

### **Open Access**

# Global disparities in availability of epinephrine auto-injectors

Luciana Kase Tanno, MD, PhD<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Margitta Worm, MD, PhD<sup>e</sup>, Motohiro Ebisawa, MD, PhD<sup>f</sup>, Ignacio J. Ansotegui, MD, PhD<sup>9</sup>, Gianenrico Senna, MD, PhD<sup>h</sup>, Stanley Fineman, MD, PhD<sup>i</sup>, Mario Geller, MD<sup>j</sup>, Alexei Gonzalez-Estrada, MD<sup>k</sup>, Dianne E. Campbell, MBBS, FRACP, PhD<sup>I</sup>, Agnes Leung, MBChB<sup>m</sup>, Antonella Muraro, MD, PhD<sup>n</sup>, Michael Levin, MD, PhD<sup>o</sup>, Jose Antonio Ortega Martell, MD, PhD<sup>P</sup>, Marco Caminati, MD<sup>q</sup>, Pavel Kolkhir, MD<sup>r,s</sup>, Duy Le Pham, MD, PhD<sup>t</sup>, Razvigor Darlenski, MD, PhD<sup>u,v</sup>, Ignacio Esteban-Gorgojo, MD<sup>w</sup>, Manuel Rial, MD, PhD<sup>\*</sup>, Ivana Filipovic, MD<sup>y</sup>, Sergio E. Chiarella, MD<sup>z</sup>, Lyda Cuervo-Pardo, MD<sup>aa</sup>, Christina Kwong, MD<sup>ab</sup>, Cezar Fireth Pozo-Beltran, MD<sup>ac</sup>, Tu HK. Trinh, MD, PhD<sup>ad</sup>, Paul A. Greenberger, MD<sup>ae</sup>, Paul J. Turner, FRCPCH, PhD<sup>af</sup>, Bernard Yu-Hor Thong, MBBS, FRCP (Edin)<sup>ag</sup>, Bryan Martin, MD<sup>ah</sup> and Victoria Cardona, MD, PhD<sup>ai</sup>, on behalf of the WAO Anaphylaxis Committee and the WAO Junior Members Steering Group

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Anaphylaxis is the most severe clinical presentation of acute systemic allergic reactions and can cause death. Given the prevalence of anaphylaxis within healthcare systems, it is a high priority public health issue. However, management of anaphylaxis - both acute and preventative - varies by region.

**Methods:** The World Allergy Organization (WAO) Anaphylaxis Committee and the WAO Junior Members Steering Group undertook a global online survey to evaluate local practice in the diagnosis and management of anaphylaxis across regions.

**Results:** Responses were received from WAO members in 66 countries. While intramuscular epinephrine (adrenaline) is first-line treatment for anaphylaxis, some countries continue to recommend alternative routes in contrast to guidelines. Epinephrine auto-injector (EAI) devices, prescribed to individuals at ongoing risk of anaphylaxis in the community setting, are **only available in 60% of countries surveyed**, mainly in high-income countries. Many countries in South America, Africa/Middle-East and Asian-Pacific regions do not have EAI available, or depend on individual importation. In countries where EAIs are commercially available, national policies regarding the availability of EAIs in public settings are limited to few countries (16%). There is no consensus regarding the time patients should be observed following emergency treatment of anaphylaxis.

**Conclusion:** This survey provides a global snapshot view of the current management of anaphylaxis, and highlights key unmet needs including the global availability of epinephrine for self-injection as a key component of anaphylaxis management.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.waojou.2023.100821 Online publication date 20 October 2023

1939-4551/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of World Allergy Organization. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Division of Allergy, Department of Pulmonology, Allergy and Thoracic Oncology, University Hospital of Montpellier, Montpellier, France \*Corresponding author. Division of Allergy, Department of Pulmonology, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, University Hospital of Montpellier, 371, av. du Doyen Gaston Giraud, 34295 cedex 5, Montpellier, France. E-mail: luciana. tanno@gmail.com

Received 6 June 2023; Received in revised from 5 September 2023; Accepted 11 September 2023

**Keywords:** Anaphylaxis, Adrenaline, Adrenaline auto-injector, Epinephrine auto-injector, Management, Treatment, Survey, World allergy organization (WAO)

#### COPING WITH ANAPHYLAXIS

2

#### Anaphylaxis: a hypersensitivity which can be fatal

Since the term "anaphylaxis" was first coined by Charles Richet and Paul Portier,<sup>1</sup> recognition of anaphylaxis as a public health issue has become widespread. Anaphylaxis should be considered a chronic non-communicable condition. It is defined as a serious systemic hypersensitivity reaction that is usually rapid in onset and may cause death. Severe anaphylaxis is characterized by potentially lifethreatening compromise in the airway, breathing and/or the circulation, and may occur without typical skin features or circulatory shock being present,<sup>2,3</sup> requiring prompt identification and treatment.

