB/EC and governmental approval. In addition, at the end of the study, the investigator will receive the patient data, including an audit trail containing a complete record of all changes to data.

9.2 PROTOCOL DEVIATIONS

The investigator should document and explain any protocol deviations. The investigator should promptly report any deviations that might have an impact on patient safety and data integrity to the Sponsor and to the IRB/EC in accordance with established IRB/EC policies and procedures. The Sponsor will review all protocol deviations and assess whether any represent a serious breach of Good Clinical Practice guidelines and require reporting to health authorities. As per the Sponsor's standard operating procedures, prospective requests to deviate from the protocol, including requests to waive protocol eligibility criteria, are not allowed.

9.3 SITE INSPECTIONS

Site visits will be conducted by the Sponsor or an authorized representative for inspection of study data, patients' medical records, and eCRFs. The investigator will permit national and local health authorities, Sponsor monitors, representatives, and collaborators, and the IRBs/ECs to inspect facilities and records relevant to this study.

9.4 ADMINISTRATIVE STRUCTURE

This study will be sponsored by Roche and managed by a contract research organization. Approximately 300 sites globally will participate in the study and approximately 1280 patients will be enrolled. Randomization will occur through an IxRS. Central facilities will be used for study assessments throughout the study (e.g., specified laboratory tests, and PK analyses). Accredited local laboratories will be used for routine monitoring; local laboratory ranges will be collected.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 129/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

9.5 PUBLICATION OF DATA AND PROTECTION OF TRADE SECRETS

Regardless of the outcome of a study, the Sponsor is dedicated to openly providing information on the study to healthcare professionals and to the public, both at scientific congresses and in peer-reviewed journals. The Sponsor will comply with all requirements for publication of study results. For more information, refer to the Roche Global Policy on Sharing of Clinical Trials Data at the following website:

 $http://www.roche.com/roche_global_policy_on_sharing_of_clinical_study_information.pdf$

The results of this study may be published or presented at scientific congresses. For all clinical studies in patients involving an IMP for which a marketing authorization application has been filed or approved in any country, the Sponsor aims to submit a journal manuscript reporting primary clinical study results within 6 months after the availability of the respective clinical study report. In addition, for all clinical studies in patients involving an IMP for which a marketing authorization application has been filed or approved in any country, the Sponsor aims to publish results from analyses of additional endpoints and exploratory data that are clinically meaningful and statistically sound.

The investigator must agree to submit all manuscripts or abstracts to the Sponsor prior to submission for publication or presentation. This allows the Sponsor to protect proprietary information and to provide comments based on information from other studies that may not yet be available to the investigator.

In accordance with standard editorial and ethical practice, the Sponsor will generally support publication of multicenter studies only in their entirety and not as individual center data. In this case, a coordinating investigator will be designated by mutual agreement.

Authorship will be determined by mutual agreement and in line with International Committee of Medical Journal Editors authorship requirements. Any formal publication of the study in which contribution of Sponsor personnel exceeded that of conventional monitoring will be considered as a joint publication by the investigator and the appropriate Sponsor personnel.

Any inventions and resulting patents, improvements, and/or know-how originating from the use of data from this study will become and remain the exclusive and unburdened property of the Sponsor, except where agreed otherwise.

9.6 PROTOCOL AMENDMENTS

Any protocol amendments will be prepared by the Sponsor. Protocol amendments will be submitted to the IRB/EC and to regulatory authorities in accordance with local regulatory requirements.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 130/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Approval must be obtained from the IRB/EC and regulatory authorities (as locally required) before implementation of any changes, except for changes necessary to eliminate an immediate hazard to patients or changes that involve logistical or administrative aspects only (e.g., change in Medical Monitor or contact information).

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 131/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

9.7 REFERENCES

- Aapro MS, Bohlius J, Cameron DA, et al. 2010 update of EORTC guidelines for the use of granulocyte-colony stimulating factor to reduce the incidence of chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in adult patients with lymphoproliferative disorders and solid tumours. Eur J Cancer 2011;47:8-32.
- Akazawa K, Nakamura T, Palesch Y. Power of logrank test and Cox regression model in clinical trials with heterogeneous samples. Stat Med. 1997;16:583-97.
- American Cancer Society 2014: http://www.cancer.org/cancer/lungcancer-non-smallcell/detailedguide/non-small-cell-lung-cancer-key-statistics.
- Arriagada R, Bergman B, Dunant A, et al. Cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with completely resected non–small cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;350:351-60.
- Bai S, Jorga K, Xin Y, et al. A guide to rational dosing of monoclonal antibodies. Clin Pharmacokinet 2012;51:119-35.
- Besse B, Johnson M, Janne PA, et al. Phase II, single-arm trial (BIRCH) of atezolizumab as first-line or subsequent therapy for locally advanced or metastatic PD-L1-selected non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [abstract]. Eur J Cancer 2015;51(Suppl 3):S717-18.
- Blank C, Gajewski TF, Mackensen A, et al. Interaction of PD-L1 on tumor cells with PD-1 on tumor-specific T cells as a mechanism of immune evasion: implications for tumor immunotherapy. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2005;54:307-14.
- Blank C, Mackensen A. Contribution of the PD-L1/PD-1 pathway to T-cell exhaustion: an update on implications for chronic infections and tumor evasion. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2007;56:739-45.
- Bretz F, Maurer W, Brannath W, et al. A graphical approach to sequentially rejective multiple test procedures. Stat Med 2009;28:586-604.
- Brookmeyer R, Crowley J. A confidence interval for the median survival time. Biometrics 1982;38:29-41.
- Butte MJ, Keir ME, Phamduy TB, et al. Programmed death-1 ligand 1 interacts specifically with the B7-1 costimulatory molecule to inhibit T cell responses. Immunity 2007; 27:111-22.
- Cetin K, Ettinger DS, Hei YJ, et al. Survival by histologic subtype in stage IV nonsmall cell lung cancer based on data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program. Clin Epidemiol 2011;3:139-48.
- Cisplatin (Platinol®), Injection U.S. Package Insert, Bristol-Myers Squibb.
- Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. Nephron 1976;16:31-41.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 132/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- Crawford J, Caserta C, Roila F, et al. on behalf of the ESMO Guidelines Working Group. Hematopoietic growth factors: ESMO recommendations for the applications. Ann Oncol 2009;20:162–5.
- DeMets D, Lan KKG. Interim analysis: the alpha spending function approach. Stat Med 1994;13:1341–52.
- Detterbeck FC, Boffa DJ, Tanoue LT. The new lung cancer staging system. Chest 2009;136:260-71.
- Di Giacomo AM, Biagioli M, Maio M. The emerging toxicity profiles of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies across clinical indications. Semin Oncol 2010;37:499-507.
- Docetaxel, U.S. Package Insert, Aventis Pharmaceuticals Inc.
- Douillard JY, Rosell R, De Lena M, et al. Adjuvant vinorelbine plus cisplatin versus observation in patients with completely resected stage IB–IIIA non–small cell lung cancer (Adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association [ANITA]): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol. 2006;7:719-27.
- Fehrenbacher L, Spira A, Ballinger M, et al., for the POPLAR Study Group.

