RESEARCH ARTICLE



REVISED Prevalence and determinants of obesity among

individuals with diabetes in Indonesia [version 4; peer review:

2 approved]

Mahalul Azam¹, Luluk Fadhoh Sakinah¹, Martha Irene Kartasurya², Arulita Ika Fibriana¹, Tania Tedjo Minuljo³, Syed Mohamed Aljunid⁴

¹Department of Public Health, Faculty of Sports Science, Universitas Negeri Semarang, Semarang, Jawa Tengah, 50229, Indonesia ²Department of Public Health Nutrition, Faculty of Public Health, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Jawa Tengah, 50275, Indonesia ³Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Dr. Kariadi General Hospital, Semarang, Jawa Tengah, 50244, Indonesia

⁴Department of Health Policy and Management, Faculty of Public Health, Kuwait University, Kuwait City, 11311, Kuwait

✓4 First published: 16 Sep 2022, 11:1063 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.125549.1 Second version: 10 Feb 2023, 11:1063 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.125549.2 Third version: 07 Jun 2023, 11:1063 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.125549.3 Latest published: 16 Oct 2023, 11:1063 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.125549.4

Abstract

Background: Obesity and diabetes mellitus (DM), both individually or simultaneously, increase the risk of morbidity and mortality. The present study aimed to determine the prevalence and determinants of obesity among diabetic individuals in Indonesia.

Methods: Data were extracted based on 2018 Indonesian Basic Health Survey (Riset Kesehatan Dasar=RISKESDAS). This study involved all individuals with DM and categorized obesity based on body mass index. After data clearing, this study analyzed 3911 DM subjects of the 33.905 subjects acquired from the 2018 RISKESDAS. The study also observed demographic data, diabetes control parameters, history of hypertension, lipid profiles, and food consumption patterns. These variables were involved in a Chi-square test, and related variables were then involved in the Binary logistic regression to define the independent determinants of obesity among DM subjects.

Results: Of the 3911 DM subjects included, the study found an obesity prevalence of 32.9%. This study found that female (prevalence odds ratio [POR]=2.15; 95% CI: 1.76-2.62), age 15-44 years (POR=2.46; 95% CI: 1.83-3.33), urban residence (POR=1.49; 95% CI: 1.25-1.77), history

Open Peer Review				
Approval Stat	us 💙 🂙			
	1	2		
version 4				
(revision) 16 Oct 2023		view		
version 3		2		
(revision) 07 Jun 2023	view	view		
version 2	2			
(revision) 10 Feb 2023	view			
version 1	?			
16 Sep 2022	view			

Laurentia K. Mihardja ⁽¹⁾, National Research and Innovation Agency, Jakarta, Indonesia

Malahayati University, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

2. Emmanuel Ekpor (D), University of Ghana, Accra, Ghana of hypertension (POR=1.25; 95% CI: 1.04-1.51), high diastolic blood pressure (POR=1.90; 95% CI: 1.58-2.29), high LDL (POR=1.44; 95% CI: 1.13-1.84), high HDL (POR=0.60; 95% CI: 0.46-0.78, and high triglycerides (POR=1.27; 95% CI: 1.07-1.50) were the risk factor of obesity among DM subjects; while higher education (POR=0.64; 95% CI: 0.53-0.78) and married (POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59-0.90) were protective factors of obesity among DM subjects.

Conclusions: The study concluded that almost one-third of DM subjects in Indonesia were obese. Female, age, urban residence, education level, history of hypertension, diastolic blood pressure, and lipid profiles were all associated with obesity among DM subjects in Indonesia. These findings suggest that monitoring and controlling of related determinants is needed to prevent complications caused by the doubled burden of diabetes and obesity.

Keywords

prevalence, determinant, diabetes mellitus, obesity, Indonesia



This article is included in the Global Public Health gateway.



This article is included in the <mark>Sociology of</mark>

Health gateway.

Corresponding author: Mahalul Azam (mahalul.azam@mail.unnes.ac.id)

Author roles: Azam M: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Sakinah LF: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Kartasurya MI: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; Kartasurya MI: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Fibriana AI: Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Minuljo TT: Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Aljunid SM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: This work was supported by Research Grant of Institute for Research and Community Services, Universitas Negeri Semarang.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2023 Azam M *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Azam M, Sakinah LF, Kartasurya MI *et al.* **Prevalence and determinants of obesity among individuals with diabetes in Indonesia [version 4; peer review: 2 approved]** F1000Research 2023, **11**:1063 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.125549.4

First published: 16 Sep 2022, 11:1063 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.125549.1

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

REVISED Amendments from Version 3

This version updates some changes, such as fixing the grammatical and clear statements. We also added a subject recruitment chart and added some references to make more comprehensive discussions.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Introduction

Non-communicable (NCD) diseases are the most contributed cause of death worldwide.¹ Obesity is related to NCD's increased morbidity and mortality, including diabetes mellitus (DM).¹ The global prevalence of obesity in adults has nearly doubled since 1980.² In 2015, the prevalence of obesity, classified as a body mass index (BMI) $\ge 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$ in Indonesia, was 30.4%.³

The prevalence of DM globally was estimated at 9.3% (463 million) in 2019. It is predicted to increase to 10.2% (578 million) in 2030.⁴ In 2014, diabetes mellitus (DM) was responsible for 6.7% of all recorded deaths in Indonesia.⁵ Over the subsequent six years,^{6,7} this percentage increased, leading to Indonesia's rank rising to 9th in the world for DM-related mortality.⁸ Based on the Indonesian Basic Health Survey (Riset Kesehatan Dasar=RISKESDAS), the DM prevalence rate significantly increased from 6.9% in 2013 to 10.9% in 2018.^{9,10}

Obesity increases the risk of DM, hypertension, dyslipidemia, stroke, cancer, coronary heart disease, and obstructive sleep apnea.^{2,11–13} Obesity contributes to insulin resistance, which is associated with DM conditions.¹⁴ Obesity increased the release of non-esterified fatty acids, glycerol, hormones, and pro-inflammatory cytokines in adipose tissue, affecting insulin resistance conditions.¹⁵ Severe obesity in childhood and adolescence is associated with the increased risk of DM in youth and young adults.¹⁶ Obesity is related to the high increase in carbohydrate intake that causes insulin resistance in genetically predisposed individuals.¹⁶ The obese-year describes the severity and the duration of obesity, and the age of onset was the independent predictor for type 2 DM.¹⁷ Overweight and obesity have been associated with the poor control of blood glucose levels, blood pressure, and cholesterol among DM subjects.¹⁸ Indeed, obesity is well known as a major modifiable risk factor for type 2 DM.^{11,19}

A study in Saudi Arabia revealed that the prevalence of obesity among DM was 38.3%, and non-smoker homemakers were the most affected.²⁰ A previous study in Tanzania observed that the majority of type 2 DM patients (85%) were overweight (44.9%) or obese (40.1%).²¹ Among them, 33.7% were overweight/obese after being diagnosed with type 2 DM, and the prevalence was significantly higher in women.²¹ In Turkey, the prevalence of obesity among DM individuals in 1999 was 35.6%, which increased with age.²² In the US, the prevalence of obesity among adults with diagnosed DM in 2004 was 54.8%,²³ while among young aged less than 20 years old with type 2 DM, most of them were obese (79.4%).²⁴ Previous studies had reported the prevalence of obesity among DM and its related factors — which had a pivotal role in the comprehensive management of diabetes subjects as well as describing the risk factors and the poor control of diabetes. However, to our knowledge, no study has reported the prevalence of obesity among DM patients, especially in an extensive national survey in Indonesia. The present study aimed to explore the prevalence and independent determinants of obesity among DM subjects in Indonesia using national survey data.

Methods

Study design

Data were extracted from 2018 RISKESDAS, a five-annual national health cross-sectional survey managed by the National Institute of Health Research and Development (NIHRD), Ministry of Health, Republic of Indonesia. RISKES-DAS survey was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Health Research and Development (NIHRD), Ministry of Health, Republic of Indonesia. At this time, this 2018 survey was the latest RISKESDAS survey conducted. The target sample subjects of RISKESDAS 2018 were the same as the other national surveys conducted by the Indonesian Central Bureau of Statistics. To minimize the selection bias, the sampling was done using the census block system with the target of 300,000 households visited from 30,000 census blocks. The RISKESDAS' respondents were selected from all household members. The detailed study protocol was published in the official RISKESDAS report²⁵ and other publications.²⁶ In total, respondents in the RISKESDAS were 1,017,290 subjects from 416 districts and 98 cities in 34 provinces.