Regardless of the aetiology or underlying mechanism, intramuscular epinephrine (adrenaline) remains the medication of first choice in the treatment of anaphylaxis. Individuals at ongoing risk of anaphylaxis in the community are ideally prescribed self-injectable epinephrine, preferably as an auto-injector device (EAI), for use in an emergency. Although considered an important public health problem in some countries, it is still not considered a priority in many others, particularly in low-middle income countries.<sup>4</sup>

Due to the variety of different, multi-dimensional clinical presentations, unpredictable risk of fatality, and sudden onset in any setting, the allergy community has designated anaphylaxis as a high priority public health problem. The World Allergy Organization (WAO) is a federation of 108 Member Societies, whose mission is to be a global resource and advocate in the field of allergy, asthma, and clinical immunology, advancing excellence in clinical care through education, research, and training as a worldwide alliance of allergy and clinical immunology societies.<sup>5</sup> Since risk of anaphylaxis has a significant impact in patients' lives, WAO considers the quality care of patients suffering from severe hypersensitivity including diseases, risk of anaphylaxis, as a priority.<sup>5,6</sup>

To inform steps to improve the recognition and management of anaphylaxis, the WAO Anaphylaxis Committee and the WAO Junior Members (JM) Steering Group undertook an international survey focused on the acute and post-acute management of anaphylaxis globally.

#### Surveying the allergy community

An online questionnaire was created and peerreviewed by members of the WAO Anaphylaxis Committee and JM steering group. The protocol was then approved by the WAO Executive Committee and Board of Directors. The final version consisted of 21 questions covering how anaphylaxis is diagnosed and managed in different healthcare settings, including availability of essential drugs and national health policies (Annex 1).

We developed a web-based survey using SurveyMonkey®, in English, which was circulated to members for 9 weeks. Responses were anonymized. The survey was sent to WAO members, including, but not limited to, the representatives of the constituent national societies of WAO, having authority to vote on their behalf, and the WAO JMs, and was also shared though social media. Responses were received from 43 countries representing all regions of WAO member Societies: Africa/Middle-East, Asia-Pacific, Europe, Latin America, and North America (Table 1).

Allergy and Clinical Immunology was recognized as a full specialty (69.3%) or as a subspecialty (17.7%) in the majority of countries. (Table 1). The majority (80.6%) of national allergy societies/associations are recognized by local regulatory bodies or by the Health Ministry.

Around half (55%) of countries surveyed had their own national anaphylaxis guidelines. Most countries in Latin America do not have their own specific guideline, but used international guidelines such as the Latin American Practice Guide for Anaphylaxis,<sup>7</sup>

Global region (total NO responses)	Country (N responses)	National allergy society/ association recognized by local regulatory bodies/health ministry	Availability of national registry of anaphylaxis cases?	Most frequent route of epinephrine (adrenaline) administration during the acute anaphylaxis	How long the patient is kept after the treatment of acute anaphylaxis	Estimated % of anaphylactic patients refereed to the allergists by the EDs
NORTH AMERICA (4)	United States of America (4)	Yes: 100% No: 0 Don't know: 0	Yes: 0 No: 100%	IM: 100% IV infusion: 0 IV bolus: 0 No experience: 0	<4 h 25% 4-8 hrs: 0 8-12 hrs: 25% 12-24 hrs: 25% >24 hrs: 0 Depends: 25%	<10%: 0 10-40%: 50% 40-60%: 25% 60-80%: 25% >80%: 0
SOUTH AMERICA (18)	Argentina (2) Brazil (2) Chile (5) Cuba (1) Ecuador (2) El Salvador (1) Mexico (6) Peru (1) Uruguay (2) Venezuela (1)	Yes: 83% No: 11% Don't know: 6%	Yes: 0 No: 100%	IM: 78% IV infusion: 11% IV bolus: 6% No experience: 6%	<4 h: 0 4-8 hrs: 39% 8-12 hrs: 28% 12-24 hrs: 11% >24 hrs: 6% Depends: 17%	<10%: 17% 10-40%: 45% 40-60%: 17% 60-80%: 11% >80%: 11%
EUROPE (23)	Albania (1) Belgium (1) Bulgaria (2) Croatia (1) Czech Republic (2) France (1) Germany (1) Italy (2) Poland (1) Portugal (2) Romania (1) Serbia (2) Slovenia (1) Spain (3) Ukraine (1) United Kingdom (1)	Yes: 87% No: 4% Don't know: 9%	Yes: 17% No: 83%	IM (82.6) IV infusion (13) IV bolus (2.2) No experience (2.2)	<4 h: 0 4-8 hrs: 26% 8-12 hrs 13% 12-24 hrs: 17% >24 hrs: 9% Depends: 35%	<10%: 0 10-40%: 30% 40-60%: 30% 60-80%: 13% >80%: 26%

