Check for updates

## **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Fernando Cendes, State University of Campinas, Brazil

★CORRESPONDENCE Tomotaka Tanaka tanakat@ncvc.go.jp

RECEIVED 11 October 2023 ACCEPTED 16 October 2023 PUBLISHED 02 November 2023

#### CITATION

Tanaka T, Gyanwali B and Tomari S (2023) Editorial: Epilepsy and dementia in stroke survivors. *Front. Neurol.* 14:1320031. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1320031

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Tanaka, Gyanwali and Tomari. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Epilepsy and dementia in stroke survivors

## Tomotaka Tanaka<sup>1\*</sup>, Bibek Gyanwali<sup>2</sup> and Shinya Tomari<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Memory Ageing and Cognition Centre, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, <sup>3</sup>Hunter Medical Research Institute, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, NSW, Australia

### KEYWORDS

post-stroke epilepsy, vascular dementia, stroke survivor, complication, epilepsy, dementia, superficial siderosis, small vessel diseases

## Editorial on the Research Topic Epilepsy and dementia in stroke survivors

Stroke survivors present with a wide range of neurological, physical, and psychological sequela and complications. Post-stroke epilepsy (PSE) and vascular dementia (VaD), significantly impact both the quality of life and clinical outcomes for these individuals. As advances in acute stroke treatment have led to reduced mortality rates, our aging population has increased the number of stroke survivors, making it crucial to understand and address PSE and VaD.

Approximately 5-10% of stroke survivors suffer from PSE, and identifying the risk factors for PSE is of utmost importance (1). Numerous studies have been conducted in the past, leading to the development of CAVE (2) and SeLECT (3) scores for risk stratification of PSE. Furthermore, it has been reported that status epilepticus in early seizure and cortical superficial siderosis were associated with PSE (4, 5). Costa et al. also highlight the association between hemorrhagic strokes, younger age, and extended hospital stays with PSE in Umbria. Do et al. investigate predictive factors for PSE in stroke survivors under the age of 45. They identify seizures at the first admission of stroke, stroke severity, recurrent strokes, and drug abuse as significant predictors, while statin use is linked to a lower risk of PSE. Other studies have also reported that statins might have a prophylactic effect against PSE (6). The anti-epileptogenic effect might be derived from statin's pleiotropic effects, such as its anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and anti-thrombotic properties (7). However, rigorous randomized controlled trials are needed to establish conclusive evidence. Moreover, recent advances in genetic testing have led to the identification of specific genes and risk genes associated with various diseases, including PSE. A systematic review found that TRMP6 rs2274924, ALDH2 rs671, and CD40-1C/T were significantly associated (8). Gao et al. also report the genetic factors contributing to PSE by using bioinformatics analysis in subarachnoid hemorrhage (9). Exploring genetic backgrounds and identifying risks of PSE promise to shed further light on the complexities of PSE and play crucial roles in primary prevention research.

Small vessel diseases (SVD), including cerebral microbleeds, white matter hyperintensities, lacunes, and enlargements of the perivascular space, have gained attention as imaging biomarkers in the elderly. These features have been associated with depression, epilepsy, and VaD. Furthermore, since these markers frequently co-occur, composite scoring systems such as the SVD score have become renowned for stratifying the risk of VaD and related conditions (10). However, we still lack a clear understanding of the specific challenges faced by individuals with severe SVD scores. Lin et al. provide valuable insights into factors associated with dementia, particularly the role of subcortical white matter hyperintensities and lacunes in those with multiple cerebral microbleeds. Their findings suggest the potential for personalized treatment for severe SVD cases. Recent advances in amyloid antibody therapy have brought attention to diagnosing states resembling early stages such as prodromal or preclinical dementia. Ji et al. find that 13.7% of participants exhibit cognitive improvement over 1 year after stroke in patients with subjective cognitive complaints. Factors such as gender, baseline MoCA scores, coffee consumption, and thalamus strokes are associated with these improvements. These findings might help identify patients who may benefit from early intervention.