Sample and variables

The study population was all individuals with diabetes mellitus, those who were previously diagnosed by a doctor with/ without medication, and those who were tested using a rapid plasma glucose test. Fasting plasma glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL or 2 hours postprandial and random plasma glucose levels ≥ 200 mg/dL with classic symptoms of polyuria, polydipsia, polyphagia, and weight loss or blood glucose at 2 hours after a glucose load ≥ 200 mg/dl or the use of glucose-lowering drugs.²⁵ For the subjects aged ≥ 19 years old, BMI ≥ 27 kg/m² was categorized as obese, while among the subjects aged 15-18 years old, the z score of BMI for age > 2 were categorized as obese.²⁵ The measurement of weight and height were performed previously to define BMI. The number of pregnant women was ignored. We categorized educational level as high for the subjects who graduated from senior high school or higher and low for those who completed their junior high school or lower. Age was categorized as young adults for subjects aged 15-44 years, middle-aged adults for 45-64 years and senior adults for subjects aged 65 years and over. The detailed food frequency and physical activity questionnaires were published previously in the study protocol.²⁵ The physical activity questionnaire was modified from the WHO Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ).²⁷ The subjects were categorized as "lack of physical activity" if the subjects met the sedentary or low physical activity criteria and "adequate" if the subjects met moderate to vigorous physical activity, which reached ≥ 1500 metabolic equivalent (MET).^{25,27} The consumption of sugary food and drinks, salty food, fatty food, burnt food, food with preservatives, food flavoring, carbonated drinks, energy drinks, and instant noodles consumption were categorized as 'frequency' if the frequency was less than 1-2 times a week.²⁵

Data analysis

We performed the chi-square tests, to find the association between each factor and the obesity status. Then, the factors with p-values < 0.1 were included in the multiple logistic regression models, with Enter method, to obtain the independent determinants of obesity among DM subjects. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The factors which were associated with obesity, by Chi-square tests with the p-value <0.1, were demographical characteristics (age, sex, occupation, residence status, educational level, marital status), lifestyle factors (physical activity, smoking, medication compliance, fatty food consumption, food flavoring consumption, instant noodles consumption) and biomedical characteristics (fasting plasma glucose level, previous history of hypertension, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, level of total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides). All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 23.0 for Windows, IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

The prevalence of DM among RISKESDAS subjects aged >15 years old based on rapid plasma glucose test was 10.9% meaning that the number of DM subjects was 4,083 of 37,460 RISKESDAS respondents whose was tested.²⁵ After considering the data completeness, consistency, and outliers, this study only analyzed 33,905 total subjects acquired from RISKESDAS 2018 data; of them 3,911 subjects were categorized as having DM (11.5% DM subjects among the total population aged \geq 15 years acquired data; the original dataset is provided as *Underlying data*.⁶⁸

Results

Of the 3,911 DM subjects analyzed in this study, 1,287 (32.9%) subjects were obese (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population based on socio-demographic characteristics, i.e., age, sex, occupational status, type of residence, education level, and marital status. Most of the subjects were 45-64 years (57.4%) and 67% were women. Most of the subjects were employed (52.0%), 56.9% had a low education level (<12 education years) and 78.5% were married.

Factors of obesity among DM subjects are shown in Table 2. This table shows the results of the chi-square tests. We observed subjects' characteristics, physical activity, smoking status, DM duration, and previous history of hypertension. We found that the age category of 15-44 years had the prevalence odds ratio (POR) of 3.02 with a 95% confidence interval (CI) of 2.39-3.80, and the age category of 45-64 years had a POR of 2.16, with a 95% CI: 1.75-2.68 for obese compared to the age group of \geq 65 years (as reference), respectively. The proportion of women was significantly higher in the obesity group compared to the non-obesity, with the POR of 2.26; 95% CI: 1.94-2.64. The unemployed group also had a higher risk for obesity with the POR of 1.43 and 95% CI: 1.25-1.64.

Table 3 showed that high fasting plasma glucose (POR=1.21, 95% CI: 1.06-1.39), high systolic blood pressure (POR=1.49, 95% CI: 1.30-1.70), high diastolic blood pressure (POR=2.46, 95% CI: 2.15-2.82), high total cholesterol level (POR=1.44, 95% CI: 1.26-1.64), high low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol level (POR=1.83, 95% CI: 1.50-2.24), and high triglycerides level (POR=1.72, 95% CI: 1.50-1.97) were related to the obesity among DM subjects as risk factors with POR>1, while high high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol level (POR=0.58, 95% CI: 0.47-0.73) was related as a protective factor. The study also reported that the result of the chi-square test for the parameters of dietary patterns and expressed that frequent fatty food consumption (POR=1.26, 95% CI: 1.09-1.45), food flavoring consumption (POR=1.23, 95% CI: 1.02-1.49), and instant noodle consumption (POR=0.81, 95% CI: 0.68-0.96) were correlated to the obesity among DM subjects (Table 4).



Figure 1. Subjects Recruitment. RISKESDAS: Riset Kesehatan Dasar=Basic Health Survey.

Table 1	. Subjects'	characteristics.
---------	-------------	------------------

Characteristics	n=3911	%
Obesity		
Yes	1287	32.9
No	2624	67.1
Age		
≥65	669	17.1
45-64	2244	57.4
15-44	998	25.5
Sex		
Male (total)	1289	33.0
15-44	235	18.2
45-64	756	58.7
≥65	298	23.1
Female (total)	2622	67.0
15-44	763	29.1
45-64	1488	56.8
≥65	371	14.1

Characteristics	n=3911	%
Occupation		
Unemployed	1878	48.0
Employed	2033	52.0
Residence		
Urban	2057	52.6
Rural	1854	47.4
Education level		
Low (<12 education years)	2227	56.9
High (\geq 12 education years)	1684	43.1
Marital status		
Unmarried	841	21.5
Married	3070	78.5

Table 1. Continued

 Table 2. Distribution of demographical characteristics of obesity among DM subjects.

Parameters	Obesity		p*	POR (95% CI)	
	Yes	No			
Age					
15-44 (n;%)	411; 76.5	587; 51.9	<0.001	3.02 (2.39-3.80)	
45-64 (n;%)	750; 85.6	1494; 73.3	<0.001	2.16 (1.75-2.68)	
≥65 (n;%) (Ref)	126; 23.5	543; 48.1			
Sex					
Female (n;%)	1008; 78.3	1614;61.5	<0.001	2.26 (1.94-2.64)	
Male (n;%)	279; 21.7	1010; 38.5			
Occupation					
Unemployed (n;%)	707; 54.9	1208; 46.0	<0.001	1.43 (1.25-1.64)	
Employed (n;%)	580; 45.1	1416; 54.0			
Residence					
Urban (n;%)	789;61.3	1268; 48.3	<0.001	1.69 (1.48-1.94)	
Rural (n;%)	498; 38.7	1356; 51.7			
Education level					
Low (n;%)	904; 70.2	2102; 80.1	<0.001	0.59 (0.50-0.68)	
High (n;%)	383; 29.8	522; 19.9			
Marital status					
Unmarried (n;%)	216; 16.8	625; 23.8	<0.001	0.65 (0.54-0.77)	
Married (n;%)	1071; 83.2	1999; 76.2			
Physical activity					
Low (n;%)	1118; 86.9	2188; 83.4	<0.001	1.31 (1.09-1.60)	
Adequate (n;%)	169; 13.1	436; 16.6			
Smoking					
Yes (n;%)	220; 17.1	781; 29.8	<0.001	0.49 (0.41-0.58)	
No (n;%)	1067; 82.9	1843; 70.2			

Parameters	Obesity		p*	POR (95% CI)
	Yes	No		
DM duration				
<5 years (n;%)	601; 46.7	1280; 48.8	0.23	0.92 (0.81-1.05)
≥5 years (n;%)	686; 53.3	1344; 51.2		
Previous history of hypertension				
Yes (n;%)	351; 34.2	491; 26.3	<0.001	1.46 (1.24-1.72)
No (n;%)	675; 65.8	1379; 73.7		

Table 2. Continued

*Chi square test.

Table 3. Distribution of diabetes mellitus control parameters of obesity among DM subjects.

Parameters	Obesity	Dbesity		POR (95% CI)	
	Yes	No			
Medication compliance					
No (n;%)	39;14.7	64; 10.6	0.09	0.69 (0.45-1.06)	
Yes (n;%)	226; 85.3	538; 89.4			
Fasting plasma glucose					
≥126 mg/dL	647; 52.7	1192; 47.9	0.01	1.21 (1.06-1.39)	
<126 mg/dL	580; 47.3	1295; 52.1			
2 hours postprandial pla	isma glucose				
<200 mg/dL	901; 76.0	1884;77.7	0.26	0.91 (0.77-1.07)	
≥200 mg/dL	284; 24.0	540; 22.3			
Systolic blood pressure					
≥140 mmHg	736; 57.3	1242; 47.4	<0.001	1.49 (1.30-1.70)	
<140 mmHg	549; 42.7	1377; 52.6			
Diastolic blood pressure					
≥90 mmHg	743; 57.8	947; 35.8	<0.001	2.46 (2.15-2.82)	
<90 mmHg	542; 42.2	1682; 64.2			
Total cholesterol					
≥200 mg/dL	681; 52.9	1151; 43.9	<0.001	1.44 (1.26-1.64)	
<200 mg/dL	606; 47.1	1473; 56.1			
HDL cholesterol					
≥60 mg/dL	118; 9.2	388; 14.8	<0.001	0.58 (0.47-0.73)	
<60 mg/dL	1169; 90.8	2236; 85.2			
LDL cholesterol					
≥100 mg/dL	1148; 89.2	2148; 81.9	<0.001	1.83 (1.50-2.24)	
<100 mg/dL	139; 10.8	476; 18.1			
TG cholesterol					
≥150 mg/dL	645; 50.1	967; 36.9	<0.001	1.72 (1.50-1.97)	
<150 mg/dL	642; 49.9	1657; 63.1			

*Chi square test; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG: triglyserides.