 Atezolizumab versus docetaxel for patients with previously treated non-small-cell lung cancer (POPLAR): a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised controlled trial. Lancet 9 March 2016;1-10. (Epub ahead of print.)
- Gemcitabine (Gemzar®), U.S. Package Insert, Eli Lilly and Company.
- Glimm E, Maurer M, Bretz F. Hierarchical testing of multiple endpoints in groupsequential trials. Stat Med 2010;29:219–228.
- GLOBOCAN 2012: http://globocan.iarc.fr/Pages/fact_sheets_cancer.aspx.
- Hales RK, Banchereau J, Ribas A, et al. Assessing oncologic benefit in clinical trials of immunotherapy agents. Ann Oncol 2010;21:1944-51.
- Heon S., Johnson BE. Adjuvant chemotherapy for surgically resected non–small cell lung cancer. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012 Sep;144(3):S39-42.
- Herbst RS, de Marinis F, Giaccone G, et al. LBA1 Clinical efficacy of atezolizumab (atezo) in biomarker subgroups by SP142, SP263 and 22C3 PD-L1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) assays and by blood tumour mutational burden (bTMB): Results from the IMpower110 study. Ann Oncol 2019;30:xi62-3.
- Hirsch FR, McElhinny A, Stanforth D, et al. PD-L1 immunohistochemistry assays for lung cancer: results from Phase 1 of the Blueprint PD-L1 IHC Assay Comparison Project. J Thorac Oncol 2017 Feb;12(2):208-222.
- Hwang IK, Shih WJ, deCani JS. Group sequential designs using a family of type I error probability spending functions. Stat Med 1990;9:1439-1445.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 133/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- Iwai Y, Ishida M, Tanaka Y, et al. Involvement of PD-L1 on tumor cells in the escape from host immune system and tumor immunotherapy by PD-L1 blockade. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. 2002;99:12293-7.
- Keir ME, Butte MJ, Freeman GJ, et al. PD-1 and its ligands in tolerance and immunity. Annual Rev Immunol 2008;26:677-704.
- Koren E, Smith HW, Shores E, et al. Recommendations on risk-based strategies for detection and characterization of antibodies against biotechnology products. J Immuno Methods 2008;333:1-9.
- Langer CJ, Besse B, Gualberto A, et al. The evolving role of histology in the management of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:5311-20.
- Molina RM, Yang P, Cassivi SD, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer: epidemiology, risk factors, treatment, and survivorship. Mayo Clin Proc 2008;83:584-94.
- Mu CY, Huang JA, Chen Y, et al. High expression of PD-L1 in lung cancer may contribute to poor prognosis and tumor cells immune escape through suppressing tumor infiltrating dendritic cells maturation. Med Oncol 2011;28:682-8.
- National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®). Guidelines for non-small cell lung cancer 2012.
- Pemetrexed (Alimta®), U.S. Package Insert, Eli Lilly and Company.
- Pignon JP, Tribodet H, Scagliotti GV, et al. Lung Adjuvant Cisplatin Evaluation: a pooled analysis by the LACE Collaborative Group. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3552–9.
- Ponn RB, Lo Cicero J III, Daly BD, et al. Surgical treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. General Thoracic Surgery (ed 6). 2005;1548-87.
- PORT Meta-Analysis Trialists Group. Postoperative radiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual patient data from nine randomised controlled trials. Lancet 1998;352:257-63.
- Rosenberg A, Worobec AS. A risk-based approach to immunogenicity concerns of therapeutic protein products. BioPharm Intl 2004;Nov:22–26;Dec:34-42.
- Scagliotti GV, Fossati R, Torri V, et al. Randomized study of adjuvant chemotherapy for completely resected stage I, II, or IIIA non-small cell Lung cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:1453-61.
- Smith TJ, Khatcheressian J, Lyman GH, et al. 2006 update of recommendations for the use of white blood cell growth factors: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol 2006;3187-205.
- Spigel D, De Marinis F, Giaccone G, et al. IMpower110: Interim overall survival (OS) analysis of a phase III study of atezolizumab (atezo) vs platinum-based chemotherapy (chemo) as first-line (1L) treatment (tx) in PD-L1-selected NSCLC. Ann Oncol 2019;30(Suppl 5):v851–934.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 134/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- Strauss GM, Herndon JE II, Maddaus MA, et al. Adjuvant paclitaxel plus carboplatin compared with observation in stage IB non–small cell lung cancer: CALGB 9633 with the Cancer and Leukemia Group B, Radiation Therapy Oncology Group, and North Central Cancer Treatment Group Study Groups. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:5043-51.
- Strome SE, Dong H, Tamura H, et al. B7-H1 blockade augments adoptive T-cell immunotherapy for squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res 2003;63:6501-5.
- Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, et al. Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. N Engl J Med 2012;366:2443-54.
- Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, et al. International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society: international multidisciplinary classification of lung adenocarcinoma: executive summary. J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:244–85.
- Vinorelbine (Navelbine®), U.S. Package Insert, GlaxoSmithKline.
- Wakelee HA, Dahlberg SE, Keller SM, et al. Interim report of on-study demographics and toxicity from E1505, a Phase III randomized trial of adjuvant (adj) chemotherapy (chemo) with or without bevacizumab (B) for completely resected early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). J Clin Oncol 2011;29(15);(May 20 Supplement):7013.
- Wakelee, HA, Dahlberg, SM, Keller, WJ, et al. Randomized phase III trial of adjuvant chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in resected non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): results of E1505. Presented at the 16th World Conference on Lung Cancer; September 6-9; Denver, Colorado. Abstract 1608 (2015).
- Waller D, Peake MD, Stephens RJ, et al. Chemotherapy for patients with non-small cell lung cancer: the surgical setting of the Big Lung Trial. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2004;26:173-82.
- Winton T, Livingston R, Johnson D, et al. Vinorelbine plus cisplatin vs. observation in resected non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2589-97.
- Yang J, Riella LV, Chock S. The novel costimulatory programmed death ligand 1/B7.1 pathway is functional in inhibiting alloimmune responses in vivo. J Immunol 2011;187:1113-9.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 135/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 1 Schedule of Assessments for Enrollment Phase (Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Administration)

Study Procedure	Screening	Day 1 of Cycles 1–4 (±3 days) ^a	Day 8 of Cycles 1–4 (±1 day)	Chemotherapy Discontinuation (<30 days after last treatment) b
Informed consent c	Хc			
Biomarker samples ^d	Х	х		
Demographic information	Х			
Medical history	Х			
Concurrent medications	Х	х		
Serum pregnancy test (women of childbearing potential ONLY) ^e	x ^c			
Physical examination and ECOG performance status	Хc	х		
Weight, blood pressure	Хc	х		Х
Height	Хc			
12-lead ECG ^f	Хc			
Serum chemistries ^g	Хc	x ^h		Х
HIV, HBV, HCV serology ⁱ	Х			
CBC ^j	Хc	x ^h	x	X
INR, aPTT k	Хc			
Chest X-ray	Хc			
Tumor assessment ¹	X c			Х
Archival/screening FFPE tumor tissue specimen or 15 unstained slides ^m	Х			
Pathology report ⁿ	x ^m			

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd

136/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 1: Schedule of Assessments for Enrollment Phase (Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Administration) (Cont.)

Study Procedure	Screening	Day 1 of Cycles 1–4 (±3 days) ^a	Day 8 of Cycles 1–4 (±1 day)	Chemotherapy Discontinuation (<30 days after last treatment) b
Toxicity assessment for chemotherapy-related serious adverse events °		Х		х
Adverse events		х	х	Х

CRCL=creatinine clearance; CT=computed tomography; ECOG=Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FFPE=formalin-fixed paraffin embedded; HBV=hepatitis B virus; HCV=hepatitis C virus; IV=intravenous; MRI=magnetic resonance imaging; PD-L1=programmed death-ligand 1; RCR=Roche Clinical Repository.

- ^a Patients will receive their first dose of chemotherapy the day of enrollment, if possible. If this is not possible, the first dose should occur no later than 5 days after enrollment. Screening assessments performed ≤96 hours before Cycle 1, Day 1 are not required to be repeated for Cycle 1, Day 1. In addition, ECOG performance status, limited physical examination, and local laboratory tests may be performed ≤96 hours before Day 1 of each cycle as specified in Section 4.6.2.
- b This visit could be used as the screening visit for randomized phase if it is within the screening window. The visit at which the decision is made to discontinue treatment (e.g., after completion of four cycles or when disease recurrence or unacceptable toxicity is determined or confirmed) may be considered as the treatment discontinuation visit.
- Written informed consent is required for performing any study-specific tests or procedures. Signing of the Informed Consent Form can occur outside the 28-day screening period. Results of standard-of-care tests or examinations performed prior to obtaining informed consent and within 28 days prior to study entry (except where otherwise specified) may be used for screening assessments, rather than repeating such tests. Screening evaluations that should be completed no earlier than 14 days prior to enrollment include: CBC with differential and platelet count, serum chemistries, physical examination, height, weight, medical history, concurrent medications, ECG, INR, aPTT, pathology report, serum pregnancy test, and toxicity assessment for chemotherapy-related serious adverse events. Chest X-ray and tumor assessment should be completed no earlier than 28 days prior to enrollment. Screening blood pressure must be done within 28 days of enrollment and must be < 150/90 mmHg. Complete and limited physical examinations are defined in Section 4.5.3.</p>
- ^d Plasma and serum for biomarkers will be collected only from enrolled patients prior to pre-dose on Day 1 of Cycle 1 cisplatin-based chemotherapy administration. Whole blood will be collected at screening.
- ^e Serum pregnancy test (for women of childbearing potential, including women who have had a tubal ligation) must be performed and documented as negative within 14 days prior to Day 1.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 137/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 1: Schedule of Assessments for Enrollment Phase (Cisplatin-Based Chemotherapy Administration) (Cont.)

- f ECG should be obtained within 14 days before enrollment if clinically indicated or if pre-operative test results showed abnormalities. If pre-operative ECG was normal and there is no indication of a change in cardiac condition a repeat ECG within 14 days is not mandatory. Patients should be resting and in a supine position for at least 10 minutes prior to each ECG collection.
- ⁹ To include, at a minimum, sodium, potassium, chloride, BUN or urea, creatinine, AST (SGOT) and/or ALT (SGPT), total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase.
- Tests should be obtained within 24 hours prior to day of treatment with results known prior to day of treatment. Laboratory samples that are drawn within 48 hours prior to treatment that are normal will be acceptable. CBC for Day 8 is only required for chemotherapy regimens with Day 8 administration.
- See Section 4.5.6 for serology tests. All patients will be tested for HIV prior to the inclusion into the study and HIV-positive patients will be excluded from the clinical study. HBV DNA must be collected on or before Cycle 1, Day 1 in patients who have negative serology for hepatitis B surface antigen and positive serology for hepatitis B core antibody. HCV RNA must be collected on or before Cycle 1, Day 1 in patients who test positive for anti-HCV.
- ^j To include ANC, platelet count, hemoglobin.
- ^k More frequent testing is indicated if there is any suspicion of elevated values (i.e., patient is on low dose anticoagulation for a venous access device).
- ¹ CT scans (with oral and/or IV contrast unless contraindicated) of the chest and abdomen and a CT and/or MRI scan of the brain to rule out CNS metastasis, especially if patient has Stage IIIA disease. Bone scans and CT scans of the neck should also be performed if clinically indicated.
- ^m A representative FFPE tumor specimen in paraffin block (preferred) or of 15 (or more) unstained, freshly cut, serial sections (on slides) from an FFPE resected tumor specimen is required for participation in this study. This specimen must be accompanied by the associated pathology report. Retrieval of archival tumor sample can occur outside the 28-day screening period.
- ⁿ Copies of the pathology report must be submitted.
- For patients who experience an ongoing study agent-related serious adverse event upon active treatment completion, or at discontinuation from the study, should be contacted by the investigator or his/her designee until the event is resolved or determined to be irreversible