Global region (total NO responses)	Country (N responses)	National allergy society/ association recognized by local regulatory bodies/health ministry	Availability of national registry of anaphylaxis cases?	Most frequent route of epinephrine (adrenaline) administration during the acute anaphylaxis	How long the patient is kept after the treatment of acute anaphylaxis	Estimated % of anaphylactic patients refereed to the allergists by the EDs
AFRICA /MIDDLE-EAST (10)	Armenia (2) Belarus (2) Egypt (1) Kenya (1) Morocco (1) Pakistan (2)	Yes: 70% No: 10% Don't know: 20%	Yes: 20% No: 80%	IM: 50% IV infusion: 40% IV bolus: 10% No experience: 0	<4 h: 20% 4-8 hrs: 10% 8-12 hrs: 0 12-24 hrs: 20% >24 hrs: 30% Depends: 20%	<10%: 10% 10-40%: 20% 40-60%: 30% 60-80%: 30% >80%: 10%
ASIA- PACIFIC (17)	Australia (1) India (3) Indonesia (1) Japan (1) Malaysia (1) Philippines (1) Democratic People's Republic of Korea (3) Russia Federation (3) Sri Lanka (1) Viet Nam (3)	Yes: 77% No: 6% Don't know: 18%	Yes: 18% No: 82%	IM: 77% IV infusion: 18% IV bolus: 6% No experience: 0	<4 h (5.9) 4-8 hrs (17.6) 8-12 hrs (17.6) 12-24 hrs (17.6) >24 hrs (29.4) Depends on the severity (11.7)	<10%: 29% 10-40%: 35% 40-60%: 6% 60-80%: 18% >80%: 12%

**Table 1. (Continued)** Participating members, response rates, demographic characteristics, and general characteristics regarding managment and record of anaphylaxis. (ICON = international consensus, IM = intramuscular, IV = intravenous, EDs = emergency departments)

4

the Anaphylaxis: Guidelines from the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (57.7%)<sup>7</sup> and/or the WAO Guidelines for the Assessment and Management of Anaphylaxis (44.5%).<sup>6</sup> Only a minority of countries had a national registry for reporting of anaphylaxis cases (11.3%).

#### Management of acute anaphylaxis and follow-up

Intramuscular injection was the preferred route of adrenaline administration (86%), but the intravenous route is still used in a minority of settings (Table 1). Although most respondents reported observation of patients following anaphylaxis in excess of 4 h, there was wide variation in this, with many commenting that observation was dependent on reaction severity. In addition, the estimated proportion of patients experiencing anaphylaxis in the community who are then seen in the Emergency department (ED) and/or referred to allergy services was widely variable. (Table 1).

Second- and third-line medications for the treatment of the acute phase of anaphylaxis are available in the majority of countries (Table 2). However, some drugs such as Glucagon and Theophylline are mentioned as not available. Table 2 also shows that avoidance of the trigger and referral to the allergist are mainly performed at the ED or primary care prior to discharge. In countries where EAIs are available, it is prescribed in all 3 situations: prior to reaction following risk assessment, in the ED setting and during follow-up in primary care. However, where EAI are not nationally available, injectable adrenaline + syringe and needle are made available by ED and primary care doctors.

## Global availability of epinephrine auto-injectors (EAI)

Most countries in the South America, Africa/ Middle-East and Asian-Pacific regions do not have EAIs available or depend on its importation (Fig. 1). From 15 countries in which EAIs are available through importation, only 40% have them available nationally to the general public (on prescription). In the remaining 60% of countries, special license arrangements on a "named-patient" importation is required.