Yes No					
Sugary food consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 625; 48.6 1302; 49.6 0.53 0.96 (0.8)	0.96 (0.84-1.10)				
Rare (n;%) 662; 51.4 1322; 50.4					
Sugary drinks consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 816; 63.4 1688;64.3 0.57 0.96 (0.8)	84-1.10)				
Rare (n;%) 471; 36.6 936; 35.7					
Salty food consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 607; 47.2 1227; 46.8 0.42 1.06 (0.8)	89-1.16)				
Rare (n;%) 680; 52.8 1397; 53.2					
Fatty food consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 886; 68.8 1673; 63.8 0.001 1.26 (1.0)	9-1.45)				
Rare (n;%) 401; 31.2 951; 36.2					
Burnt food consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 116; 9.0 269; 9.8 0.22 0.87 (0.6)	59-1.09)				
Rare (n;%) 1171; 91.0 2355; 89.7					
Food with preservatives consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 121; 9.4 225; 8.6 0.39 1.11 (0.8)	1.11 (0.88-1.39)				
Rare (n;%) 1166; 90.6 2399; 91.4					
Food flavoring consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 1110; 86.2 2194;83.6 0.03 1.23 (1.0)	2-1.49)				
Rare (n;%) 177; 13.8 430; 16.4					
Carbonated drinks consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 48; 3.7 85; 3.2 0.43 1.16 (0.8)	81-1.66)				
Rare (n;%) 1239; 96.3 2539; 96.8					
Energy drinks consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 31; 2.4 63; 2.4 0.99 1.00 (0.6)	5-1.55)				
Rare (n;%) 1256; 97.6 2561; 97.6					
Instant noodles consumption					
Frequent (n;%) 218; 16.9 529; 20.2 0.02 0.81 (0.6)	8-0.96)				
Rare (n;%) 1069; 83.1 2095; 79.8					
Alcohol					
Yes (n;%) 12; 0.9 25; 1 0.95 0.98 (0.4	9-1.95)				
No (n;%) 1275; 99.1 2599; 99					

Table 4. Distribution of dietary patterns of obesity among DM subjects.

*Chi-square test.

The final model of the binary logistic regression is shown at Table 5. Of the 20 variables that had been analyzed, ten parameters had statistically significant POR. Female sex (adjusted (a)POR=2.15; 95% CI: 1.76-2.62), urban residence (aPOR=1.49; 95% CI: 1.25-1.77), higher education level (aPOR=0.64; 95% CI: 0.53-0.78), married (aPOR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59-0.90), history of hypertension (aPOR=1.25; 95% CI: 1.04-1.51), high diastolic blood pressure (aPOR=1.90; 95% CI: 1.58-2.29), high triglycerides level (aPOR=1.27; 95% CI: 1.07-1.50), high LDL cholesterol level (aPOR=1.44; 95% CI: 1.13-1.84), high HDL cholesterol level (aPOR=0.60; 95% CI: 0.46-0.78), and younger age (aPOR=0.63; 95% CI: 0.54-0.73) altogether were related to the obesity among DM subjects (R=0.39).

Determinants	Adjusted POR	P *	95% CI	
Female	2.15	<0.001	1.76	2.62
Age 15-44 years	2.46	<0.001	1.83	3.33
Age 45-64	1.53	<0.001	1.19	1.96
Age \geq 65 years (ref)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Urban residence	1.49	<0.001	1.25	1.77
High education level	0.64	<0.001	0.53	0.78
Married	0.73	0.004	0.59	0.90
History of hypertension	1.25	0.020	1.04	1.51
High SBP \geq 140 mmHg	1.19	0.088	0.97	1.46
High DBP \geq 90 mmHg	1.90	<0.001	1.58	2.29
High LDL cholesterol	1.44	0.004	1.13	1.84
High HDL cholesterol	0.60	<0.001	0.46	0.78
High triglyceride	1.27	0.005	1.07	1.50
Fatty food consumption	1.13	0.17	0.95	1.34

Table 5. Binary logistic regression of determinants for obesity among DM subjects.

DBP: diastolic blood pressure; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; POR: prevalence Odds Ratio; SBP: systolic blood pressure.

R²=0.39 (Nagelkerke).

*Binary logistic regression test.

Discussion

Our cross-sectional study revealed that the prevalence of obesity among DM subjects in Indonesia was 32.9%. The current study had a national scope, using community-based data representing the figure of obesity among DM subjects in Indonesia. A study based on diabetes clinic data in Tanzania reported that the prevalence of obesity (categorized for BMI \geq 30 kg/m²) among DM subjects was 40.1%, and the prevalence of overweight (classified for BMI=25-29.9 kg/m²) was 44.9%.²¹ A study based on diabetes clinic data in Ghana revealed that the prevalence of overweight (classified for BMI=25-29.9 kg/m²) and obesity (classified for BMI≥30 kg/m²) among DM subjects was 32%.²⁸ The prevalence of obesity among DM subjects is higher than in the general adult population. The prevalence in the general adult population in Indonesia (2015), Tanzania (2020), and Ghana (2017) were: 30.4%, ³ 37.8%, ²⁹ and 29.9%, ³⁰ respectively. The difference in the prevalence of obesity among DM subjects in the general population in the three countries is consistent, although this RISKESDAS study²⁵ and Indonesian study³ categorized BMI ≥ 27 kg/m² as obese. Previous studies have also concluded that obesity is associated with the incidence of diabetes mellitus and strongly correlates with the duration and onset of obesity.^{17,31,32} Unfortunately, the data is not supported by prevalence data according to the onset and duration of obesity. We also recognized that our study did not address the incidence of pregnancy in reproductive-age women, which posed a risk of bias. The incidence of pregnancy among reproductive-age women in Indonesia was 7.48%,⁹ while the population of reproductive-age women was 1153 or 29.48% among the DM population in our dataset. Assuming the incidence of pregnancy of reproductive-age women is 7.48%, we ignored around 86 of our female respondents who may be pregnant.

This study found that female diabetes subjects were more likely to be obese than males, with an adjusted-POR of 2.15; 95% CI: 1.76-2.62. This relationship aligns with the study conducted in the diabetic clinic in Tanzania,²¹ which concluded that women are five times more likely to be obese than men.²¹ A cohort study in the US³³ also indicated that women were more at risk of obesity in diabetes than men and tended to have more medical expenditure. The prevalence of obesity continues to increase yearly, and women have increased faster than men.³⁴ A previous study in a rural population in India³⁵ also revealed that the prevalence of overweight and obesity was higher in women than in men. This study³⁵ also concluded that abdominal and central obesity was more prevalent in women with diabetes subjects. A study in Thailand³⁶ observed diabetes subjects with chronic kidney disease (CKD), and disclosed that the prevalence of obesity in this entire study population was 51.5% (68.2% in women and 31.8% in men, *p*=0.01). This study also concluded that the prevalence of obesity was lower in CKD than in non-CKD diabetes subjects (46.5% vs. 54.1%, *p*<0.001), and there was no difference based on the stages of CKD.³⁶ Previous studies have shown consistent results that the prevalence of obesity in women with diabetes is higher than in men; this might be influenced by the pre-menopause/menopause status as the dominant study population being observed (56.8%), i.e., 45-64 years of age (Table 1), of which the median age of menopause in

Indonesia is 51 years.^{37–39} Decreasing estrogen levels at menopause causes shifting the gynoid to android fat, which makes fat distribution dominant to abdominal fat; furthermore, the basal metabolic rate will also be very low.³⁷

The current study concluded that age category 45-64 years significantly had a higher risk to get obese among DM subjects (a-POR=1.53; 95% CI: 1.19-1.96) than the age category \geq 65 years, so did the age category 15-44 years which had an almost twofold odds ratio (a-POR=2.46; 95% CI: 1.83-3.33). Previous studies reported that prevalence of overweight and obesity was highest in the age category 41-60 years,^{21,40} The previous studies coincided with the current study finding that revealed a high prevalence of obesity was observed in the age category \leq 60 years. However, the current study found that the highest prevalence was in the 15-44 years group, while previous studies found the highest prevalence was in the 41-60 years group.^{21,40} Age category on young and middle adults had a high risk to get obese among DM subjects. The younger age groups tend to be obese in this study; it can be associated with an increased prevalence of obesity in children⁴¹ and might be related to the onset and duration of diabetes mellitus.^{17,31,32}

This study also found that living in urban residences was more likely to get obese among diabetic subjects. The urban area is a complex area where environmental, social, cultural, and economic factors significantly impact the health of the area's population—both positive and negative impacts on the health sector.⁴² While living in urban areas has a positive role in education and the economy, living in an urban area often causes greater risk factors that threaten health damage due to greater exposure to all sectors.⁴² Our study found that living in urban areas has 1.49 times (95% CI: 1.25-.177) the risk of obesity in diabetes subjects than living in rural areas. This study is in accordance with the study in Tanzania²¹ that deduced diabetes subjects who live in urban areas were 1.3 times more likely to have obesity than diabetes subjects who live in urban areas were more likely to get obese; more detail, this study also found that longer residing in urban areas for the rural-to-urban migrants tended to be obese.