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 138/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

	Both Arms	Arm A (Atezolizumab)		Arm B (Best Supportive Care)			Both Arms
Study Procedure	Screening for Randomization	All Cycles	Discontinuation ^a	Cycles 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15	Cycles 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16	Discontinuation ^a	
	Days -28 to -1	Day 1 (±3 days) ^b	≤30 Days after Last Dose	Day 1 (±3 Days for Cycles ≥3)	Day 1 (±3 Days)	≤30 Days after 1 Year of Observation	Follow-Up
Review of eligibility criteria	x						
Pregnancy test (women of childbearing potential ONLY) °	x °	X d	X d				
ECOG performance status	х	х	х	х			
Complete physical examination ^e	х		х			х	
Limited physical examination ^e		х		х			
Weight	х	х	х	х		х	
Vital signs f	х	х	х	х		х	
12-lead ECG	х	Х g	Х g				
Hematology h	x	X ^h	x	X ^h		X	
Serum chemistry i	x	X i	x	X i		X	
Coagulation panel (aPTT, INR)	х		х				
Urinalysis ^j	Х	X ^k		x ^k			

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 139/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Supplemental material

Appendix 2: Schedule of Assessments for Randomized Phase (Atezolizumab or Best Supportive Care) (Cont.)

	Both Arms	Arm A ((Atezolizumab)	Arm	B (Best Supportive	e Care)	Both Arms
Study Procedure	Screening for Randomization	All Cycles	Discontinuation ^a	Cycles 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15	Cycles 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16	Discontinuation ^a	
	Days -28 to -1	Day 1 (±3 days) ^b	≤30 Days after Last Dose	Day 1 (±3 Days for Cycles ≥3)	Day 1 (±3 Days)	≤30 Days after 1 Year of Observation	Follow-Up
TSH, free T3, free T4	х	x (every four cycles)	х				
Serum sample for ATA assessment (atezolizumab patients only) ¹		х	х				
Serum sample for PK sampling (atezolizumab patients only)		х	х				
Blood samples for biomarkers ^m		x ^m	х	x ^m		х	х
Study drug infusion n		х					
Fresh biopsy specimen (mandatory for both arms) °	A	t the time of	radiographic confi	rmation of disease	recurrence or new	primary NSCLC °	
Tumor assessments P	All patients in the randomized phase will undergo scheduled tumor assessments at baseline and every 4 months starting at Cycle 1, Day 1 in the first year and every 6 months in the second year by CT following randomization. Patients who have not experienced recurrence of disease will undergo tumor assessments every 6 months during Years 3–5 by CT and X-ray post-randomization (starting with CT scan, alternating with X-ray), and annually thereafter by X-ray until disease recurrence, death, loss to follow-up,						
		• ,	•	termination by the			iow-up,

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 140/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 2: Schedule of Assessments for Randomized Phase (Atezolizumab or Best Supportive Care) (Cont.)

	Both Arms	Arm A (Atezolizumab)		Arm	Both Arms		
Study Procedure	Screening for Randomization	All Cycles	Discontinuation ^a	Cycles 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15		Discontinuation ^a	
	Days -28 to -1	Day 1 (±3 days) ^b	≤30 Days after Last Dose	Day 1 (±3 Days for Cycles ≥3)	Day 1 (±3 Days)	≤30 Days after 1 Year of Observation	Follow-Up
Concomitant medications q	х	х	х	х	х	х	
Adverse events r	х	х	х	х	х	х	
Medical contacts					х		
Survival and anti-cancer therapy follow-up ^t							х

ATA=anti-therapeutic antibody; BSC=best supportive care; CT=computed tomography; ECOG=Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; MRI=magnetic resonance imaging; NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer; PD-L1=programmed death-ligand 1; PK= pharmacokinetic; RCR=Roche Clinical Repository; TSH= thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Note: Assessments scheduled on the days of study treatment infusions should be performed before the infusion unless otherwise noted.

- ^a Patients will be asked to return to the clinic not more than 30 days after the decision to discontinue treatment for a treatment or observation discontinuation visit.
- b Cycle 1, Day 1 must be performed within 5 days after the patient is randomized. Screening assessments performed ≤96 hours before Cycle 1, Day 1 are not required to be repeated for Cycle 1, Day 1. In addition, ECOG performance status, limited physical examination, and local laboratory tests may be performed ≤96 hours before Day 1 of each cycle as specified in Section 4.6.2.
- Serum pregnancy test (for women of childbearing potential, including women who have had a tubal ligation) must be performed and documented as negative within 14 days prior to Day 1.
- d If a urine pregnancy test result is positive, it must be confirmed by a serum pregnancy test.
- ^e Complete and limited physical examinations are defined in Section 4.5.3.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 141/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 2: Schedule of Assessments for Randomized Phase (Atezolizumab or Best Supportive Care) (Cont.)

- f Vital signs include heart rate, respiratory rate, blood pressures, and temperature and will be performed as standard of care for patients randomized to the BSC arm. For patients randomized to the atezolizumab treatment arm, vital signs should be recorded as described in Table 10.
- ⁹ ECG recordings will be obtained when clinically indicated. Patients should be resting and in a supine position for at least 10 minutes prior to each ECG collection.
- ^h Blood samples collected to monitor safety will be collected in patients randomized to both arms. Hematology consists of CBC, including RBC count, hemoglobin, hematocrit, WBC count with automated differential (neutrophils, eosinophils, lymphocytes, monocytes, basophils, and other cells), and platelet count. A manual differential can be done if clinically indicated. Refer to Section 4.5.6 for a list of laboratory test results obtained within 14 days prior to the first dose of atezolizumab treatment.
- Serum chemistry includes glucose, BUN or urea, creatinine, sodium, potassium, magnesium, chloride, bicarbonate *or total carbon dioxide* (*if considered standard of care for the site*), calcium, phosphorus, total bilirubin, ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase, LDH, total protein, and albumin. Refer to Section 4.5.6 for a list of laboratory results obtained within 14 days prior to first dose of atezolizumab treatment.
- ^j Urinalysis (specific gravity, pH, glucose, protein, ketones, and blood).
- k Perform if clinically indicated.
- For patients assigned to atezolizumab treatment arm only. See Appendix 3 for details of the ATA and PK collection schedule. Blood samples should be processed to obtain serum. A post-treatment ATA and PK sample should be collected 120 days (± 30 days) after the last dose of atezolizumab received during the treatment period unless the patient withdraws consent or the study closes.
- ^m See Appendix 3 for details of the biomarker sampling schedule.
- Patients randomized to atezolizumab arm will receive their first dose of study drug the day of randomization if possible. If this is not possible, the first dose should occur no later than 5 days after randomization. The initial dose of atezolizumab treatment will be delivered over 60 (±15) minutes. If the first infusion is well tolerated, all subsequent infusions may be delivered over 30 (±10) minutes. Atezolizumab treatment may be continued for a maximum of 16 cycles in the absence of meeting discontinuation criteria specified in Section 4.3.4.2.
- A mandatory biopsy is required, if clinically feasible, within 40 days of disease recurrence or prior to the start of the next anti-cancer therapy, whichever is sooner (see Section 4.5.8.1).
- P Results must be reviewed by the investigator before dosing at the next cycle (in atezolizumab treatment arm only). Tumor assessments should continue regardless of whether patients start new anti-cancer therapy in the absence of disease recurrence unless they withdraw consent. If there is a recurrence, it is also strongly encouraged that patients be fully restaged, including a CT scan of the chest and abdomen, imaging (preferably MRI, but CT is acceptable) of the brain, and a radionuclide bone scan or positron emission tomography (PET) scan. Additional scans may be necessary as per investigator judgment if recurrence of primary lung cancer is suspected on treatment.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 142/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 2: Schedule of Assessments for Randomized Phase (Atezolizumab or Best Supportive Care) (Cont.)