North America, specifically the United States, has EAIs nationally manufactured, and Anapen®, Auvi-Q®, and Epipen® are the EAIs commercially available in the American market. In Europe, 43.5% of participants reported that AAIs are nationally manufactured and 47.8% mentioned that they are available by importation. The main AAIs available in Europe are: Anapen®, Emerade®, Epipen® (also called Fastjekt® in German-speaking countries, Luxembourg and Italy), and Jext®. By contrast, in Austria is sold as Epipen®.<sup>8</sup> Twenty five percent of the respondents do not prescribe EAIs overall 125 responses, while 33.3% from prescribe 2 AAIs, and a remaining 23.3% restrict the prescription to 1 device, and 18.3% mention that it is dependable of the severity. National policies to availability of AAIs in public settings such as schools, public transports and parks are limited to some European, North American, and Asian Pacific countries (Table 3).

## LESSONS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL SURVEY

This survey provides a worldwide overview of the management of anaphylaxis, and builds on previous WAO surveys, the last of which was conducted in 2010.<sup>7-9</sup> Anaphylaxis is still underrecognized and under-treated, in part, due to variability in diagnostic criteria across different quidelines.<sup>6,7,9,10</sup> As a consequence, this can lead to delays in treatment which can increase the risk of severe outcomes including death. The updated 2020 Anaphylaxis Guidance recently published by the WAO Anaphylaxis Committee seeks to address this by proposing updated diagnostic criteria for anaphylaxis which have been accepted by 53 national and regional member societies of WAO.<sup>2</sup>

Emergency medicines which might be used for the treatment of anaphylaxis, including epinephrine (adrenaline),  $\beta$ 2 adrenergic agonists, H1 antihistamines, corticosteroids, dopamine, glucagon, oxygen, and methylxanthines (eg, theophylline) were available in all the countries surveyed. Concerningly, although epinephrine is the first line treatment of anaphylaxis in all guidelines, H1 antihistamines and corticosteroids remain the most frequently used drugs to treat this condition. **6** Tanno et al. World Allergy Organization Journal (2023) 16:100821 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.waojou.2023.100821

MEDICATIONS USED IN THE EMERGENCY FOR ANAPHYLAXIS	Available, pr and us	Available, prescribed and used		Available, but not prescribed		Not available	
Adrenaline	88.7%	88.7% 55		11.3% 7		0.0% 0	
Beta 2 - mimetics	70.2%	70.2% 40		26.3% 15		3.5% 2	
Antihistamines	95.0%	95.0% 58		4.9% 3		0.0% 0	
Corticosteroids	95.7%	95.7% 59		4.8% 3		0.0% 0	
Dopamine	37.9%	37.9% 22		60.3% 35		1.7% 1	
Glucagon	31.0%	31.0% 18		62.0% 36		6.9% 4	
Oxygen	86.7%	86.7% 52		11.6% 7		1.6% 1	
Theophylline	33.3%	33.3% 19		61.4% 35		5.3% 3	
RECOMMENDATIONS FOLLOWING THE ANAPHYLACTIC REACTION	Already in the place prior to reaction	At the en departmer care p disch	nergency nt/primary rior to arge	In prima care duri follow-up	ary ng a visit	Nothing	Total
Avoidance of the trigger	31.1% 19	54.19	% 33	11.5%	7	3.3% 2	61
Adrenaline auto-injectors	25.4% 15	23.7% 14		23.7% 14		27.1% 16	59
Adrenaline inhalator	1.9% 1	9.49	% 5	5.66%	3	83.0% 44	53
Injectable adrenaline + syringe and needle	17.8% 10	32.19	% 18	17.8%	10	32.1% 18	56
Antihistamines	36.0% 22	49.29	% 30	9.8%	6	4.9% 3	61
Corticosteroids	38.3% 23	50.09	% 30	6.7%	4	5.0% 3	60
Inhaled beta-adrenergics	24.1% 14	29.39	% 17	20.7%	12	25.8% 15	58
Training in emergency management plan (including drug training)	20.7% 12	22.49	% 13	29.3%	17	27.6% 16	58
Referral to allergy (or other) specialist	19.6% 12	50.89	% 31	26.2%	16	3.3% 2	61
Specific immunotherapy	17.8% 10	3.69	% 2	42.8%	24	35.7% 20	56

Table 2. Medications used in the emergency for anaphylaxis and recommendations following the anaphylactic reaction

The survey indicates that EAIs are available in 60% of countries where responses were received: mainly in high-income countries.<sup>9</sup> However, a study in 2018 reported that EAIs are only available in 32% of world countries, absent mainly in low- and middle-income countries.<sup>11</sup> In some countries, EAIs are only available by importation and with high cost. This category includes Epipen in Europe. Epipen in many European countries costs 10 times less than in the United States, despite being imported. We highlight that importation on a national scale is different from importation by individuals, in which the cost is generally covered by the patient. In regions where EAIs are commercially available, national policies regarding their availability in public settings are limited to a minority of countries (16%). There are also variations in terms of the number of EAIs prescribed to an individual patient.