The relationship between education and obesity in the general population and diabetes subjects consistently concluded that lower education tends to get obese. ^{44–46} Our study also found that lower education levels in diabetes subjects tend to be obese. High-level education related to the understanding and healthier lifestyle that influence obesity status. ⁴⁵ A cross-sectional study in Saudi Arabia conducted the subgroup analysis and concluded that the highest risk of obesity was in the population with high income and low education levels. ⁴⁶ Another study⁴⁷ observed that the Chinese twins concluded that education positively correlated with obesity, marital status, age, and sex confounders. Higher education will relate to knowledgeable persons. Furthermore, this knowledge and lifestyle are closely related to the incidence of obesity among DM subjects. ⁴⁸ Poor knowledge will affect the poor lifestyle, ⁴⁸ i.e., taking more snacks outside of regular meals, eating late at night, physical inactivity, excessive fast food intake, and alcoholic beverage intake, which were associated with increased obesity among DM subjects. ⁴⁸

It is known as common knowledge that obesity has been firmly associated with profound health consequences and metabolic disorders, including hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and dyslipidemia.^{21,49} Hypertension was reported as a common co-morbidity of diabetes affecting around 20-60 % of diabetes subjects and twice as prevalence in diabetes subjects.²⁸ Our study found that previous history of hypertension was more likely to get obesity among DM subjects than subjects without a history of hypertension with the a-POR=1.25; 95% CI: 1.04-1.51. The prevalence of hypertension among diabetes was two-three-fold higher than in non-diabetes subjects.²² Similarly, the prevalence of obesity among diabetes was also increasing. The increasing prevalence of obesity is followed by a rising prevalence of type 2 diabetes and other compounds' health risk.⁵⁰ These conditions reflect the poor lifestyle of the general population.⁵⁰

We also noticed that DM subjects that were unmarried significantly had lower odds risk of being obese; a-POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59-0.90. This finding was different from the study involving the general population in Malaysia — which concluded that never married individuals had a higher risk of being obese.⁵¹ However, our finding contradicted a previous study, which concluded that individuals with married status had a higher risk of being obese in the general population.⁵² In the general population of ever-married women in Bangladesh, a study reported that obesity among them was influenced by oldest age, higher wealth and higher education.⁵³ Similarly, a study in Kuwait that included the general population found that obesity is related to smoking, hypertension, higher income, being women, greater age, and being married.⁵⁴ A study in the US involving Asian subjects revealed that married or living with a partner was associated with obesity among general populations.⁵⁵ Marital status describes a general condition that is difficult to be associated with the incidence of obesity; marital status should be described and detailed of their marital quality. A study in the US disclosed that low marital quality was related to diabetes and other health problems.⁵⁶ Our study also found that a higher proportion of DM subjects with married status had the habit of frequent fatty foods consumption (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Proportion of fatty food consumption among marital status.

It is firmly known that obesity in DM subjects is associated with poor control of blood glucose levels, blood pressure, and cholesterol.^{48,57} The current study found that high diastolic blood pressure (a-POR=1.90; 95% CI: 1.58-2.29), high LDL cholesterol (a-POR=1.44; 95% CI: 1.13-1.84), high HDL cholesterol (a-POR=0.60; 95% CI: 0.46-0.78), and high triglycerides (a-POR=1.27; 95% CI: 1.07-1.50) were related to the occurrence of obesity among DM subjects in this setting. High diastolic blood pressure, high LDL cholesterol, and high triglycerides increase the risk of obesity, while high HDL cholesterol decreases the risk. Obesity itself was the most important modifiable risk factor for DM; obesity also interferes with the treatment and control of dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases.²⁸ Obesity is also intimately associated with dyslipidemia and hypertension.^{58,59} The current study consistently revealed that lipid profiles included LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides related to obesity among DM subjects. Total cholesterol was also associated with obesity among DM in the chi-Square test; however, this association was not statistically significant in the binary logistic regression. Unfortunately, novel lipid biomarkers in obesity, such as proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) and other biomarkers of obesity-associated dyslipidemia were not evaluated in the RISKESDAS 2018 survey.⁵⁸ Pathophysiology of dyslipidemia observed in obesity was also well known and involved multifactorial parameters, including hepatic overproduction of very low-density lipoprotein, decreased circulating triglycerides lipolysis, and impaired peripheral free fatty acids trapping.⁶⁰ Adequate exercise and reduce



Figure 3. Proportion of fasting plasma glucose levels and diastolic blood pressure. BP: blood pressure, FPG: fasting plasma glucose.

saturated fatty acids intake will be the first choice for treatment of dyslipidemia and simultaneously with obesity. However, medical therapy can be considered if these lifestyle changes could not be sufficiently undertaken. The current study showed the high diastolic blood pressure category has a high proportion of fasting plasma glucose levels (Figure 3).

Plasma glucose parameters with obesity simultaneously represent the control treatment results for DM subjects.⁵⁸ However, our study reported that both fasting and 2 hours of postprandial plasma glucose were not related to obesity among DM subjects. Fasting plasma glucose in the chi-square was associated with obesity (POR=1.21; 95% CI: 1.06-1.39) (Table 3). However, it was not involved in the final binary logistic regression model. Previous studies reported that glycaemic control was proportionate with the body weight and body composition in the diabetes population.⁶¹ Another study reported that a lower glycaemic index diet was related to reducing glycaemic control parameters, i.e., glycated hemoglobin (HbA1C), fasting, and postprandial plasma glucose, which was in line with lowering body weight.⁶² The high postprandial hyperglycemia is usually strongly influenced by carbohydrate intake. This might be why obesity is common in DM subjects with postprandial hyperglycemia.⁶² Two parameters of fasting and postprandial plasma glucose level were the standard parameters of glycaemic control. Unfortunately, this study did not provide glycated hemoglobin data, which will elucidate this relationship.

Regarding the diet pattern, our study revealed that frequent fatty food consumption was related to obesity in the chi-square test with a POR of 1.26; 95% CI: 1.09– 1.45). We did not find any relationship between obesity in diabetic individuals with sugary food and drink, salty food, food with preservatives and flavoring, instant noodles, carbonated drinks, energy drinks, and alcohol consumption. A cohort study in the general population concluded a relationship between fatty and sugary food consumption and obesity.⁶³ Another cross-sectional study observed the children and adolescents population in Korea revealed that dietary sugars from milk and fruit were inversely related to obesity, while sugar-sweetened beverages increase the risk of obesity in the boy population.⁶⁴ High salt intake was also concluded as an independent risk factor of obesity in the general population, ⁶⁵ while there is no evidence in our study population. Soft drink consumption was concluded significantly with global overweight, obesity, and diabetes in the general population, ⁶⁵ however, our diabetes population in this study did not find any relationship. Instant noodle consumption in the general population was also reported to be related to the increased risk of obesity and cardiovascular diseases; ^{66,67} however, as our finding, there was no relationship in our diabetes individuals population.

Our cross-sectional study was conducted to analyze secondary data from RISKESDAS 2018 survey. The availability of parameters in RISKESDAS 2018 data limits our discussion to elucidate comprehensive determinants of obesity in diabetes individuals. Some diabetes therapy that may be used, like insulin and sulphonylurea, is weight gain, so further sub-analysis is also needed on whether this population includes DM subjects administered these drugs when data is provided in the following survey. RISKESDAS 2018 data determined diabetes status just on the determination of subjects' statement of being previously diagnosed by a doctor or being determined from the measurement of fasting or postprandial plasma glucose, without differing type 1 or type 2 DM. Future studies that conduct pooling analysis regarding the prevalence of obesity among DM subjects are very needed to elucidate the prevalence and associated factors of obesity among DM subjects in Indonesia, the way the previous systematic review and meta-analysis conducted in Africa.⁶⁸

Conclusions

The prevalence of obesity among diabetic individuals based on Indonesian RISKESDAS 2018 data was 32.9%. Female sex, age categorized as 15-44 years, living in an urban residence, low education level, previous history of hypertension, high diastolic blood pressure, high LDL and triglycerides level, and low HDL cholesterol level all together were associated with obesity among diabetes individuals in Indonesia. These findings suggest that monitoring and controlling of related determinants is needed to prevent complications caused by the doubled burden of diabetes and obesity.