- ^q Concomitant medications include any prescription medications or over-the-counter medications. At screening, any medications the patient has used within the 7 days prior to the screening visit should be documented. At subsequent visits, changes to current medications or medications used since the last documentation of medications will be recorded.
- Once randomized into the study, during both the enrollment phase (cisplatin-based chemotherapy) and randomization phase (atezolizumab or BSC), all serious adverse events and adverse events of special interest will be recorded during the study and for 90 days after the last dose of study treatment (last study assessment for patients in Arm B) or initiation of new anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first. All other adverse events will be recorded during the study and for 30 days after the last dose of study treatment (last study assessment for patients randomized to Arm B) or until the initiation of another anti-cancer therapy, whichever occurs first.
- s This medical contact can be either via telephone call or formal clinic visit. If the contact is via a formal clinic visit, additional assessments may be done as clinically indicated per local standard of care and at the discretion of the investigator.
- Survival follow-up information will be collected via telephone calls, patient medical records, and/or clinic visits approximately every 3 months until death, loss to follow-up, or study termination by Roche. All patients (irrespective of which arm they are randomized to) will be followed for survival and new anti-cancer therapy information unless the patient requests to be withdrawn from follow-up; this request must be documented in the source documents and signed by the investigator. If the patient withdraws from the atezolizumab study, the study staff may use a public information source (e.g., county records) to obtain information about survival status only. This also applies to patients randomized to BSC arm. Patients assigned to either study arm who complete either the initial treatment or the initial observation period (16 cycles) will discontinue atezolizumab treatment or BSC and will continue follow-up tumor assessments (per the tumor assessment schedule above—see footnote p). No patients are allowed to cross over.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 143/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 3 Anti-Therapeutic Antibody, Biomarker, and Pharmacokinetic Sampling Schedule

	Enrollment I	Phase Sampling	
Study Visit Tim		me	Sample (All Enrolled Patients)
Screening	N	IA	Biomarker ^a
Cycle 1, Day 1		cisplatin-based therapy	Biomarker ^b
Rando	omized Phase (Pos	st-Randomization)) Sampling
			Sample
Study Visit	Time	Patients Randomized to BSC Arm	Patients Randomized to Atezolizumab Arm
	Pre-dose	Biomarker ^b	ATA Atezolizumab pharmacokinetics Biomarker ^b
Cycle 1, Day 1	30 min (± 10 min) after end of atezolizumab infusion		Atezolizumab pharmacokinetics
Cycles 2, 3, 4, and 5, Day 1	Pre-dose	Biomarker (Cycle 3, Day 1, and Cycle 5, Day 1) ^b	ATA (Cycles 2, 3, and 4) Atezolizumab pharmacokinetics (Cycles 2, 3, and 4) Biomarker (Cycles 2, 3, and 5) ^b
Cycles 7 and 15, Day 1	Pre-dose	Biomarker b	
Cycles 8 and 16, Day 1	Pre-dose		ATA Atezolizumab pharmacokinetics Biomarker ^b
At the time of first radiographic confirmation of disease recurrence or confirmation of a new primary NSCLC	At visit		Biomarker ^b

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 144/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 3: Anti-Therapeutic Antibody, Biomarker, and Pharmacokinetic Sampling Schedule (Cont.)

Rando	mized Phase (Pos	t- <u>Randomization)</u>	Sampling
			Sample
		Patients Randomized to	Patients Randomized to
Study Visit	Time	BSC Arm	Atezolizumab Arm
At time of fresh biopsy (e.g., at the time of first radiographic confirmation of disease recurrence or confirmation of a new primary NSCLC)		Biomarker ^b	Biomarker ^b
Treatment discontinuation visit	At visit	Biomarker ^b	ATA Atezolizumab pharmacokinetics Biomarker ^b
Follow-up (after completion of 16 cycles of atezolizumab or BSC)	At tumor assessment visit	Biomarker ^b	Biomarker ^b
120 days (±30 days) after last dose of atezolizumab in treatment stage	At visit		ATA Atezolizumab pharmacokinetics Biomarker ^b
Any time point during the study (RCR consent required)		Optional RCR whole blood (DNA extraction) °	Optional RCR whole blood (DNA extraction) ^c

ATA = anti-therapeutic antibody; NA = not applicable; NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer; RCR = Roche Clinical Repository.

- ^a Whole blood for biomarkers.
- Plasma and serum. Note: Except for Day 1 of Cycle 1 in the enrollment phase and Day 1 of Cycle 1 in the randomization phase, all other study visits and assessments during the treatment period should be performed within±3 days of the scheduled date. Study assessments may be delayed or moved forward 3 days to accommodate holidays, vacations, and unforeseen delays. For biomarker samples, if the visit schedule can accommodate the ± 3 day collection window, one set of samples can be noted as satisfying two visits (e.g., treatment discontinuation and the time of fresh biopsy collection).
- c The optional RCR whole blood sample (for DNA extraction) requires an additional informed consent and the sample can be collected at any time during the course of the study.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 145/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 4 Anti–PD-L1 (SP142) Immunohistochemistry

OVERVIEW

The Ventana anti-programmed death–ligand 1 (PD-L1) (SP142) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody immunohistochemistry (IHC) assay will be used to determine PD-L1 IHC status. The anti–PD-L1 (SP142) rabbit monoclonal antibody IHC assay is currently being developed by Ventana Medical Systems as a companion diagnostic to atezolizumab. For Study GO29527, the anti–PD-L1 (SP142) IHC assay will be used for investigational purposes only.

The Ventana anti–PD-L1 (SP142) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody is intended for laboratory use in the semi-quantitative immunohistochemical assessment of the PD-L1 protein in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) tissue stained on a Ventana BenchMark ULTRA automated slide stainer. It is indicated as an aid in the selection of patients with NSCLC with locally advanced or metastatic disease who might benefit from treatment with atezolizumab.

This assay is for investigational use only. The performance characteristics of this product have not been established.

DEVICE DESCRIPTION

The Ventana anti–PD-L1 (SP142) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody is a pre-dilute, ready–to–use antibody product optimized for use with the Ventana Medical Systems OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit and the OptiView Amplification Kit on Ventana Medical Systems automated BenchMark ULTRA platforms. One 5mL dispenser of anti–PD-L1 (SP142) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody contains approximately 36µg of rabbit monoclonal antibody directed against the PD-L1 protein and contains sufficient reagent for 50 tests. The reagents and the IHC procedure are optimized for use on the BenchMark ULTRA automated slide stainer, utilizing Ventana system software (VSS).

SCORING SYSTEM

PD-L1 staining with anti–PD-L1 (SP142) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody in NSCLC can be observed in both tumor cells and tumor-infiltrating immune cells.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 146/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 5 Anti–PD-L1 (SP263) Immunohistochemistry

OVERVIEW

The Ventana PD-L1 (SP263) CDx Assay will be used to determine PD-L1 immunohistochemical (IHC) status. The assay uses an anti-PD-L1 rabbit monoclonal primary antibody (Vemtana PD-L1 (SP263) antibody) to recognize the programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) also known as B7 homolog 1 (B7-H1) or CD274. The Ventana PD-L1 (SP263) CDx Assay is currently being developed by Ventana Medical Systems as a companion diagnostic to atezolizumab. For Study GO29527, the Ventana PD-L1 (SP263) Assay will be used for investigational purposes only.

Ventana PD-L1 (SP263) CDx Assay is intended for the qualitative immunohistochemical assessment of the programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) protein in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) tissue stained with a BenchMark ULTRA IHC/ISH automated staining instrument. It is indicated as an aid in identifying patients eligible for treatment with PD-L1 or PD-1 targeted therapy.

This assay is for investigational use only. The performance characteristics of this product have not been established.

DEVICE DESCRIPTION

The VENTANA PD-L1 (SP263) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody is a pre-dilute, ready-to-use antibody product optimized for use with the Ventana Medical Systems OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit on Ventana Medical Systems automated BenchMark ULTRA platforms. One 5-mL dispenser of anti-PD-L1 (SP263) rabbit monoclonal primary antibody contains approximately 8.05 µg of rabbit monoclonal antibody directed against the PD-L1 protein and contains sufficient reagent for 50 tests. The reagents and the IHC procedure are optimized for use on the BenchMark ULTRA automated slide stainer, utilizing Ventana system software (VSS).

SCORING SYSTEM

NSCLC neoplastic cells labeled with the Ventana PD-L1 (SP263) Assay are evaluated for percent positivity of the tumor cells with membrane staining at any intensity of the diaminobenzidine (DAB) signal. The immunohistochemical staining in NSCLC is membranous and/or cytoplasmic, and may be expressed homogeneously or heterogeneously throughout the neoplasm.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 147/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 6 Preexisting Autoimmune Diseases

Patients should be carefully questioned regarding their history of acquired or congenital immune deficiencies or autoimmune disease. Patients with any history of immune deficiencies or autoimmune disease are excluded from participating in the study. Possible exceptions to this exclusion could be patients with a medical history of such entities as atopic disease or childhood arthralgias where the clinical suspicion of autoimmune disease is low. Patients with a history of autoimmune-mediated hypothyroidism on a stable dose of thyroid replacement hormone are eligible for this study. In addition, transient autoimmune manifestations of an acute infectious disease that resolved upon treatment of the infectious agent are not excluded (e.g., acute Lyme arthritis). Contact the Medical Monitor regarding any uncertainty over autoimmune exclusions.

Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis Addison's disease Ankylosing spondylitis Antiphospholipid antibody syndrome Aplastic anemia Autoimmune hemolytic anemia Autoimmune hepatitis Autoimmune hypoparathyroidism Autoimmune hypophysitis Autoimmune myocarditis Autoimmune oophoritis Autoimmune orchitis Autoimmune thrombocytopenic purpura Behcet's disease Bullous pemphigold Chronic fatigue syndrome Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy Chung-Strauss syndrome Crohn's disease

Dermatomyositis

Dysautonomia Epidermolysis bullosa acquista Gestational pemphigoid Giant cell arteritis Goodpasture's syndrome Graves' disease Guillain-Barré syndrome Hashimoto's disease IgA nephropathy Inflammatory bowel disease Interstitial cystitis Kawasaki's disease Lambert-Eaton myasthenia syndrome Lupus erythematosus Lyme disease - chronic Meniere's syndrome Mooren's ulcer Morphea Multiple sclerosis Myasthenia gravis Neuromyotonia Opsoclonus myoclonus

Ord's thyroiditis Pemphigus Pernicious anemia Polyarteritis nodusa Polyarthritis Polyglandular autoimmune syndrome Primary biliary cirrhosis **Psoriasis** Reiter's syndrome Rheumatoid arthritis Sarcoidosis Scleroderma Sjögren's syndrome Stiff-Person syndrome Takayasu's arteritis Ulcerative colitis Vitiligo Vogt-Kovanagi-Harada disease Wegener's granulomatosis

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 148/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

"Use of this document is governed by the terms of use on the first page of this document."

syndrome

Optic neuritis

Appendix 7 Anaphylaxis Precautions

Equipment Needed

Oxygen

Epinephrine for subcutaneous, intravenous (IV), and/or endotracheal use in accordance with standard practice

Antihistamines

Corticosteroids

IV infusion solutions, tubing, catheters, and tape

Procedures

- 1. In the event of a suspected anaphylactic reaction during study drug infusion, the following procedures should be performed:
- 2. Stop the study drug infusion.
- 3. Maintain an adequate airway.
- 4. Administer antihistamines, epinephrine, or other medications as required by patient status and directed by the physician in charge.
- 5. Continue to observe the patient and document observations.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 149/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Appendix 8 Cockcroft and Gault Formula

Creatinine Clearance

The standard Cockcroft and Gault (1976) formula or the measured glomerular filtration rate, using the appropriate radiolabeled method (51-CrEDTA or Tc99m-DTPA), must be used to calculate creatinine clearance (CRCL) for screening and/or dosing. The same method used at baseline should be used throughout the study.

 $\frac{(140 \text{ - age in years}) \times \text{body weight (kg)} \times 0.85}{\text{CRCL (Female)}} = \frac{72 \times \text{serum creatinine (mg/dL)}}{72 \times \text{serum creatinine (mg/dL)}}$

 $\frac{(140 - age in years) \times body weight (kg)}{CRCL (Male)} = \frac{72 \times serum creatinine (mg/dL)}{72}$

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 150/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Toxicities associated or possibly associated with atezolizumab treatment should be managed according to standard medical practice. Additional tests, such as autoimmune serology or biopsies, should be used to evaluate for a possible immunogenic etiology.

Although most immune-*mediated* adverse events observed with immunomodulatory agents have been mild and self-limiting, such events should be recognized early and treated promptly to avoid potential major complications. Discontinuation of atezolizumab may not have an immediate therapeutic effect, and in severe cases, immune-*mediated* toxicities may require acute management with topical corticosteroids, systemic corticosteroids, or other immunosuppressive agents.

The investigator should consider the benefit–risk balance a given patient may be experiencing prior to further administration of atezolizumab. In patients who have met the criteria for permanent discontinuation, resumption of atezolizumab may be considered if the patient is deriving benefit and has fully recovered from the immune-*mediated* event. Patients can be re–challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

DOSE MODIFICATIONS

There will be no dose modifications for atezolizumab in this study.

TREATMENT INTERRUPTION

Atezolizumab treatment may be temporarily suspended in patients experiencing toxicity considered to be related to study treatment. If corticosteroids are initiated for treatment of the toxicity, they must be tapered over ≥ 1 month to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed. If atezolizumab is withheld for > 105 days after event onset, the patient will be discontinued from atezolizumab. However, atezolizumab may be withheld for > 105 days to allow for patients to taper off corticosteroids prior to resuming treatment. Atezolizumab can be resumed after being withheld for > 105 days if the Medical Monitor agrees that the patient is likely to derive clinical benefit. Atezolizumab treatment may be suspended for reasons other than toxicity (e.g., surgical procedures) with Medical Monitor approval. The investigator and the Medical Monitor will determine the acceptable length of treatment interruption.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 151/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES

PULMONARY EVENTS

Dyspnea, cough, fatigue, hypoxia, pneumonitis, and pulmonary infiltrates have been associated with the administration of atezolizumab. Patients will be assessed for pulmonary signs and symptoms throughout the study and will also have computed tomography (CT) scans of the chest performed at every tumor assessment.

All pulmonary events should be thoroughly evaluated for other commonly reported etiologies such as pneumonia or other infection, lymphangitic carcinomatosis, pulmonary embolism, heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or pulmonary hypertension. Management guidelines for pulmonary events are provided in Table 1.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 152/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 1 Management Guidelines for Pulmonary Events, Including Pneumonitis

Event	Management
Pulmonary event, Grade 1	 Continue atezolizumab and monitor closely. Re-evaluate on serial imaging. Consider patient referral to pulmonary specialist.
Pulmonary event, Grade 2	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset.^a Refer patient to pulmonary and infectious disease specialists and consider bronchoscopy or BAL. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab.^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.^c
	For recurrent events, treat as a Grade 3 or 4 event.
Pulmonary event, Grade 3 or 4	 Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ° Bronchoscopy or BAL is recommended. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥ 1 month.

BAL =bronchoscopic alveolar lavage.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 153/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

HEPATIC EVENTS

Immune-*mediated* hepatitis has been associated with the administration of atezolizumab. Eligible patients must have adequate liver function, as manifested by measurements of total bilirubin and hepatic transaminases, and liver function will be monitored throughout study treatment. Management guidelines for hepatic events are provided in Table 2.

Patients with right upper–quadrant abdominal pain and/or unexplained nausea or vomiting should have liver function tests (LFTs) performed immediately and reviewed before administration of the next dose of study drug.

For patients with elevated LFTs, concurrent medication, viral hepatitis, and toxic or neoplastic etiologies should be considered and addressed, as appropriate.

Table 2 Management Guidelines for Hepatic Events

Event	Management
Hepatic event, Grade 1	 Continue atezolizumab. Monitor LFTs until values resolve to within normal limits or to baseline values.
Hepatic event, Grade 2	 All events: Monitor LFTs more frequently until return to baseline values. Events of > 5 days' duration: Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. a Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. c

LFT = liver function test.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥ 1 month to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 154/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 2 Management Guidelines for Hepatic Events (cont.)

Event	Management
Hepatic event, Grade 3 or 4	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. O
	 Consider patient referral to gastrointestinal specialist for evaluation and liver biopsy to establish etiology of hepatic injury.
	 Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent.
	If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent.
	If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥ 1 month.

LFT = liver function test.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥ 1 month to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

GASTROINTESTINAL EVENTS

Immune-*mediated* colitis has been associated with the administration of atezolizumab. Management guidelines for diarrhea or colitis are provided in Table 3.

All events of diarrhea or colitis should be thoroughly evaluated for other more common etiologies. For events of significant duration or magnitude or associated with signs of systemic inflammation or acute-phase reactants (e.g., increased C–reactive protein, platelet count, or bandemia): Perform sigmoidoscopy (or colonoscopy, if appropriate) with colonic biopsy, with three to five specimens for standard paraffin block to check for inflammation and lymphocytic infiltrates to confirm colitis diagnosis.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 155/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 3 Management Guidelines for Gastrointestinal Events (Diarrhea or Colitis)

Event	Management
Diarrhea or colitis, Grade 1	 Continue atezolizumab. Initiate symptomatic treatment. Endoscopy is recommended if symptoms persist for >7 days. Monitor closely.
Diarrhea or colitis, Grade 2	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a Initiate symptomatic treatment. Patient referral to GI specialist is recommended. For recurrent events or events that persist > 5 days, initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. ^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c
Diarrhea or colitis, Grade 3	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a Refer patient to GI specialist for evaluation and confirmatory biopsy. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. ^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c

$GI\!=\!gastrointestinal.$

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 156/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 3 Management Guidelines for Gastrointestinal Events (Diarrhea or Colitis) (cont.)

Event	Management
Diarrhea or colitis, Grade 4	 Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ° Refer patient to GI specialist for evaluation and confirmation biopsy. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement.
	 If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥ 1 month.

GI = gastrointestinal.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

ENDOCRINE EVENTS

Thyroid disorders, adrenal insufficiency, diabetes mellitus, and pituitary disorders have been associated with the administration of atezolizumab. Management guidelines for endocrine events are provided in Table 4.