#### **GLOBAL AVAILABILITY OF EPINEPHRINE AUTO-INJECTORS**

\* Available nationally to the general public (on prescription): Argentina, Australia, Belgium, Croatia, Malaysia, Republic of Korea, Singapore Fig. 1 Global availability of epinephrine auto-injectors according to the WAO anaphylaxis survey.

In some countries where EAIs are not available through official distribution networks (Fig. 1), they are available through distribution by special license arrangements, on a "named-patient" basis, or informally through the so-called "suitcase trade". This latter, unofficial source is unreliable because of the possibility of interruptions in the supply. Furthermore, shipping and storing EAIs under conditions outside the recommended temperature range is not recommended as this can lead to degradation of the adrenaline (epinephrine) content or even device malfunction. Where EAIs are not available, some patients and families will order them online from an international pharmacy or travel to another country to purchase them, although this clearly depends on the family's financial means.<sup>11-14</sup> Recent WAO Anaphylaxis guidance suggests the prescription of pre-filled syringes of adrenaline as an alternative to EAIs where these are not available.<sup>6</sup>

Although intramuscular epinephrine is recommended as the first line treatment for anaphylaxis, intravenous administration was estimated to be used preferentially in 10-20% cases in some countries. Adverse effects of epinephrine (adrenaline) via the intramuscular route are mild, well known and predictable such as tachycardia, headache, pallor, and tremor. Severe adverse effects (ventricular arrhythmias, pulmonary oedema, malignant hypertension, and intracranial haemorrhage) are rare but are more likely with the intravenous route of administration in the non-cardiac arrest setting, particularly bolus administration; dosing errors with intravenous use are also more common, increasing the risk of adverse events.<sup>15-17</sup> Our data show that in real life the time taken to act after an episode of anaphylaxis is extremely variable, depending on the health system of the countries in which the event occurs, as well as on the severity of the specific episode.

There is still a lack of consensus regarding how long an individual should be kept under observation in a medical facility following anaphylaxis. Currently, it still is an issue of debate.<sup>18,19</sup>

Most cases of anaphylaxis are first seen by emergency doctors or general practitioners.<sup>20</sup> However, only 50% of patients are referred to allergists for further investigation and management. These data highlight the need of optimizing referral pathways for patients at risk of anaphylaxis and implementing education and training programs.

Epidemiological data are key to tailor public health interventions and investments of health, such as the availability of EAIs. Limited comparable epidemiological studies or research to increase understanding and to develop diagnostic and predictive tests remain crucial unmet needs. Few

Global Region (NO responses)	National availability of epinephrine auto-injectors (%)	Epinephrine auto-injectors commercially available nationally (N) **more than one response allowed	Number of epinephrine auto-injectors prescribed to anaphylactic patient (%)	National policies to availability of epinephrine auto-injectors in public settings (%)
NORTH AMERICA (4)	Yes, nationally manufactured (100.0) Yes, by importation only (0.0) No (0.0)	Anapen <sup>®</sup> (1) Emerade <sup>®</sup> (0) Epipen <sup>®</sup> (4) Jext <sup>®</sup> (0) Auvi-Q <sup>®</sup> (4) Other (1)	1 (0.0) 2 (100.0) >2 (0.0) Depends on the severity of the reaction (0.0) Not prescribed (0.0)	Yes (50.0) No (25.0) Other (25.0)
SOUTH AMERICA (18)	Yes, nationally manufactured (0.0) Yes, by importation only (27.8) No (72.2)	Anapen <sup>®</sup> (2) Emerade <sup>®</sup> (1) Epipen <sup>®</sup> (5) Jext <sup>®</sup> (0) Auvi-Q <sup>®</sup> (1) Other (0)	1 (11.1) 2 (33.3) >2 (0.0) Depends on the severity of the reaction (0.0) Not prescribed (55.5)	Yes (0.0) No (100.0) Other (0.0)
EUROPE (23)	Yes, nationally manufactured (43.5) Yes, by importation only (47.8) No (8.7)	Anapen <sup>®</sup> (8) Emerade <sup>®</sup> (7) Epipen <sup>®</sup> (13) Jext <sup>®</sup> (8) Auvi-Q <sup>®</sup> (1) Other (2)	1 (34.8) 2 (34.8) >2 (0.0) Depends on the severity of the reaction (21.7) Not prescribed (8.7)	Yes (78.3) No (21.7) Other (0.0)
AFRICA/MIDDLE-EAST (10)	Yes, nationally manufactured (0.0) Yes, by importation only (10.0) No (90.0)	Anapen <sup>®</sup> (1) Emerade <sup>®</sup> (0) Epipen <sup>®</sup> (5) Jext <sup>®</sup> (0) Auvi-Q <sup>®</sup> (0) Other (0)	1 (0.0) 2 (0.0) >2 (0.0) Depends on the severity of the reaction (30.0) Not prescribed (70.0)	Yes (0.0) No (100.0) Other (0.0)