Data availability

Figshare: Prevalence and Determinants of Obesity among Indonesian Diabetics. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. 20291934.⁶⁹

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the National Institute of Health Research and Development, Ministry of Health, the Republic of Indonesia for providing RISKESDAS 2018 data.

References

- World Health Organization: World health statistics 2018: monitoring health for the SDGs, sustainable development goals. World Health Organization; 2018; vol. viii: 86.
- 2. World Health Organization: Global Status Report On Noncommunicable Diseases. 2014; 2014.
- Pengpid S, Peltzer K: The Prevalence of Underweight, Overweight/Obesity and Their Related Lifestyle Factors in Indonesia, 2014-15. AIMS Public Heal. 2017; 4(6): 633-649. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Saeedi P, Petersohn I, Salpea P, et al.: Global and regional diabetes prevalence estimates for 2019 and projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 2019; 157: 107843.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Purnamasari D: The Emergence of Non-communicable Disease in Indonesia. Acta Med. Indones. 2018; 50(4): 273–274. PubMed Abstract
- Arifin B, van Asselt ADI, Setiawan D, et al.: Diabetes distress in Indonesian patients with type 2 diabetes: a comparison between primary and tertiary care. BMC Health Serv. Res. 2019; 19(1): 773.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cholil AR, Lindarto D, Pemayun TGD, et al.: DiabCare Asia 2012: Diabetes management, control, and complications in patients with type 2 diabetes in Indonesia. Med. J. Indones. 2019; 28(1):

Publisher Full Text | Reference Source

- Ligita T, Wicking K, Harvey N, et al.: The profile of diabetes healthcare professionals in Indonesia: a scoping review. Int. Nurs. Rev. 2018; 65(3): 349–360.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kementerian Kesehatan RI Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan: Hasil Utama Riset Kesehatan Dasar. Kementrian Kesehat Republik Indones; 2018; 1–100.
- Soewondo P, Ferrario A, Tahapary DL: Challenges in diabetes management in Indonesia: A literature review. *Glob. Health* 2013; 9(1): 1–17.
- Abdullah A, Peeters A, de Courten M, et al.: The magnitude of association between overweight and obesity and the risk of diabetes: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 2010; 89(3): 309–319.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Adeniyi OV, Longo-Mbenza B, Ter Goon D: Female sex, poverty and globalization as determinants of obesity among rural South African type 2 diabetics: A cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2015; 15(1): 1–8. Publisher Full Text
- Azam M, Nida F, Woro O, et al.: Lipid Profiles and Postprandial Glucose Status of Hypertensive Individuals with Stroke in Indonesia. Asian J. Epidemiol. 2017; 10(3): 116–122. Publisher Full Text | Reference Source
- Chobot A, Górowska-Kowolik K, Sokołowska M, et al.: Obesity and diabetes—Not only a simple link between two epidemics. Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev. 2018; 34(7): 1–9.
- Kahn SE, Hull RL, Utzschneider KM: Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 2006; 444(7121): 840–846.
 Publisher Full Text
- Malone JI, Hansen BC: Does obesity cause type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM)? Or is it the opposite? *Pediatr. Diabetes* 2018; 20(1): 5–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Tanamas SK, Wong E, Backholer K, et al.: Age of onset of obesity and risk of type 2 diabetes. Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health 2016 Dec; 40(6): 579–581.

Publisher Full Text

- Noer ER, Margawati A, Purnawian R, et al.: Physical inactivity, high carbohydrate intake, and metabolic factors associated with abdominal obesity among Indonesian adolescents. Pakistan J. Med. Heal. Sci. 2018; 12(3): 1354–1356. Reference Source
- Saraswati LD, Budiharjo A, Septyarini P, et al.: Factors related to blood glucose levels among type ii diabetes mellitus patients (A cross-sectional study in kedungmundu public health center, semarang). Indian J. Public Heal. Res. Dev. 2018; 9(10): 347–350. Publisher Full Text | Reference Source
- Abed Bakhotmah B: Prevalence of Obesity among Type 2 Diabetic Patients: Non-Smokers Housewives Are the Most Affected in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Open J. Endocr. Metab. Dis. 2013; 03(01):

25–30. Publisher Full Text

- Damian DJ, Kimaro K, Mselle G, et al.: Prevalence of overweight and obesity among type 2 diabetic patients attending diabetes clinics in northern Tanzania. BMC. Res. Notes 2017; 10(1): 1–6.
- Erem C, Yildiz R, Kavgaci H, et al.: Prevalence of diabetes, obesity and hypertension in a Turkish population (Trabzon city). Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract. 2001 Dec; 54(3): 203–208. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC): Prevalence of overweight and obesity among adults with diagnosed diabetes--United States, 1988-1994 and 1999-2002. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 2004 Nov; 53(45): 1066–1068.
- Liu LL, Lawrence JM, Davis C, et al.: Prevalence of overweight and obesity in youth with diabetes in USA: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study. Pediatr. Diabetes 2010 Feb; 11(1): 4–11. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan: Laporan Nasional Riset Kesehatan Dasar: RISKESDAS (Indonesia Basic Health Survey) tahun 2018. 2018. Reference Source
- Azam M, Sulistiana R, Fibriana AI, et al.: Prevalence of Mental Health Disorders among Elderly Diabetics and Associated Risk Factors in Indonesia. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2021; 18(19). PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Reference Source
- World Health Organization: Global Physical Activity Questionnaires. Kemas. 2021. Reference Source
- Mogre V, Abedandi R, Salifu ZS: Prevalence of obesity and systemic hypertension among diabetes mellitus patients attending an out-patient diabetes clinic in a Ghanaian Teaching Hospital. Diabetes Metab. Syndr. 2014; 8(2): 67–71. Publisher Full Text
- Zubery D, Kimiywe J, Martin HD: Prevalence of Overweight and Obesity, and Its Associated Factors Among Health-care Workers, Teachers, and Bankers in Arusha City, Tanzania. Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes. 2021; 14: 455–465. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Atuahene M, Ganle JK, Adjuik M, et al.: Overweight and obesity prevalence among public servants in Nadowli district, Ghana, and associated risk factors: a cross-sectional study. BMC Obes. 2017; 4: 15.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Abdullah A, Stoelwinder J, Shortreed S, et al.: The duration of obesity and the risk of type 2 diabetes. Public Health Nutr. 2011 Jan; 14(1): 119-126.
 Publisher Full Text
- 32. Sakurai Y: Duration of obesity and risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2000 Mar; 54(2): 80–84. Publisher Full Text
- Leung MYM, Carlsson NP, Colditz GA, et al.: The Burden of Obesity on Diabetes in the United States: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2008 to 2012. Value Heal. 2017; 20(1): 77-84. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Bhupathiraju SN, Hu FB: Epidemiology of obesity and diabetes and their cardiovascular complications. *Circ. Res.* 2016; 118(11): 1723-1735.
 Publisher Full Text
- Meshram II, Vishnu Vardhana Rao M, Sudershan Rao V, et al.: Regional variation in the prevalence of overweight/obesity, hypertension and diabetes and their correlates among the adult rural population in India. Br. J. Nutr. 2016; 115(7): 1265–1272. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kittiskulnam P, Thokanit NS, Katavetin P, et al.: The magnitude of obesity and metabolic syndrome among diabetic chronic kidney disease population: A nationwide study. *PLoS One*. 2018; 13(5): 1–14.
 Publisher Full Text
- Davis SR, Castelo-Branco C, Chedraui P, et al.: Understanding weight gain at menopause. Climacteric 2012 Oct 1; 15(5): 419–429. Publisher Full Text
- Boulet MJ, Oddens BJ, Lehert P, et al.: Climacteric and menopause in seven South-east Asian countries. *Maturitas* 1994 Oct; 19(3): 157–176.
 PubMed Abstract I Publisher Full Text
- Saphira R, Nurmasari W, Fitranti DY: Relationship between body type (somatotype) and bone density in perimenopause women. Food Res. 2019; 4(3): 54–62.
- AlShahrani MS: Prevalence of obesity and overweight among type 2 diabetic patients in Bisha, Saudi Arabia. J. Fam. Med. Prim.