Patients with unexplained symptoms such as headache, fatigue, myalgias, impotence, constipation, or mental status changes should be investigated for the presence of thyroid, pituitary, or adrenal endocrinopathies. The patient should be referred to an endocrinologist if an endocrinopathy is suspected. Thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) and free triiodothyronine and thyroxine levels should be measured to determine whether thyroid abnormalities are present. Pituitary hormone levels and function tests (e.g., TSH, growth hormone, luteinizing hormone, follicle-stimulating hormone, testosterone, prolactin, adrenocorticotropic hormone [ACTH] levels, and ACTH stimulation test) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain (with detailed pituitary sections) may help to differentiate primary pituitary insufficiency from primary adrenal insufficiency.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 157/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 4 Management Guidelines for Endocrine Events

Event	Management
Asymptomatic hypothyroidism	 Continue atezolizumab. Initiate treatment with thyroid replacement hormone. Monitor TSH weekly.
Symptomatic hypothyroidism	 Withhold atezolizumab. Initiate treatment with thyroid replacement hormone. Monitor TSH weekly. Consider patient referral to endocrinologist. Resume atezolizumab when symptoms are controlled and thyroid function is improving.
Asymptomatic hyperthyroidism	TSH ≥ 0.1 mU/L and < 0.5 mU/L: • Continue atezolizumab. • Monitor TSH every 4 weeks. TSH < 0.1 mU/L: • Follow guidelines for symptomatic hyperthyroidism.
Symptomatic hyperthyroidism	 Withhold atezolizumab. Initiate treatment with anti-thyroid drug such as methimazole or carbimazole as needed. Consider patient referral to endocrinologist. Resume atezolizumab when symptoms are controlled and thyroid function is improving. Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor for life-threatening immune-mediated hyperthyroidism. ^c

MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TSH = thyroid-stimulating hormone.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- ^b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 158/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 4 Management Guidelines for Endocrine Events (cont.)

Event	Management
Symptomatic adrenal insufficiency, Grade 2–4	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a Refer patient to endocrinologist. Perform appropriate imaging. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better and patient is stable on replacement therapy, resume atezolizumab. ^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better or patient is not stable on replacement therapy while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c
Hyperglycemia, Grade 1 or 2	 Continue atezolizumab. Investigate for diabetes. If patient has Type 1 diabetes, treat as a Grade 3 event. If patient does not have Type 1 diabetes, treat as per institutional guidelines. Monitor for glucose control.
Hyperglycemia, Grade 3 or 4	 Withhold atezolizumab. Initiate treatment with insulin. Monitor for glucose control. Resume atezolizumab when symptoms resolve and glucose levels are stable.

MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TSH = thyroid-stimulating hormone.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- ^b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 159/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

 Table 4
 Management Guidelines for Endocrine Events (cont.)

Event	Management
Hypophysitis (pan-hypopituitarism), Grade 2 or 3	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a Refer patient to endocrinologist. Perform brain MRI (pituitary protocol). Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement. Initiate hormone replacement if clinically indicated. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. ^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and
	contact Medical Monitor. c • For recurrent hypophysitis, treat as a Grade 4 event.
Hypophysitis (pan-hypopituitarism), Grade 4	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. O
	Refer patient to endocrinologist.
	Perform brain MRI (pituitary protocol).
	• Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement.
	Initiate hormone replacement if clinically indicated.

MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; TSH = thyroid-stimulating hormone.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

OCULAR EVENTS

An ophthalmologist should evaluate visual complaints (e.g., uveitis, retinal events). Management guidelines for ocular events are provided in Table 5.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 160/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 5 Management Guidelines for Ocular Events

Event	Management
Ocular event, Grade 1	 Continue atezolizumab. Patient referral to ophthalmologist is strongly recommended. Initiate treatment with topical corticosteroid eye drops and topical immunosuppressive therapy. If symptoms persist, treat as a Grade 2 event.
Ocular event, Grade 2	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a Patient referral to ophthalmologist is strongly recommended. Initiate treatment with topical corticosteroid eye drops and topical immunosuppressive therapy. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. ^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c
Ocular event, Grade 3 or 4	 Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c Refer patient to ophthalmologist. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥ 1 month.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 161/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

IMMUNE-MEDIATED MYOCARDITIS

Immune-mediated myocarditis has been associated with the administration of atezolizumab. Immune-mediated myocarditis should be suspected in any patient presenting with signs or symptoms suggestive of myocarditis, including, but not limited to, laboratory (e.g., B-type natriuretic peptide) or cardiac imaging abnormalities, dyspnea, chest pain, palpitations, fatigue, decreased exercise tolerance, or syncope. Immune-mediated myocarditis needs to be distinguished from myocarditis resulting from infection (commonly viral, e.g., in a patient who reports a recent history of gastrointestinal illness), ischemic events, underlying arrhythmias, exacerbation of preexisting cardiac conditions, or progression of malignancy.

All patients with possible myocarditis should be urgently evaluated by performing cardiac enzyme assessment, an ECG, a chest X-ray, an echocardiogram, and a cardiac MRI as appropriate per institutional guidelines. A cardiologist should be consulted. An endomyocardial biopsy may be considered to enable a definitive diagnosis and appropriate treatment, if clinically indicated.

Patients with signs and symptoms of myocarditis, in the absence of an identified alternate etiology, should be treated according to the guidelines in Table 6.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 162/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

 Table 6
 Management Guidelines for Immune-Mediated Myocarditis

Event	Management
Immune-mediated myocarditis, Grade 1	Refer patient to cardiologist.Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines.
Immune-mediated myocarditis, Grade 2	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset a and contact Medical Monitor. Refer patient to cardiologist. Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines and consider antiarrhythmic drugs, temporary pacemaker, ECMO, or VAD as appropriate. Consider treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact
Immune-mediated myocarditis, Grade 3 or 4	 Medical Monitor. ° Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ° Refer patient to cardiologist. Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines and consider antiarrhythmic drugs, temporary pacemaker, ECMO, or VAD as appropriate. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement. If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over≥1 month.

ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; VAD = ventricular assist device.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 163/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

INFUSION-RELATED REACTIONS AND CYTOKINE-RELEASE SYNDROME

No premedication is indicated for the administration of Cycle 1 of atezolizumab. However, patients who experience an infusion-related reaction (IRR) *or cytokine-release syndrome (CRS)* with Cycle 1 of atezolizumab may receive premedication with antihistamines *and*/or antipyretics/analgesics (e.g., acetaminophen) for subsequent infusions. Metamizole (dipyrone) is prohibited in treating atezolizumab—associated IRRs because of its potential for causing agranulocytosis.

IRRs are known to occur with the administration of monoclonal antibodies and have been reported with atezolizumab. These reactions, which are thought to be due to release of cytokines and/or other chemical mediators, occur within 24 hours of atezolizumab administration and are generally mild to moderate in severity.

CRS is defined as a supraphysiologic response following administration of any immune therapy that results in activation or engagement of endogenous or infused T cells and/or other immune effector cells. Symptoms can be progressive, always include fever at the onset, and may include hypotension, capillary leak (hypoxia), and end-organ dysfunction (Lee et al. 2019). CRS has been well documented with chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapies and bispecific T-cell engager antibody therapies but has also been reported with immunotherapies that target PD-1 or PD-L1 (Rotz et al. 2017; Adashek and Feldman 2019), including atezolizumab.

There may be significant overlap in signs and symptoms of IRRs and CRS, and in recognition of the challenges in clinically distinguishing between the two, consolidated guidelines for medical management of IRRs and CRS are provided in Table 7.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 164/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 7 Management Guidelines for Infusion-Related Reactions and Cytokine-Release Syndrome

Event	Management
Grade 1 a	Immediately interrupt infusion.
Fever ^b with or without constitutional symptoms	• Upon symptom resolution, wait for 30 minutes and then restart infusion at half the rate being given at the time of event onset.
	• If the infusion is tolerated at the reduced rate for 30 minutes, the infusion rate may be increased to the original rate.
	• If symptoms recur, discontinue infusion of this dose.
	• Administer symptomatic treatment, c including maintenance of IV fluids for hydration.
	• In case of rapid decline or prolonged CRS (> 2 days) or in patients with significant symptoms and/or comorbidities, consider managing as per Grade 2.
	• For subsequent infusions, consider administration of oral premedication with antihistamines, anti-pyretics, and/or analgesics, and monitor closely for IRRs and/or CRS.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 165/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 7 Management Guidelines for Infusion-Related Reactions and Cytokine-Release Syndrome (cont.)

Grade 2 a

Fever b with hypotension not requiring vasopressors

and/or

Hypoxia
requiring lowflow oxygen d by
nasal cannula or
blow-by

- Immediately interrupt infusion.
- Upon symptom resolution, wait for 30 minutes and then restart infusion at half the rate being given at the time of event onset.
- If symptoms recur, discontinue infusion of this dose.
- Administer symptomatic treatment. c
- For hypotension, administer IV fluid bolus as needed.
- Monitor cardiopulmonary and other organ function closely (in the ICU, if appropriate). Administer IV fluids as clinically indicated, and manage constitutional symptoms and organ toxicities as per institutional practice.
- Rule out other inflammatory conditions that can mimic CRS (e.g., sepsis). If no improvement within 24 hours, initiate workup and assess for signs and symptoms of HLH or MAS as described in this appendix.
- Consider IV corticosteroids (e.g., methylprednisolone 2 mg/kg/day or dexamethasone 10 mg every 6 hours).
- Consider anti-cytokine therapy.
- Consider hospitalization until complete resolution of symptoms.
 If no improvement within 24 hours, manage as per Grade 3, that is, hospitalize patient (monitoring in the ICU is recommended), permanently discontinue atezolizumab, and contact Medical Monitor.
- If symptoms resolve to Grade 1 or better for 3 consecutive days, the next dose of atezolizumab may be administered. For subsequent infusions, consider administration of oral premedication with antihistamines, anti-pyretics, and/or analgesics and monitor closely for IRRs and/or CRS.
- If symptoms do not resolve to Grade 1 or better for 3 consecutive days, contact Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 166/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 7 Management Guidelines for Infusion-Related Reactions and Cytokine-Release Syndrome (cont.)