œ

#### Volume 16, No. 10, October 2023

Yes (23.5) No (76.5) Other (0.0)
1 (29.4) 2 (17.6)>2 (0.0) Depends on the severity of the reaction (11.8) Not prescribed (41.2)
Anapen <sup>®</sup> (1) Emerade <sup>®</sup> (1) Epipen <sup>®</sup> (11) Jext <sup>®</sup> (1) Auvi-Q <sup>®</sup> (1) Other (0)
Yes, nationally manufactured (17.6) Yes, by importation only (41.2) No (41.2)
ASIA-PACIFIC (17)

Table 3. Worldwide view of availability and use of epinephrine auto-injectors

countries have national or regional anaphylaxis registries and data can differ widely depending on a variety of factors, such as patterns of drug/food consumption.<sup>3</sup> The most widely discussed issues in the epidemiology of anaphylaxis over the last 10 years are: (I) regional variations in concepts and definitions, (II) whether prevalence or incidence is the best measure of the frequency of anaphylaxis in the general population, (III) misclassification and difficulties of coding anaphylaxis though international classification systems,<sup>21,22</sup> and (IV) whether the increasing incidence published is real or reflects different methodologies and definitions used. Large prospective populationbased studies can increase our understanding of optimal patient management following the occurrence of anaphylaxis, for example recurrence rates. The implementation of anaphylaxis mortality and morbidity data through the ICD-11 may be a key instrument to achieve this.21-26

An important limitation of this survey is the different response rate by region and lack of responses from some countries. For example, the North America region has been represented by the United States only. We are aware that safe outcomes on an "anaphylaxis study" can be deducted only if a global survey, including the official National Position Statements, is performed. However, we considered the quality of these responses and considered them an estimate of reallife practice. We consider it unlikely that variations in response rate affected the overall data quality and analysis. Other factors, which may have influenced in the number of responses are: considerably high number of questions, limitation to access the online questionnaire, difficulties with the English language. Since the survey has been shared though social media it was not possible to access the response rate. Information of prevalence/incidence of anaphylaxis is limited in developing countries, which may be the reflex of undernotification, underdiagnosis and limited access to medical services.

This survey provides a global snapshot of the management of anaphylaxis and has flagged key unmet needs which must be addressed to improve the care of individuals at risk for anaphylaxis. WAO,<sup>5</sup> as an international federation, together with the Montpellier World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Centre,<sup>27</sup> are involved in all

10 Tanno et al. World Allergy Organization Journal (2023) 16:100821 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.waojou.2023.100821

actions to improve the quality of care of allergic patients, including the global availability of EAIs. These data are important to support future changes and harmonization, and to increase the quality of care received by individuals at risk of anaphylaxis patients.

#### Abbreviations

EAI: epinephrine auto-injector; BAT: Basophil activation test; ED: Emergency department; ICD: International Classification of Diseases; WAO: World Allergy Organization; WAO JMs: World Allergy Organization Junior Members; WHO: World Health Organization.

#### Funding

Luciana Kase Tanno received an unrestricted ANS grant through CHRUM administration and a research AllerGOS grant.

#### Availability of data and materials

Raw data may be available after acceptance of the article and per request.

#### Authors' contributions

The first and last authors contributed to the construction of the document (designed the study, designed the questionnaire, analysed and interpreted the data, and wrote the manuscript). All the authors critically revised and approved the final version of the manuscript and agree to be accountable for all the aspects of the work.

#### **Ethics** approval

Not applicable. Responses for the survey were volunteer and data was anonymized.

#### Authors' consent for publication

All the authors consent for publication.

#### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they do not have any conflict of interests related to the contents of this article.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank for their support the WAO leadership for the endorsement of the initiative. Also, the authors would like to thank the WAO HQs for their availability and support to disseminate the survey and collect the data.