Care. 2021 Jan; 10(1): 143-148. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

41. Ng M. Fleming T. Robinson M. et al.: Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet (London, England). 2014 Aug; 384(9945): 766-781.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- 42. Cities diabetes: Urban Diabetes Understanding the Challenges and Cities Changing Diabetes. Cities Chang Diabetes.com. 2015: 1 - 64
- 43. Antiporta DA, Smeeth L, Gilman RH, et al.: Length of urban residence and obesity among within-country rural-to-urban Andean migrants. *Public Health Nutr.* 2016; **19**(7): 1270–1278. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Drewnowski A, Specter SE: Poverty and obesity: the role of 44. energy density and energy costs. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2004 Jan 1; 79(1): 6-16 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hsieh T-H, Lee JJ, Yu EW-R, *et al.*: Association between obesity and education level among the elderly in Taipei, Taiwan between 2013 and 2015: a cross-sectional study. *Sci. Rep.* 2020 Nov; **10**(1): 45. 20285.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Mosli HH, Kutbi HA, Alhasan AH, et al.: Understanding the 46. Interrelationship between Education, Income, and Obesity among Adults in Saudi Arabia. Obes. Facts 2020; 13(1): 77-85. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 47. Liao C, Gao W, Cao W, et al.: Association of Educational Level and Marital Status with Obesity: A Study of Chinese Twins. Twin Res. Hum. Genet. 2018; **21**(2): 126–135. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Obirikorang Y, Obirikorang C, Odame Anto E, et al.: Knowledge and 48. Lifestyle-Associated Prevalence of Obesity among Newly Diagnosed Type II Diabetes Mellitus Patients Attending Diabetic Clinic at Komfo Anokye Teaching Hospital, Kumasi, Ghana: A Hospital-Based Cross-Sectional Study. J. Diabetes Res. 2016; **2016**: 9759241.
- Pradeepa R, Anjana RM, Joshi SR, et al.: Prevalence of generalized & 49. abdominal obesity in urban & rural India--the ICMR-INDIAB Study (Phase-I) [ICMR- NDIAB-3]. Indian J. Med. Res. 2015 Aug; 142(2): 139-150. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Maggio CA, Pi-Sunyer FX: Obesity and type 2 diabetes. Endocrinol. 50. Metab. Clin. N. Am. 2003 Dec; 32(4): 805-22. viii. **Publisher Full Text**
- Apalasamy YD, Awang H, Mansor N, et al.: Factors Associated With 51. Obesity and Abdominal Obesity Among Malaysian Older Adults. Asia-Pacific. J. Public Heal. 2021 Jul; **33**(5): 547–554. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Alagal RI, AlFaris NA, AlTamimi JZ, et al.: Differences in Overweight 52. and Obesity Prevalence among Young Men from Twelve Middle Eastern and Asian Countries Living in Saudi Arabia. Healthc (Basel, Switzerland). 2022 Apr; 10(4). Publisher Full Text
- 53. Tanwi TS, Chakrabarty S, Hasanuzzaman S, et al.: Socioeconomic correlates of overweight and obesity among ever-married urban women in Bangladesh. BMC Public Health 2019 Jun; 19(1):

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Oguoma VM, Coffee NT, Alsharrah S, et al.: Prevalence of 54. overweight and obesity, and associations with sociodemographic factors in Kuwait. BMC Public Health 2021 Apr; 21(1): 667. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Liu X. Chen Y. Boucher NL. et al.: Prevalence and change of central 55. obesity among US Asian adults: NHANES 2011-2014. BMC Public Health 2017 Aug; 17(1): 678. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Whisman MA, Li A, Sbarra DA, et al.: Marital quality and diabetes: 56. results from the Health and Retirement Study. Heal. Psychol. Off. J. Div. Heal. Psychol. Am. Psychol. Assoc. 2014 Aug; 33(8): 832-840. **Publisher Full Text**
- Merlotti C, Morabito A, Pontiroli AE: Prevention of type 2 diabetes; a systematic review and meta-analysis of different intervention strategies. Diabetes. Obes. Metab. 2014 Aug 1; 16(8): 719-727. Publisher Full Text
- Vekic J, Zeljkovic A, Stefanovic A, et al.: Obesity and dyslipidemia. Metabolism 2019 Mar; 92: 71-81. **Publisher Full Text**
- Seravalle G, Grassi G: Obesity and hypertension. Pharmacol. Res. 59. 2017 Aug; 122: 1-7. **Publisher Full Text**
- Klop B, Elte JWF, Cabezas MC: **Dyslipidemia in obesity:** mechanisms and potential targets. *Nutrients* 2013 Apr; **5**(4): 60. 1218-1240.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Vitale R, Kim Y: The Effects of Intermittent Fasting on Glycemic 61. Control and Body Composition in Adults with Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review. Metab. Syndr. Relat. Disord. 2020 Dec; 18(10): 450–461. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Zafar MI, Mills KE, Zheng J, *et al.*: Low-glycemic index diets as an intervention for diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2019 Oct; **110**(4): 891–902. 62. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lampure A, Castetbon K, Deglaire A, *et al.*: Associations between liking for fat, sweet or salt and obesity risk in French adults: A prospective cohort study. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 2016; **13**(1): 63.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text Ha K, Chung S, Lee HS, et al.: Association of dietary sugars and 64 sugar-sweetened beverage intake with obesity in Korean

children and adolescents. Nutrients 2016; 8(1). PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Basu S, McKee M, Galea G, et al.: Relationship of soft drink 65. consumption to global overweight, obesity, and diabetes: A cross-national analysis of 75 countries. Am. J. Public Health 2013; 103(11): 2071-2077.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- 66. Huh IS, Kim H, Jo HK, et al.: Instant noodle consumption is associated with cardiometabolic risk factors among college students in Seoul. 2017; 11(3): 232-239.
- Choi E, Kim S-A, Joung H: Relationship between Obesity and 67. Korean and Mediterranean Dietary Patterns: A Review of the Literature. J. Obes. Metab. Syndr. 2019/03/30. 2019 Mar; 28(1): 30-9. Publisher Full Text | PubMed Abstract
- Ekpor E, Akyirem S, Adade DP: Prevalence and associated factors 68. of overweight and obesity among persons with type 2 diabetes in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Med.* 2023 Dec; 55(1): 696-713. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Azam M, Sakinah LF, Fibriana AI, et al.: Prevalence and 69 Determinants of Obesity among Indonesian Diabetics. figshare. Dataset. 2022 **Publisher Full Text**

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: 💙

Version 4

Reviewer Report 03 November 2023

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.157413.r215706

© **2023 Ekpor E.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Emmanuel Ekpor 匝

University of Ghana, Accra, Greater Accra Region, Ghana

Thank you for revising the manuscript as recommended. The paper looks good, except for some sentence structures which need to be improved. Kindly proofread your work and correct any grammatical or sentence errors.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Diabetes

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 3

Reviewer Report 27 September 2023

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.150600.r208478

© **2023 Ekpor E.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Emmanuel Ekpor 匝

University of Ghana, Accra, Greater Accra Region, Ghana

This study provides an in-depth analysis of the prevalence and determinants of obesity among individuals with diabetes in Indonesia. However, there are some areas that warrant further

consideration and exploration.

Introduction

 In the second paragraph, the sentence "The prevalence of cause of death by DM in Indonesia in 2014 was 6.7%5 and has increased over the last six years67 placing Indonesia in the 9th rank in the world8" lacks clarity. Consider restructuring it as "In 2014, diabetes mellitus (DM) was responsible for 6.7% of all recorded deaths in Indonesia. Over the subsequent six years, this percentage increased, leading to Indonesia's rank rising to 9th in the world for DM-related mortality."

Within the same paragraph, these two statements "The prevalence of cause of death by DM in Indonesia in 2014 was 6.7%" and "DM accounts for 3% of the causes of death in Indonesia" are confusing because they seem contradictory in terms of the percentages mentioned. Clarify the differences.

 While you mention that no study has reported the prevalence of obesity among DM patients in Indonesia, you could emphasize the research gap and the significance of your study more explicitly. Why is this information important, and what potential impact could it have on healthcare or policy?

Method

- Reference the WHO Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) in your methods section to provide readers with a clear source for the questionnaire used.
- Create a flowchart to illustrate the participant selection process described in the second paragraph under "data analysis." This visual aid will help readers better understand the methodology.

Discussion

- Clarify whether the studies you are comparing your obesity prevalence with also used the obesity threshold of "BMI ≥ 27 kg/m2," and discuss any differences in thresholds or methodologies. This will provide context for your findings.
- Explore existing systematic reviews or meta-analyses related to your study topics and discuss how your research contributes to or aligns with their findings. If no such papers exist, underscore the need for future research in this area and provide a link to a relevant paper (https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2023.2182909) that supports your argument.
- Specify whether the data on obesity from the RISKESDAS dataset was measured or self-reported by participants. Differentiate between measured and self-reported data, highlighting the potential implications for data accuracy and limitations.

General

- Break down long sentences into shorter, more digestible forms to enhance readability and facilitate comprehension.
- Use transition sentences to connect ideas and paragraphs, improving the overall flow of your paper and helping readers navigate through your work.
- Carefully review your text for grammar and punctuation errors to ensure professionalism and clarity in your writing.

References

1. Ekpor E, Akyirem S, Adade Duodu P: Prevalence and associated factors of overweight and obesity among persons with type 2 diabetes in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Medicine*. 2023; **55** (1): 696-713 Publisher Full Text

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Diabetes

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 11 Oct 2023

Mahalul Azam

This study provides an in-depth analysis of the prevalence and determinants of obesity among individuals with diabetes in Indonesia. However, there are some areas that warrant further consideration and exploration.