Grade 3 Fever b with	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. f
hypotension	Administer symptomatic treatment. c
requiring a vasopressor (with or without vasopressin) and/or Hypoxia requiring high- flow oxygen d by nasal cannula, face mask,	For hypotension, administer IV fluid bolus and vasopressor as needed.
	• Monitor cardiopulmonary and other organ function closely; monitoring in the ICU is recommended. Administer IV fluids as clinically indicated, and manage constitutional symptoms and organ toxicities as per institutional practice.
	• Rule out other inflammatory conditions that can mimic CRS (e.g., sepsis). If no improvement within 24 hours, initiate workup and assess for signs and symptoms of HLH or MAS as described in this appendix.
non-rebreather mask, or Venturi mask	• Administer IV corticosteroids (e.g., methylprednisolone 2 mg/kg/day or dexamethasone 10 mg every 6 hours).
	• Consider anti-cytokine therapy.
	• Hospitalize patient until complete resolution of symptoms. If no improvement within 24 hours, manage as per Grade 4, that is, admit patient to ICU and initiate hemodynamic monitoring, mechanical ventilation, and/or IV fluids and vasopressors as needed; for patients who are refractory to anti-cytokine therapy, experimental treatments may be considered at the discretion of the investigator and in consultation with the Medical Monitor.
<u>Grade 4</u> ^a Fever ^b with	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.
hypotension	Administer symptomatic treatment. c
requiring multiple vasopressors (excluding vasopressin)	• Admit patient to ICU and initiate hemodynamic monitoring, mechanical ventilation, and/or IV fluids and vasopressors as needed. Monitor other organ function closely. Manage constitutional symptoms and organ toxicities as per institutional practice.
and/or Hypoxia requiring oxygen by positive	• Rule out other inflammatory conditions that can mimic CRS (e.g., sepsis). If no improvement within 24 hours, initiate workup and assess for signs and symptoms of HLH or MAS as described in this appendix.
pressure (e.g., CPAP, BiPAP,	• Administer IV corticosteroids (e.g., methylprednisolone 2 mg/kg/day or dexamethasone 10 mg every 6 hours).
intubation and mechanical ventilation)	• Consider anti-cytokine therapy. For patients who are refractory to anti-cytokine therapy, experimental treatments may be considered at the discretion of the investigator and in consultation with the Medical Monitor.
	Hospitalize patient until complete resolution of symptoms.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 167/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 7 Management Guidelines for Infusion-Related Reactions and Cytokine-Release Syndrome (cont.)

ASTCT = American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy; BiPAP = bi-level positive airway pressure; CAR = chimeric antigen receptor; CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure; CRS = cytokine-release syndrome; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; eCRF = electronic Case Report Form; HLH = hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis; ICU = intensive care unit; IRR = infusion - related reaction; MAS = macrophage activation syndrome; NCCN = National Cancer Comprehensive Network; NCI = National Cancer Institute. Note: The management guidelines have been adapted from NCCN guidelines for management of CAR T-cell-related toxicities (Version 2.2019).

- ^a Grading system for management guidelines is based on ASTCT consensus grading for CRS. NCI CTCAE v4.0 should be used when reporting severity of IRRs, CRS, or organ toxicities associated with CRS on the Adverse Event eCRF. Organ toxicities associated with CRS should not influence overall CRS grading.
- b Fever is defined as temperature ≥ 38 °C not attributable to any other cause. In patients who develop CRS and then receive anti-pyretic, anti-cytokine, or corticosteroid therapy, fever is no longer required when subsequently determining event severity (grade). In this case, the grade is driven by the presence of hypotension and/or hypoxia.
- ^c Symptomatic treatment may include oral or IV antihistamines, anti-pyretics, analgesics, bronchodilators, and/or oxygen. For bronchospasm, urticaria, or dyspnea, additional treatment may be administered as per institutional practice.
- ^d Low flow is defined as oxygen delivered at ≤ 6 L/min, and high flow is defined as oxygen delivered at > 6 L/min.
- e There are case reports where anti-cytokine therapy has been used for treatment of CRS with immune checkpoint inhibitors (Rotz et al. 2017; Adashek and Feldman 2019), but data are limited, and the role of such treatment in the setting of antibody-associated CRS has not been established.
- Fesumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor. For subsequent infusions, administer oral premedication with antihistamines, anti-pyretics, and/or analgesics, and monitor closely for IRRs and/or CRS. Premedication with corticosteroids and extending the infusion time may also be considered after consulting the Medical Monitor and considering the benefit—risk ratio.
- 8 Refer to Riegler et al. (2019) for information on experimental treatments for CRS.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 168/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

PANCREATIC EVENTS

Symptoms of abdominal pain associated with elevations of amylase and lipase, suggestive of pancreatitis, have been associated with the administration of atezolizumab. The differential diagnosis of acute abdominal pain should include pancreatitis. Appropriate work—up should include an evaluation for ductal obstruction, as well as serum amylase and lipase tests. Management guidelines for pancreatic events, including pancreatitis, are provided in Table 8.

Table 8 Management Guidelines for Pancreatic Events, Including Pancreatitis

Event	Management
Amylase and/or lipase	Amylase and/or lipase > 1.5-2.0 × ULN:
elevation, Grade 2	Continue atezolizumab.
	Monitor amylase and lipase weekly.
	• For prolonged elevation (e.g., > 3 weeks), consider treatment with corticosteroids equivalent to 10 mg/day oral prednisone.
	Asymptomatic with amylase and/or lipase > 2.0-5.0 × ULN:
	• Treat as a Grade 3 event.
Amylase and/or lipase	Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. a
elevation, Grade 3 or 4	Refer patient to GI specialist.
	Monitor amylase and lipase every other day.
	 If no improvement, consider treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent.
	If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. b
	 If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.
	For recurrent events, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.

GI = gastrointestinal.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- ^b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- ^c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-*mediated* event. Patients can be re–challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 169/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 8 Management Guidelines for Pancreatic Events, Including Pancreatitis (cont.)

Event	Management
Immune-mediated pancreatitis, Grade 2 or 3	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a Refer patient to GI specialist. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. ^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c
	For recurrent events, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.
Immune-mediated pancreatitis, Grade 4	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. Refer patient to GI specialist.
	 Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement.
	If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent.
	If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥1 month.

GI = gastrointestinal.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 170/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

DERMATOLOGIC EVENTS

Treatment-emergent rash has been associated with atezolizumab. The majority of cases of rash were mild in severity and self-limited, with or without pruritus. A dermatologist should evaluate persistent and/or severe rash or pruritus. A biopsy should be considered unless contraindicated. Management guidelines for dermatologic events are provided in Table 9.

 Table 9
 Management Guidelines for Dermatologic Events

Event	Management
Dermatologic event, Grade 1	 Continue atezolizumab. Consider treatment with topical corticosteroids and/or other symptomatic therapy (e.g., antihistamines).
Dermatologic event, Grade 2	 Continue atezolizumab. Consider patient referral to dermatologist. Initiate treatment with topical corticosteroids. Consider treatment with higher–potency topical corticosteroids if event does not improve.
Dermatologic event, Grade 3	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a Refer patient to dermatologist. Initiate treatment with 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent, increasing dose to 1–2 mg/kg/day if event does not improve within 48–72 hours. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. ^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c
Dermatologic event, Grade 4	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. One of the contact Medical Monitor.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 171/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

NEUROLOGIC DISORDERS

Myasthenia gravis and Guillain-Barré syndrome have been observed with single-agent atezolizumab. Patients may present with signs and symptoms of sensory and/or motor neuropathy. Diagnostic work-up is essential for an accurate characterization to differentiate between alternative etiologies. Management guidelines for neurologic disorders are provided in Table 10.

Table 10 Management Guidelines for Neurologic Disorders

Event	Management
Immune-mediated neuropathy, Grade 1	Continue atezolizumab.
	Investigate etiology.
Immune-mediated	Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a
neuropathy, Grade 2	Investigate etiology.
	Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines.
	If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. b
	 If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.
Immune- <i>mediated</i> neuropathy,	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. One of the contact Medical Monitor.
Grade 3 or 4	Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines.
Myasthenia gravis and Guillain-Barré syndrome (any grade)	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. One of the contact Medical Monitor.
	Refer patient to neurologist.
	Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines.
	 Consider initiation of 1–2 mg/kg/day oral or IV prednisone or equivalent.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- ^b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥ 1 month to ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone or equivalent before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 172/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

IMMUNE-MEDIATED MENINGOENCEPHALITIS

Immune-*mediated* meningoencephalitis is an identified risk associated with the administration of atezolizumab. Immune-*mediated* meningoencephalitis should be suspected in any patient presenting with signs or symptoms suggestive of meningitis or encephalitis, including, but not limited to, headache, neck pain, confusion, seizure, motor or sensory dysfunction, and altered or depressed level of consciousness. Encephalopathy from metabolic or electrolyte imbalances needs to be distinguished from potential meningoencephalitis resulting from infection (bacterial, viral, or fungal) or progression of malignancy, or secondary to a paraneoplastic process.