The authors would also like to express their gratitude to all the participants for their contributions.

#### Author details

<sup>a</sup>Division of Allergy, Department of Pulmonology, Allergy and Thoracic Oncology, University Hospital of Montpellier, Montpellier, France. <sup>b</sup>Desbrest Institute of Epidemiology and Public Health, UMR UA11 University of Montpellier - INSERM, France. WHO Collaborating Centre on Scientific Classification Support, Montpellier, France. <sup>d</sup>Department of Pulmonology, Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, University Hospital of Montpellier, 371, av. du Doyen Gaston Giraud, 34295 Cedex 5, Montpellier, France. <sup>e</sup>Allergie-Centrum-Charité, Klinik für Dermatologie, Venerologie und Allergologie, Campus Charité Mitte, Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany, <sup>f</sup>Clinical Research Center for Allergy and Rheumatology, National Hospital Organization Sagamihara National Hospital, Sagamihara, Japan. <sup>9</sup>Department of Allergy and Immunology, Hospital Quironsalud Bizkaia, Bilbao, Spain. <sup>h</sup>Department of Medicine, Allergy Asthma and Clinical Immunology Section, University of Verona, Verona, Italy. <sup>i</sup>Atlanta Allergy & Asthma, Department of Pediatrics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. <sup>j</sup>Section of Medicine of the Brazilian Academy of Medicine of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. <sup>k</sup>Division of Allergy, Asthma and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ, USA. <sup>I</sup>The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. "The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Department of Pediatrics, Prince of Wales Hospital, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of China. <sup>n</sup>Department of Mother and Child Health University of Padua Padua, Italy. <sup>o</sup>Division of Paediatric Allergology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. <sup>P</sup>Department of Immunology, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Pachuca, Hidalgo, Mexico. <sup>q</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Verona, Verona, Italy. <sup>r</sup>Institute of Allergology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany. <sup>s</sup>Fraunhofer Institute for Translational Medicine and Pharmacology ITMP, Allergology and Immunology, Berlin, Germany. <sup>t</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet nam. <sup>u</sup>Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Acibadem City Clinic Tokuda Hospital Sofia, 51B Nikola Vaptsarov Blvd., 1407, Sofia, Bulgaria. <sup>v</sup>Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Trakia University-Stara Zagora, Stara Zagora, Bulgaria. <sup>w</sup>Department of Allergy, Hospital General de Villalba, Madrid, Spain. \*Allergology Section, Complexo Hospitalario Universitario A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain. <sup>y</sup>University Hospital Dr Dragisa Misovic, Serbia. <sup>z</sup>Division of Allergic Diseases, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. <sup>aa</sup>Division of Rheumatology, Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, University of Florida, USA. <sup>ab</sup>Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, AZ, USA. <sup>ac</sup>Hospital Infantil de México Federico Gómez, Mexico. <sup>ad</sup>Center for Molecular Biomedicine, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet nam. <sup>ae</sup>Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, III, USA. <sup>af</sup>National Heart &

Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK. <sup>ag</sup>Department of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore. <sup>ah</sup>Medicine and Pediatrics, The Ohio State University in Columbus, Ohio, USA. <sup>ai</sup>Allergy Section, Department of Internal Medicine, Hospital Vall d'Hebron, and ARADyAL Research Network, Spain.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Portier P, Richet C. De l'action anaphylactique de certains venins. *C R Séances Soc Biol*. 1902;54:170.
- Turner PJ, Worm M, Ansotegui IJ, et al. WAO Anaphylaxis Committee. Time to revisit the definition and clinical criteria for anaphylaxis? *World Allergy Organ J.* 2019 Oct 31;12(10): 100066.
- 3. Tanno LK, Bierrenbach AL, Simons FER, et al. On behalf the Joint Allergy Academies. Critical view of anaphylaxis epidemiology: open questions and new perspectives. Allergy Asthma. *Clin Immunol.* 2018 Apr 4;14:12.
- Hossny E, Ebisawa M, El-Gamal Y, et al. Challenges of managing food allergy in the developing world. World Allergy Organ J. 2019 Dec 2;12(11), 100089.
- 5. World Allergy Organization website (available: https://www. worldallergy.org/, assecced June 2022).
- Cardona V, Ansotegui I, Ebisawa M, et al. On behalf of the WAO anaphylaxis committee. WAO anaphylaxis guidance 2020. World Allergy Organ J. 2020 Oct 30;13(10), 100472.
- Muraro A, Roberts G, Worm M, et al. EAACI food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines group. Anaphylaxis: guidelines from the European Academy of allergy and clinical immunology. *Allergy*. 2014 Aug;69(8):1026-1045.
- List of the names, pharmaceutical form(s), strength(s) of the medicinal product(s), route(s) of administration, marketing authorisation holder(s) in the Member States (available: https:// ec.europa.eu/health/documents/community-register/2015/ 20150814132578/anx\_132578\_en.pdf, assecced September 2023).
- Turner PJ, Cardona V. Clinical criteria for anaphylaxis: comparing apples and pears. World Allergy Organ J. 2023 Jul 12;16(7), 100798.
- Cardona V, Álvarez-Perea A, Ansotegui-Zubeldia IJ, et al. Guía de Actuación en Anafilaxia en Latinoamérica. Galaxia-Latam [Clinical Practice Guide for Anaphylaxis in Latin America (Galaxia-Latam)]. Rev Alerg Mex. 2019;66(Suppl 2):1-39.
- Tanno LK, Demoly P. Action plan to reach the global availability of adrenaline auto-injectors. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2018;29. https://doi.org/10.18176/jiaci.0346.
- 12. Simons FE, World Allergy Organization. World Allergy Organization survey on global availability of essentials for the assessment and management of anaphylaxis by allergyimmunology specialists in health care settings. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2010 May;104(5):405-412.
- **13.** Simons FE, World Allergy Organization. Epinephrine autoinjectors: first-aid treatment still out of reach for many at risk of

anaphylaxis in the community. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2009 May;102(5):403-409.

- Simons FE. Lack of worldwide availability of epinephrine autoinjectors for outpatients at risk of anaphylaxis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2005 May;94(5):534-538.
- Pouessel G, Petitpain N, Tanno LK, Gautier S. Collaborators of the anaphylaxis working group of the French allergy society. Adverse drug reactions from adrenaline auto-injectors: analysis of the French pharmacovigilance database. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2023 Sep;53(9):955-958.
- Cardona V, Ferré-Ybarz L, Guilarte M, et al, AdreSCAIC Research Group. Safety of adrenaline use in anaphylaxis: a multicentre register. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* 2017;173(3): 171-177.
- Tanno LK, Alvarez-Perea A, Pouessel G. Therapeutic approach of anaphylaxis. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2019 Aug;19(4):393-401.
- Shaker M, Wallace D, Golden DBK, Oppenheimer J, Greenhawt M. Simulation of health and economic benefits of extended observation of resolved anaphylaxis. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(10), e1913951-e1913951.
- Simard D, Bouchard V, Plourde A, et al. Factors influencing emergency department observation time following anaphylaxis: a systematic review. *CJEM*. 2021 Jul;23(4):480-493.
- Ryan D, Flokstra-de Blok BMJ, Clark E, et al. Allergic and hypersensitivity conditions in non-specialist care: flow diagrams to support clinical practice. *Allergy*. 2022 Sep;77(9): 2618-2633. https://doi.org/10.1111/all.15273.
- Tanno LK, Calderon MA, Goldberg BJ, Akdis CA, Papadopoulos NG, Demoly P. Categorization of allergic disorders in the new world health organization international classification of diseases. *Clin Transl Allergy*. 2014;4:42.
- Tanno LK, Ganem F, Demoly P, Toscano CM, Bierrenbach AL. Undernotification of anaphylaxis deaths in Brazil due to difficult coding under the ICD-10. *Allergy*. 2012;67:783-789.
- Tanno LK, Bierrenbach AL, Calderon MA, Sheikh A, Simons FE, Demoly P. Joint allergy academies. Decreasing the undernotification of anaphylaxis deaths in Brazil through the international classification of diseases (ICD)-11 revision. *Allergy*. 2017;72:120-125.
- 24. Tanno LK, Chalmers R, Bierrenbach AL, et al. On behalf joint allergy academies. Changing the history of anaphylaxis mortality statistics through the world health organization's international classification of diseases (ICD)-11. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019 Sep;144(3):627-633.
- Tanno LK, Calderon MA, Demoly P. On behalf the joint allergy academies. New allergic and hypersensitivity conditions section in the international classification of diseases-11. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res.* 2016;8:383-388.
- World Health Organization website (available: https://icd.who. int/en, accessed June 2022).
- World health organization website (available: https://apps. who.int/whocc/Detail.aspx?95J3tT1yeaObA9mG0baR9Q==, accessed June 2022).