Thank you for providing us reviews so that we can improve the quality of our work; We tried to fulfill the reviews and provided the point-by-point revision as follows.

General

• Break down long sentences into shorter, more digestible forms to enhance readability and

facilitate comprehension.

- Thank you for the input, we revised as the advise. The revised statement is provided paralel with the previous revision
- Use transition sentences to connect ideas and paragraphs, improving the overall flow of your paper and helping readers navigate through your work.
- Thank you for the input, we revised as the advise. The revised statement is provided paralel with the previous revision.
- Carefully review your text for grammar and punctuation errors to ensure professionalism and clarity in your writing.
- Thank you for the input, we revised as the advice. The revised statement is provided paralel with the previous revision.

Introduction

- In the second paragraph, the sentence "The prevalence of cause of death by DM in Indonesia in 2014 was 6.7%5 and has increased over the last six years6,7 placing Indonesia in the 9th rank in the world8" lacks clarity. Consider restructuring it as "In 2014, diabetes mellitus (DM) was responsible for 6.7% of all recorded deaths in Indonesia. Over the subsequent six years, this percentage increased, leading to Indonesia's rank rising to 9th in the world for DM-related mortality."
- This sentence revised into "In 2014, diabetes mellitus (DM) was responsible for 6.7% of all recorded deaths in Indonesia. Over the subsequent six years, this percentage increased, leading to Indonesia's rank rising to 9th in the world for DM-related mortality"

Within the same paragraph, these two statements "The prevalence of cause of death by DM in Indonesia in 2014 was 6.7%" and "DM accounts for 3% of the causes of death in Indonesia" are confusing because they seem contradictory in terms of the percentages mentioned. Clarify the differences.

We deleted the statement "DM accounts for 3% of the causes of death in Indonesia. ¹⁰" because this fact is based on the data in 2010; the information already represented by the above statement

- While you mention that no study has reported the prevalence of obesity among DM patients in Indonesia, you could emphasize the research gap and the significance of your study more explicitly. Why is this information important, and what potential impact could it have on healthcare or policy?
- We explain this importance in the previous sentences, such as:
 - Obesity is related to NCD's increased morbidity and mortality, including diabetes mellitus (DM).

• Obesity increases the risk of DM

- Obesity contributes to insulin resistance, which is associated with DM conditions. ¹⁴ Obesity increased the release of non-esterified fatty acids, glycerol, hormones, and pro-inflammatory cytokines in adipose tissue, affecting insulin resistance conditions. ¹⁵ Severe obesity in childhood and adolescence is associated with the increased risk of DM in youth and young adults. ¹⁶ Obesity is related to the high increase in carbohydrate intake that causes insulin resistance in genetically predisposed individuals. ¹⁶ The obeseyear describes the severity and the duration of obesity, and the age of onset was the independent predictor for type 2 DM. ¹⁷ Overweight and obesity have been associated with the poor control of blood glucose levels, blood pressure, and cholesterol among DM subjects. ¹⁸ Indeed, obesity is well known as a major modifiable risk factor for type 2 DM. ¹¹, ¹⁹
- Previous studies had reported the prevalence of obesity among DM and its related factors — which had a pivotal role in the comprehensive management of diabetes subjects as well as describing the risk factors and the poor control of diabetes.

Method

• *Reference the WHO Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) in your methods section to provide readers with a clear source for the questionnaire used.*

We have added the WHO Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) as reference.

 Create a flowchart to illustrate the participant selection process described in the second paragraph under "data analysis." This visual aid will help readers better understand the methodology.

We had added the subject recruitment in Figure 1; and we made changes of figure sequence, respectively.

Discussion

- Clarify whether the studies you are comparing your obesity prevalence with also used the obesity threshold of "BMI \geq 27 kg/m2," and discuss any differences in thresholds or methodologies. This will provide context for your findings.
- We add the statement to provide the infromation regarding the determination of obese as BMI \geq 27 kg/m² (in the RISKESDAS and Indonesian studies) compare to the other studies which is use the cut off BMI \geq 30 kg/m²
- All the revised statement are as follows:

Our cross-sectional study revealed that the prevalence of obesity among DM subjects in Indonesia was 32.9%. The current study had a national scope, using community-based data representing the figure of obesity among DM subjects in Indonesia. A study based on diabetes clinics data in Tanzania reported that the prevalence of obesity (categorized for BMI \geq 30 kg/m²) among DM subjects was 40.1%, and the

prevalence of overweight (categorized for BMI=25-29.9 kg/m²) was 44.9%. ²¹ A study based on diabetes clinic data in Ghana revealed that the prevalence of overweight (categorized for BMI=25-29.9 kg/m²) and obesity (categorized for BMI \ge 30 kg/m²) among DM subjects was 32%. ²⁸ The prevalence of obesity among DM subjects is higher than in the general adult population. The prevalence in the general adult population in Indonesia (2015), Tanzania (2020), and Ghana (2017) were: 30.4%, ³ 37.8%, ²⁹ and 29.9%, ³⁰ respectively. The difference in the prevalence of obesity among DM subjects in the general population in the three countries is consistent, although this RISKESDAS study ²⁵ and Indonesian study ³ categorized BMI \geq 27 kg/m² as obese. Previous studies have also concluded that obesity is associated with the incidence of diabetes mellitus and strongly correlates with the duration and onset of obesity. ^{17, 31, 32} Unfortunately, the data is not supported by prevalence data according to the onset and duration of obesity. We also recognized that our study did not address the incidence of pregnancy in reproductive-age women, which posed a risk of bias. The incidence of pregnancy among reproductive-age women in Indonesia was 7.48%, ⁹ while the population of reproductive-age women was 1,153 or 29.48% among the DM population in our dataset. Assuming the incidence of pregnancy of reproductive-age women is 7.48%, we ignored around 86 of our female respondents who may be pregnant.

- Explore existing systematic reviews or meta-analyses related to your study topics and discuss how your research contributes to or aligns with their findings. If no such papers exist, underscore the need for future research in this area and provide a link to a relevant paper (https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2023.2182909) that supports your argument.
- We add this statement and add Ekpor E, Akyirem S, Adade Duodu P. Prevalence and associated factors of overweight and obesity among persons with type 2 diabetes in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Med. 2023 Dec;55(1):696–713 to provide that our work adds information regarding this finding, although little is known regarding systematic review and meta-analysis on this topic in Indonesia.
- Specify whether the data on obesity from the RISKESDAS dataset was measured or selfreported by participants. Differentiate between measured and self-reported data, highlighting the potential implications for data accuracy and limitations.
- We add the statement "The measurement of weight and height were performed previously to define BMI." to clarify that the BMI was defined by measurement, not self-reported results.

Thank you once again for the review and we hope our revisions can fulfill the review's inputs and improve the quality of our work.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 17 August 2023

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.150600.r177211

© **2023 Mihardja L.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Laurentia K. Mihardja 匝

- ¹ National Research and Innovation Agency, Jakarta, Indonesia
- ² Medical Faculty, Malahayati University, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

Dear Author,

Thanks for the revisions that have been made. The revisions are good, except for the bottom of the analysis data, namely:

This study only analyzed 33,905 total subjects acquired from RISKESDAS 2018 data; of them, 3,911 subjects were categorized as having DM (11.5% DM subjects among the total population aged \geq 15 years acquired data); the original dataset is provided as Underlying data. The sentence about 11.5% DM subjects among the total population aged \geq 15 years acquired data; should be deleted so that the readers do not misunderstand, because we know that the national prevalence in Riskesdas data is not only calculated based on proportion, but also includes the value of the representative coefficient of samples that have been successfully examined from each province.

Thank you for your attention Best regards, Laurentia

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Public Health about Non Communicable Diseases

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 2

Reviewer Report 26 May 2023

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.144113.r162988

© **2023 Mihardja L.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Laurentia K. Mihardja 匝

¹ National Research and Innovation Agency, Jakarta, Indonesia
 ² Medical Faculty, Malahayati University, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

Thank you for your attention.

You have revised according to the suggestions but I still found some statements that I just realized were wrong. I hope you are happy to revise a few things e.g.:

Abstract, Results: "*married (POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59 -0.90)*", change to "unmarried (POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59 -0.90)". Unmarried had lower odds risk of being obese.

Introduction: "*The prevalence of DM in Indonesia in 2014 was 6.7%*", change to "The prevalence of cause of death by DM in Indonesia in 2014 was 6.7%" (based on the data from the Sample Registration System, 2014).

Methods: add "The number of pregnant women was ignored." Note: it should be accurate if pregnant women should be excluded. Considering that pregnant women of childbearing age (15-49 years) are only 3.6% (BKKBN 2021, 2018 data was not found), while the sample includes all women and men aged 15 years and over, so pregnant women can be ignored.