All patients being considered for meningoencephalitis should be urgently evaluated with a CT scan and/or MRI scan of the brain to evaluate for metastasis, inflammation, or edema. If deemed safe by the treating physician, a lumbar puncture should be performed and a neurologist should be consulted.

Patients with signs and symptoms of meningoencephalitis, in the absence of an identified alternate etiology, should be treated according to the guidelines in Table 11.

Table 11 Management Guidelines for Immune-Mediated Meningoencephalitis

Event	Management
Immune-mediated meningoencephalitis, all grades	 Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^a Refer patient to neurologist. Initiate treatment with 1–2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone or equivalent and convert to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement. If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥1 month.

^a Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-*mediated* event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

RENAL EVENTS

Immune-*mediated* nephritis has been associated with the administration of atezolizumab. Eligible patients must have adequate renal function. Renal function, including serum creatinine, should be monitored throughout study treatment. Patients with abnormal renal function should be evaluated and treated for other more common etiologies (including prerenal and postrenal causes, and concomitant medications such as

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 173/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs). Refer the patient to a renal specialist if clinically indicated. A renal biopsy may be required to enable a definitive diagnosis and appropriate treatment.

Patients with signs and symptoms of nephritis, in the absence of an identified alternate etiology, should be treated according to the guidelines in Table 12.

Table 12 Management Guidelines for Renal Events

Event	Management
Renal event, Grade 1	 Continue atezolizumab. Monitor kidney function, including creatinine, closely until values resolve to within normal limits or to baseline values.
Renal event, Grade 2	 Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset. ^a Refer patient to renal specialist. Initiate treatment with corticosteroids equivalent to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab. ^b If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c
Renal event, Grade 3 or 4	 Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. Refer patient to renal specialist and consider renal biopsy. Initiate treatment with corticosteroids equivalent to 1–2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone. If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent. If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥ 1 month.

- a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., > 12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to the equivalent of ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to the equivalent of ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 174/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

IMMUNE-MEDIATED MYOSITIS

Immune-mediated myositis has been associated with the administration of atezolizumab. Myositis or inflammatory myopathies are a group of disorders sharing the common feature of inflammatory muscle injury; dermatomyositis and polymyositis are among the most common disorders. Initial diagnosis is based on clinical (muscle weakness, muscle pain, skin rash in dermatomyositis), biochemical (serum creatine kinase increase), and imaging (electromyography/MRI) features, and is confirmed with a muscle biopsy.

Patients with signs and symptoms of myositis, in the absence of an identified alternate etiology, should be treated according to the guidelines in Table 13.

Table 13 Management Guidelines for Immune-Mediated Myositis

Event	Management
Immune- mediated myositis, Grade 1	 Continue atezolizumab. Refer patient to rheumatologist or neurologist. Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines.
Immune- mediated	• Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset a and contact Medical Monitor.
myositis, Grade 2	Refer patient to rheumatologist or neurologist.
	Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines.
	 Consider treatment with corticosteroids equivalent to 1-2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone and convert to 1-2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement.
	If corticosteroids are initiated and event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent.
	• If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab.b
	• If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.

- ^a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., >12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to the equivalent of ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥ 1 month to the equivalent of ≤ 10 mg/day oral prednisone before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 175/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 13 Management Guidelines for Immune-Related Myositis (cont.)

Immune- mediated	• Withhold atezolizumab for up to 12 weeks after event onset a and contact Medical Monitor.
myositis, Grade 3	Refer patient to rheumatologist or neurologist.
	Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines.
	Respiratory support may be required in more severe cases.
	• Initiate treatment with corticosteroids equivalent to 1-2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone, or higher-dose bolus if patient is severely compromised (e.g., cardiac or respiratory symptoms, dysphagia, or weakness that severely limits mobility); convert to 1-2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement.
	• If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent.
	• If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, resume atezolizumab.b
	• If event does not resolve to Grade 1 or better while withholding atezolizumab, permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.
	For recurrent events, treat as a Grade 4 event.
Immune- mediated	Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor. ^c
myositis, Grade 4	Refer patient to rheumatologist or neurologist.
	Initiate treatment as per institutional guidelines.
	Respiratory support may be required in more severe cases.
	• Initiate treatment with corticosteroids equivalent to 1-2 mg/kg/day IV methylprednisolone, or higher-dose bolus if patient is severely compromised (e.g., cardiac or respiratory symptoms, dysphagia, or weakness that severely limits mobility); convert to 1-2 mg/kg/day oral prednisone or equivalent upon improvement.
	• If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent.
	• If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥1 month.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 176/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Table 13 Management Guidelines for Immune-Related Myositis (cont.)

- ^a Atezolizumab may be withheld for a longer period of time (i.e., >12 weeks after event onset) to allow for corticosteroids (if initiated) to be reduced to the equivalent of ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone. The acceptable length of the extended period of time must be agreed upon by the investigator and the Medical Monitor.
- b If corticosteroids have been initiated, they must be tapered over ≥1 month to the equivalent of ≤10 mg/day oral prednisone before atezolizumab can be resumed.
- c Resumption of atezolizumab may be considered in patients who are deriving benefit and have fully recovered from the immune-mediated event. Patients can be re-challenged with atezolizumab only after approval has been documented by both the investigator (or an appropriate delegate) and the Medical Monitor.

<u>HEMOPHAGOCYTIC LYMPHOHISTIOCYTOSIS AND MACROPHAGE</u> ACTIVATION SYNDROME

Immune-mediated reactions may involve any organ system and may lead to hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) and macrophage activation syndrome (MAS), which are considered to be potential risks for atezolizumab.

Patients with suspected HLH should be diagnosed according to published criteria by McClain and Eckstein (2014). A patient should be classified as having HLH if five of the following eight criteria are met:

- *Fever* ≥38.5°*C*
- Splenomegaly
- Peripheral blood cytopenia consisting of at least two of the following:
 - Hemoglobin <90 g/L (9 g/dL) (<100 g/L [10 g/dL] for infants <4 weeks old)
 - Platelet count $<100 \times 10^{9}/L$ (100,000/ μ L)
 - ANC <1.0 ×10 9 /L (1000/ μ L)
- Fasting triglycerides > 2.992 mmol/L (265 mg/dL) and/or fibrinogen <1.5 g/L (150 mg/dL)
- Hemophagocytosis in bone marrow, spleen, lymph node, or liver
- Low or absent natural killer cell activity
- Ferritin >500 mg/L (500 ng/mL)
- Soluble interleukin 2 (IL-2) receptor (soluble CD25) elevated ≥2 standard deviations above age-adjusted laboratory-specific norms

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 177/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

Patients with suspected MAS should be diagnosed according to published criteria for systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis by Ravelli et al. (2016). A febrile patient should be classified as having MAS if the following criteria are met:

- Ferritin >684 mg/L (684 ng/mL)
- At least two of the following:
 - Platelet count ≤181 ×10 9 /L (181,000/μL)
 - AST ≥48 U/L
 - Triglycerides > 1.761 mmol/L (156 mg/dL)
 - Fibrinogen ≤3.6 g/L (360 mg/dL)

Patients with suspected HLH or MAS should be treated according to the guidelines in Table 14.

Table 14 Management Guidelines for Suspected Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis or Macrophage Activation Syndrome

Event	Management
Suspected HLH or MAS	 Permanently discontinue atezolizumab and contact Medical Monitor.
	Consider patient referral to hematologist.
	• Initiate supportive care, including intensive care monitoring if indicated per institutional guidelines.
	Consider initiation of IV corticosteroids and/or an immunosuppressive agent.
	• If event does not improve within 48 hours after initiating corticosteroids, consider adding an immunosuppressive agent.
	• If event resolves to Grade 1 or better, taper corticosteroids over ≥1 month.

 $HLH = hemophagocytic\ lymphohistiocytosis;\ MAS = macrophage\ activation\ syndrome.$

REFERENCES

Adashek ML, Feldman M. Cytokine release syndrome resulting from anti-programmed death-1 antibody: raising awareness among community oncologist. J Oncol Practice 2019;15:502-4.

Lee DW, Santomasso BD, Locke FL, et al. ASTCT consensus grading for cytokine release syndrome and neurologic toxicity associated with immune effector cells. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2019;25:625–38.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 178/Protocol GO29527, Version 8

- McClain KL, Eckstein O. Clinical features and diagnosis of hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. Up to Date [resource on the Internet]. 2014 [updated 29 October 2018; cited: 17 May 2019]. Available from: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/clinical-features-and-diagnosis-of-hemophagocytic-lymphohistiocytosis.
- Ravelli A, Minoia F, Davi S, et al. 2016 classification criteria for macrophage activation syndrome complicating systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology/Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation Collaborative Initiative. Ann Rheum Dis 2016;75:481–9.
- Riegler LL, Jones GP, Lee DW. Current approaches in the grading and management of cytokine release syndrome after chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy. Ther Clin Risk Manag 2019;15:323–35.
- Rotz SJ, Leino D, Szabo S, et al. Severe cytokine release syndrome in a patient receiving PD-1-directed therapy. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2017;64:e26642.

Atezolizumab—F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd 179/Protocol GO29527, Version 8