Regarding the prevention of dementia, intensive blood pressure management, aiming for a systolic blood pressure goal of <120 mm Hg, has been reported to reduce the risk of dementia in the SPRINT MIND study (11). Wu et al. reveal that the prevalence of post-stroke dementia among stroke survivors in China is 17.8%. Aside from aging and educational level, poorly controlled blood pressure is an independent risk factor for dementia. However, the optimal blood pressure range for elderly individuals and those with severe extraor intracranial stenosis remains an important question for future research.

In summary, the interactions between post-stroke complications, including PSE and VaD, remain a critical concern for stroke survivors. The advancement of acute stroke treatments through thrombolysis and endovascular therapies has significantly reduced mortality rates, resulting in a growing population of stroke survivors. Understanding the post-stroke complications, such as PSE and VaD, has now become imperative. The studies discussed offer glimpses into the problems of stroke survivors and leave us

## References

1. Tanaka T, Ihara M. Post-stroke epilepsy. Neurochem Int. (2017) 107:219-28. doi: 10.1016/j.neuint.2017.02.002

2. Haapaniemi E, Strbian D, Rossi C, Putaala J, Sipi T, Mustanoja S, et al. The CAVE score for predicting late seizures after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke.* (2014) 45:1971-6. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.004686

3. Galovic M, Döhler N, Erdélyi-Canavese B, Felbecker A, Siebel P, Conrad J, et al. Prediction of late seizures after ischaemic stroke with a novel prognostic model (the SeLECT score): a multivariable prediction model development and validation study. *The Lancet Neurol.* (2018) 17:143–52. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30404-0

4. Sinka L, Abraira L, Imbach LL, Zieglgänsberger D, Santamarina E, Álvarez-Sabín J. Association of mortality and risk of epilepsy with type of acute symptomatic seizure after ischemic stroke and an updated prognostic model. *JAMA Neurol.* (2023) 80:605–13. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2023.0611

5. Tanaka T, Fukuma K, Abe S, Matsubara S, Ikeda S, Kamogawa N, et al. Association of cortical superficial siderosis with post-stroke epilepsy. *Ann Neurol.* (2023) 93:357–70. doi: 10.1002/ana.26497

6. Fang J, Tuo M, Ouyang K, Xu Y. Statin on post-stroke epilepsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Neurosci. (2021) 83:83–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jocn.2020.11.023

hopeful for personalized interventions and a brighter future for stroke survivors.

# Author contributions

TT: Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. BG: Writing—review & editing. ST: Writing—review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

7. Hufthy Y, Bharadwaj M, Gupta S, Hussain D, Joseph PJS, Khan A, et al. Statins as antiepileptogenic drugs: analyzing the evidence and identifying the most promising statin. *Epilepsia*. (2022) 63:1889–98. doi: 10.1111/epi.17303

8. Misra S, Quinn TJ, Falcone GJ, Sharma VK, de Havenon A, Zhao Y, et al. Impact of genetic polymorphisms on the risk of epilepsy amongst patients with acute brain injury: a systematic review. *Eur J Neurol.* (2023) 30:1791–800. doi: 10.1111/ene.15777

9. Gao J, Seki T, Usami K, Fan D, Ikeda A, Kawakami K. Complications associated with the use of enzyme-inducing and non-enzyme-inducing anti-seizure medications in the Japanese population: a retrospective cohort study. *Epilepsy Behav.* (2022) 129:108610. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2022.108610

10. Wardlaw Smith EE, Biessels GJ, Cordonnier C, Fazekas JM, et al. Neuroimaging standards for F, Frayne R, research into small disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration. vessel The Lancet Neurol. (2013) 12:822-38. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(13) 70124-8

11. Williamson JD, Pajewski NM, Auchus AP, Bryan RN, Chelune G, Cheung AK. Effect of intensive vs standard blood pressure control on probable dementia: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2019) 321:553–61. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018. 21442