Data Analysis: "*The prevalence of DM among RISKESDAS subjects was 8.5% meaning that the number of DM subjects was 86,469 of 1,017,290 RISKESDAS respondents*" - This calculation must be corrected: The prevalence of DM was 10.9% in the population aged 15 years and over. The 1,017,290 RISKESDAS samples include residents aged 1 year and over (all samples). Blood sampling was taken only in 2500 Census Blocks (24,980 households) as a subsample for people aged 15 years and over. Please calculate again. (Riskesdas 2018 report, page 9)¹

Discussion: "We also noticed that DM subjects that were unmarried significantly had lower odds risk of being obese; a-POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59-0.90. This finding was in accordance with the study involving the

general population in Malaysia" - This sentence must be changed to: "This finding was **different** from the study involving the general population in Malaysia."

References

1. Ministry of Health, National Institute of Health Research and Development: National Report on Basic Health Research, 2018. *Jakarta: NIHRD*. 2019.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Partly

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? Partly

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results? Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Public Health about Non Communicable Diseases

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 04 Jun 2023

Mahalul Azam

Thank you very much for a very constructive advanced reviews.

We try the best to address all reviews as follows

You have revised according to the suggestions but I still found some statements that I just realized were wrong. I hope you are happy to revise a few things e.g.:

Abstract, Results: "*married (POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59 -0.90)*", change to "unmarried (POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59 -0.90)". Unmarried had lower odds risk of being obese.

We revised the manuscript as suggested

Introduction: "*The prevalence of DM in Indonesia in 2014 was 6.7%*", change to "The prevalence of cause of death by DM in Indonesia in 2014 was 6.7%" (based on the data from the Sample Registration System, 2014).

We revised the manuscript as suggested

Methods: add "The number of pregnant women was ignored." Note: it should be accurate if pregnant women should be excluded. Considering that pregnant women of childbearing age (15-49 years) are only 3.6% (BKKBN 2021, 2018 data was not found), while the sample includes all women and men aged 15 years and over, so pregnant women can be ignored.

We add the information in the manuscript as suggested

Data Analysis: "*The prevalence of DM among RISKESDAS subjects was 8.5% meaning that the number of DM subjects was 86,469 of 1,017,290 RISKESDAS respondents*" - This calculation must be corrected: The prevalence of DM was 10.9% in the population aged 15 years and over. The 1,017,290 RISKESDAS samples include residents aged 1 year and over (all samples). Blood sampling was taken only in 2500 Census Blocks (24,980 households) as a subsample for people aged 15 years and over. Please calculate again. (Riskesdas 2018 report, page 9)¹

We revised the calculation as suggested and revised as follows

The prevalence of DM among RISKESDAS subjects aged >15 years old based on rapid plasma glucose test was 10.9% meaning that the number of DM subjects was 4,083 of 37,460 RISKESDAS respondents whose was tested. ²⁵ After considering the data completeness, consistency, and outliers, this study only analyzed 33,905 total subjects acquired from RISKESDAS 2018 data; of them 3,911 subjects were categorized as having DM (11.5% DM subjects among the total population aged \geq 15 years acquired data; the original dataset is provided as *Underlying data*. ⁶⁸

Discussion: "We also noticed that DM subjects that were unmarried significantly had lower odds risk of being obese; *a*-POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59-0.90. This finding was in accordance with the study involving the general population in Malaysia" - This sentence must be changed to: "This finding was **different** from the study involving the general population in Malaysia."

We revised the manuscript as suggested

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 14 December 2022

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.137868.r150740

© **2022 Mihardja L.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Laurentia K. Mihardja 匝

- ¹ National Research and Innovation Agency, Jakarta, Indonesia
- ² Medical Faculty, Malahayati University, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

This article is good but needs revision.

- 1. Based on the Indonesian Basic Health Survey (Riset Kesehatan Dasar= RISKESDAS), the DM prevalence rate significantly increased from 6.9% in 2013 to **10.9%** in 2018 (as in the Riskesdas report which states 10.9% based on ADA 2015 and PERKENI 2015)¹.
- 2. Diabetes Mellitus was defined in Riskesdas 2018 (Basic Health Research in Indonesia 2018) as fasting blood glucose level ≥ 126 mg/dL or random blood glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL with classic symptoms of polyuria, polydipsia, polyphagia, and weight loss or blood glucose at 2 hours after a glucose load ≥ 200 mg/dl or the use of glucose-lowering drugs. If only random blood glucose ≥ 200 mg/dl without classic symptoms, it is not stated as diabetes. I'm sure the authors knew about this statement.
- 3. All individuals with DM, not those who are pregnant. There must be a certainty that the analyzed individuals have DM without pregnancy. Chances of getting pregnant can be biased. This data must be excluded. The data on obesity needs to be checked again.
- 4. "DM subjects that were married significantly had lower odds risk of being obese" DM subjects that were unmarried significantly had lower odds risk of being obese. Obese in unmarried group 216/ (216 + 625) = 25.6% (Table 2) and obese in married group 1071/ (1071+ 1999) = 34.8%. Continue chi-square and log multivariate regression, we will find unmarried had lower OR than married. So 7th paragraph in the discussion should be revised.
- 5. Inside Table 5 is written urban residence 1.49 but, underneath the table in the description, is written 1.87.

Thank you for your attention.

A little summary and opinion

The prevalence of Diabetes Mellitus in Indonesia has been rising more rapidly, e.g. the prevalence of Diabetes Mellitus in 2007 among those aged 15 years and over was 5.7% in urban, rising to 10.9% in urban-rural by 2018. Obesity is one of the most potent risk factors for type 2 diabetes. Research showed that the prevalence of overweight and obesity (BMI \geq 25) in Indonesia among those at the age of more than 18 years was, based on Riskesdas data, 28.9% in 2013 and 35.4% in

2018. The study aimed to determine the prevalence of obesity among diabetes patients. Some studies have been carried out, but there is no national data in Indonesia yet. The result shows a high prevalence of obesity was found in diabetic patients (32.9%) (make sure it doesn't include pregnant individuals). Diabesity will accelerate the occurrence of complications such as heart disease. The high prevalence of diabesity is a warning for program makers that they should increase public awareness to prevent obesity and diabetes.

References

1. Ministry of Health, National Institute of Health Research and Development: National Report on Basic Health Research, 2018. *Jakarta: NIHRD*. 2019.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature? Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate? Partly

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility? $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Yes}}$

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Public Health about Non-Communicable Diseases

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 06 Feb 2023

Mahalul Azam

Thank you very much for the very precise and constructive reviews of our manuscript.

We have revised it in line with the reviewer's comments as follows:

• Based on the Indonesian Basic Health Survey (Riset Kesehatan Dasar= RISKESDAS), the DM prevalence rate significantly increased from 6.9% in 2013 to **10.9%** in 2018 (as in the

*Riskesdas report which states 10.9% based on ADA 2015 and PERKENI 2015)*¹. Thank you for the precise correction, we revised the prevalence rate in 2018 to 10.9%.

Diabetes Mellitus was defined in Riskesdas 2018 (Basic Health Research in Indonesia 2018) as fasting blood glucose level ≥ 126 mg/dL or random blood glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL with classic symptoms of polyuria, polydipsia, polyphagia, and weight loss or blood glucose at 2 hours after a glucose load ≥ 200 mg/dl or the use of glucose-lowering drugs. If only random blood glucose ≥ 200 mg/dl without classic symptoms, it is not stated as diabetes. I'm sure the authors knew about this statement.

Thank you very much for the thorough correction; we revised the statement with the additional clause: with classic symptoms of polyuria, polydipsia, polyphagia, and weight loss or blood glucose at 2 hours after a glucose load \geq 200 mg/dl or the use of glucose-lowering drugs.

• All individuals with DM, not those who are pregnant. There must be a certainty that the analyzed individuals have DM without pregnancy. Chances of getting pregnant can be biased. This data must be excluded. The data on obesity needs to be checked again.

Thank you. We added the statement in the discussion section: "We recognize that our study did not address the incidence of pregnancy in reproductive-age women, which posed a risk of bias. The incidence of pregnancy among reproductive-age women in Indonesia was 7.48%, while the population of reproductive-age women was 1153 or 29.48% among the DM population in our dataset. Assuming the incidence of pregnancy of reproductive-age women is 7.48%, we ignored around 86 of our female respondents who may be pregnant."

"DM subjects that were married significantly had lower odds risk of being obese" - DM subjects that were unmarried significantly had lower odds risk of being obese. Obese in unmarried group 216/ (216 + 625) = 25.6% (Table 2) and obese in married group 1071/ (1071+ 1999) = 34.8%. Continue chi-square and log multivariate regression, we will find unmarried had lower OR than married. So 7th paragraph in the discussion should be revised.

Thank you very much for the correction. We revised as: "We also noticed that DM subjects that were unmarried significantly had lower odds risk of being obese; a-POR=0.73; 95% CI: 0.59-0.90"

• Inside Table 5 is written urban residence 1.49 but, underneath the table in the description, is written 1.87.

Thank you very much for the correction. We revised as stated on Table 5: "urban residence (aPOR=1.49; 95% CI: 1.25-1.77)"

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000 Research